



A NEW VARIORUM EDITION

OF

SHAKESPEARE

THE LIFE AND DEATH

0F

KING FOHN

EDITED BY

HORACE HOWARD FURNESS, JR, A.B., LITT. D

26449

PHILADELPHIA & LONDON

J. B. LIPPINCOTT COMPANY

1919

Copyright, 1919, by H H FURNESS, JR.

TO L. B. W. F.

Yet be most proud of that which I compile Whose influence is thine and born of thee.

PREFACE

THE earliest text of King John is that contained in the Folio of 1623. As far as the mere text is concerned the task of the editor is comparatively light, and those passages requiring typographic deciphering are pleasurably few It is become so much the custom to speak derogatively of the editorship and the printing of the Folio that it is pleasant to speak in commendation of any part of that work. King John, in the Folio, contains a little over two thousand seven hundred lines. Cambridge text there are but fourteen examples wherein the Folio reading has been abandoned as corrupt and an emendation by a modern editor adopted A table showing these will be found in the Appendix to this volume A further evidence of the excellent state of the text may be seen in the list of CRUCES, prepared by F A. Leo, for all the plays (Jahrbuch, xx, p 158), therein King John provides twenty-four passages, but this does not, by any means, imply that these are all due to corruptions of the text, in the majority of passages given by LEO the crux consists in the fact that a word, or expression, has given rise to a discussion as to a particular meaning or interpretation, such, for example, as 'Alcides shooes upon an Asse', 'greefe is proud and makes his owner stoope'; 'a new untrimmed bride,' etc. Upon passages such as these the editors and commentators have expended their labor and ingenuity; in fact, an examination of the Notes will show that passages which have been fruitful of discussion are, in number, greater than in almost any other Play in this series, but, as has been already said, this does not mean that the Text itself is come down to us imperfect or corrupted. is, however, not the case as far as the Act and Scene divisions are concerned, and modern editors have not hesitated to alter the headings where necessary, a source of great confusion to the student using a modern text and with the Folio text before him, as in the present volume. For example, Act I, so ii of the Folio is in all modern editions Act II, sc. i., Act II. in the Folio is but vi PREFACE

77 lines, and, accordingly, modern editors, following THEOBALD. have made this Act III. Sc 1, and the Folio's Act III, sc 1 a continuation of the scene where Constance awaits the return of the wedding procession with the two Kings And here at once a If we retain the Folio divisions comdifficulty confronts us pletely, the modern line numbers are utterly useless for reference, if we adopt the modern division completely, the line numbers in Act III, sc 1 (the Folio's Act II) up to line 77 will be repeated in the Folio's actual Act III, so i, which in the modern text is made a continuation of the preceding scene In disentangling this I fear I have been only partly successful It seemed too drastic a treatment of the Folio text to suppress entirely the heading Act III, so i and all the line numbers I have, therefore, retained the Folio heading Act III, scena prima, and its line numbers, placing in brackets the line numbers as in the Cambridge text. This will enable the student with a modern text before him to locate any passage, which otherwise would be a matter of some difficulty and consequent loss of time

The question of the exact year—even the month—wherein each of Shakespeare's plays was written was, for the earliest editors, one of singular interest. Any passage which might be supposed to refer, even remotely, to an event of the historic days of Shakespeare's life in London was eagerly seized upon as a means to settle the question once for all. This is termed internal evidence; again, manifest allusions to the play, or parts of it, by contemporary writers are taken as external evidence. In later years much time has been expended in classifying the plays according to the structure of the verse; this belongs also to the class of internal evidence.

King John is included in MERES' list in the Palladis Tamia, 1598, and, although there are several commentators who have adopted an earlier date of composition, this same year has been accepted by the majority. The dates range, however, between 1592 as the earliest and 1611 as the latest; this last having but one proposer and supporter. Beyond its inclusion in MERES' list, we have no other piece of external evidence for a date of composition of King John, and it is not, moreover, given in the list entered by JAGGARD and BLOUNT when applying for license to print the First Folio in 1623 The Applicants then gave the titles of all those other plays of SHAKESPEARE the licenses for

which had not been assigned to other men. The reason for this complete omission from the *Stationers' Registers* is now impossible of explanation. Halliwell suggests that, either it was a mere oversight on the part of the printers, Jaggard and Blount, or that the license to print Shakespeare's play had already been assigned to another; if this latter, where then is the entry of that other license in the *Registers?*

As to internal evidence, WARBURTON decided that King John's berating Hubert for a too zealous following out of a hint to put Arthur to death was suggested by Elizabeth's anger at Davison for like behavior towards Mary Queen of Scots, who was executed in 1587, but, as was quickly demonstrated, this was far too early a date, and it was hardly probable that an audience would recognise and apply an occurrence of several years before, granting even that knowledge of the Queen's action was widely and publicly Constance's heart-rending grief and passionate words on the loss of Arthur was accepted by Malone as the outpouring of SHAKESPEARE'S sorrow and personal loss of his little son Hamnet in 1596, and this date with MALONE receives corroboration from the description by Chatillon (Act I, sc ii) of the expedition accompanying King John against France, being like to the expedition of Raleigh and Essex against Spain at this same period, but for this last suggestion MALONE acknowledges his indebtedness to a remark on this similarity by Dr. Johnson Malone's theory of Shakespeare's method of composition, to me at least, does not commend itself. Are the jealous pangs of Othello; Cleopatra's infinite variety; Falstaff's buffoon jests, King John's despicable villainy, but reflections of some exterior impulse on SHAKESPEARE, or due solely to a passing mood? Such a supposition, instead of enhancing, detracts from our awe at the power of that mind which could so project itself into the innermost thoughts of any and all types of mankind

Metrical, and other verse-tests, are corroborative of the conclusion that King John belongs to Shakespeare's early period, and we cannot, therefore, be far wrong in assigning it to a date somewhere between 1596 and 1598, which, for all practical purposes, is quite close enough

For the main conduct of his drama Shakespeare did not, as with several others of the Histories, have recourse directly to the

VIII PREFACE

Chronicles. The basis of King John is an older play, The Trouble-some Raigne of John, King of England, in two parts, first issued anonymously in 1591, it was re-issued in 1611 with the superscription 'by W Sh.' on the title-page, evidently for the purpose of deceiving the public, that this was Shakespeare's play, which had appeared in the interim. A third edition was printed in 1622 and the letters 'W Sh.' on the title-page were changed to 'W Shakespeare'. The proximity of this last date to that of the First Folio might possibly be a reason for the omission of Shakespeare's play from the list given by Jaggard and Blount, as before mentioned, there is, unfortunately, no entry of The Troublesome Raigne to be found in the Stationers' Registers for the year 1622, but the play was printed in that year, and its re-issue shows that it was well known.

The complete lack of cumulative interest and absence of character development are inconsistent with the assumption that SHAKESPEARE was wholly responsible for this examplar of the two-part tragedy or historical play Nevertheless, so astute a critic as Capell declared in favor of Shakespeare's authorship. and saw in the later King John but a rewriting of one of SHAKE-SPEARE'S own juvenile productions. Steeyens likewise included The Troublesome Raigne among the twenty Shakespearian plays published in quarto during the life of Shakespeare, but later admitted that he recanted from this opinion and was content to allow the Author his anonymity. The most steadfast opponent of those who refused to accept Shakespeare as the author of the older play was LUDWIG TIECK, who discerned in The Troublesome Raigne a power and beauty which has curiously been invisible to the English Commentators, he declared that, had this play but been the acknowledged work of one of Shakespeare's lesser brethren, the opinion as to its position among the works of that age would have been far different Unlike STEEVENS. TIECK maintained his opinion to the last, and, in spite of the adverse views and criticism bestowed upon him by his own countrymen, declared that further examination but confirmed his first decision Coleridge, in his first tentative chronological order of the plays, placed The Troublesome Raigne in the earliest or prentice period of Shakespeare's work, characterizing the work as 'not his but of him'; in later attempts Coleridge rightly rejected the older play, but hesitated as to assigning its true authorship. This last question is fully discussed in the Ap-

PREFACE 1X

pendix to this volume, and therefore need not be repeated here

The anonymous author drew the main incidents of his plot from Holinshed's Chronicle, and therefore Shakespeare, as he closely followed his predecessor, was indirectly indebted to the early historian. Although the general order of The Troublesome Raigne is followed, there is substantially not a scene or speech which is not entirely recast, in but one or two instances has SHAKESPEARE reproduced even so much as an entire line, and has compressed the two parts of five acts each into one drama of A careful study of Shakespeare's procedure in the present instance will be, for those interested in either the theory or practice of play-writing, a task both pleasant and certainly profitable. His keen intuition as to the dramatic value of any incident; the equally clear perception as to what was retarding the progress of his drama with its consequent omission, and, over and above all, his marvellous use of every means to develop and make real each and every character-all these are excellent object-lessons in the art of dramatic construction

There was an older play than The Troublesome Raigne on the subject of King John's contest with the Pope, written by JOHN BALE, Bishop of Ossory, entitled Kynge Johan. From its general style and what is known of BALE its probable date of composition lies between the accession of Elizabeth and the year 1563, the date of BALE's death Beyond the fact that both the anonymous author and BALE used the historical material furnished by the Chronicles, there is no evidence to show that the author of The Troublesome Raigne had any recourse to the work of his pre-. decessor, still less that Shakespeare even knew of its existence. BALE's work is now chiefly interesting to students of the development of dramatic forms It is the earliest known example of a drama in English wherein personages connected with public affairs in England are represented, and since abstract impersonations, such as Civil Order, Verity, Sedition, are also introduced, it bears a certain relation to the older moralities, occupying an intermediate place between these and the later historical plays. It is the only example of this form which now exists. An analysis of Kynge Johan, with copious extracts, is included in the Appendix to this volume.

Coming down to more modern times, in 1745 we find COLLEY CIBBER, doubtless incited by the alarming attempts of Charles

Edward Stuart, backed by the Church of Rome, using SHAKE-SPEARE'S King John as political fuel for the flames. His alteration bore the clumsy title Papal Tyranny in the Reign of King John, it was produced at Drury Lane in 1745, CIBBER himself playing the rôle of Pandulph. It was not received with favor either by the critics or public, and after ten performances was withdrawn, CIBBER retiring from the stage with its last presentation.

In the dedication to the Earl of Chesterfield CIBBER declares that he endeavored to make his version 'more of a play than he found it in Shakespeare', possibly he thought he had, but the wilful public preferred Shakespeare's tragedy as produced at the rival house, Covent Garden, and *Papal Tyranny* sank into dramatic oblivion, where it still deservedly remains Needless to say it did not have the political effect intended by its author.

Nearly sixty years later, in 1800, R VALPY, Head-Master of Reading School, produced an alteration of King John even more drastic than CIBBER'S As had CIBBER, VALPY omitted the whole of the First Act, beginning his play with the scene before Angiers, leading to that between Philip and John apparently was not satisfied with any speech or series of speeches as written by SHAKESPEARE, and, with fool-hardy presumption. therefore rewrote and recast all to conform to a style, which he strangely imagined, was more forceful and impressive As adaptations go, VALPY's may take its place with DAVENANT'S perversion of Macbeth. TAIT's desecration of King Lear, and DRYDEN'S travesty of The Tempest It was prepared for the use of his scholars, and for such a purpose it should have had but a very limited audience, but VALPY was ambitious, and shortly after its performance at Reading School it was produced in London; like Papal Tyranny, it had but a brief career, and has never since been revived.

Shakespeare's King John has, of course, survived both of these attempts upon its dramatic life, but among his English Histories it has never been one of the favorite or stock-plays, such as Henry IV. or Richard III Various are the reasons assigned for this, but chiefly that the titular hero is not the protagonist.

Faulconbridge carries all before him from his first scene, where he at once captivates the King and Queen Elinor, to the final words of the play put in his mouth as the one best typifying the

PREFACE xi

rugged warrior Englishman of the time Critics have not been slow to note the gradual change in his character gart of the early scenes is drawn on the same plan as that of the Faulconbridge of The Troublesome Raigne, and in the older play he maintains practically the same character throughout. It was the intuitive perception of Shakespeare that grasped the dramatic possibilities of such a character and showed how a man of Faulconbridge's temperament attains to full strength and fineness by responsibility placed upon him, and by the confidence of one who trusts him implicitly 'Have thou the ordering of the present time' are almost the last conscious words addressed to Faulconbridge by the King, as he hands over to him the conduct of the campaign against the Dauphin's invasion, and this after Faulconbridge's scathing comment on the King's announcement that Pandulph has offered to make a compromise with the in-Once only can we detect a slight wavering in his allegiance. The dead body of Arthur, found under such suspicious circumstances, almost shakes his faith, and wrings from him the admission that he begins to lose his way amid the thorns and dangers of this world, and that Heaven itself frowns upon the land where such deeds can be committed His righteous indignation is forgotten as he stands beside the dead body of the King; his last words breathed in the dead ears are, that he but stays to avenge the murder, and then his soul shall wait on his benefactor to heaven as it has been but his servant upon earth. In adapting the older play it must have been at once apparent to the Playwright that King John's was not a character which lent itself to dramatic treatment. He was utterly perfidious, a poltroon, and a moral coward without one redeeming feature. Richard, Duke of Gloucester, ruthless and cruel though he was, had at least the saving grace of a grim humor; and his resourcefulness on all occasions excites a dreadful interest in his fate But John was without even these signs of strength, his defiance of the Pope is mere bluster, he cringes abjectly when he is made actually to realize the power of the Church, and accedes to all the conditions, forcing himself to believe that all this was done not on compulsion, but as a voluntary act on his part.

That the full title of this Play in the Folio is misleading cannot be gainsaid. The action, in fact, deals with but a small number of the vicissitudes of John's stormy career as King; and that incident which in later ages was regarded as the bul-

PREFACE

wark of the people against the despotic acts of the crown-Magna Charta—is entirely omitted Shakespeare's reasons for ignoring an episode of such historic interest has been the source of varied speculation and comment The anonymous author of The Troublesome Raigne had before him in the Chronicles a full account of the signing at Runnymede It evidently did not appeal to him as a matter of importance politically, and quite unnecessary dramatically, as his main object was to make hateful to his hearers the acts of the Pope, and with such the Great Charter had had no connection whatever What more natural, then, that what his predecessor had cast aside as extraneous SHAKESPEARE should likewise neglect? It is more than doubtful that Magna Charta, in the days of Elizabeth, was regarded as of any import, and equally certain that the people of that period actually preferred a monarch uncurbed by conditions, who should rule absolutely without recourse to appeals to Church or state. Had Shakespeare accepted the incident of John's signing the Charter for a subject of a part of his drama, it is impossible to believe that we should not have had a scene equally as fine as many in his other historical plays, for example, the scene of Richard's renunciation of the crown to Bolingbroke. I, for one, wish that he had attempted it

The words put by SHAKESPEARE into the mouth of John when defying the Pope are thought to indicate that SHAKESPEARE was merely using King John as a mouthpiece to voice his own opinions as to Papal authority, such sentiments also render doubtful the question whether John Shakespeare was a Romanist or had conformed to the acts first issued by Elizabeth. That there is quite as much to be said in favor of one as the other will be seen by a reference to the notes on III, i, 78, and to the views of various commentators in the article Shakespeare and Roman Catholicism in the Appendix to this volume. I cannot reconcile myself to the opinion that Shakespeare ever made use of his dramatic art for the purpose of instructing, or as a means of enforcing his own views, any more than I believe that his poetic inspiration was dependent on his personal experience.

In conclusion let it be admitted that King John as an acting play is not to be ranked with the greater productions of Shake-speare, but this is not, by any means, to say that it is lacking in dramatic interest. What other playwright has ever produced

the thrilling horror of King John's veiled hints at murder and death in his instigation of Hubert? Where will be found words of grief and despair equalling those of Constance on the loss of Arthur? What moralist could picture a scene of retribution more complete than John's miserable death by poison in the orchard of Swinstead Abbey? These scenes, be it remembered, written by a dramatist not yet thirty-five years old. How incredulous would have been that young playwright had there stood beside his elbow a seer, who in strange words should inform him, as he finished the last ringing lines of his play, that four hundred years from that time those words should still find a responsive echo in the ears of his countrymen. And that he, the humble playwright, and not all the historians, had placed upon King John's unworthy brows the wreath of immortality

It is again my pleasant task to return thanks to the Librarian of the Philadelphia Library, Mr George M Abbot, and his efficient assistants, Mr. Govan and Mr Knoblauch, for unfailing courtesy in response to many demands. Also to Mr H S. Jones for painstaking research in the Libraries of New York and Boston, likewise to Dr. H C Folger and Mr J. Pierpont Morgan for placing at my disposal their unrivalled collections of Folios for purposes of collation.

H. H. F., JR.

June, 1919

Dramatis Personæ

KING JOHN.
Prince Henry, Son to the King.
Arthur, Duke of Bretaign, and Nephew to the King.

2

- I Dramatis Personal First given by Rowe
- 2 KING JOHN] John, King of England Cap Sta
- 3 . Henry, Son Kingl Cam + his Son Coll Wh 1 Henry, his son, afterwards Henry III Cap et cet.

(subs)

4 Bretaign] Britaine Ktly Bretagne Pope et cet
and King] his Nephew Cap
Om Coll Wh 1 son of Geffrey, late

and King] his Nephew Cap Om Coll Wh 1 son of Geffrey, late Duke of Bretagne, the elder brother of King John Mal et cet

- 2 King John] F GENTLEMAN The character of King John, except in two scenes and a few speeches, lies heavy on the actor, who therefore requires great judgement, with deep and strong expression, to assist the author, dignity of person and deportment are also necessary [REED, the Editor of Biographia Dramatica. concludes his article on Gentleman with the following 'He was the author of the Dramatic Censor, and had the discredit of being editor of the worst edition that ever appeared of any English author we mean Shakespeare, as printed by Mr Bell, 1774 '-Gentleman's remarks reflect, however, a certain patronising attitude towards Shakespeare that was unfortunately characteristic of the latter part of eighteenth century criticism, and for that reason-not for their intrinsic value-are they here included -ED]-OECHELHAUSER (Einfuhrungen, 1, 8) concurs with Gentleman, whom he does not, however, quote, that the part of King John is unremunerative for the actor because 'he must endeavor to arouse antipathy instead of sympathy, antagonism and not agreement in the audience, and yet every artistic effort must be exerted to excite an interest in the part, since John must be shown, in the early scenes, endowed with a strength and energy which later degenerate into cowardice and crime'-[Estimates of the character of King John as portrayed by Shakespeare and as given by historians will be found in the Appendix
- 3 Prince Henry] French (p. 5) This Prince was born October 1, 1206, and immediately after his father's death was proclaimed king by the loyal earl of Pembroke, and crowned October 26, 1216, he was therefore only ten years old when he put on 'The lineal state and glory of the land.' [Shakespeare's Henry is, however, a youth of apparently seventeen or eighteen—ED]—Oechelhauser (Einfurungen, 1, 12) The part of Prince Henry may be best represented by a young actress. On account of the importance which this short rôle bears in the closing scene of the play its assignment demands a certain amount of consideration Princely bearing and youthful modesty, together with deep pity for his father's suffering and death, should characterise the part
- 4. Arthur] F GENTLEMAN (ap BELL's ed., p 13). Arthur should be a boy of small size, of tender, insinuating utterance, with sensibility of feeling —KREYSSIG (i, 391) In the delineation of Arthur Shakespeare had a delicate task to discharge, all

[4 Arthur]

the more so since his earlier, masterly portrayal of a situation quite similar must have acted strongly upon his perception With the simple motive of innocence trampled under foot by all the world, here, if anywhere, it would seem that a repetition was unavoidable. Like the sons of Edward, Arthur became, in fresh and sinless youth, the hapless victim of a question of legitimate succession to the crown In the present play, as in Richard III, the tragic conflict lies not in the personality of the sufferer, but in his connection with those around him In both cases there is grave danger of failure to obey that fundamental law of Tragedy which banishes from the realm of æsthetic representation the morally repugnant appearance of wholly unmerited suffering It is, moreover, both remarkable and instructive to see how excellently the poet, avoiding any repetition, has accomplished this seemingly insuperable task in two totally different ways-and it is doubly instructive, since in both cases the material prescribes that the catastrophe be from without, and allows the poet a free hand only in development of character and motive, as well as peculiarities of execution In one as well as in the other both renderings are carried out in a manner as masterly as it is original. The two youths themselves are drawn from a somewhat similar pattern, alike in age, situation, and fate, alike also through a passivity demanded by the circumstances -- Hudson (Life, Art & Characters, etc., 11, 29) As Shakespeare used the allowable heense of art in stretching the life of Constance beyond its actual date, that he might enrich his work with the eloquence of a mother's love, so he took a like freedom in making Arthur younger than the facts prescribed, that he might in larger measure pour in the sweetness of childish innocence and wit Both of these departures from strict historic order are highly judicious, at least they are amply redeemed by the dramatic wealth which comes in fitly through them And in the case of Arthur there is the further gain, that the sparing of his eyes is owing to his potency of tongue and the piercing touch of gentleness, whereas in the history he is indebted for this to his strength of arm 'The Arthur of the play is an artless, gentle, natural-hearted, but high-spirited, eloquent boy, in whom we have the voice of nature pleading for nature's rights, unrestrained by pride of character or place, who at first braves his uncle, because set on to do so by his mother, and afterwards fears him, yet knows not why, because his heart is too full of the 'holiness of youth' to conceive how anything so treacherous and unnatural can be, as that which he fears And he not only has a most tender and loving disposition, such as cruelty itself can hardly resist, but is also persuasive and wise far beyond his years, though his power of thought and magic of speech are so managed as rather to aid the impression of his childish age Observe, too, how in the scene with Hubert [IV, i] his very terror operates in him a sort of preternatural illumination, and inspires him to a course of innocent and unconscious cunning,—the perfect art of perfect artlessness . . . Shakespeare has several times thrown the witchery of his genius into pictures of nursery life, bringing children upon the scene, and delighting us with their innocent archness and sweet-witted prattle, as in the case of Mamilius in The Winter's Tale, and of Lady Macduff and her son, but Arthur is his most charming piece in that line. That his great, simple, manly heart loved to play with childhood is indeed evident enough. Nor is it the least of his claims to our reverence, as an organ of Nature's bland and benignant wisdom -Boas (Sh and His Predecessors, p. 246) compares, as does Kreyssig, the situation of the young Princes in Richard III with that of Arthur 'The nephews of Richard,' says Boas, 'were marked

5

Pembroke,	
Essex,	
Salisbury,	English Lords.
Hubert,	_
Bigot,	

9

- 6 Essex] Rowe, +, Cap Varr Earl of Essex, Jeffrey Fitzpeter, Chief Justice of England Ran Geffrey Fitz-Peter, Earl of Essex Coll Geffrey Fitz-Peter, Earl of Essex, Chief Justiciary of England Mal et cet
- 7 Salisbury] Rowe,+, Cap Varr Earl of Salisbury, William Longsword, son to Henry II by Rosamund Chifford Ran Earl of Salisbury Cam +. William Longsword, Earl of Salisbury Mal et cet
- 8 Hubert] Pope, Theob Warb. Johns Hubert, an English Gentleman Han Hubert, Confidant of K. John Cap Hubert de Burgh Cam + Hubert de Burgh, Chamberlain to the King Mal et cet
- 9 Bigot Pope,+, Cap Varr Mal. Bigot, Roger, Earl of Norfolk and Suffolk Ran Hal The Lord Bigot Cam. + Robert, Bigot, Earl of Norfolk Mal et cet

by an ability and spirit beyond their years, and the elder bore himself with a true touch of regal dignity. Arthur is of an essentially different nature. He is a saintly, gentle child, without a touch of worldly ambition . . Arthur escapes the cruel doom of blinding, but we feel instinctively that he is one of the saintly creatures who are not long for this world. Thus Shakespeare showed his usual fine tact in choosing the tradition which represented him as perishing in an attempt to leap from his prison walls?

5 Pembrokel 'William Marshal, Lord Marshal of England, was created Earl of Pembroke by King John in 1201, and on the accession of Henry III (then only ten years of age) was declared protector of the realm Upon coming into power he was fortunate enough to appease the minds of the discontented people, and took the sensible measure of republishing, at this critical functure, the Magna Charta, in Henry's name After several engagements, he succeeded in driving the French out of England, and thus restored peace to his distracted country, which had long been torn by faction, the unhappy result of John's pusillanimous reign Pembroke survived not long the pacification which had been chiefly owing to his wisdom and valour, he died in 1210, lamented by the whole kingdom This steady and gallant patriot, who saved his country from a foreign yoke, was buried in the Temple Church, in London, where his effigy is still to be seen, clothed in mail, in the centre of the group of antique tombs' (Hist Dramas of Sh Illustrated, i, 78) —FRENCH (p 7) William Marshall obtained the title of Pembroke through his marriage with the great heiress Isabel de Clare, daughter of the potent earl Richard Strong-bow; were, in succession, lords marshal and Earls of Pemand his five sons by her The noble in this play did not fall away, as therein implied, to the French interest, on the contrary, he remained faithful to King John . His eldest son, of the same name, one of the twenty-five Barons who obtained Magna Charta from John, was among the nobles who joined the Dauphin, and hence the mistake of the poet. [Shakespeare is, however, not singular in this error, as the anonymous author of the older play, The Troublesome Rangne of John, which he closely follows, has made Pembroke the spokesman for the revolting nobles See Appendix: Troublesome Raigne, Part 2' I, 111, p 519 -ED]-MISS NORGATE (p. 177, foot-

[5 Pembroke]

note) John, who in his prosperous days made almost a parade of disbelief in William's loyalty, and delighted in straining it to the uttermost by saying and doing everything he could think of to insult and provoke William, nevertheless knew well that in moments of peril William was the one counsellor to whose disinterestedness he could safely trust, the one follower on whom he could count unreservedly, the one friend whom he could not do without

7 Salisbury Steevens Son to King Henry II by Rosamund Clifford -WRIGHT If the play were historical, Salisbury would be William Longsword, Earl of Salisbury, son of Henry II and Fair Rosamund But in the old Play he is called 'Thomas Plantagenet, Earle of Salisburie' Thomas Plantagenet was, however, not Earl of Salisbury, he was simply entitled Thomas of Lancaster -FRENCH (p 8) [William Long-sword's half-brother, Richard I,] had bestowed upon him the hand of a great heiress, Ela, daughter of William de Evereux, Earl of Salisbury, to which title Long-sword succeeded at the death of his father-in-law In the beginning of John's reign he was Sheriff of Wilts, and Warden of the Welch Marches, and he was one of the King's securities for the observance of Magna With other peers Salisbury joined the army of the Dauphin, but on the accession of Henry III returned to his allegiance He afterwards served with distinction in the Holy Land, and died on his return thence in 1226 Sir Walter Scott, in his delightful Tale of the Crusaders, The Talisman, introduces William Long-sword as one of the companions of Cœur-de-Lion in Palestine -[In a review of French's volume in the Herald and Geneologist, July 1870, the anonymous reviewer remarks 'Mr French is not quite accurate Ela's father was not surnamed de Evereux, nor was it until after her father's death that she was bestowed with her earldom upon William Longespee '-This is, however, a point of historic interest only, as the wife of Salisbury is not included among the characters in the present play —ED]—H. T. HALL (p. 152) [As portraved by Shakespeare] Salisbury is a purely natural man, strong in love, a true friend, an excellent neighbour. but no politician Lacking politics, Salisbury does not attract much attention until the close of the history He is a man of feeling, not of reasoning powers, and by his feelings he is mostly actuated and directed —Kreyssig (i, 395) In contrast to the two kings, to the Dauphin and to the Legate, this upright, honourable soul stands like Nature in comparison to a degenerate painting, Nature in her purity, but certainly also with her narrowness. The difference between the ideal, inviolable king and the chance unworthy possessor of the sublime position is too delicate for him. His righteous anger at the murder of an innocent child recognises in the voice of fate the inclination of the heart, and persuades him that, under the banner of France, he is following not the destroyer of his country, but the avenger of innocence wronged But this cosmopolitan virtue finds no favor in the eyes of the English poet No bitter, painful consequence of his action, as beautifully human as politically blamable, will be spared Salisbury, that the spectator may learn that, fundamentally, the purest humanity becomes an empty phrase if it be not founded upon positive love of country. [See V. II. 11-42]

8. Hubert] Courtenay (1, 26): We now regard Hubert de Burgh as the very essence of nobility, but, although at a later period of his life he was an eminent member of the aristocracy, he was, I believe, the artificer of his own fortune, and had not at this time attained the dignity of the peerage, though he had held important offices under the King According to Dugdale (Bar, 1, 693) he was nephew

[8 Hubert]

to William Fitz Adelm, a favorite and servant of Henry II, and ancestor to the Earls of Clanricarde He was himself created Earl of Kent by Henry III in the 13th year of his reign, and in that reign, though sometimes in much favour with the king, he was repeatedly charged, both by king and nobles, with crimes of all sorts. political and personal These occurrences may have been the original foundation for the jealousy and contempt of Hubert, which the play ascribes to the peers -FRENCH (D 0) There is nothing in the play to denote the proper rank of this celebrated person, who was of lofty lineage, and a noble of distinguished ability and great power He was descended from Charlemagne , and his more immediate ancestor was Robert, Earl of Montaigne and Cornwall By King John he was made Lord Chamberlain. Warden of the Welch Marches. Sheriff of five counties. Seneschal of Poitou, and Governor of several castles He sided with John in his contest with the Barons, and was one of his securities to the Great Charter, and on the day that it was signed at Runny-mead he was made justiciary of England. afterwards loaded with many honours and important posts, among them having the custody of Dover Castle This key to the kingdom was defended by Hubert de Burgh with only 140 soldiers for four months against all the efforts of the French to take it, and when the Poet makes Faulconbridge say, 'All Kent hath yielded, nothing there holds out But Dover Castle,' [IV, i, 33], it should be borne in mind who was the castellan by whom it was so well guarded [The Hubert de Burgh of history was undoubtedly the intrepid defender of Dover Castle, but the Hubert of Shakespeare's creation was occupied far otherwise as messenger between King John and the disaffected peers during those important military operations (See IV, ii, ui) -Ep]-Anon. (Herald and Genealogist, July, 1870, p 316) In Hubert, the compassionate jailor of the lovely Prince Arthur, we have evidently a name derived from the great justiciary, Hubert de Burgh, Earl of Kent In the Dramatis Persona Hubert is classed, accordingly, among the Lords of the English court; but the fact that Shakespeare himself regarded him very differently is proved by the altercation in IV. 111, 86-02, where Hubert tells the Earl of Salisbury that he was provoked by the Earl's behaviour to forget 'Your worth, your greatness, and nobility', and the Lord Bigot, a by-stander, exclaims 'Out, dunghill' darest thou brave a nobleman?' Now, admitting that Hubert is identical with Hubert de Burgh, there could not be a stronger example of Shakespeare's deficiency in genealogical lore, masmuch as Hubert de Burgh was descended in the male line from the Emperor Charlemagne, and his own marriages were with royal houses, whilst he was justiciary of England in the reign of John, and Earl of Kent in the next reign -OECHELHAUSER (Einfuhrungen, etc., 1, 12) The character of Hubert seems at first misanthropic, and capable of the commission of a gruesome deed. He interprets at once John's murderous hints and goes with determined mien upon his dreadful errand. At the same time he should not be represented as a typical villain since otherwise the sudden change to softer and more humane impulses will seem unnatural, but he should be shown rather as an embittered man, one who sees himself, on account of a repulsive exterior, misjudged by the world In such men a misanthropic, cruel disposition is easily developed, which incites them to sinful deeds in order that they may thus be revenged upon mankind. On the other hand, such natures are quite as strongly influenced if one approaches them in a friendly, kindly manner. Thus Hubert's temptation is facilitated by the hypocritical, fulsome flatteries of King John, while later the innocent, touching appeals of Arthur To Faulconbridge First Pope, +, Var '78, '85 Philip, his bastard Brother, begotten by K Richard Cap Philip Faulcombridge, his half-brother Coll Falconbridge First Dyce, Hal Huds n, Words Philip, the bastard, his half-brother Cam + Philip Faulconbridge, his half-brother First Mal et cet

10 the First] the First, afterwards knighted by the name of Sir Richard Plantaganet Han

lead him the more easily to the path of humanity, wherein from that point on he remains. In his whole development I cannot detect any psychological inconsistency, although Hubert protests too much in saying 'Within this bosom, never entered yet. The dreadful motion of a murderous thought'—[IV, 11, 265]. The blinding of Arthur was even worse than murder, granting that the implied intention be taken for the actual deed. He wished actually to commit a crime, but he could not. A better nature lived concealed in him beneath a repulsive exterior, as he himself tells the king. To portray his conversion, and its accompanying inward struggle, in Act IV, Scene 1, as well as his grief over Arthur's death demands a capital actor, wherefore this rôle should be entrusted only to a character-actor of the first rank. Hubert should be represented as a man between fifty and sixty, of plebeian bearing, with dark, baleful features and hoarse, rough voice. His innermost thoughts must be reflected in his looks.

9 Bigot] French (p 9) This baron has almost always been incorrectly called Robert Bigot, but history does not record any Earl of Norfolk, of the family, who bore that Christian name The first of this family, Roger Bigot, came over with the Conqueror, and was rewarded with numerous lordships in Essex and Suffolk His son, Hugh Bigot, was steward to King Stephan, who gave him the Earldom of Norfolk, which was confirmed to him by Henry II He died in the Holy Land in 1177, leaving by his wife, Juhana, daughter of Alberic de Vere, his eldest son, Roger Bigot, second Earl of Norfolk, the personage in this play He enjoyed the favour of Richard I, but was one of the twenty-five Barons against King John

10 Faulconbridge] STEEVENS Though Shakespeare adopted this character of Philip Faulconbridge from the old play [The Troublesome Raigne] it is not improper to mention that it is compounded of two distinct personages Matthew Paris says. 'Sub illius temporis curriculo, Falcasius de Brente, Neusteriensis, et spurius ex parte matris, atque Bastardus, qui in vili jumento manticato ad Regis paulo ante chentelam descenderat,' &c , [ed Luard, 111, 88] Paris, in his History of the Monks of St Albans, calls him Falce, but in his General History, Falcasius de Brente, as above. Holinshed says that 'Richard I. had a natural son named Philip, who, in the year following, killed the viscount de Limoges to revenge the death of his father' [This assertion by Steevens, that Shakespeare's Faulconbridge is compounded of two distinct characters mentioned in widely separated passages by two chroniclers, has been accepted heretofore without question. Steevens was doubtless influenced only by the slight similarity in the two names, nevertheless, even at the risk of being accused of presumption, I must say that I regard any such deduction as open to grave objection. Falcasius de Breauté, not de Brente, as Steevens gives it, was a man of evil reputation during the reigns of John and Henry III 'He was a man of great courage but of savage and cruel nature, and was chosen by King John to be Warden of the Welch Marches On one occa-

[10 Faulconbridge]

sion he pillaged the town of St Albans and exacted a large sum of money from the Abbot, later he was employed by John in his raid upon the Barons, and, having taken Bedford Castle, John, through fear of him, gave it over to Falcasius His name was among those proscribed for banishment in Magna Charta In the reign of Henry. for various offences, he was besieged in Bedford Castle by the outraged barons in 1224, it was taken and, though he escaped, the castle was razed to the ground His delayed sentence of banishment was put into effect and three years later, in 1227, he died in exile The passage quoted by Steevens is an addition by Matthew Paris to Roger of Wendover's account of the siege of Bedford Castle, and the King therein referred to is Henry III, not John, Giles translates it thus 'About this time there was one Faulkes de Breaute, a native of Normandy, a bastard by his mother's side, who had lately come on a scurvy horse, with a pad on his back, to enter the King's service' (vol 11, p 454) As far as can be determined by an examination of the various passages in which Falcasius is mentioned in Wendover and in Paris, this is the only one wherein he is called Falcasius de Brente, and Luard, in his careful edition of Paris's Chronica Majora, prepared for the Rolls Series of English Chronicles, uniformly gives the name throughout his Index as Fawkes de It is reasonable to conjecture that as he was illegitimate he received this name from the district in Normandy whence he came, and this is slightly corroborated by the fact that there is a small town, Bréauté, in the district of Caux This is, however, a minor point and is pure surmise on my part, that which is more important is, whence arose the changes in his name from Brenté, as given by Paris, Brenté, as it appears in the quotation by Steevens, and Breauté, as given by Luard? At first sight the simplest solution would seem to lie in a confusion of the written n and u, but curiously enough Fuller, in his Worthies, among those of Middlesex says 'Falcatius, or Falke de Brent, was a Middlesex-man by his nativity, whose family so flourished therein in former ages (remaining in a meaner condition to this day) that an antiquary [Norden] will have the rivulet Brent, which denominateth Brentford, so named from them, which is preposterous in my opinion, beheving them rather named from the rivulet' (ed Nuttall, vol 11, p 321) Fuller then gives the history of Falcasius as related by Paris, in another passage (vol 1, p. 137) he calls him Falco or Falkerius de Breantee, and again the confusion between n and u confronts us—Breauté, Breantee (the é of the French name We seem to have wandered far from Shakespeare will account for the ee) and Faulconbridge in this discussion, but the question is not as irrelevant as, at first sight, it appears, and I should not have gone so fully into the mere spelling of the name were it not that both Lloyd, in his Critical Essay on King John, and FRENCH, in his Shakspeareana Genealogica, have adopted Steevens's suggestion that Falcasius de Brente was the prototype of Faulconbridge, neither, be it said, referring to Steevens as their authority I fear that Lloyd has, however, read both Wendover and Paris to but little advantage—he admits that his examination has been cursory—when he says of Falcasius that 'he was a great figure for good or ill, but ever for energy as servant of King John ' Both historians are singularly reticent as to any good actions, and equally in agreement as to his evil deeds robber,' 'iniquitous thief,' 'traitor' are but a few of the epithets applied to Falcasius. The passage which Lloyd quotes from Paris refers to John's appointment of Fawkes to the Wardenship of the Welch Marches, and is-like that given by Steevens—an addition by Paris to Wendover's account of the year 1212. Later,

[10 Faulconbridge]

it is quite true, John made use of Fawkes in his expedition against the Barons, but Paris distinctly says that John through fear of Fawkes was quite under his domi-Few, I think, will agree with Lloyd that from Fawkes de Brente to Faulconbridge is an easy transition, yet, as has been said, this slight similarity in sound suggested this to Steevens and to Lloyd, coupled with the fact that Fawkes and Philip were bastards, and both on one occasion plundered an Abbey other hand, there is not the slightest similarity in their characters of bearing and intense love of king and country shown both by the Philip of the older play and the Faulconbridge of Shakespeare are quite lacking in the reprehensible robber Fawkes de Breauté or de Brenté This question of the exact spelling of the name is one which I must leave for some student of history to decide, and it is to be regretted that French, whose volume on the historical characters in Shakespeare's plays is such a valuable contribution to the subject, should not have thrown a little more light on this puzzling question Foulke de Breante is the name which French assigns to the prototype of Faulconbridge, accepting without question the conclusions of Steevens and Lloyd On the authority of Sir Nicholas Harris Nicolas, French says that Foulke de Breante was a baron by tenure, one of the managers and disposers in King John's will, and also one of the noble persons named in the first great charter of Henry III. But all this merely tells us more in regard to Falcasius or Foulke, it has not given us any more valid reasons for identifying him with Faulconbridge We must have grounds more relative than any so far presented -Moberly, in a note on the first appearance of the name in the text, says that it is 'the anglicized form of "Falkenberg," much as "Bridgwater" is a corruption of "Burgh Walter" The family is not the same as that of Lord Fauconberg, Cromwell's son-in-law, which belonged to the North Riding of Yorkshire, and had the family name of Bellasys' Again he says (Introd, p x1) 'Of the Faulconbridges of that time [the thirteenth century], one is recorded as having lost his estates for rebellion against King John, but having been restored by Henry III Another may perhaps be the "Falco" of whom we read as "ravening like a lion" during John's expedition to Yorkshire Dugdale has no record of the time when the family settled in England '-I regret that I am unable to identify Moberly's reference to the Faulconbridge who lost his estates in the time of King John, that name does not appear in the pages of Wendover, Paris, or Hohnshed, but-surgit amore aliquid-can it be that the arch-traitor, free-booter, and villain, Falcasius de Breauté, is once more obtruding his unwelcome presence in borrowed robes? There is, however, a Eustachius de Faulconbridge mentioned by Stow (Survay of London, ed 1618, p 904) in that part of his work treating of the Spiritual Government under the year 1221, and Stow quotes Paris as his authority for calling Faulconbridge Treasurer of the Exchequor, in 1223 he was elevated to the see of London and-here is a curious coincidence-Stow says that Falcatius de Brent was delivered to the custody of Faulconbridge in 1224 Does not this somewhat mulitate against the suggestion that the name Faulconbridge is one formed from Falco de Brente or, rather, that one name suggested the other? Camden (Remains, p 174) also alludes to this preferment of Eustachius from Treasurer to Bishop, and the name, in the margin, is there printed 'de Fauconberge'a corroboration, if one be needed, of Moberly's derivation of the name.-The original note by Steevens has, I fear, been submerged beneath this sea of historical data, let us return, therefore, to that point As regards his other quotation Steevens

ΙI

Robert Faulconbridge, suppos'd Brother to the Bastard.

11. suppos'd Bastard] Pope,+ half bastard Var '78, '85. Son of a Sir Robert Faulconbridge Cap et cet (Falconbridge Dyce, Hal Huds 11, Words)

is quite correct in saying that Holinshed gives the name of Philip to Richard. Cœur de Lion's illegitimate son, the passage which Steevens quotes, in part, reads thus 'The same yere [1199] Philip, bastard sonne to King Richard, to whome his father had given the Castell and honor of Coinacke, killed the vicount of Limoges, in revenge of his father's death' (ed 1585, vol 111, p 160, col b) -MALONE quotes a passage from the continuation of Hardyng's Chronicle, 'One Faulconbridge, therle of Kent, his bastarde, a stoute-hearted man' (fol 24, b ad ann, 1472), and suggests tentatively that this induced the author of the Troublesome Raigne 'to affix the name of Faulconbridge to King Richard's natural son' He adds 'Who the mother of Philip was is not ascertained It is said that she was a lady of Poictou, and that King Richard bestowed upon her son a lordship in that Province In expanding the character of the Bastard Shakespeare seems to have proceeded on the following slight hint in the original play "Next them, a bastard of the King's deceased, A hardie wild-head, rough, and venturous "'-STAUNTON considers that the latter part of this note by Malone has too long 'How far this statement is justifiable,' he adds, 'let passed unchallanged the reader determine after perusing only a few extracts from the earlier . . We miss in the original the keen but sportive wit, the exuberant vivacity, the shrewd worldliness, and the military genius of Shakespeare's Bastard, but his arch-type in the old piece was the work of no mean hand' -Malone's quotation from Grafton-although it refers to a later period-is certainly more to the purpose than all the passages from Paris and Wendover in regard to Foulke de Breauté or Falcasius de Brente, since it does not necessitate any violent change either in sound or spelling We must not, however, lose sight of the fact that the mere question of the name or its invention is of but slight importance as regards Shakespeare's Faulconbridge, that name he found ready to his hand in the older play; but how the unknown author obtained it can be answered only by one far abler than the present ED

II Robert Faulconbridge] MARSHALL (Irving's Sh, 111, 200) In the old play Look About You, 1600, the husband of Lady Fauconbridge is called Sir Richard Fauconbridge That play deals very fully with the intrigue between Prince Richard and Lady Faulconbridge, so that probably there was some story or tradition on the point of which the author of Look About You and the author of the Troublesome Raigne of John both made use [Inasmuch as there is a period of over ten years between The Troublesome Raigne and Look About You it is probable that the author of the latter comedy made use of certain characters from his predecessor's work The style and method of Look About You clearly show it to belong to a date close to its first appearance in print, 1600 —Creizenach (p. 185) calls attention to the fact that Lady Fauconbridge is therein represented as the sister of the duke of Gloster, the hero of the piece, and that 'Robin Hood bears a promment part in the intrigue between Richard and the Lady '-This is, however, Robin's only appearance in such a character Neither Ritson nor Child in their exhaustive collections of the Ballads and Legends dealing with the exploits of that Famous Hero-though they refer to this comedy-furnish any source for such James Gurney, Servant to the Lady Faulconbridge.
Peter of Pomfret, a Prophet
Philip, King of France
Lewis, the Dauphin.
Arch-Duke of Austria.

16

12

13 Prophet | Prophesier Cap supposed prophet Sta

15 Lewis, the Dauphin] Dauphin, his Son, afterwards Lewis VIII Cap

Louis, Dyce, Wh 1, Words Lewis, the Dolphin Ktly 16 Arch-Duke] Duke Cap Lymoges Duke Cam Neils

an episode other than the imagination of the anonymous author of Look About You —ED]

- 12 James Gurney] MALONE Our author tound this name in perusing the history of King John, who not long before his victory at Mirabeau, over the French, headed by young Arthur, seized the lands and castle of Hugh Gorney, near Butevant, in Normandy—WRIGHT It is more probable that the name Gurney or Gourney was a familiar one to Shakespeare.
- 14. Philip, King of France] 'Philip II (surnamed Augustus) ascended the throne of his father in the year 1189, and in the fifteenth year of his age He soon gave proofs of consummate judgment, for, by his prudence, he dissolved a powerful league which had been formed among some of the greatest princes of France He was religious, but his mind was not enfeebled by In his twenty-fifth year he made a league with Richard I of England, founded on the most firm and cordial friendship. Those two young and warlike monarchs, inflamed with the enthusiasm of the times, resolved to make an expedition, with their united forces, to the Holy-Land, and set sail together, but some dissension having arisen between them at Sicily, it increased to a mutual distrust . . [King Philip died at Mantes] on the 25th of July, 1223, in the 58th year of his age and the 44th of his reign. He was a well-made man, but had a defect in one of his eyes Laborious and active, undertaking nothing without deliberation, but executing what he had undertaken with celerity and ardour, he was, therefore, generally successful, and was honoured by his first historians with the surname of the Conqueror, which has been changed to the more elegant appellation of Augustus' (Sh. Illustrated, i, 83)
- 15 Lewis, the Dauphin] FRENCH (p 15, foot-note) Perhaps it is too early to assign the title of 'Dauphin' to the eldest son of a French monarch at this date, as it is generally understood that it came in the next century on this wise. Humbert III, the Count-Dauphin of the Viennois, about the year 1345 bequeathed or ceded his territory to Philip of Valois [Philip VI.] on condition of his, eldest son taking the title of Dauphin and the arms of the province. The style had been first assumed carca 1140 by Guy IV, Count of the Viennois, who took the dolphin for his arms from the name of the province, Dauphiny. Philip, son of Philip of Valois, is believed to be the first prince who bore the style and arms of the Dolphin, as he was called, or Delphinus
- 16 Arch-Duke of Austria] See note, III, 1, 44 OECHELHAUSER (Einführungen, i, 22). The Archduke of Austria is a character which the Poet found in the older play ... Decked out in the historic hon's skin of Richard he is from the crown of his head to the sole of his foot a cowardly poltroon, in whom there is not a spark of

17 Pandulpho] Pope Card or Cardinal Pandulpho Theob+, Varr Mal Steev Pandulph Cap Sta Cardinal Pandulph Var '03 et cet

manliness or honourable feeling Faulconbridge seems, the Archduke is, a swaggerer, the words of the former are completely concealed by his acts, with the latter it is all empty sound. The contemptuous remarks of the Bastard, who, at the first sight of him, as the murderer of his father makes him his butt, affect him as little as the still sharper tongue-lashings of Constance. By-play forms a very important part of the task of both Faulconbridge and Austria in both the scenes Act II, scene 1, and Act III, scene 1. Although the whole figure of this vain poltroon is drawn in a comic style, yet the comic objective must not go beyond the bounds of ignominious derision, and assuredly it should not go so far as to make of Austria an utter clown, as one often sees on the German stage and always on the English, such was surely never the intention of the poet. Dress, manners, bearing, features, all must work naturally together, to give this figure its characteristic make-up, self-satisfied vanity particularly reflects itself in a sweet, weak smile which is constantly upon his lips

17 Pandulpho] DAVIES (Dram Miscellanies, i, 39) The character of Pandulph has not, as yet, been represented with that air of dignity and importance which it demands Macklin, whose skill in acting is acknowledged to be superior to that of any man, who is the best teacher of the art, and is still, at a very advanced age, a powerful comedian as well as a good comic writer, should have refused this part, neither his person, voice, action, or deportment conveyed any idea of a great delegate from the head of the church, the spiritual monarch of Christendom Quin, who was present at the revival of King John at Drury Lane, said Macklin was like a Cardinal who had been formerly a parish clerk And yet, it must be owned, Macklin understood the logic of the part, if I may be allowed the expression, better than anybody But the man who presumes to control the will of mighty monarchs should have a person which bespeaks authority, a look commanding respect, graceful action, and majestic deportment But Colley Cibber's Pandulph was less agreeable to an audience than Macklin's, the voice of the latter, though rough, was audible The former's pipe was ever powerless, and now, through old age, so weak that his words were rendered inarticulate. His manner of speaking was much applauded by some, and by others as greatly disliked, in the Pope's Legate, as in most of his tragic characters The unnatural swelling of his words displeased all who preferred natural elocution to artificial cadence The old man was continually advising Mrs Pritchard, who acted Lady Constance, to tone her words, but she, by obeying her own feelings and listening to her own judgement, gained approbation and applause, which was not the case with his son, Theophilus, who acted the Dauphin, and Mrs Bellamy, who played Lady Blanch They, by conforming to their director's precepts, were most severely exploded. But Colley's deportment was, I think, as disgusting as his utterance He affected a stately, magnificent tread, a supercilious aspect, with lofty and extravagant action, which he displayed by waving up and down a roll of parchment in his right hand, in short, his whole behaviour was so starchly studied that it appeared eminently insignificant, and more resembling his own Lord Foppington than a great and dignified churchman. [The part of Pandulph in Shakespeare's King John is not given in Melun, a French Lord Chattilion, Ambassador from France to King John. Elinor, Queen-Mother of England.

20

18

18 Melun] Meloone Ktly
19 Chathlion] Cam + Chathlion
Pope, Theob Han Warb Chathlion
Var '73, Ktly Chathlion Johns. et
cet

20 Queen-Mother of England] Pope, +, Varr Mother to King John Cam + Widow of Henry II Del Widow of Henry II and Mother of King John Mal et cet

Genest's list of characters acted by Colley Cibber The foregoing acrimonious criticism by Davies refers to Cibber's performance of the character in his own alteration of Shakespeare's play, entitled Papal Tyranny in the Reign of King John, which was produced at Covent Garden, February 15, 1745, at the close of Cibber's After the tenth performance on February 26th Cibber retired from the Stage For an account of Cibber's Adaptation, see Appendix—ED]—H T HALL (Sh Fly-Leaves, p 180) The character of Cardinal Pandulph is not only essentially true in its relation to humanity, but it is also true to history The Annals of the Monastery of Burton, recently published, show how thoroughly correct Shakespeare is in his delineation of this papal prelate. Haughty and arrogant, the result of his vanity and the office which he held, Shakespeare fails not to pourtray these features of his character, and he justly puts in his mouth language by which the desires of the dictatorial priest are fully developed, language which cannot fail to awaken in a discerning and patriotic audience an intense disgust and hatred of papal pride and papal intolerance -CALVERT (p 141) An important character in the play of King John is Cardinal Pandulph, the Pope's legate. At that period papal power was paramount. Of Pandulph Shakespeare avails himself to represent a typical priest, that is, a man who assumes that he is empowered by Heaven to be the exclusive infallible expounder and interpreter of heavenly things, to guide and rule the spirituality of other men,—an assumption which, concentrating in itself the guilt of usurpation with the iniquity of despotism, is a blasphemy towards God and an offense and an insult to man -Deighton (Introd, p xxv1) Pandulph is a hard, unlovely character, but he is what his profession made him, and we cannot altogether refuse a kind of admiration to the stern consistency of purpose with which, in the service of the church, he sweeps away all obstacles, even though among his weapons unblushing casuistry and chicane are those most frequently used

19. Chattilion] For the accentuation of this name, see note I, 1, 6

20. Elmor] Mrs Jameson (11, 233) Elmor of Guienne and Blanche of Castile, who form part of the group around Constance, are sketches merely, but they are strictly historical portraits, and full of truth and spirit. At the period when Shakespeare has brought these three women on the scene together, Elmor of Guienne (the daughter of the last Duke of Guienne and Aquitaine, and like Constance, the heiress of a sovereign dutchy) was near the close of her long, various, and unquiet life—she was nearly seventy, and as in early youth her violent passions had overborne both principle and policy, so in her old age we see the same character only modified by time—her strong intellect and love of power, unbridled by conscience or principle, surviving when other passions were extinguished, and rendered more dangerous by a degree of subtlety and self-command to which her youth had

been a stranger —STUBBS (Preface to Historical Collections of Walter of Coventry, vol 11, p xxv111) Few women have had less justice done them in history than Eleanor I do not speak of her moral qualities although probably her faults have been exaggerated, she can hardly be said to shine as a virtuous woman or a good wife, but of her remarkable political power and her great influence not only in her husband's states, but in Europe generally, of her great energy, not less conspicuous than her husband's, both in early youth and in extreme old age, there can be no question In an age of short-lived heroes one scarcely realises the length of her adventurous life or the great area of her wanderings Fifty years before this [the first year of John's reign] she had gone on crusade, and by her undisguised flirtations had spread confusion and dismay and discord in the noblest host that ever went to the East Her divorce [in 1154] had overthrown the balance of power in two kingdoms, producing in one of them a disruption which it required four hundred years of warfare to remedy Her quarrel with her second husband [Henry II] long retarded the reforming schemes of his great administrative genius, and consigned her to fourteen years of captivity. Yet those fourteen years appear but a short period in her long life. Henry's death brought her from prison to supreme As Richard's representative in England she repressed the ambition of John and thwarted the designs of Philip, she found time and strength at seventy to journey to Messina with a wife for her son, to Rome on an embassy, and to Germany with the ransom that her energy had helped to accumulate After a few years of rest she is again on foot at Richard's death To her inspiration John owed his throne, her influence excluded, no doubt, the unhappy, misguided Arthur, she herself took command of the forces that reduced his friends in Anjou to submission, she travelled to Spain to fetch the grand-daughter whose marriage was to be a pledge of peace between France and England She outlived, it would seem, the grandchild who had outraged her She hved long enough to see Philip's first attacks on Normandy, from her death-bed she was writing to the barons to keep them in their allegiance, and her death at the age of eighty-two was followed by the subversion of all the continental projects of her husband But her own dominions in great part remained to her son's son, as if her mighty shade were able to defend them at least from the hated offspring of Lewis VII [For a more complete study of the historic character of Elinor, see Miss Strickland's Queens of England, vol. i, pp. 287-358, and vol 11, pp 1-69-ED]

21. Constance F Gentleman (ap Bell's ed, p 14) Constance should be an amiable appearance, possessed of features to describe settled sorrow and wild despair, with notes of voice answerable to such affecting sensations—Corson (p. 165) The Play [of King John] on its political side quite ignores the facts of history So, on the personal side, there is an ignoring, to a greater or less degree, of the characters as represented by history of some of the dramatis persona; and this is especially so in the case of Constance and Arthur, who must be estimated independently of history and almost as purely fictitious. We must not inquire of history what manner of woman Constance was—we must consider exclusively what she is in the play. And the same may be said of Arthur. Again, as I read the play, I see a purpose throughout to intensify the injustice, and crime, and baseness of John's usurpation through the characters given to Constance and Arthur.

Blanch, Daughter to Alphonso, King of Castile, and	22
Niece to King John.	
Lady Faulconbridge, Mother to the Bastard and	
Robert Faulconbridge	25
Citizens of Angiers, Heralds, Executioners, Messengers,	
Soldiers, and other Attendants.	
The Scene, sometimes in England, and sometimes in	
France.	29

22 Daughter and of Spain, Cam
[Capell adds an Officer under
Hubert, a Servant
26, 27 Citizens Soldiers] Lords, Ladies, and divers other Attendants

Sheriff, Heralds, Officers, Soldiers, Massengers, Mal et seq
28, 29 The France] Scene dispers'd,
in England and France Cap Om.
Sta

22 Blanch Mrs Jameson (11, 236) Blanche of Castile was the daughter of Alphonso IX of Castile and the grand-daughter of Elinor At the time that she is introduced into the drama she was about fifteen, and her marriage with Louis VIII, then Dauphin, took place in the abrupt manner here represented It is not often that political marriages have the same happy result. We are told by the historians of that time that from the moment Louis and Blanche met they were inspired by a mutual passion, and that during a union of more than twentysix years they were never known to differ, nor even spent more than a single day There cannot be a greater contrast than between the acute understanding, the steady temper, and the cool intriguing policy of Blanche, by which she succeeded in disuniting and defeating the powers arrayed against her and her infant son, and the rash confiding temper and susceptible imagination of Constance, which rendered herself and her son easy victims to the fraud or ambition of others Blanche, during forty years, held in her hands the destinies of the greater part of Europe, and is one of the most celebrated names recorded in history—but in what does she survive to us except in a name? Nor history, nor fame, though 'trumpet-tongued,' could do for her what Shakespeare and poetry have done for Constance The earthly reign of Blanche is over, her sceptre broken, and her power departed. When will the reign of Constance cease? When will her power depart? Not while this world is a world, and there exist in it human souls to kindle at the touch of genius, and human hearts to throb with human sympathies!

The life and death of King Iohn.

Actus Primus, Scana Prima.

Enter King Iohn, Queene Elinor, Pembroke, Esfex, and Sahsbury, with the Chattylion of France.

King Iohn.

5



Ow fay Chatillion, what would France with vs?

Chat Thus (after greeting) speakes the King of France.

7

2 Actus Primus, Scæna Prima] Act I Scene i Rowe

The Court of England Pope,+ King John's Palace Cam + Northampton A Room of State in the Palace Cap et cet

3 Enter Elinor, Enter King John, attended, Elinor, the Queen-Mother; Cap King John discovered upon a throng Rell Kemble (subs)

Cap King John discovered upon a throne Bell, Kemble (subs)
3, 4 and Salisbury Salisbury, and

Others Cap Mal et seq 4 with the Chattylion of Francel Ff. with Chattilion Rowe with Chattlion Pope, Theob Han Warb King takes his State, Enter Chatillion, usher'd Cap with Chatillion Var '73, Ktly with Chatillion Johns et cet

6 Now fay] Ff Now say, Rowe,+, Knt, Fleay Now, say, Cap et cet.

Chatillion] F₂, Cap. Var '73, Ktly. Chattylion F₃F₄ Chattilion Rowe. Chatillion Pope, Theob Han Warb. Chatillon Johns et cet

7 Thus (after greeting)] Thus, after greeting, Rowe et seq

France, France Ff France Rowe, Cam.+.

I. The life . . . King Iohn] THEOBALD Though this play have the title of The Life and Death of King John, yet the action begins at the thirty-fourth year of his life, and takes in only some transactions of his reign to the time of his demise, being an interval of about seventeen years -MALONE. It takes in the whole of his reign, which lasted only seventeen years his accession was in 1199, and his death in 1216 -Pope The Troublesome Reign of King John was written in two parts, by W Shakespeare and W Rowley, and printed 1611. But the present play is entirely different, and infinitely superior to it [Strict chronological sequence would demand this note by Pope precede that of Theobald. Pope's assertion was, however, the occasion of some discussion dealing with the authorship of the older play, rather than with the question of the exact title of Shakespeare's; this must, therefore, be an excuse, if one be needful, for this reversal -ED]-JOHNSON. The edition of 1611 has no mention of Rowley, nor in the account of Rowley's works is any mention made of his conjunction with Shakespeare in any play. King John was reprinted, in two parts, in 1622. The first edition that I have found of this play, in its present form, is that of 1623, in folio The edition of 1591

[1. The life . . . King Iohn]

[Johnson's note is decidedly ambiguous, owing to his confusion I have not seen of two plays The King John which he speaks of as printed in two parts in 1622 is The Troublesome Razgne, to which Pope refers, by the words 'this play, in its present form,' Johnson means Shakespeare's King John, and finally 'the edition of 1501' refers again to the first edition of The Troublesome Raigne -FARMER remarks that Johnson is mistaken in saying that there is no mention of any collaboration between Shakespeare and Rowley, as The Buth of Merlin entered at the Stationers in 1653 is ascribed to them jointly 'I cannot,' adds Farmer, 'believe Shakespeare had anything to do with it'-(with which opinion the present Ed is quite in accord) Farmer thus continues 'Mr Capell is equally mistaken when he says (Preface, p 15) that Rowley is called his partner in the title-page of The Merry Devil of Edmonton There must have been some tradition, however erroneous, upon which Mr Pope's account was founded I make no doubt that Rowley wrote the first King John, and when Shakespeare's play was called for, and could not be procured from the players, a piratical bookseller reprinted the old one, with W Sh in the title page '-On the point of authorship thus raised by Farmer, COLLIER (Ed 1, Introd, p 4) remarks 'There is, however, reason to believe that Rowley was not an author at so early a date his first extant printed work was a play, in writing which he aided John Day and George Wilkins, called The Travels of Three English Brothers, 1607 In 1591 he must have been very young, but we are not therefore to conclude decisively that his name is not, at any period, and in any way, to be connected with a drama on the incidents of the reign of King John, for the tradition of Pope's time may have been founded upon the fact that, at some later date, he was instrumental in a revival of the old King John'-Steevens regarded Shakespeare as the author of the older play and included both parts of The Troublesome Raigne of John among the twenty plays which he published from the early quartos in 1766, subsequently he acknowledged that a more careful perusal disposed him 'to recede from that opinion '-MALONE, without giving any reasons, is of the opinion that either Greene or Peele was the author of the older play (See Appendix: Troublesome Raigne, for further discussions as to authorship)—It may have been an oral tradition to which Farmer lemently refers in order to excuse Pope's inaccurate statement concerning the joint authorship of The Troublesome Raigne We have but few means of tracing the bibliographical knowledge in regard to dramatic compositions in Pope's day, the basis for such is mainly furnished by the lists of plays issued by publishers from time to time Those of Rogers & Ley, 1656; Archer, 1656, and Kirkman, 1661 and 1671, are now accessible and made easy for reference, thanks to the painstaking efforts of W W. Greg in the Appendix II of his List of Masques and Pageants prepared for the Bibliographical Society, 1902. John, King of England, both parts, appears in the list of Rogers and Ley, 1656; John, King of England, both parts, Will Shakespeare, in that of Archer, 1656; Will Shakespear. John K of England, 1st part. Will Shakesbear. John K. of England, 2nd part, are entered in Kirkman's lists of 1661 and 1671. These are entries which refer to the older play alone, and there is no mention of Rowley as part author. Langbaine's Some Account of the English Dramatic Poets, 1691, is the earliest Dictionary of Authors and works, but neither under the names of W. Rowley nor Shakespeare does Langbaine include The Troublesome Raigne as their joint work It is, I think, reasonable to suppose that Pope simply con-

[1 The life . . . King Iohn]

fused The Birth of Merlin with The Troublesome Raigne In this connection MALONE somewhat sharply remarks that 'Mr Pope is very inaccurate in matters of this kind,' but—to err is human, to forgive divine, and it is Pope himself who supplies this soft answer to turn aside our wrath—ED]

- I King Iohn] MABIE (p. 184) King John marks the transition from the chronicle play to the true drama, in which incidents and characters are selected for their dramatic significance, a dramatic motive introduced, dramatic movement traced, and a climax reached The older playwrights, dealing with the events of a whole reign, would have given the play an epical or narrative quality, Shakespeare selected, compressed, foreshortened, and grouped events and figures in such a way as to secure connected action, the development of character, and a final catastrophe which is impressive if not intrinsically dramatic He instinctively omitted certain coarse scenes which were in the older play he brought into clear light and consistency certain characters which were roughly sketched in the earlier work, in the scene between Hubert and Arthur he struck a new note of tenderness and pathos, while in giving marked prominence to the humour of Faulconbridge he opened the way for that blending of comedy with tragedy and history which is one of the marks not only of his maturity but of his greatness. The play has no hero, and is not free from the faults of the long line of dramas to which it belongs, but Shakespeare's creative energy is distinctly at work in it
- 2 Actus Primus French (p. 3) The action of this is mainly confined to the relationship between the usurping uncle and his hapless nephew. The first scene opens with a demand from the King of France that John should yield up his crown in favour of young Arthur This scene, in which John is seated in his palace, surrounded by the chief nobles of his court, must have taken place in 1199, soon after his coronation, Ascension Day, May 27. The Fourth Act closes with the death of the Young Prince, but even in the Fifth Act his right is made use of by the Dauphin as a pretext for invading England [French is possibly right in placing the time of this opening scene shortly after John's accession, such would undoubtedly be the fitting point for France's protest. At the same time it is well to remember that dates counted for little either with the earlier dramatist or Shakespeare, both of them refer to but two of John's coronations, whereas, actually John was crowned four times See IV, 1i, 3, and notes thereon —ED]—CALVERT (p. 124) In the first thirty lines of the opening scene are epitomized the drift and substance of the How natural this is, and easy, how unavoidable! Each speech seems to carry the very words the speaker ought to utter; each speaker says just what he should say, neither more nor less
- 3 Enter King Iohn, etc] Cambridge Edd (Note II). We have not followed Capell and the more recent editors in attempting to define the precise locality at which each scene took place, where none is mentioned in the body of the play or in the stage directions of the Folio. (See Text Notes) Nothing is gained by an attempt to harmonize the plot with historical facts gathered from Holinshed and elsewhere, when it is plain that Shakespeare was either ignorant of them or indifferent to minute accuracy. For example, the second scene of Act IV is supposed to occur at the same place as the first scene of that Act, or, at all events, in the immediate neighborhood, and in England But Holinshed distinctly states that Arthur was imprisoned first at Falaise and then at Rouen (pp 554, 555, ed 1577).—Ordish (Sh's London, p. 27): The opening scene in King John is laid in 'King

8

In my behauiour to the Maiesty,

8. behaviour Ff, Rowe, Pope, Cam

8. Maiesty,] Majesty Han mujesty—

behaviour, Theob et cet John's Palace' This has been supposed by some editors to mean the King's palace at Northampton Without being in the least disputatious, it is allowable to refer the reader to the text A Sheriff enters and tells the King there is the strangest controversy 'come from the country' to be judged by him The disputants are Philip Faulconbridge and his brother, and presently Philip says to Queen Elinor, 'Our country manners give our betters way' These are indications that the two youths had come up to London from Northamptonshire to lay their case before the King In Shakespeare's time there was a tradition, mentioned by Stow, that a certain house, called Stone House, in Lombard Street, was formerly King John's House [Survay, ed 1618, p 375], and it is at least probable that the London playgoer would interpret the legend, 'King John's Palace,' as referring to this house In this case, the Sheriff who came in to make the announcement to the King would be understood as being a sheriff of the city [While I fully agree with Ordish that this scene is evidently laid in London, yet I think it hardly just to characterize as supposition the reason which led the earlier editors to place this at Northampton As will be seen from the Text Notes Capell was first so to designate it, and though he gives no ground for this specific locality, it may be inferred that his reason for so doing was based on a passage in the corresponding scene in The Troublesome Raigne, wherein occur these words spoken by Salisbury, 'Please it your Majestie, heere is the Shrive of Northamptonshire, with certaine persons that of late committed a riot ' Perhaps in those days the Sheriff accompanied those who wished to lay a case before the King, if so that would account for his presence in London. but at all events Capell had internal evidence from the earlier work to corroborate his choice of locality (See note on 1 50, below).—ED]

- 4, 6 Chattylion... Chatillion] Walker (Vers., 184), followed by R. G. White, calls attention to the metrical requirement of pronouncing this name, as also Rousillon in All's Well, as a trisyllable with the accent on the second syllable.—Dawson (New Sh Soc Trans., 1887-1892, p 137) adds to the numerous examples of such pronunciation in the present play one from Henry V. 'Iaques of Chatilion Admirall of France'—IV, viii, 95.
- 4 Chattylion of France] French (p 18) As King Philip would, without doubt, send a person of exalted rank upon so important an embassy as that which opens this play, it may be inferred that this individual is Hugh de Chatillon, who is named, with his brother Guy, Count de St. Pol, among the Grand Peers of France, who were assembled in a Parliament at Paris in 1223. In the treaty between King Richard and Philip Augustus, dated July 23, 1194, the concluding article sets forth,—'Now Gervais de Chatillon, as representative of the King of France, has sworn to observe all the articles above recited, and maintain the truce? He, therefore, might be the person sent as ambassador to England five years after the above date. The family has played an important part in history.—Ivor John. The Folios read 'with the Chattylion of France' Perhaps 'Lord' had dropped out before 'Chattylion,' or perhaps 'Chattylion' was taken to mean 'Chatelain' or some similar title. [Stow (Survay, ed. 1618, p. 107) gives as the titles belonging to Robert Fitzwater, those of 'Chastilian and Banner-bearer of London,' which he held by right of his ownership of Castle Baynard in the city—ED]
 - 8. In my behauiour] Johnson The word 'behaviour' seems here to have a

10

The borrowed Maiesty of England heere.

Elea. A strange beginning borrowed Maiesty?

9, 10 borrowed borrowed] Ff, Wh 1, Cam + borrow'd. borrowed Hal borrow'd borrow'd Rowe et cet

10 beginning borrowed Maiesty! Ff beginning, borrow'd Majesty! Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb Cap beginning Borrow'd majesty! Johns beginning'—borrow'd majesty! Var '73,
Huds. Wh 1 beginning 'borrowed
majesty!' Cam + beginning;—borrow'd majesty! Var '78 et cet
10 Maiesty! majesty— Words

signification that I have never found in any other author 'The king of France,' says the envoy, 'thus speaks in my behaviour to the majesty of England', that is, the king of France speaks in the character, which I here assume I once thought that these two lines had been uttered by the ambassador, as part of his master's message, and that 'behaviour' had meant the conduct of the King of France towards the King of England, but the ambassador's speech, as continued after the interruption, will not admit this meaning -MALONE 'In my behaviour' means, I think, in the words and action that I am now going to use Compare 'Now hear our English King For thus his royalty doth speak in me '-V, 11, 134 --KNIGHT: Haviour, behaviour, is the manner of having, the conduct Where then is the difficulty which this expression has raised up? The king of France speaks, in the conduct of his ambassador, to 'the borrowed majesty of England', a necessary explanation of the speech of Chatillon, which John would have resented upon the speaker, had he not in his 'behaviour' expressed the intentions of his sovereign -JOHN HUNTER That is, in the tone or manner in which I speak —FLEAY Not only in my words, but in my bearing and manner, my assumption of superiority to the 'borrowed majesty' of John -WRIGHT That is, as represented in my person and by my outward acts and deportment [For this use of 'in' Wright cites the passage given by Malone, and adds thereto 'The cunning of her passion Invites me in this churlish messenger '-Twelfth Night, II, 11, 24]-MOBERLY As in German 'das aussere Behaben' means the outward demeanour, so here 'in my behaviour' means, in the tone and manner which I have assumed -Ivor John That is, through my conduct as ambassador. Compare 'inferior eyes, that borrow their behaviours from the great '-V, 1, 54 -[This chronological arrangement of the interpretations of a passage is, I think, not uninstructive, showing in how many ways the same idea may be expressed in slightly differing words Were it possible to form a composite sentence from these, as is done with photographs to produce a typical face, we should probably find that the first one given, that of Johnson-'the king of France speaks in the character which I here assume'would be the resultant sentence His introductory remark that the word 'behaviour' here bears a meaning which he had never found in any other author is significant, when it is recalled that the Dictionary antedates his notes on this play by nearly ten years This statement, furthermore, has not been refuted by later lexicographers — SCHMIDT (Lex), after giving numerous examples of 'behaviour' in the sense of 'external carriage and deportment, as it is expressive of sentiments and disposition,' places the present by itself, under the caption 'Remarkable passage,' with the interpretation 'in the tone and character which I here assume,' evidently derived from the German, as Moberly also suggests -MURRAY (N E D, s. v. i. †c.) quotes the present line as the only example wherein 'behaviour' is used in the sense of 'bearing of the character of another; personificaK. Iohn. Silence (good mother) heare the Embassie Chat. Phihp of France, in right and true behalfe
Of thy deceased brother, Gesfreyes sonne,
Arthur Plantaginet, laies most lawfull claime
To this faire Iland, and the Territories:
To Ireland, Poythers, Aniowe, Torayne, Maine,

15

II

11 Silence (good mother)] Ff Silence, good Mother, Rowe, Pope, Han Silence, good mother, Theob et cet
Embassie] embassy Johns et seq
13 deceased deceased Dyce, Huds
Words

brother,] brother F_4 et seq
Geffreyes] Geffrey's Rowe et seq
14 Plantaginet] Plantaganet F_3 Plantagenet F_4 et seq

14 most Om Pope, Theob Han
Warb Johns
15 Territories | territories,— Dyce,
Huds Fle Words
16 Aniowe] Anjowe Ff, Ktly Anjou
Rowe et cet
Torayne] Lorayne F2. Loraine

Torayne] Lorayne F₂. Loraine F₃F₄ Touaine Rowe i Toraine Ktly Touraine Rowe ii et cet

tion, "person" "—The line from Act V, quoted in illustration by Ivor John, is not, I think, a parallel To 'borrow behaviour' from another is rather to imitate or to adopt the actions and expressions of that other Chatillon does not, however, mean that he is imitating the king of France, but rather that he is speaking as his representative Under the foregoing interpretation of borrow Murray quotes this example given by Ivor John—Ep]

- To Elea A strange Maiesty Calvert (p 126) Observe how this opening scene is enlivened by the interruption of Elinor, an interruption which Shake-speare would not have allowed her to make, had she not, in making it, given a strong taste of her quality as a proud, grasping, intermeddling Queen-dowager. While adding life to the scene, the line she utters characterizes herself Shakespeare thus kills two birds with one stone, and both game-birds, a proceeding which he repeats oftener than—I had almost said—all other poets put together
- 12-14 Philip . . Arthur Plantaginet] Courtenay (1, 3) I do not find, either in Holinshed or in any other history, English or French, that Chatillon, or any other diplomatic agent, was sent by Philip Augustus to John, or that the crown of England was demanded by the French King on the part of Arthur Philip apparently, and with reason, disclaimed an interest in the disposal of that crown; whereas, of the transmarine possessions of the Kings of England, as well as of Brittany, he claimed to be lord paramount
- 15 the Territories] IVOR JOHN. There is no other case of the use of 'the territories' in this way by Shakespeare One is tempted to suggest either 'and the territories Of Ireland,' or 'her territories.' In The Troublesome Raigne, ed 1591, we have 'to England, Cornwall and Wales and to their territories'—II, in
- 16. Poyctiers, Aniowe, Torayne, Mainel Hudson Arthur held the duchy of Brittany in right of his father Geffrey Plantagenet, an elder brother of John. Anjou, Touraine, and Maine, the ancient patrimony of the house of Anjou, were his by hereditary right. As Duke of Brittany Arthur was a vassal of Philip Augustus, and Constance engaged to Philip that her son should do him homage also for Normandy, Maine, Anjou, Touraine, and Poictou, on condition that Philip should support this claim to the English crown England having declared for John, the

Defiring thee to lay afide the fword Which fwaies vfurpingly these seuerall titles,

play opens with Philip's interference in behalf of Arthur [See Appendix Trouble-some Raigne, pt 1 I, 1, 29-34]

18 Which swaies vsurpingly, etc] WARNER (p 27) There was never any question among Englishmen as to John's right to reign over them until toward the end of his career, when the Barons were exasperated into the attempt of dethroning him as a liar, a slanderer, a breaker of promises, and a bawd of the nation's honor — [John's legal or moral right to the crown during Arthur's lifetime is a question which concerns the student of history more fitly than readers of Shakespeare, for whom King John is a usurper The following extract from Hallam is, however, interesting as testimony on the other side 'The succession of John has certainly passed in modern times for an usurpation I do not find that it was considered as such by his contemporaries on this side of the Channel The question of inheritance between an uncle and the son of his deceased elder brother was yet unsettled, as we learn from Glanvil, even in private succession In the case of sovereignties, which were sometimes contended to require different rules from ordinary patrimony, it was, and continued long to be, the most uncertain point in public law John's pretensions to the crown might therefore be such as the English were justified in admitting, especially as his reversionary title seems to have been acknowledged in the reign of his brother Richard '-(Middle Ages, 11, 325)-Roger of Wendover's account of John's installation and coronation is as follows 'About this time [1199] John Duke of Normandy came over into England, and landed at Shoreham on the 25th of May, on the day after, which was the eve of our Lord's Ascension, he went to London to be crowned there On his arrival, therefore, the archbishops, bishops, earls, and all others, whose duty it was to be present at this coronation, assembled together in the church of the chief of the apostles at Westminster, on the 27th of May, and there Hubert, Archbishop of Canterbury, placed the crown on his head and anointed him king '-To this account Matthew Paris adds 'The Archbishop standing in the midst addressed them thus, "Hear, all of you, and be it known that no one has an antecedent right to succeed another in the kingdom, unless he shall have been unanimously elected under the guidance of the Holy Spirit, on account of the superior ments of his character, after the example of Saul the first anointed king, whom the Lord set over his people, not as the son of a king, nor as born of royal ancestry . . Thus those who excelled in vigour are elevated to kingly dignity But, if any relations of a deceased king excel others in merit, all should the more readily and zealously consent to his election We have said this to maintain the cause of Earl John, who is here present, brother of our illustrious King Richard, lately deceased without heirs of his body, and as the said Earl John is prudent. active, and indubitably noble, we have, under God's Holy Spirit, unanimously elected him for his merits and his royal blood" Now the archbishop was a man of bold character and a support to the kingdom by his steadiness and incomparable wisdom, no one, therefore, dared to dispute what he said as knowing that he had they unanimously elected the earl, crying out, "God save the king!" Archbishop Hubert was afterwards asked why he acted in this manner, to which he replied that he knew John would one day or other bring the kingdom into great confusion, wherefore he determined that he should owe his elevation to election and not to

And put the same into yong Arthurs hand, Thy Nephew, and right royall Soueraigne.

phew, and right royall Soueraigne. 20

K. Iohn. What followes if we disallow of this?

Chat The proud controle of fierce and bloudy warre, To inforce these rights, so forcibly with-held,

K Io. Heere have we war for war, & bloud for bloud, Controlement for controlement to answer France.

. 25

19 Arthurs] Arthur's F₄ et seq 20 Nephew,] nephew Knt, Dyce, Wh i, Ktly, Sta Cam +, Fle Rlfe 21 follows] Ff follows Wh Cam,+, Glo Cla Rlfe follows, Rowe et cet 23 To inforce] Ff, Rowe T' inforce Pope,+, Fle T' enforce Dyce II, III,

Huds 11, Words To enforce Cap et cet

23 rights,] rights Rowe et seq with-held,] with-held Rowe,+, Cap withheld Ff et cet 24 war, & F₄F₃ war and Cam +, Glo Wh ii war, and F₄ et cet

25 for controlement] for control Vaughan

hereditary right '—(Ed Giles, 11, 181) —See also Hallam, op et loc cut, and Stubbs, Constitutional History, 1, 578—ED]

- 21 disallow] MURRAY (N E D, s. v. 3 b) To refuse to accept with approval; to reject, disown. [The present line quoted]
- 22 controle] Johnson That is, opposition—M Mason. I think it rather means constraint, or compulsion. So, in Henry V, when Exeter demands of the King of France the surrender of his crown, and the King answers. 'Or else what follows?' Exeter replies. 'Bloody constraint, for if you hide the crown, Even in your hearts, there will he rake for it '—[II, iv, 97] The passages are exactly similar [So they may be, but only in respect of the similarity of situation, but in one case Shakespeare uses the word 'control' and in the other 'constraint' The primary meaning of constraint is compulsion, just as the elemental meaning of control is restraint, or opposition, as Johnson gives it For a parallel use of 'control' compare 'Even where his lustful eye or savage heart Without control lusted to make his prey '—Rich III III, v, 84. And for 'proud' in the sense of vigorous as applied to an adversary compare 'Our partie may well meet a prowder foe '—V, ii, 84—ED]

24, 25. Heere haue we war... controlement] Steevens King John's reception of Chatillon not a little resembles that which Andrea meets with from the King of Portugal, in the First Part of Jeronimo, 1605 'And Thou shalt pay tribute, Portugal, with blood. Bal. Tribute for tribute then; and foes for foes. And I bid you sudden wars' [Haz-Dods, iv, p. 363 This assignment of the date 1605 to Jeronimo was at once questioned by Malone, who asserts that 'Jeronimo was exhibited on the stage before the year 1590' Steevens replies with a quotation from a poem by Barnabie Googe, written in 1562, containing an apparent reference to the Tragedy of Jeronimo, thus showing it to have been composed much earlier than 1590 Malone returns to the charge and by quoting more of the context than had Steevens, shows that the lines refer to a translation by Neville, a friend of Googe To this Steevens makes no reply These quotations fill nearly three-quarters of a page in the Variorum of 1821, they are there produced in a discussion of the date of composition of Jeronimo, and, apart from the fact that the present passage in King John bears a slight resemblance to one in Jeronimo, have

26

Chat. Then take my Kings defiance from my mouth, The farthest limit of my Embassie.

K. Iohn Beare mine to him, and fo depart in peace, Be thou as lightning in the eies of France,

29

27 farthest Jurthest Steev Varr Sing Dyce, Hal Words Craig

28 peace,] peace Rowe,+, Coll Wh
1, Ktly Sta Del Fle Rlfe
29 eres] eyes F₂

but a slight interest in a note on Shakespeare's play, for this reason they are not here repeated —ED]

25 Controlement . France CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note III). This line must probably be scanned as an Alexandrine, reading the first 'controlment' in the time of a trisyllable and the second as a quadrisyllable [For this note Clark is, I think, solely responsible, in the Clarendon Edition, of which Wright, twenty years later, was editor alone, there is the following 'The word is spelt "controlement" in the Folios, but this does not imply that it was pronounced as a quadrisyllable, for in Tit And we find in the first Folio: "Without controlement, Iustice, or reuenge"-In broken lines like the present it is not uncommon to find an unemphatic extra syllable introduced after the pause '-Wright has, however, retained the note without change in the second Cambridge Edition, also edited by him alone in Clark died in 1878—ED]—HILGERS (2 Abtherlung, p. I.) In Shakespeare's early plays, both those with ryhme and with blank verse, there seldom occur lines with an extra syllable in the regular ten syllable iambic verse, for example, in Tit And, in Hen VI, in the Com of Err., the Two Gentlemen, and Mid N Dream The assertion that no use was made of this jingling cæsura in the so-called histories is quite erroneous, certainly it is not so frequent in these as in the other plays [Hilgers quotes the present line with but seven other examples from King John as a proof of this play's early composition, as against nearly two hundred examples from Othello alone. Hilgers's other examples from King John will be referred to as they occur in the course of the play —ED.]

29 Be thou as lightning] Johnson The simile does not suit well, the lightning, indeed, appears before the thunder is heard, but the lightning is destructive, and the thunder innocent.—Ritson (Remarks, etc., p 80) The allusion may, notwithstanding, be very proper, so far as Shakespeare had applied it, i e, merely to the swiftness of the lightning, and its preceding and foretelling the thunder there is some reason to believe that thunder was not thought to be innocent in our author's time, as we elsewhere learn from himself See Lear, III, 11, 4, 5, Ant & Cleo, II, v, 77, Jul Cas, I, 111, 49, and still more decisively Meas for Meas., II, 11, 110-116-M MASON King John does not allude to the destructive powers either of thunder or lightning, he only means to say, that Chatillon shall appear to the eyes of the French like lightning, which shows that thunder is approaching and the thunder he alludes to is that of his connon-Pye (p. 139) Shakespeare is shown by Ritson to have imputed a destructive quality to thunder in several passages; but this is certainly not one of them, for if it is, he must also impute a destructive quality to the report of the cannon, and not the ball -FLEAY That is, be thou the lightning; my cannon shall follow with the thunder. The allusion is to the rapidity with which the thunderclap follows the lightning flash, not to the destructive power of lightning, nor to its telling us that thunder is approaching. [The folFor ere thou canst report, I will be there. The thunder of my Cannon shall be heard.

30

30 For] For, Ktly, Fle Huds 11
report,] Ff, Rowe, +. report Cap

The Craig heard Cap et cet

The Craig heard Cap et cet

lowing extracts from Batman vpon Bartolome—De Proprietatibus Rerum, 1582, are perhaps of interest in this connection, showing that the thunder and lightning were regarded as two manifestations 'And with thunder commeth lightning, but lightening is sooner seene, for it is cleere and bright and thunder commeth later to our eares, for the wit of sight is more subtil then the perseuerance of hearing Aristotle saith, That thunder is nought else, but quenching of fire in a Clowde For dry vapour arreareth and setteth it on fire and on flame, with heate of the aire & when it is closed in a clowde it is sodeinly quenched. And of such quenching the noise of Thunder is gendered. As when firse hot yron is quenched in water, it maketh greate boyling and noyse Oft thunder commeth with lightening and then he greeveth much, as Beda sayth And so it scorcheth fruit, & come, when he commeth without raine And if he commeth with raine hee doth good, as he sayth And thunder with his moung, beateth and smiteth all things, stirreth the braine. and feareth the wit '-Liber, xi, chap 13 The next two chapters treat of different kinds of lightning 'The lightening that is called Fulmen, is vapour sette on fire, and is fast and sadde, and falleth downe with great swiftnesse, and is of more strength then the lightening that is called Fulgur And this lyghtening smiteth. thirleth and burneth things that it toucheth, and multiplyeth, and cleaveth and breaketh, and no bodilye thing withstandeth it '-Ibid, chap 15-Ep]

31 my Cannon] KNIGHT We have the same anachronism in Hamlet and in Macbeth It is scarcely necessary to tell our readers that gunpowder was invented about a century later than the time of John, and that the first battle-field in which cannon were used is commonly supposed to have been that of Cressy And yet the dramatic poet could not have well avoided this literal violation of propriety. both here and in the second Act, when he talks of 'bullets wrapp'd in fire.' He uses terms which were familiar to his audience, to present a particular image to their senses Had he, instead of cannon, spoken of the mangonell and the petraria, -the stone-flinging machines of the time of John,-he would have addressed himself to the very few who might have appreciated his exactness, but his words would have fallen dead upon the ears of the many.—R G. WHITE (Sh. Scholar, p. 208) cites with approval Knight's justification of the introduction of this anachronism and adds. 'Shakespeare never, I think, introduces anachronism in the actions of his personages.'-- Criezenach (p. 156) Anachronisms play a great part in the dispute over the extent of Shakespeare's education, which aroused so much eager controversy among the English critics during the eighteenth century. . . . But in most instances these anachronisms appear to have been due to the indifference of genius rather than to intention This was probably the case with the oft-quoted cannon in King John and Macbeth, for that part of Holinshed's Chronicle which Shakespeare had studied before writing Henry VI. must have already acquainted him with the fact that the bombardment of a town with artillery was still a complete novelty at the seige of La Mans in 1424 In addition to all this, it would have been impossible, even with the best intentions, for a poet to maintain any accuracy

So hence be thou the trumpet of our wrath, And fullen prefage of your owne decay

32 So hence] Ff, Rowe, Fle So hence! Pope, Theob. 1, Sta Cam +, Craig decay — Cap et Rlfe So, hence! Theob ii. et cet

of historical setting at a period when the arts of scenic mounting and costume were completely inadequate for the purpose

33 sullen presage] Johnson By the epithet 'sullen,' which cannot be applied to a trumpet, it is plain that our author's imagination had now suggested a new It is as if he had said, be a trumpet to alarm with our invasion, be a bird of ill-omen to croak out the prognostic of your own ruin -Malone I do not see why the epithet 'sullen' may not be applied to a trumpet with as much propriety as to a bell In 2 Henry IV we find 'Sounds ever after as a sullen bell'-I, 1, 102 -Boswell Surely Johnson is right the epithet sullen may be applied as Milton has applied it to a bell 'swinging slow with sullen roar,' [Il Penseroso, 1 76], with more propriety than to the sharp sound of a trumpet -Monck Mason (Comments, etc, p 153) Johnson says that the epithet 'sullen' cannot be applied to a trumpet, and founds upon that principle a very unnatural explanation of this passage, but if he had ever attended to that instrument, as used in an army, and heard a trumpet sound to horse, he would have found the epithet peculiarly proper Blanche afterwards calls a trumpet 'the braying trumpet,' an epithet that corresponds with that of 'sullen' - [Mason's Comments upon the notes in Johnson and Steevens's edition of 1778 are, for the most part, included in those of the Variorum of 1821. The foregoing is, however, an exception, and is here repeated not so much as a valuable contribution to the discussion of Shakespeare's proper use of a word, but rather as a curious illustration of association of ideas 'Braying' applied to the loud, somewhat discordant blast of a trumpet is peculiarly applicable, although it be inseparably connected with the image of a donkey, but is not the first idea suggested by 'sullen,' gloominess, moroseness? How then can the two epithets be said to correspond?—ED.]—Steevens: That here are two ideas is evident, but the second of them has not been luckily explained. 'The sullen presage of your own decay' means the dismal passing bell, that announces your own approaching dis-[This note, even with a slight condemnation of an explanation by his great partner, Steevens withheld until after Johnson's death. It did not appear until Steevens's own edition in 1793.—ED |—DELIUS 'Sullen presage' is evidently in apposition to 'trumpet of our wrath,' whereby Shakespeare had in mind the Trumpet of Doom, and according to his accustomed construction connected this with the principal word of the sentence by the copula 'and '—Collier (ed. ii.) It seems difficult to imagine how the sound of a trumpet could be a 'sullen presage,' although it might give a sudden warning of the approach of the English. Nevertheless, we leave 'sullen' in the text, as the word in all early authorities, and as an epithet not wholly mapplicable, although the corrected Folio, 1632, instructs us to read sudden. One word might be misheard for the other, and 'sullen' is actually misprinted sudden in the Folio, 1623, in Ruch. II. I, iii, [p 27, col. a] The small difference between 'sullen' and sudden in sound is played upon in Fletcher's Woman's Prize, IV, iv, where a servant brings news of the illness of Livia 'Serv. Is fallen sick o' the sudden Rowl. How, o' the sullens? Serv O' the sudden, sir, I say, very sick' See also Bonduca, V, ii, where Suetonius wishes 'some sullen

An honourable conduct let him haue, Pembroke looke too't farewell Chaulhon

ion 35
Exit Chat and Pem

Ele. What now my fonne, haue I not euer faid How that ambitious Constance would not cease Till she had kindled France and all the world, Vpon the right and party of her sonne This might haue beene preuented, and made whole With very easie arguments of loue, Which now the mannage of two kingdomes must With searefull bloudy issue arbitrate.

44

40

- 35 Pembroke] Hubert Kemble
 too't] F₂F₃ to't F₄, Rowe,+,
 Cap Varr Mal Steev Varr Sing
 Knt to't Coll et cet
- 35 Chatillion] F₂ Chatillion F₃, Pope, Theob Han Waib Chattylion F₄ Chatillion Rowe Chatillion Cap Var '73, Ktly Chatillion Fl Chatillion Johns et cet
 - 36 Exit] Exeunt Warb et seq
 - 37 What now my sonne,] What now,

my son/Dyce, Hal Ktly, Cam +, Words Rlfe What now, my Son, F4 et cet

- 39 kindled kindl'd Cap
 France Ff, Rowe, +, Dyce, Wh
 Cam +, Fle Words France, Cap et cet
- 43 mannage] manage Rowe
- 44 fearefull bloudy 2[fue] fearful, bloody 1ssue, Pope fearful, bloody 1ssue Theob 1, Var '73 fearful, bloody, 1ssue Theob 11, Warb Johns. fearful-bloody 1ssue Craig conj John

plague' to fall on Petillus, and where the epithet certainly ought to be suddensome instant plague [Although Collier at this period does not advocate a correction of the text, in his third edition he adopts this emendation of the MS corrector,—ED]—SINGER (Sh. Vindicated, p 82) The Corrector has an unreasonable dislike to this expressive word, for he would again change it as unwarrantably in Othello [See Coll Notes, etc., ed 11, p 476] But Shakespeare has also used it for sad, gloomy, in Rich II and in 2 Henry VI -WRIGHT Although 'sullen' may not appropriately describe the trumpet's note, it may fitly characterise the mournful and threatening message which it accompanied - Deighton It is not necessary to see here any allusion to the 'passing bell,' which was tolled after death, and while the spirit was supposed to be on its way to its new abode. All that seems to be meant is 'the gloomy foreteller of your own (France's) perdition,' in which sense 'decay' is often used by Shakespeare, e g, Rich. II III, 11, 102 'Cry woe, destruction, ruin and decay' [The excellent interpretation suggested by Steevens, that 'sullen' here qualifies the word 'presage,' and does not necessarily apply to the sound of the trumpet, renders quite unnecessary any change such as that of Collier's MS corrector -ED |

- 39 kindled] For other examples of 'kindle' in the sense to incite, see SCHMIDT, Lex., s. v. (b)
- 40 Vpon the ... party] Compare '—and hopes to find you forward Upon his party for the gain thereof '—Rich III III, ii, 47
- 43. mannage] STEEVENS That is, conduct administration Compare 'Now for the rebels which stand out in Ireland, Expedient manage must be made my liege'—Rich. II: I, iv, 38—WRIGHT For the form of this word, a substantive derived from a verb, see I, 11, 269, III, 111, 119.

Our strong possession, and our right for vs. K Iohn 45 Eli Your strong possessio much more then your right, Or elfe it must go wrong with you and me, So much my confcience whispers in your eare, Which none but heaven, and you, and I, shall heare 49

45 right] right, Cap Var '78, '85, Ran Mal Steev Varr Hal Sta Del vs | us - Theob Warb Johns Var '73 46 [Aside to K John Dyce u, in right, right F2F3 47 you and me,] you, and me Cap Var '78, Ran Mal Steev Varr Sing Coll Huds you and me Niels

49 heauen, Heav'n, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns Fleay you, and I,] you and I F3F4, Rowe, Dyce, Wh Cam +, Huds in you, and I Pope,+ you and I, Hal Coll heare | heare F2 hear F3 hear F, et sea

45 Our strong possession for vs Rushton (Sh's Legal Maxims, p 12) 'In aequal ture melior est conditio possidentis' (Plowden, 206) Where the right is equal the claim of the party in possession shall prevail. The lowest and most imperfect degree of title consists in the mere naked possession, or actual occupation of the estate, without any apparent right, or any shadow or pretence of right, to hold and continue such possession. This may happen when one man invades the possession of another, and by force or surprise turns him out of the occupation of his lands, which is termed a dessessen, being a deprivation of that actual sessen, or corporal freehold of the lands which the tenant before enjoyed (2 Black Com. 195, I Inst, 345) Or it may happen that after the death of the ancestor and before the entry of the hear, or after the death of a particular tenant and before the entry of him in remainder or reversion, a stranger may contrive to get possession of the vacant land, and hold out him that had a right to enter In such cases the wrong-doer has only a mere naked possession, which the rightful owner may put an end to by a variety of legal remedies. But until some act be done by the rightful owner to divest this possession and assert his title, such actual possession is prima face evidence of a legal title in the possessor, and it may by length of time, and negligence of him who hath the right, by degrees ripen into a perfect and indefeasible title (2 Black Com, 196) King John seems to refer to this maxim when he says 'Our strong possession, and our right for us,' but Elinor replies. 'Your strong possession, much more than your right,' because John was not in aequal jure with Arthur, but he was a wrong-doer, having merely a naked possession, for after the death of Richard I John occupied the throne in defiance of the right of his nephew Arthur [See 1 18 ante, extract from Wendover and Paris -ED]-Moberly Shakespeare here makes hereditary right much more absolute than it was in the time of the Norman sovereigns, as, in fact, it only began to be really lineal in the generations from John to Richard II John, according to Blackstone (1, 20), claimed as being next of kin to Richard; Arthur, as his brother's son, being one degree more remote Even in common inheritances it was at that time, as Blackstone remarks (ibid), a point undetermined whether the child of an elder brother should succeed to the land by right of representation, or the younger surviving brother in right of proximity of blood.

you, Theob

Enter a Sheriffe.

50

Effex My Liege, here is the strangest controuersie Come from the Country to be sudg'd by you

52

- 50 Enter a Sheriffe] Ff, Cam + Om Rowe,+. Enter Essex. Johns Enter the Sheriff of Northamptonshire, and whispers Essex Cap Enter a Sheriff [and whispers to Essex] Neils Enter the sheriff of Northamptonshire who whispers Essex Var '73 et cet (after l. 44), Kemble, C Kean, Marshall
- 50 a Sheriffe] English Herald Kemble (after 1 44) 51 Effex] Salsbury Fleay conj 51, 52 controuersie you] Ff, Rowe, Pope 1, Han Glo Wh 11, Cla Rlfe, Neils controversie you, Pope 11,

controversy.

gives the same stage-direction as Capell [See Text Notes], changing merely 'and' to 'who,' and, as usual, ignoring Capell, says in a note that he had taken it from the Old Quarto. He convicts himself of plagiarism, for the 'Old Quarto' has 'Enter the Shrive and whispers the Earle of Salis in the eare'. It was Capell who changed 'Salis' to 'Essex'. The second and third editions of the Old Quarto (1611, 1622) agree in this stage direction literatim. The edition of 1591 has 'Sals' for 'Salis'—MARSHALL. In following Charles Kean's Acting Edition [and placing the entrance of the Sheriff after 1. 44] we only follow the dictates of common sense. There must be some little time allowed for the Sheriff to impart his information to Essex before Essex can impart it to the king. The Sheriff is whispering to Essex during Elinor's speech. [Kean was anticipated in this arrangement by J. P. Kemble See Text. Notes.—Ed.]

Cam

cet

- 51 Essex] FLEAY (Introduction, p 24) In the old play the Sheriff enters and whispers to Salisbury, but Essex, at the king's request, interrogates the Faulconbridges; in the present play Essex, not Salisbury, announces their approach. and the king interrogates them himself As Essex speaks only three lines, and never reappears all through this play, and these three lines are taken from the speech of Salisbury in The Troublesome Raigne, I have no doubt that this character was intended to be struck out altogether, and only remained by inadvertence This would be especially probable in 1596, in which year the Earl of Essex first grew out of favour with Elizabeth, and the name of Essex would consequently be avoided by contemporary dramatists In fact, the name of Essex never occurs in the text of any play of Shakespeare, while those of Pembroke, Salisbury, and Norfolk (Bigot) are found in many of his histories Note also that Pembroke does not speak in this scene in the present play He does in the older play; hence his retention, as a mute, in the later version -[Fleay's reference to events in the career of Essex during 1596, as a reason for the omission of that name in the present play, is, I think, unfortunate. It will be remembered that it was in June of that year that Essex and Raleigh made their successful expedition against Spain, and captured the city of Cadiz Essex on his return became the popular idol of the hour. Fleay dates the first production of King John October, 1596; it seems likely then that the name of Essex would be one put prominently forward rather than suppressed Essex did not actually fall into disfavor until 1500, after the disastrous campaign in Ireland —ED]
- 52 to be judg'd by you! VERPLANCK The Aula Regis of the first Norman kings was the highest court, followed the person of the king, was composed of his

F₂F₃ Expedition's F₄ et cet charge] charge Ff, Rowe, Dyce,

Sta Cam +, Del Fle. Words Neils charge— Pope,+, Cap. charge — Var. '78 et cet

57. Scene n Pope, Han Warb Johns

Enter and Philip] Ff, Rowe,+ (-Var '73), Neils. Re-enter sheriff with Robert Faulconbridge, and Philip, his brother (after pay, 1 55) Varr Ran Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll Hal Wh 1, Ktly, Huds. (after charge, 1 56) Philip, his bastard brother). Del Fl

Falconbridge (throughout) Dyce, Hal Huds 11,

Philip | Ff the Bastard Rowe, Pope Philip, his brother Theob Han Johns Varr Ran Philip, his brother, the Bastard Warb Philip, his halfbrother Words Philip, his bastard brother Mal et cet

58 subject, I a subject, I, a Rowe,+ subject I, a Coll Wh. i, Sta Huds Fle subject I, a Cap et cet

59 fonne] son, Rowe et seq 60 Robertl Om. Ff, Rowe

officers of state, sitting in his hall wherever he was, and in theory, and sometimes in fact, held by the king in person This was changed, by Magna Charta, to a stationary court, at Westminster Hall, with regular judges Thus King John, in the early part of his reign, was the last sovereign who could thus have had a 'controversy come from the country to be judged' by him A few years later it would have come before the Common Pleas, at Westminster Hall [For an account of the rise and progress of this judicial chamber, which Hallam terms Curra Regis, see his Europe during the Middle Ages, ii, 332 -ED |-MOBERLY The notion that the king might judge causes in person was not finally extinct till Tames I tried the experiment of sitting in his own courts, but was told by the judges that he could not legally pronounce an opinion (Blackstone, 111, 41)

55 Our Abbies and our Priories] FLEAY The first indication of the ill-feeling between John and the clergy

56. expeditious] As will be seen from the Text Notes, all editors, with the exception of Fleay, regard this as a misprint and accept the reading of F2, expeditions. Justification, if it be needed, may be found for this change in the fact that 'expeditious' in the sense speedy occurs only in The Tempest, V, 11i, 315; and Murray (N E D, s v.) gives this latter line as the earliest use of the word in this sense. The present play antedates The Tempest by at least twelve years -ED

A Souldier by the Honor-giuing-hand	61
Of Cordelion, Knighted in the field	
K.Iohn What art thou?	
Robert. The fon and heire to that fame Faulconbridge	
K. Iohn. Is that the elder, and art thou the heyre?	65
You came not of one mother then it seemes.	
Philip Most certain of one mother, mighty King,	
That is well knowne, and as I thinke one father.	
But for the cerraine knowledge of that truth,	
I put you o're to heauen, and to my mother,	70
Of that I doubt, as all mens children may	
Eh. Out on thee rude man, y dost shame thy mother,	72

61 Souldier | Souldier, F. soldrer, Rowe et sea Honor-gruing-hand Ff, Rowe 1

honor-giving hand Rowe 11 et seq 62 Cordelion,] Ff, Rowe, Del Fle Cœur-de-hon, Pope, Theob Cœur-de-

hon Han et seq 63 What] And what Cap

65 heyre?] heyr? F3 Herr? F4 et seq 66 then Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han then,

Theob et cet

seemes] seems? F4, Rowe,+ seems Cap et seq

67 King. | King-Wh 1, Dyce 11, 111, Words Rlfe

68 and as I thinke F₂F₃ —and as I think, Wh. 1, Rlfe. and, as I think, F. et cet

60 But Ff, Rowe, +, Coll 1, Cam +, Dyce n, m, Huds n, Words Cap et cet

cerraine] Fr

71-02 Of that lent vs here?] Om Words

70, 78, 91 heaven] Heav'n Rowe.+ (-Var '73)

70. mother,] mother - Dyce, Hal Wh i

71 mens] mens' Warb Johns

72 Out y dost Out, out Dost Craig conj

thee] thee, Rowe et seq rude man,] Ff, Rowe, Pope rúde-man, Fle rude man! Theob et cet

vl thou Ff

⁷¹ Of that I doubt! STEEVENS The resemblance between this sentiment and that of Telemachus, in the first book of the Odyssey, is apparent The passage is thus translated by Chapman 'My mother, certaine, says I am his sonne, I know not, nor was ever simply knowne, By any child, the sure truth of his sire ' [l. 335] Mr Pope has observed that the like sentiment is found in Euripides, Menander, and Aristotle Shakespeare expresses the same doubt in several of his other plays -MARSHALL A correspondent has sent (under the signature M M) an ingenious communication, proposing to amend the line thus 'If that I doubt,' etc -taking the speech of Eleanor's which follows to be an interruption The writer's argument amounts to this that the Bastard would not at this point 'commit himself to an avowal of a definite belief' in his own illegitimacy But this cynical avowal of doubt is in accordance with Philip Faulconbridge's character, as Shakespeare has drawn it, and by 'Of that I doubt' he merely means to say that the legitimacy of every child is a fair subject for doubt, a variation of the old proverb that 'It is a wise child who knows his own father' Facetious allusions to this doubt as to a child's paternity are to be found in all dramatists down to the time of Sheridan 72. rude man] WALKER (Crit, 11, 136) Richman, youngman, oldman, deadman.

74 I Madame? No.] F2 I Madam?
No? F3F4 I, Madam? No Rowel I,
madam! no, Ktly I, Madam? No,
Rowell et cet

tl.] tl? Pope II (misprint) tl.—
Dyce, Hal tl Wh I, Huds Del tl
Ktly tl, Theob et cet

76 a pops] he pops Pope, + 'a pops
Cap et seq
out.] out Rowe et seq
77 pound! pounds Neils
a yeere] a-year Knt, Sta Fle
a year Coll Del Rife, Neils
78 honor.] honour— Wh I, Rife

78 Land] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Fle land! Theob et cet
79 fellow why born] fellow why born, F4, Rowe fellow why, born, Pope, + fellow —Why, born, Cap
Var '78, '85, Ran Mal Steev Varr
Sing Kint fellow —Why, born, Coll et seq (subs)
82 But once] But, once, Theob Warb
Johns Var '73, Del
flanderd] flandered Ff slander'd
Rowe et seq slander W W Lloyd
(Athen, Aug 24, 1878).

In fact, man, in combinations of this kind,—such of them, I mean, as from their nature are of frequent occurrence,—had an enclitic force. This is evident not only from their being so frequently printed either in the manner above, or with a hyphen, but also from the flow of the verse in many of the passages where they occur. [The present line quoted] Was 'rudeman' a common phrase like goodman, &c ?— [Flear, in hyphenating these two words, intends, perhaps, to answer Walker's Query in the affirmative. See Text Notes—Ed.]

73 diffidence] That is, distrust, the opposite of confidence

76 a pops me out] How eminently characteristic of Faulconbridge is this humorously contemptuous description of the outcome of his brother's suit. Its utter disregard for anything like conventional deference in language, in the presence of majesty, evidently appealed to the king, as is shown by his next remark—ED

82 But once] Delius 'Once' is hardly here used as referring to some particular time, but rather in the sense once for all. As in Coriol 'Once, if he do require our voices, we ought not to deny him'—II, iii, i —Wright objects to this interpretation of Delius on the ground that 'this would require slanders rather than slander'd, and there is no reason to suppose that the word "once" is used in other than its usual sense in reference to past time '—W. W. Lloyd (Atheneum, 24th Aug, 1878, p 240), apparently unconscious that he has been anticipated, proposes the same interpretation of 'once,' in the sense once for all, as does Delius. Lloyd also suggests that 'there is fair reason typographically for challenging the last d in "slandered" The general rule [in the Folio] when the last syllable of a participle or preterite is required by the metre to be contracted, is for the contraction to be

But where I be as true begot or no,	83
That full I lay vpon my mothers head,	
But that I am as well begot my Liege	85
(Faire fall the bones that tooke the paines for me)	
Compare our faces, and be Iudge your felfe	
If old Sir Robert did beget vs both,	
And were our father, and this fonne like him	
O old fir Robert Father, on my knee	90
I give heaven thankes I was not like to thee.	

```
Knt, Dyce, Ktly But well-begot Del
  83 where] F<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> whether F<sub>4</sub>, Rowe,
+, Cam + wher Dyce, Huds u
                                          Craig
                                            86 mel me' Theob et seq
     asl Om Pope, Han
                                            87 felfe] self Rowe et sea
      true begot] Ff, Rowe,+, Dyce,
                                            90 O] O! Coll Sing 11, Wh 1, Huds
Ktly, Cam +, Fle Neils
                            true-begot
                                          Oh! Ktly
               true begot, Cap et
Del Craig
cet
                                                Robert] Robert, Pope et seq
  85 But well begot] But, well begot,
                                                [kneeling Coll 11
                                            91 thee ] thee! Dyce et seq
Cap Varr Ran Mal Steev Varr
```

marked by an apostrophe, "slanderd," therefore, if the received reading is carried, should have appeared as slander'd, there is, therefore, a typographical lapse in any case—either an apostrophe is wanting or a final letter is superfluous'

- 82 slanderd] CRAIGIE (N E D, s v vb 3 b) To accuse (unjustly or otherwise) of, charge or reproach with, something discreditable. Also with that and clause [Compare 'Then let not him be slander'd with revolt'—I Henry IV I, in, II2]
- 83 But] WRIGHT We should rather expect Now, the printer having repeated the 'But' from the previous line
- 83. where] This contraction when the metre requires that whether be read as a monosyllable is quite common in the Folios, although, as the CAMBRIDGE EDD note, the Folios are not therein consistent "They have, for instance, "Whether" in 1 142 of the present scene —ED
- 85 But . as well begot] VAUGHAN (1, 3) There is only one point on which the speaker professes himself to make any statement, and that is whether under either supposition as to legitimacy he was as well begotten as his brother or not *Yet* [not 'But'] is the right word to introduce his single statement
- 85. as well begot] This is spoken with marked irony—Philip while seeming to praise is actually condemning the weak and slight figure of his brother by comparing it with his own manly form—The next line is in reference to the stalwart frame of his putative father, whoever he was, who took the pains to beget him—Rolfe is, I think, mistaken in referring this to 'the frame that bore the pains of maternity.' See 1 129 below—ED
- 89. And were our father] MOBERLY The 'were' expresses doubt of itself, and would be emphasized on the stage Compare 'I think my wife be honest, and think she is not'—Othello, III, iii, 384. [The metrical accent falling on 'were' is corroboration of Moberly's sagacious comment—ED]
- 90 old sir Robert Father] FLEAY Certainly no comma after 'Robert', 'old Sir Robert father' is one compound noun

K. Iohn. Why what a mad-cap hath heauen lent vs here?

Elen He hath a tricke of Cordehons face,

The accent of his tongue affecteth him

Doe you not read fome tokens of my fonne

95
In the large composition of this man?

92. lent] sent Heath, Huds 11
93 a tricke] the trick Vaughan
Cordelions Cordelion's Fa. Rowe.

Del Fle. Cœur-de-lion's Pope et seq
94 him] him Wh 1, Ktly, Cam +,
Del

o2 lent] Halliwell. That is, given, from the old English verb lene, to give The expression in the text is of usual occurrence in works, especially in the metrical romances, of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, but it was getting obsolete in Shakespeare's time 'A fulle harde grace was hir lentte Er she owt of this worde [sic Qu worlde?—ED] wente '—MS Cantab Ff v 48, f 43—Both Heath (Revisal, p 222) and Walker (Crit, iii, 117) suggest that 'lent' should here be changed to sent. Walker's unconsciousness that he was thus anticipated may be accounted for by the fact that he had, unfortunately, but few opportunities for consulting either earlier editors or commentators Walker's Editor, Lettsom, adds in a footnote 'Compare Rom & Jul, "That God hath sent us but this only child "—III, v, 166, where all the old copies but Q₁ read lent'—Vaughan (1, 4), without reference either to Heath or Walker, also proposes the like change—ED

93-98 He hath .. Richard] Were it not that the last words of 1 98, 'sirra speake,' are so closely connected with what follows, it would seem as though there were some corruption in the text and that these two speeches of Eleanor and John had been misplaced. There has been nothing said so far which might lead Eleanor to suspect the truth of Philip's paternity. Is it quite in Shakespeare's manner thus to anticipate that which is to be revealed in the next speech? Or are we to suppose that the resemblance was so striking that Eleanor could not restrain a remark upon it? In the corresponding scene in the *Troublesome Raigne* she does not acknowledge any likeness until after Robert has declared his suspicions. If, therefore, any change be necessary these two speeches might very fittingly follow 1. 141.—ED.

93 tricke of Cordelions face] Murray (N E D, s v trick, sb II, 8 b) A characteristic expression (of the face or voice), a peculiar feature, a distinguishing trait. [The present line quoted Steevens, on the other hand, takes 'trick' here in the sense of a 'tracing of a drawing', it is quite true that this is one of its meanings, but only in the language of heraldry, a fact of which Steevens was apparently cognisant since he endeavors to strengthen his interpretation by explaining that the word here means that 'peculiarity of face which may be sufficiently shown by the slightest outline.'—En]

94 affecteth him] WRIGHT That is, is an imitation of his. 'Affect' is used in Shakespeare in the sense of *imitate*, but not elsewhere with a personal object Compare 'Apen Men report thou dost affect my manners, and dost use them. Tim. 'Tis then because thou dost not keep a dog Whom I would imitate'—Timon, IV, iii, 199

96. large composition] C & M COWDEN CLARKE This expression finely brings to the eye those magnificent proportions of manly strength that characterised Richard I, and which helped to make him the heroic ideal of his English hearts.

K Iohn. Mine eye hath well examined his parts, 97
And findes them perfect Richard. firra fpeake,
What doth moue you to claime your brothers land
Philip Because he hath a half-face like my father? 100
With halfe that face would he haue all my land,
A halfe-fac'd groat, fiue hundred pound a yeere? 102

97-102 Mine eye a yeere?] Om Words 97 examined] examined Dyce, Sta Fle Huds 11 98 Richard] Richard Johns et seq firral firrah F₃F₄ Sirrah, Rowe et seq 99 land] land? Ff et seq 100 half-face] half-face, F₄, Rowe et 100 father?] father, Ff, Rowe,+
father Cam.+, Neils Craig father!
Del father Cap et cet
101 halfe that face] Ff, Rowe, Pope,
Coll 1, Cam +, Del Neils Craig
half the face Anon ap Cam half a face
Vaughan that half-face Theob et cet
102 Om Donovan
a yeere!] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob

a yeere?] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb a year Cap a-year! Knt, Sta Fle a year! Johns et cet

IOI halfe that face THEOBALD But why 'with half that face?' There is no question but the poet wrote as I have restored the text [See Text Notes]-COLLIER (ed i) The meaning is, that because Robert had only a thin narrow face, like his father, yet with only half the face of his father, he would have all his father's [Theobald's alteration] does not express what the poet seems to have in-Philip ridicules Robert for having, in fact, only half of the half-face of his father, yet claiming all the inheritance by reason of it [In his second edition Colher accepts Theobald's reading, though 'somewhat reluctantly,' as he acknowledges He yields, however, only because it is corroborated by the MS Corrector -ED]-DYCE (Remarks, etc., p 87) The 'half that' of the Folio is merely a transposition made by a mistake of the original compositor The context proves Theobald's alteration to be absolutely indispensable According to the old reading (in spite of Collier's strange explanation) the second line contradicts the first. It may, perhaps, be worth remarking here that the following line of Rom & Jul, II, vi, 34, 'I cannot sum up half my sum of wealth,' is given in the old editions thus (the words 'half my' being shuffled out of their right place) 'I cannot sum up sum of half my wealth,' and 'I cannot sum up some of half my wealth ' [Wherein does one line, as printed in the folio, contradict the other? Collier's explanation, so far from being 'strange,' is, to my mind, a most lucid and convincing argument against any alteration of the text —ED]

ro2. halfe-fac'd groat] THEOBALD calls attention to the anachronism in thus alluding 'to a coin not struck till the year 1504, in the reign of Henry VII, viz. a groat, which as well as the half-groat, bore but half-faces impressed. The poet sneers at the meagre sharp visage of the elder brother, by comparing him to a silver groat that bore the king's face in profile, so showed but half the face [It will be noticed that Theobald here madvertently refers this epithet to the wrong brother, Philip was the elder, the whole point of the controversy turns on the fact that the younger brother, Robert, claimed the inheritance Theobald also says that although groats were coined in the time of Edward III, they, as well as all other coins, bore the king's face in full He cites, in corroboration of the half-faced groat of Henry VII, Stowe Survay of London, p 47, Holinshed, Camden Remains

Rob. My gracious Liege, when that my father liu'd,	103
Your brother did imploy my father much	
Phil. Well fir, by this you cannot get my land,	105
Your tale must be how he employ'd my mother.	
Rob. And once dispatch'd him in an Embassie	
To Germany, there with the Emperor	108

104, 106 imploy employ'd] imploy imploy'd Ff (imploi'd F2), Rowe, + employ employ'd Cap et seq
104 much | much -- Rowe, Han Var
'73, Ktly. much -- Coll. much, -Theob et cet
105, 106 Om. Words Donovan

dispatch'd] despatcht Fle
211] on Ran
108 Germany,] Germany, Pope,+
there] Ff, Rowe,+ (-Var '73),
Dyce, Cam + there, Cap et cet

107 And] -And Wh 1, Huds 111

Concerning Britain - Ed]-Steevens The same contemptuous allusion occurs in The Downfall of Robert Earl of Huntington, 1601, 'You half-fac'd groat, you thickcheeked chitty-face '-[V 1, Haz-Dods, p 188] Again, in Histriomastix, 1610 'Whilst I behold you half-fac'd minion '-[IV, 1, 57, ed Simpson]-NARES (Gloss., s v Half-faced) Falstaff ridicules Shadow for his thin face, with the same contemptuous epithet 'This same half-faced fellow, Shadow-he presents no mark to the enemy '-2 Henry IV III, 11, 283 I am inclined to think that no more than a contemptuous idea of something imperfect is meant by "half-faced' in the famous rant of Hotspur. 'But out upon this half-faced fellowship!'—I Henry IV I, 111, 208 It has been supposed to allude to the half-facing of a dress, but that seems too minute Here also it means merely imperfect 'With all other odd ends of your half-faced English'-Nashe Apology for Pierce Penilesse [This last reference from Nashe is quite wrong. In the first place, there is no such title among his writings, as given either in Grosart's or McKerrow's editions The quotation is, however, correct, and is to be found in the tractate Strange Newes of the Intercepting Certaine Letters, ed Grosart, vol ii, p 210 This inaccurate reference would hardly be worth the correction, were it not that unfortunately Nares has misled the astute editor of the N E D., who has copied this fictitious title as a reference for the use of 'half-faced' in the sense imperfect, citing (N) as the authority, presumably Nares, but without reference to volume or page in any edition From the context in all the passages quoted it is quite evident that 'half-faced' in the present line in King John means unfinished, imperfect. Does it not almost exactly correspond with what Gloucester says of himself?—'Deform'd, unfinished, sent before my time Into this breathing world scarce half made up '-Rich. III I, 1, 20 —ED]

103 when that For other examples of 'that' used as a conjunctional affix, see, if needful, Abbott, § 287

107, 108 an Embassie To Germany] Boswell-Stone (p 50) Perhaps Sir Robert Faulconbridge usurped the mission of William Longchamp, Bishop of Ely, and Chancellor, sent by Richard in 1196 to confer with the Emperor Henry VI, who was anxious to prevent peace being made between the King and Philip of France. Or we may imagine that Sir Robert was one of the 'diverse noble men' who represented Richard at the coronation of the Emperor Otto IV in 1198 The objection that neither of these dates is consistent with Faulconbridge's dramatic age need not trouble us, for Richard—who sent Sir Robert to Germany—

To treat of high affaires touching that time Th'aduantage of his absence tooke the King, 110 And in the meane time foiourn'd at my fathers, Where how he did preuaile, I shame to speake. But truth is truth, large lengths of feas and shores Betweene my father, and my mother lay, As I have heard my father speake himselfe 115 When this fame lufty gentleman was got Vpon his death-bed he by will bequeath'd His lands to me, and tooke it on his death That this my mothers fonne was none of his; And if he were, he came into the world 120

100 time | time F4, Johns Var '73, Coll Hal Ktly, Glo. Cla 110. Th'] Ff, Rowe, + (-Var '73), Knt, Wh. i, Dyce n, m, Fle Huds n The Cap et cet. 112. Where .preuaile,] Where, prevail, F4, Rowe,+. Where prevail Coll. Cam +, Del Fle Speake | speak Ktly 113-116 Om Wordsworth 113, 114 Om Donovan 113 truth, large] truth; large Pope et large shores And true it is, my father Words.

113 lengths length Cap cont 115. As him[elfe] F2F3 As himfelf, F4, Rowe, Coll. Cam +, Del Words Fle. (As himself) Pope et cet 116 got] Ff, Cap got Rowe et cet he . bequeath'd] did bequeath 117 Words 118 death oath Anon con ap Cam 119-141. Om Words 119 this sonne F2 this son F₂F₄, Rowe, Pope, Sing. Ktly, Huds Cam +, Fle Rlfe this, son, Theob et cet 120. And Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns An Han Del S. Walker And, Cap et cet.

began to reign in 1189, and Faulconbridge could not therefore have numbered more than ten historic years at the opening of Act I in 1199.

118. tooke it on his death] Steevens That is, entertained it as his fixed opinion when he was dying —Staunton dissents from this interpretation by Steevens, and adds. 'We believe it was a common form of speech, and signified that he swore, or took oath, upon his death, of the truth of his belief. Thus Falstaff says "-and when mistress Bridget lost the handle of her fan, I took't upon my honour thou hadst it not "-Merry Wives, II, 11, 11 So also, in Beaumont & Fletcher's Lover's Progress "---upon my death I take it uncompelled, that they were guilty" ---V. in.—Moberly. 'Wished that he might die if it was not true' Why should anyone propose to read oath, especially as Falstaff says 'I'll take it on my death I gave him this wound?—I Henry IV V, 1V, 153 —WRIGHT. That is, maintained it by an oath, the asseveration being as true as his death was certain, or, as I rather incline to believe, staking his life as security for his truth. See Hall's Chronicle, Henry VII, fol 51b 'And there Perkyn standyng on a lytle skaffolde. redde hys confession, whiche before you have heard, and toke it on hys death to be true.' [Wright quotes two passages from Holinshed wherein this and a like phrase of asseveration are used as guarantees for the truth of a statement. Such examples might doubtless be multiplied, but these are sufficient to show that Steevens is clearly wrong in putting a too literal interpretation on the phrase -

Full fourteene weekes before the course of time:

121

Then good my Liedge let me haue what is mine,
My fathers land, as was my fathers will.

K Iohn. Sirra, your brother is Legittimate,
Your fathers wife did after wedlocke beare him:
And if she did play false, the fault was hers,
Which fault lyes on the hazards of all husbands
That marry wives tell me, how if my brother
Who as you say, tooke paines to get this sonne,

121 time | time Johns et seq 127 hazards] hazard Pope,+, Var 122 Then Liedge] Then Liege, F4 '78, '85, Ran. Then, Liege, Pope et seq 128 wines] wives Rowe et seq 124 Sirra, Sirrah, F. et seq 129 Who] F₂F₃, Pope, Han. Sta Huds Cam +, Del Words Neils Legitimate Fr 126-136 And if fathers heyre] Om Craig. -Who Ktly Who, Fa et Donovan. cet 126 And] Ff, Rowe,+, Coll Wh fay,] say Pope, Han Dyce, Cam + And, Cap et cet [son-Ktly]

121 Full fourteene weekes] On the question of the viability of a child thus prematurely born, see, if needful, Chesney Sh as a Physician, p 33 et seq —ED

124 your brother is Legittimate] GREY (1, 275) Shakespeare appears to be out in his law, [since Robert says] That his father was sent into Germany, and the king took advantage of his absence. Which was proof that his brother Philip was illegitimate It would have been reckon'd otherways, if his father had been anywhere within the four seas (the jurisdiction of the King of England). See Wood's Institute of the Laws of England, book I, chap 6 -C K DAVIS (p 144) [In ancient common-law] a child born after the marriage, and during the husband's life, was presumed to be legitimate
It was formerly the established doctrine that this presumption in favor of legitimacy could not be rebutted, unless the husband was absent during the whole period of the wife's pregnancy So, if a man be within the four seas and his wife hath a child, the law presumeth that it is the child of the husband, and against this presumption the law will admit no proof (Co Litt, 373a, 1 Phill Ev marg, p 630)... Sir Robert was not absent in Germany during the whole period of the wife's pregnancy, and for that reason the presumption of the legitimacy, as it was at that time, became conclusive. It is also to be remarked that the king pays no attention to the declarations said to have been made by Sir Robert denying his paternity of Philip In this he rules correctly, for nothing is better settled than that the declarations of father or mother are inadmissible to bastardize their children. This grotesque affront to common sense has long since ceased to be law Evidence is admissible in our day to attack the legitimacy of a child even where the father was unfra quatuor maribus during the whole period from conception to birth. The testimony, however, must be of the most cogent character, and leave no room for doubt.

127 Which fault] Compare III, i, 42, and for other examples of 'which' used as an adjective, see ABBOTT, § 269.

129. you say, tooke paines] This is not, I think, any inadvertence on Shake-

Had of your father claim'd this fonne for his,	130
Infooth, good friend, your father might have kept	
This Calfe, bred from his Cow from all the world	
Infooth he might then if he were my brothers,	
My brother might not claime him, nor your father	
Being none of his, refuse him this concludes,	135
My mothers fonne did get your fathers heyre,	
Your fathers heyre must have your fathers land	
Rob Shal then my fathers Will be of no force,	
To dispossesses that childe which is not his.	139

130 hts.] Ff, Rowe, Pope hts—
Ktly hts? Theob et cet
132 Cowl Cow, F4, Rowe, +
world | world Pope, Theob Han.
Warb world, Johns
133 might | might Ktly.
134 claime | clam F4
135 him | him Fleay, Neils
concludes,] Ff, Rowe, Pope,
Theob Han Warb Ktly concludes

Johns concludes, Cam +, Del Fleay,
Huds 11, Neils Craig concludes,—
Cap et cet
138. Shal then! Shall then Ff Shall,
then, Coll Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Sta Huds
Fleay
force, I force F4, Rowe,+, Coll.
Dyce, Wh i, Fleay
139 his! his? F4 et seq

speare's part, but one of those happy touches which contribute so much to the natural flow of the dialogue It is quite in keeping that the king should not at once recall that it was Philip who used this phrase in regard to himself (See 1 86, ante)—ED

132 Calfe, bred from his Cow] STEEVENS The decision of King John coincides with that of Menie, the Indian lawgiver 'Should a bull beget a hundred calves on cows not owned by his master, those calves belong solely to the proprietors of the cows.' See *The Hindu Laws*, etc., translated by Sir W. Jones, London edit, p 251 [See also F F Heard Sh as a Lawyer, p 97]

135 concludes] JOHNSON This is a decisive argument. As your father, if he liked him, could not have been forced to resign him, so, not liking him, he is not at liberty to reject him—Delius considers that according to the punctuation of the Folio 'this concludes' is to be connected with the succeeding sentence, and therefore does not bear the interpretation given by Johnson—Wright, in support of Johnson, quotes 'The text most infallibly concludes it '—Love's Labour's Lost, IV, ii, 120.

138, 139 Will . . . no force, To dispossesse] Verplanck. The dramatist is both legally and historically accurate. From the time of the Norman conquest lands in England ceased to be devisable, as they had been under the Saxon law. This remained in force until the Statute of Wills, in 32 Henry VIII, authorising the devises of real estate, under some restrictions, afterwards re-enacted and extended under Charles II. (See II. Blackstone's Commentaries, 374-6.) One of the exceptions to this rule was in the county of Kent, which did not apply here, as the lands are described in Northamptonshire. I do not mention this as bearing on the question of Shakespeare's asserted legal studies, because it is taken from the old King John, and it is probable it was founded on a traditional account of a true incident.

140

Phil. Of no more force to dispossesse me sir, Then was his will to get me, as I think

Eh Whether hadft thou rather be a Faulconbridge,

And like thy brother to enjoy thy land. Or the reputed fonne of *Cordehon*, Lord of thy prefence, and no land beside

145

140 me] me, F₄ et seq
141 Then] Than F₄
142 Whether] Say, Pope, Han
Whe'r Sta conj Fleay, Huds 11
rather be] rather,—be Cap Var
'78, '85, Ran Mal Steev Varr. Sing
Faulconbridge] Falconbridge
Dyce, Hal. Huds 11, Words

143 And brother] F₂F₃, Huds 11
And brother, F₄, Rowe, +, Hal Wh 1,
Del And brother, Cap et cet
144 Cordelion,] F₂F₄, Rowe, Del
Fleay Cordelion F₃ Cœur-de-lion
Pope et cet
145 thy] the Warb
beside] beside? F₄ et seq

142, 143 hadst thou rather... to enioy] WRIGHT In such clauses it is not uncommon to insert 'to' before the second infinitive, though it is omitted before the first Compare 'Brutus had rather be a villager Than to repute himself a son of Rome'—Jul Cas, I, 11, 173 [For other examples, see Abbott, § 380, and for an account of the origin of this phrase, see Mason English Grammar and Analysis, § 529, foot-note]

145 Lord of thy presence | WARBURTON 'Lord of thy presence' can signify only master of thyself, and it is a strange expression to signify even that However, that he might be, without parting with his land We should read-'Loid of the presence,' i e , prince of the blood —HEATH (p 222) 'Lord of the presence' never yet signified 'a Prince of the blood,' nor can Mr Warburton produce a single instance of this expression The common reading means, Lord of thine own person, which comprehends the whole of thy lands, lordships, and titles Mr Warburton objects, that Robert [see Qu Philip?] 'might be lord of his person without parting with his land' So undoubtedly he might, but our critick seems not to have understood the alternative proposed by Queen Elinor, which was this Whether he would choose to be the heir of Faulconbridge with the enjoyment of his lands, or to be the acknowledged son of Cœur de Lion at the expense of giving up his claim to those lands, to which, if he were really the son of Cœur de Lion, he could not have the least title -Johnson 'Lord of thy presence' means master of that dignity and grandeur of appearance that may sufficiently distinguish thee from the vulgar without the help of fortune ' Lord of his presence apparently signifies great in his own person, and is used in this sense by King John in one of the following scenes [I, 11, 389]—F GENTLEMAN (ap Bell's ed, p 9) This encouragement to own Bastardy upon supposition is a very indelicate stroke of her majesty's, and King John's knighting him without any merit to claim that honour, but impudence, is as silly a promotion as some other Kings have made.—Knight 'Presence' may here mean priority of place, preséance As the son of Cœur de Lion, Faulconbridge would take rank without his land If Warburton's interpretation be correct, the passage may have suggested the lines in Sir Henry Wotton's song on a Happy Life 'Lord of himself, though not of lands, And having nothing, yet hath all.'—C & M COWDEN CLARKE (Sh Key, p 629) In this play twice occurs an expression which is to be found nowhere else used by Shakespeare It is 'Lord of thy presence' and 'Lord of our presence,' employed to signify master of thine own individuality, and

Bast. Madam, and if my brother had my shape And I had his, fir Roberts his like him,

146

146 Bast] Phil Theobald, Warb Varr. Ran. Words Dono

and if Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns Fleay an if Han et cet. 147 his, sir Roberts his his, Sir Robert's his F₄ his, Sir Robert's his, Rowe, Cap Var '73, '78, Hal Cam + his, Sir Robert's his, Pope his, Sir Robert's, his, Han his Sir Roberts, his, Fleay just Sir Robert's shape, or just Sir Robert his Vaughan his, Sir Robert his, Theob et cet

master of our own individuality In the first of the two passages we think it is meant to include the sense of master of that fine manly person inherited from Cœur-de-Lion, as well as, master of thine own self—Ivor John suggests that this phrase may here 'bear the meaning of Lord from thy very appearance, that is, your mere appearance would tell people that you were nobly born' [That 'of' may have the force of from or in consequence of, Abbott (§ 168) shows by several examples, but is it necessary here so to understand it, as Ivor John suggests? Heath's interpretation, as it is the simplest, seems to be all sufficient—ED |

146 Bast.] WRIGHT calls attention to this change in designation of Philip, following directly upon his choice

147 sir Roberts his like him] Johnson This is obscure and ill expressed The meaning is—'If I had his shape, sir Robert's—as he has' Sir Robert his for Sir Robert's is agreeable to the practice of that time, when the 's added to the nominative was believed, I think erroneously, to be a contraction of his The genitive or possessive case in Anglo-Saxon is formed by adding as to the nominative The apostrophe, therefore, represents the omitted letter a -ED |-MALONE follows Theobald's regulation of the text (see Text Noies), and points out that 'his' is here redundant, ascribing its use, as does Johnson, to the mistaken formation of the possessive - Walker (Crit, iii, 117), referring to Johnson and Malone's explanation, says 'But his in this construction, without a substantive, is a different idiom. and one of which I have met with no example, nor is there any necessity of metre to palliate such a violence on language ' Walker conjectures that a comma should be inserted after 'Sir Roberts', wherein, as his editor Lettsom points out, Walker is anticipated by Hanmer (see Text Notes) Lettsom adds 'I believe [the Folio reading] to be the genuine one, though I must own I doubt Walker's interpretation. The double genitive, though denounced by Malone, is occasionally heard even now in the mouths of the vulgar, and, though it may not accord with modern notions of grammar, it is not more repugnant to them than the double nominative, "God he knoweth," or the double accusative, "God I pray him," both of which examples (not to mention others elsewhere) occur in Rich III'-John Hunter That is, And if Sir Robert had his shape like him, if Sir Robert's shape was like my brother's. [Hunter follows Theobald, but omits the comma after 'Robert']-FLEAY I understand the passage thus. His (my brother's) shape of Sir Robert; his (my brother's); like him (my brother)-Philip pointing at his brother at the words his and him I take 'his Sir Robert's' to be a compound phrase, 'his' being an attributive to 'Sir Robert's' (shape) -WRIGHT: [Following the Folio], that is, his shape, which is also his father Sir Robert's -GOLLANCZ Surely his is used substantively with that rollicking effect which is so characteristic of Faulconbridge. There is no need to explain the phrase as equivalent to has shape, which is also his father Sir Robert's, 'Sir Robert's his' = Sir Robert's shape, 'his' emphasizing substantively

148

And if my legs were two fuch riding rods,
My armes, fuch eele-skins stuft, my face so thin,
That in mine eare I durst not sticke a rose,
Lest men should say, looke where three farthings goes,

151

149 stuffed Dono stuff'd Mal et cet

151. looke goes,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han 'look goes' Theob Warb. Look, goes' Johns et cet

the previous pronominal use of the word—Hertford quotes with approval the foregoing explanation by Gollancz, and adds 'The line might be paraphrased "And I had his shape, in other words, a his of Sir Robert's" —[Any interpretation which wrests an intelligible meaning from the Folio text without change of letter or punctuation is assuredly alluring. If 'his' be here used substantively it is a āπαξ λεγόμενον not only for Shakespeare but all other writers according to that court of last appeal, the New English Dictionary, such being the case we must, I fear, reluctantly accept the decision of that lesser court, Schmidt's Lexicon, that 'Sir Robert's his' is here a reduplicated genitive—Ed]

148 riding rods] Craigie ($N \ E \ D$, s v) quotes in illustration 1555 Rutland MSS (1905), IV, 376 Paid for 13 ryding-roddes of bone for my Ladie, and other thinges, xxijd

150, 151 rose . . . three farthings [Theobald In this very obscure passage our poet is anticipating the date of another coin, humorously to rally a thin face, eclipsed, as it were, by a full blown rose We must observe, to explain this allusion, that Oueen Elizabeth was the first, and indeed the only prince, who coined in England three-half-pence, and three-farthing pieces. She coined shillings, sixpences, groats, three-pences, two-pences, three-half-pence, pence, three-farthings, and half-pence, and these pieces all had her head, and were alternately with the rose behind, and without the rose The shilling, groat, two-pence, penny, and halfpenny had it not the other intermediate coins, wz, the sixpence, three-pence, three half-pence, and three-farthings, had the rose -Warburton The sticking roses about them was then all the court-fashion, as appears from this passage of the Confession Catholique du S. de Sancy, l 11, c. i 'Je luy ay appris à mettre des roses par tous les coins' 1 e, in every place about him, says the speaker of one whom he had taught all the court fashions [Does 'tous les coins' not rather mean in every corner or in all places? It can hardly refer to personal adornment —ED 1—Steevens. in corroboration of the appearance of the Tudor rose on coins of that time, quotes '-Here's a three penny-piece for thy tidings Fuk 'Tis but three halfpence I think yes, 'tis three-pence, I smell the rose '-Shoemaker's Holiday, [ed Pearson, vol 1, p. 41] And in regard to the fashion mentioned by Warburton says 'The roses stuck in the ear were, I believe, only roses composed of ribbons In Marston's What You Will is the following passage "Dupatzo, the elder brother, the fool, he that bought the half-penny ribband, wearing it in his ear," &c [IV, i; ed Bullen, p. 391] Again, in Every Man out of his Humour "-This ribband in my ear, or so" [II, i, ed Gifford, p. 70] Again, in Love and Honour (D'Avenant, 1640) "A lock on the left side, so rarely hung With ribbanding," &c' [II, 1, ed Maidment, p. 128]. 'I think I remember,' adds Steevens, 'among Vandyck's pictures in the Duke of Queensbury's collection at Ambrosbury, to have seen one, with the lock nearest the ear ornamented with ribbands which terminate in roses, and Burton, in Anatomy of Melancholy, says, "that it was once the fashion to stick real flowers in the ear" And to his shape were heyre to all this land,

152

152 And to his shape And with his seq shape Han And, to his shape, Cap et 152 this land I his land Vaughan

At Kirtling (vulgarly pronounced-Catlage), in Cambridgeshire, the magnificent residence of the first Lord North, there is a juvenile portrait (supposed to be of Queen Elizabeth), with a red rose sticking in her ear '-MALONE Marston in his Saures, 1598, alludes to this fashion as fantastical 'Ribbanded ears, Grenada nether-stocks' [Scourge of Villanie Address to Reader, ed Hallowell, 111, 243] And from the Epigrams of Sir John Davies, printed at Middleburgh, about 1508, it appears that some men of gallantry in our author's time, suffered their ears to be bored, and wore their mistress's silken shoe-strings in them ['Yet for thy sake I will not bore mine ear To hang thy dirty silken shoe-tires there '-Ignoto Dyce's Marlowe, 111, 263 —That such a fashion as tying ribbons in the ears was practised by the gallants of the latter years of Elizabeth and the early years of King James, these passages quoted by Steevens and Malone abundantly prove, but that there is a reference to this fashion in the present passage in King John is not. I think, so clearly evident Steevens's reference to the supposed portrait of Elizabeth with the red rose in the ear is much more to the point Planche (ii, 232) alludes to this latter fashion, giving as illustration of it a portion of a contemporaneous portrait of Richard Lee wherein a rose is worn in the same way, appending as explanation these lines from King John There is, of course, the difficulty contained in Philip's words 'in my ear,' but we need not place too literal a meaning on the preposition, since the reference is to the appearance of the face on a coin with a rose as its background Moreover, the word 'rose' applied to a bunch or knot of ribbon was not in use until after 1600, and even then was almost exclusively used to describe the ornament on a shoe It is noticeable that in none of the quotations given by Steevens and Malone is this decoration called by any other name than a ribbon, whereas Philip distinctly mentions that which is, presumably, the well-known badge of the Tudors-a rose. The following passage in Burton's Anatomy of Melancholy is, I think, the one to which Steevens refers "Tis the common humor of all suitors to trick up themselves, to be prodigal in apparel, pure lotus, neat, comb'd and curl'd, with powder'd hairs, comptus et calamistratus; with a long lovelock, a flowre in his ear, perfumed gloves, rings, scarfs, feathers, points, &c '—Part 3, Sec 2, Mem 4, Subsec 1.—ED]

Three farthings Halliwell says that 'the expression three farthings came to be used as typical of any thing or person very worthless or mean,' quoting in support of this, from Nomenclator, 1585 'The least peece of come or currant monie, as three-farthings with us'—Moberly objects to Theobald's explanation, as in the foregoing note, on the ground that 'it seems a little hazy, for the rose was on other coins, and not only on the three-farthing piece, so why should laughers be particularly reminded of the latter? On the other hand, if we suppose the joke to mean that the rose was to the face as a halfpenny to a farthing, this is just the kind of disproportion which the mind of the lieges would be prepared duly to resent and stigmatise '—Is it necessary to limit the exact meaning conveyed by this contemptuous remark? It hardly needs Halliwell's assertion that 'three-farthings' was symbolical of paltriness, the very name of the coin suggests it, conveying the idea of smallness and incompleteness, it is not even so much as a penny, and the triplex dental sound of the words is almost the same as fie! or faugh!—ED

153

Would I might neuer furre from off this place, I would give it every foot to have this face It would not be fir nobbe in any cafe.

155

153 Would place, In parentheses, Del

Would] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob i, Han Coll Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Sta Cam +, Del 'Would, Theob 11 et cet 154 I would euery] Ff, Rowe, Knt, Cam + I'd ev'ry Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns I'd every Cap et cet 154 face] hand Fleay 155 It] Knt Del 1 I Ff et cet fir nobbe] Srr Nobbe F4, Rowe, + srr Nob Cap et seq

152 his shape. . this land] Malone There is no noun to which 'were' can belong, unless the personal pronoun in the last line but one be understood here. I suspect that our author wrote 'And though his shape were heir to all his land' Thus the sentence proceeds in one uniform tenour—'and if my legs were,' &c —'and though his shape,' &c —M Mason (Comments on Beaumont & Fleicher Appendix, p. 35). The difficulty in this passage arises from a transposition of the words 'h's' and 'this', it should run thus 'And to this shape were heir to all his land'. By 'this shape' Faulconbridge means the shape he had just been describing—'Steevens The old reading is the true one. 'To his shape' means, in addition to it. So, in Tro & Cress. 'The Greeks are strong, and skilful to their strength, Fierce to their skill, and to their fierceness valiant '—I, 1, 7. [For other examples of this construction, see Abbott, § 185]

154, 155 I would . . . in any case] W G Stone (Notes & Queries, 1886, VII, 1, 143) Halle relates that Dunois, natural son of Louis, Duke of Orleans, preferred, like the Bastard in King John, a splendid illegitimacy to a respectable name and an inheritance attached thereto. When Dunois was a year old his mother and nominal father, 'the lorde of Cauny,' died, shortly after Orleans's murder in 1407 The infant's paternity was debated before the Parliament of Paris by his mother's relatives and Cauny's next of kin, but the question remained undecided until Dunois was eight years old, 'at whiche tyme,' says Halle, 'it was demaunded of hym openly whose sonne he was his frendes of his mothers side aduertised him to require a day, to be aduised of so great an answer, whiche he asked, & to hym it was graunted . At the daie assigned, . when the question was repeated hym again, he boldly answered, "my harte geueth me, & my noble corage telleth me, that I am the sonne of the noble Duke of Orleaunce, more glad to be his Bastarde, with a meane huyng, then the lawfall sonne of that coward cuckolde Cauny, with his four thousand crounes [a year] "'-Halle's Chronicle, ed 1809, pp 144, 145 What authority had Halle for this story? I have not found it in Monstrelet and his continuators (Chroniques Nationales Française, ed Buchon). A similar story is recorded by Stow, under the year 1213 'Morgan Prouost of Beuerley, Brother to K John, was elected byshop of Durham, but he comming to Rome to be consecrated returned agains without it, for that he was a bastard, and K Henry, father to K John, had begotten him of the wife of one Radulph Bloeth, yet would the Pope have dispensed with him, if he would have called himself the son of the knight, and not of the king. But he vsing the aduise of one William of Lane his Clarke, aunswered, that for no worldly promotion, he would deny the kings blood '-Stow's Annales, 1605, p 256.-Stow's authority appears to be Lib[er] Bermond[sey] -P SIMPSON (Notes & Queries, 1900, IX, v, 393) quotes a passage from B. Riche. The Irish Hubbub, 1617, wherein is related an incident from a

Elmor I like thee well wilt thou forfake thy fortune, 156
Bequeath thy land to him, and follow me?
I am a Souldier, and now bound to France

Bast. Brother, take you my land, Ile take my chance;
Your face hath got fiue hundred pound a yeere,
Yet fell your face for fiue pence and 'tis deere
Madam, Ile follow you vnto the death

Elmor Nay, I would have you go before me thither. 163

To well will well Wilt Johns
Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Sta Del Fleay,
Dono
fortune fortunne F2
Top, 162 Ile] I'le F4
Top pound pounds Steev Varr

Total Wh 1, Ktly, Huds ii, Del
Dono Craig
Total a yeere a-year Knt, Sta
Fleay
Total deere deere F2 dear F3F4 et
seq

French Historie,' closely following that given by Stone from Halle's Chronicle, save that the names are changed from the Duke of Orleans to the 'Duke of Guyses' and Cauny to 'Monsieur Granduyle' The reply of the Bastard is almost in the same words as in Halle [It is neither a profitable nor a pleasant task to collect further examples of such a choice as Philip Faulconbridge's, but the mere mention of the name of William D'Avenant will doubtless recall another case, certainly more widely known than any of those just given See, if needful, Dict Nat Biog, s v D'Avenant, William, vol v, p 552—ED]

- 154 face] FLEAY My emendation [hand for 'face'] is necessary for the rhyme, and also for the antithesis to 'foot,' which, after Shakespeare's usual custom, is used in a double sense, one meaning being merely glanced at
- 155 It would not] MALONE I am not sure that the correction [by the editor of F₂] is necessary—The CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note VII) call attention to a like apparent misprint in Twelfth Night. 'I cannot be so answered'—II, iv, 87, where all the Folios read 'It cannot,' etc. In the present passage Knight and Delius (ed i) retain the reading of the Folio and thus make 'It would' refer to 'this face'
- 155. ser nobbe] CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 118) 'Nob' is a cant word for head, and from its relation in sound to another cant word Bob for Robert, it may well be that that name too is alluded to, as well as the brother's face, who was a knight and a Robert [Has not Capell confused the father with the younger son? King John later makes the distinction between Philip, after his knighting, and Robert, who is left merely as a squire.—Knight, and the Cowden-Clarkes likewise, interpret 'Nob' here as the cant word for head, apparently independently Excellent as the suggestion is, it is quite untenable, as the word 'nob,' in this sense, was unknown before 1700—ED]
- 157. Bequeath] MURRAY (N E D, $s v \Pi$, 4) To make a formal assignation of property of which one is possessed to anyone, so as to pass to him at once. To transfer, hand over, make over (Obs) [The present line quoted]
- 162 vnto the death] Compare 'You are both sure and will assist me? Conr To the death, my lord'—Much Ado, I, iii, 71.
- 163 Nay... before me thither] DEIGHTON Elmor, playing upon his words, says: 'Nay, I would rather you should precede me thither, 1 e, on the road to death,' to which the Bastard, keeping up the joke, answers, 'our rustic manners teach us to give precedence to our superiors'—[Deighton is possibly right, at the same time.

170

Bast Our Country manners give our betters way.

K Iohn. What is thy name?

Bast Philip my Liege, so is my name begun,

Philip, good old Sir Roberts wives eldest sonne

K Iohn. From henceforth beare his name

Whose forme thou bearest.

Kneele thou downe *Philip*, but rife more great, Arife Sir *Richard*, and *Plantagenet*.

166 Philip] Philip, F₄

Liege, begun,] Ff, Rowe, Pope,

+ liege,— begun,— Dyce, Hal Sta
Words liege, begun, Cam + liege,
.. begun Cap et cet
167 Philip] Om Dono
willes] wife's Rowe et seq
eldeft] eld'st Dyce 11, 111, Fleay
true eldest Dono

168, 169 From bearest One line

Pope et seq
169 beareft] Ff, Rowe, Knt, Coll
Dyce 1, Wh 1, Sta Del Craig bear'st
Pope et set.

170 downel down, Ktly
r1/el Ff, Rowe, Mal Var '21,
Coll Cam +. r1se up Pope, +, Cap
Marsh. to r1se Ktly ar1se Var '73 et
cet

171 [knighting him Capell

since 'to the death' was a familiar phrase, Elinor may refer to the expedition to France, and object to the Bastard's too literal interpretation of her word 'follow,' which she here uses in the sense of entering her service—ED]

164 Our... betters] WRIGHT 'Betters' in this sense will never become obsolete so long as it is retained in the Church Catechism

167 eldest] WALKER (Vers, 167) quotes the present line among others as examples wherein for the sake of the metre the e in superlatives is often suppressed (See also, I, 11, 189)

170. but rise more great] As will be seen in the Text Notes there have been various suggestions to amend the iregularity in the metre of this line -MALONE'S remark that 'more is here used as a dissyllable,' provoked a characteristically sarcastic retort from Ritson (Cursory Criticisms, p. 61) 'What an admirable thing is it to have a delicate ear! A plain hobbling fellow unblessed with that advantage would have only thought the little word up wanting, and spoiled, of course, a most excellent monodissyllable '-Steevens reprints Malone's note, not wishing, as he says, to 'suppress it,' though he does 'not concur' Malone's last word on the subject appears in the Variorum of 1821, where he still maintains his preference for the dissyllable, and adds that 'Colonel Roberts suggested to him to read rise up,' thus ignoring Ritson and inadvertently betraying the fact that he had not consulted the texts of his predecessors, Pope, Theobald, Hanmer, Warburton, Johnson, and Capell —ABBOTT (§ 506) q otes this line among others as an illustration of a gesture used to supply the place of a syllable or foot in lines with four accents where there is an interruption, thus here the King's action of dubbing Philip at the words 'But rise,' etc , fills out the line.-ED

171. Plantagenet] MALONE It is a common opinion that Plantaganet was the surname of the 'royal house of England from the time of King Henry II, but it is, as Camden observes in his Remaines Concerning Britaine, 1614, a popular mistake. Plantaganet was not a family name, but a nick-name, by which a grandson of Geoffrey, the first Earl of Anjou, was distinguished, from his wearing a broomstalk in his bonnet. But this name was never borne either by the first Earl of

Bast Brother by th'mothers side, give me your hand,
My father gave me honor, yours gave land
Now blessed be the houre by night or day
When I was got, Sir Robert was away.

Ele The very spirit of Plantaginet
I am thy grandame Richard, call me so
Bast Madam by chance, but not by truth, what tho,
Something about a little from the right,

172-184 Om Words 172 Brother Brother Sta th'] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Wh 1, Fleav, Huds 11 the Cap et cet 173 land] Ff, Knt, Sta Huds Cam +, Del. Rlfe, Craig land - Cap Var '78, '85, Ran Mal Steev. Varr Sing Huds land Rowe et cet 174, 175 Om. Dono 174 bleffed blessed Dyce, Huds 11 be by Pope houre day F2F3, Fleay hour. day, Sing Huds hour,.. day, F4 et cet 175 got,] got F3, Coll away | away' Han. Warb Johns Var '73, Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Cam +, Del Huds ii, Rlfe, Craig

176 Plantaginet | Plantaganet F2-Plantaganet F4, Rowe F., Fleav Plantaganet! Pope et seg 177 grandame] grandam F4, Rowe, Pope,+, Dyce, Hal Cam +, Del Coll m. Huds n grandame Richard, F2F3 Grandam, Richard, F4, Rowe Grandam; Richard, Pope,+ grandame, Richard; Cap et seq 178 truth, what tho, Ff, Rowe, Pope. truth, what tho'? Theob Johns Var '73 truth -What tho'? Cap truth What though? Ktly, Sta Fleav truth, what though? Warb et cet 170 about F2F3, Pope about, F. et cet.

Anjou, or by King Henry II, the son of that Earl by the Empress Maude, he being always called Henry Fitz-Empress; his son, Richard Cœur-de-Lion, and the prince who is exhibited in the play before us, John Sans-terre, or Lackland —[James Tair (Dict of Nat Biog, s v Plantaganet, Family of) says that the family did not assume the surname until the middle of the fifteenth century, and that the explanation of its traditional derivation from Geoffrey's adorning his cap with a sprig of the p anta genista 'cannot be traced to any mediæval source—One version ascribes it to his "having applied some twigs of the plant to his person by way of penance" (Vestigia Anglicana, i, 266) '—Ed]

178. by chance, but not by truth Johnson That is, I am your grandson, madam, by chance, but not by honesty, what then Moberly Elinor of Guienne was not likely to object much to this freedom of tone, considering the youthful passages which had led to her divorce from Louis le Jeune, after the second Crusade

proverbial sentences, is obscure I am, says the sprightly knight, your grandson, a little urregularly, but every man cannot get what he wishes the legal way He that dares not go about his designs by day, must make his motions in the night; he, to whom the door is shut, must climb the window, or leap the hatch This, however, shall not depress me, for the world never enquires how any man got what he is known to possess, but allows that to have is to have, however it was caught, and that he who wins shot well, whatever was his skill, whether the arrow fell near the mark, or far off it.—Wright. The proverbial sayings which follow are characteristic

In at the window, or else ore the hatch: Who dares not stirre by day, must walke by night, And haue is haue, how euer men doe catch Neere or farre off, well wonne is still well shot, And I am I, how ere I was begot.

K. Iohn. Goe, Faulconbridge, now hast thou thy desire, A landlesse Knight, makes thee a landed Squire

180, 182 hatch catch | hatch catch Coll Wh 1, Del hatch catch Ktly 183 well shot | well-shot Pope, Theob 1 184 how ere | how e're F₄ howe'er Rowe et seq 185 Faulconbridge | Falconbridge Dyce, Hal Huds 11, Words r86 Knight,] Knight Rowe 11, et seq

Squire] 'Squire Pope,+, Cap
'squire — Var '78, '85, Ran Mal
Steev. Varr Sing Coll Hal. Wh 1.
squire Knt, Dyce, Ktly, Sta Huds.
Cam +.

of the Bastard's rusticity of breeding [Wright compares Coriol, I, 1, 199 'They said they were an-hungry, sighed forth proverbs']

180 In at the window] STEEVENS These expressions mean, to be born out of wedlock. So, in The Family of Love, Middleton, 1608 'Woe worth the time that ever I gave suck to a child that came in at the window!' [IV, 111, 113, ed Bullen, p 83] So, in Northward Hoe, Dekker & Webster, 1607 '—kindred that comes in o'er the hatch' [I, 1, ed Pearson, p 7] Such another phrase occurs in Anything for a Quiet Life, [Middleton, 1662] '—then you keep children in the name of your own, which she suspects came not in at the right door' [III, 11, 215, ed. Bullen, p 299] Again, in The Witches of Lancashire, Heywood & Brome, 1634 '—It appears then by your discourse that you came in at the window' [I, 1, ed Pearson, p. 174.] Again, '—to escape the dogs hath leaped in at a window—'Tis thought you came into the world that way,—because you are a bastard' [Ibid, II, 1, ed Pearson, p 108]

181 Who dares... by night] JOHNSON'S paraphrase of this line, 'He that dares not go about his designs by day, must make his motions in the night,' leaves it much as it was before, without a hint as to its special application. It is, however, with the greatest diffidence that I offer a possible explanation of this puzzling phrase—a proverb of the Bastard's own invention, as far as I have been able to ascertain. Thus There is a very marked antithesis between the first part of the sentence and the second half. He who does not even dare to move in the day-time will be compelled to walk in the dark. That is, in seeking to avoid that which is easy, he must do that which is difficult, and Philip thus applies it to his case If he had not dared to renounce the ownership of lands and money, mere outward ornaments, he would have been forced to forego the much higher honor of being Cœur de Lion's son.—ED

183 Neere or farre off] PAGE dissents from Johnson's explanation ('he who wins shot well whether the arrow fell near the mark or far off it') on the ground that 'near or far off' rather means whether the contestant were near or far off, as the winning depends on the arrow falling near or on the mark Page is undoubtedly right A contestant whose arrow fell wide of the mark would never be declared a winner.—ED.

186 a landlesse Knight] JOHN HUNTER refers this to the king himself on ac-

Come Madam, and come Richard, we must speed

For France, for France, for it is more then need.

Bast Brother adieu, good fortune come to thee,

For thou wast got i'th way of honesty

Exeunt all but bastard

Bast A foot of Honor better then I was, But many a many foot of Land the worse.

193

187 Madam, Richard, Madam,
Richard, F₂ madam,— Richard,—
Cap Madam,— Richard, Dyce, Hal
Sta Huds 11, Words
188 For France, for France For France,—for France! Hal
for France Sta
then] than F₄

189, 190 Om Dono
189 Brother adveu,] Ff, Rowe, Pope
Brother, adveu Ktly Brother, adveu,
Theob. et cet

thee,] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Var
'78, '85 Coll thee' Cap et cet
190. Om Words
wast wast Pope,+ (-Var '73)

190 i'th] F₂F₃ i'th F₄, Rowe, Pope, +, Wh. 1, Fleay i' the Cap et cet honesty! Var '73, '78, '8r Pann

'85, Rann
191 all but bastard Om Cap Dono

SCENE III Pope, Han Warb. Johns
SCENE II The same Ante-room of
the same Enter Bastard Capell
SCENE II Before the Castle. Enter

SCENE II Before the Castle. Enter Richard Donovan

192 Bast A foot] A foot .. Rowe, +, Varr Mal Steev Varr Sing. Knt, Coll. Dyce

193 many a many] many, a many Pope many, many a Han many, ah! many a Coll. 11. (MS.)

count of his being known as Sans-terre or Lackland, but, as both Rolfe and Deighton remark, it can refer to Philip only, who by resigning his lands has made his brother a 'landed squire.'

189 good fortune come to thee] Collier Alluding to the proverb that 'bastards are born lucky.' Philip wishes his brother good fortune, because Robert was not a bastard; had he been illegitimate, the wish, according to the proverb, would have been needless [This may be called a superstituous belief rather than a proverb It is given in Lean's Collectanea, ii, 609—ED]

appears a direction of mere convenience, put in by the players, his cause of thinking so, this The letters [Scene III] that stand before 'A foot of honour,' etc indicate an intended re-entry, [see Text Notes] which some words of both the speeches before it make apparently proper, and has this propriety further—in admitting a new scene for the 'Lady' and her servant to enter on, which the first was most unfitted for certainly The instant coming-in of a character who has but just made his exit is faulty, but not without its examples [In support of this, see Macbeth, V, viii, where, after Macbeth's defiance of Macduff, the stage-direction in the Folio reads. 'Executi fighting,' which is immediately followed by. 'Enter Fighting and Macbeth slame Retreat, and Flourish' etc—Ep]

192 A foot of Honor] Johnson. That is, a step, un pas.

193 many a many] This is the only passage in Shakespeare wherein precisely this construction occurs. It is, moreover, the only example quoted by Bradley (N E D) under 'many' used emphatically—Schmidt compares Hamlet, III, iii, 9. 'Most holy and religious fear it is To keep those many many bodies safe'—

Well, now can I make any *Ioane* a Lady, Good den Sir *Richard*, Godamercy fellow,

195

194 Lady, Lady, Ff, Rowe lady Pope,+, Knt, Ktly, Cam +, Fleay lady — Cap et cet

195-215 Mnemonic Warb

195 Good den] Good denne F₂F₃ Good denne, F₄ Good-denn Rowe Good-den Pope,+, Cap Good den, Var '73 et cet

Richard,] Robert Johns Rich-

ard! Cam +, Ktly Richard Coll
Huds Sta Wh 1, Del Fleay
195 Godamercy fellow,] —Godamercy fellow, Pope, +, Knt, Coll 11, 111,
Hal Sta — 'God-a-mercy, fellow!'—
Wh Ktly, Cam +, Huds 11 God-a-mercy, fellow,— Cap et cet

ABBOTT (§ 87) says 'A was frequently inserted before a numeral adjective for the purpose of indicating that the objects enumerated are regarded collectively as one.

The a in "a many men" is perhaps thus to be explained 'Abbott quotes from this play, 'A many thousand warlike French,' IV, 11, 209, and, as a still more curious example, the present passage, adding 'Some explain "a many" by reference to the old noun "many," "a many men," for "a many (of) men" And the word is thus used "A many of our bodies," Henry V IV, 111, 95'—[Abbott does not, I think, intend this as an explanation of the line under discussion, the use of the singular after the second 'many' precludes this The lack of examples seems to point to this repetition being here used merely for emphasis, and that it is not a peculiar construction—ED]

regard to Spranger Barry's first appearance as the Bastard 'It was a matter of astonishment to every spectator that Barry, with the superior advantage of a fine person, should make so little of the Bastard He seemed in that part to be quite out of his road all the humour, gaiety, ease and gallantry of Falconbridge were lost in Barry.... On his endeavoring to repeat the following words in the First Act of the play, 'Well, now can I make any Joan a lady,' he was so embarrassed in the delivery of this single line that, not being able to repeat the words, he was forced to quit the stage, amidst the general applauses of the audience, who saw and felt his uneasiness But, what is still more surprising, after going off and returning three several times, with the same kind encouragement of the spectators, he was forced to give it up, and I believe he did not recover himself till he was relieved by the entrance of Lady Falconbridge'

194 any Ioane] That is, any peasant girl, Joan was as common a name for a woman as Jack was for a man—WRIGHT compares 'Some men must love my lady and some Joan'—Love's Labour's, III, 1, 207—ED

195 Good den] That is, Good e'en, good even, for examples, see Shakespeare passim

Sir Richard Steevens In Act IV, [scene iii, l. 45] Salisbury calls him Sir Richard and the King has just knighted him by that name. The modern editors arbitrarily read, sir Robert. Faulconbridge is now entertaining himself with ideas of greatness, suggested by his recent knighthood.—'Good den, sir Richard,' he supposes to be the salutation of a vassal, 'God-a-mercy, fellow,' his own supercilious reply to it. [The only editor, ancient or modern, whose text reads 'sir Robert' is Dr Johnson, later Steevens's colleague in editing the *Variorum* of 1773. It is doubtless a typographical error; but Steevens was quite well aware that

198. two Fr

And if his name be *George*, Ile call him *Peter*; For new made honor doth forget mens names: "Tis two respective, and too sociable

196

198

196. Ile] ile F₂ I'le F₄
197 new made] new-made Pope et seq

198 too fociable] unsociable Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns insociable

too sociable Coll MS

Johnson printed from Theobald's second edition, and without examining that text was thus, perhaps, misled Steevens did not correct this mistake in any subsequent edition—Ep l

Mason

198 respective] CRAIGIE (N E D, s v 2) Of conduct, etc Marked by regardful care or attention, heedful Now rare, 1598 R Haydocke tr Lomazzo II, 65 'To be very pleasant, but with such respective moderation, that their laughter exceed not'

199 your conversion] STEEVENS [The Folio reading] may be right. It seems to mean, his late change of condition from a private gentleman to a knight -[As may be seen in the Text Notes Steevens was not fully convinced of the correctness of the Folio until his own edition in 1793 -ED |-MALONE Mr Pope, without necessity, reads—for your conversing Our author has here, I think, used a license of phraseology that he often takes The Bastard has just said that 'new-made honour doth forget men's names', and he proceeds as if he had said, 'does not remember men's names ' To remember the name of an inferior, he adds, has too much of the respect which is paid to superiors, and of the friendly familiarity of equals. for your conversion,-for your present condition, now converted from the situation of a common man to the rank of a knight [KNIGHT and R G WHITE also thus interpret that 'forget' is here equivalent to not to remember, without reference. however, to Malone's note -ED |-WALKER (Crit, ii, 43) quotes this passage as an example of the 'abstract for the concrete (understanding "conversion" in the sense of change), though this latter seems harsh' [See also I, 11, 257, 258]-COLLIER, whose MS. corrector places a period after 'sociable,' 1 198, and here reads diversion instead of 'conversion,' thus interprets 'It was common to entertain "picked men of countries," for the diversion of the company at the tables of the higher orders, and this may be what the Bastard is referring to in the last two lines, while the sense of the first two is completed at "sociable" We are, nevertheless, disposed to adhere to the old reading '-Singer (Sh Vindicated, p 83), commenting on this correction, says. 'The punctuation in the First Folio is entirely against this innovation, which may have been probably suggested by Pope, who took the same erroneous view of the passage and read "for your conversing" Malone's view of the old authentic reading is quite satisfactory '-[The sting contained in this consists, of course, in the hint that a modern editor suggested the change to the unknown corrector Collier frequently remarks that Theobald and Pope have been anticipated by some of the suggested readings of his MS corrector, Singer is, however, here comparatively mild, in fact, many of his comments unfortunately manifest quite as much of a spirit of Vindictiveness to Collier as a Vindication of Shakespeare -ED]-KNIGHT (Stratford Sh , 1, 255) And so this feeble platitude of the diverting traveller is to supersede the Shakespearean satire, that when there is a 'conversion'—a change of condition in a man—to remember names is too respective, and too sociable, for new-made honour —HALLIWELL The probability is that 'conversion' is an archaic term used in the sense of conversation.

For your conversion, now your traveller, Hee and his tooth-picke at my worships messe,

200

199 For your conversion,] For conversation Lloyd
conversion,] conversing Pope,+,

Var '78, '85 convertion Hal (misprint?) conversion Cap et cet diversion Coll MS

So, in Englishmen for my Money, 'Impudent villaine, and lascivious girles, I have ore-heard your vild conversions,' [I, 1, Hazlitt-Dods, x, p 477] -- MURRAY (N E D, s v Conversion, I 5 Rhet) quotes Huloet, 1552 'Conversion, or speakynge one to another,' and Wilson, Rhetoric, 107b 'Conversion is an ofte repeating of the laste worde, and is contrarie to that whiche went before' This, among the several senses of 'conversion' given by Murray, is the nearest to that of conversation -FLEAY Should there not be a period at 'sociable,' and in that case may not 'conversion' mean conversation, as converse does now? Mr P A. Daniel thinks we should read convertant, one returned from travel [Though Fleay does not refer to Collier's MS correction, it is, perhaps, unjust to decide that he was quite unaware that he was anticipated in this conjectural punctuation Daniel's suggestion is not among his Conjectural Emendations published in 1870, but as Fleay acknowledges in his Preface, and in the Appendix to his edition, indebtedness to Daniel for suggestions and help in the preparation of the text of the Troublesome Raigne, it is reasonable to suppose that this conjectural reading is contained in a separate communication —ED |—WRIGHT That is, for one who has undergone such a change of rank as you have It may be that 'your' is used in the colloquial indefinite sense of that which is familiar to everyone, just as in the next sentence 'your traveller,' and as Bottom says (Mid N Dream, IV, 1, 36) 'I could munch your good dry oats' It does not appear certain that in the passage [quoted by Halliwell] 'conversion' is intended to be equivalent to conversation [The context shows, I think, that 'vile conversions' may be understood in the sense of wicked changes of conditions or thoughts, more fitly than as conversations —ED]

a good thing after dinner' In that age of newly excited curiosity one of the entertainments at great tables seems to have been the discourse of a traveller—Wright points out that the quotation to which Johnson refers is as follows 'A good traveller is something at the latter end of a dinner.'—II, v, 30 Johnson evidently trusted to his memory—Steevens likewise quotes from The Partyng of Frendes, a Copy of Verses subjoined to Churchyard's Praise and Reporte of Maister Martyne Forbasher's Voyage to Meta Incognita, &c, 1578 '—and all the parish throw At church or market in some sort, will talke of trav'lar now' [Steevens's faculty of supplying an apt quotation is remarkable, but this is not a happy instance of it, the last words here evidently mean that everyone is talking about the traveller, not that the traveller is discoursing of his adventures—Staunton quotes, more oppositely, from Edward II 'Gav What art thou? Man A traveller. Gav Let me see—thou wouldst do well To wait at my trencher, and tell me lies at dinner time'—I, 1—ED]

200 Hee and his tooth-picke] Johnson. It has been already remarked that to pick the tooth, and wear a piqued beard, were, in that time, marks of a man's affecting foreign fashions [The remarks to which Johnson refers may be found in his own edition, vol 11, pp 181 and 325; or in *Variorum 1821*, vol. iv, p. 394; and vol 21v, p 395—ED]—Steevens Among Gascoigne's poems I find one entitled.

And when my knightly stomacke is suffis'd, Why then I sucke my teeth, and catechize My picked man of Countries my deare sir, 201

203

201 And] —And Neils 203 picked] piked Pope, Han Warb Johns Cap Varr Ran picqued Theob pickèd Dyce, Sta Fle Huds 11, Words Dono 203 Countries my] Ff, Rowe countries—'My Wh 1, Ktly, Neils countries 'My Sta Cam +, Fleay, Huds 11 countries,—my Pope et cet

Councell given to Maister Bartholomew Withipoll, a little before his latter Journey to Geane, 1572. The following lines may, perhaps, be acceptable to the reader who is curious enough to enquire about the fashionable follies imported in that age

'Now, Sir, if I shall see your mastership Come home disguis'd, and clad in quaint array As with a pike-tooth byting on your lippe

Your brave mustachios turned the Turkie way,' [ed Cunliffe, 1, 346]

Cynthia's Revels 'A traveller, one so made out of the Again in Jonson mixture and shreds of forms, that himself is truly deformed He walks most commonly with a clove or pick-tooth in his mouth' [II, 1, ed. Gifford, p 264 Steevens gives two other passages wherein the tooth-pick is mentioned as the distinctive mark of the traveller, and MALONE quotes from Overbury's Characters (Article, an Affected Traveller) 'his tooth-pick is a main part of his behaviour.'-ED |-RALEIGH (p 58). In this age of cheap printed information we are too apt to forget how large a part of his knowledge Shakespeare must have gathered in talk. Books were licensed and guarded, but in talk there was free trade. He must often have listened to tales, like those told by Othello, of the wonders of the New World He must often have seen the affected traveller, described in King John, dallying with his tooth-pick at a great man's table, full of elaborate compliment The knowledge that he gained from such talk, if it was sometimes remote and curious, was neither systematic nor accurate, and this is the knowledge reflected in the plays

at my worships messe] Malone This means, at that part of the table where I, as a knight, shall be placed 'Your worship' was the regular address to a knight or esquire in our author's time, as 'your honour' was to a lord ['Your worship' appears to have been the commonest form of address from an inferior to a superior Notice, for example, its indiscriminate use throughout Merry Wives. Slender and Shallow are thus uniformly addressed, and also Fenton by Mistress Quickly.—Ed.]—Wright A mess was properly a party of four, as at the Inns of Court still, and Nares (Gloss) says that at great dinners the guests were always arranged in fours.—Rev. John Hunter, doubtless on the ground that this sentence lacks a verb, interprets this as meaning 'He and his tooth-pick mess at my worships house, or table' [This is, I think, untenable, as Murray (N. E. D.) does not record any use of the word in this sense prior to 1700—Ed.]—Moore-Smith I incline to think that after 'messe' a line has dropped out of the text

202. I sucke my teeth] R G WHITE The travelled man picked his teeth the home-bred man sucked his [Rev John Hunter makes the same suggestion that 'picked' in the next line is used as a word-play on 'tooth-pick', but is not this too slight and trivial? See next note—ED]

203 picked] Murray (N E D., s v 2) Adorned, ornate, trimmed, exquisitely

Thus leaning on mine elbow I begin, I shall beseeh you, that is question now, And then comes answer like an Absey booke: O sir, sayes answer, at your best command, At your employment, at your service sir. No sir, saies question, I sweet sir at yours,

205

209

205 I fhall now] Misplaced in Sing ii. to follow 1 234
you, that] Ff, Rowe, Fleay you,
—that Pope et cet
206 Abfey booke] F₂ Absey-book F₃F₄, Rowe, Knt, Sing ii, Coll ii, iii, Hal
Wh 1, Ktly, Del a-b-c book Cap
Abcee-book Dyce, Words Absey book
Cam +, Fle. A B C-book Pope et cet

208, 209 fir yours,] Sir — yours,—Pope,+ sir — yours Var.'78,'85, Ran Mal Steev Varr Sing Coll 1, 11, Sta Huds sir yours Coll. 11
209 No fir,] Ff, Pope No, Sir, Rowe et cet
I fweet fir] I sweet, Sir, F4, Rowe
1. I, sweet Sir, Rowe 11 et seq.

fashioned or apparelled, spruce, refined, exquisite, nice, finical, particular, fastidious [Compare 'He is too picked, too spruce, too affected, too odd, as it were '—Love's Labour's, V, 1, 14 The consensus of opinion is in favor of this interpretation of 'picked' Pope's reading of piked (see Text Notes) naturally led to his explanation that this refers to the traveller being 'formally bearded' and was also the occasion for Johnson's reference to the traveller's beard in his note on 1 200 Theobald says 'The Author certainly designed picqued (from the French Verb, se piquer); i e, touchy, tart, apprehensive, upon his guard' Theobald in his second edition retains the reading picqued, but does not repeat the above explanation of it—ED]

203 picked man of Countries] HEATH (p 223) suggests that a comma be placed after the word 'man, that is, And catechize the man I have vouchsafed to cull out for my entertainment, concerning the countries he hath seen '—[Heath's volume appeared in 1765, and in the Variorum of 1773 STEEVENS makes this same suggestion, with but a very slight change in the wording of Heath's explanation. Steevens was, unfortunately, not too punctilious in assigning credit where it was due—MALONE acclaims Steevens's change and explanation as 'undoubtedly the true one,' which shows, perhaps, that as he did not know of Heath's note Steevens may also have been unaware that he was anticipated —ED]—MOBERLY This is one of the many instances of Shakespeare's truly English contempt for foreign ways

205 beseech] In my copy of the Folio this word is thus printed, so it appears in Staunton's fac-simile of the Ellesmere Folio, and also in Sidney Lee's fac-simile of the Devonshire Folio, but in the Booth reprint the word is plainly befeech. This trifling deviation from the original seems hardly worth noticing, were it not that even such slight deviations are of the rarest in Booth's scrupulously exact reprint, wherein every battered letter and fault in alignment is accurately reproduced Mr Charles Wright, the editor, informed a friend, in a letter, that in the preparation of Lionel Booth's reprint he had collated seven copies of the First Folio. It is but just, I think, to give Wright the benefit of the doubt and to believe that the copies which he consulted were printed after this slight change had been corrected by the printers while the pages were in course of printing—ED

206 Absey booke] MURRAY (N E D, s v A B C 4). A B C-book, primer, horn-book, an introductory book to any subject, often in catechism or dialogue form [The present line quoted]

And so ere answer knowes what question would, Sauing in Dialogue of Complement,

210

211-213. In parentheses Pope, Han Cap Varr. Ran Mal Steev Varr Sing Hal Ktly, Sta Huds 211 Saung] Serving Warb Theob î, Han Salving Vaughan

211-213 Sauing in Dialogue . . . riuer Poe] WARBURTON At the first intimation of his desire to hear strange stories, the Traveller complies, and the answer comes as easy as an A, b, c book Now, Sir, says the Knight, this is my question The over-ready Traveller will scarce give him leave to make it, but, e'er Answer knows what question would,-What then? Why according to the Stupidity of the hitherto receiv'd reading, it grows towards supper time, And is not this worshipful Society? To spend all the time betwixt Dinner and Supper, before either of them knows what the other would be at So absurdly is the sense vitiated by putting the three lines in a parenthesis [see Text Notes], which, we may suppose was first occasioned by their blunder in the word 'Saving,' instead of the true word, serving Now my emendation gives the text this turn 'And e'er Answer knows what the Question would be at, my Traveller serves in his Dialogue of Compliment, which is his standing Dish at all tables, then he comes to talk of the Alps and Appenines, &c, and by the time this discourse concludes it draws towards Supper' All now here is sense and humour, and the phrase 'serving in' is a very humourous one, to signify that this was his Worship's second course - CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 119). The second modern [Pope] only has pointed rightly, giving some lines their parenthesis, [which] will be sufficient to set aside a nonsensical reading of the three that come after him— Serming for 'Saving,' whose sense is excepting Excepting, says the Bastard, that question gives occasion to much compliment, and to scraps of discourse concerning Alps and the rest, supper is well-nigh come without Answer's knowing even the meaning of what Ouestion propounds to him, he's so lost in his compliments -HEATH (D. 223) If we follow Pope's punctuation the construction, as well as the sense, is extremely clear. [I] have not yet been able to discover how it appears that answer knows what question would be at, one jot the sooner or the better in Mr. Warburton's correction, than in the former reading. But there is a farther objection to this conjecture (as it is scarce possible to adjust error so well with truth but the seam will betray itself somewhere or other), serung in is a participle, and consequently requires a substance. Now I would fain know what substantive it can be joined with in this passage consistently with grammatical construction I must own myself utterly at a loss to find one, unless we should suppose, He, the said answer, to be understood, which if Mr Warburton pleases to accept, it is entirely at his service. But in truth our critic did not comprehend the delicacy of the poet's sature, which represents the traveller, after having sufficiently established his character for good breeding by the compliments in vogue, as launching out into a tedious common-place relation of his travels, without giving himself the leisure to inform himself, with what view, and to what purpose his patron had begun his [Heath, in his laudable desire to rebuke Warburton, sometimes overreaches himself, as, I think, he has here The substantive antecedent to the participle is undoubtedly the personified Answer, just as in 1 207 'O sir, says answer,' and in 209 'No sir, says question' The picture presented by Warburton of the Traveller serving up a dialogue of compliments, wherein he must be both question and answer, is certainly 'humorous', though possibly not quite in the way Warburton

And talking of the Alpes and Appenines,	212
The Perennean and the river Poe,	
It drawes toward fupper in conclusion fo.	
But this is worshipfull fociety,	215
And fits the mounting spirit like my selfe,	
For he is but a bastard to the time	217
213 Perennean] Pyrennean Ff, Rowe 214 supper] F1.	
Pyreneans Coll 11 (MS) Pyrenean fol Om Cap Ran.	
Pone et seg 216 thel a Coll MS	

213 Perennean Pyrennean Ff, Rowe
Pyreneans Coll 11 (MS) Pyrenean
Pope et seq
Poel Po Rowe et seq
Poel Po Rowe et seq
Poel To Rowe et seq
Poel To Rowe, +, Sing Hal Ktly,
Followards F3F4, Rowe, Pope, +,
Followards F3

intends. The latter part of Heath's explanation is much more satisfactory. Philip cares nothing about Alps, Apennines, or rivers, what he wants to know concerns only manners, customs, and good behaviour—ED]—IVOR JOHN If we take the line as it stands—'Before the answering man knows what the questioner would, except in so far as customary complimentary retorts are concerned'—we leave 'And so' in the air, but having regard to the looseness of structure of the whole speech, this may not be impossible. We may shuffle out of the difficulty by suspecting a dropped line—[Is it not simpler to follow Pope's arrangement, including these three lines in a parenthetical clause? 'And so' then has the force of in this manner—ED]

211 Dialogue of Complement] Tollet Sir W Cornwallis's 28th Essay thus ridicules the extravagance of compliment in our poet's days, 1601 "We spend even at his (i e, a friend's or a stranger's) entrance a whole volume of words—What a deal of synamon and ginger is sacrificed to dissimulation! "O, how blessed do I take mine eyes for presenting me with this sight!" "O Signior, the star that governs my life in contentment, give me leave to interre myself in your arms!"—"Not so, sir, it is too unworthy an inclosure to contain such preciousness," &c, &c This, and a cup of drink, makes the time as fit for a departure as can be '—WRIGHT The cynic Jaques in As You Like It (II, v, 56) compares [such a dialogue] to 'the encounter of two dog-apes'

214 in conclusion so] CAPELL, regarding 'so' redundant, omits it, but is it not here used for the more emphatic form also, as in 'Mad in pursuit, and in possession so'—Sonnet cxxix (See Abbott, § 65)—Moberly interprets these words as meaning 'In this kind of trial at conversation', apparently understanding 'conclusion' as in the phrase 'to try conclusions,' but the construction hardly admits this —ED

216 the mounting spirit] MADDEN (p 204) compares the present line to a passage in 2 Henry VI II, 1, 5 et seq, wherein is shown a royal hunting party with their falcons, and particularly the lines given to Gloucester, 'My lord, 'tis but a base ignoble mind That mounts no higher than a bird can soar '—ED

217-223. bastard to the time . . . poyson for the ages tooth] The following observations by Capell on the concluding lines of this soliloquy, although they somewhat anticipate other remarks, are so closely connected with each other that it seems better to give them here in full 'And now we shall wind up our string of observations on this soliloquy, with acknowledging our own former ignorance of the sense of some parts of it, and certain consequent errors in the reading of this

That doth not imoake of observation,

218

218, 219 smack smack of smack smack Smack Pope smack smack Theob et 218 observation fleay

"Too," the Oxford editor's [Hanmer] reading in 1 222 [see Text. Notes], was embrac'd with great readiness, and his comment upon the words of next line seem'd a likely and just one,—that its "poison" was flattery but when these were acceded to, it was perceiv'd that the parts of this period, read and pointed as now, did not accord nor had proper construction To make the latter out tolerably, l 222 must have another change yet, and "deliver" must be delivers, and to make the comment complete, flattery must be taken extensively, and comprehend its exteriors of complaisance and address, after which and with the pointing that follows it is conceiv'd the speaker's sense will be clear "For he is but a bastard to the time, | That doth not smack of observation,- | And so am I, whether I smack, or no, | And not alone in habit and device, | Exterior form, outward accourrement, | But from the inward motion too, delivers | Sweet, sweet poison for the age's tooth " "Observation" must be observation of foreign manners and things, and "poison" lyes not in that, unless it be in its nothingness, and the misspending of time in hark'ning to it, which is no mighty injury persons void of this talent,-or not smacking of it, in this speaker's language,—are, according to him, "bastards to the time", meaning that the time held them cheap, set as little by them as bastards And having vented this maxim, and the wipe or gird on himself, he turns to another traveller's talent, which is a poison indeed, and of all ages, and yet the person that wants it, is as much in common esteem as he that wanted the other, and his rising to honour will be with difficulty, for this and no other talent strews the footsteps are made to it, makes the road to it easy Thus understood, the construction and concord -"he is but a bastard to the time, that doth not smack of observation and he another, that not delivers sweet poison, &c , in habit and device alone, &c , but from the inward motion too" the last expressions importing that the party must be sincere in his flattery, or have the appearance of being so, and in the expressions before them, - "device," "habit," &c ,-the necessity of address is insinuated, which has its flattery too, and produces all the effects of it.' [Capell has not, I think, shown in the foregoing his usual sagacity In the first place, Hanmer's emendation, even with Capell's grammatical correction of the verb, is hardly to be commended; in fact, it completely alters the sense, where all was plain before, and is, therefore, unnecessary Secondly, Capell refers the phrase 'bastard to the time' to the attitude of the polite world towards one who does not conform to usage, MALONE likewise interprets these words and thus paraphrases it 'He is accounted but a mean man, in the present age, who does not show, by his dress, his deportment, and his talk that he has travelled and made observations in foreign countries' Does not this expression rather mean, as WRIGHT interprets, 'He is no true child of this age'? Compare 'son to the King' in any Dramatis Personæ Capell, on the other hand, is quite right in rejecting Hanmer's explanation of the 'sweet poison' as flattery, his own words are, however, more suo, so obscure and his sentences so involved that it is not, at first, quite apparent that the 'traveller's talent,' to which he refers, is the 'deceit' mentioned by Faulconbridge in 1 225 Thus the sweet poison for the age's tooth is mere outward show both in accoutrement and speech. Deception both in speech and action -ED]

And fo am I whether I fmacke or no
And not alone in habit and deuice,

Exterior forme, outward accourrement;
But from the inward motion to deliuer

Sweet, fweet, fweet poylon for the ages tooth,

223

219 am I fmacke] Ff, Rowe, Pope 222 motion] motion, Sta Fleay am I, smack Theob +, Dyce, Ktly, to deliver I to deliver Han too Cam +, Fleay am I, smack, Cap. deliver Cap et cet

218 smoake of observation] RANN That is, exhibit some spice of foreign manners, and that not only by his outward habit and address, but also by the infallible criterion of politeness, a perpetual propensity to flattery, that sweet poison so highly palatable to the age's tooth - WRIGHT 'Observation' here seems to mean not so much the knowledge and experience gained by taking notice of what goes on around, as the habit of paying personal attention or court It is derived from observe as used in 2 Henry IV IV, 1v, 30 'For he is gracious if he be observed' So Hamlet was the 'observed of all observers' (III, 1, 162), to whom they all paid court [The whole tenour of the passage seems confirmatory of this excellent suggestion -ED]-Miss C Porter Theobald's change is all that remains of various changes formerly made in this speech Why is that left? What is meant by saying, I am one who smacks whether I smack or not? It is a curious contradiction, and seems less clear to the present writer than the unchanged original Smoke is the sign of fire, as observation is of the time. The speaker who is not a bastard to the time, 'smokes' of it, is redolent of this universal trait of observation. So he is, whether he relishes it or not, whether I smack or no [Miss Porter's attempt to wrest a meaning, from what has been accepted heretofore as a misprint in the Folio, is certainly praiseworthy, but has she not misunderstood Philip's parenthetical remark? See next note —ED]

219, 222. And so . . . to deliuer] Belden (Tudor Sh) [He also is] not a true child of the age, being without fashionable dress and manners, and without the disposition to flatter 'From,' l 222, is equivalent to by leason of the lack of [any inward motion] Yet he will arm himself against the flattery which will be strewn in the path of his social ascent [Moore-Smith's interpretation is substantially the same as the foregoing —Ed]

219 And . . . or no] WARBURTON A nonsensical line of the players.

221, 222 outward accourrement...inward motion] RUSHTON (N & Q, IV, x, 515] compares 'This face were faire, if it were tourned, noting that the inward motions would make the outward favour but counterfeit.'—Lyly Euphues and his England, [ed Bond, ii, 61].

222 motion] Bradley (N E D, s v 9) An inward prompting or impulse, an instigation or incitement from within, a desire or inclination Also a stirring of the soul, an emotion. [Compare IV, ii, 264, 265. 'Within this bosome, never entred yet The dreadfull motion of a murderous thought']

222-225 to deliver... I meane to learne] HUDSON (ed 11.) Something of obscurity here But I take the infinitive 'to deliver' as depending upon 'I am.'. . So that Sir Richard means that he is going to humour the world in his outward man,

Which though I will not practice to deceiue,
Yet to auoid deceit I meane to learne,
For it shall strew the footsteps of my rising
But who comes in such haste in riding robes?
What woman post is this? hath she no husband
That will take paines to blow a horne before her?
O me, 'tis my mother how now good Lady,
What brings you heere to Court so hastily?

224 Which Iff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns Cap This Johns conj Dono Which, Han et cet though] the Pope 11 the' Theob Warb Johns Var '73 will would Words Yet, 225 Yet decert] F2F3, Knt decest, F4 et cet 226. rising | rising Pope et seq 227-289 Om Dono 227 haste in Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Dyce, Cam +, Fleay, Huds 11 haste, in Cap et cet

227 riding robes] riding-robes Dyce,
Hal Huds Cam +, Fleay
228 woman post woman-post F4
229 her?] her, Rowe 1
230 O me.] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han
Fleay Oh, mel Ktly O mel Theob et
cet

'tis] it is Pope et seq
mother] mother Coll et seq
how now] F2F3 now, Pope, +
how now, F4 et cet
Lady, I ff, Rowe, Pope, + lady'
Coll Dyce, Hal Wh. i, Cam 1, +
lady? Cap et cet

and at the same time be thoroughly sound within, or that he appear what the age craves, and yet be what he ought. The 'Which,' in this latter member of the sentence, I understand as referring to the whole sense of the preceding member. The speaker means to learn the arts of popularity, and to practice them, not hollowly, that he may cheat the people, or play the demagogue, but from the heart, and that he may be an overmatch for the cheats and demagogues about him. The Poet here prepares us for the honest and noble part which Faulconbridge takes in the play, giving us an early inside taste of this most downright and forthright humorist, who delights in a sort of righteous or inverted hypocrisy, talking like a knave, and acting like a hero

225 to aword decert] That is, to avoid being deceived

226. strew . . . my rising IVOR JOHN As I rise flattery will be strewn before me like flowers before one making a progress —[Miss Porter's interpretation is, I think, preferable, that deceit shall 'smooth his way, alluding to the rushes strewing the presence-chamber of the king, also the stage floor' Philip's practical mind looks forward to an easy ascent, not a flowery path —ED]

229 blow a horne] JOHNSON He means that a woman who travelled about like a 'post' was likely to 'horn' her husband —Collier. The allusion is, of course, double,—to the horn of a post, and to the horn of such a husband as Lady Faulconbridge had rendered hers [Hudson also sees here this double allusion. It is somewhat rash to question the opinions of two authorities such as these, I can but say that I prefer to think them mistaken. In the first place Philip does not recognise the 'woman-post' until her nearer approach; secondly, it is not pleasant to think that he would thus make a jest of his mother's infidelity. Is not Johnson's explanation quite sufficient?—Ed]

232

235

Enter Lady Faulconbridge and Iames Gurney.

Lady. Where is that flaue thy brother? where is he? That holds in chase mine honour vp and downe Bast. My brother Robert old Sir Roberts sonne

Colbrand the Gyant, that fame mighty man, Is it Sir Roberts fonne that you feeke fo?

Lady. Sir Roberts fonne, I thou vireuerend boy, Sir Roberts fonne? why fcorn'ft thou at fir Robert? He is Sir Roberts fonne, and fo art thou.

240

Robert? son?

Scene iv Pope, Han Warb Johns 232 Enter and Iames Gurney Ff, Rowe, Pope, + After mother 1 230, After 1 230 Cap et cet Sta Fleay Faulconbridge] Falconbridge Dyce, Hal Huds Words Iames Gurney | Servant Capell 233 slave thy] slave, thy F4 et seq 233, 234 he? downe] he, down?

Theob +, Sing Coll Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Cam + he? down? Cap Var '78, '85, Ran Mal Steev Varr Knt. Sta Fleav

235, 236 Robert, sonne man,] Ff,

Rowe, Pope, + Fleay. .man? Cap et cet 238 Sonne, I] F₂F₃ Son, I, F₄ Son! ay, Rowe 1, Ran Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll Dyce, Hal Sta Huds son, ay Fleay Son? ay, Rowe 11 et cet. unreverend unreverend Pope. Theob Warb Johns unrev'rent Han unreverent Del 239 [onne?] F2, Knt son! Ktly fon, F₂F₄ et cet [corn'ft] [corneft F4, Rowe 240 Sonne,] son! Rowe 11, Pope son,

Theob Warb Cap Var '78, '85, Ran

Mal Steev Varr. Knt

232 Enter Lady Faulconbridge] MOBERLY By an admirable instinct Shakespeare has departed from the old play, which made Lady Faulconbridge present all through the unseemly discussion between the two brothers, and even bearing a disgracefully prominent part in the dialogue In much the same spirit Desdemona. when summoned to declare whether she really was more the wooer than Othello, puts all such questions aside with the utmost dignity, by declaring that her duty is now to the Moor, as her mother's had been to her father

234 holds in chase] Compare 'Spies of the Volsces Held me in chase, that I was forced to wheel Three or four miles about '-Coriol, I, vi, 19 This is not, as might seem, a term peculiar to hunting or the chase It does not occur either in Turberville's Noble Arte of Venerie, 1576, or in N Cox's Gentleman's Recreation, 1674 -ED

236. Colbrand the Gyant] JOHNSON Colbrand was a Danish giant, whom Guy of Warwick discomfitted in the presence of King Athelstan The combat is very pompously described by Drayton, in his Polyolbion, [Twelfth Song, ll 216-235.— Ep.]—Collier This line reads as if quoted from an old romance or ballad, in which the acts of Guy and Colbrand were celebrated The History of Guy, Earl of Warwick, by S Rowlands, did not come out until 1607, but a romance on the same incidents had appeared long before, having been printed by W. Copland and J. Cawood A fragment of an edition, from the types of Pynson, or Wynkyn de Worde, is also in existence

Bast. Iames Gournie, wilt thou give vs leave a while? 241 Gour. Good leave good Philip

Bast. Philip, sparrow, Iames,

243

241 Gournie] Gourney F₂F₃ Gurney F₄ et seq

a while?] awhile? Hal Huds.

Cam +

243 Philip, fparrow,] Ff, Rowe,

Pope Philip!—spare me, Warb Theob

Han Philip!—sparrow— Johns. Var
'73 Philip! sparrow Cap Cam +,
Wh 11. Philip! sparrow! Del Huds 11,
Rife, Neils Craig Philip? sparrow!
Fleay Philip?—sparrow!— Upton,
Var '78 et cet (subs)

242 Gour Good leaue good Philip] C & M Cowden Clarke Coleridge, in one of his Table Talks, said 'For an instance of Shakespeare's power in minimis, I generally quote James Gurney's character in King John How individual and comical he is with the four words allowed to his dramatic life!' [March 12, 1827—ED] They certainly suffice to show us the free-and-easy style of the confidential servitor, one entrusted with the family secrets of this country household, one accustomed to treat the eldest son, but not the heir, with a coolly easy familiarity tolerated by the good-humored young man, and only lightly waved aside by the newmade knight -H REED (p 71) Notice the familiar and affectionate tone of this intercourse, as they address each other by their Christian names, and then the fine, gentlemanly, and considerate feeling which prompts Falconbridge to promise the old servant—his domestic friend—to tell him more after awhile as a kind of indirect apology for even asking him to withdraw Minute as the instance is, it is a historical illustration of the gentleness with which the genuine principles of chivalry looked down to the humble, as well as upward to the high born -- [Rowe, who was the first to give a list of the Dramatis Persona, has in the present instance been uniformly followed in designating James Gurney as 'servant to Lady Faulconbridge' Coleridge did not, I think, so understand the character He was the first to call attention to the clearness with which in a few words the personality of Gurney is shown; and those show that the mode of address both by him and by Faulconbridge is certainly not that of young master and old servant. In the first place the master—the acknowledged elder son—would not address an attendant on his mother with so much ceremony, giving the full name and requesting his departure, secondly, an old family servant would not have addressed the master by his first name Notice, for example, Adam's form of address to Orlando and Oliver, in As You Like It, it is uniformly 'young master,' 'my kind master,' or 'masters both' Excellent as are the remarks of Charles and Mary Cowden Clarke and of Reed, it is to be remembered that they are building a romance, concerning a faithful old servitor, on information furnished not by Shakespeare, but by Rowe All that Shakespeare shows us is that James Gurney and Philip Faulconbridge were on easy terms of friendship, they were probably young men of about the same age: Gurney is merely acting as escort to Lady Faulconbridge Had he been her attendant would he not have preceded her to announce her coming? It was the absence of such a vaunt-courier that called forth Philip's somewhat derogatory remark —ED]

243 Philip, sparrow, Iames] Pope. Philip is a common name for a tame sparrow—Theobald [According to Pope's Gloss] Faulconbrdige would say, Call me Philip? You may as well call me Sparrow—The allusion is very mean and trifling; and everybody, I believe, will choose to embrace Mr Warburton's emendation.

[243. Philip, Sparrow, Iames]

[See Text Notes] Spare me and Forbear me, it may be observed, are our author's accustomed phrases, either when any one wants another to leave him, or be rid of a displeasing subject -Warburton naturally adopts this reading in his own edition, and explains it thus 'Don't affront me with an appellation that comes from a family which I disclaim' [It will be noticed that this is quite different from Theobald's interpretation—ED]—GREY (1, 277) If there's any room to alter the old readings, it should, I think, come as near the trace of the letters as possible, and might be read as follows 'Philip-spare oh! James' But I imagine that Shakespeare had an eye to Skelton's poem, entitled Philip Sparowe Faulconbridge might resent James's freedom, in calling him plain Philip, after he had received the order of knighthood from the king What, call me Philip without any additional title? If you call'd me Philip Sparow it would not be so contemptible, but rather add to the dignity Skelton, after he had lamented the death of Philip Sparow, and raised a monument of praise to its memory, gives it the preference to all sparrows, and puts it upon a footing with its royal namesake, Philip of Macedon [For Skelton's poem, see Dyce's edition, vol 1, p 51 The Laureate's muse was ever prolific, and in the present instance his lament runs on for over thirteen hundred lines -ED |-CAPELL (I, pt 2, p 120) Words can hardly explain this, but tone readily, so readily that none who has heard a sparrow call'd Philip, and attends to what is in hand, will ask for more, and if he further attends to the speaker's manner and character, he will scarce relish a change of 'sparrow' to spare me - UPTON (p. 156) 'Tis not to be wonder'd that Mr Theobald should turn a deaf ear to whatever Mr Pope offers by way of criticism [A very shrewd remark, the Duncied, it will be remembered, appeared about five years before Theobald's edition -ED |-HEATH (p 224) Mr Theobald and Mr Warburton concur in discarding the common reading, the first, evidently because he did not understand it, as appears from his own note, the second, because he had forgot the distinction between a Christian name and a surname, or a family name . The sense of the genuine reading is Dost call me Philip? Call a sparrow so, James, but not me for the future The reason of this inhibition was his having been just knighted, and new christened, which, being then engaged in conversation with his mother, he had not leisure to inform the servant of This is plainly implied in the next line -Steevens Gascoigne has likewise a poem entitled The Praise of Philip Sparrow, [ed Cunliffe, 1, p 455] Again, in The Northern Lass, [Brome], 1633 'A bird whose pastime made me glad, And Philip 'twas my sparrow,' [Act III, sc 11, ed Pearson, p 52] Again, in Magnificence, an ancient interlude by Skelton, published by Rastell 'With me in kepynge such a Phylyp Sparowe,' [l 1580, ed Dyce, p 276—HALLIWELL-PHILLIPPS has collected other passages wherein the sparrow is given the name of Philip (see his note on the present passage in Folio ed), but those already given are sufficient to show the prevalence of the idea that the sound of the bird's chirping resembled the name. Other bird-names derived in the same manner will readily suggest themselves -ED]-Coleridge (p. 158) Theobald adopts Warburton's conjecture of 'spare me' O true Warburton! and the sancta simplicities of honest, dull Theobald's faith in him Nothing can be more lively or characteristic than 'Philip? Sparrow!' Had Warburton read old Skelton's Philip Sparowe, an exquisite and original poem, no doubt popular in Shakespeare's time, even Warburton would scarcely have made so deep a plunge into the bathetic as to have deathified 'sparrow' into spare mel-J W. Green (Notes & Queries, 1885, VI, xi, 182):

There's toyes abroad, anon Ile tell thee more

Exit Iames.

245

Madam, I was not old Sir Roberts sonne, Sir Robert might haue eat his part in me Vpon good Friday, and nere broke his fast Sir Robert could doe well, marrie to confesse Could get me sir Robert could not doe it,

250

244 There's There're Hal
Ile] Ile F2 I'le F4
245 Iames Servant Cap Gurney
Mal et seq
246-266 Om Words
249 marrie to confess F2F3 marry,
to confess F4, Rowe marry confess!

Pope marry, confess! Theob Han Warb Johns Var '73 marry to confess the truth Ktly marry, to confess, Cap et cet

250 get me] get me, Ff get me! Rowe. not get me, Dyce 1, Coll MS get me Vaughan he get me! Pope et cet

It appears to me that the common punctuation is wrong and that it should be written and spoken thus 'Philip Sparrow!' with a contemptuous falling accent on the 'Sparrow' The allusion is, of course, to Skelton's *Philip Sparowe*, the elegy on Jane Scroop's sparrow The Bastard expresses his contempt by adding a ridiculous surname to his old Christian name —Br Nicholson (N & Q, 1885, VI, xi, 244) The Bastard's 'Philip!—sparrow!' is not, 'of course,' as Mr J W Green says, 'an allusion to Skelton's *Philip Sparowe*,' but both speak of the name Philip as that ordinarily given to a pet sparrow The new Sir Richard, as any one can see, disdains his old name and repeats it contemptuously Hence the ordinary punctuation has every right to stand

244 toyes] That is, *idle fancies*, rumours, for other examples, see SCHMIDT (Lex) 247, 248 eat his part. . nere broke his fast] STEEVENS This thought occurs in Heywood's Dialogues upon Proverbs, 1562 '—he may his parte on good Fridaie eate And fast never the wurs, for ought he shall geate,' [ed Farmer, 11, 36]

249 marrie to confesse] J M MASON We should read marry confess The present reading is an error of the press [See Text Notes]—Steevens I rather think 'to confess' means to come to confession 'But, to come to a fair confession now (says the Bastard), could he have been the instrument of my production?—SCHMIDT (Lex) quotes the present line as the only example wherein 'to confess' may be taken in the sense sooth to say

250 Could get me] Coller (Notes, etc., p. 200) Modern editors have introduced he and a mark of interrogation. On the other hand, the MS Corrector merely inserts a negative [after 'could'], and if, in the manuscript used by the printer, a mark of interrogation had been found in this place, it would hardly have been omitted—Vaughan (i, 9). These interpretations [Collier's Corrector and Steevens's] are objectionable. They begin with an admission that Sir Robert could in such matters do well, whereas Philip Faulconbridge throughout insists that he could not do well, and for this very reason could not have been his real father. In truth, 'could he get me' is correct, but it is not a question, it is a conditional not an interrogative sentence, equivalent to 'if he could get me'. The passage means 'Sir Robert could do well (to speak blunt truth in my own praise) if he could get,—but he could not get me. Tell me then, mother, who did get me'. So in a Henry IV: II, ii, 97. 'Now could thou and I rob the thieves and go merrily to London, it would be argument for a week'.

251

We know his handy-worke, therefore good mother
To whom am I beholding for these limmes?
Sir Robert neuer holpe to make this legge

Lady. Hast thou conspired with thy brother too, That for thine owne gaine shouldst defend mine honor? What meanes this scorne, thou most vintoward knaue?

Bast. Knight, knight good mother, Basilisco-like:

²⁵⁵

251 know] knew Johns (misprint).
handy-worke] handiwork Steev
Knt 11, Dyce, Hal Sta Cam +
handy-worke, therefore] handywork—Therefore, Cap Varr Ran Mal
Steev Varr Sing 1, Knt, Dyce, Huds
Cam + handy-work—Therefore,
Coll Sing 11, Sta Del
252 beholding Ff, Rowe, Coll Dyce,
Huds Cam + beholden Pope et
cet

limmes] limbs F₃F₄
253 holpe] help'd Pope, Han

254 conspired conspir'd Rowe, Pope, Theob conspired Dyce, Huds Fleay 257 Knight, knight good mother, Basilisco-like | F2 Knight, knight, good mother, Basilisco-like F3F4, Rowe 1, Cam +, Fleay, Om Rowe 11 Knight, Knight, good mother, Basilisco-like Pope Knight, knight, good mother, Basilisco-like Rasilisco-like Cap Ktly Knight, knight, good mother—Basilisco like Theob et cet

Basilisco-like] Basilisco; 'slight Theob conj (withdrawn)

251 handy-worke] WRIGHT 'Handiwork' being the Anglo-Saxon hand-geweore, should not be divided as it is commonly, 'handy-work,' but hand-ywork

257 Knight, knight . . . Basilisco-like] THEOBALD Faulconbridge's words here carry a concealed piece of satire on a stupid drama of that age printed in 1500, and called Solman and Perseda In this piece there is a character of a bragging cowardly knight called Basilisco His pretension to valour is so blown and seen through, that Piston, a buffoon-servant in the play, jumps upon his back, and will not disengage him, till he makes Basilisco swear upon his dudgeon-dagger to the contents, and in the terms he dictates to him, as, for instance 'Pist I, the aforesaid Basilisco -Bas I, the aforesaid Basilisco,-knight, good fellow, knight Pist Knave good fellow, knave, knave 'So that, it is clear, our poet is sneering at this play, and makes Philip, when his mother calls him 'knave,' throw off that reproach by humourously laying claim to his new dignity of knighthood, as Basilisco arrogantly insists on his title of kmght in the passage above quoted The old play is an execrably bad one, and, I suppose, was sufficiently exploded in the representation, which might make this circumstance so well known as to become the butt for a stage-sarcasm [Soliman and Perseda is printed in Hawkins Origin of the English Drama, vol 11, and in Haz.-Dods, vol v In a preliminary note the editor of the latter says 'Though not printed till 1599, the introduction of a part of the story into Kyd's Spanish Tragedy, licensed in 1592, may seem to show that the play had been written, partly or wholly, several years before '-STEEVENS remarks that 'The character of Basilisco is mentioned in Nashe's Have with you to Saffron Walden, printed in the year 1506' (ed Grosart, 111, 150) —ED]—CAPELL (I, pt 1, p 120) The first known edition [of Soliman and Perseda] is of the year 99; which, if it were the date of its birth, would prove the alter'd King John of that year or later, but this has no probability, either on the side of that play or of this John: the stage's state in that year, possess'd of many good plays of Shakespeare and others, makes it very unlikely that such nonsense as Solman would then be What, I am dub'd, I haue it on my shoulder. But mother, I am not Sir Roberts sonne, I haue disclaim'd Sir Robert and my land,

258 260

258. What, Ff, Rowe, Fleay Why
Pope Why, Han What! Theob et cet
dub'd dubb'd! Cam +
shoulder shoulder Cap et seq

260 Robert land,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Walker Robert land, Cam + Robert, land, Fle Robert, land, Theob et cet

received on it, and its strain has an apparent similitude to plays that are earlier, and this John has one as apparent to such plays of its author as preceded his Romeo But this matter may have a fuller discussion [See Appendix Troublesome Raigne of John -ED]-Warburton acknowledges the origin of this expression as shown by Theobald, and adds 'But the beauty of the passage consists in his alluding, at the same time, to his high original His father, Richard I, was surnamed Cœur-de-lion And the Cor Leonis, a fixed star of the first magnitude, in the sign Leo, is called Basilisco — Johnson's only comment on the foregoing note by Warburton is 'Could one have thought it!'-'Could one have thought it, indeed!' says Kenrick, Johnson's truculent reviewer, 'A mighty pretty way this of writing annotations on Shakespeare! To copy two long notes from Theobald and Warburton, and then to exclaim, concerning some conundrum of the latter. Could one have thought it! Neither your subscribers, nor your book-sellers. I believe, Dr Tohnson, thought you would have fobbed them off so shabbily For, indeed, when a man promised so fair, Could one have thought it? But perhaps this is another stroke of our editor's wit It is-ha!-like enough-but, could one have thought it?'-[One is moved to ask if this be likewise a sample of Mr Kenrick's wit!—and also whether Warburton was gratified by his doughty champion's characterising his high-flown interpretation as a 'conundrum' - ED | - EDWARDS (p 119) Warburton should have said that the Cor Leonis is Basiliscus, or Regulus, for those are the names it goes by, but then there would have been no foundation for this, which is absolutely the conundrum of a Hypercritic The words, put out of verse, are these I say, like Basilisco in the play, call me (not knave but) knight, good mother What pretence is here for any allusion to a star, which it does not appear that Shakespeare ever knew or thought of? Or how could the Bastard be in this instance like the Cor Leonis, unless that star were knighted, which Mr Warburton will as easily prove, as what he asserts of the allusion [Needless to say Warburton's extravagant allusion does not appear in any edition subsequent to Johnson, and no commentator other than Edwards refers to it. That Cor Leonis is the same as Cœur de Lion and that the name of the star is Basiliscus, which name appears here, is a curious coincidence and as such has but a passing interest in any note on a line in King John -ED]

258. What] FLEAY 'What' is here equivalent to Why, not to whal! as usually printed—[SCHMIDT (Lev, s v (e)) cites the present line as an example of 'what' used as 'a word of exclamation expressing surprise, exultation, or impatience'—ED!

260. Sir Robert and my land FLEAY. I think my punctuation gives the better sense [See Text Notes I am inclined to agree with Fleay 'Disclaim' can hardly mean both disavow and renounce, here it seems to apply to Sir Robert alone.—ED.]

Legitimation, name, and all is gone;	261
Then good my mother, let me know my father,	
Some proper man I hope, who was it mother?	
Lady. Hast thou denied thy selfe a Faulconbridge?	
Bast. As faithfully as I denie the deuil	265
Lady King Richard Cordelion was thy father,	
By long and vehement furt I was feduc'd	
To make roome for him in my husbands bed:	
Heauen lay not my transgression to my charge,	
That art the iffue of my deere offence	270

(-Var '73) Heaven, Sing 1, Coll 262 Then] Then, F4 WhoHeaven! Knt, Coll 1, 111, 263 hope who hope Ktly. n, Wh 1 Neils Huds 1 264 denied] deny'd F4, Rowe, Pope,+, 269 to my] to thy Sta conj Long MS. Cap Varr Rann, Mal ap Cam Huds 11 266 Cordelion Ff, Rowe, Del Fle charge,] Ff, Rowe, Knt, Coll 1, Cœur-de-lion Pope et cet Huds 1 charge Fleay. charge! Pope 267, 268 Om Words et cet 267 [educ'd] seduc'd Rlfe 270 That F₂F₃, Knt, Coll 1, Huds. 268 To bed] Om Rlfe i, Del 1 Thou F4 et cet 270-289 Om Words 269 Heauen] Heav'n Rowe, Pope,+

263 who was it mother] C & M Cowden Clarke No one like Shakespeare for setting straight before the imagination the very look, gesture, and tone with which a few simple words should be uttered By the way he has written these two lines, introducing the sentence at the close, we see the son's hugging arm thrown round her, the close drawing her to him, the manly wooing voice by which he accompanies this coaxing question.

265 denie the deuill] Is there not here possibly a faint echo of the phrase used both in the office of baptism and in the Catechism, 'renounce the devil and all his works'? The adverb 'faithfully' is a slight corroboration—Delius thinks that the word 'deny' is used by the mother in the sense discover (verlaugnen), and by the Bastard as meaning abjure (absagen Einem) That the word may bear several meanings cannot be denied, also that Shakespeare uses it in various ways, but here it is used in both lines simply with the sense of discover—Schmidt (Lex.) so takes it, explaining 'thyself a Faulconbridge,' 1 264, as the double accusative. Compare Matthew, xxvi, 34—Ed

270 That art] KNIGHT [The Folio reading] appears to us more in Shake-speare's manner than the customary text, Thou art Lady Faulconbridge is not invoking Heaven to pardon her transgression, but she says to her son, for Heaven's sake, lay not (thou) my transgression to my charge that art the issue of it. The reply of Faulconbridge immediately deprecates any intention of upbraiding his mother—Collier (ed 1.) The meaning is Let not heaven and you, that art the issue of my dear offence, lay the transgression to my charge. The modern reading has generally been to make a period at 'charge,' and to begin a new sentence with 'Thou art', but no alteration [of the text of the Folios] is required [In his second edition Collier, having the authority of his MS. corrector, accepts the reading 'Thou art,' which, by the way, is not a modern correction, but that of the Fourth

Which was fo strongly vrg'd past my defence	271
Bast Now by this light were I to get againe,	
Madam I would not wish a better father	
Some finnes doe beare their priuiledge on earth,	
And so doth yours: your fault, was not your follie,	275
Needs must you lay your heart at his dispose,	
Subjected tribute to commanding loue,	277

271 vrg'd] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Varr '73, '78, '85 vrg'd, Cap et cet 272 Now light] Now, light, F₄ et seq 273 father] father Rowe et seq 275 fault,] fault F₃F₄ et seq your follie,] you folly F₂. your folly Ktly 277 Subsected] Subsected Steev Varr. Sing Dyce, Huds ii

Malone assigns it to Rowe, this, I think, misled Collier, as it has several other editors -ED]-STAUNTON Some alteration was certainly required, but [Thou] is not satisfactory I am half persuaded the misprint to be corrected is in the preceding line, and that we ought to read 'to thy charge' She had a moment before confessed that Richard Cour-de-Lion was his father, and 'Thou art the issue' is a needless repetition of the avowal [Hudson (ed ii) adopts in his text this conjecture by Staunton J-R G WHITE The whole goes to show that Lady Faulconbridge is solicitous only on her own account [White, therefore, dismisses as 'forlorn expedients' the attempts of Knight and Collier to wrest a meaning from the Folio text by making these two lines an address to the Bastard He explains the misprint of 'That' for Thou through 'yu being mistaken for yt'-En]-Delius (ed 1), retaining the reading of the Folio, connects 'That' with 'transgression' This note he does not, however, repeat in his second edition, but with the majority of editors accepts the reading of the Fourth Folio -Moore-Smith It is possible that 'That' is right, that 'lay' in 1 269 is an imperative, and that Shakespeare wrote 'Good, lay not' (compare Tempest, I, 1, 3), which was misread God and then softened to 'Heaven' -- Ivon John Evidence and probability seem equally balanced between Lady Faulconbridge's praying that she should not be punished for her transgression since she was forced into it, and praying that her transgression should not be visited upon the innocent issue of it If we read 'That' with the Folio, then it seems necessary to read 'thy charge,' with Staunton. Delius's [connecting 'That' with 'transgression'] is hardly so likely

274 Some sunnes . . . on earth] Johnson There are sins that whatever be determined of them above, are not much censured on earth—Moberly That is, Bear their own immunity on earth, on the principle, probably, that as kings may not 'cut and carve' for themselves when they marry, so they are to be allowed a little compensative freedom in wandering love—Brandes (i, 171) In later years, at a time when his outlook upon life was darkened, Shakespeare accounted for the villainy of Edmund in King Lear, and for his aloofness from anything like normal humanity, on the ground of his irregular birth, in the Bastard of this play, on the contrary, his aim was to present a picture of all that health, vigour, and full-blooded vitality which popular belief attributes to a 'love-child.'

276 dispose] That is, disposal. For other examples, see SCHMIDT (Lex)
277. Subjected] Onions (N E D, s v. 2.) Reduced to a state of subjection,

Against whose furie and vnmatched force,	278
The awlesse Lion could not wage the fight,	
Nor keepe his Princely heart from Richards hand	280
He that perforce robs Lions of their hearts,	
May eafily winne a womans; aye my mother,	
With all my heart I thanke thee for my father	
Who liues and dares but fay, thou didft not well	
When I was got, Ile fend his foule to hell	285
Come Lady I will shew thee to my kinne,	

278 vnmatched] unmatchèd Dyce,
Sta Fle Huds 11
280 hand] F₂F₃, Dyce, Hal Coll 111,
Huds hands F₄ hands Rowe, Pope,
+ hand Cap et cet
281 He] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Dyce, Hal
Cam + He, Theob et cet
perforce] per force F₄, Rowe,

Pope, Han
282 womans, aye] Ff, Rowe. wom
an's Ah, Cap Mal. woman's Ay
Pope et cet
283 father] father Pope,+ (—Var
'73) father' Cap et seq
285 Ile] ule F₂ I'le F₄
286 thee] the F₄

under the dominion or authority of another Hence, submissive, obedient [The present line quoted]

280 keepe his . . heart from Richards hand] Percy Shakespeare here alludes to the old metrical Romance of Richard Caur-de-Lion, wherein this once celebrated monarch is related to have acquired his distinguishing appellation by having plucked out a lion's heart, to whose fury he was exposed by the Duke of Austria, for having slain his son with a blow of his fist. From this ancient romance the story has crept into some of our old chronicles, but the original passage may be seen at large in the introduction to the third volume of Reliques of Ancient Poetry An abstract with certain passages in full is also contained in Ellis's Specimens of Early English Metrical Romances, vol 11, pp 186-200 That portion relative to Richard's combat with the hon will be found on p 206 et seq Ellis, in his Introduction, says that the earliest edition is that of W de Worde, 1509 —ED]—Grey quotes the following account from Rastell's Pastyme of People, 1529 'It is sayd that a lyon was put to kynge Rycharde, beynge in prison to have devoured hym, and when the lyon was gapynge he put his arme in his mouth, and pulled the lyon by the harte so harde that he slewe the lyon, and therefore some say he is called Rycharde Coure de Lyon But some say he is called Coure de Lyon because of his boldenesse and hardy stomake,' [ed Dibdin, p 171] —FARMER I have an old black-letter History of Lord Faulconbridge whence Shakespeare might pick up this circumstance - [MALONE says that the earliest edition of this History is 1616. See Appendix Source of Plot -ED]-MALONE In Heywood's Downfall of Robert, Earl of Huntington, 1601, there is a long description of this fabulous achievement. [ed. Haz.-Dods, viii, 178]. The same story is told by Knighton, inter Decem Scriptores, and by Fabyan, who calls it a fable, [ed Ellis, p 304] It probably took its rise from Hugh de Neville, one of Richard's followers, having killed a hon when they were in the Holy Land, a circumstance recorded by Matthew Paris

283, 284, 286 thee ... thou ... thee] Page Observe the use of 'you' and 'thou' in this speech When he is speaking to her as a lady—'Madam,' [1 273]—

And they shall say, when *Richard* me begot, If thou hadst sayd him nay, it had beene sinne, Who sayes it was, he lyes, I say twas not.

287

Exeunt. 290

289. fayes it was, I fay twas] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Dyce, Cam +. says, it was I say, 'twas Theob et cet

he uses the complimentary 'you', when he addresses her as 'mother,' he employs the familiar 'thou,' and continues it to the end of the speech

. twas not Halliwell This is confused even to contradiction The Bastard says that he will show his mother to his new royal kindred, and they shall say if she had said nay to Richard it would have been sin, he then adds, who says it was a sin, lies, for I say it was not The meaning intended by the Poet is, who says the yielding to Richard was a sin, lies, I say 'twas not a sin to yield to his begetting me -- VAUGHAN (1, 10) 'Who says it was'-what was? 'I say 'twas not' -what was not? The stanza is nonsense as the last line now stands, unless, although the first 'it' before 'had been sin' must refer to 'said him nay,' the second 'it' before 'was' without any intervening antecedent be by an unwarrantable license applied to 'Richard me begot,' in the sense 'Whoever says that when Richard begot me a sin was committed 'Shakespeare unquestionably wrote 'Who says "ay" was. he lies, I say 'twas not' We have the same contrast below 'If you say ay, the king will not say no,' [III, iii, 188] 'Aye' is constantly in the old copies printed as it was written, '1'; '1,' however, being mistaken for the first personal pronoun which could make no sense, or, being misread, was changed into 'it' by the simple addition of a letter The poet's meaning is clear—'They shall declare that if you had said Richard "nay" it would have been sin, and if anyone of them maintains, on the contrary, that your saying Richard "ay" was a sin,—he lies. I say it was no sin'-Ivor John Vaughan's suggestion seems quite un-Shakespearean Still literally the stanza is nonsense in its present shape. The meaning is obvious, but we arrive at it by wresting round the 'it' in the last line to mean Lady Faulconbridge's surrender to Cœur-de-lion -- [Vaughan's suggestion is not only 'quite un-Shakespearean,' as John says, but it is, I think, far from being an 'unquestionable' reading The first, and principal, objection to it is, that it depends wholly on the eye and not on the ear-the printed word, not the word as uttered Would any auditor comprehend the meaning at once, and understand that the 'I' in the last line was the affirmative and not the personal pronoun? It is but necessary to repeat the line with Vaughan's emendation, as it might be uttered on the stage, to realise that the phrase would be incomprehensible in the sense Vaughan suggests Secondly, Vaughan's explanation of the origin of the error in this line weakens, rather than strengthens, his argument, that the affirmative 'ay' was almost universally represented in print by the single letter I—and doubtless also in MS—is so well known as to require no corroboration, but Vaughan would, I think, find some difficulty in furnishing an example wherein 'ay' was represented by the lower case 'i' and not the capital; the Folio text in the line he quotes from this play reads, 'If you say, I.' Ivor John's explanation of this very elliptical sentence must commend itself, although, as he says, 'the meaning is obvious.'-En.]

Scæna Secunda

[Act II. scene i]

Enter before Angiers, Philip King of France, Lewis, Daulphin, Austria, Constance, Arthur. 2

r Scæna Secunda] Ff, Rowe 1, Fleay Act I, Scene 11i Dono Act II, Scene 1. Rowe 11 et cet

Scene The French King's Tent Dono Before the Walls of Angiers Rowe et cet (subs)

- 2, 3 Enter Arthur I Ff Enter Philip, King of France, Lewis the Dauphin, the Arch-Duke of Austria, Constance, and Arthur Rowe, + Drums, &c Enter Austria, and Forces, on one side on the other King Philip of France
- and his Power, Lewis, Arthur, Constance, and Attendants Capell, Cam. + Enter on one side, the Archduke of Austria and Forces, on the other Philip, King of France, and Forces, Lewis, Constance, Arthur and Attendants Mal et cet
- 2, 3 Lewis, Daulphin,] Ff, Fle. Louis, Dyce, Hal Wh 1 (through-out)
- 3 Austria, Anstria Booth reprint, Furnivall (Old Spel Sh)
- r Scæna Secunda] In the Folios this is the second scene of Act I, but as all modern editions subsequent to Rowe ii make this the first scene of Act II, this latter arrangement is here adopted merely in order to facilitate reference to the modern editions—ED
- Act II scene 1] F Gentleman (Dram Cens, 11, 156) We apprehend the play would have begun with much more propriety at this period, and there is not a single passage in the First Act, save King John's reply to Chatilhon, that could cause taste or judgment to lament the omission of it—Boswell-Stone (p 51) The historic time of Acts II and III extends to nearly three years, beginning at the interview of John and Philip 'on the morrow after the feast of the assumption of our ladie' (August 16), 1199, and ending 'on Lammas daie' (August 1), 1202, when Arthur was taken prisoner by John—Moore-Smith In spite of the fact that in the opening scene of the play Arthur's claim is represented as a just one, and John as a usurper, the present scene by no means enlists sympathy on behalf of Arthur's supporters The very words in which Philip [Lewis] introduces Austria as the cause of the early death of Richard Cordelion are as a warning to the audience not to find their heroes here.
- 2 Angiers] 'Angers, or Angiers, anciently Juliomagus, Andegavum, and Andes, the capital of the government of Anjou, in France, situated a little above the place where the little rivers Loire and Sarte fall into the Maynne, which last river divides this city into two parts. Its ancient name it had from Julius Cæsar, who built it. The first walls of this city were raised by John, surnamed Lackland, who was king of England, and Duke of Anjou. But Prince Lewis, son of King Philip Augustus, and afterwards King Lewis VIII, demolished these walls. His son and successor, St. Lewis, built them up again in the manner in which they still are; and besides these, it is surrounded with antique fortifications '—Shakespeare Illustrated, vol. i, p. 79—Moberly. Shakespeare well divines the character of this city, the cradle of the Plantagenets, warlike and powerful, a bulwark of France against the Dukes of Bretagne. M. Michelet remarks that its architecture even now shows

Lewis. Before Angiers well met braue Austria,

4

4, 21 Lewis] K Phi Theob conj (Nichols, 11, 388), Dyce 11, 111, Col 111, Huds 11, Wh 11, Words Dono Craig 4 Austria,] Ff, Rowe 1 Austria Huds. 11 Austria Rowe 11 et cet

traces of this character, the walls of its cathedral being covered not with sculptured saints, but with armed warriors

4 Lewis] THEOBALD (Nichols, 11, 388) Why does the Dauphin take upon him to anticipate his father in welcoming Austria, and his father here in presence? I doubt not but this speech should be placed to King Philip -[This conjecture, made in a letter to Warburton in 1729, Theobald did not repeat in either his first or second editions —ED.]—Collier (ed 11) It has been suggested, with some plausibility, that the King of France ought to open this scene, and that such is usually the case when Shakespeare introduces a king on the stage. This rule is by no means without exception, and, of course, we do not feel authorised upon mere speculation to alter the invariable regulation of the Folios - In his ed in. Collier assigns this and the next speech, l 21, to Philip, and says that the prefix 'Lewis' is doubtless an error, since the tenor of this speech and others shows that it belongs to Philip and not to Lewis -ED]-W W[ILLIAMS] (Parthenon, 16 August, 1862, p 506) This speech is given to Lewis, although the line 'At our importance hither is he come' is alone sufficient to show to whom it should belong. Again, after a few words from Arthur to the Duke, Lewis patronisingly commends him as 'A noble boy' Yet we know that these young princes were about the same age and had been educated together This blind adherence to the prefixes of the Folio (elsewhere admittedly most inaccurate) appears to have arisen from Shakespeare having crowded into this drama the events of several years In the later acts Lewis plays a conspicuous part and heads the invasion of England, but at the period in question he was a mere youth, and was evidently so considered by the dramatist If we read the whole of this scene carefully we can hardly fail to perceive that Lewis is not intended to speak until called upon to express his sentiments with regard to marrying the Lady Blanch When King John proposes the marriage to King Philip, the latter addresses his son by 'What say'st thou, boy?' and King John afterwards asks 'What say these young ones?' How, consistently with real or dramatic decorum, could a 'beardless boy,' 'a cockered silken wanton,' as Lewis is described by Faulconbridge, be the first to welcome the Duke of Austria before Angiers, and this in the presence of his father, the King of France? The first speech given to King Philip in the received text commences with 'Well, then, to work,' and implies that he had previously spoken With a few unimportant exceptions Shakespeare invariably makes his monarchs and great personages open and conclude the dialogue whenever they appear This further exception in King John would be a strange and most suspicious instance of the reverse. I may add, too, that in the old play, The Troublesome Raigne, the corresponding speech is assigned, and with undeniable propriety, to King Philip -[On the authority of Dyce the Shakespearean notes in The Parthenon (a weekly publication discontinued in 1863) are assigned to Mr W. W Williams. The name does not appear in the Dictionary of National Brography, in Allibone's Dictionary of Authors, or in Jaggard's Bibliography.—ED]—C & M. COWDEN CLARKE In our previous editions we left the speech assigned [as in the Folio] under the impression that the forward part taken elsewhere by the Dauphin in the French political procedure warranted

[4 Lewis]

the assumption that here he takes the initiative, even in his father's presence But on more mature consideration of the whole question (besides bearing in mind the frequent errors in prefixes made by the Folio), we think there is little doubt that King Philip is the speaker here The expression 'At our importance hither is he come,' which we imagined might be spoken by Lewis in his royal father's name and his own, is, we confess, more consistent with the regal style put by Shakespeare into the mouths of his monarchs Moreover, the word 'boy,' addressed to Arthur, makes for the belief that it is the French king who speaks, and not Lewis: since the latter is himself called by his father 'boy' further on in this same scene, and one so young would probably not use this epithet The same argument applies to the next speech but one—'A noble boy'—which has also the prefix 'Lewis' in the Folio, but which, from its tone of protection, seems properly to belong to the king —Fleay (Introd, p 28) In this scene, il 4-204, the King of France is called 'Lewis' in the text, 1 153, and in the prefixed names, lines 4, 21, 160 In this scene only are some of his speeches assigned to King simply. Editors have tried emendation unsuccessfully They either make Lewis two syllables, or Philip one, neither of which are admissible in the metre of this play It seems more reasonable to infer that these two hundred lines and also III, ii, i-io were inserted hurriedly after the rest of the play had been written This would also account for the confusion in the division into acts and scenes. The metrical test, which shows only two rhymes in these two hundred lines, and no rhyme in III, ii, confirms this conjecture, and when we consider that the passage alluding to the English fleet of 1596 (ll 76-79) is also contained in these lines, I feel little doubt that these subsequent insertions were made after Hamnet's death, and that the blunders of Philip for Richard and Lewis for Philip are to be attributed to the confusion caused by grief in Shakespeare's mind None but those who have had to write compulsorily under similar bereavements can tell how errors do creep in at such times
That the errors remained uncorrected causes no difficulty, for this play was not printed during Shakespeare's life, and its probable revivals in 1611 and 1622 took place after his retirement from the theatre, according to the most probable chronology, which gives 1611 for the production of his last complete play, the two plays produced afterwards being finished by Fletcher The excision of the character of Essex from this play may also have been made after August, 1506, and with the same want of care, which would account for his name being left in the prefix to I, 1, 51 -Brandes (1, 174) All the scenes in which Arthur appears are contained in the older play, and, among the rest, the first scene of Act II, which seems to dispose of Fleay's conjecture that the first two hundred lines were hastily inserted after Shakespeare had lost his son Nevertheless almost all that is gracious and touching in the figure is due to the great reviser [See III, iv, 98 and notes thereon -ED]-Miss C Porter. The main dramatic object is to let the audience know the relation of Austria to Richard the Lionheart, and thereby to Faulconbridge, as well as to Arthur But the most skilful way is to give the information to one in the play who does not know its relation to himself, and also to make this subsidiary matter a mere preliminary to the main business,—the attack on Angiers, the hearing of Chatillion, the reception of John, etc ,-in all of which the King leads necessarily By means of this change [from King Philip, as in the older play, to Lewis], moreover, the two new characters of whom the audience has before heard nothing-Lewis and Austria-are both at once introduced and time

Arthur that great fore-runner of thy bloud, Richard that rob'd the Lion of his heart, And fought the holy Warres in Palestine, By this braue Duke came early to his graue:

5

8

5 Arthur | Ff Arthur | Pope, + Arthur, Rowe et cet

is saved. Shakespeare's speaker is not 'welcoming Austria,' but bidding little. Arthur to welcome him, and the speech is recast [from the older play] to suit abridgement and new uses.

- 4 Before Angiers . Austrial Rose (Sh Soc Trans, 1880, p 49) If we want to be sure of Shakespeare's method of work we cannot do better than look at him actually in the workshop, not creating beings of his own, but improving, dovetaling together, planing down, or filling out other men's faulty work, adapting old plays, that is, and putting any amount of honest toil into the business. Take a very small example. In reading the old Troublesome Rangne of John it struck me that after the first scene, when all the English characters had gone off and the French came on, the audience must be puzzled, for the first dozen lines or so, to know where they were and whom they had before them. It was a small enough matter, and the uncertainty would not last very long, yet I thought I would see whether Shakespeare was more or less careful in such things. I found that in his King John the very first line spoken on the entry of the French was this 'Before Angiers well met, brave Austria!' In six words the place and person were set before the audience!
- 4 Angiers] B Dawson (Sh Soc. Trans, 1887, p 172), in speaking of Shake-speare's accentuation of proper nouns, shows that the accent is on the first syllable of Angiers in all cases where it occurs in this play, and he 'therefore claims "Angiers" as a Spondee in the present line—It consists of Iamb, three Spondees, Iamb, for as beat falling upon the a of "Austria" (which has neither accent nor emphasis) indisputably makes the line end in an Iamb, so surely may beat falling upon the -giers of Angiers (also without accent or emphasis) make it a Spondee'—[It is, I think, reasonable to suppose that the g is here soft, as in the somewhat similar name Algiers—Ed]
- 5 fore-runner of thy bloud] WRIGHT By some strange carelessness Shake-speare here makes Arthur in the direct line of descent from Richard [See note by MOBERLY, 1 16]
 - 6. rob'd the Lion of his heart | See note on I, 1, 280
- 8 By this braue Duke! CAPELL (i, pt 1, p 121) A great falsification of history; and a wilful one certainly, for the purpose of blending two characters, and giving spirit to the Bastard's resentment which follows presently Richard's chronicle story,—so much of it as concerns the explanation of Shakespeare,—is this That, in his return from the Palestine wars, he was drove ashore on an enemy's country—the Duke of Austria—was discover'd by him and imprison'd, but purchas'd his liberty at last by a great ransom, his imprisoner dying soon after by a fall from his horse, that, warring some years after in France, he was kill'd by an arrow before the castle of a viccount of Lymoges, which viccount in some other encounter was kill'd by the Bastard . . Shakespeare revives Austria, and makes him Lymoges too, brings him so intitl'd to Angiers in the spoil of his prisoner, whose death he attributes to him, and kills him then by the Bastard in revence of that death.—

And for amends to his posteritie,

At our importance hether is he come,

To spread his colours boy, in thy behalfe,

And to rebuke the vsurpation

Of thy vnnaturall Vncle, English Iohn,

Embrace him, loue him, giue him welcome hether.

Arth God shall forgiue you Cordehons death

The rather, that you giue his off-spring life,

Shadowing their right vnder your wings of warre:

g And] And, Cap et seq
10, 14 hether] Fl hither Ff et cet
10 is hel he has Words

12. vsurpation] usurpation Fle

13 Vncle,] Uncle F₃F₄
Iohn,] Ff John Rowe,+, Ktly,

Del Neils John Cap et cet.

15 Cordelions] F₂F₃ Cordelion's F₄,
Rowe, Del Fle Cœur-de-hon's Pope
et cet

16 rather, that] rather that F₄, Rowe 1,

Wh 1, Cam +, Huds 1i
17 their] his Coll MS

STEEVENS The old play, [The Troublesome Rangne], led Shakespeare into this error of ascribing to the Duke of Austria the death of Richard [Ibid, note on III, i, 44] In the person of Austria Shakespeare has conjoined the two well-known enemies of Cœur-de-lion Leopold, Duke of Austria, threw him into prison in a former expedition (in 1103), but the castle of Chaluz, before which he fell (in 1199), belonged to Vidomar, viscount of Limoges, and the archer who pierced his shoulder with an arrow (of which wound he died) was Bertrand de Gourdon. The editors seem hitherto to have understood Lymoges as being an appendage to the title of Austria, and therefore enquired no further about it Austria in the old play (printed in 1591) is called Lymoges, the Austrich duke. With this note I was favoured by .. my friend Henry Blake, Esq —Malone Harding says, in his Chronicle, that the cause of the quarrel was Richard's taking down the Duke of Austria's arms and banner, which he had set up above those of the King of France and the King of Jerusalem The affront was given when they lay before Acre in Palestine, [ed Ellis, p 264] Fabian says that Richard 'toke from a knighte of the Duke of Ostrich the sayd dukis banner, & in despyte of the sayd duke, trade it under foote, and dyd unto it all the despyte he myght,' [ed Ellis, p 301] This circumstance is alluded to in the old King John, where the Bastard, after killing Austria, says 'And as my father triumph'd in thy spoils, And trod thine ensigns underneath his feet '

10. importance] JOHNSON That is, *importunity* Compare 'Maria writ The letter at Sir Toby's great importance'—Twelfth Night, V, 1, 371—[According to SCHMIDT (Lex) these are the only examples of Shakespeare's use of 'importance' in this sense—ED]

16 off-spring] Delius thinks that by 'off-spring' Arthur here means not himself, but rather the whole of Richard's family collectively, as is shown by the use of 'their' in the next line—Moberly Of course Arthur was only nephew to Richard I, not his 'offspring' Yet Shakespeare is only following the style of official documents in which kings are held to be descended from their predecessors. So even Henry VII repeatedly speaks of 'our royal progenitor, King Edward the Fourth' 17. Shadowing] Wright That is, sheltering Compare 'Behold, the Assyrian

I giue you welcome with a powerlesse hand,	18
But with a heart full of vnftained loue,	
Welcome before the gates of Angiers Duke.	20
Lewis. A noble boy, who would not doe thee right?	
Aust. Vpon thy cheeke lay I this zelous kisse,	
As feale to this indenture of my loue	
That to my home I will no more returne	
Till Angiers, and the right thou hast in France,	25
Together with that pale, that white-fac'd shore,	
Whose foot spurnes backe the Oceans roaring tides,	
And coopes from other lands her Ilanders,	
Euen till that England hedg'd in with the maine,	
That Water-walled Bulwarke, still fecure	30

18 powerlesse] pow'rless Pope,+
(-Var '73)
19 unstained Dyce, Sta
Fle. Huds 11, Words unstrained Coll
11, 111 (MS) unfeigned Bailey (ii,
244)
20 Angiers Angiers, F.

20 Angiers] Angiers, F₄.
21 A noble boy,] Ff, Rowe i, Fle A noble boy' Rowe ii et cet

23 As] A Johns. Var '73
25, 29, 32, 33, 36 Till] 'Till Rowe,
Pope, Han Cap Var '78, '85
28 Ilanders] Islanders F₃F₄
29, 32 Euen] Ev'n Pope, Theob Han
Warb Johns Fle
29 England] England, F₄
30 Water-walled] water-walled Dyce.

Sta Fle Huds. ii, Words Dono

was a cedar in Lebanon with fair branches, and with a shadowing shroud '— Ezekiel, xxxi, 3 So 'shadow' is used in the sense of shelter, protection in 2 Henry IV 'Alack what mischiefs might be set abroach In shadow of such greatness!'— IV, 11, 14

17, 18 their right.. powerlesse hand] THUMMEL (Jahrbuch, x, p 8) points out that this is Arthur's only attempt at politics as an heir to the throne, and, even so, the young Prince hastens to modify the slight force of his greeting by words which are anything but political, inasmuch as he asserts his own lack of power

19 vnstained] COLLIER (Notes & Emend, p 201) The love of such a child would, of course, be 'unstained', what he meant to say, according to the MS Corrector, was that he bade Austria welcome with a heart full of love, which without effort flowed from it 'with a heart full of unstrained love '-Singer (ed ii) The antithesis of the hand without power, but love without stain, is both lucid and forcible. Collier's MS Corrector substitutes unstrained, which, in the sense of unconstrained, would be plausible, but Shakespeare twice applies strained to love and to faith and troth as expressive of purity, the implied sense is, therefore, not suitable to Shakespeare's phraseology - Dyce (ed. ii, p. 78) Against [the MS Corrector's] very plausible alteration Mr Knight (Spec of the Stratford Shakspere, p. 2) has adduced from Perceles 'my unspotted fire of love'—I, i, 53. Compare, too, a passage of the present play 'And the like tender of our love we make, To rest without a spot for evermore.'-V, vii, 115 [The above quotation from Percles Knight did not include among the Various Readings when the Stratford Shakspere was published in 1854 The Specimen appeared in 1853; and was later issued, with some additional matter, under the title Old Lamps or New?-ED]

26-31 that white-fac'd shore . . . forreine purposes] Ivon John This speech

And confident from forreine purposes,

Euen till that vtmost corner of the West
Salute thee for her King, till then faire boy
Will I not thinke of home, but follow Armes

Const O take his mothers thanks, a widdows thanks,
Till your strong hand shall helpe to give him strength,
To make a more requitall to your love

Aust The peace of heaven is theirs y lift their swords. In such a suft and charatable warre

King. Well, then to worke our Cannon shall be bent

40

31 forreine] forraine F₂ forrain foreign F₄

32 vtmost] outmost F4 Rowe,+

33 King,] Ff, Pope, + King, Rowe et cet

35 O take Ff, Rowe, Pope, Fle O'take Coll Huds 1, Del Craig Oh'take Ktly O, take Theob et cet

28 heaven Heavin Rowe + (-Var

38 heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+ (-Var '73), Fle

y] who F4, Rowe,+. that F2F3 et

cet

40 King.] K Philip Rowe et seq (subs)

then to worke] F₂F₃ then to work, F₄ then, to work, Rowe then to work, Pope then, to work Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 11, Del Rife, Dono then, to work! Neils then, to work; Theob et

Cannon] engines Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns

recalls Gaunt's dying words in Richard II, and may have some bearing on the question of the dating of King John and Richard II.

- 31 forreine purposes Moberly In 1599, which was certainly about the time when this play was written, great preparations were being made against a new invasion from Spain, from which the Spaniards hoped better things than had come from the Armada eleven years before Probably the burst of patriotism from Leopold's lips may be due to Shakespeare's feeling about the invasion, and introduced into the play some time after its first composition
- 35 a widdows thanks] MALONE This was not the fact Constance was at this time married to a third husband, Guido, brother to the Viscount of Touars. She had been divorced from her second husband, Ranulph, Earl of Chester
- 36 shall helpe] For other examples of the future tense used where we should use the infinitive or subjunctive, see, if needful, Abbott, § 348
- 37 a more requitall] That is, a greater For other examples, see Shakespeare passim—Delius says that a more rational construction is here to consider 'more' as composite directly connected with 'requital,' as in 'her best is better'd with a more delight '—Ven. & Ad, 1 10
 - 40. Cannon] See note on I, i, 31
- 40 bent] Wright That is, aimed, directed. The terms of archery were applied to other weapons than the bow So of a cannon, as here, in 3 Henry VI 'To bend the fatal instruments of war Against his brother and his lawful king.'—V, 1, 87 And in Stow's Annales 'The same night, and the next morning, he bent seauen great peeces of Ordinance Culuerings, and Demi Canons, full against the foote of the Bridge and against Southwarke.' Also of a sword, as in Richard III 'Queen Margaret saw Thy murderous falchion smoking in his blood, The which thou once didst bend against her breast '—I, ii, 95.

Against the browes of this resisting towne,	41
Call for our cheefest men of discipline,	
To cull the plots of best aduantages	
Wee'll lay before this towne our Royal bones,	
Wade to the market-place in French-mens bloud,	45
But we will make it subject to this boy	
Con. Stay for an answer to your Embassie,	
Lest vnaduis'd you staine your swords with bloud,	
My Lord Chattilion may from England bring	
That right in peace which heere we vrge in warre,	50
And then we shall repent each drop of bloud,	
That hot rash haste so indirectly shedde.	52

41 towne,] Ff, Rowe,+, Coll Huds
11 town - Cap et cet

43 aduantages] advantages Rowe, Pope,+, Coll 1, 11, Wh i, Ktly, Huds Del Rlfe, Dono

44 Wee'll] We'l F3 We'll F4

45 French-mens] F₂F₃ French-mens' Theob 11, Warb Johns Var '73 Frenchmen's Cap et seq

45, 51 bloud blood F3F4

48 bloud,] blood, F₃F₄ blood Rowe, Pope,+, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Del Rife, Neils Craig

49. Chattlhon] Ff, Rowe Chatllon Pope, Theob Han Warb Chatllon Cap Var '73, Ktly, Fle. Chatllon Johns et cet

52 indirectly] indiscreetly Coll 11, 111 (MS.), Sing 11, Ktly

- 43 To cull.. aduantages] Henley That is, to mark such stations as might most over-awe the town —Wright To select the positions which are most favourable for attack
- 46 But we will make it] That is, unless or except we make it Compare, perhaps, 'No jocund health that Denmark drinks today, But the great cannons to the clouds shall tell '—Hamlet, I, ii, 126
- 52. indirectly Collier (Notes & Emend, etc., p 201) The MS. corrector says that we ought to read, 'indiscreetly shed' Nevertheless, our great Poet sometimes uses 'indirectly' in a peculiar manner—Singer (Sh Vind, p 84) rather grudgingly admits that this change is to be commended as having the 'character of correction of a printer's error '-Anon (Blackwood's Maga, Sept., 1853, p 304) 'Indirectly' is Shakespeare's word The MS corrector suggests indiscreetly—a most unhappy substitution, which we are surprised that the generally judicious Mr Singer should approve of. Indiscreetly means imprudently, inconsiderately. 'Indirectly' means wrongfully, iniquitously, as may be learnt from these lines in Henry V, where the French king is denounced as a usurper, and is told that Henry 'bids you then resign Your crown and kingdom indirectly held From him the native and true challenger'—III, 1, 275 It was certainly the purpose of Constance to condemn the rash shedding of blood as something worse than indiscreet—as criminal and unjust—and this she did by the term 'indirectly' in the Shakespearean sense of that word.-[On the authority of Furnivall this anonymous review of Collier's volume has been ascribed to W N Lettsom (N. & Q, 1877, V, vii, 224); but evidently through some error, since Dyce, who in his second ed gives several notes by Lettsom, also quotes from these remarks made by the anonymous reviewer.

ACT II, SC 1] OF KING IOHN	77
Enter Chatthhon.	53
King A wonder Lady lo vpon thy wish	
Our Messenger Chattihon is arriu'd,	55
What England faces, fay breefely gentle Lord,	
We coldly pause for thee, Chatthon speake,	57

54 wonder Lady lo] wonder, Lady, lo F₄ wonder, Lady! lo! Rowe, Ktly wonder, Lady! lo, Pope, Theob Han Warb Cap wonder, lady lo, Fle wonder, lady!—Lo, Johns et cet

55 Chattilion] Rowe Chattillion F₂F₃ Chattillon F₄ Chattilion Pope,
Theob Han Warb Chattilion Cap
Var '73, Ktly, Fle Chattilion Johns
et cet

55 arriv'd, Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb. arriv'd' Dyce, Hal Cam +, Rlfe, Words Neils arrived — Johns et cet

57 thee,] thee Rowe, Pope,+ thee,
Cap et seq

Chatilion] Pope, Theob Han. Warb Chatilion Ff, Cap Var '73, Ktly, Fle Chatilion Rowe Chatilion Johns et cet

In the present instance, after giving the foregoing comment, Dyce quotes the following by Lettsom 'Read indiscreetly with Collier's Corrector Staunton would have it that "indirectly" may mean wrongfully, but wrongfully would make much more sense here than indiscreetly ' It will be seen that this is in complete contradiction to the opinion of the anonymous reviewer -ED]-R G WHITE (Sh Scholar, p 298) There can be no doubt of the propriety of the correction ['indiscreetly'] The Constable begs them to 'stay for an answer,' 'lest unadvised' they stain their swords with blood, and, in addition to this, the use of 'so' indicates that indiscreetly and not 'indirectly' was the word 'That rash, hot haste so indirectly shed' is not sense. The typographical error might easily have been made —[Is it not passing strange that so careful and conscientious an editor as White should herein make such a curious blunder as to ascribe this speech to the 'Constable'? There is, of course, no such character in the whole play as the Constable of France which was evidently in White's mind, he was misled doubtless by the prefix Const It will also be noticed that he quotes the line 'That rash, hot,' etc, whereas it reads 'hot, rash' In his edition a few years later White explains 'so indirectly' as here meaning 'so from the purpose, so extravagantly, and therefore wantonly', and characterises the correction indiscreetly as 'a somewhat plausible emendation' -Ed |-Ivor John 'Indirectly' generally means underhandedly in Shakespeare Compare 'Indirectly and directly too Thou hast contrived against the very life' -Mer of Ven, IV, 1, 359 The meaning here is nearer to indiscreetly than to underhandedly, although precipitating a fight before the return of a possibly peaceful answer from the opponent might be called 'indirection' by an honourable sol-Cotgrave has 'Indirectement in-directly. by unfit means'

- 54 A wonder Ladyl Johnson The wonder is only that Chatillon happened to arrive at the moment when Constance mentioned him, which the French king, according to a superstition which prevails more or less in every mind agitated by great affairs, turns into a miraculous interposition, or omen of good
- 57 coldly] MOBERLY That is, in unwilling inaction (not in the mere sense of tranquilly)—WRIGHT Calmly, without passion or feverish impatience Compare Rom. & Jul, 'Either withdraw unto some private place, And reason coldly of your grievances, Or else depart '—III, i, 55. And Much Ado 'Bear it coldly but till midnight, and let the issue show itself.'—III, 11, 132.

Chat. Then turne your forces from this paltry siege,	58
And stirre them vp against a mightier taske	
England impatient of your just demands,	60
Hath put himfelfe in Armes, the aduerfe windes	
Whose lessure I have staid, have given him time	
To land his Legions all as foone as I	
His marches are expedient to this towne,	
His forces strong, his Souldiers confident	65
With him along is come the Mother Queene,	
An Ace stirring him to bloud and strife,	67

58 paltry] paultry F₄, Rowe,+ 59 taske.] task F₄, Rowe et seq

60 England] England, F₄, Rowe et seq

61 Armes,] Ff, Rowe arms Dono Neils arms, Pope et cet

62 fland] stay'd Cap Coll Dyce, Hal Wh Cam +, Del Fle stayed Dono

giuen] giv'n Pope,+ (-Var '73),

63 as I] as I Rowe, Pope,+, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Del Dono

65 confident | confident Rowe et seq. 66 Mother Queene | Mother-Queen F4 et seq

67 An As Coll MS

Acel Ate Rowe, Cap Glo Wh 11, Neils Craig. Até Wh 1, Huds Até, Pope et cet.

firife,] Ff, Rowe 11 strife Rowe 1, Pope,+ strife, Cap et cet

64 expedient] That is, quick, expeditious Compare l 239 below, and for other examples, see SCHMIDT (Lex, s. v b)

67 An Ace] STEEVENS 'Até' [see Text Notes] was the Goddess of Revenge This image might have been borrowed from the celebrated libel, called Lescester's Commonwealth, originally published about 1584 'She standeth like a fiend or fury, at the elbow of her Amadis, to stirre him forward when occasion shall serve '-Seyffert (Dict of Classical Ant, ed Nettleship, Sandys, s v Ate) [ed 1641, p 91 says 'According to Homer, the daughter of Zeus, according to Hesiod, of Eris or Strife She personifies infatuation, the infatuation being generally held to imply guilt as its cause, and evil as its consequence . . In later times Até is transformed into an avenger of unrighteousness, like Diké, Erinys, and Nemesis'—ED]— WALKER (Crit, 11, 274) remarks that the corruption of t into c is frequent in old books, giving as examples the present line and 'Thus placed in habiliments of war,' for plated —Richard II, Folio, p 25, col 2—CRAIK (p 299), in a note on Jul Caes, III, 1, 271, 'With Até by his side,' says that 'this Homeric goddess had evidently taken a strong hold on Shakespeare's imagination', in corroboration Craik quotes the present line from King John and 'You shall find her the infernal Até in good apparel '-Much Ado, II, 1, 263, also, 'More Atés, more Atés, stir them on! stir them on!'—Love's Labour's, V, 11, 604. 'Where,' asks Craik, 'did Shakespeare get acquainted with this divinity, whose name does not occur, I believe, even in any Latin author?'-[In the note on 'Até in good apparel,' Much Ado, this edition, the EDITOR, after giving the foregoing note by Craik, suggests that Shakespeare 'might have learned about Até in Spenser,' and W. A. WRIGHT quotes from The Faerre Queene, IV, i, 19-30, wherein the description of Até and her dwelling occurs Ate is mentioned several times throughout this Book of The Faerie Queene, and always as the instigator of strife and turmoil, as thus 'Her 69 Kings] King Ff, Rowe, Pope, +,
Cap Var '78, '85, Rann, Steev Var
'03, '13, Huds ii
deceaft] deceaf'd F₃F₄, Rowe et
seq
70 th'infetled] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +,
Coll Wh i, Dyce ii, iii, Fle the unsettl'd Cap the unsettled Var '73 et cet
71-75 Rash heere] Om Donovan
71, 72 In parentheses Ktly, Sta

Dyce 11, 111, Huds
71 inconsiderate] inconsiderate Pope,

+
fiery] firy Mal Steev Var '21
voluntaries] Volunteers F4, Rowe 1
72 Ladies Dragons] ladies' dragons' Theob 11 et seq
74 birth-rights] F2, Cap birth-right
F3F4 birthright Rowe, Pope, Han
birthrights Theob et cet

name was Até, mother of debate And all dissension, which dayly grow Amongst fraile men, that many a publike state And many a private oft doth ouerthrow'--ed Grosart, IV, 1, 11 168-171, again, 'Thereto him Até stird, new discord to maintaine.'—Ibid, v, l 203 This last is quite apposite to the present line in King John Até is one of the Dramatis Persona in Locrine, 1595, and there enacts the part of Chorus at the beginning of each Act and speaks the final speech as an Epilogue In the note on the passage from Jul Cæs (quoted above) in this edition I hazarded the conjecture that Shakespeare may have obtained his knowledge of Até from a passage in Chapman's translation of Homer's Ihad, Bk xix, ll 91-94, this, I now see, is quite untenable The first seven books were translated in 1598, but the whole of the work did not appear until 1611, which is too late either for any reference in Jul Cas. or in the present play. I therefore now incline to regard Spenser as the likely source of Shakespeare's knowledge on this point — ED]—SINGER (Sh. Vind, p 84) The correction of 'An Ace' to An Até, which had been set right since Rowe's time, is certainly not improved by being changed to As Até [by the MS Corrector]

69 With them. deceast] MALONE This line except the word 'With' is borrowed from the old play, The Troublesome Raigne, [see Appendix, p. 483, 1 68] Our author should have written king But there is certainly no corruption, for we have the same phraseology elsewhere [Compare Pericles. 'She was of Tyrus the king's daughter.'—IV, iv, 39]

74-79 Bearing Christendome] MALONE (Chronological Order, etc., Var. '21, vol 1, p 312) Perhaps the description contained in these lines was immediately suggested to Shakespeare by the grand fleet which was sent against Spain in 1596 It consisted of eighteen of the largest of the Queen's ships, three of the Lord Admiral's, and above one hundred and twenty merchant ships and victuallers, under the command of the Earls of Nottingham and Essex The regular land forces on board amounted to ten thousand, and there was also a large body of voluntaries (as they were then called) under the command of Sir Edward Winkfield Many of the nobility went on this expedition, which was destined against Cadiz The

To make a hazard of new fortunes heere In briefe, a brauer choyle of dauntleffe fpirits Then now the English bottomes have waft o're, Did neuer flote vpon the fwelling tide. To doe offence and fcathe in Christendome: The interruption of their churlish drums

75

80

75 heere] Ff, Rowe, Dyce, Cam here Pope et cet

scath Cap et cet

76 chovsel Choice F.

11, 111, Col 111, Huds 11, Words Craig 70 Christendome | christendom Rowe et sea

77 Then Than F.

70 [cathel Ff. Rowe, Pope,+, Dyce

fleet sailed from Plymouth on the third of June, 1506, before the end of that month the great Spanish armada was destroyed, and the town of Cadiz was sacked and Many of our old historians speak of the splendor and magnificence disdisplayed by the noble and gallant adventurers who served in this expedition

on their backs] JOHNSON So in Henry VIII '-many 74 birth-rights Have broke their backs with laying manors on them '-I, 1, 84 -[UPTON, whose Remarks on three of Jonson's Plays appeared in 1740, quotes (p. 65) the present passage as an allusion to the expedition of 1506, comparing it to one in Epicane 'I had as fair a gold jerkin on that day, as any was worne in the iland-voyage, or at Cadiz '-I, iv (ed Gifford, p 362) It is but fair, I think, to give Malone the benefit of the doubt that he was not aware of his having been thus anticipated — ED |-STAUNTON (Introd, p 301), in commenting upon the foregoing observation by Malone, which he, however, ascribes to Johnson, says 'We must be cautious in attaching particular meaning to descriptions which would apply with equal truth to almost any expedition The fleet which the Earls of Nottingham and Essex led against Cadiz was not the only one which had been partly manned by gentle-History furnishes too many instances where men "Have sold their fortunes at their native homes" that they might participate in adventures of a similar kind, and Shakespeare may have derived the materials of Chatillon's description from the chronicles of different periods and various countries'-The same idea occurs in Gascoigne's Epilogus to the Steele Glas, 1575 'The elder sorte, go stately stalking on, And on their backs, they beare both land and fee, Castles and Towres, revenewes and receits, Lordships and manours, fines, yea fermes and al ' (ed. Cunliffe, 1, 173) -Marshall likewise furnishes a passage from Burton, Anat of Melan, 'tis an ordinary thing to put a thousand okes, and an hundred oxen into a suit of apparel, to wear a whole manour on his back '-Part 111, Sec 2, Mem 3, Subs 3 -ED]

77 waft] For other examples of the past tenses and participles of verbs ending in t, where the present remains unaltered, see WALKER, Crit, 11, p 324 et seq, or ABBOTT, § 242 Compare 'The iron of itself though heat red hot '-IV, 1, 69

79 scathe] That is, harm, mury Compare 'To pray for them that have done scathe to us.'—Rich. III. I, in, 317

80. churlish drums] WRIGHT The same epithet is applied to the drum in Venus & Adonis: 'Scorning his churlish drum and ensign red'-1 107

80 drums] Moberly. As Shakespeare introduces drums at Athens and Rome, he may well use them in France in the twelfth century The word 'timbale,'

ACT II, SC 1.] OF KING IOHN	81
Cuts off more circumstance, they are at hand, **Drum beats**	81
To parlie or to fight, therefore prepare Kin. How much vnlook'd for, is this expedition. Aust By how much vnexpected, by so much	85
We must awake indeuor for defence, For courage mounteth with occasion, Let them be welcome then, we are prepar'd.	
Enter K. of England, Bastard, Queene, Blanch, Pembroke, and others.	90
K Iohn Peace be to France. If France in peace permit Our iust and lineall entrance to our owne, If not, bleede France, and peace ascend to heaven. Whiles we Gods wrathfull agent doe correct	

Their proud contempt that beats his peace to heauen.

Peace be to *England*, if that warre returne

81-83 hand, .To parlie or to fight,] To parley or to fight, Pope,+ hand, To parley, or to fight, Cap et seq 82 Drum beats] Drummes beats F₂F₃ Drums beat F₄ et seq (subs) 84. expedition | Expedition! F4 et seq 86 indeuor] endeavor F3F4. 87. occasion] occasion Fleay. 88 Scene II Pope, Han Warb Johns.

89, 90 Enter .and others] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+ Enter King John, Elinor, Blanch and Richard Donovan Flourish Enter King John, and his Power Bastard, and Lords with him; Elinor and Lady Blanch Cap et cet.

89 K] King Ff, Rowe, Pope, +. King John Cap et seq

Bastard, Faulconbridge, Theob Warb. Varr. Rann Falconbridge, Dyce, Hal. Huds n, Words

80 Queenel Elmor Pope et seq Pembrokel Pembrook F₃F₄ go and others and Forces Mal. et seq

95

91 France | France! Huds 1. 92 owne, Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds i, Cam own Fleay own! Cap et cet

93 If not,] If not, Cap Varr. Mal Steev Varr Sing Ktly. If not,- Hal 93, 95 heauen . heauen] Ff Heav'n. .Heav'n Rowe, Warb. Johns Heav'n' heaven Pope, Theob Han heaven. heaven Coll Wh 1, Ktly heaven. heaven Cam +, Del Fle Heaven! heaven Cap et cet.

94 Whiles] Whilst Rowe, Pope.+. 95 beats] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns Coll Sing 11, Wh. i, Ktly, Sta. Cam +, Fle Dono beat Han et cet

being derived, according to Diez, from the Arabic tabal, proves the Oriental origin of this instrument, which, in fact, came from the Moors in Spain

96 if that warre returne! MOBERLY. Perhaps Philip points at the English army (war) as he speaks —[The image evoked of a personified War returning to England 'there to live in peace' is certainly unusual; but is it any more so than that of 'grum-visaged War' smoothing his 'wrinkled front' and capering 'nimbly in a lady's chamber,' as in the first lines of Richard III?-Page explains this line substantially as Moberly above, taking 'that' as redundant instead of demonstratively, From France to England, there to liue in peace. 97 England we love, and for that Englands fake, With burden of our armor heere we sweat This toyle of ours should be a worke of thine. 100 But thou from louing England art so farre, That thou hast vnder-wrought his lawfull King, Cut off the fequence of posterity, Out-faced Infant State, and done a rape Vpon the maiden vertue of the Crowne 105 Looke heere vpon thy brother Geffreyes face, These eyes, these browes, were moulded out of his; This little abstract doth contains that large, 108

o7 peace] Ff peace Rowe, Pope, Johns Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Cam +, Del Fle Rlfe, Nells Craig peacel Theob et cet
98 and for] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Dyce, Huds Cam +, Nells and, for Cap et cet.
99 burden] burthen F4, Rowe, Pope, +, Varr Rann Mal Wh. 1.
1 fweat] sweat Coll. Dyce, Hal

102. his] its Rowe, Pope,+, Cap

Wh Ktly, Huds Cam +, Neils

101 farre] far F4

Var '73, '78, '85 Rann her Coll II.

(MS) this S T P (N & Q, V, 1, 263).

103-105 Om Words Dono
104. Out-faced] Outfaced Dyce, Sta.
Fle Huds II
105 maiden vertue] maiden-virtue
Rowe, Pope, Ktly
Crowne] crown Rowe et seq
106 Geffreyes] Geffrey's Rowe
face,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, + (—Var.
'73) face; Cap. face Ktly face,—
Var '73 et cet
108-110 Om Donovan.

but is it not here the conjunctional affix, equivalent to $\it xf$ (so $\it be$) $\it that$, as explained by Abbott (§ 287), with the example, 'If that the youth of my new interest here Have power to bid you welcome '— $\it Mer$ of $\it Ven$, III, 11, 224'—ED]

102 vnder-wrought] Steevens That is, underworked, undermined

102 his] Collier (ed 11) Countries are usually spoken of in the feminine, and the MS. Corrector properly substitutes her for 'his', her and 'his' were frequently confounded because both, of old, were spelt with the same vowel—[Wright, commenting on this alteration, says. "his" is, however, the neuter possessive pronoun' See 1 202, below—ED [

ro4 Outfaced Infant State! WRIGHT That is, browbeaten, put down by intimidation or bravado, the state that belongs to an infant See V, 1, 53: 'outface the brow Of bragging horror,' and *Hamlet*. 'Dost thou come here to whine To outface me.'—V, 1, 301. And compare *Henry V* 'I will not say so for fear I should be faced out of my way '—III, vii, 90

108-110 abstract...breefel H. BAYLEY (p. 189) compares, for this use of 'abstract' and 'breefe' as classicisms, '—whose body is an abstract or a brief Contains each general virtue in the world '—Anon, 1596, Edward III II, i, 82—ROLFE compares 'Behold, my lords, Although the print be little, the whole matter And copy of the father.'—Winter's Tale, II, 11, 97.

108. that large] PAGE. We take 'large' here as a noun antecedant to 'which,' and equivalent to largeness, full size, full growth. Arthur's little form is a com-

Which died in Geffrey and the hand of time, Shall draw this breefe into as huge a volume: That Gef frey was thy elder brother borne, And this his fonne, England was Geffreys right, And this is Geffreyes in the name of God:

110

109 died] dy'd Rowe,+, Varr Rann, Mal 110 huge] large Rowe,+ (—Var '73) 112 [onne,] son, Pope,+, Cap Geffreys] Geffreyes F₂ 113 this Mason (Comments, p. 154), Wh. Dyce 11, 111, Huds. 11, Words Geffreyes] F₃ Geffreyes F₂.
Geffreys, F₄ Geffrey's, Knt Geffrey's
Coll Sing ii, Wh i, Ktly, Sta Del
Dono Craig Geffrey's son Jervis.
Geffrey's, Rowe et cet
God] God Pope, Han Sta Cam.
+, Fle Wh ii, Neils. God Knt

plete abstract or miniature copy of the fully-grown Geoffrey 'That large' is thus in antithesis with 'this brief' in 1 110, as well as with 'little abstract' in this same line

110 this breefe. . a volume] MOBERLY Shakespeare's experience of law-deeds was in many ways sufficient to show him the expansive force in such documents when paid for by the line

110 hugel CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note VIII, p 99) Large, which was doubtless a misprint for 'huge' in Rowe's edition, remained uncorrected by Pope, Theobald, Hanmer, Warburton, and Johnson, though Grey noticed the mistake (Notes, 1, p 230) Capell restored the true reading.

113 this is Geffreyes MASON (Comments, p 154) I have no doubt but we should read—'And his is Geffrey's' The meaning is, 'England was Geffrey's right, and whatever was Geffrey's, is now his,' pointing to Arthur-Knight: We have restored the punctuation of the original. 'And this is Geffrey's, in the name of God.' Perhaps we should read with Mason 'his is Geffrey's' In either case, it appears to us that King Philip makes a solemn asseveration that this (Arthur) is Geffrey's son and successor, or 'Geffrey's right' is his (Arthur's)—in the name of God, asserting the principle of legitimacy by divine ordinance As the sentence is commonly given, Philip is only employing an unmeaning oath -[As will be seen, Knight's punctuation—a period at the end of the line—is not a 'restoration' of the Folio text, but is a reading original with Knight —ED —R. G. WHITE Although it passes the power of human understanding to comprehend what would, by [the Folio] reading, be spoken of as Geffrey's, it has been hitherto retained Mason corrected the almost obvious typographical error, one easily made at any time, and still more probable here on account of the occurrence of 'And this' immediately above -C. & M. COWDEN CLARKE The construction of the sentence 'this his son' in the previous line, being elliptical for 'this boy is his son,' leads us to believe that 'this is Geffrey's' elliptically implies 'this boy is Geffrey's' -meaning: 'this boy's right is what was Geffrey's,' or 'to this boy now belongs that which was Geffrey's ' The repetition of a word in a sequence of sentences, like 'this' in the present one, is quite accordant with Shakespeare's style, and he has instances of the possessive case understood instead of expressed -- Hudson (ed. it). I suspect the correction ought to be carried still further [than Mason's his for 'this'], and Arthur's substituted for 'Geffrey's' 'England was Geffrey's

How comes it then that thou art call'd a King, When liuing blood doth in these temples beat Which owe the crowne, that thou ore-masterest?

115

K. Iohn. From whom hast thou this great commission To draw my answer from thy Articles? (France,

Fra. Fro that supernal Iudge that stirs good thoughts In any beast of strong authoritie,

120

To looke into the blots and staines of right,

116 owe] own Pope, Theob Han.
Warb Johns
117 commrssion] Commrssion, F4.
(France] Om Rowe
118 from thy] to thy Pope, + forth
by Vaughan (1, 21)

119. Fro] From Ff
120. beaft] F₁. breaft Ff
121 blots] bolts Warb. (misprint)
right,] Ff, Rowe right Cam.
+, Wh ii right Pope et cet.

right, and his [right] is Arthur's '--VAUGHAN (1, 12) [This and the preceding line] imply that the speaker has already shown some living person to own the crown of England, and yet such an assertion is not to be found elsewhere than in the reading, 'England was Geffrey's, and is this Geffrey's?' I think it most probable, therefore, that Shakespeare wrote. 'And is this Geffrey's?' but if not so, thus 'And this is Geffrey'—Miss C. Porter That is, this right is Geffrey's. The word night—which implies England also, that being Geffrey's right—is carried over in thought from the preceding line. It has been explained otherwise in e. 'this is Geffrey's heir' But the argument proceeds with some form, and, on the stage, must have been accompanied with gestures toward Arthur, at 'this is son,' his 'eyes' and 'brows' This personal designation must render further repetition of the assertion needless, and the formal progress of the argument demands a As the last step of the speech it comes—the England, the crown that was Geffrey's right is Geffrey's son's right, and is his crown -Herrorn: That is, this boy is Geffrey's son (and as such inheritor of his right to England). The phrase is ambiguous, but the other possible interpretations (e.g., this territory is Geffrey's) are less natural

116. Which . . . that] Compare, for this use of 'which' and 'that,' 'If he see aught in you that makes him like That anything he sees which moves his liking '— ll 536, 537 below See, if needful, Abbott, § 267

118. from thy Articles] COLLIER It has been suggested that we ought to read 'to thy articles'; but the old wording is very intelligible, the answer of John was to be drawn from the articles of the King of France, just before propounded—[Collier refers, I think, to a note on this passage in the Variorum of 1821 signed simply 'Roberts', but the change is more than a suggestion, it is the reading of all editions from Pope to the Variorum of 1773 Collier's note did not appear in either of his subsequent editions—ED]

119-121. Fro that . . . of right] SNIDER (11, 297). The character of the man in other situations can leave no doubt concerning the sincerity of these words; it is the religious conscience which speaks in him and directs his actions. He, therefore, will develop a twofold conflict with the influences of his own party, besides his struggle with John. He is not a mere politician, hence he will collide

That Iudge hath made me guardian to this boy,
Vnder whose warrant I impeach thy wrong,
And by whose helpe I meane to chastise it.

K. Iohn. Alack thou dost vsurpe authoritie.

122

125

124. And] And, Cap Varr. Rann, Mal Steev Sing Knt, Hal. Ktly. chafts[e] chastise Steev. Varr. Sing Knt i, Dyce, Fle. 125-159. In margin, Pope, Han.

with the political selfishness which is seeking to control the French expedition, nor is he a mere devotee of the Church, hence he will oppose its violation of good faith and moral rectitude. Conscience thus arrays him against the policy of the Dauphin and the policy of the Legate—Moberly. The idea of these lines seems to be that God sets in motion the authority of kings, as the judge of a supreme court does that of inferior judges by mandamus. So in 1 122 God is like the Lord Chancellor, who appoints guardians to heirs during their minority. [Lines 120, 121] might, perhaps, be stopped as follows 'In any breast—of strong authority To look into the blots,' etc.

121 blots and staines JOHNSON Mr Theobald reads, with the first Folio, 'blots,' which being so early authorised, and so much better understood, needed not to have been changed by Dr Warburton to bolts, though bolts might be used in that time for spots, so Shakespeare calls Banquo spotted with blood, 'the bloodboltered Banquo' The verb to 'blot' is used figuratively for to disgrace in ll 139, 141 And, perhaps, after all, bolts was only a typographical mistake.—[Heath (p 225) also concludes that this unnecessary change by Warburton is an error of the press -ED |-Steevens 'Blots' is certainly right The illegitimate branch of a family always carried the arms of it with what, in ancient heraldry, was called a blot or difference So, in Drayton's Epistle from Queen Isabel to King Richard II-'No bastard's mark doth blot his conquering shield '-- [ed Hughs, p oo] 'Blots' and 'stains' occur together again in Act III, scene 1, [1 47] -MALONE 'Blot' had certainly the heraldical sense mentioned by Steevens But it here, I think, means only blemishes [That 'blot' ever had any special heraldic meaning is, I think, very doubtful It is not included in the glossaries appended to Guillim's Display of Heraldry, Edmondson's Complete Body of Heraldry, or in Boutell's Handbook of English Heraldry Murray (N. E D) does not include any such technical sense of the word among its several significations. The general meaning given by the latter is blemish I am inclined to think that Steevens was misled by a note on the line from Drayton which he has quoted, wherein occurs the following: 'Showing the true and indubitate birth of Richard, his right unto the crown of England, as carrying the arms without blot or difference ' This might be taken to mean that the words 'blot' and 'difference' were synonymous; but a more likely interpretation is that 'blot' is used in the sense of blemish and 'difference' as the badge of cadency; that is, neither blemish nor mark of cadency (bastardy) appear on Richard's shield -Ep |

125 K. Iohn. Alack thou dost vsurpe] F. GENTLEMAN (ap. BELL, ed 16): This encounter of the Kings is not unlike that of Prettyman and Volscius in *The Rehearsal*; with this difference, that the burlesque Princes are rather more polite than the real Monarchs, and the Bastard, regardless of all decorum, appears a

Fran. Excuse it is to beat vsurping downe

Oueen. Who is it thou dost call vsurper France?

126

126 Excuse it is Ff, Rowe 1 Excuse it, 'its Rowe 11, Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns Excuse it; 'its Cap Varr Rann Excuse,—it is Dyce, Hal Sta

Huds 11, Words Excuse, it is Fle
Rlfe Excuse, it is Mal et cet
127 is it] is't Rowe 11, Pope, +
thou] that thou Rowe, Pope, +

Billingsgate bravo The scene as here offered to view [in the acting copy] is considerably and, we think, very justifiably, curtailed

126 Excuse it is] P Simpson (1900, N & Q, IX, v, 164) Malone's punctuation is now, I think, generally accepted, but this absolute use of the verb 'excuse' seems very un-English. I should either keep [the Folio reading] as it stands, or perhaps put a comma after the word 'is,' taking the line to mean 'It is sufficient excuse for my usurpation of authority that I am fighting against usurpation '— [Miss Porter (Folio ed) and Belden (Tudor Sh) also thus interpret, and, on the whole, this is, I think, much to be preferred either to Rowe's 'Excuse it, 'tis,' which seems somewhat too deferential, or Malone's punctuation where 'excuse' is used in a rather forced construction, as Simpson points out —ED]

127 Queen Who is it . . . Francel Fletcher (p. 35) Small a space as Queen Elinor occupies in the dialogue of this play, it is important to mark the clear indications, which every line of it assigned to her, affords us of the character as conceived by the dramatist Here, indeed, we have arrogance and unscrupulous love of power personified, and, accordingly, her vehemence in repelling the charge of usurpation against herself and John is proportioned to the clear consciousness which she betrays of the justice of the imputation. In her violent altercation with Constance she makes up for the inferiority of her eloquence to that of her rival by boldness of assertion and fierceness of reproach. Her sentences are brief, but each one speaks a volume respecting her own predominant qualities, and her vituperation, it must be owned, is truly imperial —H. Coleridge (ii, 151) should be glad to find that this altercation was transferred from the old Troublesome Raigne, for it is very troublesome to think it Shakespeare I do not exactly know how great ladies scold, and there are reasons for supposing that Queen Elizabeth herself was not always quite queenlike in her wrath, but there is so little of humour, propriety, or seemliness in the discourse of the two princesses, and Constance is at last so confused and unintelligible, if not corrupt, that the whole might well be spared Massinger, in The Duke of Milan, has a yet grosser dialogue between Mariana, Isabella, and Marcelia, but it is not so utterly out of place. and, besides, Massinger's ladies are seldom gentlewomen -Weiss (p. 240). None of the women in the historical plays stand by the men so emphasized as the mother of Arthur 1s, she agitates his claims with a passionate intensity that ought to have kept him alive to reign A high-minded man who claims his rights, and a highminded woman who does the same, express themselves in different styles feminine style is shown in Constance with great discrimination. Both sexes can hate injustice, and may be opposed to compromises Both can have indignation for a crime. But see how Constance puts into these moral feelings a scorn and a swiftness of dissent, urged by a volubility more native to a woman than to a man Woman is apt, indeed, to be too voluble each minute of her phrases breeds new ones, so she does not stop to notice that her indictment is shorter than her breath. . . But the invective of Constance is the swift weapon-play of mater-

132

128. an/wer: thy] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+,
Cam.+. answer;—thy Cap. et cet.
129-161. Om. Dono.
129. Out infolent! Out insolent! Pope.

128. an/wer: thy] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+,
129-141. Om. Words.
130. maifi] may'ft F4.
world.] World! Rowe et seq.

My bed was euer to thy fonne as true

As thine was to thy husband, and this boy

nity: it flashes through every guard, touches rapidly to and fro, and draws blood at every unexpected touch.

130. That thou maist be a Queen] MALONE: 'Surelie,' [says Holinshed,] 'queene Elianor, the kings mother, was sore against hir nephue Arthur, rather mooved thereto by enuie conceived against his mother, than vpon any just occasion given in behalfe of the child, for that she saw, if he were king, how his mother Constance would look to beare most rule within the realme of England, till hir sonne should come to lawfull age, to gouerne of himselfe. So hard a thing it is to bring women to agree in one mind, their natures commonlie being so contrarie.'-[vol. iii, p. 158].—Corson (p. 166): These words have, I think, misled many commentators; and they have made ambition the ruling motive of Constance. It is not safe to take the opinions which hostile characters in Shakespeare's plays, and sometimes characters which are not hostile, are made to express of each other as opinions which must go for anything in our estimation of the characters; quite as unsafe as it sometimes is in real life to judge of people by what we hear others say of them. In Shakespeare's plays what characters say must often be taken as representing themselves rather than others. This is especially true in the case of Elinor. We do not learn what others are from what she says of them; we certainly do not learn what manner of woman Constance really is; but we learn a great deal of what she is. . . . No careful reader of the play will, I am assured, take Elinor's accusations as at all representing the Poet's dramatic purpose in Constance. The old Elinor is the political genius and guide of her son John, and we must not look for the truth from her in regard to Constance.... But what Constance says of Elinor we can take as the truth in regard to the old queen mother.

130. checke the world] STAUNTON: It has been doubted whether Shakespeare, who appears to have had cognizance of nearly every sport and pastime of his age, was acquainted with the ancient game of chess; we believe the present passage may be taken to settle the question decisively. The allusion is obviously to the Queen of the chess-board, which, in this country, was invested with those remarkable powers that render her by far the most powerful piece in the game, somewhere about the second decade of the 16th century.—Flean: 'Check,' that is, overbear. No allusion to chess, as Staunton thinks; this game is only mentioned once in Shakespeare (Tempest, V, i, stage-direction).—Wright compares: 'But to command, to check, to o'erbear such As are of better person than myself.'—3 Henry VI: III, ii, 166.

132. As thine...thy husband! VAUGHAN (i, 13): This line, although hitherto unsuspected, involves a difficulty. It is scarcely possible that Constance should have vindicated her son's legitimacy by affirming that her own fidelity to her

Liker in feature to his father Geffrey

Then thou and Iohn, in manners being as like,

As raine to water, or deuill to his damme;

My boy a baftard, by my foule I thinke

His father neuer was fo true begot,

It cannot be, and if thou wert his mother

Oueen. Theres a good mother boy, that blots thy fa-

134 Then] Than F₄
Iohn, in manners] Ff, Rowe,
Pope,+, Knt, Coll Sta Del Fle
John, in manners, Var '73 John in
manners,— Dyce, Hal Wh 1. John
in manners, Neils John in manners,
Roderick, Cap et cet
135 damme,] Dam Rowe et seq

136 baftard?] Bastard! Rowe et seq foule I thinke] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Craig soul, I think Dyce, Wh 1, Huds Cam +. soul, I think, Theob et cet
137 true begot! true-begot Theob Han
138. and] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Fle an
Theob et cet

husband was as unimpeachable as that of Elinor to hers In the first place, she afterwards declares that Elinor's motherhood was a sufficient proof in itself of the illegitimacy of any child of which she was mother In the second place, Constance proceeds immediately to advance a second argument for the lawful parentage of her son founded on a resemblence between him and her husband, equal to the resemblance of Elinor's child, not to Elinor's husband, but to Elinor herself, thus carefully avoiding any supposition of Elinor's fidelity, although it would have best suited her argument to make it, and here it is observable that the very same emphatic assertion of the resemblance of a child to his mother, Margaret of Anjou, is in Henry VI accompanied by the direct and notorious imputation to that mother of infidelity to her husband The first two lines of the reply of Constance were, or ought to have been, written thus 'My bed was ever to thy son as true, As to me was my husband' How easily would the words 'to me' pass into the word 'thine,' and how frequently my and 'thy' are exchanged cannot need proof -IVOR JOHN It may be that Shakespeare was content to make Constance femininely illogical in her passion Mr Craig's suggestion that Constance meant 'My bed was at least as true as yours' avoids the difficulty.

134 Then thou and Iohn, in manners] Roderick (ap Edwards, p 251) It does not appear that Elinor and John were alike in feature, though they were mother and son, and what follows, '—in manners being as like As rain to water,' etc., comes in but awkwardly But the transposition of one comma makes all easy and natural John had before been pretty rough with King Philip, and Elinor, in the speech to which this is an answer, calls Constance's son Arthur a Bastard. To which she, taunting Elinor's gross expression, says in reply that her son Arthur is 'Liker in feature to his father Geoffrey, Than thou and John in manners; i. e., as like him as possible, for (says she) you two are equally unmannerly, and in that as like one another as Rain and Water, or Devil and Dam — CAPELL (1, pt 2, p. 121) [Roderick] puts a wrong sense on 'manners,' referring it to, what he calls, John's unmannerliness; whereas the word's general sense is intended—to wit, general manners—a sense of much more severity.

138. and if . . . his mother] MALONE Constance alludes to Elinor's infidelity

149

Const.	There's a good grandame boy	140
That wo	ould blot thee.	
Au ft.	Peace	
Baft.	Heare the Cryer	
Au ft.	What the deuill art thou?	
Baft.	One that wil play the deuill fir with you,	145
And a m	nay catch your hide and you alone.	
You are	the Hare of whom the Prouerb goes	
Whofe v	alour plucks dead Lyons by the beard;	

Ile fmoake your skin-coat and I catch you right,

140, 141 One line Pope et seq.
141 would] wouldst Theob i
142. Peace] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Fle
Peace — Theob. Han Warb Johns
Peacel— Var '73 Peacel Cap et cet
145 deuil sir] Devil, Sir, F4.
146 And a Ff, Rowe, Pope And'a
Hal Dyce ii, ii, Huds ii An'a

Theob et cet

146 alone] alone Theob Han Warb
Johns Cap Varr Rann, Mal. Steev
Varr Sing. Knt, Dyce.

147 Hare] Hare, F4, Rowe, +.

149 Ile .skin-coat] I'le. .Skin-coat, F4
and] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Fle. an
Theob et cet

to her husband, Louis VII, when they were in the Holy Land, on account of which he was divorced from her She afterwards (1151) married King Henry II — MOBERLY In her passion Constance attempts to prove too much, for if Geoffrey were not legitimate he would have no title to hand down to Arthur

r43 Heare the Cryer] MALONE Alluding to the usual proclamation for silence made by criers in courts of justice, beginning Oyez, corruptly pronounced O-Yes Austria has just said 'Peace!'

147, 148. Hare . . . dead Lyons] Steevens So, in The Spanish Tragedy, 'He hunted well that was a lion's death, Not he that in a garment wore his skin So hares may pull dead lions by the beard.'—[I, ii, 170, ed Boas, p 12]—Malone The proverb alluded to is, 'Mortuo leoni et lepores insultant'—Erasmi, Adagia — Grey (i, 280). This proverb is an allusion to the ill-usage which the body of Hector met with from the Greeks, after he was slain by Achilles—Green (p 304) Claude Mignault, in his notes to Alciatus (Emblem, 153), quotes an epigram from an unknown Greek author, which Hector is supposed to have uttered as he was dragged by the Grecian chariot. 'Now after my death ye pierce my body The very hares are bold to insult a dead lion'.. The device itself [in Alciatus, Reusner, and Whitney] is a representation of Hares biting a dead Lion, [with the motto Cum larus non luctandum]—Wright To pluck by the beard was a mark of contempt—[Compare Gloucester's indignant remonstrance 'By the kind gods, 'tis most ignobly done To pluck me by the beard '—King Leor, III, vii, 35—ED]

149 Ile smoake your skin-coat] DELIUS sees in these words an allusion to the use of smoke for expelling moths from fur garments. The Bastard, in like manner, will drive Austria from his skin-coat—Wright, justly, dissents, remarking that 'in the North Country Dialect "to smoke" is synonymous with to thrash, and Carr, in his Craven Glossary, quotes Miege (Fr. Dict.), "I shall smoke ye for 't.

Sirra looke too't, yfaith I will, yfaith.

Blan. O well did he become that Lyons robe,
That did difrobe the Lion of that robe

Baft. It lies as fightly on the backe of him
As great Alcides shooes ypon an Affe

154

150

150 Sirral Sirrah, Rowe
too't,] to't, F₃F₄, Rowe, Pope,
Han to't, Theob et cet
yfaith yfaith] i'faith i'faith F₄
151 O] O! Coll Del Oh, Ktly
did] doth Anon ap Cam
152 robe] Ff, Rowe,+, Coll. robe!
Cap et cet

154 shoes] F₂F₃ shoes F₄ shoes Rowe, Pope, Var '78, '85, Rann, Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll i, 11, Dyce 1, Hal Huds 1 Fle show'd Ktly does Vaughan, Huds 11 spoil Kinnear shews or shows Theob et cet Affe] ass — Coll Wh 1, Huds ape Fle Orger

je vous punirai de la belle maniere" "—In explanation of the word 'skin-coat' Wright also quotes 'Cotgrave (s v En), "I' en auray (blowes being vnderstood) I shall be well beaten, my skin-coat will be soundly curried" And again (s v Contrepoincté) "I' ay la peau toute contrepoinctée de coups, My skinne-coat hath received as many knockes as a quilt hath stitches"'

151 Blan O well .. that Lyons robel C & M COWDEN CLARKE This speech has struck us as more fitly belonging to Constance than to Blanche, who seems intended by the dramatist to take no part in what is going forward, until there is a question of her marriage with the Dauphin, and she is addressed by him Whereas, from Constance, the implied sneer at Austria's unfitness to wear the spoil that so well became Cœur-de-lion, comes precisely in accordance with her subsequent more open and violent vituperation, where she exclaims, 'Thou wear a lion's hide! Doff it for shame' The misappropriation of the Folio prefixes in this scene, as in many others, helps to confirm our opinion, yet, such is our reluctance to alter, that we leave the text as it is, contenting ourselves with the present suggestion—Rolfe, in answer to the foregoing note by the Clarkes, points out that Shakespeare is here following the older play 'in which Blanch says "Joy tide his soul, to whom that spoil belong'd Ah Richard how thy glory here is wrong'd"'

r54. Alcides shooes vpon an Assel Theobald But why his 'shoes,' in the name of propriety? For let Hercules and his shoes have been really as big as they were ever supposed to be, yet they (I mean the shoes) would not have been an overload for an ass. I am persuaded I have retrieved the true reading (shews); and let us observe the justness of the comparison now. Faulconbridge, in his resentment, would say this to Austria. 'That lion's skin, which my great father King Richard once wore, looks as uncouthly on thy back, as that other noble hide which was borne by Hercules would look on the back of an ass.' A double allusion was intended, first, to the fable of the ass in the lion's skin, then Richard I. is finely set in competition with Alcides, as Austria is satirically coupled with the ass—Capell (I, pt ii, p. 121). [Theobald's] shews and Alcides' apostrophiz'd are both indisputable, and the line, in his reading, wants no comment, other than that 'robe' is understood before shews, and shews put for 'would shew.'—Malone. That is, upon the hoofs of an ass. Theobald thought the shoes must be placed on the back of the ass. This endeavor to make our Author's similes.

[154 As great Alcides shooes vpon an Asse]

correspond exactly on both sides is, as has been more than once observed, the source of many errors -Steevens The 'shoes' of Hercules are more than once introduced in the old comedies on much the same occasions So, in The Isle of as Hercules's shoe for the foot of a pigmy,' Gulls, J Day, 1606 '-are as fit [ed Bullen, p 6] Again, in Greene's Epistle Dedicatory to Perimedes the Blacksmith, 1588 '-and so lest I should shape Hercules' shoe for a child's foot, I commend your worship to the Almighty,' [ed Grosart, vii, 6] Again, in Greene's go about to pull a Hercules shoe on Achilles Penelope's Web, 1601 'I will not foot,' [ed Grosart, vii, 203] Again 'Hercules' shoe will never serve a child's foot,' [Ibid, vii, 229] Again, in Gosson's School of Abuse, 1579 '-to draw the lion's skin upon Æsop's asse, or Hercules' shoes on a child's feete,' [ed Arber, p 21 -To these Rushton (1873, N & Q, IV, x11, 304) adds 'And therefore me thinketh, the time were but lost, in pullyng Hercules shooe vppon an Infants foot' -Lyly Euphues and his England, 1580 (ed Bond, 11, 41)]-DAVIES (Dram Miscell, 1, 27) opines that the frequent mention of the shoes of Hercules among old authors is apparently suggested by the proverb ex pede Herculem —A E B. (N & O, 1853, I, viii, 20) Out of five quotations given by Steevens there is not one in which the shoes are not provided with feet. But Shakespeare nowhere alludes to feet! His ass most probably had feet, and so had Juvenal's verse (when he talks of his 'satyra sumente cothurnam'), but neither Shakespeare nor Juvenal dreamed of any necessary connection between the feet and the shoes Therein lies the difference between Shakespeare and 'our old poets', a difference that ought to be sufficient, of itself, to put down the common cry that Shakespeare borrowed his allusions from them If so, how is it that his expositors, with these old poets before their eyes all this time, together with their own scholarship to boot, have so widely mistaken the true point of his allusion? It is precisely because they have confined their researches to these old poets, and have not followed Shakespeare to the fountain head There is a passage in Quintilian which, very probably, has been the common source of both Shakespeare's version and that of the old poets Quintilian is cautioning against the introduction of solemn bombast in trifling affairs 'To get up,' says he, 'this pompous tragedy about mean matters is as though you would dress up children with the mask and buskins of Hercules' Here the addition of the mask proves that the allusion is purely theatrical The mask and buskins are put for the stage trappings, or properties of the part of Hercules of these, one of the items was the hon's skin, and hence the extreme aptitude of the allusion as applied by the Bastard to Austria, who was assuming the importance of Cœur-de-lion It is interesting to observe how nearly Theobald understood the necessity of the context [The latter part of Theobald's note is here quoted] One step further, and Theobald would have discovered the true solution, he only required to know that the shoes, by a figure of rhetoric called synecdoche, may stand for the whole character and attributes of Hercules, to have saved himself the trouble of conjecturing an ingenious, though infinitely worse, word as a substitute — [The latter half of this note appears in HALLIWELL'S Folio, ed 1859, but signed Anon I strongly suspect that the initials A. E B stand for Andrew Edward Brae, the doughty opponent of Collier and Dyce.— ED.]—KEIGHTLEY (N & Q., 1853, I, vin, 267) It appears to me that [Theobald came very near the truth and would have hit it completely if he had retained Alcides', for it is the genitive, with robe understood. Were it not that

[154 As great Alcides shooes vpon an Asse]

doth is the usual word in this play. I might be tempted to read does In reading or acting, then, the casura should be made at Alcides', with a slight pause to give the hearers time to supply robe. I need not say that the robe is the lion's skin, and that there is an allusion to the fable of the ass—[Had Keightley but read Theobald's note more carefully he would have seen, I think, that Theobald did not intend to place Alcides on the ass's back The apostrophe marking the possessive case is plainly marked in Theobald's text In 1867 Keightley published his Espositor; in reference to this passage he there says 'This line and all that has been written upon it is sheer nonsense'. In this sweeping condemnation of the work of his predecessors it is hardly to be supposed that he wishes to include his own remarks made fourteen years before He does not, however, repeat his conjecture doth or does, but says (p 220) 'I prefer shew'd to Theobald's shews in the conjunctive mood We might also, and better perhaps, read should "After Alcides'" hon's robe is, of course, to be understood '-ED]-P MUIRSON (N & Q, 1853, I, viii, 384) I consider shows to be the true reading, the reference being to the ancient mysteries, called also shows The machinery required for the celebration of the mysteries was carried by asses Hence the proverb 'Asinus portat mysteriae' The connection of Hercules with the mysteries may be learned from Aristophanes and many other ancient writers. And thus the meaning of the passage seems to be The lion's skin, which once belonged to Richard of the Lion Heart, is as sightly on the back of Austria as were the mysteries of Hercules upon an ass — R G White accepts without hesitation Theobald's correction, and remarks that Malone's reason for retaining the Folio reading is untenable, since the word 'lies' in the preceding line answers for the whole sentence White likewise points out that in the examples wherein occur references to the shoes of Hercules 'the allusion is to the unfitness of Hercules' shoe to a smaller foot ' 'He might.' adds White, 'as well have quoted passages in which the demigod's club was mentioned '-W N LETTSOM (ap. DYCE, u) The Variorum argument [in defence of the old reading] amounts to this Some inferior writers have made an allusion with propriety, therefore we are warranted in believing that one infinitely their superior made the same allusion ridiculously -FLEAY, in support of the Folio reading, quotes the passage from Gosson, given above by Steevens, and thus continues 'There are two allusions. The error lies in the word "ass" repeated (as so often happens) from the line below. Read dwarf, child, ape, or some equivalent word for "ass" I insert, provisionally, ape, as most like in the ductus literarum, for the word "asse" in the Folio. The pronunciation of "shoes" and shows was, however, the same, in Solyman and Perseda, I, iii, shoes rhymes to blows '-- ORGER (p 10) also suggests that we here read ape for 'ass' |-- VAUGHAN (1, 14) It seems clear that the dress intended here, whether shoes or other raiment, was not conceived by Shakespeare as clothing any part of the animal but its back, for the speaker proceeds. 'But, ass, I'll take that burden from your back' Theobald, therefore, I consider to have been justified in rejecting 'shoes' as the right reading ... But shows is not by any means a perfect synonym of 'hes' and does not so well accord with 'as sightly'; there being some tautological weakness in 'shows as sightly 'I propose confidently to read 'As great Alcides' does,' etc., that is, 'as great Alcides's robe does upon an ass' Nothing could be more appropriate. As the ass in the fable put upon himself, as a robe, the lion's skin, which when taken from the lion by Hercules had been worn by Hercules, so the Duke of Austria.

155

159

But Affe, Ile take that burthen from your backe, Or lay on that shall make your shoulders cracke

Aust What cracker is this same that deafes our eares With this abundance of superfluous breath?

King Lewis, determine what we shall doe strait.

155 Ile] I'le F₄ I'll Rowe
burthen] F₂F₄, Rowe, Pope,
Theob Han Warb Wh 1, Cam +

burden F₃ et cet.

159 King Lewis, determine] Ff,
Rowe, Pope, Var '73, '78, '85. Phi.
Lewis, determine Cap (K. Phi) Rann,
Mal Steev. Varr. Sing 1, Coll Dyce,
Wh Huds Cam 1, Glo. King,—
Lewis, determine. Knt, Del Craig,

Dtn Lewis, determine Sing ii. Kind Lewis, determine Vaughan Lew Let us determine Orger King Philip, determine Theob et cet

159, 160 Lewis Lew] Louis Lou. Dyce i, Wh 1

159 firail F₄F₃, Theob Warb Johns Var. '73, '78, 85 fireight F₄, Rowe, Pope straight Han et cet

had assumed for a robe the lion's skin, which as taken from the lion by Richard had been worn by Richard, and the one robe lay upon the Duke of Austria as sightly as the other robe did upon the ass —WRIGHT Theobald's emendation may not be absolutely necessary, but it makes the comparison more complete, and also lends some probability to Dr Ingleby's suggestion that in Hamlet, I, 11, 147, 'Or ere those shoes were old,' 'shoes' is a misprint for shows, the mourning garments of the widow. In Middleton's Family of Love 'shoes' is printed showes (ed Dyce, 11, 127) —MARSHALL adopts Theobald's emendation, characterising the Folio reading as 'a ridiculous mistake, for a donkey would hardly attempt to wear Hercules' shoes, nor can that reading be justified by the various passages quoted by Steevens'-Moore Smith suggest that possibly Shakespeare wrote this line 'with a confused recollection of Gosson's sentence in his mind' [See note by Steevens, ante |-Ivor John. [Theobald's emendation] is in any case preferable to the Folio's reading, which can only be defended by supposing that Shakespeare was guilty of a most senseless confusion. There is no possible point in speaking of an ass wearing the shoes of Hercules [John's text reads, however, 'Alcides shows,' i e, the nominative and not the possessive, possibly this is but an error of the press —ED]—DEIGHTON That is, it looks as well on his back as the lion's skin worn by Hercules would look on the back of an ass Malone seems to me to make the absurdity [of defending the Folio reading] complete when he explains 'upon an ass' to mean 'upon the hoofs of an ass' The allusion is, of course, to the fable of the ass wearing the lion's skin —Schlegel, in his translation of this passage, has, I think, quite misunderstood Theobald's correction, since he makes it refer not to the robe or ornaments of Hercules, but to Hercules himself; and Tieck thus interprets 'As Alcides would look, riding on an ass' (ed 1830, 111, 341) —ED

157 cracker] MURRAY (N. E D, s v 2.) A braggart, a boaster [The present line quoted]—FLEAY Note the triple pun in 'cracker' (1) an impudent boy, (2) a firework, (3) to break down, in previous line

159 King Lewis Capell (I, pt ii, p 122) Why is Lewis call'd King? or why in this passage only? and, secondly, why addressed for such business and his father in presence? The father, indeed, may very reasonably make his son the declarer of a thing preconcerted, and the Poet has cause to put him on doing so, for, first, it shows the son's consequence and weight with the father, and, next (which is

[159. King Lewis]

cause enough of itself), rescues him from the state of a cypher in a scene of great length, for he has no other speech in it from his second in its very beginning to Such are the objections to both the old and new readings of its final conclusion this line, and such the reasons for making Lewis the speaker in those that follow it, and we may throw in another to make the matter full weight, namely, their free manner of opening, which has a juvenile air with it The correction before us sets all matters right, which we may call a slight one, for 'tis founded on the only supposal that the copy had 'King' for French King, without scoring or stopping it, and that the printer was too faithful -MALONE, without referring to Theobald or Capell, and with Steevens's approval, makes the same change in this line as does Capell, and accounts for the Folio reading substantially in the same way, he says in conclusion 'I once thought that this line might stand as part of Austria's speech and that he might have addressed Philip and the Dauphin by the words "King-Lewis," &c , but the addressing Philip by the title of King, without any addition, seems too familiar, and I therefore think that the error happened in the way above stated '-KNIGHT We have here restored the original reading [see Text Notes] Austria is impatient of the 'superfluous breath' of the Bastard, and appeals to Philip and the Dauphin - [As will be seen, Knight's restoration is, actually, the reading which Malone first proposed and later rejected In the Sratford Shakspere, 1854, Knight deserts the Folio text and adopts Theobald's correction without comment -ED]-Collier adopts Theobald's correction, since this line 'clearly belongs to King Philip'—Walker (Vers, p 4) says that this line as given in the Folio is correct, since the metre requires 'Lewis' to be a monosyllable, which, as he shows by several examples, it frequently is -DYCE opines that reasons are not wanting for considering that the word 'King' is the prefix to this line 'In the first place,' he continues, 'the Folio prefixes "Kmg" to the three earliest speeches of Philip in this scene Secondly, if Austria were here addressing Philip, he would not term him simply "King," but "King Philip," as he afterwards does "King Philip, listen to the cardinal"—III, 1, 130, "Do so, King Philip, hang no more in doubt "-Ibid, 154 Thirdly, if Austria had called on Philip and Louis to determine what was to be done, we can hardly suppose that the Dauphin would take upon himself to speak before his father had uttered a word . . The commencement [of the next line] is, however, more suited to the young and impetuous Dauphin than to his father '-HALLIWELL The next speech seems clearly to be spoken by the King of France, who makes the claim on King John. The Dauphin would scarcely be represented as speaking in these terms, 'do I claim of thee' In support of Theobald's first alteration it is to be observed that Austria elsewhere addresses France as King Philip On the other hand, 1 159 seems scarcely appropriate to Austria, who is in great indignation at the taunts of the Bastard, and seems then attentive to little else, unless, indeed, we presume he knows he will be foiled in repartee, and is anxious to change the subject -C & M COWDEN CLARKE At one time we believed that [the prefix 'Lewis' to line 160] was in consonance with his father's referring the decision to him, and with his own more vivacious manner But the 'I claim' in 1 163, though it might by possibility have been uttered by the Dauphin in his father's name, yet seems more naturally to come from the king himself; while the reply of John-'I do defy thee, France'—appears conclusively to settle the point that we ought to assign this speech to King Philip.—CAMBRIDGE EDD. (Note IX.): The objections to the Lew. Women & fooles, breake off your conference.

160

réo Lew] K Philip or King Phi. Theob Warb Johns Var. '73, '78, '85, Hal Ktly, Sta Dyce n, in, Cam n, Rlfe, Cla Words Neils Craig King Orger Dtn 160 & and Ff

Folio reading are, of course, first, that Lewis was not a king, and, secondly, that Austria would rather have appealed to Lewis's father The objection to the usual emendation [Theobald's] is that throughout the scene King Philip is not designated in the stage-directions as King, but as Fran or Fra-[The Editor of the and Cambridge ed , Dr W Aldis Wright, adds to the foregoing 'But, on the whole, Theobald's reading seems preferable, as the lines 160-164 are more appropriate to Philip than to Lewis, who is regarded as a youth '-ED |-MOBERLY Capell's alteration to 'Lewis,' and his assigning the words to the King of France, are not happy, for why should Philip Augustus refer such a matter to his son, and why should the Dauphin adopt such a tone to Leopold as to class him among the fools who are to hold their peace?—Wright (Clarendon Ed) Although Capell's reading has been most generally followed, it seems extremely probable that Theobald's It is hardly probable that Lewis, who is treated in emendation is the true one this scene as a mere boy, would be appealed to for the purpose of deciding so important a question, or would adopt such a tone in his reply -Marshall's objections to the prefix 'Lew' of the next speech are substantially those of the Clarkes, and he considers these lines as they stand in the Folio 'undoubtedly wrong' Marshall thus concludes 'The objection that the reading "King Philip," 1 159, gives a redundant syllable is of no importance, as, in the case of proper names, Shakespeare often does not strictly adhere to the metre, and it is possible Philip might be pronounced sometimes as a monosyllable '-Moore Smith If this is what Shakespeare wrote, it was a strange slip to call the king of France here Lewis and not Philip Many editors read 'King Philip,' but, unfortunately, the metre is against this change While 'Lewis' is generally a monosyllable in Shakespeare. 'Philip' is never so - [If this be a slip on the part of Shakespeare, as Moore Smith says, he himself demonstrates how easily confusion of these very names may occur, see his note on line I, this scene —ED |—IVOR JOHN decides that as 'Lewis was not king, and Austria was not likely to appeal to him for a final decision in anything of moment, we must suppose a mistaken substitution of Lewis for Philip'— Miss Porter Under a pretence of annoyed superiority Austria is really scared. There is a good deal of clownishness in the fun of Faulconbridge's desire to get at the pretentious coward The stage action probably brought this out fully, and, here, it best explains what seems a blunder in this line. Austria, anxious to divert Faulconbridge's belligerent attentions from himself, calls first on the king, who was occupied with John, and then on Lewis, nearer him and disengaged 'King. -Lewis,' is the form in which the line might be punctuated to explain it as it stands. So Knight puts it, but he seems blind to the humor of the scene With France hectoring England in a dignified way, Constance railing at Elinor, and Faulconbridge spoiling for a fight with a man who is trying to cover his fright with dignity, there could be no one disengaged to heed him except Lewis —Deighton It seems altogether improbable that the decision in the matter should be made to rest with Lewis, though Austria might not improperly appeal to both for their opinion.-[Deighton therefore adopts Malone's conjectural reading, credit for which has been, by some editors, assigned to Knight -Ep !

ACT	II,	SC	1

King Iohn, this is the very fumme of all England and Ireland, Angiers, Toraine, Maine,	161
In right of Arthur doe I claime of thee	
Wilt thou refigne them, and lay downe thy Armes?	
Iohn. My life as foone. I doe defie thee France,	165
Arthur of Britaine, yeeld thee to my hand,	
And out of my deere loue Ile giue thee more,	
Then ere the coward hand of France can win;	
Submit thee boy.	
Queen. Come to thy grandame child.	170
Conf. Doe childe, goe to yt grandame childe,	
Giue grandame kingdome, and it grandame will	
Giue yt a plum, a cherry, and a figge,	
There's a good grandame.	
Arthur. Good my mother peace,	175

162 Angiers] Ff, Rowe, Pope Anjowe Ktly Anjou Theob et cet Toraine] Ff, Ktly Tourain Rowe Touraine Pope et seq 163 doe I I do Theob Warb Johns. 165 soone] Ff soon Rowe, Pope, Han Ktly soon — Theob Warb Johns Var '73 soon, - Cap soon! -Sta soon - Var '78 et cet 165, 169 thee] thee, F4 165. France,] France Rowe et seq Donovan here inserts ll 211-213 Some trumpet... or Iohns 166 Britaine] F2, Ktly, Fle Brittain F. Britain F., Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns Var. '73. Bretagne Han et cet

167. And. louel Ff. Rowe, Pope, +,

Cam + And, love, Cap et cet 167 Ile I'le F. I'll Rowe 168 Then] Than F. coward hand] coward-hand Warb Tohns Var '73 win, win Pope et seq 160-210 Submit thee repetitions In margin Pope, Han 170, 171, 172 grandame] F2F3, Cap Knt, Sta Fle. Grandam, F4 et cet 171 Doe childe, goe] Do, child, go F4 Do, go, child, go, go Cap Do, child, go, child, go Lettsom (ap Dyce 11) 171, 172 yt. 11 1t 1t Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Wh. Ktly, Sta Cam +, Del. u's it's Cap it' it' Johns et cet 173. yt] at Ff 175 peace, peace, Theob Warb Johns peace! Cap et seq.

171, 172. yt...it] WALKER (Vers, 111, 118) I suspect this is merely an old form for its. The old poets certainly employed 'it' now and then—probably only under particular circumstances—where we should use its—[To this Walker's editor, Lettsom, adds in a foot-note 'I may observe, however, that Constance here is evidently mimicking the imperfect babble of the nursery '—On this point Earle (p. 456), quoting the present passage, says 'It seems as if children in Shakespeare's time used it for the adjectival its. The possessive its is not yet found either in Shakespeare or in our Bible of 1611 Where we now should use its, these have his.'—For the etiology of its, see Murray, N. E. D., s. v.—Ed]—Dyce (ed. ii) With Mr Lettsom's observation I am quite in accord.—Moberly Such changes as Capell's [see Text. Notes] forget that on the stage a sardonic laugh might follow the first two words and occupy the time of a foot.

I would that I were low laid in my graue,

I am not worth this coyle that's made for me. (weepes.

Qu Mo His mother shames him so, poore boy hee

Con. Now shame vpon you where she does or no,

His grandames wrongs, and not his mothers shames

Drawes those heauen-mouing pearles fro his poor eies,

Which heauen shall take in nature of a fee:

I, with these Christall beads heauen shall be brib'd

To doe him Iustice, and reuenge on you.

176 low laid] low-laid Ktly
178 Qu Mo] Eli. Rowe et seq
179 Now or no,] Om Dono
where] F₂F₃, Rowe, Pope, Knt i
whe're F₄, Theob. Warb whether Johns
Var '73, Cam.+. wher Knt 11, Hal
whêr Dyce, Huds 11, Words whe'er
Fle Wh 11, Neils whe'r Han et cet
no,] no Rowe, Pope no' Theob
et seq

it seq 180 grandames] F₂F₃, Cap Knt, Sta Fle Grandam's F₄ et cet 180 wrongs] wrong F4, Rowe, Pope,

181. Drawes] F₄, Rowe, Pope,+ Cam +, Fle Draw Cap et cet 181, 182, 183, 185, 186 heauen] heav'n Rowe, Pope,+.

183 I] Ay Rowe, Om Han
thesel these sad F4, Rowe, Pope,
Han those Ktly.
heaven shall shall heaven Coll.

MS

177 coyle] That is, disturbance, turmoil, confusion, for other examples, see Shakespeare passim

179 where] That is, whether; compare I, 1, 83

179 she does RITSON Read 'whe'r he does or no!' i e, whether he weeps or not. Constance, so far from admitting, expressly denies that she shames him -DOUCE (i, 402) It may be answered that this reading [Ritson's] is equally objectionable; for Constance admits also that her son wept In either case there is ambiguity, but the words as they stand are infinitely more natural, and even defensible, according to common usage —Vaughan (1, 23) Ritson errs in his emendation and in his reason for it
Constance does not expressly deny that she shames her son All editors and critics, too, have misunderstood the connection of her ideas and words We should print and punctuate. 'Now shame upon you! -whether she does, or no,' etc. Constance affirms that, whether she shames her son or not, her son's tears are due not to his mother's shames, but to his grandmother's injuries - [As will be seen from the Text Notes, the majority of editors follow Theobald's punctuation, placing an exclamation point at the end of the line instead of after the word 'you.' It is this which has brought upon them the general accusation of misunderstanding conveyed in the foregoing note. —ED]

182. in nature of WRIGHT. That is, as a kind of fee. Compare: 'The state of man.. suffers then The nature of an insurrection'—Jul Cas., II, 1, 69. [Also, 'Of a strange nature is the suit you follow.'—Mer of Ven, IV, i, 177]

183. beads . . . brib'd] JOHN HUNTER There is here an implied reference to prayers as one of the meanings of the word 'beads'—Ivor JOHN Mr Craig suggests that here we have a reflection of the old voyagers' stories of bribing Indians with beads

184 To doe him Justice CARTER (Sh. & Holy Scripture, p 204) quotes in illus-

Que. Thou monstrous slanderer of heauen and earth.

Con Thou monstrous Insurer of heauen and earth,
Call not me slanderer, thou and thine vsurpe
The Dominations, Royalties, and rights
Of this oppressed boy, this is thy eldest sonne,
Infortunate in nothing but in thee

Thy sinnes are visited in this poore childe,

185 earth] earth! Theob et seq
186 earth,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob
earth, Sing earth! Han et cet
187 not me] me not F4, Rowe, Pope,

flanderer,] Ff. slanderer! Huds.

1, Neils slanderer, Rowe et cet
thou and thine] Ff, Rowe, Pope,
Dyce, Wh 1, Ktly Cam + thou, and
thine, Theob et cet
188 Dominations] domination Ff,
Rowe, Pope,+
189 oppreffed] oppressèd Dyce, Huds
Dono oppressi Fle

189 boy,] boy Johns Var '73, Coll
Sing 11, Wh 1, Ktly, Sta Huds Del.
Fle Rife, Neils boy Cap Var '78,
'85, Rann Mal. Steev Varr. Sing 1,
Knt, Dyce boy, Coll MS

thus 15] Om Ritson, Coll MS.
Huds 11, Words this' Fle this
Vaughan (1, 15)

eldefi] eld'st Cap Coll MS
Walker (Vers, 167), Hal Dyce 11, 111,
Cam +, Huds 11, Fle Words

fonnes fonne,] Son's Son, F4.
son's son Warb son's Dono.
190 thee | thee Neils.

tration of these lines 'Yee shall not trouble any widowe, nor fatherlesse child If thou vexe or trouble such and so he cal and cry unto Mee, I will surely heare his cry. Then shall My wrath be kindled and I will kill you with the sword, and your wives shall be widowes and your children fatherlesse '—Exod, xxii, 22 (Genevan Version)

189 this is ... sonnes sonne] As will be seen by the Text Notes, there have been several attempts to render regular the metre of the latter half of this line; such are, perhaps, unnecessary, since Guest (1, 86) says 'All words which qualify others, as adjectives, adverbs, and others of the same class, receive a fainter accent than the words qualified It has been observed (Edin Rev, No 12, Art 10) that when "a monosyllabic adjective and substantive are joined, the substantive has the acute, and the adjective the grave, unless the adjective be placed in antithesis, in which case the reverse happens" This rule might have been stated more generally The primary accent of the adjective ought always, when not emphatic, to be weaker than that of the substantive '—Guest, among other examples, quotes the present passage, where the word 'eldest' is the adjective that thus receives a weaker accent than the word 'son's' (See also Ibid, pp 263, 264, where this line is quoted as an example of a modern Alexandrine)—ED

189. eldest] For numerous examples in justification of the cacophonous elision eld'st, see, if needful, WALKER (Vers, 167) or ABBOTT, § 473.

190. Infortunate] WRIGHT In Othello, V, 11, 283, the Quartos read '—most infortunate man,' where the Folios have 'unfortunate' On the other hand, in II, 111, 42, the Folios have 'I am infortunate in the infirmity,' while the Quartos read 'unfortunate'

191. visited] WHITNEY (Cent Dict, s. v. 5.) In Scriptural phraseology (a) To send a judgment from heaven upon, whether for the purpose of chastising or

ACT II, SC i] OF	KING IOHN 99
The Canon of the Law is la	de on him, 192
Being but the fecond genera	tion
Remoued from thy finne-co	iceiuing wombe.
Iohn. Bedlam haue done	195
Con I haue but this to	ay,
That he is not onely plague	I for her fin,
192-203 Om Dono	1844), S T P (N & Q, 4 April,
192 Canon cannon Rowe 11	1874)
194 wombe] self C Clarke	197 he is] he's Cap Varr Rann, Mal.

afflicting, or of comforting or consoling, judge 'Oh visit me with thy salvation'—Psalm cvi, 4 (b) To inflict punishment for (guilt) or upon (a person), 'Visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children'—Exodus, xxxiv, 7—[This last quotation is, of course, 'the Canon of the Law' to which reference is made in the next two lines—ED]

Steev Varr Sing Knt she's Lettsom

her sin her sins Vaughan

195 Bedlam] Beldam Ritson, Rann,

Mitford (Gentleman's Maga, Aug.

191 in] WRIGHT compares 'Yet execute thy wrath in me alone'—Rich III: I, iv, 71

195 Bedlam] WRIGHT That is, lunatic So in Lear 'Let's follow the old earl, and get the Bedlam To lead him where he would '—III, vii, ro3—[With this interpretation Ritson's conjectural emendation (see Text Notes), though attractive, is quite unnecessary—ED]

107-202 That he is not of this childel JOHNSON This passage appears to me very obscure The chief difficulty arises from this, that Constance, having told Elmor of her 'sin-conceiving womb.' pursues the thought, and uses 'sin' through the next lines in an ambiguous sense, sometimes for crime and sometimes for offspring He is not only made miserable by vengeance for her sin or crime. but her sin, her offspring, and she are made the instruments of that vengeance on this descendant, who, though of the second generation, is plagued for her and with her, to whom she is not only the cause but the instrument of evil clause is more perplexed I point thus '-plagu'd for her And with her -- Plague her sin! his injury Her injury, the beadle to her sin' That is, instead of inflicting vengeance on this innocent and remote descendant, punish her sin, her immediate offspring, This is evidently a misprint, as in the Variorrum of 1773 it is corrected to read 'her son'-ED] then the affliction will fall where it is deserved, his injury will be her injury, and the misery of her sin, her son will be a beadle, or chastiser, to her crimes, which are now all punished in the person of this child. [The CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note X) say 'The word "sin" is twice printed by mistake for "son" in Johnson's note '-The sentences to which they thus refer are presumably (1) the misprint which was corrected in the next edition, and (2) 'He is not only made miserable by vengeance for her sin or crime, but her sin, her offspring and she,' etc., which seems as though we should read 'her son, her offspring.' Inasmuch as this is not changed, and as Johnson begins by saying that 'sin' here is 'sometimes used for crime and sometimes for offspring,' the note as given is, I think, in accord with his reasoning -ED |-RODERICK (ap EDWARDS, p 252). Constance had before said that Elmor's sins were visited upon her grandson, Arthur, in this

[197-202 That he is not . . . of this childe]

speech she adds farther—That He was not only punished for Her sins, but that God had been pleased to make use of Her as the Means, the Instrument, whereby that punishment was inflicted on him. This is all the sentiment of the speech; which (for the sake of a miserable jingling between Plague and Sin) is thrice repeated, with varied expressions Read and point ll 199, 201 thus 'On this removed issue, plagu'd for her And with her plagued, Her sin, His injury, Her injury the Beadle to her Sin' The last line and a half may want some little explanation 'Her sin, his *injury*'—1 e, his loss, his damage, his punishment 'Her injury the Beadle to her Sin'-Her injury-her injustice-her violence in taking part with King John in his endeavors to rob him of his right to the crown by the way, this using the same word—Injury—in the same sentence in two different senses is not at all disagreeable to Shakespeare's usual manner) The Beadle in a Corporation is the officer whose business it is to execute the sentences pass'd upon any offenders, such as Whipping, &c, to which Shakespeare alludes, and because her injustice was the instrument by which the punishment of her sins was inflicted upon Arthur, he therefore calls it the Beadle to her sins — CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 122) For the speech's sense, it is this John, Arthur, and Elinor, and the speaker's self in the end are said in jingling expressions to be punish'd and plagu'd for the only sins of that Elinor John is spoke to first, and denoted by pointing, and,-after tracing Arthur's misfortunes, and Elinor's own, to the root she set out with,—the speaker ends with herself, who, though guiltless, had her punishment too in her child's punishment, brought upon him by Elinor. What she says of herself is oblique, and convey'd in 'All' what of Elinor, must be piec'd in this manner—'And in sinning as she does against Arthur, she finds her own plague, his injury is her injury, the beadle to her sin,' i e, lasher or whipper of it The plagues of John and his mother are—this war and their own troubles for Arthur.—Steevens (Variorum, 1778) We may read '-this I have to say, That he's not only plagued for her sin, But God hath made her sin and her the plague On this removed issue, plagu'd for her, And, with her sin, her plague, his injury Her injury, the beadle to her sin,' i. e , God hath made her and her sin together, the plague of her most remote descendants, who are plagued for her, the same power hath likewise made her sin her own plague, and the injury she has done to him her own injury, as a beadle to lash that sin, i. e, Providence has so ordered it that she, who is made the instrument of punishment to another, has, in the end, converted that other into an instrument of punishment for herself-The principal change made by Steevens is in l. 200, where it will be seen that the words 'plague' and "sin' are transposed as in Capell's text, but not so credited by Steevens. The slight change in the words of 1 196, 'this I have to say,' is not, I think, intended by him as a new reading, if so, he later withdrew it, as it does not appear in the repetitions of this note after the Variorum of 1785.—ED]—Toller Constance observes that he (iste), pointing to King John ('whom from the flow of gall she names not'), is not only plagued (with the present war) for his mother's sin, but God hath made her sin and her the plague also on this removed issue, Arthur, plagued on her account, and by the means of her final offspring, whose injury (the usurpation of Arthur's rights) may be considered as her injury, or the injury of her sin-conceiving womb, and John's may also be considered as the beadle, or officer of correction, employed by her crimes to inflict all these punishments on the person of this child — MALONE (Variorum, 1785) If part of this obscure sentence were included in a

[197-202 That he is not . . . of this childe]

parenthesis the sense would, perhaps, be somewhat clearer 'But God hath made her sin (the plague On this removed issue—plagued for her, And with her) plague her son, his injury,' etc Instead of 'beadle to her sin,' I would read 'sins' 'Removed,' I believe, here signifies remote So in Mid N Dream, 'From Athens is her house remov'd seven leagues'-[I, 1, 150 Is not 'removed' used in this line in precisely the same sense as in 1 193, where it means relationship of the second generation? We still use the phrase 'cousin once removed' to designate a parent's cousin In his own edition, published five years later, Malone substitutes the following 'Not being satisfied with any of the emendations proposed, I have adhered to the original copy I suspect that two half lines have been lost after the words "And with her" - If the text be right, "with," I think, means by, and Tollet's interpretation the true one '-He retains, however, his interpretation of 'removed '-ED |-RANN also, with a few slight verbal changes, accepts Tollet's explanation -Henley The key to these words is contained in the last speech of Constance, where she alludes to the denunciation of the second commandment Young Arthur is represented as not only suffering from the guilt of his grandmother, but also by her, in person, she being made the very instrument of his sufferings As he was not her immediate, but removed, issue—the second generation from her sin-conceiving womb—it might have been expected that the evils to which, upon her account, he was obnoxious would have incidentally befallen him, instead of his being punished for them all, by her immediate infliction. He is not only plagued on account of her sin, according to the threatening of the commandment, but she is preserved alive to her second generation, to be the instrument of inflicting on her grandchild the penalty annexed to her sin, so that he is plagued on her account, and with her plague, which is, her sin, that is (taking by a common figure the cause for the consequence), the penalty entailed upon it His injury, or the evil he suffers, her sin brings upon him, and her injury, or, the evil she inflicts, he suffers from her, as the beadle to her sin, or executioner of the punishment annexed to it -KNIGHT offers neither comment nor explanation -COLLIER remarks that though the text is 'involved the sense is sufficiently clear.'--Hudson and SINGER accept Henley's elucidation without attempting to intrinsicate some of his involutions —Arrowsmith (N. & Q, 1857, II, iv, 469) At their commencement the reproaches of Constance are couched in general terms. Elinor and Arthur are an exemplification of the canon of the law, of the sins (in the plural) of the grandmother visited upon the grandchild, punished, as she aggravates the case, in the second generation The phrase 'sin-conceiving womb,' being alike applicable to all mothers, has no farther special force here, than as a mother of a King John may be considered an eminent illustration of its truth. To attach such a significance to the epithet 'sin-conceiving' as, bye and bye, in the same sentence, under the word sen to jumble together the guilt for which Elinor was justly accountable, with a sinful offspring, from which no mother is exempt, introduces a solecism in discourse that requires better warrant than the lame and impotent construction of the sequel, which it was devised to bolster up [See note by Johnson, ante] When she resumes her upbraidings, Constance enters into particulars . . It is sin in the singular, a specific sin, of which Constance now speaks; that sin the second line and the rest of the context clearly show to be Elinor's instrumentality in depriving Arthur, the rightful heir, of his kingdom hath made her sin and her (the crime and the criminal) the plague on this removed

[197-202 That he is not . . of this childe]

issue', before, when speaking generally, it was, as we have seen, an aggravation that the sins should be visited upon 'but the second generation', now the remoteness of the issue adds emphasis to the wrong, that injury should be sustained immediately at the hands of the grandmother by an issue so far removed as her grandchild 'Plagued for her and with her plague, her sin', he is plagued for her, and he is plagued by and with her. He suffers for the guilt of her sin, and he suffers the evil of her sin, and that evil he suffers as penalty for the guilt, so that the evil of the sin being identical with the penalty of its guilt, the whole mischief of the sin lights upon him, but by virtue of the relationship between them, it also recoils upon Elinor, because the defeat of a grandchild's inheritance, whether she so regard it or not, is an injury to the grandmother, or, as Shakespeare pursues the argument, 'his injury is her injury,' and thus the evil of her sin, redounding upon herself, becomes the beadle to its guilt, yet, as Elinor was a willing agent, and volenti non fit injuria, it is 'all punished in the person of this child, and all for her, a plague upon her', and I fear the intelligent reader will add, a plague upon you too, that have superfluously explained what again and again explains itself — CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note X) Mr Roby, whose punctuation we have adopted, says 'I suppose the sense to be "God hath made her sin and herself to be a plague to this distant child, who is punished for her and with the punishment belonging to her God has made her sin to be an injury to Arthur, and her injurious deeds to be the executioner to punish her sin, all which (viz, her first sin and her now injurious deeds) are punished in the person of this child "' Mr Lloyd, who, with the same punctuation, would read [1 200] 'her sin, her injury,' interprets thus 'Elinor's injuries to Arthur are God's agents to punish him, both for the sin of being her grandchild, and for the inherited guilt of these very injuries' -STAUNTON The thought running through this passage and which sufficiently explains it seems to be that there is peculiar hardship in Arthur suffering not only for the sins of the grandmother (which might be regarded as the common lot-'the canon of the law'), but by the instrumentality of the person whose sins were thus punished, the grandmother being the agent inflicting retribution on her grandson for her own guilt —R G. WHITE [Line 200, 'And with her plague,'] is quite incomprehensible, in spite of two pages and a half of not very valuable comment in the Variorum [of 1821] With the simple correction of an easy misprint, which was suggested by Roderick, the passage is as plain as any other in these plays The allusion to the denunciation of vengeance upon children for the sins of their parents, in the second commandment of the Mosaic table, is obvious -JOHN HUNTER [reading 1 200 according to Roderick] The remainder of Constance's speech is grossly misprinted in the Folio The meaning is Plagued on her account and plagued by means of her, her sin being the wrong he suffers, and her wrongdoing being the chastiser of her sin —Fleay I follow the Folios. thus Plagued on her account, and by means of her wrong-doing, which is a plague inflicted by her (cf 'her sin . . the plague,' 1 198), the injury inflicted on him, the injury inflicted by her, being the beadle, the chastiser (in Arthur's sufferings), of her original wrong-doing Editors have altered and given various interpretations of the text in several ways (surely without cause) —HERR (p 20) 'plague' has as various meanings in this passage as they are variously played upon by Constance; but these can be best understood by paraphrasing thus 'I have but this to say. Arthur is not only punished for Elinor's sin, but God has made

[197-202 That he is not . . of this childe]

her sin and herself the evil-worker on this displaced offspring, who is punished for her, and through her evil work and her sin, He has made his wrong-suffering grow out of her wrong-doing-which wrong-doing serves as the scourger to her own sin-or the lash to her own sin, all, sins and injuries inflicted, punished in the person of this child, and all on her account, may a curse light upon her!' That such was the general thought and idea of Constance may be fairly inferred from her preceding words uttered a few lines back, ll 191-194 It will be seen that the same idea pervades both passages, only expressed in a different form. So in the least manner to mar the text, and in order to convey the above interpretation, the lines should run thus 'And by her plague and her sin made his inj'ry Through her inj'ry,—the beadle to her own sin' To omit the Italicized words is to leave the passage a puzzle, to retain them is to make its meaning clear to the general reader—they are, in fact, merely ellipses restored At least it is essential that 'made' should be retained, as it is the key of the whole passage, and will be seen properly to refer to 'God hath,' [1 198] 'Through' is important as marking the different kinds of injuries meant —[Herr also suggests that, in order to preserve the metre of 1 201, 'beadle' is to be pronounced as a monosyllable, how this is either phonetically or intelligibly to be accomplished is not very apparent —ED |—MOBERLY The notion is like that in Hamlet, 'It hath pleased high heaven To visit me with this, and this with me', that is, to lay this enterprise like a curse upon me, and at the same time to make my weak nature a curse upon the enterprise So here Arthur has not only to suffer the consequences of Elinor's sin, but she herself and her evil nature are of themselves a curse beyond any consequence [Roby's] is clearly the right punctuation It would be easy on the stage to indicate the double meaning of 'injury', the second being like 'injurious Hermia' in Mid N Dream — Wordsworth in his edition omits ll 201-203, remarking 'The modicum of sense, and the tautology of these three lines, together with the metrical defect in 1 203, seem to warrant their omission . King Philip may well condemn "these ill-timed repetitions", and more than enough remain to justify the condemnation '-Herford follows Capell's interpretation, only referring the words 'All punish'd' to 'Elinor's sin and her present injurious deeds.' He adds 'Mr Roby understands "with her plague" to be "with the punishment belonging to her," which is not supported by the parallel clauses below "her sin his injury," sin "'-Miss Porter The clew to this word-puzzle may lie not "her injury in further metaphysics, but in the invective of insult intended by Constance against Elinor's virtue Suspicion that Elinor's rumoured infidelity is true grows in Constance From his 'grandames wrongs' to Arthur, she infers, now, that John is a child of sin, and therefore favoured by his mother to 'usurp' the 'royalties and rights' legitimately belonging to Arthur According to the Scriptures he is now suffering because of Elinor's 'sin-conceiving womb.' In further applying these ideas Constance has but this to say Not only is Elinor's sin, in a general way, visited upon him, but, actually in this war against them, the issue of her sinne—John, and Elinor, herself, are themselves the plague that plagues him. So Arthur is plagued on her account, because of her sin and at her instigation, and along with the plague of her-herself, he is plagued with the sunne of her-John And with her plague her sinne his injury (Arthur's injury, i. e, Elinor herself), Her injurie (her injurious deed, 1 e, the issue of her infidelity-John), both being the Beadle to her senne (that infidelity itself), and all, viz., this her sin and these

198

200

204

But God hath made her sinne and her, the plague
On this remoued issue, plagued for her,
And with her plague her sinne his iniury
Her iniurie the Beadle to her sinne,
All punish'd in the person of this childe,
And all for her, a plague vpon her
Oue. Thou ynadused scold, I can produce

198 her sinne] her son Spence (N &

Q, 27 Jan, 1894) 199 remoued] removed Dyce, Fle

Huds 11
2sue, Sing 11, Sta

Huds

plagued] plagu'd Cap Varr
Rann, Mal Steev Varr, Sing Knt
plaguèd Dyce, Fle, Huds 11

for her.] for her, Cap
200 And with her plague her sinne]
Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb
Coll And with her—Plague her sin,
Johns And with her—plague her son!
Id conj, Var '78, '85 And, with her
sin, her plague, Cap And with her
plagu'd, her sin, Rodenick, Rann, Sing
il, Dyce ii, iii, Hunter, Huds ii, Words
And with her plague—her sin 'Sta And
with her plague, her sin Roby, Cam +,

Neils Craig And with her plague, her sin, Mal et cet

200 his iniury] his injury, Sing ii 201–203 Om Words

201 Her murne] Her mjury, Rowe, Pope,+, Cap Varr, Rann Sing 1, Ktly Her mjury,— Mal Steev Varr Knt, Dyce, Hal Sta Huds

Beadle] bedel Han

202, 203 All And all All And punished all or Are And punished all Vaughan

203 for her, I for her, Rowe, Pope, +, Cap Varr. Wh. 1 for her Fle. Huds 11 for her—For her Marshall, Craig vpon her I upon her! Theob et

seq

204 vnadus/ed] unadvisèd Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

executant personified injuries are punished upon Arthur, for her sake No change in words or pointing are required with this explanation. This denunciation of Elinor demands passionate gesture, the injuries claimed being personified, and the sin an accusation—[On the conclusion of this long note I have but this to say The interpretation offered by Roby, accepted by the Cambridge Editors, by Dr Wright in the Clarendon ed, and later editors, as it is the simplest, is the one which is unhesitatingly accepted by the present ED]

197 plagued] That is, punished. Compare. 'And God, not we, hath plagued thy bloody deed'—Richard III-I, III, 181 Both noun and verb bear this meaning throughout these lines, Constance herself so interprets the verb in 1 202

203 And all... vpon her] Walker (Crit, iii, 119) prints this line with a Qu, on which his editor Lettsom says in a foot-note 'This is the usual reading, which Walker evidently intended to alter, though, by a slip of the pen, he left it as he found it Qu "And all for her, and by her, a plague upon her":—[Collier (ed. ii), in a note on this line, without giving any name, says 'Poetry-patchers would insert "and by her" in the middle of this line, most injuriously' Lettsom died ten years before the appearance of Collier's third edition—Ed]—Moberly: Either 'then' has fallen out, or the line was ended on Shakespeare's stage by some gesture more emphatic than elegent—spitting, we may fear (So Queen Elizabeth sometimes expressed disapprobation)—Dawson likewise suggests that a gesture was here introduced to complete the metre

204 vnaduised] That 15, lacking consideration, rash. Compare 1 48, ante.

205

A Will, that barres the title of thy fonne.

Con. I who doubts that, a Will: a wicked will,
A womans will, a cankred Grandams will

Fra. Peace Lady, paufe, or be more temperate,
It ill befeemes this prefence to cry ayme

209

206 I that, Ay, that? Rowe et seq that Om F₄ a Will | Ff, Rowe, Pope, Ktly, Fle a will—Theob et cet 207 cankred | F₂F₃, Theob Warb Johns Varr '73, '78, '85 cankered F₄ cank'red Fle canker'd Rowe et cet 207 Grandams | grandame's F₂F₃, Cap Knt, Sta Fle 208 Lady,] lady' Coll Dyce, Hal
Wh Huds Cam +, Del Words
temperate,] temperate Coll 1, 11,
Wh 1, Rlfe, Words Neils temperate
[exit Constance Dono
209, 210 Om Donovan
209 ayme] ay me Ff, Rowe 1 Amen
Rowe 11, Pope aim' Sta hem Moberly
con] aim Theob et cet

205 A will . thy sonne] Roger of Wendover, under the year 1190, says 'At this time Tancred, king of Sicily (who had succeeded to king William), in order to keep on peaceable terms with king Richard, gave to that king twenty thousand ounces of silver in discharge of all his claims against him, and the same quantity of gold as a quit-claim of the will, which king William had made in favour of king Henry, Richard's father, and in consideration of the marriage which had been agreed to be contracted between Arthur, Duke of Brittany, and the daughter of king Tancred, on which king Richard appointed the before named Arthur his heir, in case of his dying without any lawful heir, after which he set out on his pilgrimage' (ed Giles, 11, 95). This Richard revoked at his death in 1199, Holinshed says '-feeling himselfe to wax weaker and weaker, preparing his mind to death, which he perceived now to be at hand, he orderned his testament, or rather reformed and added sundrie things vnto the same which he before had made, at the time of his gooing foorth towards the hole land. Vnto his brother John he assigned the crowne of England, and all other his lands and dominions, causing the Nobles there present to sweare feature vnto him' (iii, 155, b) — COURTENAY (1, 8) cites Hoveden, p 791, as the contemporary authority 'for the dying declaration of Richard in favor of John 'On this Wordsworth (1, 436) remarks 'Doubtless his change of purpose was caused, more or less, by his mother's influence-"the woman's will"—to which Constance, playing upon the word, alludes, and the mother, we may suppose, was influenced by jealousy of her daughter-in-law'-[Possibly, but Elinor was not present at the time of Richard's death at Chaluz, she was in England with John -ED]

207 A womans Grandams will Collier So in the old play Elinor says '—I can infer a will That bars the way he urgeth by descent.' And Constance replies 'A will indeed' a crabbed woman's will '—[Part 1, sc 11, l 100].

209 to cry ayme] Johnson That is, to encourage I once thought it was borrowed from archery, and that aim! having been the word of command, as we now say present! 'to cry aim,' had been to incide notice or raise attention. But I rather think that the old word of appliause was J'aime, which the English, not easily pronouncing Je, sunk into aime or aim. Our exclamations of appliause are still borrowed, as brave and encore—[It is, perhaps, not wholly fair to Dr Johnson's reputation as a philologist to repeat this conjectural derivation, but he himself made no attempt to suppress it in later editions—ED]—Steevens Dr John-

To these ill-tuned repetitions
Some Trumpet summon hither to the walles
These men of Angiers, let vs heare them speake,
Whose title they admit, Arthurs or Iohns.

Trumpet founds.

Enter a Citizen vpon the walles.

215

210

Cut. Who is it that hath warn'd vs to the walles? Fra. 'Tis France, for England.

217

210 ill-tuned] Ff, Cap Var '78, 85, Rann, Mal Steev Varr ill-tuned Rowe 1 ill-tuned Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words ill tuned Rowe 11 et cet

repetitions] repetitions Rowe et seq (repetitions Fle)

211 Some Trumpet] Sound trumpet!
Craig conj

212 Angiers | Angiers Neils

fpeake,] speak Theob 1. (misprint) speak Cam +, Neils

214, 215 Trumpet founds the walles I Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb Fle Trumpets sound the walls Johns Trumpet Enter certain Citizens on the walls Cap Trumpet

sounds citizens the walls. Knt, Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Sta Huds 11, Craig Trumpet sounds certain citizens the walls Cam +, Words Trumpet sound Enter Citizens Dono Trumpet sounds the walls [attended] Neils Trumpets sound citizens..the walls Var '73 et cet

Scene III Pope, Han Warb Johns 216 Cit | Knt, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Sta Huds Citti F₂ Citi F₃F₄, Rowe, Pope, + 1 c Capell. 1 Cit Var '73 et cet

217 Fra] Ff, Fle. K. Philip Rowe et cet. (throughout).

son's first thought, I believe, is best So, in Beaumont and Fletcher's Love's Cure 'Can I cry aim To this against myself?'—[ed Dyce, IV, 11, p. 166] Again, in Tarlton's Jests, 1611 'The people had much ado to keep peace but Bankes and Tarleton had like to have squared and the horse by, to give aime.'-[Ashbee reprint, sig C2, verso] Again, in Churchyard's Charge, 1580 'Yet he that stands, and giveth aime, Maie judge what shott doeth lose the game '-p 8, b Again, in Merry Wives, Ford says '-and to these violent proceedings my neighbors shall cry aim '-III, 11, 45 -[] Crosby, in the American Bibliopolist, August, 1875, in explanation of the phrases 'cry aim' and 'give aim,' calls attention to a note by Gifford on Massinger's The Bondman, II, iii, which in part is as follows 'To . was to encourage, to give aim was to direct, and in these distinct and appropriate senses the words perpetually occur. There was no such office as aim-cryer; the business of encouragement being abandoned to such of the spectators as chose to interfere, to that of direction, indeed, there was a special person appointed. Those who cried aim! stood by the archers, he who gave it was stationed near the butts, and pointed out, after every discharge, how wide or how short the arrow fell of the mark '-WRIGHT also points out that Steevens has here confused these two phrases, and quotes the latter part of Gifford's note —En]

215 Enter a Citizen] COLLIER The economy of our old stage could only allow one citizen to make his appearance.

216 warn'd] WRIGHT That is, summoned Compare 'And sent to warn them to his royal presence'—Richard III I, iii, 30.

IohnEngland for it felfe 218 You men of Angiers, and my louing fubiects You louing men of Angiers, Arthurs Subjects, 220 Our Trumpet call'd you to this gentle parle. For our advantage, therefore heare vs first These flagges of France that are advanced heere Before the eye and prospect of your Towne. Haue hither march'd to your endamagement. 225 The Canons have their bowels full of wrath, And ready mounted are they to fpit forth Their Iron indignation 'gainst your walles: All preparation for a bloody fiedge And merciles proceeding, by these French 230 Comfort yours Citties eies, your winking gates:

218 it selfe] itself Coll Wh 1, Huds Cam +, Del Neils Craig
219 subjects] Ff, Knt 1, 111 subjects!
Knt 11 subjects—Rowe et cet
220 Arthurs] Arthur's F₄
221 parle] parle—Rowe, Pope,+,
Knt 11, Sta Dyce 11, 111, Cam +, Del
Rlfe, Words Craig
222 aduantage,] Ff advantage, Rowe,

222 aduantage, Ff advantage, Rowe Pope, +, Coll. Dyce, Wh 1, Ktly, Neils advantage, — Cap et cet

us first] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb us first—Johns Var '73 us first. Cap Mal Knt, Dyce, Sta Cam +, Fle Words Neils us first— Rann us first—Var '78 et cet 223 aduanced] advanced Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words 229 preparation] preparations Pope, + (-Var '73).

fiedge] Szege F₄
230 And proceeding, French | Fi
And proceeding, French, Rowe, Pope,
Theob 11, Warb Johns Var '73, Knt,
Hal Sta Fle And, proceeding,
French, Theob 1 And proceeding
French Dyce, Wh Cam +, Huds 11,
Neils Craig And proceeding French,
Han et cet

231 Comfort yours] F₂ Comfort your F₃F₄, Coll 1 Confront your Rowe, Pope, +, Steev Varr Sing Wh 1, Dyce 11, 111, Huds Del Coll 111, Dono Come fore your Coll. 11 (MS), Ktly Confronts your Cap et cet

218 it selfe] WRIGHT This shows that 'his' in 1 102 is not masculine, but neuter.

222 Iohn. For our.. vs first] CAPELL (I, 11, p 123) He were hardly an Englishman that is not charm'd with John's brisk interruption and conquest of Philip in this line, it is but imperfectly seen in other copies, for want of the break [see Text Notes], which shows that the parts of the line are address'd differently, the first to Philip himself

222 our advantage] TYRWHITT If we read 'For your advantage,' it will be a more specious reason for interrupting Philip

223 aduanced] That is, raised, lifted up. Compare '—beauty's ensign yet Is crimson in thy lips and in thy cheeks, And death's pale flag is not advanced there.'—Rom & Jul, V, in, 94

231 Comfort] KNIGHT, accepting Capell's emendation, remarks 'Although "comfort" might be used by John in irony, . . . "preparation" is here the nomi-

[231 Comfort yours Citties eies, your winking gates]

native, and therefore we use confronts '-Collier (ed 1) justifies the Folio reading on the ground that 'King John is evidently speaking ironically '-To this DYCE (Remarks, etc., p 88) replies 'Mr Knight was the first who suggested that "'Comfort' might be used by John in irony", and if this suggestion had been thrown out by Steevens, I should have supposed that it had originated in the hope of inducing the next editor to adopt a reading which the "malicious George" would afterwards have great satisfaction in pronouncing to be an absurdity the plain sense of the most uncritical reader, if he can discover in [the whole speechl even a shadow of vrony, a rhetorical figure, indeed, which would naturally be avoided by King John, whose object in the present address is to gain over the citizens of Angiers '-Collier evidently felt the force of this remark, in his Notes and Emendations, p 202, he says 'It has been urged by those who wished to adhere to the text of the Folios, as long as it was unimpugned by any old authority, that "comfort" was here used ironically Rowe did not think so when he printed confront, but the MS Corrector, with less violence, has "Come fore" --It is, I think, somewhat difficult to explain this slightly veiled sneer at those who wished to force a meaning from the Folio reading, Collier himself and Knight being the only two In his 2nd Edition Collier omits all mention of an ironical meaning to 'comfort,' and adds to his comment on the MS correction 'There is a singular confirmation of the misprint of Comfort for "Come 'fore" in the Folio of 1632 itself, for in 2 Henry VI III, ii we meet with a line which stands thus "Comfort, my sovereign, gracious Henry com fore." In the last instance "comfort" ought, of course, to be repeated' [Even at the risk of appearing captious on a point so slight, it is well, I think, to say that in the 2nd Folio the line is divided at the first syllable 'com' with a very perceptible hyphen connecting the next syllable 'fore' in the next line. This is quite different from the MS correction come 'fore]-KNIGHT (Stratford Sh, 1, p 256) says 'Come' fore may be rejected as a slavish adherence to ten syllables Shakspere would have written come before '-HALLIWEIL concurs with Dyce that the whole tenour of this speech precludes taking 'comfort' as spoken ironically; Rowe's or Capell's emendation is, therefore, necessary -- Moberly Capell's correction is manifestly right, though perhaps confront would be still more accurate, as the preparation is for a siege, and also for 'merciless proceedings.'--[It is hardly likely that so careful an editor as Moberly was unaware that Capell's is but an emendation of Rowe's reading. With the first portion of his note I quite agree -ED]

231. yours] Lettsom (ap Walker, Crit, ii, 501, foot-note) explains the presence of the superfluous s as having been removed from the end of the preceding word. This is a corroboration of the correctness of Capell's emendation confronts 231. your winking gates] Malone That is, gates hastily closed from an apprehension of danger So in 2 Henry IV. 'And winking leap'd into destruction.'—I, iii, 33—[Beyond the fact that the word 'winking' occurs in both passages, there is no similarity In the line from Henry IV 'winking' means, as often used, closing the eyes tightly—Steevens quotes, in illustration of the present line, 'Whether it were lead or lattin that haspt [downe] those winking casements, I know not' (Old Fortunatus, Dekker, ed Pearson, p 124), but this is really no better than Malone's, as the speaker is referring to the eyes of two who have fallen asleep. It is, in fact, exactly the reverse of this line in King John, Shakespeare compares the gates to eyes hastily closed, Dekker compares the eyes to windows

And but for our approch, those sleeping stones,

That as a waste doth girdle you about
By the compulsion of their Ordinance,
By this time from their fixed beds of lime

235
Had bin dishabited, and wide hauocke made
For bloody power to rush vppon your peace
But on the fight of vs your lawfull King,
Who painefully with much expedient march
Haue brought a counter-checke before your gates,

240

232 And] And, Theob Warb Cap
Varr Rann, Coll Dyce Hal Wh 1,
Ktly, Huds Del Words
approch] approach F4
233 waste] waste F4 wasst Var '78
et seq
doth] Ff, Wh Cam.+, Fle
Neils do Rowe et cet
234 Ordinance,] Ff, Ktly, Sta ordnance Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll
Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Huds Del ordinance Rowe et cet
235 fixed] fixed Dyce, Fle Huds 11,

Words

236 ds/habited] dsshabit' Fle

237 peace] peace, F₃F₄

238-241 But cheekes] Om Dono

238 But vs] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+,

Cam + But, us, Cap et cet

on] for Ed conj

239-241 Who cheekes] Ff, Rowe,

Knt, Coll Wh Sta Cam +, Del Fle

Neils In parentheses Pope et cet

239 Who painefully] Ff, Rowe, Pope,

+, Cam +. Who painfully, Mal et

hastily shut—Wright, for a like use of 'wink,' compares 'Was this the face That, like the sun, did make beholders wink?'—Richard II IV, i, 284—ED]

232-235 sleeping . beds] WHITER (p 91) Can the reader doubt that the words 'sleeping' and 'beds' in this passage were inpressed on our Author by the preceding image of the 'city's eyes' and the 'winking gates'? The metaphor is not continued, though the words belonging to it succeed.—[The avowed purpose of Whiter's volume, as expressed in his title page, is to explain Shakespeare's use of certain similes through the association of ideas—John Hunter also calls attention to the continuation of the metaphor in 'winking,' 'sleeping,' and 'beds'—ED]

233 waste] That is, a girdle, or garment for the waist, compare '—the noble Talbot Who now is girdled with a waist of iron And hemm'd about with grim destruction '—r Henry VI IV, iii, 20

233 doth] For other examples wherein the relative takes a singular verb, though the antecedent be plural, see, if needful, Abbott, § 247.

236 dishabited] STEEVENS That is, dislodged, violently removed from their places, a word, I believe, of our Author's coinage—[MURRAY (N. E. D.), in confirmation of this supposition by Steevens, gives the present line as the only example of 'dishabit' used in this sense.—Delius quotes from Carew, Survey of Cornwall, 'dishabited towns' (67a), but this means towns deprived of inhabitants, and is a variant of dismhabited, of which Murray gives other examples.—Ed.]

230. expedient] Compare l. 64, ante.

240. counter-checke] MURRAY (N E D, s v. sb 2) A check that opposes or arrests the course of anything. [The present line quoted.]

To faue vnfcratch'd your Citties threatned cheekes

Behold the French amaz'd vouchfafe a parle,

And now infteed of bulletts wrapt in fire

To make a fhaking feuer in your walles,

They shoote but calme words, folded vp in smoake,

To make a faithlesse errour in your eares,

Which trust accordingly kinde Cittizens,

And let vs in. Your King, whose labour'd spirits

Fore-wearied in this action of swift speede,

Craues harbourage within your Citie walles.

241. vnscratch'd] unscratcht Fle
threatned] F₃F₄, Rowe, Pope,+
threatened F₂, Cam +. threat'ned Fle
Neils threaten'd Cap et cet
242 Behold the French amaz'd] Ff,
Rowe, Pope, Han Behold, the French
amaz'd Coll Wh Cam +, Del Behold! The French amaz'd, Ktly Behold,
the French, amaz'd, Theob et cet
vouchsafe] youchsafe Methuen
facsimile F₄
243 And now] And now, Theob et
seq

insteed] instead F3 in stead F4

Var '78, '85 wrapp'd Mal et seq

248 vs in Your King, Ff, Rowe,
Knt, Sta in us, your king, Pope,
Theob Warb Johns Var '73 in us
your king, Han us in, your king, Cap.
et cet

249 Fore-wearied] Forweary'd Var.
'78 et seq

250 Craues] Ff, Rowe, Knt. Crave
Pope et cet

Citie walles] city-walls Theob.
Warb Johns Var. '73, Dyce, Hal.
Ktly

243 wrapt] wrap'd Rowe, Pope,+,

245 words . . in smoake] Malone compares 'This helpless smoke of words, doth me no right '—Lucrece, l 1027

246 faithlesse errour] SCHMIDT (Lex, s v faithless) cites the present line under the meanings disloyal, perfidious, taking 'error' in its usual sense of a mistake—MOBERLY explains the passage as 'A disloyal confusion'—[Is it not rather, deception in which all trust is lacking? In any case the adjective 'faithless' seems tautological.—ED]

249 Fore-wearied] WRIGHT That is, exhausted. Spelt 'forewearied' in the Folios, just as it is usual to write forego instead of forgo, while no one would use forebid or foreget for forbid and forget. Compare 'Thine arms shalt thou sprede abrede, As man in warre were forwerede.'—Romaunt of the Rose, l. 2563. Palsgrave (Lesclarcissement de la langue Francoyse) has, 'I forwerye'—Ie lasse, prim conj. So also Spenser 'And well I wote, that of your later fight Ye all forwearied be.'—Faerie Queene, I, i, 32—['For-, as a prefix to verbs, has usually an intensive force, or preserves the sense of from, to which it is nearly related,' Skeat (Dict.)]—KNIGHT, in defence of the Folio punctuation of l 248, says 'It is to be observed that "forweary" and weary are the same, and that "forwearied" may be used not as a participle requiring an auxiliary verb, but as a verb neuter. "Our spirits wearied in this action" would be correct even in modern construction'

250. Craues] KNIGHT 'Your king' is the nominative to 'craves' (See preceding note)—WRIGHT: The Folios have 'craves,' which is not an instance of the survival of the ancient plural in s, but a blunder due to the singular 'speed,' which

France When I have faide, make answer to vs both.	251
Loe in this right hand, whose protection	
Is most divinely vow'd vpon the right	
Of him it holds, stands yong Plantagenet,	
Sonne to the elder brother of this man,	255
And King ore him, and all that he enjoyes:	
For this downe-troden equity, we tread	
In warlike march, these greenes before your Towne,	
Being no further enemy to you	
Then the constraint of hospitable zeale,	260
In the releefe of this oppreffed childe,	
Religiously prouokes Be pleased then	
To pay that dutie which you truly owe,	
To him that owes it, namely, this yong Prince,	264

252 Loe] Lo! Theob Han Warb Johns Var '73, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Del Craig Lo, Cap et cet protection] protection Fle Words 256 enioyes] enjoys Pope,+, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Del Dono Neils 258 warlike march] warlick march F4, Rowe 1. warlike march Pope et seq 259 further] farther Coll. Wh 1

260 Then] Than F₄
261 oppressed Dyce, Fle.
Huds 11, Words Dono
262 pleased] pleased Dyce, Fle Huds
11, Words
264 owes 11,] Ff, Rowe, Coll Dyce,
Hal Wh 1, Huds Cam +, Del Fle
Words owns 11, Pope, Han owns 11;
Theob + owes 11,—Knt, Sta owes 11;
Cap et cet

comes between the nominative ['spirits'] and the verb—[I am not altogether certain that either Pope's or Capell's change is here necessary. The Folio reading, with its emphatic 'It is your king craves harbourage,' is more forceful than a reference to his wearied spirits requiring a resting place—ED]

252 in this right hand] WRIGHT Compare 'Led in the hand of her kind aunt of Gloucester'—Richard III IV, 1, 2 And Genesis, xxi, 18 'Arise, lift up the lad, and hold him in thine hand' Hagar was ordered not to take Ishmael in her arms, but to lead him by the hand

253 vow'd vpon the right] Compare, for this construction, '—you both have vow'd revenge On him, his sons, his favourites and his friends'—3 Henry VI I, 1, 55, and 'That he may vow, in that sad hour of mine, Revenge on him '—Lucrece, 1 1179.

258 greenes] WALKER (Crst, 11, 348) quotes the present line as an example wherein 'greens' is used 'for plants or vegetation in general'. This is, of course, a perfectly logical explanation, but is the word not here used in the sense of an open space covered with grass? This use is common in England, Murray (N E D, s v green, 12 b) gives many examples, the earliest dated 1477, and continuing to the present time—ED.

263, 264 owe...owes] The first 'owe' is here used in its modern sense, the second, in that of *owns*, as so frequent in Shakespeare and other authors of that time.—SCHMIDT (*Lex*) furnishes many examples.

And then our Armes, like to a muzled Beare. 265 Saue in aspect, hath all offence seal'd vp Our Cannons malice vainly shall be spent Against th'involuerable clouds of heauen, And with a bleffed and vn-vext retyre, With vnhack'd fwords, and Helmets all vnbruis'd. 270 We will beare home that luftie blood againe, Which heere we came to fout against your Towne, And leaue your children, wiues, and you in peace. But if you fondly passe our proffer'd offer, 'Tis not the rounder of your old-fac'd walles, 275 Can hide you from our messengers of Warre, Though all these English, and their discipline Were harbour'd in their rude circumference. 278

265 muzled] muzl'd Cap 266. aspect] aspect Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words hath] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob. Warb Johns Cam +, Fle have Han. et cet.

265-268 And heaven Om Dono

268 involuerable] invulnerable Ff.
heauen] heav'n Rowe, Pope+
269 And] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Coll
Cam +, Del And,— Cap Then
Dono And, Var '73 et cet
blessed blessed Dyce, Fle Huds

u, Words

**m vext] unvex'd Var '73 et seq

273 and you] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Dyce, Cam + and you, Cap et cet 274 our] your Theob 1

proffer'd offer] proper offer Jervis (Emend, p 14) proffers here Vaughan conj (withdrawn) proffer'd terms, or favours Id conj proffer'd peace Huds n, proffer by Moberly conj

275 rounder] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Knt, Huds 1 rondure Sing 11, Dyce, Hal, Ktly, Huds 11, Words roundure Cap et cet

277 Though Tho Pope ii,+. 278 circumference circumference Pope et seq.

266 aspect] The accent, as in nearly all cases, is on the second syllable in this word, compare IV, ii, 74 and 234

274. proffer'd offer] WALKER (Crtt, i, 290). The bad English ('proffer'd offer') the cacophony, and the two-syllable ending, so uncommon in this play, prove that 'offer' is a corruption originating in 'proffer'd' Read, I think, love. Compare I Henry VI: 'But if you frown upon this proffer'd peace'—IV, ii, 9, and just below, l 14, 'if you forsake the offer of their love' [Wordsworth adopts, in his text, Walker's conjecture, love]—Hudson (ed ii) 'Proffer'd offer' seems to me a plain instance of sophistication in order to avoid a repetition of 'peace' [in 1 273] But I should rather say that the word ought to be repeated here, for peace is precisely what the speaker has just proffered

275 rounder] STEEVENS. 'Roundure' means the same as the French rondeur, i. e, the circle. Compare '—all things rare, That Heaven's air in this huge rondure hems.'—Sonnet xxi.

278 rude circumference] COLLIER (ed ii.): A correspondent (Mr W W Williams of Tiverton) suggests that we ought here to read 'wide circumference,' and in l. 215 'bold-fac'd' for 'old-fac'd' We cannot concur in either proposal; the walls

Then tell vs, Shall your Citie call vs Lord,	
In that behalfe which we have challeng'd it?	280
Or shall we give the fignall to our rage,	
And stalke in blood to our possession?	
Cit. In breefe, we are the King of Englands subjects	
For him, and in his right, we hold this Towne.	
Iohn. Acknowledge then the King, and let me in	285
Cit. That can we not but he that proues the King	
To him will we proue loyall, till that time	287

279 Then] Then, Cap et seq
vs.] us,— Wh 1
280 which] in which Ktly
it?] it, Var '73 it, Coll Del
282 possession Fle.

283 Cit] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Ktly,
Sta Huds Del Neils I C Capell
First Cit Dyce, Hal Cam +, Craig
I Cit Mal et cet
Englands] England's F₄
287 Joyall, Joyal, Rowe et seg

of Angiers may most properly be termed 'old-fac'd' from their ruggedness and their antiquity, and 'rude' is also a most applicable epithet. If any alteration of the text were needed the case would be different, but here all is intelligible and appropriate—[Williams did not repeat either of these conjectures among those communicated to *The Parthenon* in 1862–63. They may, therefore, be considered as withdrawn—ED]

280 In that behalfe which] For other examples of this construction, see Abbott, § 394—Belden (*Tudor Sh*) here takes 'which' as used adverbially, and thus interprets 'In the interest of him on whose behalf we have demanded the lord-ship of the town'

284 For him. this Towne] COLLIER So in the old King John, [The Trouble-some Raigne, etc.,] the Citizen on the wall replies. 'For him, and in his right, we hold our town'—[Marshall calls attention to the fact that in the older play these words are taken from a passage in prose, not verse, as it might seem from Collier's quotation—ED]

286, 287 he that. . To him] Abbott (§ 417), s v Noun Absolute, quotes the present line, also 'Rather proclaim it, Westmoreland, through our host That he which hath no stomach to this fight, Let him depart'—Henry V IV, iii, 34, and adds in explanation "He," being regarded as the normal form of the pronoun, is appropriate for this independent position. So "But I shall laugh at this a twelvementh hence That they who brought me in my master's hate I live to look upon their tragedy"—Richard III III, ii, 57 These three examples might, however, come under the head of construction changed through change of thought'

286, 287 proues the King... proue loyall] MOULTON (Moral System, etc., p 272). The citizens of Angiers have exactly anticipated the spirit of the future Jacobite toast

'God bless the King, God bless our faith's defender, God bless—no harm in blessing—the Pretender, But who pretender is, and who is King, God bless us all, that's quite another thing!' Haue we ramm'd vp our gates against the world. 288 Doth not the Crowne of England, prooue the Iohn. King? And if not that, I bring you Witnesses 290 Twice fifteene thousand hearts of Englands breed. Bastards and else Balt.To verifie our title with their liues. Iohn Fran. As many and as well-borne bloods as those. Bast. Some Bastards too 295 Fran. Stand in his face to contradict his claime Cit. Till you compound whose right is worthiest, 297

291 breed] Ff. breed—Rowe, Pope, +, Ktly breed,— Cap et cet 292 Bast else] Om Words Dono Bast] Faulc Theobald, Warb Johns Varr Rann. Bast. [Aside] Coll. 11, Hal Sta Fle Bastards and else] Ff. Rowe (Bastards and else) Pope, + Bastards, and else Cap et cet 293 To]—To . Varr Rann, Wh 1, Huds 11 294 As many] F₂F₃, Dyce, Hal As many, F₄ et cet 294 as those] as those— Rowe et seq
295 Bast too] Om Words Dono
Bast] Bast [Aside] Coll u,
Hal Fle
Some too] (Some too) Pope,
+
Bastards] Bastards, F4, Coll Sta
Huds
296 Stand] —Stand Varr Rann
Wh 1, Huds
297. compound] compound, Theob.
Warb.

[Bartlett (Fam Quot, 9th ed, p 351) assigns these four lines to John Byrom not as a 'Jacobite toast,' but as extempore To an Officer The first line also differs slightly from that given by Moulton 'God bless the King,—I mean the faith's defender '—ED]

289 Crowne.. prooue the Kingl C K Davis (p 150) In this instance King John asserts the law of a sovereign de facto, as afterwards declared by the statute of Henry VII 'If there be a king regnant in possession of the crown, though he be but rex de facto and not de jure, yet he is seignior le roy, and if another hath right, if he be out possession, he is not within the meaning of the statute '—2 Henry VII c. 1, 3 Inst. 7.

292. Bastards and else] Moore Smith. That is, Bastards and otherwise (not, I think, as Schmidt says, 'bastards and such like') Philip's humorous interpolation adds a touch of realism to the scene

297 compound] Devecmon (p 35) compares, for this use of 'compound,' 'And we here deliver what We have compounded on '—Coriol, V, vi, 84, 'Content you, gentlemen, I will compound this strife '—Tam of Shrew, II, 1, 343. This last is quoted by Davis, p 124, as a legalism, on which Devecmon remarks 'To "compound" is in all these cases used in the general sense of to settle or determine, but in a legal sense it is to settle in a particular manner, as where a creditor agrees to receive part of his debt in satisfaction of the whole ... Today in general literature the word is used in pretty much the same sense as Shakespeare uses it —perhaps this is due to the force of his great example '

We for the worthiest hold the right from both. 298 Then God forgiue the finne of all those foules, That to their euerlasting residence, 300 Before the dew of euening fall, shall fleete In dreadfull triall of our kingdomes King. Amen, Amen, mount Cheualiers to Armes. BaltSaint George that fwindg'd the Dragon, And ere fince fit's on's horsebacke at mine Hostesse dore 305 298 We worthieft] We, worthiest. Theob Han Warb Johns Fle chev-Cap Varr Rann, Mal Steev Varr aliers! Cap et cet Sing, Knt, Hal Sta Huds Fle Neils 303 Armes] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han. from] for Wh 11, Neils arms! Theob et cet both] both [Exeunt Citizens 304, 305 Saint George And ere since] Dono One line Pope et seq. 304 fwindg'd] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Knt, 200 sinnel sins Coll MS Craig 300 residence,] residence Coll Sta Fle swinged Cam.+. swing'd 301 fall,] fall F₂F₃, Coll 1 Theob et cet shall fleete] shall fleet, Pope et seq 305 ere] e're F2F4 e'er Rowe et seq 302 King] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Fle

King! Theob et cet 303-311 Fran Amen rore] Om Dono 303 Amen, Amen,] Ff Amen, Amen Rowe, Pope, Fle Amen, Amen -Theob Warb Johns. Varr Rann, Coll Wh 1, Del Amen, Amen/ Han et cet Chevaliers Ff. Rowe chevaliers.

sit's] sits F3F4 on's] on his Pope,+, Cap Varr. Rann, Mal Steev Varr hor[ebacke] horse'back Walker (Vers, 253), Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Fle. Huds 11, Words. horse back Cam +. dore] dore, F2. door,- Cap.

door, F3F4 et cet

304, 305 Saint George . . . at mine Hostesse dore] Knight. How exceedingly characteristic is this speech of the Bastard! 'Saint George' was the great war-cry of Richard, but the universal humorist lets down the dignity of the champion in a moment by an association with the hostess's sign —HALLIWELL (Folio ed, p. 304) calls attention to the fact there is here a slight anachronism, since the inn-sign with such a figure, though familiar in the time of Elizabeth, could hardly have existed in the time of King John In support of its popularity he quotes from Brathwait's Strappado for the Divell, 1615. 'What fame in forraine coasts this hero got, The lake Silene shewes, if we should not, Where in the reskew of a lovely mayde, A fearefull dragon he discomfited, So as we have portraide to every viewe, On signes of innes, how George the dragon slew.'—[Lyly, possibly referring to the same sign-board, twice uses the painted figure of St George as an example of arrested motion. 'But I would not have young men slowe to followe my precepts or idle to defer the time lyke Saint George, who is euer on horse backe yet neuer rideth'-Euphues and his Ephæbus, ed Bond, vol 1, p 260, l. 24. Again, 'I have fulfilled thy request, but I feare me thou wilt use them as S George doth his horse, who is euer on his backe but neuer rideth.'—Euphues to Philautus, Ibid, p. 313, l 13—ED]

305. sit's on's Lettsom, the editor of Walker's Criticisms and Versification, in his Preface, p. xiii, gives this line as it appears in Walker's MS 'Swings on his horse' back,' etc, and Lettsom thus comments: 'Had this mistake occurred in Teach vs some sence. Sirrah, were I at home At your den sirrah, with your Lionnesse, I would set an Oxe-head to your Lyons hide. And make a monster of you.

Aust. Peace, no more.

310

306

Bast O tremble. for you heare the Lyon rore

Iohn Vp higher to the plaine, where we'l fet forth

In best appointment all our Regiments

Bast. Speed then to take advantage of the field.

Fra. It shall be so, and at the other hill

315

306 fence] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns fence,— Sing 1 fencel Han et cet

306-309 Sirrah you] Om Words 306 Sirrah,] [To Aus] Sirrah, Coll Sing 11, Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Cam +, Del

307 den] den, F4

firrah,] sırrah, [to Aus] Cap
Mal Steev Varr Sing 1, Knt, Sta
308 I would] Ff, Rowe, Coll Dyce,
Hal Wh Huds Cam +, Del I'd
Pope et cet

309 you] you [To Austria Rowe 11, Var '85 you — [To Austria Theob +, Var '78, Rann.

310 Peace, Peacel Coll Dyce, Hal Wh Huds Cam +, Del Peacel Peacel I say, Words

3II O tremble] Ff, Rowe, Pope Ottemble, Coll. Huds 1, Del Craig Ohttemble, Ktly O, tremble, Theob et cet.
rore] roar! Dyce, Hal Wh 1,

Sta Huds 11

312 plane, plan! Johns plan; Cap et seq

314 advantage] th' advantage Pope, +
(-Var '73)

field] field [Exeunt English Cap

315, 316 Fra It right] Om Dono 315 fo,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb so,— Varr Rann so,— [to Louis] Dyce, Wh 1, Huds 11 so; Cam +. so, [to Lew] Cap et cet

the First Folio, and had any poor editor proposed to substitute for swings the genuine word "sits," his proposal would no doubt have been condemned as wanton and unnecessary, and the other reading would have been stoutly defended as an instance of Shakespeare's propensity to play on words'—Cambridge Edd. (Note XII) Capell's copy of F₂ has 'sit's on's', that which belonged to Dr Long has it' sons'—[My copy likewise reads as Capell's, and so also does the Methuen facsimile—It is possible that Long's copy was an earlier printing, and later impressions were corrected while the type was still in the chase—Examples of such variations in copies of F₂ have been noticed—Ed]

305 horsebackel For examples, wherein the plural and possessive case of nouns, in which the singular ends in s or se, are frequently written, and, still more frequently, pronounced without the additional syllable, see, if needful, Abbott, § 471.

315. the other hill] Miss Porter An indication that the stage of Shakespeare had a slanting elevation arranged at the rear, on either side of it. In the battle-scenes of Jul Cas. and Ant & Cleo mention of this simulation of a hill also occurs... The entire fore-stage and platform, here spoken of as the 'plaine' and the 'field,' was open to the manceuvres of the two armies. And it may be suspected that the hill, or hills, was a device to bring the corners of the rear-stage into better view of the audience, as well as to give the impression of hills in the open country near the walls of Angiers.

Command the rest to stand, God and our right Execut 316

Heere after excursions, Enter the Herald of France

with Trumpets to the gates.

F Her You men of Angiers open wide your gates,

And let young Arthur Duke of Britaine in, 320

And let young Arthur Duke of Britaine in,
Who by the hand of France this day hath made,
Much worke for teares in many an English mother,

322

316 fland,] Ff stand Rowe, Pope, +, Cam +. stand — Var '73 et cet right] right! Rowe ii et seq Exeunt] exeunt French Cap

Exeunt] exeunt French Cap
Exeunt severally the English and
French Kings, etc Dyce, Hal Words
Exeunt on opposite sides, the English
and French kings with their Forces
Wh 1, Huds. 11 Exeunt all severally.
Coll 111

Scene IV Pope, Han Warb Johns Scene II The same Cap Varr. Rann, Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll Ktly, Sta Huds Del Act II, Scene I The same Fle Dono.

317. Heere after of France] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han. Cam +, Fle A long charge sounded then, after of France Theob Warb After of France Johns Varr Rann, Dyce, Hal. Huds 11 Alarums as of a battle join'd, Excursions, afterwards Retreat. Enter a French Herald Cap. Enter a French Herald, meeting Citizens who have been summoned Dono Alarums and Excursions, then a Retreat Enter a French Herald Mal et cet

318 gates] gates [The Citizens on the Walls] Fle

319 You! Ye Johns Var '73
320 Britaine! F₂, Ktly. Britain F₃F₄,
Rowe! Bretagne Rowe!! et cet
321 Who! Who, Varr Rann. Mal
Steev Varr Knt, Sing. Dyce, Hal, Fle.

- 316. God and our right] DOUCE (1, 402) An English motto is here improperly put into the mouth of a Frenchman. Richard the First is said to have originally used DIEU ET MON DROIT.
- 317 Heere after excursions] F GENTLEMAN (ap Bell, p 19) This fighting a battle behind the scenes, and leaving the stage empty till it is fought, we consider a violent attack upon critic patience; and we think this indeterminate engagement should be omitted by leaving out ll 317-372, performed so, the scene would give less offence to probability, and save the stunned ears of an audience from much unnecessary drumming and trumpeting
- 317 Enter the Herald] R G White [A change of scene here] is not only unnecessary and unwarranted, but entirely at variance with actual fact, and no less with dramatic truth. The kings leave the gate only for a better fighting ground; and, after a brief and indecisive onset, they return, preceded by their heralds to the same gate, upon the battlements over which the 'scroyles of Angiers' have remained during the skirmish, and where they find the citizen who had harangued them before ready to give them further advice in the premises. Even in actual representation the scene must remain open and unchanged, unless indeed it were to change to the battlefield merely to show the fight, and back again when the heralds enter—Cambridge Edd. (Note XIII.). The word 'Heere,' used in the stage-direction, seems to indicate that the scene was supposed to continue
- 319. You men of Angiers, etc.] JOHNSON: This speech is very poetical and, except the concert of the 'widow's husband' embracing 'the earth,' is just and beautiful. [See Appendix Criticism J. M. ROBERTSON, p. 636.]

Whose fonnes lye scattered on the bleeding ground	323
Many a widdowes husband groueling lies,	
Coldly embracing the discoloured earth,	325
And victorie with little losse doth play	
Vpon the dancing banners of the French,	
Who are at hand triumphantly displayed	
To enter Conquerors, and to proclaime	
Arthur of Britaine, Englands King, and yours.	330
Enter English Herald with Trumpet.	
F. Har Rejoyce you men of Angiers, ring your bels.	332

323 [cattered] Ff, Wh Cam + scatt'red Fle scatter'd Rowe et cet ground | ground Ktly, Neils 324 Many] And many Pope,+ 325 discoloured Ff. Wh Cam + discolour'd Rowe et cet earrh,] Fz. earth, Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Coll earth, Cap. et cet 326 And While Pope,+ victorie lossel victory, . loss, Cap et seq 327 French, French, Theob Han Warb Johns Varr Rann, Mal. Steev Varr Sing Knt, Sta Huds 328 Who .displayed] Triumphantly displayed, who are at hand, Ktly hand] hand, Cap. et seq. displayed Ff display'd Rowe, Var. '73 displayed, Neils display'd, Theob et cet

329. Conquerors,] conquerors, Rowe,

Pope, Theob Han Warb Var '78, '85, Rann, Mal Sta Del conquerors Cam 330 Britaine,] F2, Ktly Britaine F₃F₄, Rowe 1 Britaine Fle. Bretagn, Dyce, Cam +, Del Huds 11, Rlfe, Words. Neils Craig. Bretagne, Rowe ii et cet 330, 333 Englands] England's F. 330 King, King Dyce, Cam +, Huds 11, Rlfe, Words Neils Craig yours] yours! Sta 331 Enter Trumpet] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob. Enter an trumpets, to the same. Capell Enter. Heralds. trumpets Rann Enter Herald Dono Enter. .trumpets Han et cet 332 E Har] E Her F4 Resoyce ... Anguers, . bels,] Re-101ce, Angiers, bells; Rowe, Pope,+

Rejoice, Angiers, .. bells, Cap et seq

328. Who . . . displayed] Keightley (Exp., p 221) considers the transposition he makes in this line as necessary (see Text Notes), remarking, 'It is strange that no one seems to have observed the error '-- [Keightley is possibly right, but the placing of the words 'triumphantly displayed' between the relative and its antecedent, 'banners,' is both awkward and unusual. I am inclined to think, therefore, that 'displayed' refers not to the banners, but to the French army, it is used an the technical military sense.—Murray (N E D, s v display, vb. 1 b) gives 'To spread out (troops) so as to form a more extended line = Deploy ' He quotes as examples of this use '1581 Savile, Agricola (1622), 198 Agricola . . fearing lest hee should be assailed on the front and flankes both at one time, displayed his army in length', and, '1581 Tacitus' History, iv. xxxv (1501), 106. Fought with troops displayed out thinnely in length.' It is, however, but fair to say that this is the only passage in Shakespeare wherein 'display' may be understood in this technical sense. In l. 340, below, it occurs with its usual signification —ED] -MOORE SMITH These words, which refer to the banners, are inserted in the clause 'Who . ,' which refers to the French

332. E. Har. Reioyce, etc.] JOHNSON. The English Herald falls somewhat below

ACT II, SC 1]	OF KING	G IOHN	119
King Iohn, your king ar	_	·	333
Commander of this hot		• •	
Their Armours that ma			335
Hither returne all gilt w	71th Frenc	hmens blood	
There stucke no plume	in any En	glısh Crest,	
That is remoued by a st	affe of Fra	ance	
Our colours do returne	in thofe fa	ame hands	
That did display them v	when we f	irst marcht forth	340
			OT*
And like a folly troope of Huntsmen come Our lustre English, all with purpled hands,			
		•	
Dide in the dying flaugh	iter of the	eir ioes,	343
334 day,] Ff day' Cap Var Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, St 1 day Dyce, Hal Cam +, 1 day Rowe et cet 335 Armours] armours, R seq	a Huds Huds 11	338 by a] by any Coll 11 (Mi France] F ₂ F ₃ , Coll Fro Rowe, Pope, + France, Cap 339 tho[e] thof F ₄ 340 march! Ff, Fle march' et cet	et cet
filuer bright] Ff, Row silver-bright Pope et cet	·	341 And Hunt[men] Ff, Pope, Han Coll Wh 1, Huds.	
336 with in Rowe, Pope, Warb. Johns Frenchmens Frenchmens		huntsmen, Theob et cet 342 purpled] purpl'd Cap 343 Dide] F ₂ F ₃ Stain'd Pop	ne. Han.
11, Warb Johns Var '73,		Died Steev. Varr Knt 1 Dy	
Frenchmen's Cap et seq		Coll Knt 11, Ktly, Sta Cam	

his antagonist Silver armor gilt with blood is a poor image. Yet our author has it again in Macbeth. 'Here lay Duncan, His silver skin laced with his golden blood,' [II, iii, 117] —Steevens, in further illustration of this use of 'gilt,' quotes 'The curets from great Hector's breast, all gilded with his gore'—Chapman, Ihad, bk xvi, [1 773], and also, 'And showed his point gilt with the gushing gore'—Ibid, Odyssey, xix, [1. 627].—[Murray (N E D) gives several other examples, but quotes the present line in King John as the earliest use in this sense—ED]

Huds $n Dy'd F_4$ et cet

Coll Ktly foes Coll et cet.

foes,] foes Ff, Rowe, Pope,+

blood | blood Del Rife, Dono

338 remoued removed Dyce, Fle

Huds in, Words. remov'd Coll 11.

Neils

342, 343 hands, Dide in the . slaughter] Johnson It was, I think, one of the savage practices of the chase for all to stain their hands in the blood of the deer as a trophy—Davies (Dram Miscell, 1, 28) There is in Jul Cas, III, 1, 205 a passage quite similar to this, Mark Antony in an apostrophe to the dead body of Casar compares his murderers to hunters stained with the blood of the slain deer '—here thy hunters stand Sign'd in thy spoil, and crimson'd in thy lethe' Upon looking into Turberville's book of Hunting, I can see no trace of that practice [alluded to by Johnson]; but there are two different accounts of the French and English manner of dissecting or breaking up the deer. In dividing the several parts of the deer the French employed the hands of huntsmen alone, but our English kings, barons, and other great men took part of that office upon themselves. 'Oure order is,' says Turberville, 'that the Prince or chiefe (if so please them) doe alight and take assaye of the Deare with a sharpe knyfe, the whiche is done in this

towers F3F4 et cet

Open your gates, and give the Victors way. *Hubert*. Heralds, from off our towres we might behold

345

345 Hubert] Knt, Sta. Hunter Rowe et cet. Hub Ff r Cit Capell First Cit 345 towres] F2 tow'rs Pope, Theob Dyce, Hal Cam +, Huds Craig Citi Han Warb Johns

maner The deare being layd upon his backe, the Prince, chiefe, or such as they shall appoint, commes to it And the chiefe huntsman (kneeling if it be to Prince) doth holde the Deare by the forefoote, whiles the Prince or chief, cut a slyt drawn alongst the brysket of the deare, somewhat lower than the brysket towards the belly This is done to see the goodness of the flesh, and how thick it This being done we use to cut off the deares heades And that is commonly done by the chiefe personage,' [ed 1576, Clarendon reprint, p 133] In these operations the dissectors must necessarily be sprinkled or besmeared with the blood of the animal, and to this our author, in both passages, seems plainly to allude -STEEVENS (ed 1793), KNIGHT, and MADDEN (p 64) also quote the passage from Jul Cas in illustration of the present lines, but without further corroboration of the staining the hands of the hunters with the blood of the deer as a common practice [For a discussion of this hunting-custom, see Jul Cas, this edition, p 155—ED]

345 Hubert] Knight Without any assigned reason the name of this speaker has been altered by the modern editors to Citizen The Folio distinctly gives this, and all subsequent speeches of the same person to the end of the Act, to Hubert The proposition to the kings to reconcile their differences by the marriage of Lewis and Blanche would appear necessarily to come from some person in authority, and it would seem to have been Shakspere's intention to make that person Hubert de Burgh, who occupies so conspicuous a place in the remainder of the play In the third Act John says to Hubert 'thy voluntary oath Lives in this bosom' It might be his 'voluntary oath' as a Citizen of Angiers, to John, which called forth this expression -[The voluntary oath to which John refers is, I think, more likely that made by Hubert, when, as one of the very few, he sided with John in his contest with the barons See Dram Personæ Hubert - ED]-COLLIER Possibly the actor of the part of Hubert also personated the Citizen in order that the speeches might be well delivered, and this may have led to the insertion of his name in the MS -The CAMBRIDGE EDD. (Note XIV) also offer this explanation of the substitution of names, adding that 'in the old play the Citizen who proposes the league to the two kings is a distinct person from Hubert de Burgh '-Hudson This and the following speeches are most evidently from the same person who was introduced as Citizen at the opening of the preceding scene, and whose speeches there have the prefix Cit What makes the case still stronger is, that in the original the two scenes are printed as one, the Citizens having remained on the walls during the fight. [In corroboration of Colher's suggestion Hudson says! It was certainly not uncommon for two or more parts to be sustained by one actor, and this often occasioned mistakes in the distribution of the dialogue [The present instance is given by WALKER (Crit, 11, § lxxxv) among many others wherein there is either a mistake or substitution of the prefixes]-R G. WETTE Hubert de Burgh was an Englishman, and a nephew to William Fitz Adelin, who was in the service of John's father. But even supposing that Shakespeare did not know these facts, what was the Chamberlain to King John doing in Angiers at such a time? The prefix

From first to last, the on-set and retyre

Of both your Armies, whose equality

By our best eyes cannot be censured. (blowes:

Blood hath bought blood, and blowes have answerd 349

346 on-set onset Rowe et seq
347 your Fr
Armies, armies, Cap et seq
348 censured censured Dyce, Fle.

Huds n, Words Dono censured Ktly, Sta Neils 349 blood,] bloud, F₂

is, doubtless, a trace of the prompter's book, resulting from the fact that the actor who played Hubert was expected to 'double' in the Citizen of Angiers -JOHN HUNTER We believe that Shakespeare in the present scene meant to represent Hubert de Burgh as a Citizen of Angiers -Miss Porter There are some signs that Shakespeare chose to differ from the older play herein, as in many things bearing on character and human nature Hubert's proposition denoted him to be the man of resource in Angiers As a leader there John would seek to attach him to his person, rewarding him as the proposer of the match, attaching him to service as he had Faulconbridge, and acting magnetically towards him as he does toward King Philip. In accord with such probabilities drawn from Shakespeare's conduct of the Play are John's profession that his mother and he 'owe' Hubert 'much,' and that he has given them his 'voluntary oath,' and Hubert's reply that he is 'much bounden' to John, and Melloone's message to one Hubert with your King The propriety of giving into French hands the charge of Geffrey's son, and the scorn of the English lords for Hubert are in general agreement with the implication that John picked out for trust and preferment this clever and gentle Angevin, whose French name also suits it -[The same objections as were urged against Knight's explanation of Hubert's voluntary oath are equally applicable to Miss Porter's amplification of this point. John and Hubert's mutual protestations of friendship may be more probably referred to Hubert's loyalty and John's recognition of it The suspicion and hatred of the English lords is due to the same cause. The propriety of John's placing Arthur in the hands of a citizen of Angiers is not very apparent, John had but just defeated the French, and they were as much his enemies as Arthur of Bretagne Hubert de Burgh had long been in his councils and John knew he could be trusted therefore, albeit reluctantly, decide against the Folio text, and accept Collier's explanation —ED 1

345 Heralds, from off our towres, etc] JOHNSON These three speeches seem to have been laboured
The Citizen's is the best, yet 'both alike we like' is a poor gingle

347. equality] MALONE Our author ought rather to have written 'whose superiority,' or 'whose inequality,' cannot be censured

348 censured] MURRAY (N E. D, s v I) To form or give a 'censure' or opinion of, to estimate, judge of, pass judgement on, criticize, judge—MARSHALL. 'Censured' is generally explained as estimated, determined But does it not rather mean here questioned? The sense seems to be that the two armies have shown themselves to be so equally matched that the critizens cannot say which is the superior, as the speaker says below 'Both are alike, and both alike we like,'—1 351.

Strength matcht with strength, and power confronted 350 power,

Both are alike, and both alike we like
One must proue greatest While they weigh so euen,
We hold our Towne for neither yet for both.

Enter the two Kings with their powers, at severall doores

355

Iohn. France, hast thou yet more blood to cast away? Say, shall the current of our right rome on,

357

350 matcht] F₂, Fle match F₃ match'd Rowe et cet

power,] Ff power Rowe, Pope, + (-Var '73), Neils power Cap et cet

351 like] like. Cap et seq

352 greatest] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Neils greatest — Var '73 greatest, Sing Ktly, Huds. greatest Cap et cet

Scene v. Pope, Han. Warb. Johns 354, 355 Enter doores | Flourish Enter King John, and his Power, on one side, Bastard, Elinor, Blanch, &c On the other, King Philip, and French, Austria, and Lewis Capell Enter at one side King John, with his power, Elinor, Blanch, and the Bastard, at the

other, King Philip, Lewis, Austria, and Forces Malone, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll Wh 1, Huds 1, Del Re-enter, on one side King John, Elinor Blanch, the Bastard, Lords and Forces, on the other King Philip, Louis, Austria, and Forces Dyce, Hal. Sta Huds 11, Words Re-enter the two Kings, with their powers, severally Cam +, Neils Craig Enter. doores, [Elinor, Blanch, Bastard, Lewis and Austria] Fleay

356 blood] bloud F₂
357-362. Say Ocean] Om Dono
357. rome] runne F₂ roam Malone,
Var '21, Knt. Coll. 1, 11, Wh 1, Huds. 1,

Del run F₃F₄, Rowe et cet on,] on? Pope et seq

354, 355 Enter the two Kings . at severall doores] Collier calls attention to the simplicity of this stage-direction, remarking that 'it is worth preserving, on account of the manner in which the two armies, headed by their kings, are represented to come upon the stage'

357 rome on MALONE The editor of the Second Folio substituted runne. I do not perceive any need of change. In The Tempest we have 'the wandering brooks,' [IV, 1, 128. 'Wandering' is Steevens's emendation for windring of the original text, the adjectival participles are doubtless synonyms —ED]—STEEVENS. I prefer the reading of the Second Folio So in Henry V 'As many streams run into one self sea,' [I, ii, 209, the Qq reading] The King would rather describe his right as running on in a direct than in an irregular course, such as would be implied by the word 'roam'—KNIGHT Neither the poetry nor the sense appear to have gained by the fancied improvement [of the Second Folio].—SINGER (ed 11) I differ from Knight, for surely a current does not roam, but 'run right on' The whole context shows that this is the true reading .- DVCE suggests that the word in the MS. may have have been written 'ronne,' and in defense of the Second Folio reading compares 'And calmly run on in obedience Even to our ocean, to our great King John'-V, iv, 60-R. G. White 'Rome' might be an easy misprint of runne But it is to be observed that the comparison is to the current

Whose passage vext with thy impediment,	358
Shall leaue his natiue channell, and ore-fwell	
with course disturb'd euen thy confining shores,	360
Vnlesse thou let his siluer Water, keepe	

358 passage, Rowe, Pope,+
vext] vex'd Mal et seq
360 euen] ev'n Pope,+

361. Water,] F₂F₃ waters Coll III (MS), Wh 1, Dyce II, III, Huds II, Words water F₄, Rowe et cet

of a stream whose 'silver waters keep a peaceful progress to the ocean' Now such a stream does not run directly, but always roams about, and especially is this true in England, and if it be objected that Shakespeare's metaphors are rarely correspondent, the answer is that they sometimes are, and that according to authentic evidence here is one, at least, that is so And besides, Shakespeare evidently had in his mind's eye the same stream that furnished him with the comparison which he puts into Julia's mouth in the *Two Gentlemen*, in eight of the loveliest lines he ever wrote. The very details of the two pictures are alike, although the earlier is the more highly finished

'The current that with gentle murmur glides,
Thou know'st being stopped impatiently doth rage,
But when his fair course is not hindered,
He makes sweet music with th' enamell'd stones,
Giving a gentle kiss to every sedge
He overtaketh in his pilgrimage
And so by many winding nooks he strays
With willing sport to the wild ocean '—[II, vii, 25-34]

Is there in all literature a more marked instance of the use of the same thoughts twice? This passage forbids us to change the reading of the Folio—[Few will, I think, deny that White's comparison is very striking—Is it, however, hypercritical to point out that the present play antedates the Two Genilemen, and that, therefore, the passage quoted is an amplification of this in King John?—Ed]—Walker (Crit, i, 155), in a section devoted to illustrations of Ovid's influence on Shakespeare, quotes—'Sic ego torrentem, qua nil abstabat eunti'—Metam, ii, 568, remarking, 'Is it fanciful to suppose that this simile caught Shakespeare's fancy, and recurred to him on many occasions? Two Genilemen, II, vii, 25-34, Meas for Meas, III, 1, 249, Venus & Adoms, lvi, and Lucrece, xciii, clx'—Schmidt (Lex) quotes the present line, s v roam, and thus explains it 'Shall the current continue to overswell its banks, instead of remaining in its channel?'—Wright, in referring to this interpretation, says 'But an overflowing river which has broken its banks can hardly be said to "roam," and John implies that it has not left its native channel?

361 Water] R. G White There is no doubt that Collier's Folio in reading 'silver waters' corrects a trivial misprint. In Shakespeare's time, as well as in ours, the singular was not used except in speaking of water as a fluid, not as a body Thus the waters of the sea are blue, but sea-water is salt [Compare 'If by your art, my dearest father, you have Put the wild waters in this roar, allay them.'—Temp, I, ii, I; and. 'our garments... being rather new-dyed than stained with salt water '—Ibid., II, i, 62.]

A peacefull progresse to the Ocean. 362 Fra. England thou hast not fau'd one drop of blood In this hot triall more then we of France. Rather loft more And by this hand I fweare 365 That fwaves the earth this Climate ouer-lookes. Before we will lav downe our just-borne Armes. Wee'l put thee downe, 'gainst whom these Armes wee Or adde a royall number to the dead: (beare, Gracing the scroule that tels of this warres losse, 370 With flaughter coupled to the name of kings Bast. Ha Maiesty how high thy glory towres, When the rich blood of kings is fet on fire Oh now doth death line his dead chaps with steele, The fwords of fouldiers are his teeth, his phangs, 375

364 triall France, trial, France, Rowe et seq 365. Rather] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Fle Rather, Cap et cet more] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Ktlv. Sta Cam +, Fle Neils. more, Hal more Var '73 et cet 366 earth] earth, Fle ouer-lookes,] over-looks,- Cap Varr Rann Mal Steev Varr. Sing 367. lay downe] lay by Pope,+, Var 370 [croule] scroll Steev et sea 371 coupled] coupl'd Cap 372 Ha Maresty] Ff Ha! Majesty, Rowe, Pope, Han Ha! Majesty,-

Theob Warb Johns Var '73, Coll

362 Ocean] ocean Ktly, Fle

-Ha, Majesty! Ktly Ha. Majesty, Fle Ha, majesty! Cap et cet 372 towres] F2 tow'rs Wh 1 towers F₃F₄ et cet 373 fire] Ff fire Rowe, Wh 1. firel Pope et cet 374-377 Oh now of kings] Om. Dono 374. Oh now] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Fle Oh, now Theob Han Warb Johns. Var '78, '85, Rann Oh, how Var. '73. O' now Coll Sing 11, Wh 1, Huds 1, Del Craig Oh! now Ktly O, now Cap et cet 374, 375 steele, .phangs,] Ff steel; phangs, Theob steel, phangs; Rowe et cet

375 phangs] fangs Steev et sea

365. this hand! That is, Arthur's hand, which the King here holds aloft, that he does not swear by his own hand is shown by the next line —ED

366. Climate] WRIGHT: That is, region of the sky. Used also of a region of the earth in Rich II. 'O forfend it God, That in a Christian climate souls refined Should show as heinous, black, obscene a deed!'—IV, i, 130 [In this latter sense SCHMIDT (Lex, s v) quotes also '—they are portentous things Unto the climate that they point upon '—Jul Cas, I, ii, 31, and gives the present line as an exceptional use of this word in reference to a region of the sky—ED]

371 the name of kings] Deighton That is, with the record of the slaughter of kings, though the plural is used, the king refers to himself only

372 glory] WALKER (Crit, ui, 119) quotes the present line in illustration of 'glory' used in the sense of 'rounting, ut sape.'—Schmidt (Lex) does not, however, include that meaning among the several which are assigned to this word. He cites the present as an example of the meaning, splendor, magnificence.

376

And now he feafts, mouting the flesh of men In vndetermin'd differences of kings
Why stand these royall fronts amazed thus
Cry hauocke kings, backe to the stained field
You equall Potents, fierie kindled spirits,

380

376 moufing] mouthing Pope,+, Var '78, '85, Steev Var '03, '13 mouzing Cap mounching Orger

377 differences] diff'rences Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns

kings] kings — [Aside Ktly 378 amazed] amazèd Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

thus] thus? F₄.

379 Cry hauocke kings,] Ff Cry Havock, Kings, Rowe, Pope, Fle Cry havock, Kings, Theob Han Warb Johns Cry havock, kings! Var '73, Ktly, Huds Rlfe Cry 'havoc' Kings! Dyce 11, 111 Cry 'havoc!' kings, Cam

+, Words Craig Cry, havool kings Neils Cry, havook, kings! Cap et cet 379 stained] stained Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

380 equall Potents,] equal potent, Coll 11, 111 (MS) equal-potents, Sta Del Dono Craig equal-potent Walker, Dyce 11, 111, Huds 11, Words

fierse kindled spirits, Ff, Rowe firy-kindled spirits' Mal Steev Var '21 fire-ykindled spirits, Coll 11, 111 (MS) fiery kindled spirits! Cam + fire-enkindled Lettsom conj fiery-kindled spirits! Pope et cet

376 mousing Malone deprecates Pope's change of this word (see Text Notes), and in support of the Folio quotes 'Well moused, hon!'-Mid N Dream, V, 1, 274, and 'Whilst Troy was swilling sack and sugar, and mousing fat venison, the mad Greekes made bonefires of their houses'—Dekker, 1603, Wonderful Year, [ed. Grosart, p 101]. 'Mousing is, I suppose,' says Malone, 'mamocking, and devouring eagerly, as a cat devours a mouse'-Murray (N E D., s v. Mouse. vb, 3) gives two examples prior to the present line wherein the word bears this sense - Steevens, in justification of Pope, quotes 'First mouthed to be last swallowed '-Hamlet, IV, ii, 20, and adds 'Shakespeare designed no ridicule in this speech, and therefore did not write (as when he was writing the burlesque interlude of Pyramus and Thisbe) "mousing" -- MALONE, well seeing that this is but begging the question, replies 'Shakespeare is perpetually in the habit of using familiar terms and images in his most serious scenes' And in proof of this quotes from the present play 'Now for the bare-picked bone of majesty Doth dogged war bristle his angry crest '-IV, 11, 158, 'Have I not here the best cards for the game '-V, 11, 110, 'Unthread the rude eye of rebellion '-V, 11, 15 -[The Text Notes will show that Steevens is quite in the minority -ED]-IVOR JOHN A much better sense [than 'tearing as a cat tears a mouse'] is given by taking the more obvious meaning of gnawing, nibbling as a mouse does The 'Well moused Lion!' of Mid N Dream will also bear this interpretation

379. Cry hauocke] Johnson That is, command slaughter to proceed. So, in Jul Cas, 'Cry, havoc, and let slip the dogs of war'—[III, 1, 273 For illustrations of the use of this phrase, see note on above line from Jul Cas, this ed, p 161, where it is opined that 'to "cry havoc" was the prerogative of the Monarch.'—MURRAY (N E. D., s v Havoc, I) gives as a partial explanation of the origin that it is from the 'Anglo-French havok, altered in some way from Old French havot (c. 1150 in Du Cange havo), used in same sense, especially in phrase crier havot. Probably of Teutonic origin.'—ED]

380. Potents] Steevens That is, potentates. So, in Ane verie excellent and

Then let confusion of one part confirm	381
The others peace . till then, blowes, blood, and death.	
Iohn Whose party do the Townesmen yet admit,?	
Fra Speake Citizens for England, whose your king	
Hub. The king of England, when we know the king.	38 5
Fra. Know him in vs, that heere hold vp his right	
Iohn In Vs, that are our owne great Deputie,	
And beare possession of our Person heere,	
Lord of our presence Angiers, and of you	389

382 till 'till Rowe, Pope, Theob
Han Cap Varr Rann
death | Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob
Warb Johns Var '73, Ktly, Fle
death! Han et cet
384 Speake Citizens | Speak, citizens,

Johns et seq
384 whofe] who's Ff et seq
387 Deputie] Deputy F₂ Deputy

F₄
388 possession coll MS
380 of you of you F₂F₃

delectabil Treatise initialit Philotus, 1603 'Ane of the potentes of the town'— [Murray (N E D, s v sb² B 2) quotes the present line as the earliest example of 'Potent' used in the sense 'of one having authority, a power,' and gives but one other passage, dated 1642, wherein it is so used Steevens's somewhat indefinite reference is, I fear, open to suspicion—Lettsom (ap. Walker, Crit, 1, 28) notes that 'this is the only passage in which Shakespeare uses "potent" as a substantive.'—ED]—Collier 'Potents' may, as Steevens says, be put for potentiates, but by 'equal potents' the Bastard seems rather to mean that the victory being undecided, the two kings are equipotent—Kinnear (p. 192) 'Equal' is equivalent to equally,—equally potent is explained by 'undetermined differences,' l. 377 'Equal' is so used, Henry VIII I, i, 159, 'for he is equal ravenous As he is subtle' Equally potent is equivalent to equally prevailing in this undecided action, so the Crizen's speech 'whose equality By our best eyes cannot be censur'd' 'Equal potent' has no reference to the relative general potency of the two kings 'Potents' [in the Folio is] an instance of the misprint of adding a final s

380 fierie kindled] COLLIER (ed. ii) It is, we think, beyond dispute a restoration of the genuine language of the Poet to print the passage as in the corrected Folio [see Text Notes], meaning that the kings and their armies are equally strong and 'fire-ykindled,' not fiery-kindled—Ivor John I would suggest 'fury-kindled spirits' Compare. 'Or that enkindled fury turn to flame'—Edward III III, iii, 113, and, 'Wrath-kindled gentlemen, be ruled by me'—Rich II I, i, 152

388. beare possession of our Person] MOBERLY This may be a corruption for 'bur possession of our person' (or 'm our person'), that is, 'I in my own person bar the possession which you claim as Arthur's attorney', as a suitor would who by the remedy called 'assize' establishes his title to land by showing his own or his ancestor's possession of it (Blackstone, iii, 184) As this process was applicable in cases where the wrong done was (1) recent, (2) after the death of father or mother, brother or sister, uncle or aunt (Ibid., 185), the allusion suits the matter here in hand. The word bar, it may be remarked, occurs with peculiar frequency in this play—[This is a slight exaggeration, the word occurs in two passages—I, ii, 205 and III, i, 118.—ED]

390

Fra A greater powre then We denies all this,

390 Fra] Citi Rowe, Pope,+, Var
'78, '85 I C Capell I Cit Malone
et seq (subs)

powre] F₂ pow'r Pope, Theob

Han Warb. Johns. Wh 1 power F₃F₄ et cet
390 then] than F₄
We] ye Warb Theob Han
Johns Cap Var '73, '78, '85, Words

390-393 A greater powre then We .. Kings of our feare] THEOBALD We must certainly read, as Mr Warburton acutely observ'd to me 'A greater pow'r, than Ye, denies all this', 1 e, Tho' each of you pretend to be our rightful Kings, you are yet only so in swaying over our fears, in the terrors we have of you, not acknowledg'd Kings in our obedience - WARBURTON We should read 'than ye' What power was this? their fears It is plain, therefore, we should read 'Kings are our fears,'-1 e, our fears are the Kings which at present rule us -CAPELL (I, 1, p 123) That the citizens should be 'Kings of their fear, till their fears were depos'd' is a piece of evident nonsense, but, evident as it is, the certainty of its correction is more so, if 'depos'd' be reflected on For what is to be depos'd' why, their 'fears' their fears then are the 'Kings', and are and fears a true reading, spoil'd by printers And now we come at the sense of the words 'greater power', and, with it, the propriety of the correction that follows—ye for 'we' the city's fears' were [sic] that power, and a power so strong at that time that it made her set at defiance the power of both kings, till she could be satisfied rightly who was her king -[Theobald's better judgment was at times overborne by the remarks of his dogmatic correspondent, Warburton, but it is strange that an editor so conservative as Capell should commend, and adopt, the needless change of 'we' to ve In corroboration of the correctness of this line, compare 'A greater power than we can contradict Hath thwarted our intents.'-Rom & Jul, V, 111, 153-ED]-TOLLET 'A greater power than we' may mean, 'the Lord of hosts who has not yet decided the superiority of either army, and till it be undoubted the people of Angiers will not open their gates' Secure and confident as lions, they are not at all afraid, but are kings, 1 e, masters and commanders of their fears, until their fears or doubts about the rightful King of England are removed -Tyrwhitt-Dr Warburton saw what was requisite to make this passage sense, and Dr Johnson, rather too hastily, I think, has received his alteration into the text. As the same sense may be obtained by a much slighter alteration [than Warburton's] I am more inclined to read 'King'd of our fears', King'd is used as a participle passive by Shakespeare more than once I believe The Dauphin says of England 'she is so idly king'd '-Henry V. II, iv, 26 It is scarcely necessary to add that 'of' here (as in numberless other places) has the signification of by -Malone 'King'd of our fears'; 1 e, our fears being our kings or rulers. King'd is again used in Richard II. 'Then am I king'd again '-[V, v, 36] It is manifest that the passage in the old copy is corrupt, and that it must have been so worded that their fears should be styled their kings or masters, and not they kings or masters of their fears, because in the next line mention is made of these fears being deposed Tyrwhitt's emendation produces this meaning by a very slight alteration, and is, therefore, I think, entitled to a place in the text. The following passage in our author's Lucrece strongly, in my opinion, confirms his conjecture 'So shall these slaves [Tarquin's unruly passions] be kings, and thou their slave,' [l. 659]. Again, in King Lear 'It seems she was a queen Over her passion, who, most rebelAnd till it be vindoubted, we do locke

Our former fcruple in our ftrong barr'd gates:

Kings of our feare, vintill our feares refolu'd

Be by fome certaine king, purg'd and depos'd.

Bast. By heauen, these scroyles of Angiers flout you 395 And stand securely on their battelments, (kings,

391 And] And, Cap Varr Rann Mal Steev Varr Sing till] 'Itll Rowe, Pope, + 392 ftrong barr'd] strong-barr'd Pope et seq gates] gates Pope, + gates, — Ktly 393, 394 Kings depos'd] In margin Pope, Han Om Dono 393 Kings of our feare,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Fle Neils Kings of our fears, — Theob Var '78, '85 Kings are our fears, — Warb Johns Cap Var '73 Kings, of our fear, Knt, Sta Del Kings of our fear, Coll 1 King'd of our fear,

Dyce 1, Coll 11, 111, Hal Wh 1, Huds 1
Kings of our fear! Ktly Kings of our
selves, Del conj Craig King'd of our
fears Tyrwhitt, Mal et cet
393 feares refolu'd] fears, resolv'd,
Cap et seq
394 king, king F₃F₄ et seq
purg'd depos'd] purged deposed
Fle
395 heauen] Heav'n Rowe, Pope,
Theob Han Warb Fle
thefel the Warb. Johns
fcroyles] scroils Hal
you kings, you, kings, Cap et

willed that the battle should be so decisive as you each maintain '-WRIGHT Tyrwhitt's conjecture [18] unquestionably the true reading, as the context shows The Folio has 'Kings of our fear' It is evident, however, that the citizens were not masters of their fear, but were overpowered by it, and resolved to acknowledge no other sovereign till it was allayed by the appearance of the rightful king. Knight, adopting the words, but changing the punctuation of the Folios, gratified his conservatism by a reading which is not, indeed, nonsense, but has no point.—DAWSON. The Folio reading does not give a satisfactory meaning, because the Citizens were not masters of their fears, but were mastered by them. Their fear of admitting the wrong king is to serve as their interim king—Deigeton. Tollet thought that the 'greater power' might mean the Lord of Hosts, but, surely, the 'greater power' is their fears. The sense of the passage will be. Owing allegiance to our fears. recognising them only as the masters we must obey, until those masters are deposed, those fears resolved, by one or other of you proving himself our King-[Deighton is, I think, correct in rejecting Tollet's interpretation Reference to the Lord of Hosts is, here, quite irrelevant, what follows shows that their fear is that greater power I am also strongly inclined to accept Staunton's excellent suggestion that the phrase 'Kings of our fear' refers not to the Citizens themselves, but to the strong-barred gates This is further commendable since it avoids all necessity for either Warburton's or Tyrwhitt's alteration -Ep 1

395 Bast. By heaven . . kings] Moberly This burst of passion is exactly like Cœur-de-Lion's fierce threat to hang all the garrison of Chaluz down to the children in arms

395 scroyles] CRAIGIE (N. E D) A scoundrel wretch (The conjecture that it is from Old French escroele, scrofulous sore, is not quite satisfactory as to form, and the assumed development of sense, though plausible, has no evidence.)—Craigie (N. E. D.) quotes the present line as the earliest example; also

As in a Theater, whence they gape and point At your industrious Scenes and acts of death Your Royall presences be rul'd by mee, Do like the Mutines of Ierusalem,

397

400

398 industrious lilustrious Cap cons Mal cons (withdrawn) 399 Your presences You presences, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb 399 mee,] me — Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Words me Ktly me, Sta 400 Do] Do, Hal

Jonson, Poetaster, 1601 'I cry mercy (my good scrolle) was 't thou '—IV, 111, 35 — STEEVENS likewise quotes from Jonson, Every Man in his Humour, 'Hang them, scroyles! there's nothing in them in the world '—I, 1

398 industrious Scenes] STEEVENS That is, your laborious industry of war So in Macbeth '—and put we on Industrious soldiership '—[V, iv, 15]

399 Your Royall presences] CAPELL (I, 1, 124) A tenderness has been shown in this passage that we should have dispensed with, for though let may be understood before 'Your,' and a sense struck out that way, yet the passage wants the ease in this reading that moderns have given it by making 'Your' You, and printing 'presences' vocatively [See Text Notes] 'Presence' and 'presences' are words very indefinite, as that critic will find who shall go about to interpret them in I, 1, 145, in 389 above, and in this passage with the precision expected personages may do for the latter, but for the former, person (the only word that occurs) serves very inadequately

the seditious So in Hamlet '—and lay Worse than the mutines in the bilboes' —[V, 11, 6] Our Author had probably read the following passages in A Compendious and Most Marvellous History of the Latter Times of the Jewes Common-Weale, &c, written in Hebrew by Joseph Ben Gorion,—translated into English by Peter Morwyng, 1575 'The same year the civil wars grew and increased in Jerusalem; for the citizens slew one another without any truce, let or quietnesse. The people were divided into three parties, whereof the first and best followed Anani, the high-priest, another part followed seditious Jehochanan, the third, most cruel Schimeon.

. Betweene these three there were also most cruel battailes for the space of four daies. Titus' campe was about six furlongs from the towne The next morrowe they of the towne seeing Titus to be encamped upon Mount Olivet, the captaines of the seditious assembled together, and fell at argument every man with another, intending to turn their cruelty upon the Romaines, confirming and ratifying the same atonement and purpose, by swearing one to another, and so became peace amongst them Wherefore joyning together, that were before three severall parts, they set open the gates, and all the best of them issued out with an horrible noyse and shoute, that they made the Romaines afraide withall, in such wise that they fled before the seditious, which sodainly did set upon them unawares' This allusion is not found in the old play -Collier. Joseph Ben Gorion's Historie [was] translated by Peter Morwyng, and originally published, not, as Malone states, in 1575, but in 1558 Henslowe, in his Diary, mentions a play to which he gives the title of Titus and Vespasian, under date April, 1591, perhaps relating to the siege of Jerusalem, in which the combination of the 'mutines of Jerusalem' might form an incident [Since Collier, in his second edition, omits this con-

Be friends a-while, and both conionntly bend Your sharpest Deeds of malice on this Towne.	401
By East and West let France and England mount.	
Their battering Canon charged to the mouthes,	
Till their foule-fearing clamours haue braul'd downe	405
The flintie ribbes of this contemptuous Citie,	
I'de play inceffantly vpon thefe Iades,	
Euen till vnfenced defolation	
Leaue them as naked as the vulgar ayre.	
That done, diffeuer your vnited strengths,	410
And part your mingled colours once againe,	
Turne face to face, and bloody point to point	412

401 a-while] F₂ awhile Coll Dyce, Hal Wh. Huds Cam +, Del Fle Words Craig a while F₃F₄, Rowe et cet
402 Towne] town Cap Varr Rann, Mal Steev Varr town, Hal
403 mount] mount Ff
404 battering] batt'ring Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns
charged] chargèd Dyce, Sta Fle Huds 11, Words
mouthes,] mouths, Theob Warb Johns Cap Varr Rann, Mal Steev Varr Ktty

405 braul'd] brawl'd Cap et seq
406 Cite,] Ff city. Rowe, Pope,+,
Ktly, Rlfe, Neils city, Hal city Cap.
et cet
407 Iades,] jades, Rowe, Pope,+,
Cap
408 Euen] Ev'n Fle
vnfenced] unfencèd Dyce, Fle.
Huds 11, Words
409 ayre | air Pope et seq
411 againe,] Ff, Pope, Sta Fle.
again Rowe again, Theob et cet
412 point | point Pope,+.

jecture as to the source of Shakespeare's knowledge of the incident, it may, I think, be considered as withdrawn—ED]—Wright says that Josephus, in his Jewish War (v, 6, § 4), gives an account of the manner in which the leaders of the factions in Jerusalem ceased their assaults upon each other to combine in resisting the Roman attack, but as no translation of Josephus into English appears to have existed before 1602, Shakespeare might have derived his knowledge from Morwyng's translation, as Malone has shown

405 soule-fearing] That is, soul-affrighting For other examples of 'fear' used in the active sense, see SCHMIDT (Lex, s v vb 2)

405 braul'd downe] Is there, possibly, here a faint suggestion of a reference to the walls of Jericho thrown down by the clamour of the trumpets of Joshua? 'So the people shouted, when they had blowen trumpets for when the people had heard the sounde of the trumpet, they shouted with a great shoute and the wal fell downe flat so the people went up into the citie, every man streight before him and they tooke the citie.'—Joshua, vi, 20, Geneva Vers.—ED

409. naked as . . . ayre] That is unarmed, defenceless. Compare. 'Look in upon me then and speak with me, Or, naked as I am, I will assault thee '— Othello, V, ii, 258

412. point to point] Compare 'Point against point rebellious, arm 'gainst arm.'—Macbeth, I, ii, 56.

[ACT II, SC i.
413
415

Then in a moment Fortune shall cull forth Out of one side her happy Minion,

THE LIFE AND DEATH

To whom in fauour she shall give the day,

132

To whom in fauour the thall give the day, And kiffe him with a glorious victory.

How like you this wilde counfell mighty States,

Smackes it not fomething of the policie

Iohn. Now by the sky that hangs aboue our heads,

I like it well France, shall we knit our powres,

And lay this Angiers euen with the ground,

Then after fight who shall be king of it?

Bast And if thou hast the mettle of a king,

Being wrong'd as we are by this peeuish Townc

Turne thou the mouth of thy Artillerie,

As we will ours, against these sawcie walles,

And when that we have dash'd them to the ground,

427

425

420

413 Then moment] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Fle Then, moment, Cap et cet 414 side] side, F4

Minion, Minion F₃F₄, Rowe i minion, Theob Han Warb Cap Varr Rann Mal Steev Varr. Sing Knt Ktly, Sta Neils minion, Fle

416 victory] victory Rowe et seq 417 States,] states? Pope et seq 418 Smackes policie Om. Pope,

Han

policie] policy? Ff

420 well] well — Cap Varr Rann

Mal. Steev. Varr Sing Knt, Sta

powres] powers Ff pow'rs, Pope,

+ (-Var '73), Wh 1.

422 Then after] Ff, Rowe, Cam +,
Fle Neils Craig Then after, Pope,
Theob Han. Then, after, Warb et

cet

423 And if Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Fle Dono An if Cap. et cet

king, l king, — [to Phi Capell

424 Being .. Townc] —Being town— Ktly

wrong'd are] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +,
Coll Wh Huds Cam +, Dono
wrong'd, are, Cap et cet

Townc] Fr

426. walles, walls, Pope et seq 427 dash'd dasht Fle.

418. the policie] FLEAY Not elsewhere in Shakespeare with the definite article. The politic art, the art of Machiavel—Ivor John quotes two passages from Middleton's Roaring Girl and one from Webster's Vittoria Corombona to show that this word policy, in 'Elizabethan plays, denotes crafty dealings.' [So it does in those passages quoted by John, but Murray (N E D, s. v Policy, sb. 3) gives a number of quotations wherein this word means merely political sagacity, expediency, as well as cunning, craft Here 'policy,' I think, means only strategy, as suggested by Fleay and Wright Crafty dealing conveys an idea of underhandedness or secrecy which is quite lacking in the open proposal of Faulconbridge in the hearing both of the kings and the citizens.—ED —Wright That is, the policy which is so much thought of. Compare 'O, 'tis the curse in love'—Two Gentlemen, V, iv, 43. [For other examples of this use of 'the' used to denote notoriety, see, if needful, Abbott, § 92.]

428 Why then other, and Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han Cam +, Neils Why then, other, and, Theob Why then other, and, Johns Var '73 Why, then other, and, Coll Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Del Words Dono Why, then other, and, Warb 429 selues,] selves F3F4, Rowe, Pope, + (-Var '73), Coll Hal Wh 1 heauen] Heav'n Rowe, Pope,+ (-Var '73) 430 fo fay,] Ff, Rowe, Pope.+ so -Say, Cap Mal Steev Varr

Iohn

Ault

Sing Knt, Huds 1 so -Say, Coll et cet 431 destruction] destruction Fle. 434 Thunder] thunders Cap conj Wh Ktly, Dyce 11, 111, Huds. 11, Words 436-438 Bast O prudent away] In margin Pope, Han Aside Cap. Ktly, Huds Del Dono. 437, 438 Austria to it Aside Varr Rann Mal Steev Varr Sing. Knt, Coll Dyce, Hal Wh. 1, Sta. Fle

428, 429 Why then .. or hell] In the earlier play, Richard III, there is a couplet somewhat similar 'March on, join bravely, let us to 't pell-mell If not to heaven, then hand in hand to hell '—V, iii, 312

420 Make workel SCHMIDT (Lex., s v. Make, 3) gives many examples wherein the verb 'make' is joined in periphrastical way to various substantives to denote the performance of the respective action, and under the division 'make work' he cites, besides the present line, seven examples from Coriol In five of these occur the words 'make good' or 'fair work', and in each case the phrase seems to have a direct reference to feats of arms, for example, 'List what work he makes Amongst your cloven army '-I, iv, 20 Again 'Alone I fought And made what work I pleased '-I, viii, o And it is, perhaps, in this sense that the words are here used by Faulconbridge Compare also 1 321 above, which is likewise cited by Schmidt 'Who by the hand of France this day Hath made much work for tears,' etc -ED.

436. O prudent discipline] TALBOT The Poet has made Faulconbridge forget that he had made a similar mistake (See II 403, 404)—C & M. COWDEN Clarke defend Shakespeare of this charge of forgetfulness, rather considering that this is 'One of Shakespeare's ironical phrases The speaker has just before slily suggested this very course of firing from opposite quarters, and now rejoices to see his suggestion blindly adopted.'—Moore Smith, in reply to Talbot's note, says 'But the two cases are not parallel, France and Austria being allies, and England and France enemies.'

Ile stirre them to 1t Come, away, away.	438
Hub Heare vs great kings, vouchfafe awhile to ftay	
And I shall shew you peace, and faire-fac'd league	440
Win you this Citie without stroke, or wound,	
Refcue those breathing lives to dye in beds,	
That heere come facrifices for the field	
Perfeuer not, but heare me mighty kings	
Iohn. Speake on with fauour, we are bent to heare	445
Hub That daughter there of Spaine, the Lady Blanch	
Is neere to England, looke vpon the yeeres	447

438 Ile] I'le F₄

to it] to't Sing 1 to it — Coll Dyce, Hall Wh Ktly, Huds Cam +, Del Rife, Words Dono Neils Craig

Come, away, away] Ff, Fle come away, away Rowe, Pope, Han come, away! away! Coll 11 come, away, away! Theob et cet

[Enter Elmor and Blanche.] Donovan

439 kings,] Ff, Rowe kings! Sing Coll u, Ktly, Huds Neils kings, Pope et cet

awhile] F₂F₃, Dyce, Hal Cam +, Del. a while F₄ et cet

440 faire-fac'd] fair-faced Steev Varr Knt, Cam +, Fle 440 league] Ff, Hal Wh Ktly, Sta league Rowe et cet

443 field] Ff, Coll Neils field, Rowe et cet

444 Perseuer] Persevere F3F4, Rowe,

Pope, Han Rann
445 Speake on with fauour,] Ff
Speak on, with favour Rowe, Pope, Han
Rann Mason (Com, p 155) Speak on
with favour, Cam +, Fle Neils Craig

Speak on, with favour, Theob et cet 447 neere] neece Coll 11, in (MS), Sing 11, Dyce, Wh Ktly, Cam +, Del Huds 11, Words Dono Neils

England,] Ff, Rowe. England.

Del Dono Neils England, Pope et cet.

447 neere to England STEEVENS The Lady Blanche was daughter to Alphonso IX, King of Castile, and was niece to King John by his sister Eleanor —Collier (Notes & Emend, etc, p 202) The MS. Corrector tells us, naturally enough, to read 'Is mece to England' This is unquestionably right, and the mistake was readily made, we only wonder that it was not till now corrected -Singer (Sh Vind, p 84). The correction of 'near' to niece is quite legitimate and undoubted on all accounts -[In his second edition Singer follows this correction, remarking that 'the error is an easy one.' 'No doubt of it,' replies Collier in his second edition, 'and so are many other errors which, till pointed out in the corr fo 1632, neither Mr Singer nor any other editor during the last century and a half thought of setting right '-If any justification be sought for such a personal and wholesale attack by Collier it may be found in the fact that this is one of the very few MS. corrections which Singer, in his volume, accepted half-heartedly, treating the majority with severe censure and thinly veiled hints of grave doubt as to their validity — Ed]—Anon. (New Readings, etc., Blackwood's Maga., Sept , 1853, p 304). For 'near' the MS correction is niece But the Lady Blanch is repeatedly, throughout the play, spoken of as mece to King John and the Queen Mother. Therefore, if for no other reason than that of varying the expression, we must give our suffrage most decidedly in favour of the original reading. 'Near to England' of course means nearly related to England, and it seems much more

448

450

Of Lewes the Dolphin, and that louely maid.

If luftie loue should go in quest of beautie,

Where should he sinde it fairer, then in Blanch

448 Lewes] Lewis Ff Louis Dyce, Dauphin Rowe et cet (passim)
Hal Wh Huds 11, Words
Dolphin] Ff, Wh Ktly, Fle 450 then] than Ff

natural, as well as more poetical, that the Citizen should speak in this general way of Lady Blanch, than that he should condescend on her particular degree of relationship, and style her the 'niece to England'-DYCE (ed 11) unhestitatingly accepts the MS correction for the very same reasons that prompt its rejection by the anonymous writer in Blackwood, 1 e, that the Lady Blanch is repeatedly referred to as the niece of King John Dyce adds 'Lest some over-subtle critic should object to this very slight alteration, on the ground that the Folio gives "neece" [in other passages] with a capital letter and "neere" without one, I may observe that, as a matter of course, the compositor would not use a capital letter for a word which he had erroneously supposed to be an adjective '--KEIGHTLEY (Expositor, p 221) In Two Gentlemen we have 'An heir and niece allied unto the Duke '-IV, 1, 49 -[This is Keightley's justification of the present reading of the Folio, but it is not, I think, quite to the point The line from Two Gentlemen reads, in the Folio, 'And heire and Neece, allied unto the Duke' The first 'And' is corrected in the 3d Folio, and Theobald, who made the change of 'Neece' to near, remarked, pertinently, that 'Shakespeare would not have been guilty of such tautology as to say that the lady was a niece and allied to the Duke', but this objection does not apply to the present line in King John, no other relationship is mentioned Keightley is to be commended for adhering to the Folio text, but his reason for so doing is unfortunate -ED |-Miss Porter Why is this expression for the niece already introduced, and here spoken of as held dear by John, not better in this place than the repetition, Neece? It seems to be an utterly needless change [The opinion expressed in the last sentence is quite in accord with that of the present ED 1

448 the Dolphin] R G WHITE So the Folio invariably, whenever this title occurs, either in this or any other of these plays, and so the Chronicles and all the contemporary literature, the old French word, too, was not Dauphin, but Daulphin This is consequently not an old irregular spelling (which, indeed, it could not be), but an old English form of the title, which, therefore, an editor has not the right to change And, indeed, there is no more cogent reason for calling Louis the Dauphin, than for calling Philip the Roi of France, except the usage of the present day, with which we have not to do With the modern form of the title Talbot's punning sneer, 'Pucelle or puzzel, Dolphin or dog-fish' (I Henry VI I, IV), would be utterly pointless [See Dram Personæ, s v Lewis note by Frence]

449-453 If lustic loue .. of birth] RUSHTON (Sh and The Arte of Eng Poe, p 135) quotes these lines in illustration of what Puttenham calls 'Symploche or the Figure of Reply'—'In the works of many of the authors of Shakespeare's time,' says Rushton, 'this form of Repetition appears It is very old Homer makes use of it in the Iliad, xiv, 317' As other examples from Shakespeare he gives: Rich III V, III, 255-262, Lucrece, Il 736-749 [See Appendix: Criticism, Brandes]

If zealous loue should go in fearch of vertue,

Where should he finde it purer then in Blanch?

If loue ambitious, sought a match of birth,

Whose veines bound richer blood then Lady Blanch?

Such as she is, in beautie, vertue, birth,

Is the yong Dolphin euery way compleat,

If not compleat of, say he is not shee,

And she againe wants nothing, to name want,

If want it be not, that she is not hee

459

451 fhould] Om Ff
452 then] than Ff
454 blood] bloud F,
then] than F₄
Blanch] Blanch' Walker (Vers,

266) 457-463 If not in him] Om Words. Dono

457 compleat of, fay] compleat of,—say Theob compleat, ohl say, Han Warb Johns Cap. Varr. Rann Mal Steev Varr Sing. i, Sta Dyce, ii, iii,

Coll 111, Marsh complete of, say, Knt, Coll 1, 11, Sing 11, Ktly, Del Fle. complete ihem, say Moberly conj of way complete Herr complete so, say Kinnear

458 to name want] (to name want)
Theob Warb Johns Var '73
459 not, that] but that Jervis, Lettsom Huds 11 that not Herr
hee] he. Rowe, Pope, +, Var '85,
Ktly

451 zealous] JOHNSON 'Zealous' seems here to signify prous, or influenced by motives of religion [SCHMIDT (Lex, s v zealous) quotes other examples besides the present line where 'zealous' conveys the idea of religious piety Sonnet xxvii, 6, All's Well, III, 1v, 11, Richard III III, vii, 94]

457-463 If not . . . in him] Wordsworth (1, 436), in justification of his omission, says 'These lines appear so unworthy of Shakespeare, even as put into the mouth of a citizen, that I was unwilling to retain them in the text'

457-459 If not compleat of ... not hee] PYE (p 139) I cannot but think these lines, so disgraceful to a most beautiful passage, are the interpolation of some person who could not reconcile the Dauphin being complete with his being only the half part of a blessed man, and so inserted this stuff to make up the deficiency, whereas the word 'complete' is used here by no very uncommon irregularity of our Poet for completely; the meaning of these lines is that the Dauphin was as completely endowed with beauty, virtue, and birth as the Lady Blanch, but for both to be as completely happy as they are completely accomplished they must each possess their counterpart in marriage

457 compleat of, say Knight Hanmer's change, 'O say,' is to substitute the language of the eighteenth century for that of the sixteenth—Collier The meaning is that if the Dauphin be not complete of, or in, these qualities, it is merely because he is not Blanch—[Hudson's interpretation and that of the Cowden Clarkes is substantially the same as Collier's, in his second ed Hudson rejects the Folio reading, remarking that it 'can hardly be made to yield any sense at all', for the word 'of' he substitutes then, adding, 'The context naturally suggests this reading, but possibly we ought to read "If not complete he, say he is not she" "—WRIGHT, following Hanmer, says 'The misprint is a very easy one, and no parallel use of "of" has, so far as I am aware, been found'

He is the halfe part of a bleffed man,	460
Left to be finished by such as shee,	
And she a faire divided excellence,	
Whose fulnesse of perfection lyes in him	
O two fuch filuer currents when they 10yne	
Do glorifie the bankes that bound them in	465
And two fuch shores, to two fuch streames made one,	
Two fuch controlling bounds shall you be, kings,	
To these two Princes, if you marrie them.	
This Vnion shall do more then batterie can	
To our fast closed gates for at this match,	470
With fwifter spleene then powder can enforce	
The mouth of passage shall we sling wide ope,	
And give you entrance but without this match,	
The sea enraged is not halfe so deafe,	
Lyons more confident, Mountaines and rockes	475

460, 461 bleffed fins/hed] blessèd finshed Dyce, Huds 11, Fle Words 461 as shee] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Coll 1, Ktly, Cam +, Del Neils a She Thirlby, Theob Coll 11 (MS) et cet 462 faire divided] fair-divided Walker (Crit, 1, 35)
464 O two] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob 1 Oh! Two Theob 11, Warb Johns Var '73 Ol two Han Coll Wh 1, Huds 1, Del Craig Oh, two Var. '78, '85, Rann. O, two Cap et cet

468 them] them Pope et seq
469 then] then Ff
can] Coll Dyce, Wh Cam +,
Del Neils can, Ff et cet
470 fast closed] fast-closed Theob et
seq fast-closed Dyce, Huds n, Fle.
Words Dono
471 speene] speed Pope, Herr
then enforce] than enforce, Ff.
474 enraged] enraged Dyce, Huds n,
Fle Words Dono
475, 476 more More] so So Pope,
+, Cap

463 perfection lyes in him] Rolfe For the idea that woman was completed, or perfected by marriage, compare Twelfth Night, I, 1, 38 et seq, and II, iv, 42 See also Lord Berners's translation of Froissart 'My daughter should be happy if she might come to so great a perfection as to be enjoined in marriage with the Earl of Guerles', Overbury, The Wife 'Marriage their object is, their being then, And now perfection, they receive from men,' [Capell's Prolusions, p 4,] and Donne, Epithalamium 'Weep not, nor blush, here is no grief nor shame, To-day put on perfection, and a woman's name,' [ed Grosart, p 275]

470 at this match] JOHNSON I am loath to think that Shakespeare meant to play with the double of 'match' for nupital, and the 'match' of a gun—[To Johnson, in his immortal Preface, we are indebted for the trenchant phrase that A quibble was for Shakespeare the fatal Cleopatra for which he lost the world, and was content to lose it—ED]

471 swifter spleene] THEOBALD. That is, with a passion of desire more swift in its influence than your fire and fury can compel us to The Poet uses this word again afterwards in this play in the very same sense 'Oh, I am scalded with my violent motion And spleen of speed to see your majesty '—[V, vii, 56].

More free from motion, no not death himfelfe In mortall furie halfe fo peremptorie,

476

As we to keepe this Citie Bast. Heeres a stay,

479

476 ftom motion] F_r
no not] no, not Theob et seq
-no, not Ktly
478 Citie] city [The Kings, &c, talk

apart. Coll 111
479 ftay] ftaw Johns conj Huds 11
say Sing 11 (Becket) story or storm
Spedding (ap Cam) style Vaughan

479 Heeres a stay JOHNSON I cannot but think that every reader wishes for some other word in the place of 'stay,' which though it may signify an hindrance, or man that hinders, is yet very improper to introduce the next line. I read 'Here's a flaw' That is, here is a gust of bravery, a blast of menace This suits well with the spirit of the speech 'Stay' and flaw, in a careless hand, are not easily distinguished, and if the writing was obscure, flaw being a word less usual, was easily missed —Steevens (Var, 1778) Perhaps the force of the word 'stay' is not exactly known. I meet with it in Damon & Pythias, 1582. 'Not to prolong my lyfe thereby, for which I reckon not this, But to set my things in a stay'-[Haz Dods, 1v, 54] Perhaps by a 'stay,' in this instance, is meant a steady posture Shakespeare's meaning may therefore be 'Here's a steady, resolute fellow, who shakes,' etc A 'stay,' however, seems to have been meant for something active in the following passage in the 6th Canto of Drayton's Baron's Wars 'Oh could ambition apprehend a stay, The giddy course it wandereth in, to guide' Again, in The Faerie Queene 'Till riper years he raught, and stronger stay'-II, x, [20] Perhaps the metaphor is from navigation Thus, in Chapman's version of the tenth book of Homer's Odyssey 'Our ship lay anchor'd close, nor needed we Feare harm on any stays,' [1 123] A marginal note adds 'For being cast on the states, as ships are by weather ' [In all subsequent editions Steevens, wisely I think, omits this last conjectural explanation which has no possible bearing on the use of 'stay' in the present line in King John In its place he follows the quotation from Spenser, with this amplification 'Shakespeare, therefore, who uses wrongs for wrongers, &c, might have used a "stay" for a stayer Churchyard, in his Stege of Leeth, 1575, having occasion to speak of a trumpet that sounded to proclaim a truce, says "This staye of warre made many men to muse"-[ed. Chalmers, p. 92] I am therefore convinced that the first line of Faulconbridge's speech needs no emendation '-It is to be regretted that Steevens has not furnished an example wherein Shakespeare uses wrongs for wrongers, if there be such it has escaped the vigilant eyes of both SCHMIDT (Lex) and Abbott Steevens's quotation from Churchyard is certainly apposite to the present passage, whether 'stay' be taken to mean stayer or pause. His complete rejection of Johnson's emendation was doubtless withheld during the lifetime of his greater co-editor -Ep]-MALONE. 'Stay,' I apprehend, here signifies a supporter of a cause Here's an extraordinary partizan, that shakes, &c. So, in this play 'What surety of the world, what hope, what stay '-V, vii, 76 Again, in 3 Henry VI 'Now thou art gone, we have no staff, no stay '-[II, 1, 69]. Again, in Rich III: 'What stay had I, but Edward, and he's gone'-[II, ii, 74] Again, in Davies's Scourge of Folly, 1611. 'England's fast friend, and Ireland's constant stay.'-[Epigram 189, ed Grosart, p. 29] It is observable that partican, in like manner, though now generally used to signify an adherent to a party, originally meant a pike or

[479 Heeres a stay]

halberd Perhaps, however, our Author meant by the words, 'Here's a stay' Here's a fellow, who whilst he makes a proposition as a stay or obstacle, to prevent the effusion of blood, shakes, &c The Citizen has just said 'Hear us, great Kings, vouchsafe a while to stay And I shall show you peace ' It is, I conceive, no objection to this interpretation that an impediment or obstacle could not shake death, &c, though the person who endeavored to stay or prevent the attack of the two kings might Shakespeare seldom attends to such minutia. But the first explanation seems to me more probable -Mason (Comments, etc., p. 155) I have no doubt but Johnson is right in reading flaw instead of 'stay' Steevens says that possibly by 'stay' is meant a steady posture But I don't see how a steady posture could shake the carcase of death out of his rags —[Steevens evidently felt the force of Mason's objection, since this explanation is omitted in his own edition of 1793 and subsequent ones -ED |-KNIGHT Malone and Steevens have two pages to prove, what requires no proof, that 'stay' means interruption -SINGER, without assigning his authority, more suo, adopts Malone's first explanation, that 'stay' here means a supporter of a cause He speaks in commendation of the conjecture say (Becket's, by the way, though Singer does not give the name), and adopts it in his ed ii . remarking in a note 'The context shows that "stay" was a mere misprint for say What follows, "Here's a large mouth, indeed, that spits forth death," etc., is, I think, quite conclusive Mr Knight does not tell us how interruption could "shake old death out of his rags" A vehement speaker Shakespeare has elsewhere described as tearing "a passion to tatters, to very rags" And in a future scene in similar language Constance says "O that my tongue were in the thunder's mouth. Then with a passion would I shake the world And rouse from sleep that fell anatomy Which cannot hear a lady's feeble voice "' -CARTWRIGHT (New Readings, p. 15) also suggests this emendation, but 'after a six-months' ecstasy over this word say, so apposite and so characteristic of the dashing, rollicking speaker,' finds he is 'anticipated and the emendation rejected by at least one editor '-[It would, I think, be nearer the mark to say that it had been accepted by at least one, thus far Singer is the only editor who has admitted the word to his text —ED]—COLLIER (ed ii). We cannot see the necessity for changing 'stay' to any other word, least of all, to say, which Shakespeare never uses as a substantive Sir Roger Lestrange, according to our dictionaries, was the first to employ say in that manner If we made any, it might be to story, which, as Mr W Williams suggests, was easily misprinted 'stay', but no emendation whatever is called for What the Bastard refers to is the pause and silence naturally occasioned by the unexpected speech of the Citizen, which induced all parties to gaze upon each other The Bastard ought not to begin speaking until the two Kings have stayed for some little time—Verplanck As the Citizens have just before asked the kings to 'stay,' the Bastard ridicules their proposed 'stay' being accompanied by so many bold and big words - Delius 'Stay,' in the sense of an interruption, obstruction, is here evidently used for interrupter, inasmuch as the Citizen, by his proposition, opposes the project of the two kings -STAUNTON: 'Stay,' if that be the Poet's word, is used, we suppose, in the sense of a sudden check or obstacle. It may not be the most suitable expression to introduce the following line; but it appears at least as good as flow or say, which have been proposed to supersede it -WALKER (Crit, ii, 294). Johnson's flaw is indisputably right, flawe-stay is like the error in Romeo & Juliet, II, i, fol , p. 59, col.

[470 Heeres a stay]

I, 'Prouant, but Loue and day' for 'Pronounce but Loue and doue'-C & M COWDEN CLARKE The word 'stay' has been objected to here, but we think it is not only far better than either of the substitutions proposed, but that it conveys the sense intended to be conveyed The Citizen has previously said, 'Vouchsafe a while to stay', that is, to restrain yourselves, to hold your hands, to forbear, consequently he is banteringly called a 'stay,' in the sense of a restraint, or prudent restrainer Spenser and Bacon use the word 'stay' in the sense of staid judgment, wise discretion, and Phillipps also has a passage aptly showing that it bore this signification 'With prudent stay he long deferr'd the rough contention' Elsewhere, when Shakespeare uses the word as a noun, he employs it in the sense of a prop, support, therefore, masmuch as the Citizen is upholding the cause of the city, and vindicating its firm resolution, the epithet 'stay' has double force of propriety That a restraint and a support should be personified sufficiently to be supposed capable of shaking 'the rotten carcass of old Death' is not beyond that which is permitted to the license of poetry in figurative language - Lettsom (ap Dyce, 11) 'Stay' is perhaps the last word that could have come from Shake-Steevens and Malone defend it by the customary argument A crowd of ordinary writers have used 'stay' properly, therefore Shakespeare must have used it improperly -Forsyth (p 110) We suspect that the word in the text, along with the words suggested as substitutes, are all wrong, and that Shakespeare wrote storm, in the sense of a hurricane of high-flown verbiage, which agrees with the remainder of the passage [Forsyth is herein anticipated by Spedding See Text Notes |-FLEAY That is, an obstacle to our course, running against which produces violent shaking by collision Commentators have in several ways amended and misinterpreted —HERR (p 22) The difficulty consists in finding a word that will correspond with the image and various figures of the speech that follow, and such a word, fulfilling these requirements, I confidently believe is expressed in that of sway ... Shakespeare uses the word further on 'This sway of motion, this commodity,' l. 604. And again 'Are not you moved when all the sway of earth Shakes like a thing unfirm?'—[Jul Cas, I, 111, 3] Here 'sway' and 'shakes' are brought into juxtaposition with the like words in the passage in question, and furnish stronger confirmation of the correctness of the emendment - [While Herr may gain adherents for his conjectural changeeven as did Johnson and Becket-there will scarcely be found one, I think, who will accept his illustrative examples as apposite. In the line from this play 'sway' clearly means that which governs motion, and in Jul Cas it has been explained as the whole dominion of the earth. To speak of the whole earth shaking is certainly proper, but to speak of a governing power, or a dominion, that shakes death out of his rags is beyond even poetic license -ED |-ELZE (Athenaum, June 22, 1867, p 821) I think we should read, 'Here's a bray' The Heralds both of the besiegers and the besieged play a conspicuous part in this scene and have just opened the parley with the blowing of their trumpets, King Philip says (l. 222) 'Our trumpet call'd you to this gentle parle' Under such circumstances the citizen of Angiers may be said not inappropriately to 'bray out' his defiance to the kings like a 'harsh-resounding trumpet' (see Rich II I, ni, 135, 'With harsh-resounding trumpets dreadful bray'), and, in the Bastard's language, by such a clang to shake 'the rotten carcass of old Death out of his rags' Compare Hamlet 'The kettledrum and trumpet thus bray out The triumph of

[470 Heeres a stay]

his pledge '-I, iv, ii -Br Nicholson (New Sh Soc Trans, 1880, p 107), forgets two circumin reference to this conjecture, says 'Professor Elze stances I That the citizens answered neither of the summonses to a parley by a trumpet 2 That no trumpet, if used, could then be called a note of defiance, and especially on this third occasion, when the sole intent is to propose a peaceful solution It is to this occasion alone that the fiery but practical Richard, son of Cœur-de-Lion, can refer '-Elze (Notes, etc., 2nd Series, p 199) thus replies 'Dr Nicholson entirely mistook my meaning in thinking that I referred my conjectural emendation bray to the blowing of trumpets by the men of Angiers I referred (and still refer) bray to the defiant speech of the Citizen of Angiers, and think it quite immaterial whether or not the customary trumpets were blown on the occasion of this parley, only the expression would be so much the more appropriate if they were I am ready to grant that there were no trumpets in the case, since Dr Nicholson attaches so much importance to their absence, but still I uphold my conjecture as stoutly as before Compare Greene, Dorastus and Fawma, "-who as in a fury brayed out these bitter speeches" (Sh Library, ed Hazlitt, I, 1v, 43) '-Moberly Either 'stay' means 'Here's a check' or Johnson's reading must be accepted -Kinnear (p 193) adopts Spedding's second alternative reading, storm, and in regard to Johnson's conjecture, flaw, says 'Shakespeare does not use this word as a figure for stormy words, but for sudden impetuous violence, as of Prince Hal "As humourous as winter, and as sudden As flaws congealed in the spring of day "-2 Henry IV IV, iv, 35, of Jack Cade's insurrection "Like to the glorious sun's transparent beams, Do calm the fury of this mad-bred flaw "-2 Henry VI III, i, 354'-WRIGHT That is, a check or hindrance, that calls upon us to stop We must not examine too nicely the figure which follows, or enquire how a stay can be said to shake anything -PAGE That is, here's an interruption or obstacle to our course, that shakes, etc The Bastard sarcastically continues the inflated language of the Citizen. The commentators have looked in vain for any definite or consistent meaning where none is intended -Miss Porter The objection [to the word 'stay'] vanishes if the idea of an authoritative and sudden stay of proceedings be understood to be called out, as in a tournament when, in the set-to of a deadly encounter, the trumpet to part the combatants halts them so suddenly that it shakes them on their steeds as the 'carkasse of old death' by this 'stay,' disappointed of his prey, is said to be similarly shaken —Belden (Tudor Sh) considers this 'a humorous comment on the close of the Citizen's speech His resolution to hold the city is so strong that "old Death" himself, charging against it in peremptory fury will find his rotten carcass jarred out of its rags'-Deighton That is, Here's an obstacle, check, which makes old Death so furious with rage, at having the career of carnage interrupted, that he almost bursts his tattered clothes His rottenness makes him all the more easily shaken So far from 'stay' being inappropriate here, as it is contended, it seems to me peculiarly appropriate. Death would not be alarmed by either a boast or a menace, but his terrible agitation is natural at the thought of being disappointed of the feast that was 'toward,' provided that the kings were not dissuaded by the Citizen from their first intention. It is to be noticed that the remainder of the speech, which deals with the boastful character of the Citizen's declaration, has reference to the effect which the Bastard humourously pretends it has had upon the hearers, but no reference to the effect That shakes the rotten carkasse of old death Out of his ragges. Here's a large mouth indeede, 48**0**

481 ragges] rags' Cap et seq

produced upon Death -[Although chronologically out of its proper position, I have here placed at the end of this long note the following excellent elucidation contributed to the Transactions of the New Sh Soc for 1880 (p 107) by that most sagacious of commentators, Dr Brinsley Nicholson It constitutes a summing up of the whole discussion so complete that any remarks thereafter by me would, I feel, be quite superfluous 'Various of the conjecturers, and even some critics, have expended a surplus portion of their ingenuity on this line Johnson suggested flaw in the sense of "gust or blast", that is, some of the storm of war being overpast, this peaceful proposal which comes like a great calm is likened by him—not Shakspere—to such a sudden gust or flaw as, for instance, sunk the Eurydice Spedding's storm may be classed with this His story is no better, for I know not how a calm, peaceful story can—as a story—shake death out of his rags Becket's say, adopted by Singer, only requires mention to cause the usual result of his conjectures' [Here follows Nicholson's objections to Elze's conjecture bray, see ante | 'Let us now turn to the original Lettsom will have it that "'stay' is perhaps the last word that would have come from Shakspere" But he, though very ingenious and acute, is too fond of seeking that which will suit his own supposition of what Shakspere must have meant, instead of seeking for his author's intent and meaning Preferring this latter plan, I would say that "stay" is one of the best words that could have been chosen The opposing armies have hurned up to engage one another, and the Bastard, taking part of his metaphor from this hurrying up, and continuing the line of thought expressed in his previous speech, "O now doth Death line his lean chops with steel," speaks of Death as impetuously hurrying up in anticipation of great gala days But now comes this sudden compromise, instead of "soldiers' swords being Death's fangs," he, in his hot haste, has run against an unexpected stay, an unseen impediment, as an impetuous boy runs against a man, post, or wall. If readers in this nineteenth century cannot remember their boyish days, they can at least remember the effects of a railway collision, which is enough in sober prose to shake one's rags off one's body, and, in the case of Death, would probably unjure his scythe-handle An eminent Shaksperian though it should be added a German one—has since written to me that "stay" in the senses of stop or hindrance is not given in our Dictionaries I reply that all I know of, from Cotgrave downwards, give these senses Richardson, besides the meanings "to stop . . obstruct or hinder," and besides giving quotations both of the verb and substantive in these senses from other authors, has this from Holland's Plmy, bk ix, c. 27, where there are also two other examples of the verb "Our Stay-Ship Echeneis, Trebius Niger saith, is a foot long . and that oftentimes it stayeth [hindreth] a ship " Shakspere uses it in Jul. Cas., "Nothing but death shallstay me."-IV, ui, 127. "A stay," in nautical or mechanical idiom, is used in the secondary sense of "support," because it stays or hinders the mast, &c , from falling "This is a stay (hindrance)" is, too, a recognised phrase, like "It stays me" Indeed, even if the substantive did not—as it does—follow the senses of the verb, as stop, the act of stopping, does the intransitive, and stop, the cause of stopping. or hindrance, the transitive form, every Englishman, besides Shakspere, would be entitled so to use them 'l

That spits forth death, and mountaines, rockes, and seas, 482 Talkes as familiarly of roaring Lyons, As maids of thirteene do of puppi-dogges. What Cannoneere begot this luftie blood, 485 He speakes plaine Cannon fire, and smoake, and bounce, He gives the bastinado with his tongue Our eares are cudgel'd, not a word of his But buffets better then a fift of France Zounds, I was neuer fo bethumpt with words, 490 Since I first cal'd my brothers father Dad Son, list to this conjunction, make this match Giue with our Neece a dowrie large enough, For by this knot, thou shalt so furely tye Thy now vnfur d affurance to the Crowne, 495

484 puppi-dogges | Ff (puppy-dogs)
Rowe, Pope,+, Coll Del puppydogs/ Cap et cet

485 lustre blood,] lustry bloud, F2 lustry blood? Pope et seq lustry-blood Anon ap Cam

486 Cannon fire,] Ff, Rowe, Cam +, Neils cannon-fire, Pope, +, Coll Wh 1, Sta Huds i, Fle cannon,—fire Dyce, Huds ii, Words cannon, fire, Cap et cet

487. bastinado] bastonado Theob 490 Zounds,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Huds in 'Zounds, Cap Faith, Words Zounds! Warb et cet 490 bethump'l bethump'd Mal et seq.
491 I firfl first I Anon ap Cam
father] Ff, Rowe, Pope, + Coll
Dyce, Wh Huds Fle Cam + father,—
Hal father, Cap et cet
492 Old Qu] Eli Rowe et seq
492-503 Son what it was] Aside to
John Capell, Dyce 11, iii, Huds 11, Craig
492 match] match, Ff, Rowe, Pope,
+ match, Cap et seq
495 now vnfur d] now vnfur'd Ff.
now-unsur'd Pope, Theob 1, Dyce, 1,
111, Huds 11, Words now unsured
Cam + now unsure Anon ap Cam 11

486 He speakes . . . bounce] STEEVENS suggests that Shakespeare 'seems to have taken the hint of this speech from a passage in The Famous History of Thomas Stukely, 1605 "Why here's a gallant, here's a king indeed, He speaks all Mars, Tut, let me follow such a lad as this, This is pure fire, every look he casts Flasheth like lightning, there's mettle in this boy He brings a breath that sets our sails on fire, Why now I see we shall have cuffs indeed," [ed Simpson, vol i, p 252, tl 2357-2362 While such insinuations by the earlier editors as to a lack of inventiveness in Shakespeare are somewhat urntating, in the present instance there is, perhaps, a little more probability than is at first apparent Simpson (School of Shakspere) includes this Play of Stucley among those early dramatic pieces upon which Shakespeare may have tried his 'prentice hand The date given by Steevens is 1605, but this is from the printed title-page, the date of composition has been shown to be nearly twenty years anterior to this, and Simpson goes even as far as to indicate a short passage which may be ascribed to Shakespeare, who the other authors are need not concern us It is to be deeply deplored that Simpson did not live to see his work in print, but few, if any, have gainsaid his conclusions.—ED]

491 I... father Dadl Ivor John An inimitable turn of a common saying to suit the Bastard's own case

495 vnsur d] SCHMIDT (Lex) records this as the only passage wherein 'unsured'

That you greene boy shall have no Sunne to ripe

The bloome that promiseth a mightie fruite.

I see a yeelding in the lookes of France

Marke how they whisper, vrge them while their soules

Are capeable of this ambition,

Least zeale now melted by the windle breath

Of soft petitions, pittle and remorse,

Coole and congeale againe to what it was

496 yon] you F₃ yond' Coll Wh 1, Huds 1 497 fruite] fruit, Ff fruit Rowe 499 Marke whifper,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han Mark, whisper Ktly, Neils Mark, whisper, Theob et cet

500 ambition] ambition Fle Words

501-503 Least it was] Om Dono 501 Least] Lest F₄ zeale now melted] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns zeal, now melted Coll Dyce, Hal Wh Huds Cam +, Fle Words Neils Craig zeal, now melted, Han et cet

is used in the sense made uncertain or unsafe—Abbott (§ 294) and Wright interpret 'unsured' as here meaning unassured, insecure

498 I see a yeelding, etc] WALKER (Crit, in, 119) Compare, 'I see a blessed yielding in thy eye '-Middleton, Triumph of Truth, ed Dyce, vol v, p 231

499, 500 vrge them. . ambition] C. COWDEN CLARKE (Sh Char, p 324) This is counsel not unworthy of a Richelieu or a Mazarin Mrs Montague's was a felicitous illustration of the genius of Shakespeare when she compared him to that dervis who possessed the power of trajecting his soul into the body of any individual that suited his purpose (p 37). The mind that conceived the spirit of Ariel, and the spotless innocence of Miranda, is here equally at home in despectibing the crooked and thorny policy of a court intriguer

501-503 Least zeale . . . Coole and congeale] Johnson We have here a very unusual and. I think, not very just image of zeal, which in its highest degree is represented by others as a flame, but by Shakespeare as a frost To repress zeal, in the language of others, is to cool, in Shakespeare's, to melt it, when it exerts its utmost power it is commonly said to flame, but by Shakespeare, to be congealed .- STEEVENS: Sure the Poet means to compare 'zeal' to metal in a state of fusion, and not to dissolving ice -MALONE The allusion, I apprehend, is to dissolving ice, and if this passage be compared with others in our author's plays, it will not. I think, appear hable to Dr Johnson's objection. The sense, I conceive, is, 'Lest the now zealous and to you well-affected heart of Philip, which but lately was cold and hard as ice, and has newly been melted and softened, should by the breath of supplications of Constance, and pity for Arthur, again become congealed and frozen' I once thought that 'the windy breath of soft petitions,' &c, should be coupled with the preceding words, and related to the proposal made by the Citizen of Angiers, but now I believe that they were intended to be connected, in construction, with the following line. In a subsequent scene we find a similar thought couched in nearly the same expressions 'This act, so evilly born, shall cool the hearts Of all his people, and freeze up their zeal.'—[III, III, 154]. Here Shakespeare does not say that 'zeal' when 'congealed exerts its utmost power,' but, on the contrary, that when it is congealed or frozen it ceases to exert itself at all; it is no longer zeal We again meet with the same allusion in

[501-503 Least zeale Coole and congeale]

Henry VIII '-cold hearts freeze Allegiance in them '-[I, 11, 61] Both zeal and allegiance, therefore, we see, in the language of Shakespeare, are in their highest state of exertion when melted, and repressed or diminished when frozen The word 'freeze,' in the passages just quoted, shows that the allusion is not, as has been suggested, to metals, but to ice The obscurity of the present passage arises from our Author's use of the word 'zeal,' which is, as it were, personified Zeal, if it be understood strictly, cannot 'cool and congeal to what it was' (for when it cools it ceases to be zeal), though a person who has become warm and zealous in a cause may afterwards become cool and indifferent, as he was before he was 'To what it was,' however, in our Author's licentious language may mean to what it was before it was zeal -[The first part of this note, down through the quotation from Henry VIII, appears first in the Variorum of 1785, receiving neither comment nor objection from the editor, Steevens, but his friendly feeling had evidently cooled and congealed from what it was, when, eight years later, he compiled the notes for his own edition, having in the meanwhile read and incorporated the latter part of this note by Malone He there says "The windy breath" that will cool metals in a state of fusion produces not the effects of frost. I am, therefore, yet to learn how "the soft petitions of Constance and pity for Arthur" (two gentle agents) were competent to the act of freezing There is surely somewhat of impropriety in employing Favonius to do the work of Boreas, -ED]-KNIGHT There is great confusion in what the commentators say on this image All this discordance appears to us to be produced by not limiting the image by the Poet's own words The 'zeal' of the King of France and of Lewis is 'now melted'-whether that melting represent metal in a state of fusion or dissolving ice, it has lost its compactness, its cohesion, but 'the windy breath of soft petitions'-the pleading of Constance and Arthur-the pity and remorse of Philip for their lot-may 'cool and congeal' it 'again to what it was', may make it again solid and entire -[The fatal malady-confusion-which Knight diagnoses as the cause of the errors of his predecessors seems here to have been infectious When Knight speaks of 'the Poet's own words' he means, of course, the text of the Folio, but he has inadvertently failed to take note of the fact that his explanation is dependent not on the punctuation of the Folio, but on that of Hanmer. It also may be noticed that Knight's elucidation does not differ materially from Malone's, in fact, it is but little more than a paraphrase —ED]—Delius, adopting Hanmer's punctuation, accepts Malone's explanation that 'zeal' here refers to the friendly feelings of Philip, which may, by the prayers of Constance, be rendered cold as they were before -R G WHITE This passage has hitherto been understood and punctuated as if zeal were spoken of as melted by soft petitions, pity, and remorse; which has made much work for the commentators, and inevitably For what had pity and remorse to do with the disposition of France to abandon the cause of Constance? Queen Elinor says, 'Lest zeal now melted by the windy breath of soft petitions on the part of Louis and Blanch, pity and remorse for Constance, cool and congeal to what it was before this marriage was proposed.'-VAUGHAN (i, 29) I understand this passage to mean Lest the favourable and melting condition, which as such is now zeal for us, but which has been produced by the artificial influence of petitions, pity and remorse, blowing on the congealed surface of an icy and adverse feeling, return again to that cold, hard, and hostile feeling which it was before it was zeal. [At this point Vaughan, having Hanmer's

Hub. Why answer not the double Maiesties, This friendly treatie of our threatned Towne.

505

Fra. Speake England first, that hath bin forward first To speake vnto this Cittie what say you?

Iohn If that the Dolphin there thy Princely fonne, Can in this booke of beautie read, I loue:

509

504 Hub] Cit Rowe, Pope,+, Coll
1 C Capell 1 Cit Malone et seq
1 the] ye Lettsom (ap Dyce 11)
505 threatned] Ff, Rowe, Fle Neils
1 threatened Wh 1. threaten'd Pope et cet

Towne] Towne? Ff
506 Speake England] Speak, England, Theob Warb. Johns Var '73,

506 hath] have Anon ap Cam.
507 Cittie] city;— Cap Huds 11.
city Ktly, Sta Fie Neils
508. Dolphin] Ff, Wh 1, Ktly Dauphin Rowe et cet
there] there, F4.
509 I loue] "I love," Dyce, Wh 1,
Ktly, Cam +, Rife, Words. Craig.
—I love,— Hal

reading before him, stumbles into that same quagmire as did Knight, and after accusing all his predecessors of a complete misunderstanding of the passage, offers as his solution of all difficulties that the lines be punctuated as in the Folio —ED]— PAGE, accepting Malone's interpretation that 'zeal' is here used to denote friendly feeling, quotes in illustration, 'My zeal to Valentine is cold'-Two Gentlemen, II, IV, 203, 'Intend a kind of zeal both to the Prince and Claudio'—Much Ado, II, 11, 36 -IVOR JOHN Lest the desire which the French king now has to fall in with the suggestion, a desire melted by the windy breath, etc., should cool and freeze into its previous form if advantage be not now taken -[Ivor John is here, I think, quite right in taking 'zeal' as referring to the desire of the French king for the proposed alliance, and not to the friendly feelings of Philip towards John is not in accord with Elmor's argument that John urge the king of France, lest this friendship, which is now melted, should cool and congeal, quite the contrary, in fact. Her words are a direct sequence to her observation on the yielding which she notices in the looks of King Philip She goes on to say that this desire is melted or destroyed in part by the windy breath of soft petitions-a characteristically contemptuous description of any petitions made by Constance in Arthur's behalf. In brief, Elmor's words may be paraphrased Strike while the iron is hot.-ED]

thel Ivor John objects to Lettsom's suggestion (see Text. Notes) on the ground that 'Shakespeare's usage would then require two ye's—"Why answer ye not, ye double majesties"—[In point of grammatical accuracy John is quite correct; but Shakespearean usage in such a case is, I fear, an unsafe guide. Bartlett's Concordance shows how purely arbitrary is Shakespeare's use of 'ye' and 'you', take, as one example among many 'Therein, ye gods, you make the weak most strong'—Jul Cas., I, in, 9r—Ed]

509. booke of beautie STEEVENS compares. 'Her face the book of praises'—
Pericles, I, 1, 15; and Malone adds 'Your face, my thane, is as a book where
men May read strange matters.'—Macbeth, I, v, 63.—[Likewise compare, perhaps,
'Yea, this man's brow, like to a title leaf Foretells the nature of a tragic volume'
—2 Henry IV: I, i, 61.—ED]—WHITER, whose volume deals with the association

510 a Queene] the Queene F3F4, Rowe 1 a Queen Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns a queen's Ktly 511 Angiers] Ff, Rowe, Pope 1 Anjowe Ktly Anjou Pope n et cet Torainel Ff, Ktly. Tourain Rowe Touraine Pope et cet 515 bed] Ff, Rowe, Cam i,+, Craig. bed, Han Coll Wh Huds 1, Del Fle Cam 11, Neils bed, Theob et cet.

A wonder, or a wondrous miracle,

Dol. I do my Lord, and in her eie I find

The shadow of my selfe form'd in her eye,

ACT II, SC 1.7

516 promotions] promotions Fle. 517 As] And Rowe, Pope blood bloud F2 518 hand] hands Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han Dono. 519 fai'st thou] say'st thou, F4 520 Dol] Lewis Rowe et seq 522-524 In margin Pope, Han Om. Dono 522 her eye] that orb Words.

520

522

of ideas and the train of thoughts flowing therefrom, quotes (p. 114) the present line and also ll 510 and 520 below as convincing evidence that 'the book and the eye of beauty (whatever might be the cause of so strange an association) were deeply engrafted on the imagination of our Poet'

511 Angiers The obvious misprint of 'Angiers' for Amou was corrected by THEOBALD in his Shakespeare Restored (p 160), a work printed in 1726, and designed to show the many errors, both of omission and commission, whereof Pope, in his edition, was guilty. Theobald (ed 1) says 'King John, consenting to match the Lady Blanch with the Dauphin, agrees, in part of her Dowry, to give up all he held in France except the City of Angiers, which he now besieged and laid claim to But could it be thought that he should at one and the same time give up all except Angiers, and give up that too? . Anjou was one of the provinces which the English held in France, and which the French king by Chatilion claimed of King John in right of Duke Arthur at the very beginning of the Play "Angiers" instead of Anjou has been falsely printed in several other passages of this History' [See 1 162, above]—Steevens Theobald found, or might have found, the reading, which he would introduce as an emendation of his own, in the old Quarto -[True; so also might Rowe and Pope, but they did not -ED.]

514. liable] Wright That is, subject So in Jul. Cas. 'And reason to my love is hable.'-II, ii, 104

522. The shadow . . . in her eyel MARSHALL. Allusions to the miniature reflection of one's face, as seen in the pupil of another's eye, are very numerous in Which being but the shadow of your sonne,

Becomes a sonne and makes your sonne a shadow.

I do protest I neuer lou'd my selfe

Till now, infixed I beheld my selfe,

Drawne in the slattering table of her eie

Whispers with Blanch. 528

523, 524 Om Words
524 a fonne] a Sun Rowe ii et seq.
a fhadow] a shadow Del Rife,
Neils

525 protest my selfe Ff, Rowe, Pope, Cam +, Neils protest, myself Theob. 1 protest myself, Dyce, Wh 1, Huds ii, Words protest, myself, Theob 11 et cet

526 Till now, infixed] Ff, Rowe

Till now, infixed, Theob Warb Johns. Var '73 Till now infixed Pope et cet. 526 infixed] infixed Dyce, Huds ii, Fle. Words

beheld] behold Han
527, 529 flattering] flatt'ring Pope,+.
528 Whifpers] Whispering. Rowe
11, Pope,+ Courts in dumb show
Capell

the poets of Shakespeare's time Compare with this passage the following one from Beaumont's Salmacis and Hermaphroditus

'How should I love thee, when I do espy
A far more beauteous nymph hid in thy eye?
When thou dost love let not that nymph be nigh thee,
Nor, when thou woo'st, let that same nymph be by thee,
Or quite obscure her from thy lover's face,
Or hide her beauty in a darker place.
By this the nymph perceived he did espy
None but himself reflected in her eye'—[ed Dyce, vol. xi, p 465]

524 sonne ... sonne a shadow] Rowe's change of the first 'sonne' to sun seems necessary not only for the sake of the quibble but also for the sense Compare: 'And turnes the Sun to shade alas, alas, Witnesse my Sonne, now in the shade of death '—Richard III I, iii (Folio, p 179, col a) —ED.

525-527 I do protest . . of her eiel Capell (vol 1, pt 11, p 125) The high-flown nonsense of this speech is the very perfection of French courtship from a lover of no feeling, and well deserves the ludicrous comment that follows upon one of its lines, and on the commenter's supplement, which line and its supplement are so dreadfully pointed in former copies that if the sense and mode of pronouncing are discovered in them, the person discovering owes it to his sagacity—[The Text Notes show that Capell's changes in the 'dreadful pointing' of his predecessors are actually only changes in the form of punctuation marks—By 'the commenter's supplement' he means the Bastard's additional comment, but if any person can discover wherein a dash and a comma are superior to an exclamation point in elucidating this passage the person discovering owes it to his own sagacity. Mine is, I fear, sadly at fault—Ep]

527. Drawne... table of her eiel Steevens So in All's Well: '—to sit and draw His arched brows, his hawking eye, his curls, In our heart's table.'—[I, 1, 106]. 'Table' is picture, or rather, the board or canvas on which any object is painted.—[Compare also: 'Mine eye hath play'd the painter, and hath stell'd Thy beauty's form in table of my heart.'—Sonnet xxiv, 1 x—ED]

Bast. Drawne in the flattering table of her eie,
Hang'd in the frowning wrinkle of her brow,
And quarter'd in her heart, hee doth espie
Himselse loues traytor, this is pittie now,
That hang'd, and drawne, and quarter'd there should be
In such a loue, so vile a Lout as he.
Blan. My vnckles will in this respect is mine,

535
If he see ought in you that makes him like,

529 [Aside] Dyce, Hal Ktly, Sta. Huds 11
529-531 eie, brow, heart,] eyel. browl heart! Pope,+, Var '85, Cam. +, Huds 11, Neils Craig eye,— brow,— heart.— Var '78 Mal Rann Steev Varr Knt, Dyce, Sta Words eye!— brow!— heart!— Sing eye, brow, heart!— Wh 1. eye, brow; ... heart, Fle

S32. traytor, now,] traitor, now,
Rowe et seq

535 will] will, [to Lew] Capell.

mine,] F₂ mine F₃F₄, Rowe,
Pope,+, Del Fle Neils mine Cap.
et cet

536, 537 you like, see's] Ff, Rowe.
you like, sees, Pope you like, sees, Dyce, Cam +, Wh Neils you, .
like, ..sees, Theob

534 so vile a Lout as he] ROSE (p. 76). One does not quite know why Faulconbridge should be so much annoyed at the betrothal of Blanch to the Dauphin, nor why Blanch should have backed up Faulconbridge in his apparently unjustifiable attack upon Austria. In the original [The Troublesome Rasgne] we find that Elinor had half promised Blanch's hand to the Bastard, whom the Lady gave up for Lewis with some reluctance

536-538 If he see . . . my will VAUGHAN (i, 30) I understand the construction here differently from all other critics and editors, and would therefore punctuate differently-in this way [omitting the comma at end of I 536, and also after 'sees,' l 537, see Text Notes] That which causes liking is naturally the object of liking We thus too are rid of the double accusative 'that anything' and 'it,' or the slightly awkward nominative absolute 'that anything' 'Translate it to my will' means 'transfer from John's will, on which it is now acting, to my will, with the same effect on my will as on his' This sense of 'translating,' as transferring from one place to another, is rare in Shakespeare - [SCHMIDT (Lex) does not record a single example of 'translate' used in the sense of transfer, under (3) to interpret, to explain, he quotes the present passage. He is, however, alone in this explanation Fleay and Deighton, more naturally, take 'translate' in the sense transform or render, as in 'He hath studied her will, and translated her will out of honesty into English'-Merry Wives I, III, 54-a passage nearly parallel The latter thus paraphrases the present lines. That thing, whatever it may be that inclines him to like you, I can easily bring myself to hold in similar regard, making his liking my own. I will force it upon my love (though I shall not have much difficulty in doing so), compel my heart to give it entrance 'Of course,' adds Deighton, 'the distinction which she pretends to draw is merely a playful one,' -Hunter, Moberly, and Ivor John limit their explanations to the words 'That anything,' i e, That thing which he sees, whatever it may be.-ED.

That any thing he fee's which moues his liking, 537 I can with ease translate it to my will. Or if you will, to speake more properly, I will enforce it easlie to my loue 540 Further I will not flatter you, my Lord, That all I fee in you is worthie loue, Then this, that nothing do I fee in you, Though churlish thoughts themselues should bee your Iudge, That I can finde, should merit any hate. 545 What faie these yong-ones? What lay you my Iohn. Neece? That she is bound in honor still to do Blan.What you in wisedome still vouchsafe to say. Iohn. Speake then Prince Dolphin, can you loue this Ladie ? Nay aske me if I can refraine from loue, Dol.550 For I doe loue her most vnfamedly. Iohn. Then do I give Volquessen. Toraine, Maine. 552 537 any thing] any thing, Han. any-544 Though . Iudge] In parentheses Pope,+, Cap Varr Mal Rann, Steev thing Wh. i 538. it to] into Anon. ap Cam. Varr Sing Hal Ktly. 539. Orl Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Coll. 546 yong-ones] young ones Rowe et Dyce, Cam.+ Or, Cap et cet. seq 547 [fill] shall Cap conj to . . properly,] In parentheses 548 still] will Pope, Han. shall Var Cap. Varr Mal Rann, Steev. Varr. Sing. Hal Ktly '85, Steev Varr Sing Ktly 540 eastre] easily F3F4 549 Dolphin] Ff, Wh Ktly, Fle. 541 Further] Farther Coll Wh. i. Dauphin Rowe et cet. 551. vnfainedly] unfeinedly F3 543 Then] Than Ff this. I Ff. Rowe, Huds 11, Neils feignedly F. 552. Toraine] Touram Rowe i. this; Pope,+, Cam.+, Fle Craig. this, - Cap et cet. Touraine Rowe ii et seq 537. That . . . which] For this marked change of relative, compare 1. 116, and see, if needful, ABBOTT, § 267 546. What say you my Neecel MOBERLY' Blanch's speech, just ended, had been addressed aside to the Dauphin. 547, 548 still . . . still] KNIGHT The change of 'still' [1 548] to shall is certainly not called for - The Text Notes will show how general is the agreement with Knight. Possibly both Pope and Steevens overlooked the fact that 'still' bears a signification which frequently varies with the context. The first 'still' means here, as in many instances, constantly, always, e. g, 'Thou still hast been

552-554 Then do I giue . . . morel Courtenay (1, 11) This representation

the father of good news.'—Hamlet, II, 11, 42. The second 'still' is equivalent to in future, no less than formerly, e. g, 'That still I lay upon my mother's head.'

-I, i, 84 -ED]

Poychers, and Annow, these five Provinces	
With her to thee, and this addition more,	
Full thirty thousand Markes of English coyne	555
Phillip of France, if thou be pleaf'd withall,	
Command thy fonne and daughtet to ioyne hands.	
Fra. It likes vs well young Princes close your hands	
Aust. And your lippes too, for I am well affur'd,	559

553	Aniow]	F_2F_3	Anjo	we Ktly	•
Anjou	F4 et cet	(Note	xı Ca	m)	
555	coyne] co	nn Ro	we et s	eq	
	daughtet]				
	vs well]				
us wel	l, Rowe,	Pope,	+, Cá	ıp Varr	
Mal R	lann Stee	v Vari	Sing	us well	

Knt et cet
559, 560 Auft And affur'd] In
margin Pope, Han
550 too, for Ff. Rowe, Pope, Han

559 too, for] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han too, for, Theob +, Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll too, for Cap et cet

of the marriage settlements is not borne out by history, John did not give up the five provinces, but only 'the city of Evreux, and some other towns, being those (according to Holinshed) which the King of France had taken from him in the war The King of England likewise did homage to the French King for Brittany, and again received homage for the same country, and for the country of Richmond, of his nephew Arthur '—[Malone points out that this passage is taken almost verbatim from the older play—The anonymous author, therefore, and not Shake-speare must bear the blame for this wanton disregard of historical accuracy—ED]—Marshall Shakespeare has—perhaps in order to condense the scene somewhat, it being very long in the old play—made an alteration in the details of this scene, the effect of which is to set John's character in a more unfavourable light. In The Troublesome Raigne John offers, in addition to 'her downe out of Spaine,' thirty thousand marks, but King Philip demands the provinces as well—John hesitates at first, but Queen Eleanor advises him to yield

552 Volquessen] Steevens This is the ancient name for the country now called the *Vexin*, in Latin, *Pagus Velocassinus* That part of it called the *Norman Vexin* was in dispute between Philip and John

555. thirty thousand Markes] MURRAY (N E D., s v Mark, sb² 1) A denomination of weight formerly employed (chiefly for gold and silver) throughout western Europe, its actual weight varied considerably, but it was usually regarded as equivalent to 8 ounces. In England, after the conquest, the ratio of 20 sterling pennies to an ounce was the basis of computation, hence the value of the mark became fixed at 160 pence = 13s 4d or two-thirds of a pound sterling [Blanch's dowry was, therefore, about £20,000—ED]

558, 559 close your hands .. And your hppes Malone (Note on Wint Tale, I, ii, 104). This was a regular part of the ceremony of troth-plighting, to which Shakespeare often alludes So in Meas. for Meas 'This is the hand, which with a vow'd contract Was fast belocked in mine '—V, i, 209 So also in No Wit Like a Woman's, Middleton, 1657. 'There these young lovers shall clap hands together,' [IV, i—Rolfe, as a further illustration, quotes 'A contract of eternal bond of love, Confirm'd by mutual joinder of your hands, Attested by the holy close of lips, Strengthen'd by interchangement of your rings'—Twelfik Night, V, i, 159—For a description of the ceremony of betrothal or troth-plight, see note by Douce, Twelfik Night, p 290, this edition—Ed]

That I did so when I was first affur'd.

Fra. Now Cittizens of Angires ope your gates,

Let in that amitie which you have made,

For at Saint Maries Chappell presently,

The rights of marriage shallbe solemniz'd

Is not the Ladie Constance in this troopes

I know she is not for this match made vp,

Her presence would have interrupted much.

Where is she and her sonne, tell me, who knowes?

Dol. She is sad and passionate at your highnes Tent.

569

560 fo] so, Rowe et seq
a[fur'd] Walker affied Huds 11,

Dono
561 Angires] Angiers Ff
563 Saint] Saints F2
564 rights] rites F4
566 I know] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Dyce,

Hal Sta Huds Cam +, Coll 111, Fle

I know, Theob et cet
25 not] F2 25 not, F3F4, Rowe,

Cam +, Neils. 25 not, Pope et cet
match] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Knt,

Cam +, Craig. match, Cap et cet

566 vp,] up Theob Han Warb.

Johns Dyce, Ktly, Cam +, Words
567 much] much — Cap Varr Mal.

Rann Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce,
Hal Cam +, Words Craig
568 fonne, knowes?] son; knows?

Cap Varr Mal Rann son? knows

Steev et seq
569 She is] She's Pope,+, Dyce ii,
iii

highnes] Highnesse Ff highness'

Pope et seq

559, 560 assur'd . assur'd STEEVENS 'Assur'd' is here used both in its common sense and in an uncommon one, where it signifies affianced, contracted So in Com of Err, 'called me Dromio, swore I was assur'd to her '-III, 11, 145 -WALKER (Crit, 1, 273) It is impossible that this repetition of the same word in a different sense—there being no quibble intended or anything else to justify it can have proceeded from Shakespeare Read 'when I was first affied,' 1. e, betrothed Tam of Shr, 'Where then do you know best, We be affied '-IV, 1v, 49 -[Hereupon Walker furnishes many examples in corroboration of the fact that affy was used in the sense of betroth; but so equally was 'assur'd' The alteration of a word in the text when it yields an intelligible meaning, merely because it does not seem to the emender what Shakespeare would have used, is hardly a sound method of criticism Such repetitions are, on the contrary, eminently characteristic All that may be said in justification of Walker's change is that the letters ur'd and ued in the hand-writing of the time might easily be confused, but why then in only one case and not in both?—ED]

563. Saint Maries Chappell] Rolfe This is said to be the so-called Church of Ronceray, dedicated to St Mary the Virgin in 1028 and re-dedicated in 1119 by Pope Calixtus II. It is now used as a chapel for the students of the School of Arts. 569. passionate] Murray (N E D, s v. 5) Moved with sorrow, grieved, sad, sorrowful—[The present line quoted Ivor John compares also 'How now, Ales? what sad and passionate'—Arden of Feversham, III, v, 45 Steevens quotes in illustration 'Thou art passionate, Hast thou been brought up with girls?'—Wit Without Money, II, iv, but, as Dyce says, 'passionate' is there 'used ironically and is equivalent to pathetic'—ED]

And by my faith, this league that we have made 570 Will give her fadnesse very little cure Brother of England, how may we content This widdow Lady? In her right we came, Which we God knowes, haue turn d another way. To our owne vantage 575 We will heale vp all, For wee'l create yong Arthur Duke of Britaine And Earle of Richmond, and this rich faire Towne We make him Lord of Call the Lady Constance, Some speedy Messenger bid her repaire 580 To our folemnity. I trust we shall,

571 cure | cure Pope et seq
573 widdow| widow'd Coll 11 (MS),
Wh 1, Huds 11
came, | Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han
Del came, Theob et cet
574 turn d | turned Ff
577 Britaine | Ff, Rowe, Pope, +,
Ktly, Fle Bretagne Han et cet
581 folemnity | solemnity — Coll.
Wh 1, Ktly, Del Rlfe, Dono Neils

573. widdow Lady] Collier (ed 11) considers the reading of his MS Corrector (widow'd) an undoubted improvement on that of the Folio, since the latter is 'as if Constance were merely some respectable dowager. The epithet "widow'd" gives dignity to this reference to Constance, who was not historically a widow' (See note by Malone on 1 35, ante -ED)-R G WHITE The Folio has 'widow lady', by accident I think, because the poor terms 'widow woman' and 'widow lady' were unknown in Shakespeare's time White therefore adopted the reading of Collier's MS. Corrector in his first ed In his Supplementary Notes (vol 1, p. xlix) he says, however, 'When I wrote the note upon this passage I forgot the story of the "widow woman" and her cruse of oil, told in the seventeenth chapter of the first book of Kings The old reading must stand '-With White's acceptance of the Folio reading I am quite in accord, at the same time it is, perhaps, worth noting that the words 'widow woman' appear first in the Authorised Version, 1611, in both the Geneva Bible, 1560, and the Bishop's Bible, 1568, the word 'widowe' is alone given in the passage to which White refers Furthermore, as regards White's objection to the phrase 'widow lady,' the reverse of this, 'Lady widow,' is of common occurrence, see, for example, the pseudo-Shakespearean play, The Puriton Widow, passim, and Rom & Jul, I, 11, 69 'The Lady widow of Vitruvio' Constance is frequently spoken of as the 'Lady Constance,' and in the present passage the word 'Lady,' in the Folio text, is printed with a capital, as it is also in the line from Rom & Jul, and though but small reliance can be placed on the capitalized words in the Folio, yet I think we may reasonably say that the two phrases were practically synonymous -ED]

578 Earle of Richmond] WRIGHT Arthur's grandfather, Conan le Petit, Duke of Brittany, and father of Constance, was the first who styled himself Earl of Richmond, although the lordship of the Honour of Richmond had been originally granted to his ancestor, Alan Fergaunt, Count of Brittany, by the Conqueror (See Nicholas, Historic Peerage of England, ed. Courthope)

581. solemnity] That is, marriage ceremony

(If not fill vp the measure of her will)

Yet in some measure satisfie her so,
That we shall stop her exclamation,
Go we as well as hast will suffer vs,
To this vnlook'd for vnprepared pompe

Bast. Mad world, mad kings, mad composition

587

584 exclamation, Var '85 exclamation Ff et cet (exclamation Fle)
585 Go we] Go we, Rowe et seq
586 vnlook'd for] unlook'd for, Rowe,
Pope,+, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Cam +,
Neils unlook'd-for Cap Knt, Del
Dono Craig unlook'd-for, Dyce, Hal
Sta Huds

unprepared] unprepared Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

Exeunt] Ff Ex all but Bast Rowe, Pope, Han Cam +, Dono Neils Ex all but Faulconbr Theob Warb. Johns Varr Rann Citizens come from the Walls, and exeunt, to the Town, the two Kings, and their Powers, Lewis, Austria, Elinor, Blanch &c Capell Exeunt into the town all but the Bastard The Citizens retire from the walls White: Exeunt all but the Bastard The Citizens retire from the Walls Malone et cet

587 Scene vi Pope, Han Warb Johns

world, kings,] world! kings!
Cap et seq

composition] composition' Pope et seq (composition! Fle)

587 Bast Mad world, mad kings, etc | Mrs Griffith (p 178) This speech, though delivered with an air of levity and expressed in humourous words and images, supplies occasion for three very just reflections. The first, That selfinterest, in the mere worldly sense of the term, is the ruling principle of mankind Secondly, That men are too apt to inveigh against corruption, more from the being void of temptation themselves, than their being free from this vice, and, lastly, That bad examples in the superior ranks of life have a dangerous tendency to injure the morals of the inferior classes of a people -Francois Victor Hugo (iii, 459). This soliloquy, superb and eternally true, wherein the Poet jeers at the inconstancy of France, dominated by that maker of false vows, self-interest, was singularly appropriate at the close of the sixteenth century, whether it were uttered at the time when a French prince of the blood, the Duc d'Anjou, proposed marriage to Queen Elizabeth, the jailer of his sister-in-law Mary Stuart, whether it were said after the conversion of Henri IV, abjuring his faith and declaring, 'Paris vaut bien une messe,' whether it were said after the conclusion of peace between the court of France and Philip II -MATTHEWS (Sh as Playwright, p 97) The opening scenes cheat us with the behef that Faulconbridge is to take a prominent place in the plot, and we are disappointed when we find that this is impossible, since he is only an outsider, involved in no important situation and useful at best only to give color to certain scenes and to comment upon the events like a chorus Faulconbridge is a largely conceived character with Shakespeare's unfailing appreciation of a free and unconventional nature, and Shakespeare lends him wit, shrewdness, and even eloquence, yet his best bravura passages have but little dramatic value, since he is not firmly tied into the action He exists for his own sakefor the sake of the vivacity and the variety his presence imparts to the scenes in which he appears He is a pleasant fellow of an easy and contagious mirth, he has a captivating humour of his own, forecasting that of Mercutio, but his part is so loosely related to the action that he cannot be forced into prominence

Iohn to stop Arthurs Title in the whole,	588
Hath willingly departed with a part,	
And France, whose armour Conscience buckled on,	590
Whom zeale and charitie brought to the field,	
As Gods owne fouldier, rounded in the eare,	
With that fame purpose-changer, that flye diuel,	
That Broker, that still breakes the pate of faith,	
That dayly breake-vow, he that winnes of all,	595
Of kings, of beggers, old men, yong men, maids,	
Who hauing no externall thing to loofe,	597

590-592 whose souldier,] In parentheses Cap Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Ktly 592 eare,] ear F₃F₄ 593 duiel] Devil F₄ 596. maids,] maids,— Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll Dyce, Wh 1,

Huds Del
597-599 Who commoditie] Om
Words Dono
597, 598 Who that] In parentheses
Cap Varr Rann, Fle
597 having] as they have Han

587 composition] That is, compact, agreement Compare 'Sweno, the Norway's king, craves composition '-Macbeth, I, 11, 59

589 departed] STEEVENS To part and to 'depart' were formerly synonymous So in Every Man in his Humour, 'Faith, sir, I can hardly depart with ready money'—[Steeven's quotation is correct, but the line is from Every Man out of his Humour, IV, vii (ed Gifford, p 159) Wright compares also 'I may depart with little, while I live'—Two Noble Kinsmen, II, 1—ED]

592 rounded] CRAIGIE (N. E D, s v vb² 1) To whisper, to speak in a whisper, to converse or talk privately (From Anglo-Saxon rúnnan The normal modern form would have been rown.)—WRIGHT compares 'She will not stick to round me 1' the ear '—Pass Pilgrim, 1 349 See also Wint Tale, I, 11, 217

593 With] For other examples wherein 'with' is equivalent to by, see Abbott, § 193

594 That Broker, etc] Miss PORTER Shakespeare has developed this shrewd and pregnant speech from four embryonic lines spoken by Constance at the same point in the action in the older Play 'What kings, why Stand you gazing in a trance? Why how now Lords? accursed Citizens To fill and tickle their ambicious eares With hope of gaine'

597, 598 Who having . . . of that] Malone The construction here appears very harsh to our ears, yet I do not believe there is any corruption; for I have observed a similar phraseology in other places in these plays. The construction is—Commodity, he that wins of all—he that cheats the poor maid of that only external thing she has to lose, namely, the word maid, i.e., her chastity. 'Who having' is used as the absolute case, in the sense of they having, and the words 'who having no external thing to lose but the word maid' are in some measure parenthetical; yet they cannot with propriety be included in a parenthesis, because then there would remain nothing to which the relative 'that' at the end of 1 598 could be referred. In Wint Tale we find a similar phraseology. 'This your son-in-law, And son unto the king (whom heavens directing), Is troth-plight to your daughter.'—[V, III, 150]. Here the pronoun 'whom' is used for him, as 'who,' in the passage

But the word Maid, cheats the poore Maide of that.

That fmooth-fac'd Gentleman, tickling commoditie,

Commoditie, the byas of the world,

The world, who of it felfe is peyfed well,

Made to run euen, vpon euen ground,

Till this aduantage, this vile drawing byas,

This fway of motion, this commoditie,

604

598 Mard, Marde] mards mards
Han —mard, mard Cap mard,—
mard Mal Steev Varr Sing Coll Wh.
1, Huds 1 "mard," mard Dyce 11, 111,
Cam +, Coll 111 mard, mard Huds. 11.
601-606 Mnemonic Warb.
601 who] which Pope,+.
peyfed] F₂F₃ poyfed F₄ poised

Rowe, Pope, +, Coll MS (possèd Fle). persed Wh 1, Rlfe, Craig persed Cap et cet (pessèd Dyce, Huds 11, Words) 602 euen, l even, Knt even Dyce, Coll. 11, Hal Wh. 1, Cam +, Huds 11, Words Nells

603 vile drawing] vile-drawing Pope, +, Walker (Crit, 1, 34), Cam +, Del

before us, is used for they [See Abbott, § 399]—Vaughan (1, 32) I prefer to consider 'who' as the relative to 'break-vow' and the subject of 'cheats,' and 'having' as the participle in the accusative agreeing with the 'poor maid'. The whole construction is this 'who cheats the poor maid, having no external thing to lose but the word maid, of that word'

599 commoditie] MURRAY (N E D, s v 2 c) Advantage, benefit, profit, interest, often in the sense of private or selfish interest 'I will use his friendship to mine own commodity'—Damon & Pythias, IV, 41

600 Commoditie. . the world] HENDERSON (Var, 1785) So in Cupid's Whirligig, 1607 'O the world is like a byas bowle, and it runs all on the rich men's sides '—[ed 1630, Sig F3 recto]—P SIMPSON (N & Q, 1901, IX, vii, 345) compares Bacon, Of Wisdom for Man's Self (Bad servants) 'set a bias upon the bowl of their own petty ends and envies, to the overthrow of their master's great and important affairs' [See next note]

ooo byas] WRIGHT The weight of lead introduced into one side of a bowl in order to make it turn towards the side on which the weight is A perfectly uniform spherical bowl on a perfectly level and smooth ground would run in a perfectly straight line. The word 'bias' is derived from the French bias, and this again is said by Brachet to be from the Latin bifacem, which is applied to a person whose vision is crooked—[Strutt (Sports & Pastimes, ed Hone, p 266) says 'Bowling, whether practised upon open greens or in bowling-alleys, was probably an invention of the Middle Ages. I cannot by any means ascertain the time of its introduction, but I have traced it back to the thirteenth century '—Bartlett's Concordance records eight other passages besides the present wherein Shakespeare makes use of this simile of the bias and bowl. Does this not present to the inward eye an attractive picture of the stretch of smooth green turf, and Shakespeare himself either as an interested onlooker or keen participant in the game?—Ep]

601-604 The world . . this commoditie] Compare 'Ts the generall humour of the world, commodity steers our affections throughout, we love those that are fortunate and rich, that thrive, or by whom we may receive mutuall kindness, hope for like curtesies, get any good, gain or profit, hate those, and abhor, on the other side, which are poor and miserable, or by whom we may sustain loss or in-

605

Makes it take head from all indifferency, From all direction, purpose, course, intent. And this same byas, this Commoditie, This Bawd, this Broker, this all-changing-word, Clap'd on the outward eye of fickle France,

609

606 intent] Ff, Pope, Theob Warb Johns Knt intent, Rowe, Hal intent Han et cet 608 this all-changing-word] that allchanging-world F_2F_3 that all changing-world F_4 , Rowe this all-changing wood Pope et seq

convenience '-Burton Anatomie of Melancholy, Part II, Sec 1, Mem. 2, Subs. 1 --ED

605 take head] Not, as in the common meaning of the phrase, to start running (the earliest use of this is given by Murray as 1674), but in the sense of take all life or power from indifferency—Ep

605 indifferency] MURRAY (N E D, s v. I, 1) Absence of bias, prejudice, or favour for one side rather than another, impartiality, equity, fairness—WRIGHT, in illustration, says 'One of the clauses in the Prayer for the Church Militant is that those in authority "may truly and indifferently minister justice"'

608 Broker] That is, a procurer, a pander Compare Two Gentlemen, I, ii, 41 608 all-changing-word] VAUGHAN (1, 34) The dissatisfaction which prompted such an emendation [as that of the Folios See Text Notes] is better than the How can either a 'world' or a 'word' be 'clapped on the outward emendation eye'? Certainly we should read the passage with such a change as this 'allchanging wand' The wand, being the accredited instrument by which all the transformations of the magician and enchanter are ostensibly effected, is the very object to which the epithet 'all-changing' is appropriate, and it is most naturally imagined to exercise supernatural powers or vision by the actual application of it to 'the outward eye' [Vaughan here inserts two passages from Ovid Metamorphoses, xiv, Fab. v, vi, to show whence Shakespeare may have derived his knowledge of the conjuror's rod or wand 'It is possible,' continues Vaughan, 'that rod and not wand is the right word; for the translators of the Bible use rod for the wand that transforms, ... and rod, like wand, resembles "word" closely But I decidedly prefer wand '-Vaughan's emendation wand for 'word' is, to my mind, open to very grave objection In the first place, wand in the sense of the conjuror's magical instrument was apparently unknown to Shakespeare Prospero would not have spoken of his 'staff' had wand been the proper term, and Shylock would not have used the word 'wands' in recounting the thrift of Jacob if the word had any hint of magical charms Milton's Comus is, I think, the first to employ the word as it is now generally accepted in the peculiar sense of a conjuror's implement But the objections to any change in the text he deeper than this. Vaughan has, I fear, quite misunderstood the whole passage. It is not 'this all-changing word' any more than it is 'this bawd' or 'this broker' that is clapped on the outward eye of France. Faulconbridge, still using the metaphor taken from the game of bowling, pauses to add again three other epithets to the word 'commodity' France's eye is thus compared to the ball, and the bias is commodity, or self-interest, which, as he goes on to say, has drawn the king (who is now himself the ball) out of his proper course.—ED]

600 on the . . . eye] STAUNTON The aperture on one side which contains the

Hath drawne him from his owne determin'd ayd,

610

610 owne determin'd] own-determin'd
Cap Mal Ran
ayd] aim M Mason, Sing 11,
Coll 11, 111 (MS), Wh Ktly, Dyce 11, 111,
Fle Huds 11, Neils deed Bubier ap
Cam

bias was sometimes called the eye —MOBERLY [Commodity] is the transforming spell which makes the eye see all things amiss. The metaphor can hardly be, as Staunton supposes, from the lead run into the eye of the bowl —MOORE SMITH That is, suddenly presented to the eye. Compare '—a penny worth of sugar clapped into my hand '—i Henry IV II, iv, 25, and III, 1, 170 below. I cannot accept Mr Worrall's suggestions that Commodity is thought of here as having the effect of 'spectacles' of a distorting kind —[This refers to a suggestion by Mr Walter Worrall of Worcester College, Oxford, to whom Moore Smith acknowledges, in his Preface, his indebtedness for many valuable hints in the preparation of his notes to this play —ED]

609 the outward eye] W L RUSHTON (N & Q, IV, x, 291, 1872) Shakespeare speaks of the outward eye [in the present passage] and the eye of reason "The eye of reason may pry in upon us"—I Henry IV IV, 1, 72 This eye of reason, of which Spenser also speaks, is the inward eye "The eie of reason was with rage yblent"—Faerie Queene, I, 11, v Shakespeare's use of the outward eye and the eye of reason may be well illustrated by an extract from an author who wrote long before his time "When the first man Adam was create, he received of God a double eye, that is to say, an outward eye, whereby he might see visible things, and know his bodily enemies, and eschew them, and an inward eye, that is the eye of reason, whereby he might see his spiritual enemies that fight against his soul, and beware of them."—Doctor and Student—[Diologue I, cap xiv, ed 1554, sig Ci, recto" (See Dict. of Nat Biog, article Christopher St German, for an account of this legal compendium) The comparison might, I think, be carried even further, Faulconbridge says in the beginning that "conscience," the inward eye, caused France to 'buckle on' his armour—Ep]

610. owne determin'd CAPELL (I, ii, 125) accuses his predecessors of a lack of judgment in omitting the hyphen between these words, 'for a want of junction in that place tends to mislead, the more obvious sense of the words without junction being a sense that is false, the compound wants no interpreting'

610. ayd] M. Mason. The word 'eye' in the line preceding, and the word 'own,' which can ill agree with 'aid,' induces me to think that we ought to read 'own determined aim' instead of 'aid' His own aid is little better than nonsense — Collier (Notes, etc., p. 202) Mason was right, as appears by a correction in the Folio, 1632, but the necessity for the change is not very evident —Singer (Sh. Vind., p. 84) The confirmation of Mason's correction is another coincidence, and the confirmation of Collier's view of the correction required in the Bastard's speech is equally remarkable —R. G. White. 'Aid' seems clearly a misprint. It can only refer to the aid which France had promised Arthur, and that could not by any proper use of language be called 'his own determin'd aid.' Besides, commodity is 'clapped on the outward eye of fickle France'; and the outward eye is used for taking aim.—[In reference to Collier's comment on the change aim for 'aid,' White (Sh Scholar, p. 299) says 'If a tithe of the changes in that volume were as imperatively demanded as this is, Mr Collier's discovery would have done ten times the service that it has done '—ED]—C. & M. Cowden Clarke Though

From a refolu'd and honourable warre,

To a most base and vile-concluded peace.

And why rayle I on this Commoditie?

But for because he hath not wooed me yet

Not that I haue the power to clutch my hand,

When his faire Angels would falute my palme,

612 vile-concluded] vile concluded Ff,
Rowe et seq
613 on this] thus on Anon ap Cam
614 for because] for the cause Vaughan
615 Not that the] Nor that the Han
Not but the or Not that not Coll conj
Not that no Coll MS

there is plausibility in Mason's argument, yet aim does not so well agree with the context that follows as 'aid' 'His own determin'd aid' means that aid which he himself had determined to lend

614 for because] EASTWOOD & WRIGHT (Bible Word-Book, s v) A redundant expression in which the two words are equivalent in meaning, the combination of the two being employed to make the whole more forcible Compare 'an if,' or ere' [Genesis, xxii, 16 cited, and the present line quoted in illustration See also Rich II V, v, 3—ED]

615 Not that I have the power] COLLIER (ed i) The sense would perhaps be clearer if we read 'Not but I have', or, with as slight a change, 'Not that I have not', though the meaning of the Poet is sufficiently explained by what follows in the sentence the Bastard says that he has the power to clutch or close his hand, but that he has yet had no temptation to do so.—Anon (Blackwood's 'Maga, Sept, 1853, p 304) The meaning of these lines is certainly sufficiently obvious Yet Mr Collier's Corrector is not satisfied with them He reads. 'Not that I have no power,' &c But unless Mr Collier can prove—what will be difficult that 'power' here means inclination, it is evident that this reading directly reverses Shakespeare's meaning If 'power' means inclination, the sense would be this I rail on this commodity, not because I have no inclination to clutch my hand on the fair angels that would salute my palm, but because I have not yet been tempted, when temptation comes I shall doubtless yield like my neighbors. But 'power' never means, and cannot mean, inclination; and Mr Collier has not attempted to show that it does, and therefore the new reading must be to this effect 'I rail on this commodity, not because I am unable,' &c But Faulconbridge says the very reverse He says 'I rail on this commodity not because I have the power to resist temptation, or am able to shut my hand against the fair angels that would salute my palm, for I have no such power, in this respect I am just like other people, and am as easily bribed as they are' The new reading must therefore be dismissed as a wanton reversal of the plain meaning of Shakespeare — [Collier (ed ii) accepts the dictum of his Anonymous critic, though with no mention of him, and likewise omits both of his former conjectural emendations. —ED]

615. clutch] MURRAY (N E D., s v. v¹. 2) quotes the present line as the earliest example of 'clutch' in the sense To close or clench the hand

616. Angels] WRIGHT: The Angel was a gold come worth ten shillings, and was so called from having on one side a figure of Michael and the dragon See Mer. of Ven. 'They have in England A coin that bears the figure of an angel Stamp'd

But for my hand, as vnattempted yet,
Like a poore begger, raileth on the rich.
Well, whiles I am a begger, I will raile,
And fay there is no fin but to be rich:
And being rich, my vertue then shall be,
To fay there is no vice, but beggerie:
Since Kings breake faith vpon commoditie,
Gaine be my Lord, for I will worship thee

Exit

620

624

617

617 But for] But that Pope, Theob.
Han Warb Johns
617 618 as Like] is Like as Herr.
is Like Vaughan
619 Well,] Well! Han
whiles] while Pope,+.
620, 622 And fay To fay] Ff, Rowe,
Pope, Han Huds Cam +, Fle Neils
Craig And say, To say, Theob
Warb Johns Var '73, Coll Dyce, Wh

i, Ktly, Del Huds 11 Words And say,

— To say,— Cap et cet
622 beggerie | beggary Pope,+, Wh
i, Ktly
624 Gaine Lord, Ff, Rowe, Pope,
Han Gain, lord! Var. '21, Sing
Knt, Ktly, Sta Huds 1 Gain, lord,

Han Gain, lord! Var. '21, Sing Knt, Ktly, Sta Huds 1 Gain, lord, Coll Cam +, Del Fle Neils Craig Gain, lord,— Dyce, Hal Huds 11, Words Gain, lord, Theob et cet

in gold '—II, vii, 55—BELDEN (*Tudor Sh*) Of the innumerable exercises of wit suggested by the two meanings of this word (see also III, ii, 28), the most famous is Donne's *The Bracelet*, which Ben Jonson told Drummond he had 'by heart' [The Elegy to which Belden refers may be found in Donne's *Works*, ed Grosart, vol i, p. 192. It is there entitled, *Upon the Losse of his Mistresses Chaine*—ED]

616. salutel RUSHTON (Sh Illust by Old Authors, p 15) 'Our gold is either old or new The old is that which hath remained since the time of King Edward the Third or beene coined by such other princes as have reigned since his decease, and without anie abasing or diminution of that mettall Thereof also we have yet remaining, the riall, the George noble, the Henry riall, the salut, the angell, and their small peeces as halfes, or quarters, though these in my time are not so common to be seene '-Harrison, Description of England, Bk 11, cap xxv, [ed Furnivall, p 362 'Salute, salus, was a coyn of gold stamped by King Henry the Fifth in France, after his conquests there whereon the arms of England and France were stamped quarterly '-Stowe, Chronicles, p 589 I think that Shakespeare plays upon the word 'salute' in this passage, using it in a double sense in connection with the word 'angel,' and I am able to quote a passage from Beaumont & Fletcher, in which the word 'salute' is also played upon in a similar way. 'Pr'ythee, old angel-gold, salute my family, I'll do as much for yours'-Scornful Lady, II, iii. Although the word 'rail' is used immediately after the words 'salute' and 'angel,' it may be considered very doubtful whether Shakespeare there plays upon that word, although he often uses in a double sense words which do not differ more from each other in sound and meaning than the words 'rail' and riall.

619-624. Well, whiles . . . worship thee] Corson (Intro to Sh, p 172) All this is pure self-slander, as his subsequent disinterested and magnanimous words show.

624 Game...theel F GENTLEMAN (ap. Bell, p. 25) The second Act consists of altercation, martial noise, and bustle, great sound, little matter, for, save a few speeches, the whole rather drags—the concluding soliloquy has great, but obscure, merit

Actus Secundus [Act III. Scene i]

Enter Constance, Arthur, and Salisbury.

Con. Gone to be married? Gone to fweare a peace?

3 [1]

r Actus Secundus] Act II, SCENE r Rowe 1 Scene continued Rowe 11 Act I, SCENE vII Pope Act II, SCENE II Wh 1, Fle Dono Act III, SCENE I Theob et cet SCENE The French King's Pavilion Theob et seq (subs).

- r Actus Secundus Theobald's rearrangement whereby this scene is made the first scene of Act III is adopted by the majority of editors, and is here followed in order to facilitate references to modern editions See 1 75 and notes below —ED
- 2 Constance] F Gentleman (ap Bell, p 25) Our Author, who took very little pains in general with female characters, there being no performers of that sex upon the stage in his time, has, however, roused his genius in favour of Constance, he has entered into and expressed her complaints in a most masterly manner, the ideas through the whole of this scene are happily pathetic, they appeal so successfully to the heart that even common feelings must submit to their force [Reed's opinion on Gentleman's qualification as an editor seems justified by this evidence of a lack of critical acumen See note on *Dram Persona*, 1 2—ED]
- 3 Gone to be married CAMPBELL (Life of Mrs Siddons, 1, 215), among other memoranda furnished him by the actress, gives the following 'Whenever I was called upon to personate the Character of Constance, I never, from the beginning of the play to the end of my part in it, once suffered my dressing-room door to be closed, in order that my attention might be constantly fixed on those distressing events which, by this means I could plainly hear going on upon the stage, the terrible effects of which progress were to be represented by me Moreover, I never omitted to place myself, with Arthur in my hand, to hear the march, when, upon the reconciliation of England and France, they enter the gates of Angiers to ratify the contract of marriage between the Dauphin and the Lady Blanche, because the sickening sounds of that march would usually cause the bitter tears of rage, disappointment, betrayed confidence, baffled ambition, and, above all, the agonizing feelings of maternal affection to gush into my eyes. In short, the spirit of the whole drama took possession of my mind and frame by my attention being riveted to the passing scenes'-J Knight (Harper's Maga, May, 1903, Among the sillinesses that have been uttered concerning Shakespeare, one of the best known and most futile is the assertion that he killed Mercutio for fear that Mercutio might otherwise kill him With slightly less absurdity it might be maintained that he killed Constance because the white heat of passion which she reaches in her early scenes and the agonies of suffering to which she is subsequently a prey, could neither be enhanced nor sustained. Such passion as she exposes does indeed kill Not at all the kind of 'grief that will not speak' is that of Constance Her woes are clamorous as her sorrow is 'proud' All the same, they whisper the o'erfraught heart and bid it break. Her opening words in the

False blood to false blood ioyn'd. Gone to be freinds?	[2]
Shall Lewis haue Blaunch, and Blaunch those Prouinces?	5
It is not fo, thou hast mispoke, misheard,	
Be well aduif'd, tell ore thy tale againe	[5]
It cannot be, thou do'ft but fay 'tis fo.	
I trust I may not trust thee, for thy word	
Is but the vaine breath of a common man.	10
Beleeue me, I doe not beleeue thee man,	
I haue a Kings oath to the contrarie.	[10]
Thou shalt be punish'd for thus frighting me,	
For I am ficke, and capeable of feares,	14 [12]

4 ioyn'd] joined! Rowe et seq freinds] Fr. 5 Lewis] Louis Dyce, Hal Wh i. 8, 9 be, thee,] Ff, Rowe be, thee, Pope, Han Coll Del Dono Craig. be; thee, Theob et cet 8 [o] so, Cap Varr. Mal. Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce, Hal. Sta. Cam +, Huds 11.
9 I irust I thunk Pope, Han
10 man | man Ktly, Rife, Neils
11. Beleeue man | Om. Pope, Han
thee man | thee, man Theob et seq
14 feares, | feares F₂ Fears F₄,
Rowe 1, Sing fears, Coll Dyce, Hal
Wh 1, Ktly, Huds. Craig.

Third Act surpass in emotional vigor and intensity almost any others assigned to a woman in Shakespeare, and seem to defy the utmost power of the actress. No artist has accordingly risen to the height of them, though almost all the greatest have essayed the part—[Knight quotes but a part of the foregoing memoranda by Mrs Siddons, with this comment 'Words were not the medium in which Mrs Siddons worked, otherwise this very declaration, designed to show how thoroughly she entered into the part, might be taken to prove only how incompetent she was to grasp it' Though it is hardly germane to the discussion of a passage in King John, yet, as Knight has introduced the subject, it may be of interest to note in passing that the 'silliness' in regard to Mercutio was perpetrated by Dryden in his Defence of the Epilogue, appended to the Conquest of Grenada, pt 11. It ments, I think, even a harsher term, Dryden gives it as a remark of Shakespeare himself—Ep |

14-17. For I am sicke... to feares] RUSHTON (Sh. & Arte of Poesie, p. 127): In this passage Shakespeare uses the sort of repetition called Antistrophe or the Counterturn, which Puttenham thus describes 'Ye have another sort of repetition quite contrary to the former' [where one word is made to begin many verses in sute] 'when ye make one word finish many verses in sute, and that which is harder, to finish many clauses in the middest of your verses or dittie,' [ed. Arber, p. 208. Compare, for a similar series of lines, Mer. of Ven., V, i, 103-107—ED]

14 I am sicke] BUCKNILL (Mad Folke, etc., p. 276) There is one word in this passage which must not pass without comment. Constance avows herself in ill health 'For I am sick' This point of physical disturbance is rarely omitted by Shakespeare in the development of insanity. It may be referred to in this instance in the most casual and careless manner, for the drama can take little cognizance of the physical imperfections of our nature. Still, however skilfully and imperceptible, the point is made. In a sick frame, passion like that of Constance would have fuller sway. The irritable nerves and the irritated mind would

Opprest with wrongs, and therefore full of feares,	15 [13]
A widdow, husbandles, fubiect to feares,	
A woman naturally borne to feares,	[15]
And though thou now confesse thou didst but 1est	
With my vext spirits, I cannot take a Truce,	
But they will quake and tremble all this day	20
What dost thou meane by shaking of thy head?	
Why doft thou looke fo fadly on my fonne?	[20]
What meanes that hand vpon that breast of thine?	
Why holdes thine eie that lamentable rhewme,	
Like a proud river peering ore his bounds?	25 [23]

- 16 subrect subréct' Fle
- 17. feares, | fears Pope, Han Ktly
- 18, 19 1eft /purits,] Ff, Knt, Coll 1 jest, spirits Rowe et cet
- 19 vext] vex'd Mal. et seq [pirits] sprites Fle
- 24 thewme] theume F₃F₄

act and react on each other Emotion would obtain more complete and disastrous empire

- 14 capeable] Compare II, 1, 500
- 16 A widdow, husbandles] In a modern text these words should, perhaps, be separated by a dash, thus making the adjective apply to Constance herself emphatically A husbandless widow is, to say the least, tautological See II, 1, 573 and notes —ED
- 16 subject] WRIGHT 'Subject' is here accented on the second syllable—DAW-SON (University Shakespeare) opines that 'the unusual recurrence of the same word at the end of four consecutive lines makes it probable that the second syllable of "subject" is to be accented here '—[Sir Andrew when taxed for an exquisite reason said he had 'no exquisite reason, but reason good enough '—ED.]
- 18, 19 iest ... vext spirits,] DYCE (Remarks, etc., p 89) So the passage is pointed in the old editions, and, I believe, by all the modern editors, directly against the sense. [Dyce then shows that the proper punctuation is the placing of a comma after 'jest,' l 18, and its removal after 'spirits,' l 19. Had he but consulted any edition preceding Knight's or Collier's, against whom his remarks were directed, he might have found strong grounds for a change in his belief as regards 'all the modern editors' See Text Notes—ED]—VERPLANCK The sense is, obviously, that in spite of the confession that the bad news just communicated was but in jest, yet she cannot gain any interval of repose for her disturbed mind. The ordinary punctuation [the Ff.] gives a different and erroneous sense.
- 19 take a Truce] DYCE (Remarks, p 89). To 'take a truce with' is a common expression. 'Could not take truce with the unruly spleen Of Tybalt,' Rom. & Jul, III, 1, 162. 'Take truce awhile with these immoderate mournings,' The Coxcomb, IV, IV. [Also, Tro. & Cress, II, 11, 75]
- 21-26. What dost thou meane . . . thy words] IVOR JOHN This may be compared with Northumberland's speech on hearing of Hotspur's death, I Henry IV: I, i, 94-103.
- 23 breast of thine] For this redundant possessive compare. 'Death of thy soul! Those linen cheeks of thine Are counsellors to fear.'—Macbeth, V, iii, 16.—ED.
 - 25 Like a proud river . . . bounds] MALONE. This seems to have been imi-

Be these fad fignes confirmers of thy words?	26
Then speake againe, not all thy former tale,	[25]
But this one word, whether thy tale be true.	
Sal. As true as I beleeue you thinke them false,	
That give you cause to prove my saying true	30
Con. Oh if thou teach me to beleeue this forrow,	
Teach thou this forrow, how to make me dye,	[30]
And let beleefe, and life encounter fo,	
As doth the furie of two desperate men,	
Which in the very meeting fall, and dye.	35
Lewes marry Blaunch? O boy, then where art thou?	
France friend with England, what becomes of me?	[35]
Fellow be gone · I cannot brooke thy fight,	
This newes hath made thee a most vgly man.	39 [37]

26 fignes] sighs Warb Theob 11,

27 agame,] Ff, Theob a gam Var '73 agam,— Dyce, Hal Words agam, Rowe et cet

28 word,] word,-- Sta

29 As true as I beleeue] Ff, Dyce, Cam +, Huds ii, Words Dono Neils. Craig As true, as I believe Rowe, Pope, Han Fle As true as, I believe, Var '73, Sing ii, Hal Ktly, Sta Del Coll iii. As true, as, I believe, Theob et cet

you] you'll Ktly
falfe,] false Rowe 11, Dyce, Cam.
+, Huds 11, Words Dono Neils Craig
31 Oh] O! Coll Sing 11, Wh 1, Huds.
Oh! Ktly

34. desperate] desp'rate Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns 35. Which meeting fall, I ff, Coll Del Which meeting fall Rowe, Dyce, Wh 1, Cam +, Huds 11 Which, meeting, fall Pope, Theob Han Which, meeting, fall Warb Johns Which, meeting, fall, Cap et cet

dye] die, Warb diel— Dyce, Hal. Huds ii, Rlfe.

36 Lewes] Lewis Ff

marry] wed Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns

Blaunch?] F₂F₃ Blanch? F₄ Blanch! Rowe et seq.

37. England, Ff, Rowe i, Cam +, Fle Rlfe, Dono Neils England' Rowe ii, et cet

38 be gone] be gone! Neils Craig. 39. This] The Cap.

tated by Marston, Insatrate Countess, 1603 'Then how much more in me, whose youthful veins, Like a proud river, overflow their bounds?'—Act III, [ed Halliwell, p 156].—WRIGHT compares 'The ocean overpeering of his list'—Hamlet, IV, v, 99, and Ivor John, 'Have every pelting [paltry] river made so proud That they have overborne their continents.'—Mid N Dream, II, 1, 92

25 proud] MURRAY (N E D., s v H, γ c) Of the sea or a stream Swelling, swollen, high, strong, in flood. Coverdale, Job, xxxviii, ii. 'Here shalt thou laye downe thy proude and hye waves'

29. them] C & M COWDEN CLARKE 'Them' refers to those who occasion her grief; in Shakespeare's mode of sometimes employing a relatively used pronoun in reference to an implied particular. The way in which Salisbury's character is drawn, refined in speech, gentle in manner, has fitness as well as beauty [See *Dram Person*, s. v Notice Salisbury's use of the deferential 'you,' while Constance uniformly addresses him with 'thou' and 'thee.'—ED.]

Sal. What other harme have I good Lady done,	40 [38]
But fpoke the harme, that is by others done?	
Con. Which harme within it felfe fo heynous is,	[40]
As it makes harmefull all that speake of it	
Ar. I do befeech you Madam be content.	
Con If thou that bidst me be content, wert grim	45
Vgly, and flandrous to thy Mothers wombe,	
Full of vnpleasing blots, and sightlesse staines,	[45]
Lame, foolish, crooked, swart, prodigious,	48

40-43 In margin Pope, Han
40 I good Lady] I, good Lady, F₄
42 Which] What Fle (misprint)
heynous] hainous F₃F₄ heinous
Pope
44 you Madam] you, Madam, F₄
you, mother, Pope, Theob Han Warb
Johns

45-56 If thou Rose Mnemonic,

45 grim] grim, F4

46 flandrous] slanderous Coll Dyce, Sta Huds Cam +, Del Words Craig. 47 fightlessel unsightly Coll MS.

48 prodigious] prodigious Fle.

42 Which harmel For this use of 'which' with repeated antecedent, compare I, 1, 126, 127, and see, if needful, ABBOTT, § 269

44. I do beseech youl C & M COWDEN CLARKE The boy's artless appeals to his mother amidst her vehement indignation and passionate lamentation, a compound of maternal ambition and maternal love, should have sufficed to teach her heart the lesson so subtly inculcated by the Poet, that ambitious projects indulged for the sake of a being beloved, until they merge affection in violence and absorbing purpose, gradually undermine love in the bosom of the one beloved It is curious to observe how little of tenderness there is in Arthur towards his mother. as response to all the passionate (but vehemently and violently passionate) love she lavishes upon him Thus acutely and truly does Shakespeare inculcate his moral lessons -Marshall I do not think that on the strength of this line one can, as Clarke does, build any theory that Arthur was lacking in affection towards his mother The boy was naturally alarmed at her vehemence, gently, and respectfully, he seeks to calm her agitation Dramatic exigencies forbid any long speech on his part. For a similar use of the word 'content,' compare Rich II. V, 11, 80-82 'York Peace, foolish woman Duch I will not peace, &c Aum Good mother, be content '

- 44 be content] MURRAY (N. E. D., s. v. content, I. b.) Be content, be satisfied in mind, be calm, quiet, not uneasy
 - 46 Vgly, and slandrous] Compare Rich III I, iii, 231.
- 47 blots] MALONE compares 'Worse than a slavish wipe or birth-hour's blot,' Lucrece, 1 537
- 47 sightlesse] Craigie (N. E D., s v. I 3). Unsightly [The present line and a passage from Lithgow's Travels, 1632, quoted as the only examples of the word in this sense—ED]
- 48. prodigious] Johnson That is, portentous, so deformed as to be a fore-token of evil [Compare Rich III: I, ii, 21, 22. 'If ever he have child abortive be it, Prodigious and untimely brought to light.']

Patch'd with foule Moles, and eye-offending markes,	[47]
I would not care, I then would be content,	50
For then I should not loue thee: no, nor thou	
Become thy great birth, nor deferue a Crowne	[50]
But thou art faire, and at thy birth (deere boy)	
Nature and Fortune ioyn'd to make thee great.	
Of Natures guifts, thou mayst with Lillies boast,	55 [53]

51 [hould] would Rowe 1
thee] thee,— Ktly
53 birth (deere boy)] Ff birth, dear
boy, Rowe, Cap Knt, Coll Dyce, Hal
Wh 1, Sta Huds Cam +, Del Fle

Rlie buth, dear boy! Pope et cet
54 great] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Ktly,
Neils great; Sta. Fle Rlie great:
Cap et cet
55 guifts] gifts Ff

50 I would not care] BUCKNILL (Mad-Folk, etc., p 274) The attack on Salisbury, the innocent messenger, so unworthy of a lady and a princess, can only be excused on the supposition that she is beside herself with fruitless rage, and vents it on any one within reach. It wants but little that she should turn her tongue or her hands even upon Arthur When, alarmed by her fury, he interposes, 'I do beseech you, madam, be content,' she replies with a strange sophistry which a true mother's heart would never employ When was true mother's love ever measured by the beauty of her child? When did it not rather increase with the child's imperfections? Sacred miracle of nature, a mother's love hangs not on such casual gifts as form and beauty The cretin idiot, hideous and half human, receives more than its share .. But the love of Constance is alloyed with pride, and ambition, and selfishness Not simply because Arthur is her son is he dear to her, but also because he is rightful heir to a crown, and because his beauty flatters her pride With the true selfishness of intense pride she attributes the sufferance of all Arthur's injuries to herself. She alone feels and must underbear the woes of disappointed ambition

55, 56 Lillies . . . Rose] Miss Porter The fairness of skin and ruddiness of cheek is not alone suggested by these flowers. The lilies of France and the Rose of England are fitly blended in the boy born of both races and heir in both lands to dominance over them 'Nature' and 'fortune' are joined here in their gifts.-[This possible reference to the national flowers of France and England has been also suggested by an anonymous editor of a selection of the Plays, published by Edward Lumley, London, no date, unrecorded by Jaggard. Attractive as it undoubtedly is, it will, I fear, prove untenable, the comparison of the fresh complexion of youth to the lily and rose is far too common among ancient writers to make it necessary to suppose that it here means anything but a compliment to Arthur's youthful beauty. Here are but a few examples of this comparison: 'There did I behold them [the Ladies of England] of pure complexion, exceeding the lillie, & the rose, of favour (wherein ye chiefest beautic consisteth) surpassing the pictures that were feyned,' Lyly, Euphues and his England, ed. Bond, ii, p. 200, 'In my beloved's face the Rose and hly strive, Among ten thousand men not one is found so fair alive,' Drayton, 1591, Harmonie of the Church, ch v, I 25, ed Hooper, 111, p 254. These next following are quoted by Burton, Anatomy of Melancholy, in his Chapter on Love Melancholy Symptomes of Love. 'lac, et lilium Albamque simul rosam et rubicundam, Et expolitum ebur Indicum.' (These lines are of un-

ACT III, SC. i] OF KING IOHN	167
And with the halfe-blowne Rofe. But Fortune, oh,	56
She is corrupted, chang'd, and wonne from thee,	[55]
Sh'adulterates hourely with thine Vnckle Iohn,	
And with her golden hand hath pluckt on France	
To tread downe faire respect of Soueraigntie,	60
And made his Maiestie the bawd to theirs	
France is a Bawd to Fortune, and king Iohn,	[60]
That strumpet Fortune, that vsurping Iohn.	_
Tell me thou fellow, is not France for worne?	
Euvenom him with words, or get thee gone,	65
And leave those woes alone, which I alone	[64]
56 Rose] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Coll 1, 58 thine] thy F4, Rowe 1	
in, Sing ii, Wh Cam +, Del Fle 61 theirs] them Vaughan	
Dono Neils Craig rose Cap et cet. 62 king Iohn] to John Pope, 7 oh.] Ff, Rowe, Fle ohl Pope, +, Han Warb Johns	i'heob
Cap Var '78, '85, Rann, Ktly O, 63 Iohn John! Pope,+, Coll	Dyce,

Cam +, Dono Neils O! Mal et cet 57-63 She is vsurping Iohn] Om

58 Sh'] Om Pope, Theob Han

Warb Johns She Cap et seq

Dono

Hal Wh Ktly, Huds Cam +. Del

66 those these F4, Rowe, Pope.+.

64 me thou] me, thou F4

65 Euvenom Fz.

OF WING TORIN

certain origin, but usually assigned to Cornelius Gallus, a contemporary of Virgil. Burton assigns them to Petronius, which is certainly an error) Burton thus translates 'The milk, the lily do not come thee near, the rose so white, the rose so red to see, and Indian ivory comes short of thee' And this from Chaucer 'That Emelye, that fairer was to sene Than is the lilie upon his stalke grene And fressher than the May with flowres newe For with the rose colour stroof hir hewe,' The Knightes Tale (ed. Skeat, ll. 1035–1038) Perhaps, also, Mid. N. Dream, III, 1, 96, 97 Again, Spenser. 'And in her cheekes the vermeil red did shew Like roses in a bed of lillies shed,' Faerie Queene, Bk II, can. 111, st 22 For those who still prefer Miss Porter's interpretation, there is one other objection, though, it must be admitted, a very slight one, viz that for Constance to refer to the rose as typical of England would be an anachronism, the rose was not adopted as the national emblem until after the Wars of the Roses, when Henry VII made it his cognizance, the lily of France, or the fleur de lys, was, of course, much older —ED]

- 55 boast] Vaughan (1, 38) interprets 'boast with,' as here used, in the sense wie with, quoting in confirmation 'Nor should that nation boast it so with us,' r Henry VI III, iii, 23, but Murray (N E D, s. v boast, II 3) quotes his same line in illustration of, To speak vaingloriously, extol oneself, and among the several meanings of this word that suggested by Vaughan does not appear. The simpler meaning is, therefore, to be preferred, as thus. Thou mayst, as well as the hily and rose, praise thyself for having nature's gifts—Ep.
- 59. pluckt on] That is, incited, instigated, compare 'I am in So far in blood that sin will pluck on sin'—Richard III. IV, ii, 65
- 65 Euvenom] BRADLEY (N E. D, s. v. envenom, r.). To venom (a person, an animal); to poison by contact, bite, inoculation, etc, c 1400 Maundeville, v (1839), 54: 'The serpentes byten hem & envenyme hem' [Compare: 'Oh what

Am bound to vnder-beare.

67 [65]

Pardon me Madam,

I may not goe without you to the kings.

Con. Thou maift, thou shalt, I will not go with thee, 70 I will instruct my forrowes to bee proud, For greefe is proud, and makes his owner stoope, 72

68 me] me, F4 70 marst] mayest F4 may'st Rowe thee,] F2 thee F3F4, Rowe, Pope, +, Coll thee Cap et cet 71 [orrowes] sorrow Rowe 11, Pope, Han

72 proud] poor H A C (Athenæum, 29 June, 1867)

72 and] an't Anon ap. Cam his] its Var '21

his owner stoope] Ff, Rowe his owner stout Han Warb Johns Varr Rann, Steev Var '03, '13, Sing Dyce, Sta Huds 11 dishonour stoop Bulloch his owner too Cartwright owner stoop Pope et cet

a world is this, when what is comely Envenoms him that bears it '-As You Lake It, II, 111, 15]

67 vnder-beare] That is, endure Compare 'Wooing poor craftsmen with the craft of smiles And patient underbearing of his fortune '-Rickard II I, iv, 28

70 I will not go with thee] BOADEN (Life of Kemble, 1, 134) I am clearly of opinion that among the finest things Mrs Siddons ever did are to be numbered the majestic sorrows—the look—the mode of taking the earth as a throne—the pride of soul, with which she prepared, deserted, and devoted as she found herself, to shame the assembled sovereigns, who had so basely abandoned her cause. The lines of Shakespeare, it is true, suggest it all, but never did the grand conceptions of a poet find more congenial imagination, never perhaps equal powers to embody the creation of his fancy

72. greefe is proud . . stoope] JOHNSON In Much Ado, the father of Hero, depressed by her disgrace, declares himself so subdued by grief that a thread may lead him, [IV, 1, 250 et seq] How is it that grief in Leonato and Lady Constance produces effects directly opposite, and yet both agreeable to nature? [It is to be borne in mind that Johnson adopted Hanmer's reading stout -ED | Sorrow softens the mind while it is yet warmed by hope, but hardens it when it is congealed by despair Distress, while there remains any prospect of relief, is weak and flexible, but when no succour remains, is fearless and stubborn; angry alike at those that injure and at those that do not help, careless to please where nothing can be gained, and fearless to offend when there is nothing further to be dreaded. Such was this writer's knowledge of the passions -Steevens (Var, 1778), in corroboration of the justice of Hanmer's change, quotes 'Full, with stout grief, and with disdainful woe'-Daniel Civil Wars, [bk vii, stanza 44. Beyond the fact that the words 'stout' and 'grief' appear close together, there is no similarity whatever Hanmer's change refers to the sufferer from grief who is made stout or resolute thereby, but the line from Daniel merely gives a descriptive epithet to grief. It is to be regretted that Hanmer has not furnished us with any note as to the exact shade of meaning he attached to 'stout' in this connection. Schmidt (Lex) gives examples of the word used in various senses, as, strong, proud, overbearing, resolute, brave, etc -ED |-MALONE Our Author has rendered this passage obscure by indulging himself in one of those conceits in which he too much delights,

and by bounding rapidly, with his usual license, from one idea to another reading stout for 'stoop'] has been too hastily adopted in the subsequent editions The confusion arises from the Poet's having personified grief in the first part of the passage, and supposing the afflicted person to be bowed to the earth by that pride or haughtiness which Grief, which he personifies, is said to possess, and by making the afflicted person, in the latter part of the passage, actuated by this very pride, and exacting the same kind of obeisance from others that Grief has exacted 'I will not go (says Constance) to these kings, I will teach my sorrows to be proud For Grief is proud, and makes the afflicted stoop, therefore here I throw myself, and let them come to me' Here, had she stopped, and thrown herself on the ground, and had nothing more been added, however we might have disapproved of the concert, we should have had no temptation to disturb the text But the idea of throwing herself on the ground suggests a new image, and because her stately grief is so great that nothing but the huge earth can support it, she considers the ground as her throne, and having thus invested herself with regal dignity, she, as queen in misery, as possessing (like Imogen) 'the supreme crown of grief,' calls on the princes of the world to bow down before her, as she herself has been bowed down by affliction Such, I think, was the process that passed in the Poet's mind, which appears to me so clearly to explain the text that I see no reason for departing from it -M MASON (Additional Comments, p 35) Hanmer's reading, stout, is an admirable amendment which renders this noble passage agreeable to the feelings of human nature, and consistent with the rest of the speech, which is perhaps the proudest and stoutest that ever was uttered 'To the state of my great grief Let kings assemble' Is it in such terms as those that a grief would be expressed which made the owner stoop? I am really surprised that Mr Malone should endeavor, by one elaborate argument, to support the old debasing reading of the Folio, a pride which makes the owner stoop is a kind of pride I have never heard of, and though grief in a weaker degree, and working in weaker minds, may depress the spirits, despair such as the haughty Constance felt at this time must naturally rouse them. This distinction is accurately pointed out by Johnson in his observation on this passage - CAPELL (I, pt 2, p 126) 'Stout' is no easy word, nor of much fitness for the mouth of a lady, whose sentence is very perfect with 'stoop,' and her word necessary to introduce with propriety her own stooping and the stooping she insists on from 'kings', the emphatical word in it is the word before 'stoop'-[] H Voss, one of the early German translators of Shakespeare, and whose work was issued in 1822, provided for his readers a select number of notes elucidating the English idioms which he was unable to give directly in his own language For the most part the notes are short translations of the earlier English commentators, but at other times Voss waxes bold and fearlessly ventures into the dangerous domain of conjectural emendation, doubly perilous for a foreigner dealing with Elizabethan English Voss's attempt for the present passage is a notable example, he says 'We might here read "grief is proud and makes his anwner stoop" The two kings have laid pressing grief upon Constance (have downed Constance), but the pride in grief shall cast under foot these downers themselves. If only the existence of a substantive "downer" might be proved.' -Voss's implied wish has not yet been fulfilled, even examples of the verbal form of 'down' in the sense to put down are not common until much later than the sixteenth century.-Ed |-KNIGHT The meaning of the passage appears to us,

briefly, thus Constance refuses to go with Salisbury to the kings-she will instruct her sorrows to be proud, for grief is proud in spirit, even while it bows down the body of its owner The commentators substituted the ridiculous word stout because they received 'stoop' in the sense of submission Constance continues the fine image throughout her speech 'To me and to the state of my great grief Let kings assemble', here grief is 'proud' 'Here I and sorrows sit', here grief 'makes his owner stoop,' and leaves the physical power 'no supporter but the huge firm earth' A valued friend, for whose opinion we have the highest regard, has no doubt that 'stoop' is the word, but that the meaning is, makes its owner stoop to it—to grief He thinks that the 'and' joins and assimilates the two clauses of the sentence, instead of contrasting them At any rate, we cannot but choose to abide by the restoration [of the Folio text] - J MITFORD (Gentleman's Maga, Aug, 1844) Stout is an emendation of Sir T Hanmer's, approved by Johnson and Monck Mason, and received into the text, which in the old copy is, 'and makes its owner stoop' Why 'its' should be altered to his we cannot see, we also doubt Hanmer's alteration, which is too distant from the original to be at once admitted We would read, 'For grief is proud, and makes its owners too', only leaving one redundant letter, p, owners too was easily corrupted into 'owner stoop,' or it might be owners so -[On the authority of Dyce (ed 11) I assign this and other notes on the text of King John in this number of the Gentleman's Magazine to John Mitford, the article entitled Conjectural Emendations of the Text of Shakespeare is unsigned, but Dyce was Mitford's literary executor and doubtless had some substantial evidence whereon to base his assertion The Cambridge Edd, without locating these notes, also assign all of the conjectural readings to John Mitford -ED]—COLLIER The old and sufficiently intelligible reading has been misunderstood by most modern editors [see Text Notes] The meaning seems to be that grief (which the Poet personifies) is proud even while he compels his owner to stoop, as Constance did to the earth, to receive the homage of monarchs. [In his second ed. Collier adds to this] She stooped to the earth in her pride, and was, in fact, the more proud by this act of seeming condescension -Delius Grief is personified as overbearing and forcing those who own it to submit, it presses her in its pride to the ground so that she also can do nothing but obey its commands Constance thus furnishes the motive for her refusal to listen to the behest of kings, who should more fittingly come to her.—STAUNTON, who adopts Hanmer's reading, says. 'I must confess, despite the elaborate defence of the ancient reading by Malone, and its adoption by Messers Collier and Knight, that 'stoop' appears to me entirely inconsistent both with the context and with the subsequent language and demeanour of Lady Constance before the Kings of France and England Shakespeare, I conceive, intended to express the very natural sentiment that grief is proud, and renders its possessor proud also, but wishing to avoid the repetition of proud, which had been introduced twice immediately before, he adopted a word, stout, which was commonly used in the same sense —Hudson The meaning seems to be that grief is so proud that even in receiving the homage of kings its owner stoops or condescends -R G White Those who have concluded that the passage is corrupt must surely have done so without sufficient examination of the con-Constance has just said, 'And leave those woes alone, which I alone Am bound to under-bear.' And two lines below she says 'My gnef's so great That no supporter but the huge firm Earth Can hold it up ' She means to represent her-

self as bowed to the earth by her great sorrow, and she uses 'proud' in the double sense of haughty, which it still preserves, and of great, swollen, which it had in Shakespeare's time The following passages afford almost needless illustration and support of this interpretation 'When Octavia by the imploiment of Antonie ... throws her selfe great with child, & as big with sorrowe, into the travaile of a most laboursome reconciliation '-Daniel's Letter from Octavia, &c, The Argument, 1500 'Wherein I may say they are a greate deale more fruiteful than Hares, for they are reported to conceiue, to goe prowde, and to litter their leverets at one instaunt But these were great with fearing before they conceive it '-Gosson's Ephemerides of Phialo, 1579, fol 27, finally, in 1 25 ante, 'Like a proud river peering o'er his bounds' 'His owner' is not a personification, nor should we read 'sis owner' 'His' is used for its —Keightley (Exp, 222) I see no need of Hanmer's change We talk of a person being bowed to the earth with grief, and this is what the Poet meant 'Owner' was used of one who simply had, as 'But like the owner of a foul disease'—Hamlet, IV, 1, 24—ELZE (ap ULRICI, Sh., vol 1, p 237) While 'stout' is quite unobjectionable to the sense of the passage, at the same time it not only forms a disagreeable harmony with the foregoing word 'proud,' but is also feebly tautological. I think we should more likely read 'none makes his owner stoop' Kings alone, says Constance, are in the position to make me stoop, I am royal as they are, and my grief is my throne [To this note the editor, Ulrici, added 'I should rather think that Sh simply wrote, "makes his owner stop," to stop in the sense make immoveable Constance means, she cannot go with Salisbury because her grief is too proud and her weariness so heavy that she cannot move '-ED]-C & M. COWDEN CLARKE We think that the mere word 'instruct' suffices to show that 'stoop' is the right word here. Constance, 'sick,' 'oppress'd with wrongs,' 'full of fears,' in 'vex'd spirits' that 'quake and tremble,' feels herself bowed down by grief, beat to the earth, sinking beneath the load of her sorrows and injuries, and may well say that she will teach them to be proud, to resist the pride of grief which makes her 'stoop' to its overpowering weight. She feels herself physically giving way under the load of the burden laid upon her, and with her rich imagination converts the earth to which she is compelled to 'stoop' into a 'supporter' and 'throne '-Rev. JOHN HUNTER We prefer the old reading, because we apprehend that 'his owner' denotes not the individual that is proud, but the king, lord, or master of that individual Constance will not go to the kings, but will have the kings come to her She immediately adds 'To me and to the state of my great grief let kings assemble', and in concluding her speech she says 'Here (that is, on the ground) is my throne, let kings come bow to it '-[It will be noticed that Voss, though rather indirectly, arrived at somewhat the same conclusion in his interpretation.— ED]—FLEAY That is, stoop to grief I bow to my grief, let others (kings or otherwise) also bow to grief, who is embodied in me. Hanmer's reading is not required —HERR, in answer to the foregoing laconic note by Fleay, says (p 24). 'Constance does not say-nor is it implied—that "she bows to her grief," but summons kings to do so, as before a throne, nor does she say that she will, or that she intends to "stoop to her grief", on the contrary, she invokes the aid of pride to sustain and instruct her how to combat the weaknesses of graef,-"to suffer and be strong."—and to enable her to use in proud and rebellious resistance to the depressing effects of grief or sorrow She desires her grief to become proud

in order that both may be firm, resistive, and unvielding "Thou shalt, I will not go with thee" Here she is uncomplying, aggressive, and rebellious in a material sense, and she is determined that her grief shall likewise rise proud and hostile in a moral sense to oppose the ills of fortune or the machinations of her enemies So far from her "stooping to grief" or aught else, a striking manifestation of her being proud is evinced in her haughty charge, "bid kings come bow"; Herr then suggests that the most likely word selected by Shakespeare to express the conditions indicated is stiff, and quotes several passages from the Bible in illustration of the use of stiff in connection with pride as corroboration of his emendation -- MOBERLY That is, Grief is a proud and stern master, who bows down every one who has to submit to him -WRIGHT The Authorized Version of Proverbs, x11, 25, is 'Heaviness in the heart of man maketh it stoop,' and it might be thought that Shakespeare had this in his mind, but King John was written long before the Authorised Version appeared, and the earlier English versions have not the expression 'maketh it stoop' While, however, the passage cannot be quoted as having suggested the expression, it contains the same idea and shows that Hanmer's alteration arose from a misconception - Vaughan (1, 39) I believe that 'stoop' is an error, but am not fully satisfied with stout. I propose for consideration 'makes his own so too' The speaker is giving a reason for instructing 'her sorrows to be proud'. And as her sorrows are 'her own sorrows,' it is reasonable to show that Grief, being proud, makes what is its own proud too 'Owne so too' easily became 'owner stoop'-Page This passage has never been satisfactorily explained Perhaps it means. I have to bow down to my grief. let others bend to it also. It subdues all who come under its influence. In the preceding line 'instruct' signifies command, direct, order, as in 'If thou dost as this instructs thee '-Lear, V, iii, 20. The line then signifies I will direct my grief to act according to its proud nature -- IVOR JOHN There is evidently some corruption of the text here, and the context leads one to suspect 'stoop' and perhaps 'his owner' All the suggested emendations wrest some meaning out of the passage, but not one of them carries conviction with it Perhaps 'proud' is the corrupt word, which ought to be poor (as suggested by H A. C, [Text Notes]) or some such equivalent This would make Constance say in effect I will-in spite of my grief which is apt to bow me down and make me humble-be proud in my sorrow and make kings come to me - Marshall The meaning of this passage is tolerably plain in spite of the various efforts that have been made to amend it Constance says she will instruct her sorrows to be proud, and adds that grief or sorrow is proud, and makes his owner, 1 e, the person who owns the grief or sorrow, stoop beneath its weight . . The metaphor and the various ideas expressed are alike rather confused, but this is not unnatural, considering the agitation of the speaker, and is quite in keeping with the style of Shakespeare's earlier plays — DEIGHTON. I think the text is sound. In strict logic, if grief is naturally proud. there would be no need to instruct her sorrows to be so, but the sense seems to be, that as grief is proud and makes those subject to it bow their heads, so here she will teach her sorrows to show themselves so proud that, in their magnitude, others, even kings, shall be compelled to pay homage to them If there be corruption I should suppose it to be not in 'stoop,' but in 'proud,' which, caught from the line above, may have ousted some such word as meek -Miss Porter. It is, of course, King Grief that makes Constance 'stoop,' and she, being his subject, is his owner,

To me and to the state of my great greefe,
Let kings affemble for my greefe's fo great,
That no fupporter but the huge firme earth
Can hold it vp here I and forrowes fit,

73 [70]

73 To me] Ff To me Pope, Rowe,

75 [73]

Cam + et cet
75 earth earth [throwing herself
upon it Cap

76 vp] up Wh 1, Ktly, Rlfe, Neils forrowes] sorrow Pope,+, Rann, Steev Var '03, '13, Sing Dyce 11, 111, Huds Coll 111, Wh 1, Words Neils

the one who owns the sway, within her, of the mastery of Grief Therefore she stoops, and Grief is proud, as she will instruct her 'sorrows' also to be The point of the difference made by Shakespeare between the older Constance and the Constance of this play consists, in fact, in bowing her beneath the stroke of her grief first, and later rousing her against it Here begins the first stir of her consciousness against submission to this blow of fate. She stoops, but refuses to obey the summons, and thus begins to instruct her 'sorrows' to assume the dignity of 'grief'—[The simplest explanation of a passage as involved as this is ever the best, therefore let us accept that one which makes Constance, however illogically, say That she will not humble herself so far as to go to the kings, but will teach her sorrow to show more pride, because grief makes the one suffering from it humble, therefore the two kings must come to her Such seems to be the consensus of opinion I have but a very slight suggestion to offer—and with much diffidence -possibly the word 'owner' here is to be taken not in its usual sense of possessor, but one who acknowledges or recognizes, as in 'Two of these fellows you must know and own, this thing of darkness I acknowledge mine '—Tempest, V, 1, 275 With this meaning Constance says. The kings must acknowledge her grief, for Grief is proud and makes him who recognises it as grief, stoop or bow down before it -Ep]

- 72 his Cambridge Edd (Note XV) In Boswell's edition (Variorum, 1821) the reading 'its owner' is derived from a misprint of Johnson, who quotes it as the reading of the old editions Collier incorrectly attributes it to Malone
- 73 state] That is, throne, chair of state Compare 'Our hostess keeps her state, but in best time We will require her welcome.'—Macbeth, III, iv, 5.
- 75 no supporter but the . . earth] Malone Perhaps our Author here remembered the description of Elizabeth, widow of Edward IV, given in an old book that, I believe, he had read 'The quen' sat alone belowe on the russhes, all desolate and dysmaied, whom the Archbisshop comforted in the best maner that he coulde'—Continuation of Hardyng's Chronicle, 1543, [Fol xlii, ed Ellis, p 480] So also in a book already quoted [see note on II, 1, 400] that Shakespeare appears to have read 'All those things when I Joseph heard tydings of, I tare my head with my hand, and cast ashes upon my beard, sitting in great sorrow upon the ground'—History of the Latter Times of the Jewes Commonweale [Is not the custom of even greater antiquity than either of these citations? Compare 'So they sate by him upon the grounde seuen dayes, and seuen nightes, and none spake a worde vnto him for they sawe that the griefe was very great.'—Job, ii, 13 (Geneva Vers.).—ED]

76. sorrowes] CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 126). [As] for the change of 'sorrows,' who perceives not in that a greater energy than in its singular—sorrow? and it is besides

Heere is my Throne, bid kings come bow to it.

77 [74]

77 tt] tt Ff, Rowe, Pope tt [sits down on the floor Theob Han Warb. Johns Var '73 Coll Dyce, Hal Fle tt [She sits on the ground Exit Salisbury Wh 1 tt [Throws herself on the ground Var '78 et cet

a repeating of what the speaker throws out in 1 71, the change's classical air should be no argument for it in an author who made not classics his model — M Mason (Comments, etc., p 156) A slight corruption has here destroyed a very There is no poetical reader that will not join with me in reading 'Here I and sorrow's sit'-[I am loath to be excluded from such goodly company as Mason indicates, but I fear I must exclaim with Touchstone, changing but the pronoun, 'Truly, I would the gods had made me poetical', I do not understand his 'beautiful image'-ED]-MALONE (Var, '85) I believe the author meant to personify sorrow, and wrote, 'here I and Sorrow sit', which gives a more poetical image The transcriber's ear might easily have deceived him, the two readings, when spoken, sounding exactly alike. Marlowe had before our Author introduced the same personage in his Edward II 'While I am lodg'd within this cave of Care, Where Sorrow at my elbow still attends,' [ed Dyce, vol 11, p 258-In his own edition, five years later, Malone appended to the foregoing note 'In this conjecture I had once great confidence, but a preceding line, "I will instruct my sorrows to be proud," now appears to me to render it somewhat disputable? -Had Malone also, perhaps, not discovered that in this reading he had been anticipated by Pope? (see Text Notes) -In regard to the personification of sorrow, suggested by Malone, Vaughan pertinently remarks (i, p 39). 'If sorrow were a personality, surely the throne to be bowed to would not be that of Constance exclusively, but rather that of Sorrow alone, or with her '-ED]-WALKER (Crit, i. 234) The interpolation of an s at the end of a word—generally, but not always, a noun substantive—is remarkably frequent in the Folio Those who are conversant with the MSS of the Elizabethan age may perhaps be able to explain its Were it not for the different degree of frequency with which it occurs in different parts of the Folio-being comparatively rare in the Comedies (except, perhaps, in Winter's Tale), appearing more frequently in the Histories, and becoming quite common in the Tragedies-I should be inclined to think it originated in some peculiarity of Shakespeare's handwriting. [Among others Walker quotes the present line as an example of this interpolation]

77. Heere . . . to it] GILDON (p 340). There is a considerable Part of the second Act lost of this Piece, it containing only two pages, which are so well adorn'd with the well-drawn passion of Constance that we are obliged to fortune that it is not lost with the rest. Her passion in the first Scene of the Third Act is likewise just and masterly, and well worthy our perusing with Care—Shortly after the appearance of Pope's edition Theobald published his volume Shakespeare Restored, wherein he showed the many faults both of omission and commission in the work of his predecessor. In the Appendix (p. 159) Theobald says 'The Editor (who tells us that in the oldest Folio Edition, where the Acts and Scenes are first distinguish'd, they were divided according as they play'd them, often where there was no pause in the action, or where they thought fit to make a breach in it) has sometimes taken care to regulate the Shufflings and Transpositions of the Scenes, and rectify the injudicious Divisions of the Acts. But this part of Criticism does not display itself thro' the whole Work. I shall subjoin one Passage

[77 Heere is my Throne, bid kings come bow to it]

for example, in which he seems to have employ'd none of this skill in marking the 'Tis true he errs division of an Act, viz, the end of the Second Act of King John by contradicting them' [Here follows a here in following the old Copies description of the situation at this point, and the quotation of ll 73-77] 'It is evident, I think, beyond contradiction, that Constantia [sic] here, in her despair, seats herself upon the Floor of the Stage And can she be supposed immediately to rise again, only to go off and end the Act decently? And if she does not, how can the Act end here? There is but one other method for it, and that is, of the foremost flat-scene shutting her in from the sight of the audience, an Absurdity stands as the first Scene of the Third Act, the Kings are introduc'd, and Constancia is likewise upon the stage, and speaks within eight lines of the Scene's beginning We must therefore either suppose an Unity of the two scenes, and that they come in to her so soon as she sits down on the Floor, or rather (which I think has been an Opinion of long standing) that an intermediate Scene or two have been lost, whereby we cannot now be certain how the Act ended, and that an Histus in Manuscripto ought to be mark'd to signify the imperfection '-Pope (ed 11) appended to his last volume a list of Various Readings, Guesses, &c, which was in reality an attempt to answer many of the accusations of carelessness made by Theobald The sarcastic sneer is evident in nearly all of Pope's comments, particularly so in that dealing with the foregoing, where he says 'He thinks this Act ends wrong, and that some Scene follow'd which is lost It seems to be so. and it were to be wish'd the Restorer could supply it '-To this challenge Theobald, in his edition, thus replied 'To deserve this great man's thanks I'll venture at the task, and hope to convince my readers that nothing is lost, but that I have supplied the suspected chasm only by rectifying the division of the Acts Upon looking a little more narrowly into the constitution of the play, I am satisfied that the third Act ought to begin with that scene which has hitherto been accounted the last of the second Act, and my reasons for it are these The match being concluded, in the scene before that, betwixt the Dauphin and Blanch, a messenger is sent for Lady Constance to King Philip's tent, for her to come to Saint Mary's church to the solemnity. The princes all go out as to the marriage, and the Bastard staying a little behind, to descant on interest and commodity, very properly ends the Act The next scene then, in the French king's tent, brings us Salisbury delivering his message to Constance, who, refusing to go to the solemnity, sets herself down on the floor. The whole train returning from the church to the French king's pavilion, Philip expresses such satisfaction on occasion of the happy solemnity of that day that Constance rises from the floor, and joins in the scene by entering her protest against their joy, and cursing the business of the day. Thus, I conceive, the scenes are fully continued and there is no chasm in the action, but a proper interval made both for Salisbury's coming to Lady Constance, and for the solemnization of the marriage. Besides, as Faulconbridge is evidently the Poet's favourite character, it was very well judged to close the Act with his soliloquy'-'This whole note,' says Johnson, 'seems judicious enough, but Mr Theobald forgets that there were, in Shakespeare's time, no moveable scenes in common playhouses'-Did Johnson forget, however, that this was an expedient which Theobald particularly declared absurd and one of which he did not accuse Shakespeare? -Steeven's love of mischief, I think, prompted him to ask. If there were no scenes

[77 Heere is my Throne, bid kings come bow to it]

capable of being shifted, why did Shakespeare himself mention shifting scenes, as in Henry V Chorus, Act II 'Unto Southampton do we shift our scene.' Steevens must have known that Johnson was quite correct, but the subject of moveable scenery is one which concerns the general history of the Stage, and is not in any way germane to the present discussion -MALONE declares Theobald's division and rearrangement 'is certainly right'-R G White strongly condemns Theobald's change, and makes this scene the second of Act II In explanation he says 'Theobald's notion, that to end the Act decently Constance must rise and go off the stage, is as little worth attention as his remark about the "flat scene" In Shakespeare's day there were no flat scenes, and that the curtain should fall upon Constance as, drawing her boy to her breast, she seats herself upon the ground, will certainly be considered by stage-managers and dramatic critics not only a "decent," but a very impressive manner of using the situation and closing the Scene In the phraseology of the modern stage it presents a very fine tableau' 'With regard to the "chasm in the action," and the "proper interval" for Salisbury's "coming to Lady Constance," Theobald and his followers seem to have forgotten, first, the relative situation of places and personages, and next, when a King sends an Earl to bid a Princess to a royal marriage, at least time enough is to be allowed for the messenger to perform his office and return. The Kings. just at the gate of Angiers, are about to go, in such "unprepared pomp" "as haste will suffer" to St Mary's chapel, to celebrate the marriage, a "speedy messenger" is required to summon Constance to the ceremony, and the Earl of Salisbury undertakes the office. He has only to go to the French King's tent, just outside the walls of the town, and he leaves the gates at the same time that the royal parties enter them. The Bastard's soliloquy gives him time to reach the French King's tent, and there, at the opening of the next scene, we find him, having, as we learn from Constance's exclamations, just delivered his message. She refuses to be present at the marriage and sits enthroned in sorrow upon the ground Salisbury returns with her answer, the preparation for the marriage and the ceremony itself take place between the Acts, without her presence, and the third Act opens with the entrance of the newly alhed Kings and the newly married pair,-Philip naturally being host in his own land, and introducing his daughter-in-law to his pavilion, where, of course, the moody Constance is found with Arthur But, according to Theobald's disarrangement of the order of the original copy, at the very time when Salisbury delivers his message to Constance, summoning her to the solemnization of the marriage, the ceremony has already taken place, and she has hardly refused to be present at it when the royal trains enter the tent, which Salisbury has little more than reached, although since he left them they have made some hasty preparation for the marriage, gone to Saint Mary's Chapel in the town, had the ceremony performed, and come thence to the very place whither their "hasty messenger" was sent! Theobald might know no better than this, but Salisbury did, for his last speech, when Constance tells him to return without her, and before she sits upon the ground, is, "Pardon me, madam, I may not go without you to the Kings", which shows his consciousness that the ceremony awaited his return, and which is made ridiculous by the immediate entrance of Philip with Blanch as his daughter-in-law. The interval between the Acts is necessary, as Theobald remarks, for the solemnization of the marriage, but clearly not for Salisbury's coming to Constance, and the marriage takes place

[77. Heere is my Throne, bid kings come bow to it]

between the Acts according to either arrangement It is noteworthy that although the exits at the ends of Acts and scenes are marked with particular care in the Folio, none is directed after Constance's last speech in this scene, which supports the belief that on Shakespeare's stage the curtain fell as she sat upon the ground With the third Act, too, according to the original division, comes in a new element of dramatic interest the power of Rome in the person of Pandulph appears upon the scene, which hitherto has been entirely occupied by the conflicting interests of France and England, John and Arthur A break in the action is therefore required by that unity of dramatic interest, which seems to have been the great principle upon which Shakespeare constructed his dramas. Neither history nor the old King John aids us in determining this question For no such events as those which occupy the second Act of this play and the first scene of the third Act took place, Blanch having been espoused in England and brought solemnly over to France to be married, and Pandulph not having been appointed legate until five years after the espousals
In the old play Constance and Arthur are present when the Citizen of Angiers proposes the marriage, and they remain on the stage during its solemnization. This noble scene, unsurpassed in Dramatic literature, is in its action no less than its poetry entirely Shakespeare's '--[White's 'falling curtain' is, I think, quite as open to serious objection as the 'flat-scene' of Theobald, and a detailed discussion of the one quite as inappropriate as the other at the present time The following short extract from Lawrence's excellent volume, The Elizabethan Playhouse, p 121, 1s, however, to the point 'At what period the normal ascending curtain of today first came into use in the English theater it would be difficult to say Before one has examined all the pros and cons one is inclined to jump to the conclusion that the period synchronised with the introduction and regular employment of scenery, say somewhere about 1664 But the cautious investigator, confronted by disturbing data, will hesitate to advance an opinion There is some reason to believe that the double curtains, pulling up on either side, were the first employed in the English scenic theater and that the principle obtained until at least the second decade of the eighteenth century.'-ED]-FLEAY (Introd, p 12) This play is one of those in which the Folio gives us the division in use at that date One heading has, however, dropped out (Actus Secundus Scena Prima), and hence Act II, scene ii is headed only Actus Secundus. That the second Act should consist only of seventy-four lines is palpably absurd. The probability is that the second Act has been greatly abridged for stage purposes; and hence arose a confusion in the manuscript as to where the new second Act should begin Various methods have been proposed to set this right. My own differs from any other. I have Grant While's authority for not admitting Theobald's unjustifiable interference with the Folio text as to the commencement of Act III, but he follows the multitude in reducing Act I to 270 lines, and crowding the French scenes into the second Act. This is not like Shakespeare he cared nothing for change of place during an act, the unity of subject is the only one to guide us. The division as I have given the Acts falls thus I. The Embassy of Chatillion. II. War and Peace. III The Rupture through Pandulph. IV. The Rebellion of the Barons (on account of Arthur) V The invasion, French treachery (revealed by Melun), and John's death [Fleay thus makes the Folio's Act I, scene ii. into I, ii. and II, i. The Act II of the Folio, the present scene, is thus with Fleay Act II, scene ii -ED |-Miss PORTER regards Theobald's division

Actus Tertius, Scæna prima.

Enter King Iohn, France, Dolphin, Blanch, Elianor, Philip, Austria, Constance.

Fran. 'Tis true (faire daughter) and this bleffed day, [75]
Euer in France shall be kept festivall: 5
To solemnize this day the glorious sunne
Stayes in his course, and playes the Alchymist, 7 [78]

- I Actus prima] Ff Act III, SCENE I Rowe, Pope, Wh 1, Fle Act III, SCENE II Han Warb Johns Scene continued, Theob et cet
- 2 Enter | The Same Constance and Arthur Enter, from the marriage, Wh 1

France, Philip,] Ff King Philip, Lewis, Blanch, Elinor, Faulconbridge, and, . Theob. Warb Varr. Rann Flourish Enter the two kings, and their Train, Blanch, Lewis, Elinor, Bastard, and.. Cap King Philip, Lewis, Blanch, Elinor, Philip the Bastard. Rowe et

- 3 Conftance] Om. Theob Han Warb Johns Var '73, '78, '85. and attendants Mal et seq
- 4 Fran] K Philip Rowe (throughout)
- (faire daughter)] fair daughter, Rowe et seq
- 7. Alchymrfi alchemist Steev Var.
 '03, '13, Sing Knt, Dyce, Hal Ktly,
 Huds. Cam +, Words. Neils Craig.

as questionable and suggests the following scenic arrangement to obviate a change of scene. 'Constance's tent may be placed on the ground of the battle-field in front of an exit, at the rear of the fore-stage. For this scene it is thrown wide open and placed on the slanting rise at the rear, so that the audience may see her withdraw within when she dismisses Salisbury, and see her "stoop" to the earth and sit there prone, crouching on her haunches, in the dignity of her sorrows, disobeying the royal summons. Only Arthur, with a double fitness, is with her, to draw down the foreflap of the tent upon her woe, and thus Shakespeare has cleared his stage while bowing, himself, before the imperious sorrows of the royal mother. This arrangement demands the striking out of the present Act division, and the transposition of the preceding Scana Secunda and the present Actus Secundus. The righting of a mere misprint of transposition is all that is necessary to give us the arrangement obviously intended by the Foho division'

- r. Actus Tertius, Scæna primal The majority of editors have followed Theobald's arrangement and made this but a continuation of the preceding scene; therefore to facilitate reference the line numbers as given in the Globe Edition are here placed in brackets in addition to the number as in the Folio, in all cases, however, the latter are used both in the Commentary and the Text. Notes —ED.
- 3 Constance FLEAY (Chron. of Eng Drama, ii, 199) [In the Folio stage direction] Salisbury and Arthur are not on the stage, as the modern editors, with one exception, have them. I am the exception, and deserve praise for my courage, or blame for my rashness, in adhering to the version of Shakespeare's fellows
- 6, 7 the ... summe Stayes in his course] Miss E Phipson (Sh Soc. Trans., 1887-92, p 352) To men accustomed to think that this earth was the centre of the universe, and that the planets rolled in their spheres for no other purpose

Turning with splendor of his precious eye

The meager cloddy earth to glittering gold.

The yearely course that brings this day about,

Shall neuer see it, but a holy day

Const. A wicked day, and not a holy day

Conft. A wicked day, and not a holy day. What hath this day deferu'd? what hath it done, That it in golden letters should be set

14 [85]

9 ghttering] glitt'ring Rowe ii, Pope,
+.

II, I2 holy day] Holy-day F. holiday Cam +, Del Words Neils Craig

I2 A day] Om Pope

wicked day,] wicked day, [rising]

Cap
12. a holy] an holy Theob. Warb.
Johns
day] Ff, Rowe day— Cap
day—[Rising] Theob et cet
14 letters] letter Warb Johns

than to give light and beauty to this all-important orb, there was no difficulty in supposing that the lives and fortunes of the dwellers on this planet were a subject of interest to the heavenly bodies. It was a beautiful, if unscientific, theory that in important crises of human affairs the sun or moon should stand still for a while to watch or aid the progress of events If this theory somewhat diminished the dignity of the heavens it certainly had the effect of adding to the glory of man; moreover, it served Shakespeare's purpose in this way to connect the outer world with the physical and mental condition of his characters [Miss Phipson, in support of this, quotes the present passage, and also '-the air, which but for vacancy Had gone to gaze on Cleopatra too, And made a gap in nature '-Ant & Cleo, II, ii, 221, likewise from Rom & Jul . 'A glooming peace this morning with it brings, The sun for sorrow will not show his head '-V, iii, 305 Compare, V, v, 3-6 below -ED |-T CARTER (Sh & Holy Scrip, p 207) compares for this idea 'So the sunne abode in the middes of the heaven, and hasted not to goe downe for a whole day. And there was no day like that before it, nor after it, that the Lord heard the voyce of a man for the Lord fought for Israel'-Joshua, x, 13 (Genevan Vers)

- 7 playes the Alchymist] Steevens Milton has borrowed this thought: '—Rivers run Potable gold, when with one virtuous touch Th' Arch-chemic sun so far from us remote Produces with terrestrial humor mixt Here in the dark so many precious things'—Paradise Lost, Bk in, [1 609]—Malone So in our Author's xxxiii Sonnet 'Gilding pale streams with heavenly alchymy'—Marshall, in reference to this last comparison by Malone, says 'It is always interesting to mark any similarity of expression between the Sonnets and the earlier plays, in view of the theory that the Sonnets were written by Shakespeare when young; this is, certainly, a remarkable one'
- 9 meager] DEIGHTON: In Mer. of Ven, III, ii, 104, 'meagre lead,' the colour of which is much the same as that of earth, is mentioned in connection with 'gaudy gold', but the meaning of 'meagre' is scanty, barren, and both there and here the contrast is rather between poverty and richness, than between the dulness and brightness of colour
- 14 golden letters] IVOR JOHN. Probably a reference to the 'golden number' used in calculating the feast days of the Church—[Deighton queries, also, whether there be not here an allusion to the Dominical Letter and the Golden Number.

Among the high tides in the Kalender 15 [86]

Nay, rather turne this day out of the weeke,

This day of shame, oppression, persury

Or if it must stand still, let wives with childe

Pray that their burthens may not fall this day,

Lest that their hopes prodigiously be crost.

20

But (on this day) let Sea-men feare no wracke,

[92]

16 rather] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Dyce, Cam +, Fle Craig rather, Cap et cet 17-24 This day change] Om Dono 18, 19 Or Pray] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han Coll 11, 111, Wh 1 Or, Pray, Theob Warb Johns Cap Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt Or. Pray, Coll 1, Del Or, Pray Dyce, Hal Ktly, Sta Huds Cam +, Fle Words Neils Craig

19 burthens] burdens Steev Varr. Sing Knt, Coll Dyce, Hal 20 crost] cross'd Mal et seq (cross'd. Ktly)

21 But (on this day)] F₃F₄ (But on this day) F₂ But, on this day, Rowe 1, Theob Hal But on this day, Rowe 11, Warb Johns Cap Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll 1, 11, Huds 1, Wh 1 Except on this day, Pope, Han. But on this day Dyce, Ktly, Sta Cam +, Del Coll, 111, Fle Huds 11, Words. Neils Craig

I think it is, however, more likely that this refers to the old custom of printing, in the Church calendar, the Saint's days and Holidays in red letters, the terms red and gold were nearly synonymous, many examples of which might be furnished, as one which seems peculiarly applicable compare 'My red dominical, my golden letter'—Love's Labor's Lost, V, ii, 44 See also note on I, ii, 342 above —ED]

15. high tides] STEEVENS That is, solemn seasons, times to be observed above others—Malone (Supplemental Obs, 1, 168) I do not suppose that the Poet used 'high tides' as synonymous to solemn seasons. The meaning, I apprehend, is, Why should this day be set down in the calendar, in golden letters, among the high tides and other remarkable occurrences, which are distinguished by a special mark? The 'high tides' are marked in every almanac—Davies (Dram Miscell, 1, 37) Mr Malone did not reflect that 'high tides' bear a very different meaning from his intention. They are marks of ruin and desolation, not of prosperity and festivity, and, I believe, are oftener found in chronological tables than in the rubric of a calendar. [As Malone did not repeat the foregoing note in any subsequent edition, it may be presumed that he felt the force of this rebuke by Davies and accepted Steevens's explanation—ED.]

16 turne this day ... weeke] Upton (Crit Obs., ed 11, p 224) In allusion to Job, 111, 3: 'Let the day perish,' &c. And v. 6 'Let it not be joined unto the days of the year, let it not come into the number of the months'—[Other later commentators, notably, Wordsworth, Carter, Eaton, and Wright, have called attention to the similarity in thought contained in these two passages—ED]—MALONE: Compare Macbeth 'Let this permicious hour Stand aye accursed in the calendar!'—IV, 1, 133

20 prodigiously be crost] STEEVENS That is, be disappointed by the production of a prodigy, a monster. So, in Mid N Dream, 'Nor mark prodigious such as are Despised in nativity.'—[V, i, 419 Compare also 1 48, preceding scene]
21 But (on this day)] JOHNSON That is, except on this day [For other ex-

amples see, if needful, ABBOTT, § 128]

Cap et cet

No bargaines breake that are not this day made,	22 [93]
This day all things begun, come to ill end,	
Yea, faith it felfe to hollow falshood change	[95]
Fra By heauen Lady, you shall haue no cause	25
To curfe the faire proceedings of this day	
Haue I not pawn'd to you my Maiesty?	
Const. You have beguil'd me with a counterfeit	
Refembling Maiesty, which being touch'd and tride,	[100]
Proues valuelesse. you are forsworne, forsworne,	30
You came in Armes to spill mine enemies bloud,	[102]

23 This day This day, Theob. et seq come come Pope
24 it felfe] it felf, F3F4, Rowe. itself
Warb et seq
change chang'd Pope change!
Theob et seq
25 heaven Heav'n Rowe
29 Maiefly, which Ff, Rowe, Pope
mayesty, which, Theob Han Warb
Johns Coll Cam +, Del Neils majesty, which Var '85 majesty, which,

being] Om Pope,+

29 touch'd and] Om Dono.

tride] try'd F₃F₄ tried Steev.
30 valueless Coll Wh i,
Ktly, Sta Del Fle. Dono Neils

forsworn Rowe 1, Pope, Theob
Johns forsworn Rowe 1, Pope, Theob
Han forsworn' Var '73, Neils forsworn, Cap et cet
31 mine] my F₄, Rowe

enemiess Ff, Rowe, Pope,+
enemy's Var '85 enemies' Cap et cet.

bloud] blood F₃F₄

- 22 No bargaines breake] STEEVENS In the ancient almanacs (several of which I have in my possession) the days supposed to be favorable or unfavorable to bargains are distinguished among a number of other particulars of the like importance.—[Steevens then gives several examples from later plays wherein allusion is made to this custom of the ancient almanac makers. This feature was continued even down to the eighteenth century, notably in those almanacs issued by Coley, entitled *The Starry Messenger* See an interesting article on this subject by Thomas Wright in Macmillan's *Magazine*, Jan, 1863, p. 161—ED]
- 28 a counterfeit] MALONE That is, a false coin. A 'counterfeit' formerly signified also a portrait. A representation of the king being usually impressed on his coin, the word seems to be here used equivocally
- 29 touch'd and tride] STEEVENS 'Being touch'd' signifies, having the touchstone applied to it The two last words, 'and tried,' which create a redundancy
 of measure, should, as Mr Ritson observes, be omitted—[For this observation
 of Ritson I regret that I am unable to give any reference other than Steevens,
 in whose ed. 1793 it appears for the first time—WALKER (Vers, 174), with the
 same end in view—mending the irregularity of the metre—declares that 'majesty'
 is here to be pronounced as a dissyllable—that is, maj-ty or maskly—ED]
- 30 forsworne, forsworne] C & M COWDEN CLARKE (Sh Key, p 629) call attention to the 'remarkable abundance of passages with repeated similar words in this play' See Il 36, 41, and 43 below I think we might go even further in saying that such repetitions are peculiarly characteristic of Constance; of the nine passages quoted by the Cowden-Clarkes, seven are from speeches by Constance. See III, iii, 24, 29, 63—ED.

But now in Armes, you strengthen it with yours The grapling vigor, and rough frowne of Warre Is cold in amitie, and painted peace, 32 [103]

34 [105]

32 yours] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Ktly, Sta Fle Rlfe, Neils. yours, Cap et cet 34 cold] cool'd Han clad Cap Rann 34 in amitie] inanity J Beale (N & Q,4 Nov,1871,p 384) inamity Crowdown (Ib, p 504) painted] faint in Coll III (MS)

31, 32 in Armes . . . in Armes] JOHNSON I am afraid here is a clinch intended 'You came in war to destroy my enemies, but now you strengthen them in embraces'-W W Lloyd (Athenaum, Aug 24, 1878, p 240) Johnson's note is, at least, an admission of a certain hesitation about the line as expressive of a continued warlike attitude, and thus contradictory to the antithesis between peace and war in the next two lines It is the conviction that the antithesis of the last two lines is intended to strengthen that of the two preceding, which suggests to me this correction 'But now unarm'd you strengthen it,' etc This correction implies that the kings and their attendants, who, in the previous scene, at the end of the second Act, were in the warlike equipment befitting an impending conflict in the field, make their appearance in the present scene, on their return from the marriage ceremony, which reconciled them, not merely in the meeds of peace, but even in something of the appropriate bedizenment of the festive occasion The value of such a contrast to the previous scene even theatrically, and still more to the misery of Constance, who has thrown herself on the ground in a rage of pride and grief and obstinacy just as the wedding train comes in, is manifest, and that it was not neglected by the Author is quite borne out by the general context .. We have to assume that the transliteration by the reader or compositor involved a substitution of 'in arms' for vnarmed, as the word is spelt elsewhere in the Folio But such an error is moderate enough for printers of any time, it is too familiar to many how the occurrence of unarm'd exactly below so similar a combination as 'in arms' in the previous line would be likely to invite confusion. Be it frankly admitted that in this case the received reading does not make nonsense, especially if care be taken not to throw emphatic stress upon 'arms' in either line. But assuredly we help ourselves so to a halting antithesis 'You came in arms to spill mine enemies blood, But now in arms you strengthen it with yours' As against this, the contention is that Shakespeare wrote 'You came in arms to spill mine enemies blood; But now unarm'd you strengthen it with yours.' And so I leave the case, and so it stands for judgment

34. cold in armite... painted peace] Capell (I, pt ii, p r26) 'Cold,' the former reading for clad, cannot be predicated of either the 'vigour' or 'frown' of war without the greatest absurdity, nor is the absurdity lessen'd by the Oxford editor's [Hanmer's]—cool'd. It is apparently the speaker's intention to contrast the war she had seen with what she sees now, and she could not more effectually do it than by attiring (poetically) the late frowning and vigorous war in the soft habits of peace and friendship, 'painted' is peculiarly happy, as including the idea of gawdiness and hypocrisy jointly, it is therefore emphatical.—Collier (Notes, etc., p. 203). Why should the epithet 'painted' be applied to peace? What propriety is there in it, unless we can suppose it used to indicate hollowness and falsehood? The correction in the margin of the Folio, 1632, shows that the ear of the scribe misled him: Constance is referring to the friendship just established

And our oppression hath made vp this league:

35 [106]

35 hath] had F₂ Dyce, Hal Wh Ktly, Cam +, Del league | league Pope, Han Coll Words Neils Craig

between France and England, to the rum of her hopes, and remarks 'The grappling vigour, and rough frown of war, Is cold in amity, and faint in peace'-SINGER (Sh Vindicated, p 85) Now it appears to me that there is no reason to doubt the integrity of the old text, nor has it ever before been doubted Constance upbraids Philip with having 'beguil'd her with a counterfeit' He came in arms to spill her enemies' blood, but now his warlike help against John is cooled down into a league with him,-the rough collision of war to the smooth or 'painted' courtesies of peace But if any change should be thought advisable it would not be the substitution of the Corrector—'faint in peace'—but 'feigns a peace'. The old reading, being perfectly intelligible, should not, however, be disturbed -STAUNTON The ingenious annotator of Mr Collier's Folio would read 'faint in peace', but if any alteration be required, of which I am by no means certain, it should be simply to read coil'd for 'cold' The meaning seems to be The vigorous arms are coil'd in amity, and grim-visaged war become a smooth-faced peace --C & M COWDEN CLARKE This line appears to us to be a continuation of the figurative allusion to a portrait or picture, and that the whole phrase means, 'the contentious vigour of appearance, and threatening warlike visage with which you came here on our behalf is now turned into a lifeless pretence of amity and simulated peace '-C M Charnock (1871, N & Q, IV, viii, 220) Mr Collier says 'Why should the epithet "painted" be applied to peace?' . I take it that 'painted' is here used figuratively Compare Hamlet 'Is not more ugly to the thing that helps it, Than is my deed to my most painted word '-III, 1, 53 -ELZE (Notes, etc., 2nd Series, p 58) Mr Colher's MS Corrector, whoever he may have been, has rightly felt the want of symmetrical agreement between the two clauses of the second line, but the remedy by which he has meant to restore it seems to be wrong I rather incline to the belief that Shakespeare wrote 'Is scolding amity and painted peace' Constance reproaches King Philip with perjury, and denounces his warlike preparations as a sham, they are, she says, not more dreadful than amity, which scolds a friend, or peace which is painted to look like war. The required harmony of the sentence is thus very naturally recovered, and I need not dwell on the easy misapprehension by which the words Is scolding, particularly when spoken, can be transmuted into 'Is cold in'-[The foregoing note, with a few slight verbal changes, appeared first in a communication by Elze to the Athenœum, June 22, 1867, and was later included by him among his notes contributed to the German Shakespeare Society's ed. of the Schlegel-Tieck translation, under the editorship of Ulrici, in that same year As this volume of Notes, 2nd Series, appeared in 1880, it may therefore, I think, be taken as the final form in which he wished it to appear.—ED]—VAUGHAN (1, 40) Capell alters 'cold' to *clad*, but this does not imply change in the essence of the matter which is changed, and 'frowns and grapples' are not objects which require clothing or allow of it. I propose with confidence 'Is clos'd in amity,' etc. 'Closed' is ended, and there is therein a strong tinge, too, of the same sense which is predominant in a passage in Jul. Cas, 'To close In terms of friendship with thine enemies,' III, 1, 202, where it seems to be applied to circumstances very like those which the Poet now describes. . . . The loss of a single letter and the transposition of a single letter effected the corruption of closd into 'cold'

Arme, arme, you heavens, against these periur'd Kings, 36 [107]
A widdow cries, be husband to me (heavens)
Let not the howres of this vingodly day
Weare out the daies in Peace, but ere Sun-set, [110]

Weare out the daies in Peace, but ere Sun-let, Set armed discord 'twixt these periur'd Kings, [110] 40

ye heav'ns Pope,+ (ye heavens Var.

'73)

Kings, kings! Cap et seq

37 cries, cries, Cap et seq

me (heavens) Ff me, Heav'ns,

Rowe me, heav'n' Pope,+ (me, heaven!

Var '73) me, heavens, Fle. me, heav-

36 you heavens] you Heav'ns Rowe

ens! Cap et cet
39 dares] day Theob et seq
Sun-set] sun set Fleay, Anon ap
Cam. 11
40 armed] armèd Dyce, Huds. 11,
Fle Words
Kings,] kings! Cap et seq

- ` 36. Arme, arme, you heavens] Matthews (p 98) [Constance's] later outbreaks are hysteric, even if they are the result of maternal devotion. She is superb in mother-love and eloquent in high-sounding words, but her temper is painfully shrewish and she revels in her opportunities for vehement protest. Her violence therefore detracts not a little from the pathos of her plight, and even from the appeal of her heartfelt plaints. Overdone as they seem to us now, her swelling invectives, excited by a natural emotion, must have been grateful to the boyactor entrusted with the part (possibly the same youthful performer who was soon to be entrusted with Katherine in the Taming of the Shrew)—[Pleasant as this last conjecture may be in contemplation, I fear that the cold, hard facts of dates make it very unlikely. There was an interval of at least ten years between the first performance of King John at the Theatre in Shoreditch and Tam of Shr at the Globe, the company had likewise undergone many changes in personnel during that period—ED.]
- 37 A widdow cries] GREY (1, 283) An allusion to Psalm lxvii, 5 'He is father of the fatherless, and defendeth the cause of the widows'—WATSON (p 136) Compare 'Ye shall not afflict any widow, or fatherless child, if thou afflict them in anywise, and they cry at all unto Me, I will surely hear their cry'—Exodus, xxii, 22—CARTER (p. 208) also quotes this passage from Exodus, and likewise the following from the Apocryphal book Judith 'O God, O my God, heare me also a widow'—xiv, 4. [Carter's design is to show that the many scriptural parallels throughout the plays prove a familiarity, on the part of Shakespeare, with the Genevan Version of 1560, rather than the Bishop's Bible of 1568—Ep.]
- 39 Sun-set] FLEAY reads 'sun set' on the ground that Shakespeare pronounces sunset always for the noun. 3 Henry VI II, 11, 116, not by Shakespeare, has sunset (noun) So has Chettle, Death of Robert, Earl of Huntington, [ed Haz-Dods., viii,] p. 294—Rolfe Perhaps Fleay is right. The only other passages in which the noun occurs are Sonnet lxxii, 6, and Rom & Jul, III, v, 127.—Marshall: I had altered 'sun-set' to sun set before I saw that Mr Fleay had made the same suggestion. In Rom. & Jul, III, v, 127, 128 'When the sun sets, the air doth drizzle dew, But for the sunset of my brother's son,' &c. There we have sun sets and the noun sunset coming close together, the accent being in the first case on sets, and in the second on sun. [Marshall also quotes the line from Sonnet lxxiii, already cited by Rolfe]
 - 40 Set armed discord] Johnson. Shakespeare makes this bitter curse effectual

Heare me, Oh, heare me

41 [112]

Aust Lady Constance, peace

Const War, war, no peace, peace is to me a warre

O Lymoges, O Austria, thou dost shame

That bloudy spoyle thou slaue, thou wretch, y coward, 45 [115]

41 Heare me,] Hear me! Coll Sing in, Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Craig
Oh, heare me] Ff, Rowe i, Fle.

Oh, hear me! Cap Oh hear me! Rowe 11 et cet.

42 peace | peace! Coll Sing ii, Dyce, Hal Wh Ktly, Sta Cam +, Del Craig

43 War, war, no peace,] Ff, Rowe,

Pope,+, Fle Warl warl no peacel Var '73 et cet

44 Lymoges,] Lymoges! Var '73 et seq

Austria! F₂F₃, Cap. Anstria, F₄
Austria! Pope et cet

45 coward, coward Dyce, Hal. Cam. +, Words Neils Craig

43 War, war, no peace] Bucknill (Mad-Folk, etc., p. 276) When Constance, unobserved before, rises from the ground amidst the congratulating court, with the dignified and solemn denunciation of kingly treachery, one of the finest possible dramatic effects is produced with the simplest means Her eloquence throughout this scene is magnificent. The interests even of kingdoms seem below its The truth of kings, and, as a minor term, the truth of all other men, The invocation to the Heavens, that they should arm for her is counterfest and be husband to her, and set discord betwixt these perjured kings, is the climax of eloquence To Austria's entreaty, 'Lady Constance, peace,' she replies in utter forgetfulness of all misenes except her own 'War! War! no peace!' No idea of the Pythoness, or of any woman inspired by good or evil influences, ever represented a more ecstatic state of eloquent emotion The Poet's own representation of inspired insanity, Cassandra in Troilus & Cressida, is tame and indistinct in comparison 'Cry Trojans cry! Lend me ten thousand eyes And I will fill them with prophetic tears '-[II, 11, 101]

- 43 O Lymoges, O Austrial See note II, 1, 8—F Victor-Hugo (ui, 460): This confusion of two historic characters, which is found also in the older play, was without doubt a tradition of the English stage, a popular tradition which, in attributing an odious rôle to a member of the house of Austria, authorized a number of hostile allusions to that perfidious enemy of England.
- 45 thou slaue, thou wretch] Davies (Dram Mascell, 1, 37) This vehement charge of perfidy, cowardice, perjury, and every species of villainy, which is concluded with the most stinging reproach and contemptuous raillery, requires the utmost skill of the speaker Mrs [Theophilus] Cibber's voice was so happily modulated by a most accurate ear that every maternal word in this uncommon burst of indignation was impressed so judiciously and harmoniously upon the audience that they could not refrain a loud and repeated testimony of their approbation. But part of the pleasure to be obtained from this scene must be owing to the corresponding behaviour of Austria, if he does not contribute to the general deception by feeling the reproaches of Constance, the vigour of the sentiments will be weakened, and the intention of the author disappointed. The character of Austria is very unamiable, and Mrs Cibber, when the play was first in rehearsal, could not easily prevail on Winstone to make Austria appear as odious to an audience as he ought. Winstone was an actor of singular skill in two or three parts.

Thou little valiant, great in villanie,

Thou ever ftrong vpon the ftronger fide;

Thou Fortunes Champion, that do'ft never fight

But when her humourous Ladiship is by

To teach thee fafety thou art periur'd too,

And footh'st vp greatnesse. What a foole art thou,

A ramping foole, to brag, and stamp, and sweare,

Vpon my partie thou cold blooded slaue,

Hast thou not spoke like thunder on my side?

Beene sworne my Souldier, bidding me depend

46 [116]

50 [120]

```
52. and stamp] to stamp F4, Rowe,
  46 little valiant little-valiant Del
                                         Pope,+ (-Var '73)
     villame,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han.
                                           53. partie ] party' Cap et seq
Fle villany! Theob et cet
                                               cold blooded cold-blooded Rowe et
  47 [ide,] side! Var '73 et seq
  48 Fortunes Fortune's Rowe
                                         sea
  50 [afety] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han.
                                           54 side?] side, Rowe, Pope, Han
Fle safety! Theob et cet
                                         Cam +
  51 art] wert Lettsom Huds 11
                                           55 Souldier,] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+,
  52 foole, fool, - Hal
                                         Cam +, Fle Neils. soldier? Cap et cet
```

he was as honest and awkward a country booby in John Moody, in the *Provok'd Husband*, as the author designed him, and, in Ben Jonson's Downright, [Every Man in his Humour,] he made an excellent grotesque picture of abrupt plain-dealing and unfashionable simplicity. It was impossible for any man long to resist the persuasive manner of Mrs Cibber, Winstone fully answered her idea of Austria's character

51 sooth'st vp] WRIGHT That is, flatterest. Compare 'You soothed not, therefore hurt not '—Coriol', II, ii, 77 'Up' is emphatic, as in IV, iii, 142 See Holland's Plutarch, Morals, p 86 'These parasites (I say) whose toong (as one said verie well) will be walking so soone as men have washed their hands, and be readie to sit downe to meate, cogging and soothing up their good masters at everie word '—[Collier quotes in illustration Lodge, Fig for Momus, 1595, 'To wink at follies and to sooth up sins '—Satire 2, but this is not a parallel at all, 'sooth up' there means to smooth or gloss over, which it could not possibly mean here. (See Craigie, N. E. D., s. v. soothe, vb. 6 b.)—Ivor John compares Greene, Friar Bacon, 1594 'This is a fairing, gentle sir, indeed To soothe me up with such smooth flatterie'—I, iii, 21, 22 A somewhat better illustration than that from Holland's Plutarch, as it is nearer the date of the present play.—Ep.]

52. ramping! Wright 'Ramping' is suggested by the lion's skin which Austria wears, and is a proper epithet of the lion, in the sense of tearing, pawing So in 3 Henry VI V, 11, 13 'Under whose shade the ramping lion slept'—Ivor John' That is, wildly gesticulating. Cotgrave gives 'Grimpement a climbing, crawling, creeping, ramping, running upwards', and, 'Grimper. to ramp' 'Lion rampant' in heraldry ought therefore to mean a lion climbing, and this is just the attitude of the lion's 'rampant' given in Woodward and Burnett's Heraldry, i, plate xxi It would require little imagination, however, to deem this the representation of a lion seeking whom he might devour, and there is no doubt that in this speech of Constance 'ramping' bears the meaning of rushing wildly about.

Vpon thy starres, thy fortune, and thy strength,
And dost thou now fall ouer to my foes?
Thou we are a Lyons hide, doff it for shame,
And hang a Calues skin on those recreant limbes.

Auf. O that a man should speake those words to me. 60 [130] Phil. And hang a Calues-skin on those recreant limbs

```
56 ftrength,] Ff, Cam +, Fle Neils
                                          60 [hould] would Pope,+, Var '78,
strength? Rowe et cet.
  57 foes foe F2
                                             me | me! Theob et seq
  58 weare] wears F4 wear'st Rowe.
                                          61, 62 Phil And thy life ] Om
wear Pope et seq
                                        Dono
                                          61, 63 Calues-skin] F3F4, Rowe,
                 hide? F2F3, Rowe,
     hide,] F4
Pope hide, Fle hide! Theob et cet
                                        Pope,+
                                                 Calves skin F2, Fle calf's-
  59 Calues skin] Calves-skin F4, Rowe,
                                        skin Cap Var '73 et seq
Pope, + calf's-skin Cap et seq
```

50 Calues skin Sir John Hawkins When fools were kept for diversion in great families, they were distinguished by a calf's-skin coat, which had the buttons down the back, and this they wore that they might be known for fools, and escape the resentment of those whom they provoked with their waggeries In a little penny book, entitled The Birth, Life, and Death of John Franks, with the Pranks he played though a meer Fool, mention is made in several places of a calf'sskin In ch x of this book Jack is said to have made his appearance at his lord's table having then a new calf-skin red and white spotted This fact will explain the sarcasm of Constance and Faulconbridge, who mean to call Austria a fool -Steevens I may add that the custom is still preserved in Ireland, and the fool, in any of the legends which the mummers act at Christmas always appears in a calf's or cow's skin [Steevens quotes four passages from the old play Wily Beguiled, wherein reference is made to a 'calf's skin' as the garb of the fool or jester - ED]-RITSON (Remarks, etc., p 81) It does not appear that Constance means to call Austria a fool, as Sir John Hawkins would have it, but she certainly means to call him a coward, and to tell him that calf's skin would suit his 'recreant limbs' better than a lion's. They still say of a dastardly person that he is a calf-hearted fellow, and a run-away schoolboy is usually called a great calf -MALONE The speaker in the play [Wily Beguiled] is Robin Goodfellow. Perhaps, as has been suggested, Constance, by clothing Austria in a 'calf's-skin,' means only to insinuate that he is a coward The word 'recreant' seems to favour such a supposition — Ritson is, I think, undoubtedly right, it is the cowardice of Austria to which Constance refers, not his qualification for a fool or jester —ED]

60 O that a man. to me] DYCE I am rather surprised that the commentators, in their rage for discovering parallel passages, should have overlooked the following one in Sydaey's Arcadia 'O God (cried out Pyrocles), that thou wert a man that vsest these words vnto me!'—lib iii, p. 315, ed 1598—Gervinus (p. 369) The old play makes Faulconbridge in this scene in love with Blanche, Shakespeare judiciously omitted this trait, that the Bastard's judgment, which should guide us in all these matters, might not in any way be injured by personal interest; his fierce attack upon Austria, in the spirit of the enemy Constance, is thus the wholly pure expression of honorable disgust at unnatural alliances, aye, of joy at their interruption, and of design in their dissolution.

Auf Thou dar'st not say so villaine for thy life 62
Phil. And hang a Calues-skin on those recreant limbs. [132]

62 dar'sf] darest Cam Glo Cla 62 so villaine] so, Villain, F₄ Huds 11

 6_3 And hang . . 1 mbs] After this line Pope inserts the following twelve lines from the *Troublesome Rasgne*

'Aust Me thinks that Richard's pride and Richard's fall
Should be a precedent to fright you, Sir

Bast What words are these? how do my sinews shake?

My father's foe clad in my father's spoil!

How doth Alecto whisper in my ear,

Delay not Richard, kill the villain strait,
Disrobe him of the matchless monument,

Thy father's triumph o'er the savages—

Now by his soul I swear, my father's soul,

Twice will I not review the morning's rise,

Till I have torn that trophy from thy back,

And split thy heart, for wearing it so long '

12

In justification of his interpolation Pope says 'What was the ground of this quarrel of the Bastard to Austria is nowhere specify'd in the present play, nor is there in this place or the scene where it is first hinted at (namely, the second of Act II) the least mention of any reason for it But the story is, that Austria. who kill'd K Richard Cœur-de-hon, wore as the spoil of that Prince a Lion's hide which had belong'd to him. This circumstance renders the anger of the Bastard very natural, and ought not to have been omitted In the first sketch of this play (which Shakespeare is said to have had a hand in jointly with William Rowley) we accordingly find this insisted upon, and I have ventured to place a few of those verses here '-- On the question of Shakespeare's joint authorship of the Troublesome Raigne, see note I, 1, 1]—THEOBALD (who also inserted these lines) says. 'As the verses are not bad, I have not casheer'd them, tho' I do not conceive them so absolutely essential to cleaning up any circumstance of the action, as Mr Pope seems to imagine . "The ground of this quarrel is nowhere specified in the present play" This is the Editor's assertion, but let us examine how well it is grounded. In the very beginning of the 2nd Act, the Dauphin, speaking of Austria to young Arthur, says "Richard, that robb'd the lion of his heart By this brave Duke came early to his grave." To which Arthur replies "God shall forgive you Cœur-de-lion's death, The rather that you give his offspring life." Is not this a sufficient ground for Faulconbridge's quarrel to Austria? It may be objected, Faulconbridge is not present to hear this But, what if he benot? So the audience be informed duly of the circumstance, the fact was too notorious to suppose Faulconbridge did not know of it The ground of his quarrel is fairly implied in that knowledge, and the Poet's art, perhaps, better shown (if we were to contend the point) to let the information come from any other mouth than that of Faulconbridge But then to a second material point ' [Here follows the last part of Pope's note objecting to the omission of the fact that Austria was wearing Cœur-de-hon's robe of a hon's skin | 'But is it omitted? Or, else, 'tis but begging the question. In the 3d Act, when Lady Constance per-

62 And hang a Calues-skin on those recreant limbs

ceives that Austria has abandon'd her interest, she says to him "O Lymoges! O Austria! thou dost shame That bloody spoil Thou wear a lion's hide! doff it. for shame" Now Faulconbridge is present here, and sees Austria thus habited But before, in the 2nd Act, where Faulconbridge begins to quarrel with Austria. let us attend to their dialogue "Aust What the devil art thou? Faulc One that will play the devil, sir, with you, An' he may catch your hide and you alone " But may it not here again be objected that though Faulconbridge saw Austria clad in a lion's hide, yet he might not know it to be the very hide which was worn by K Richard, his Father? But to put that point out of all doubt, let us only hear what Lady Blanch immediately replies "O well did he become that lion's hide, That did disrobe the lion of that robe " I submit it, therefore, whether these lines have not been inserted rather arbitrarily than necessarily Upon the whole, as Mr Pope has generally been unfortunate in his criticisms, so he is no less unhappy in his diligence, when he would aim at giving a reason for what he [In his second ed Theobald retains the inserted lines, and omits entire any mention of Pope's note or his own objections to it Hanmer, Warburton, and Johnson follow Pope's text -ED]-JOHNSON To the insertion of these lines I have nothing to object There are many other passages in the old play of great The omission of this incident, in the second draught, was natural Shakespeare, having familiarised the story to his own imagination, forgot that it was obscure to his audience, or, what is equally probable, the story was then so popular that a hint was sufficient at that time to bring it to mind, and these plays were written with very little care for the approbation of posterity -CAPELL (vol 1, pt 11, p 126) After the arch rejoinder of Richard to a sort of challenge of Austria's (1 62), they who look into any of the four latter moderns [Pope, Theob, Han, Warb | will find Richard and Austria both in a different vein, that of the former, one they have never seen him in yet, nor ever will do, but in the lines that follow, which the second modern pick'd up in the Quarto, or pick'd out of it rather, for a speech of twenty-four lines is reduc'd to ten, changes made in those ten, and another place found for them, the speech from which they are taken coming in before the summons to Angiers, [I, 11, 216] We shall give the reader the speech, and a speech before it, as the inserter has given them (marking briefly their changes, and the garblings of one of them) and then leave him to his reflections follow the lines as given by Pope] Between 'spoil' [1 4] and 'How' [1 5] come in three foolish lines, nine after 'savages' [1 8] foolisher than the former, nor does the speech end at 'long', and 'all,' 'Philip' and 'For' are the Quarto's expressions in place of those [in Il 2, 6, 9, Sir, Richard, Now] The cause alleged for inserting is as curious as the insertion itself, it were idle to mention it, because a step of this sort is to be justified by no reasons whatever, certainly not by those that are given, which the most indiligent reader may overturn of himself, which are overturn'd by the third modern [Theobald], who (notwithstanding) is one of the followers of what himself proves unnecessary, and of what is here prov'd absurd -Steevens objects to the insertion of these lines on the ground that the older play was printed in 1591, 'before Shakespeare appears to have commenced a writer '-Tyrwhitt I cannot, by any means, approve of the insertion of these lines from the other play If they were necessary to 'explain the ground of the Bastard's quarrel to Austria,' as Mr Pope supposes, they should rather be inserted in the first scene of the second Act, at the time of the first altercation between the

Iohn. We like not this, thou dost forget thy selfe Enter Pandulph. [134] 65

64 feife | self Scene II Pope self Scene III Han Warb Johns 65 Pandulph | Pandulpho Johns Pandulph, attended Cap Huds 11, Words (After 1 66 Dyce, Hal Huds in, Words)

Bastard and Austria But indeed the ground of their quarrel seems to be as clearly expressed in the first scene as in these lines, so that they are unnecessary in either place, and therefore, I think, should be thrown out of the text, as well as the three other lines which have been inserted, with as little reason in Act III, scene ii 'Thus hath King Richard's,' etc -- PYE (p 141) The insertion of these spirited lines by Pope do as much honor to the taste of Pope as the rejection of them are disgraceful to the taste of Tyrwhitt They are so much in the spirit of Shakespeare that it is a shame they should be rejected on the chronological authority by Steevens Garrick, whose judgment with regard to Shakespeare is worth that of a million such critics as Steevens, always spoke them, and with an energy that always met with loud applause -HALLIWELL There is great spirit in this addition [from the older play, which would no doubt be spoken effectively on the stage by a competent actor, but it is impossible to sanction its incorporation into the text, were it only that the sentiment is not in consonance with the intention of the dialogue, where the Bastard is treating Austria with the greatest contempt quite irreconcilable here with an outburst of angry passion, and, moreover, these two speeches do not occur in that part of the old play corresponding with the present scene -[Bell's edition, 1773, which purports to give the text of King John as acted at Drury Lane, contains these interpolated lines, that they were spoken by Garrick we have on the testimony of Pye J P Kemble, whose acting copy was published in 1804, wisely omits them, and they do not, therefore, appear in any of the subsequent acting editions, viz Inchbald, Oxberry, and Cumberland -ED]

64 We like not this A notable line, as the only instance where King John rebukes that bluntness in Philip which was one of the traits which first attracted him—Ep

65 Pandulph] OECHELHAUSER (Einfuhrungen, i, 21) The most important part among the opponents of John is that of Pandulph, who, moreover, was not historically Cardinal of Milan, but archdeacon It is a finely delineated rôle of an intriguer, which is become the typical model for a whole tribe of spiritual diplomats Pride, cleverness, casuistical sharpness, jesuitical cunning, lack of regard in the choice even of immoral means, as long only as they lead to the one fixed goal, the strengthening and widening the power of Rome, all these fix a stamp upon the rôle, while the mantle of religious hypocrisy covers all The character appears in its most unpleasant phase in that passage, Act III, sc 1, where Pandulph demonstrates to King Philip the lack of guilt in his broken pledge—a companion picture to Richard's casuistry in Henry VI. The cold, unfeeling Italian foresees that John will do away with Arthur, this inhumanity shall further his own plots, beyond this for him it is of no import Action, bearing, and speech, wherein at times the linguistic sharpness of the diplomat and intriguer predominate over the pathetic softness of the priest, must give individual life and complete the figure typical of a man who overrides all things in his life's task. Pandulph should be represented as a man of ripe years and must always be accompanied with a following of a Prince of the Church It must also be noted here that the

Fra. Heere comes the holy L Pan. Haile you annointed dep	-
To thee King Iohn my holy erra	
I Pandulph, of faire Millane Car	dinall,
And from Pope Innocent the Leg	gate heere, 70
Doe in his name religiously dema	and [140]
Why thou against the Church, or	
So wilfully doft fourne and force	
Keepe Stephen Langton chosen A	-
	_
Of Canterbury from that holy Sea	• 1
This in our forefaid holy Fathers	-
Pope Innocent, I doe demand of	_
<i>Iohn</i> What earthie name to	Interrogatories 78 [147]
66 of] from Var '85	73 and perforce] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+,
67 Haile] Ff Hail! Huds i Hail,	Cam +, Dono Neils and, perforce,
Rowe et cet	Cap et cet
heauen,] Ff Heav'n, Rowe heav'n Pope heav'n' Theob Han Warb	74 Arshbishop] F ₁ F ₂ Archbishop
Johns heaven — Sing heaven Coll	F ₃ F ₄ 75 Sea] F ₂ F ₃ See F ₄ , Cam. 11,
Wh i, Huds heaven! Cap et cet	Dono see? Rowe et cet
68 is] is Cap et seq	76 This] This, Cap et seq.
69 Millane] Ff, Ktly Milain Rowe,	foresaid] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han
Pope, + Milan Cap et cet	Dyce, Hal Cam +, Fle Words. Neils
71. Doe name] Do, name, Cap Varr. Mal Steev. Varr Sing. Knt, Hal.	Craig 'foresaid Theob et cet 78 earthie Ff earthy Rowe, Coll 1,
Ktly, Sta Fle Rlfe.	Cam Glo Cla Neils earthly Pope et cet.
72 Church, Mother,] church mother	to Interrogatories] to Interrogaro-
Pope, Han	ries F4 to interrogatories, Mal Steev
73 [purne·] [purn, F ₃ F ₄ , Rowe,	Varr Sing. Knt, Ktly. t' interrogd-
Pope,+	tories Fle
Cardinals of that period did not wear red	hats, these were first given them by Pope
Innocent V in 1243	
60 Milloro Condensiii Dornes Mes	" Clarke's Consendence has the conjugat

69 Millane Cardinall] ROLFE. Mrs Clarke's Concordance has the curious misprint 'fair Milan cathedral' in the reference to this passage under 'Milan'

74. Stephen Langton] WRIGHT On the death of Hubert Fitzwalter, archbishop of Canterbury, 13 July, 1205, the monks elected Reginald the sub-prior, and sent to Rome to have the election confirmed by the Pope The Pope, however, refused to confirm it in the absence of letters recommendatory from the King The monks then, fearing the King's displeasure, begged him to nominate one whom they might elect, and he ordered them to vote for John Gray, Bishop of Norwich, who was accordingly chosen. But the Pope quashed this election also, 'and procured by his papall authoritie the monks of Canterburie . to choose one Stephan Langton, the Cardinall of S Chrysogon, an Englishman borne' (Holinshed, iii, 171), whom John refused to acknowledge—[For the corresponding passage in prose, which Shakespeare has turned into such stirring verse, see The Troublesome Raigne, pt 1, ll. 71-78, in Appendix, p. 493—Ed.]

78 Iohn. What, etc.] Johnson. This must have been at the time when it was written, in our struggles with popery, a very captivating scene. So many pass-

[78 Iohn. What earthie name to Interrogatories]

ages remain in which Shakespeare evidently takes his advantage of the facts then recent and of the passions then in motion, that I cannot but suspect that time has obscured much of his art, and that many allusions remain yet undiscovered. which perhaps may be gradually retrieved by succeeding commentators —BIRCH (p. 254) The answer of John to the Legate shows Shakespeare no Roman Catholic, and would be applauded to the echo by the audience of the theater and the occupants of the Though in character and in the mouth of a villain, we must throne of England allow that Shakespeare spoke here in his own person, and that he uttered the sentiments of England [In reading the various passages from Birch's Enquiry into the Religion and Philosophy of Shakespeare, it should ever be borne in mind that the author, while declaring in his preface that his Enquiry was strictly impartial. nevertheless, seems at times actually to endeavor to twist some phrase or speech into an evident example of a lack of religious belief on the part of Shakespeare himself, although it be one of his creations who utters words quite consistent to character - ED]-OECHELHAUSER (Einfuhrungen, 1, 9) The behaviour of John reaches its Highest point of interest for the audience in his unsurpassable dismissal of the Papall Legate Shakespeare has here given one of those immortal examples of his genius, which recognised, indeed, divinely foresaw, equivocation as the governing principle, the evident end and aim Almost three centuries have elapsed since he hurled these annihilating words against Rome, and yet today it is hardly possible to describe or stigmatize the way and purpose of Papal politics more clearly or sharply than has Shakespeare in these undying words —Goldwin Smith (Macmillan's Maga, Jan, 1889, p 234) Where the scene of his play is in Roman Catholic times or countries Shakespeare takes the religious environments and costume with the rest, and introduces friars as ministers of good. This is hardly more significant than his introduction of the gods of Rome in Jul Cas, or of weird heathenism in King Lear, where it harmonizes with the character of the piece That he had any latent hankering after Roman Catholicism, or that his heart was on the Papal side of the great quarrel between the nation and the Pope, it is impossible to believe in face of such lines as these-[ll 78-91] Much with what the author does not agree may be written dramatically, but there are things which even dramatically he who does not agree with them will not write. Any one who had the slightest leaning to the Papal side would have manifestly outraged his own feelings by penning these lines The passage on Indulgences [ll 96-98] has a sting in it if anything in Shakespeare has The exposure of the false miracles of healing at St Albans (2 Henry VI II, 1) may be cited in the same connection, if the passage is by Shakespeare, as we believe it is -Bowden (p 118) These lines have indeed furnished quotation for anti-Catholic declamations of Prime Ministers, Lord Chancellors, and Archbishops in our own time Their value as representing Shakespeare's opinions, however, assumes a different complexion if we apply one of Aristotle's canons of criticism, and inquire not what the speech is in itself, but who spoke it, and with what end it was spoken. The language and action of a hero may be supposed to represent the Poet's type of what is good and noble, and therefore what he would wish his own language and action to be. The sentiments of a scoundrel, on the other hand, are intentionally drawn as false. base, and treacherous, and therefore presumably not those of the Poet's ideal self Now we are quite content that Shakespeare should be judged by this rule throughout his plays, but this rule must be uniformly applied. According to some critics.

[78 Iohn. What earthie name to Interrogatories]

if Henry V speaks as a Catholic, this is only from dramatic necessity, or because the Poet is following Holinshed's Chronicles, and such speeches therefore give us no clue as to his own judgment Does John, however, rant in true Exeter Hall fashion, or Duke Humphrey malign Cardinal Beaufort, or an added scene by Fletcher in Henry VIII extol Elizabeth, there we have the Poet himself With such a method of argument Shakespeare can be proved as rabid a bigot as these writers desire But if the canon be impartially applied, an opposite result is, we believe, attained In this particular instance is John a hero or villain? bold defiance proves mere bombast, he ends by eating his words He humbles himself to the dust before the Legate, and as a penitent receives the crown again at his hands, and his kingdom in fief from the Pope John's anti-Catholic speeches, then, no more prove Shakespeare a Protestant than the fool's saying in his heart 'There is no God' makes David a sceptic -[Although the following extract from CARTER (Sh Puritan and Recusant, p. 175) does not refer specifically to the present passage in King John, yet, as it bears upon the question of Shakespeare's attitude towards Roman Catholicism, it may fittingly follow the foregoing remarks -ED] 'In 1597 Shakespeare bought the house in New Place, and engaged in numerous business transactions in the neighborhood of Stratford, and at the request of a number of his friends invested some £440 in the purchase of the tithe leases of Stratford, Bishopton, and Welcombe In view of the oft-asserted Roman Catholicism of the Shakespeares, this purchase of the tithe leases is noteworthy Would a Papist have been allowed to invest his money in this way? And if allowed by the Prelatist and Puritan opponents, would the Roman Catholic Church have held him guiltless in thus trafficking in sacred things? For in the eyes of devoted Papists this was spoliation with a vengeance William Shakespeare may, no doubt, be a great gain to their Church in Roman Catholic eyes, but surely the heroism of Papists during the long dark years of Elizabeth is something far better and nobler The Romish church records are full of splendid examples of heroism for conscience' sake during these truly awful times, hedges, byeways, secret chambers. dungeons, and martyrdoms bore witness to the devoted constancy of the old Faith But what can be said of the claimed Roman Catholicism of the Shakespeares? It was a disgrace to the annals of the church of Rome, and it is a very wide charity indeed which, after a knowledge of the undeniable acts which they committed in antagonism to Papistry, still claims to number them among the Faithful If John Shakespeare was a Roman Catholic, he was a dishonest hypocrite of the worst type, and one whom it is exceedingly difficult to believe could have continued so long in the affections of the Stratford people. In the face of his official and private acts and undoubted leadership among his fellow townsmen, it is incomprehensible how anyone could dub him Papist It is only on the lines of Puritanism that his career becomes intelligible and inspiring, and all the facts of his life seem to marshal themselves in proper order, and show him to be a man of sterling honesty and integrity, a sufferer for conscience' sake, and one who would dare every risk of imprisonment and death rather than be coerced into what he considered ceremonialism and dishonesty.'-Bulthaupt (Dramaturgie, p 76) It may be said that he knows but little of Shakespeare's Historical plays who would take perjury, false-swearing, and the bottomless villainy of low self-seeking as characteristic of this scene, of this play, and also as due to Papal influence. Just as Philip of France and the blustering Austria break their words, so likewise does

Can tast the free breath of a sacred King?

79 [148]

79 taft] F₂ tafte F₃F₄, Rowe 1, task Theob et cet
Vaughan tax Rowe 11, Pope, Han 79 free breath] free-breath F₃F₄, Rowe

the Dauphin Louis in this same play from quite different motives, so too does York, Warwick, and Clarence in the other Histories whenever it seemed good and if interest so urged them. Finally, let us accept the fact that John proves himself as the most impossible personality for the exploiting of an anti-Romanist idea, since he, for all his bravado and loud-sounding words, completely humbles himself to the Church, just as Henry did at Canossa, and so of this 'historical' or 'political' idea nothing remains. Assuredly these speeches and attacks are neither 'historic' nor are they dramatic, they have only a tendency that way—Belden (Tudor Sh, p 119). Here is voiced for the first time in this play the feeling of patriotism which animates all Shakespeare's Histories. That it should come from the mouth of John, who is, after all, a weakling and a villain, is unfortunate for dramatic effectiveness, but was imposed by the conception of the character in the original play, and indeed by the historic material itself. Later Salisbury and the Bastard become the exponents of this sentiment.

Interrogatories MALONE That is, what earthly name sub-78 What. joined to interrogatories can force a king to speak and answer them?—H C C. (Notes & Queries, 1864, III, vi, 323). The true ordo verborum of this sentence is this 'What earthly name can task the free breath of a sacred king to interroga-The interrogatories which the Cardinal threatens are those which were, and are, familiar to the Canon Law. To those interrogatories the name of the ecclesiastical ordinary, by whose authority they were to be administered, never was subjoined, but was always prefixed, and the same practice is adhered to in this country whenever the ecclesiastical jurisdiction is curially exercised. When the necessity for this exercise arises the Ecclesiastical Court cites the delinquent to answer to 'articles, heads, positions, or interrogatories, touching and concerning his soul's health and the lawful correction and reformation of his manners and excesses', and to these interrogatories the name of the ordinary is prefixed, though they cannot, under 13 Car II, c 12, 3, 4, be actually administered now to the defendant, and are therefore only pleadings in the suit

78 earthie] COLLIER. Modern editors, since the time of Pope, have substituted earthly for 'earthy,' an alteration not required. ['Not required!' remarks DYCE, p. 90—'In Richard II I, iii, vol. iv, p 125, Mr Collier gives. "O! thou, the earthly author of my blood", and observes in a note, "The Folio of 1623 reads earthly." It happens that in the latter passage only one old copy has the misprint, which in the former passage all the old copies exhibit. In Massinger's Duke of Milan, Act v, sc. 11, Sforza says to the Doctors, according to the old eds, "O you earthy gods, You second natures," &c; but in a copy quarto, 1623 (now in my possession), Massinger has crossed out "earthy" with a pen, and written earthly on the margin']

78 Interrogatories] FLEAY: The word is 'inter'gatories' in Mer. of Ven, V, i, 298-300, and in All's Well, IV, ni, 207, 'interrogatories' here and in Cymb V, v, 392. Some editors explain it wrongly, it means questions asked on oath. [For the pronunciation as in Mer of Ven and All's Well, compare 'You were best sweare me on the intergatories'—Arden of Feversham, III, vi, 6—Ep]

79. tast] STEEVENS The emendation [task, see Text Notes] may be justified

Thou canst not (Cardinall) deusse a name 80
So slight, vnworthy, and ridiculous [150]
To charge me to an answere, as the Pope.
Tell him this tale, and from the mouth of England,
Adde thus much more, that no Itahan Priest 84 [153]

82 Pope] Ff, Rowe. Pope's. Ktly.
Pope Pope et cet
83 England,] England Pope,+.

84 more,] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Cam
Glo Cla Neils more Fle Craig
more,— Cap et cet

by the following passage 'How show'd his tasking? seem'd it in contempt?'—
I Henry IV V, 11, 51 Again, in Henry V 'That task our thoughts concerning
us and France'—I, 11, 6 [The words 'task' and tax appear to have been once
almost identical, compare the once common vulgarism ax for ask Cotgrave has
s v 'Taille A taske or tax, a tallage, tribute' Here, I think, 'task' (tast is
manifestly a misprint) is used in the sense assigned by Murray (N. E D, s.
v task, 2), to force, put, or set (a person) to a task, to impose a task on, to assign
a definite amount of work to. Thus John asks of the Cardinal, what earthly power
can assign a task to the tree speech of an anointed deputy of heaven—ED]

83 England,] Capell (I, pt 1, p. 127) substitutes for the comma a colon, which in his system of punctuation has almost the force of the full stop, and, commenting upon his change, says 'If the editor is not deceived in his feelings, this passage's spirit is improved by its pointing "England" has but a comma in some copies, in others no stop at all, the latter making only two sentences where three were design'd (for the comma is of ancient editions), but not sufficiently noted for want of a fuller stop'

84-86 no Italian Priest . . . supreame head] Lord Campbell (p. 77) Shakespeare clearly shows that whatever his opinion might have been on speculative dogmas in controversy between the Reformers and the Romanists, he spurned the ultramontane pretensions of the Pope, which some of our Roman Catholic fellow subjects are now [1845] too much disposed to countenance, although they were stoutly resisted before the Reformation by our ancestors, who were good Catholics At the same time it is clear, from Shakespeare's portraiture of Friar Lawrence and other Roman Catholic ecclesiastics who do honor to their church, that he was no bigot, and that he regarded with veneration all who seek to imitate the meek example of the divine founder of the Christian religion -Boswell-Stone (p 56, foot-note) Perhaps the parallel speech in The Troublesome Raigne was an anachronistic development of an opinion held by a contemporary of John, a theologian named Alexander the Mason, who asserted 'that it apperteined not to the pope to have to doo concerning the temporall possessions of any kings or other potentates touching the rule and government of their subjects'-Holinshed, vol ni, p 174, col 1, 1 7.—Rushton (Sh and Lex Scripta, p. 60) quotes from a statute, 24 Henry VIII, cap 12 'Where by divers sundry old authentick histories and chronicles, it is manifestly declared and expressed, that this realm of England is an Empire, and so hath been accepted in the world, governed by one supreme head and king, having the dignity and royal estate of the imperial crown of the same '-R SIMPSON (Sh Soc. Trans, 1874-75, p. 439) The feelings of Shakespeare about the Church perhaps come out in his representation of Churchmen. There is none good among them from Pandulph to Cranmer, except the Bishop of Carlisle

Shall tythe or toll in our dominions:

But as we, vnder heauen, are supreame head,

So vnder him that great supremacy

87

85 dominions] dominions Fle

86 heaven] Heav'n Rowe,+

fupreame] súpreme Fle Words

87 No him fupremacy] Ff,+ So

ham supremacy, Cam + So, him, supremacy, Theob et cet

87 him] it Rowe ii, Pope, Han

heaven Coll ii, iii (MS)

in Richard II and Rutland's tutor in 3 Henry VI All the prelates are Macchiavellians, all the inferior clergy are conjurors or impostors Did Shakespeare colour these pictures, and (as in Beaufort's case) alter and exaggerate history for the condemnation of the historical church which was established in the times he represented, or of the Church which was present to his experience? is certain, that the only reproach which he allows himself to make against the old religion is connected with the political pretensions of the Papacy libellous satire against monks and nuns with which the old King John is filled was cleared away by him He gives us quite natural and touching pictures of the piety (superstitious in the eyes of his generation) of Richard II and Henry V In fact, he is careful not to outrage any one's religious conscience, however severe he may be on religious politicians. This abstinence on his part places him in the strongest possible contrast to all his brother playwrights, who all spent their deepest-sought wit in ridiculing and outraging the religion which they did not like, whether that was Popery or Puritanism In this characteristic we may trace not the influence of Essex, for in Shakespeare it was natural and independent of any political views, but a frame of mind which would naturally incline him to take the part of the unlucky Earl - SNIDER (11, 303) This is a most emphatic statement of the political significance of the Reformation, which brought about the subordination of Church to State Pandulph, on the contrary, asserts ecclesiastical supremacy, absolves the nation from its allegiance, takes away kingship, in fine, he seeks to destroy utterly the civil relation between monarch and subject. [This speech and the next by King John, with Pandulph's denunciation, have been taken as arguments both for and against Shakespeare's adherence to Roman Catholicism, for the views of various writers on this point, see Appendix, Sh and Roman Catholicism.—ED

87 him] Collier (Notes, etc., p. 203): For heaven [the MS. correction] the invariable reading has been 'him'. Nevertheless, satisfactory as this emendation may appear, it is possible that the original reading (before the passing of James I against the use of the name of the Creator on the stage) was God, for 'heaven' in I 86, and then 'him,' in this line, might be proper enough. When heaven was substituted for God the repetition of heaven in the next line became necessary—Singer (Sh Vind., p. 86). The substitution of heaven for 'him' is a piece of supererogation entirely unwarranted and uncalled for—R. G. White (Sh. Scholar, p. 300). Evidently 'heaven' in I 86 should be God, as is shown by the pronoun in this line. The correction is made in Mr. Colher's folio. The original word was evidently changed to 'heaven' on account of the statute of James I, while the corresponding change in the pronoun was neglected... Mr. Colher's folio gives heaven for 'him', but needlessly and, indeed, injuriously, as it destroys the parallel between the king's tenure of power and his exercise of it. This is another marked

Where we doe reigne, we will alone vphold	88 [157]
Without th'affiftance of a mortall hand:	
So tell the Pope, all reuerence fet apart	90
To him and his vsurp'd authoritie	[160]
Fra. Brother of England, you blaspheme in this.	
Iohn Though you, and all the Kings of Christendom	L
Are led fo groffely by this medling Prieft,	
Dreading the curse that money may buy out,	95
And by the merit of vilde gold, droffe, duft,	[165]
Purchase corrupted pardon of a man,	
Who in that fale fels pardon from himfelfe:	98 [167]

```
89 hand ] hand Pope, + 96 And] And, F4, Rowe et seq by ] buy Warb +, F1e pope, Cap et cet reuerence] rev'rence Pope, + 95-100 Dreading chers[h,] Om 98 from] for Var '85 (misprint)

Dono
```

evidence of the conjectural nature of the corrections in that folio. The corrector having made the necessary change of 'heaven' [1 86] to God, either from the sight of an actor's copy of his part, from memory, or from conjecture, went on to improve the text by guesswork, and struck from it the very word which gave force to the passage. [It is never a pleasant task to call attention to the errors of others; but in justice to Collier, it must be pointed out that the major part of White's objection is founded on a misreading of Collier's note. Collier says, as does White, that the word 'heaven' in 1 86 was evidently God, but does not give this as one of the MS corrections as White asserts, and as he repeats in the note in his edition. White follows Theobald's punctuation of this line, not that of the Folio—ED]

- 90 all reverence set apart] HUDSON (ed 11) That is, 'All reverence to him and his usurp'd authority being set apart.'
- or. vsurp'd authoritie] Warner (p 36). These words were like sweet honey to the Virgin Queen, Elizabeth, to whom undoubtedly Shakespeare paid his court in writing them. For she had been through exactly such a papal struggle as was now to follow in the case of John. She felt the 'supreme headship' of the Church as keenly as any who preceded or followed her. Largely through her personality, which was a sort of concretion of the English thought and English feeling of the day, England was an armed camp of religious and patriotic soldiers. It was an intense age, and the ideal England of Elizabeth, of her nobles, of her commoners was just that exploited in Shakespeare's line. 'That no Italian priest Shall tithe or toll in our dominions'
- 93 Though .. Christendom] COLLIER. This line shows how Shakespeare sometimes altered merely a word in order to render a prose passage verse, in the old King John it stands 'Though thou and all the princes of Christendom,' etc.
- 98 Who...sels .. from himselfe] Delius The Pope while selling a pardon granted for a bribe, brings upon himself, by this sale, the need for his own Absolution.—[Delighton likewise so interprets this, but such a meaning seems, I think, inconsistent with the preceding lines. John says, You are afraid of a curse which

Though you, and al the reft fo groffely led, [168]
This jugling witchcraft with reuennue cherish, 100
Yet I alone, alone doe me oppose [170]
Against the Pope, and count his friends my foes
Pand Then by the lawfull power that I haue,
Thou shalt stand curst, and excommunicate,
And blessed shall he be that doth revolt 105 [174]

99 al] F₁
100 witchcraft] witch-craft Ff, Rowe,
+.

reuennue] revénue Sta Fle
101 Yet I] Ff, Rowe,+, Cam +, Fle
Dono Neils Craig Yet I, Cap et cet.

noi alone doe] F₂ Cam+, Del alone, do F₃F₄ et cet. no4 curft] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns Varr Rann cursed Cam+. curs'd Han et cet

can be bought off with gold, and so purchase pardon from a mere man who sells you a pardon which he himself grants, that is, anyone can buy a pardon from the Pope—ED]

ro3 the lawfull power] 'Innocent III grounded his temporal pretensions on the right which he possessed of judging of sin, and of the obligations of oaths At first, indeed, the popes contented themselves with spiritual censures, but in an age when all were remodelled after the feudal jurisprudence, it was soon admitted that princes by their disobedience became traitors to God, that as traitors they ought to forfeit their kingdoms, the fees which they held of God, and that to pronounce such sentence belonged to the pontiff, the vice-gerent of Christ upon earth. By these means the servant of the servants of God became the sovereign of the sovereigns, and assumed the right of judging them in his court, and of transferring their crowns as he thought just '—Lingard (Hist of England, vol 11, p 326, foot-note).

ro4 Thou shalt .. excommunicate] Watson (p 7) The original of this denunciation led to the establishment of Magna Charta, for the Barons, fearful that the King would be intimidated by the threat, forced him to sign the great charter of the land, the first words of which are 'The Church of England shall be free, and shall have her whole rights and liberties inviolable'—[For a graphic account of the effects of this interdict on the people and realm, see Hume's History, vol 1, ch x1, p. 489—ED]

ro5-rio. And blessed . . . Thy hatefull life Johnson This may allude to the bull published against Queen Elizabeth. Or we may suppose, since we have no proof that this play appeared in its present state before the reign of King James, that it was exhibited soon after the popish plot. I have seen a Spanish book in which Garnet, Faux, and their accomplices are registered as saints—Malone Dr Johnson is incorrect in supposing that there is no proof that this play appeared before the reign of King James It is mentioned by Meres in the year 1598, but if any allusion to his own times was intended by the author of the old play (for this speech is formed on one in King John, 1591) it must have been to the bull of Pope Pius V, 1569 [See Troublesome Raigne, in Appendix, p 493 Both Froude (vol vi, p 59) and Haydin (Dictionary of Dates) give the date of Pius's bull of Excommunication as 1570, so likewise does Meyer (p. 77), who says (p. 85) 'No event in English history, not even the Gunpowder

From his Allegeance to an heretique, And mentorious shall that hand be call'd. [175] 107

107-110 And life] Om Dono

Plot, produced so deep and enduring an effect on England's attitude to the Catholic church as the bull of Pius V Englishmen never forgot their queen's excom-Whenever in later ages men's minds were stirred up against the Roman church, the remembrance of 1570 was enough to justify their implacable hatred When more than a century after the days of the excommunication, the excitement roused by the Popish Plot spread throughout the country and fanned men's passions into a blaze, it seemed as though the times of Elizabeth had returned to warn men against all charity and conciliation The story of the excommunication, and of the pope who freed men from their oaths, and subjects from their allegiance, was a weapon that kept its edge for centuries and effectively put a stop to every thought of toleration for the papists'-ED |-STAUNTON (Introd. p 302) Such hypotheses as these [Johnson's and Malone's], however, if they do little towards establishing the chronology of Shakespeare's writings, are forcible confirmations of the fact that he wrote 'not for an age, but for all time' His representations are so truthful and life-like that it is the easiest of undertakings to find a model whence he may be presumed to have drawn them He describes the ruinous extravagance into which noblemen and gentlemen are seduced in equipping themselves for a foreign enterprise, and the arrogant pretensions of the Catholic Church in dealing with a rebellious monarch, with such fidelity that we seem to be reading a particular relation of whichever individual occurrence of the kind our memory first brings to notice — Joseph Hunter (11, 14) [This passagel must forever decide the question whether the Poet, when he wrote it, was a member of the Roman Church, or favourable to any scheme for its regaining its supremacy in England Shakespeare, it may be said, is only writing in the character of the speaker, as a dramatist ought to do But if he had been a favourer of the system which many in his day would gladly have seen restored, he would not have put into the mouth of the representative of the Church a doctrine which the enemies of the Church attributed to its authorities, charged them with encouraging, while it is a doctrine which strikes at the root of all personal security, and is shocking to the common sense of right and wrong. If he had been at all solicitous for the honor of the Church, he would have qualified and screened such a sentiment as this or, rather, he would have suppressed it altogether, and that he has done neither the one nor the other is a plain proof that he did not scruple to expose to the execration of the people the darkest parts of the system, and do his part to keep in mind that such extreme opinions might be cherished in the Church If he himself secretly approved of them, which we cannot believe, he still would not have cared to expose them in all their native deformity. It should be remembered that something like encouragement was actually held out to take the life of Queen Elizabeth, or, at least, her ministers chose to have it thought so —BROOKE (p. 231) Imagine what Shakespeare's audience felt when they heard this anathema of death It went home to the heart of the audience. There was not a man in the pit who had not heard that Rome had treacherously played for the assassination of Elizabeth, had openly attacked her legitimacy, and urged the Roman Catholics of England to throw off their allegiance. I should like to have been in the theatre and heard the roar which saluted this dialogue of John and Pandulph.

Canonized and worship'd as a Saint, That takes away by any secret course Thy hatefull life 108 [177]

IIO

Con O lawfull let it be
That I haue roome with Rome to curfe a while,

112 [180]

108 Canonized] Canónizèd Dyce, Fle
Huds 11, Words
111, 112 O lawfull a while,] Om
Dono
111 O] O' Coll Wh 1, Huds 1, Del
Craig O, Dyce, Hal Cam +, Fle

Huds 11, Words Neils Oh, Ktly
112 roome] leave Pope, Han Kemble
a while,] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+
awhile. Coll Wh 1, Del Fle Craig
awhile! Dyce, Cam +, Huds 11, Words
Neils a while! Cap et cet

ros Canonized . as a Saint] These words are an addition by Shakespeare to the text of the older play, they seem to be almost an echo of those of Anthony Tyrrell's report of the answer of Gregory XIII on being asked 'whether any one, who for the benefit of the Church and the delivery of the Catholics from their afflictions, attempted to destroy the Queen of England, should have for the fact his pardon' The Pope thus replied 'As touching the taking away of that impious Jezebel, whose life God has permitted thus long for our scourge, I would be loath you should attempt anything unto your own destruction, and we know not how our censure on that point amongst her subjects which profess themselves our children would be taken, but if you can wisely give such counsel as may be without scandal to the party or to us, know you we do not only approve the act, but think the doer if he suffer death simply for that to be worthy of canonisation' This was in 1581, and such words must have produced a strong impression upon the minds of all men of that time For the full account of Tyrrell's mission, see Froude. Resp. of Elizabeth, v., pp. 303-305—ED

those of Pandulph, she is acting a part unsympathetic to the audience, although at the outset of the play she was the injured woman, and John the villain The issues of the play are now confused

112 roome with Rome] THEOBALD Mr Pope, in the nicety of his Ear, has, against the Authority of all the copies, displaced a jingle here [see Text Notes], tho' it is obvious to every knowing reader how customary it is with our Poet, in a thousand instances, to play on words similar in sound and differing in significa-He repeats the very same conundrum on the two words now before us in Jul Cas, [I, 11, 165] 'Now is it Rome, indeed, and room enough'-[I have given this note by Theobald as a proof that even as late as his time (1733) there was no distinction made between the sound of these two words - Earle (ed ui, p 165) says. 'The fashion has not yet quite passed away of pronouncing Rome as the word room is pronounced This is an ancient pronunciation, as is well known from puns in Shakespeare No doubt it is the phantom of an old French pronunciation, and it bears about the same relation to the French utterance of Rome (pron Rom) that boon does to the French bon But it is remarkable that in Shakespeare's day the modern pronunciation (like roam) was already heard and recognised, and the two pronunciations have gone on side by side till now, and it has taken so long a time to establish the mastery of the latter The fact probably is, that the room pronunciation has been kept alive in the aristocratic region, which is almost above the level of orthographic influences, while the rest of the world Theob et cet

115 power] pow'r Pope.+

117, too, right] Ff, Rowe 1. too

right, Sta Neils too, right, Rowe ii

Good Father Cardinall, cry thou Amen II3 [18]	1]
To my keene curies, for without my wrong	
There is no tongue hath power to curse him right.	15
Pan. There's Law and Warrant (Lady) for my curfe	
Conf And for mine too, when Law can do no right. [18]	5]
Let it be lawfull, that Law barre no wrong	
Law cannot giue my childe his kingdome heere;	
For he that holds his Kingdome, holds the Law	90
Therefore fince Law it felfe is perfect wrong,	
How can the Law forbid my tongue to curse? [190	0]
Pand. Philip of France, on perill of a curfe,	
Let goe the hand of that Arch-heretique,	
And raife the power of France vpon his head,	÷5
Vnlesse he doe submit himselfe to Rome	
Elea Look'st thou pale France'do not let go thy hand. [195	5]
113 cry thou Amen] Ff, Coll Dyce, et cet	
Huds Cam +, Neils Craig cry thou 118 wrong] wrong Coll Wh "Amen" Hal Wh 1 cry thou, Amen, Ktly, Huds 1, Del Rlfe, Neils cur	

has been saying the name according to the value of the letters Room is said to have been the habitual pronunciation of the late Lord Lansdowne and the late Lord Russell The Shakespearean evidence is from the following passages' [The present line in King John, and that quoted by Theobald] 'But in I Henry VI "Winch Rome shall remedie this Warw Roame thither then"—III, i, 51'—Wright adds to these the two following passages from Lucrece 'So fares it with this faithful lord of Rome . For now against himself he sounds this doom,' I 715, and, 'And never be forgot in mighty Rome The adulterate death of Lucrece and her groom,' I 1644—For further discussion on this point, see Julius Casar, this ed, p 41—ED]

Herr

Words

125 power] pow'r Pope,+

127-129 Elea Look'st soule] Om

117-122 And for mine to curse] Ivor John That is, When the law cannot see people righted then let no wrongdoing at all be hindered. Law cannot give Arthur his kingdom, for John is master of the law, therefore since the law is 'perfect wrong,' how can I be rightfully restrained from cursing. This mixture of quibbling with passionate argument is characteristic of this play—Deighton. Here again Constance seems to be using wrong in a double sense. (i) when it is out of the power of the law to enforce justice, let it be considered most truly in accordance with the spirit of law that it hinder no wrong (injury) from redressing itself, (2) that it hinder no wrong (ill-doing), if it can be called a wrong for me to curse.... Since law in this instance is in itself the highest injustice (wrong), it cannot have the right to forbid my doing what is wrong (cursing John), it cannot be so illogical as to forbid my following its own example.

Con Looke to that Deuill, left that France repent. 128 [196] And by difforning hands hell lofe a foule Aust. King Philip, listen to the Cardinall. 130 Baft. And hang a Calues-skin on his recreant limbs Aust Well ruffian, I must pocket vp these wrongs. [200] Because. Your breeches best may carry them. BaltPhilip, what faift thou to the Cardinall? Tohn. 135

Con. What should he say, but as the Cardinall?

Dolph. Bethinke you father, for the difference 137 [204]

128 that Deuill Ff. Rowe. that, devil, Cap Dyce, Hal Cam +, Coll 111. Fle Huds 11 that, devil, Var '21. Coll 1, 11, Wh 1, Huds 1, Del Neils Craig that, Devil! Pope et cet

120 And hands] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han Coll Wh 1, Huds i And hands, Dvce, Cam +, Huds 11, Neils Craig And, hands, Theob et cet

130-134 Auft. King them | Om Dono

131-134 Baft And them Words

131 Calues-skin Ff. Rowe.+. Fle calf-skin Var '85 calf's-skin Cap et cet

limbs | Limbs, F. 133 Because, Ff, Fle. Because-Rowe et cet

134 Your] -your Hal 137 father, father, Pope et seg

128, 120. Looke to that . soule] Deighton That is your business, Satan. it is for you to take care that France does not repent, for, if he does, you will lose a soul which evidently ought to be yours

127-148 Wordsworth omits these lines, giving as his justification that 'They add nothing of importance to the dialogue, which is spun out more than enough without them, and between quibbling and coarseness, they provoke an exclusion which no reader can regret '

132. ruffian I must pocket] Davies (Dram. Miscell, i, 45) The person who acted Austria, on the revival of King John at Covent-Garden in 1737, was one Boman, a dyer This actor, in answering Faulconbridge's repeated insult, whether through ignorance, haste, or chance, instead of uttering the reply to Faulconbridge as he ought, with a loud vulgar tone pronounced it thus 'Well, ruffiun, I must pockut up these wrongs' The audience did not observe the impropriety, but Walker, in the Bastard, by changing the word 'breeches' to pockut, imitated Boman's manner, look, action, and tone of voice so archly and humourously that he threw the audience into as merry a fit as ever Quick, or Parsons, or any actor ever did, in the most comic situation; they were absolutely convulsed with laughter for a minute or two, and gave such loud applause to Walker that poor Boman was thunderstruck

133. Because, P. Simpson (p 32) notes this as an example where a comma is used, in the Folio, to mark an interrupted speech.

134 Your breeches . . . them] STEEVENS. Perhaps there is something proverbial in this sarcasm. So, in the old play of King Leir, 1605 'Mum. Well I have a payre of slops for the nonce Will hold all your mocks'-[Sux Old Plays, vol. ii, p 437].

140

Is purchase of a heavy curse from Rome, Or the light losse of England, for a friend Forgoe the easier 138 [205]

Bla That's the curfe of Rome

Con O Lewis, stand fast, the deuill tempts thee heere
In likenesse of a new virtimmed Bride

I43 [209]

138 Is] Ff, Rowe,+, Coll Wh 1, Huds 1, Cam +, Dono Neils Craig Is, Cap et cet
139 friend | friend Ktly, Neils
141 That s| Fi That is Ff, Rowe i
That's Rowe i et seq
142-155 Con O Lewis lout | Om
Words

142 O Lewis] Lewis Pope,+. O Louis Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Huds 11
fland fast | stand fast | Coll Hal
Wh 1, Huds Cem +, Del Neils Craig
143 new untrimmed] new and trimmed
Theob Warb Han Johns new uptrimmed Sing 11 (Dyce), Coll 11, 111
(MS) new-uptrimmed Dyce, Del
Huds 11, Dono new untrimmed Fle

138 Is purchase . . . Rome] JOHNSON It is a political maxim, that kingdoms are never married Lewis, upon the wedding, is for making war upon his new relations.

143 new vntrimmed Bride] THEOBALD (Sh Restored, p 120) I cannot conceive what the Poet is supposed to mean here by 'untrimmed,' unless its opposite, as I take it, in sense, trim, i e, neat, spruce, fine But I cannot admit it, without some proof for conviction, to carry that signification Again, there is no room surely to imagine that the Poet intends to compare the Lady Blanch, as unmarried, to a vessel wanting either the proportion of her ballast or rigging, or not being complete in her trim, as the sea-phrase is, and therefore calls her 'untrimmed' This would be a remote Allusion with a vengeance, and, especially, when it is put in the mouth of a woman too As I profess myself to have suspected the passage, so I endeavor'd, as far as an unsupported conjecture or two would go, to reconcile it to an intelligible meaning. I say, a conjecture or two, for which I have no warrant or assistance from the copies, and therefore I shall urge them barely as such, and leave them to be embraced, or renounced, at pleasure. If it did not depart too widely from the present text, to make such a correction reasonable, it is not impossible but the Poet might have wrote, 'a new untamed bride,' 1 e, a Virginbride I cannot, indeed, recollect any instance in which the Poet has ever taken the liberty of using this epithet in that metaphorical sense, but it is a sense in which I am sure he may be borne out, and justified, by the usage of other languages An untamed bride exactly amounts to what the Latins call'd Virgo indomita, which I believe they took from the παρθένος άδάμαστος of the Greeks, that is, a bride untasted, unenjoyed And it will be no new doctrine to say that temptation and desire are generally heightened in men by that circumstance. But I observe that trim is used by our Author to signify not only neat, spruce, &c , but substantively too, for a peculiar quaintness and elegance of Habit. So in I Henry IV: 'Came there a certain lord, neat, trimly drest, Fresh as a bridegroom,' [I, in, 33]. So in Cymbeline: 'Your laboursome and dainty TRIMS,' [III, iv, 167]. And he employs it besides to signify personal beauty, and the hue and brightness of colours So in Venus & Adonis 'The flow'rs are sweet, their colours fresh and TRIM, But true sweet beauty hv'd and dy'd in him,' [il. 1070. 1080]. It is not improbable, therefore, that the passage before us ought to be re-

stored thus 'In likeness of a new BETRIMMED bride,' i. e. adorn'd and deck'd with It is familiar with our Poet to use the word betrim in these senses, and it is certainly of Saxon derivation, among whom getrymmed signified neat, fine, finished. &c. But if betrimmed may seem to depart too far from the traces of the text as it now stands. I'll propose another correction, that requires but a very minute change, and comes up to the sense of the former, As, 'a new AND TRIMMED bride,' 1 e . of a new bride, and one, as I said before, deck'd with all the charms of personal beauty - In his edition, which appeared six years later. Theobald adopts his third and last conjecture in his text, omitting completely the first, untamed, with its signification, and merely mentions as a possible reading the second, beirimmed, offering as an interpretation of the original text that 'It might indeed admit of this explanation undress'd, ready to go to bed,' and rejects it on the ground that 'it is giving in to an allusion too gross for Lady Constance'. In his ed ii even the conjecture 'betrimmed' is omitted, and the reading 'new and trimmed' alone admitted as in any way satisfactory -WARBURTON, in answer to Theobald's objection to 'untrimmed,' says 'It squares very well with the sense, and signifies unsteady The term is taken from navigation. We say too, in a similar way of speaking, not well manned' It is hardly likely. I think, that Warburton was acquainted with the remarks on this passage in Theobald's Shakes peare Restored. had he been so, he would doubtless have referred to the fact that Theobald's resection of the word trum as a term in navigation here was erroneous. This passage is not among those to which reference is made in their correspondence—Ep l— JOHNSON I think Mr Theobald's correction more plausible than Dr Warburton's A commentator should be grave, and therefore I can read these notes with proper severity of attention, but the idea of trimming a lady to keep her steady would be too risible for any common power of face - [Kenrick, in his review of Tohnson's Shakespeare, selects this note as an excuse for a personal attack on Johnson, who in his Preface had found fault with Pope for speaking of the 'dull duty of an editor' Kenrick in the same manner takes Johnson to task for speaking of the necessary 'gravity of a commentator', but as the reviewer's remarks in no way help us to a better understanding of the present line their transcribing seems hardly necessary -ED |-GREY (1, 284). Shakespeare probably alludes to the old legend of the devil's tempting Saint Dunstan, of whom the monkish writers observe that he was tempted by the devil to lewdness, in the shape of a fine lady. -[Grey has, I think, confused St Dunstan with St Anthony. The legends attaching to both are, perhaps, more widely known than any others in hagiology -Ep |-EDWARDS (D 150) I am afraid Mr Warburton, with all his gravity here, will be found to have made more haste than good speed. Unsteady, which is no great recommendation of a bride, cannot square well with the sense, where the speaker designs to express a strong and irresistible temptation, but Mr Warburton is perpetually out in his philosophy upon this subject. Nor, though the term should be taken from navigation (which I see no reason for in this place), does the trim of a ship signify its ballast, but its sails, colors, and pendants . . . Trim here, and in many other places, means finery; as in I Henry IV. 'A certain lord, neat, trimly dress'd, Fresh as a bridegroom,' [I, 111, 33] The very same image as here, 'a new and trimmed bride.' And from this common signification, it is applied to a ship, when she has all her bravery on And now let Mr Warburton judge whether Lady Blanch appeared before such an assembly with or without her trim —HEATH

(p. 226) declares that the reasons given by Edwards in support of Theobald's emendation, 'new and trimmed bride,' have convinced him that that is 'the true and genuine reading '-CAPELL (vol 1. pt 11. p 127) We need only reflect upon the situation of the lady that's spoke of-a bride fresh come from church, and upon the influence such a bride may be expected to have upon the person she's join'd to, to be satisfied that the sense put on 'untrimmed' (vide Glossary) is a true sense, and fitted most to the speaker's intention, namely, to express a temptation of the greatest strength possible. as her thought is indelicate, it is convey'd in a term of great decency, and yet sufficiently open when its source is discovered The elucidation in Capell's Glassary, to which he refers, is as follows 'unirimmed, unman'd When a ship has her complement of men, and her rigging complete, she is said to be in her trim '-This is practically the same as Warburton's explanation, it is not after Capell's usual procedure thus to take a predecessor's interpretation without comment, and although there is evidence throughout his Notes that he had seen Warburton's edition which appeared in 1747, it is not so easy to ascertain when Capell's Glossary was prepared, it was published after his death by Collins as a part of the first volume of the Notes in 1770 Neither Johnson nor Edwards, I think, wholly comprehended the innuendo contained in the last sentence of Warburton's note -ED |-STEEVENS Trim is dress An untrimmed bride is a bride undrest Could the tempter of mankind assume a semblance in which he was more likely to be successful? By Shakespeare's epithet, 'untrimmed,' I do not mean absolutely naked, but 'Nuda pedem, discincta sinum, spoliata lacertos.' [Mantuanus, Ecloque 1], in short, whatever is comprised in Lothario's idea of unattired [see Rowe's Fair Penitent, I, 1, works, 1, p 162], 'Non mihi sancta Diana placet, nec nuda Cythera, Illa voluptatis nil habet, haec nimium' [Ansonius, Epigram xxxix, 11 5, 6.—These classical quotations Steevens obtained, I think, from Burton, Anatomy of Melancholy, Part III, sec 11, memb 111, subsec iv. where the author treats of Beauty as a Cause of Love Melancholy Steevens adds to these, four other passages from later writers wherein trimmed is used in the sense of dressed, such might easily be multiplied, and as both Theobald and Edwards have already called attention to this meaning of the word, they need not be repeated Steevens thus continues | The devil (says Constance) raises to your imagination your bride disencumbered of the forbidding forms of dress, and the memory of my wrongs is lost in the anticipation of future enjoyment. Mr Collins inclines to a colder interpretation, and is willing to suppose that by an 'untrimmed bride' is meant 'a bride unadorned with the usual pomp and formality of a nuptial habit ' The propriety of this epithet he infers from the haste in which the match was made, and further justifies it from King John's preceding words 'Go we as well as haste will suffer us. To this unlook'd for, unprepared pomp.' Mr Tollet is of the same opinion, and offers two instances in which 'untrimmed' indicates a deshabille or a frugal vesture In Minsheu's Dictionary it signifies one not finely dressed or attired Again in Vives's Instruction of a Christian Woman, 1592, pp 08, 00 'Let her (the mistress of the house) bee content with a maide not faire and wanton, that can sing a ballad with a clere voice, but sad, pale, and untrimmed ' -Monck Mason (Comments, etc., p 156) Warburton's explanation of this passage is truly ridiculous, and that of Steevens also is somewhat ludicrous, I mean that part of his note in which he seems to insinuate that by 'untrimmed' Constance means naked. To trim means to dress-out, but it does not signify to clothe, and

'untrimmed' may mean unadorned, but it cannot mean unclad, perhaps we should read untried, or untrained, or adopt Theobald's amendment -MALONE I incline to think that the transcriber's ear deceived him, and that we should read as Mr Theobald has proposed. [Malone here quotes, in illustration of trim signifying spruceness of attire, the three passages given by Theobald in Sh Restored to this same purpose, those, namely, from I Henry IV, from Cymbeline and Venus & Adons, to these Malone adds 'Go, waken Juliet, go, and trim her up, Make haste, the bridegroom he is come already '-Rom & Jul, IV, iv, 24 thus continues | The freshness which our Author has connected with the word trim in the first and last of these passages ['trimly dress'd', 'colours fresh and trim'], and the 'dainty trims that made great Juno angry,' which surely a bride may be supposed most likely to indulge in (however scantily Blanch's toilet may have been furnished in a camp), prove either that this emendation [by Theobald] is right or that Mr Collins's interpretation of the word 'untrimmed' is the true one Minsheu's definition of untrimmed, 'qui n'est point orné,—inornatus, incultus,' as well as his explanation of the verb to trim, which according to him means the same as 'to prank up,' may also be adduced to the same point —SINGER (ed 1) Trim is dress Comptus virgineus is explained by the dictionaries, 'The attyre of maydens, or maidenly trimming' An 'untrimmed' bride may therefore mean a bride undressed or disencumbered of the forbidding forms of dress. It is, however, probable that this term may have been used for a virgin bride. [For this last suggestion Singer acknowledges his indebtedness to a note on a line in Chapman's May Day, Act IV, sc 1, as given in vol 1v of the Ancient Drama title as given by Singer; but the note to which he undoubtedly refers is to be found on p 95, vol 1v of the supplement to Dodsley's Collection, which was edited by Charles Wentworth Dilke and published in 6 volumes, 1816, with the simple title Old Plays The passage in Chapman's licentious play, wherein this word occurs, need not here be quoted, as Dilke says 'the indelicate sense in which it is used is too plain to need comment,' he excuses his having called attention to it on the ground that the commentators are not agreed as to the meaning of the word in the present passage in King John He characterises Steevens as the ablest commentator, but finds his interpretation 'rather forced, and as Constance describes Blanch as she then stood before him,' Dilke believes Constance's meaning to be 'a new and virgin bride ' He then quotes three other passages wherein this word is These are as follows Titus used, and manifestly can have but one meaning Andronicus, V, 1, 93-96; Beaumont & Fletcher, The False One, II, 111 (ed. Dyce. p. 253), Ibid, The Loyal Subject, II, i (ed Dyce, p 32), although Dilke quotes these passages in full, the last of these only shall be transcribed-Theodore is describing the ravages of the Tartars and says to Boroskie. 'They would not only have abused your buildings, Your goodly buildings, sir, and have drunk dry your butteries, Purlomed your lordships plate, the duke bestowed on you For turning handsomely o' th' toe, and trimmed your virgins, Trimm'd 'em of a new cut, an't like your lordship, 'Tis ten to one, your wife too '-DYCE (Remarks, etc, p 91), after a short summary of the notes in the Variorum of 1821, says in conclusion 'Let the next editor of Shakespeare merely state that 'untrimmed' means virgin, without any comment, though I now think it right to adduce the following passage, among many others which might be cited [Here follows the passage from The Loyal Subject quoted above |- BARRON FIELD, in an article on Some

Obscure Passages in Shakes peare contributed to the Old Shakespeare Society's Papers, 1847, vol 111, p 137, calls attention to a remark by Richardson in his 'excellent Dictionary' to the effect that 'untrimmed, in this passage, is only a corruption of entrimmed, as unrib is of enrib' (Which is, however, rather of philologic interest than Shakespearean) Speaking of Dyce's interpretation Field says 'There is no doubt, from the passage cited by Mr Dyce, and from another loose song in Heywood's Rape of Lucrece, Act I, so iii, that the verb to trim was used in such a sense, but I must think it was then always a canting word, just as we employ the word to dress in the sense of to chastise. It cannot be supposed that the Lady Constance would use the word in a wanton sense, and in any other "untrimmed" would bear the same meaning that it does in the following passage from the Poet's Sonnet xviii, namely, undecorated, whereas the argument here would require decorated "And ev'ry fair from fair sometimes declines, By chance, or nature's changing course, untrimmed "'-Field therefore declares that there is no doubt that Theobald's reading is correct. The advice contained in Dyce's Remark was at once accepted by Hudson, one of Dyce's firm adherents, in his ed 1. he has merely 'An "untrimmed bride" is, no doubt, a wrgin bride '-Not so, Dyce himself, however, in a communication to Notes & Queries for July 3, 1852, Singer says that Dyce proposes for the original reading the emendation uptrimmed, with which new reading Singer expresses great satisfaction, and Dyce himself, in his Few Notes, published in 1857, thus retracts his former views 'On the word "untrimmed" how have the commentators written! how have I myself written! how foolishly all of us! I now see (and with wonder at my former blindness) that nothing more is required than the change of a single letter—that, beyond the possibility of doubt. Shakespeare wrote "In likeness of a new up-trimmed bride" Compare what he elsewhere says of a bride "Go, waken Juliet, go, and trim her up "-Rom & Jul, IV, IV, 24 [Theobald anticipated Malone, and Malone anticipated Dyce in this illustration -ED] So too Marlowe "But by her glass disdainful pride she learns, Nor she herself, but first trimmed up, discerns "-Ond's Elegies: Works, 111, 174, ed Dyce '-Singer makes no verbal recantation beyond saying at the end of his communication to Notes & Queries 'It is satisfactory, by such a simple and undoubted correction, to get rid of heaps of idle babble and verbiage about a word that the Poet certainly never wrote, and certainly never conceived, with the meaning that some of the commentators would give to it.' In support of this he quotes the lines from the eighteenth Sonnet given above by Field -Singer therefore adopts Dyce's emendation in the text of his ed 11, as, of course, did likewise Hudson, with no mention in either case of a virgin bride — COLLIER (ed 1.) accepts the reading of the Folio, although 'a misprint may be suspected here '-VERPLANCK That is, a urgin bride, for which sense there is abundant authority in the old dramatists - STAUNTON (ed 1) As 'untrimmed' is usually conceived to mean unadorned, and the sense appears to require a word implying the reverse, we have adopted the happy and unforced emendation of Mr Dyce —IBID (Addenda and Corrigenda, vol 1, p lxv1) I am not at present so satisfied of the propriety of Dyce's ingenious emendation, uptrimmed, as I was formerly. In old times it was a custom for the bride at her wedding to wear her hair unbraided, and hanging loose over her shoulders. May not Constance refer to this custom? Peacham, in describing the marriage of the Princess Elizabeth with the Palsgrave, says that 'the bride came into the chapell with a coronet of

pearle on her head, and her havre dischevelled and hanging down over her shoulders' Compare, too, Tancred and Gismunda 'So let thy tresses flaring in the wind Untrimmed hang about thy bared neck '-Act V, sc 1-R G WHITE 'Trimmed' meant, in Shakespeare's day, gayly, finely, or, as we even now say, trimly dressed An 'untrimmed bride' is, therefore, a bride in deshabille, and in some such condition was Blanch on account of her unexpected nuptials, and the haste in which they were performed, a consideration which, by the way, disposes of the corrections 'and trimmed' by Theobald, and 'up trimmed' in Collier's Folio The latter expression needs neither explanation nor justification in itself, but there was no time to trim Blanch up. The obvious allusion, too, to the temptation of St Anthony makes it clear that the old text is correct. It is, of course, not intimated that Blanch was then and there in a condition approaching that in which the temptress of St Anthony is generally supposed to have won the victory for the Devil Constance's epithet has at once a slight taint of womanish spite, and a forward look for Louis —Coller (ed 11) The proper change is made by the MS. Corrector [see Text. Notes], viz uptrimmed The conjecture of Rev Mr Dyce was thus long anticipated, and there could be no reasonable doubt about it -J. O HALLIWEIL The ordinary meaning of 'untrimmed,' unadorned or undressed, hardly makes sense, Constance meaning to speak of the bride as an attraction, unless indeed the refined criticism, that the term means loosely apparelled, be adopted with the idea that a beautiful woman so clothed is more fascinating than when attired in all the elegancies of fashion 'Acosmus, one that is undecked or untrimmed, a slooven '-Ehote's Dictionarie, ed Cooper, ed. 1559 Mr Dyce ingeniously suggests up-trimmed, but the rapidity with which the match has been made and the King's allusion to 'this unlook'd for, unprepared pomp,' appear to show that Constance would not refer especially to the splendor of the bride's dress Allusions to brides and bridegrooms being trimmed or trimmed up, in other words, sprucely dressed on the occasion of their marriages, are not uncommon, but they by no means prove that Constance speaks of a lady so adorned, and the context shows that such is probably not the case. Without the necessity of considering a wanton allusion is intended, which it clearly cannot be, 'untrimmed' may merely mean virgin, used as innocently as we might 'a new maiden bride,' in allusion to her absolute freshness, a meaning far more forcible than the very prosaic one of a new well-dressed wife. The verb, to trim, is used with a double meaning in Titus [Halliwell quotes as examples of this double meaning the passages from Chapman's May Day, from The False One; and The Loyal Subject, and cites the song in Heywood's Rape of Lucrece, to which reference has already been made in the preceding notes]-Cartwright (p. 15) objects to Dyce's emendation on the ground that 'We say dressed up, but never updressed . of which there is no example in the language, cannot be admitted as an emendation' [While I am not favorably inclined to Dyce's proposal, I think that Cartwright's reasoning is unsound: we say upstand for stand up, upstant for start up, and many other compounds of the same kind An example of uptrum may yet be forthcoming.—ED.]—Keightley (Expositor, p 222) 'Untrummed' would seem to express the indecent haste of the wedding, the bride having, as it were, no trousseau, but being married in her ordinary clothes -Benj Easy (Notes & Queries, 1863, III, 1v, 366) offers the same explanation as did Staunton several years before, viz. that 'untrimmed' here refers to the loose-flowing hair of the bride as was cus-

tomary at the time, and in illustration quotes 'Come, come, my lord, until your folded thoughts And let them dangle loose as a bride's hair '-Vittoria Corombona (ed Dyce, vol 1, p 83) 'It is curious,' says Easy, 'that Steevens, in a note on this last passage, states that brides (and among them Anna Boleyn) formerly walked to church with their hair hanging loose behind, and yet missed the meaning of "untrimmed bride" so far as to give a ludicrous explanation of it '-A SCHMIDT (Jahrbuch, 111, 1868, p 356) That is, in likeness of a bride who has removed her ornaments, or rather a wife who has laid aside her bridal finery 'Untrimmed' would have had this same meaning if it occurred only here and in no other place in Shakespeare The verb is, however, to be found in this same sense in Sonnet xmm [see note by B Field, ante, it is quite unlikely that Schmidt had any cogmisance of this note -ED | Only the lues emendatoria, which at last catches even such editors as Dyce, could have led him to read uptrimmed bride, since it is as clear as daylight that we should only speak of a 'new up-trimmed bride' before the marriage, not, as in the present case, after the ceremony [In his Lexicon Schmidt explains 'untrimmed' in the present passage as 'a bride recently divested of her wedding gown '-ED]-Br Nicholson (Athenæum, 7th Sept, 1878) I confess my astonishment that an editor so learned and well read, and reputed of excellent judgment [as Dyce], should have substituted uptrimmed in this line Did Mr Dyce not know the custom of the time? Doubtless a bride was uptrimmed then as now and in every age Doubtless, also, her hair was so far trimmed by art as to look more naturally and more beautifully flowing But in Shakespeare's day a virgin bride had by custom the sole right of appearing at the altar with her hair flowing and loose, and, so to speak, untrimmed or dishevelled, not tied in the matron's knot, and she wore it thus in token of her virginity As the devil could appear as an angel of light, so, says Constance, he tempts you now in the guise of a pure and innocent maiden. Thus, too, we obtain and see the full meaning of the explanatory and intensitive adjective 'new,'-that is, a new or untouched bride. [Compare] Jonson's Hymenaer, the description of the celebrating presentation 'Betwixt these a personated bride, supported, her hair flowing loose, sprinkled with gray,' [ed Gifford, vii, 52] Thus this so-called emendation of Mr Dyce's alters the sense of the passage, weakens immeasurably its force, and destroys the meaning of 'new'-FLEAY (p 114) I note that Shakespaere never uses the verb trim except of dress, and though my plan is not to alter the text where any probable meaning can be got from it as it stands, I yet believe that Dyce's reading is correct Compare in support of Staunton, Tancred & Gismunda 'O let me dress up those untrimmed locks'---V, iii Trim is used in a different sense in Heywood's Rape of Lucrece, sc 1v, and elsewhere, but there is no need for us to discuss interpretations (although they have been seriously advanced by some editors) which are not of a character to allow of their having been put in Constance's mouth by Shakespeare —HERR (p 26) says that it is altogether improbable that Constance should refer to Blanche's personal appearance, but that it is her position as one interposed between the two kings. Hence 'is it not likely that the author wrote "In likeness of a new intervened bride"? Which may be interpreted to mean "the devil tempts you here in the shape of a bride newly brought forward as an intermediary." If intervened be not acceptable, Herr offers as alternatives upsprung or upsummoned, either of which, he says, 'would be infinitely better than to retain the senseless one, "untrimmed," in the text '- The

word intervened in the modern sense was unknown until the beginning of the seventeenth century Herr's explanation of the passage is, besides, open to objection -ED]-Dyer (Folk-Lore of Sh, p 53) opines that there may be here an allusion to the custom of the bride wearing her hair loose and dishevelled -- MOBERLY That is, a new bride not yet enjoyed It is strange that editors should wish to change the word (see Titus Andronicus, V, 1, 93) -Wright is unusually noncommital, he considers the suggestion that 'untrimmed' means divested of her bridal attire is not probable, and questions whether Staunton's interpretation may not be the true meaning -VAUGHAN (1, 42) Shakespeare uses trim, both as noun and verb, to signify elaborate attire, and therefore I should fully incline to Theobald's emendation as most probable, and to Collier's MS Corrector's as not improbable, were it not for the words, 'The devil tempts thee here in likeness,' and she was not present in the likeness of a trimmed bride. But 'trimmed' and 'uptrimmed' are very light matters as elements of a sensual temptation by the devil. Although, then 'untrimmed' enhances the effect of 'new,' denoting the absence of all artificial decorations, and therefore may be Shakespeare's 'word', yet I think it not improbable that the Poet wrote 'a new uniomed bride' [See note by Theobald (Sh Restored), ante | No classical scholar could fail to see in this expression the equivalent to 'a new and virgin bride,' even if Shakespeare had not himself defined it virtually, and indicated its value as a spur to love and desire, by a passage in Tro. & Cress, where Diomede, rebuking the eagerness shown by Paris and Menelaus for the possession of Helen, speaks of the latter as 'a flat tamed piece'—the absolute opposite of the 'new untamed bride' here, Trimmed or 'untrimmed' Blanche was the new and virgin bride—a real temptation. It may be observed, too, that Shakespeare in the quoted passage makes a whole syllable of the last three letters of 'tamed,' as would be the case with untamed here -MARSHALL. There is no doubt that to trim meant 'to dress more or less finely' and not simply 'to clothe', so that those commentators who maintain that the meaning of 'untrimmed' is undrest have gone, probably, a little too far. At the most it would mean only in deshabile, but the epithet here might refer to the fact that Blanche was not fully dressed as a bride should be. I cannot see any reason for Grant White's statement that here is an allusion to the temptation of St Anthony. [Marshall mentions also the 'plausibility' of Staunton's interpretation |-HERTFORD takes 'untrimmed' to mean 'disarrayed, 1 e, either divested of her wedding robe, or with her hair hanging loose '-Moore Smith I incline to think that we should interpret these words as 'a bride newly divested of her marriage clothes.' Though tresses may be 'untrimmed,' it does not follow that 'an untrummed bride' should naturally mean 'a bride with untrimmed tresses,' and if 'new' is left to stand alone, it is quite otiose—Ivor John Taking the passage as it stands, we may explain it by supposing Constance to mean that Blanch was a new-made bride having just laid aside the trimmings in which she had been married.—Deighton. The strongest objection to 'un-trimmed' is, I think, to be found in the word 'new,' which seems here to be used as an adverb, 'newly decked out.' The allusion to the temptation of St Anthony seems to me as apt whether Blanch was 'untrimmed' or 'uptrimmed,' and the objection that 'there was no time to trim Blanch up' is almost puerile - [In the face of so great an array of discussion and explanation of the meaning of a word, will it be considered presumptuous to say, that throughout one important fact seems to have been disregarded.

Bla. The Lady Constance speakes not from her faith, [210] But from her need.

Con Oh, if thou grant my need,
Which onely lives but by the death of faith,
That need, must needs inferre this principle,
That faith would live againe by death of need
O then tread downe my need, and faith mounts vp,
Keepe my need vp, and faith is trodden downe.

144-155 Bla The Lady lout In O! then Del Craig
margin Pope, Han Om Dono
150 O then I Oh, then! Ktly, Huds

O! then Del Craig
151 downe I down! Cam +, Neils

viz the circumstance under which the word is used From first to last the interpretation of the whole line has been that Blanche is used by the devil as a lure to swerve Lewis from his allegiance For this I think Steevens is responsible It was, I admit, with some hesitation that I transcribed the whole of his salacious note, only the facts that his remarks have been referred to by several later commentators, and to show how one early idea may give a bias to future interpretations, are my excuse for giving them in full But is this the only construction that can be put upon the words of Constance? Consider what has led up to her bitter speech Lewis says to his father, 'Bethink you, you have two alternatives to choose, the consequence of the heavy curse of Rome, or the consequence of the loss of England's friendship, I advise you to forego the easier', and, of course, he means the friendship of King John. Blanch hastily interposes that the easier to forego is the consequence of Rome's curse. With the loss of England's friendship she will lose her new-made husband. It is this remark that calls forth from Constance the appeal to Lewis to stand fast in his decision, from which he is being tempted to swerve by the words of the devil issuing from the mouth of his newly acquired bride Friendship between Philip and John means the overthrow of all of Constance's hopes. Whether we take 'untrimmed' here to mean a virgin bride, with Dyce's first interpretation; or with how unbound, as Staunton decides, or in deshabille, undrest, as Steevens and others have done, there can, I think, be very little reason to regard the speech of Constance as referring to Blanch herself as the temptation She is already won by Lewis and married to him, she is not presented as a bribe to make him forswear his oath, but it is her soft, insinuating words that are tempting him from his allegiance. As to the particular meaning of the word 'untrimmed' here, but little need be said by the present editor, after the patient reader has examined the many opinions he is quite as competent to select that view which is held by the major number as to have it pointed out to him Let it be said, however, that of all possible interpretations, that by Steevens is, in my opinion, the least possible, that, if a single example of the opposite of trim in the sense first quoted by Dilke, and accepted by Dyce, were produced it might be acceptable, that, as several examples of 'untrimmed,' in the sense given by Staunton, are well known, his explanation is deserving of high respect, that, the meaning in disarray or in deshabille is almost as bad as Steevens's. Why should Blanch be in this condition any more than Constance or Elinor? Personally I incline to Staunton's interpretation -ED]

146-151 Oh, if . . . trodden downe] MARSHALL. This speech of Constance

Iohn. The king is moud, and answers not to this. 152 [217]
Con. O be remou'd from him, and answere well.

Aust. Doe so king Philip, hang no more in doubt.

Bast Hang nothing but a Calues skin most sweet lout. 155 [220] Fra. I am perplext, and know not what to say.

Pan. What canst thou say, but wil perplex thee more? If thou stand excommunicate, and curst? 158 [223]

152 king kind F₂F₃
moud] moved Ff, Cam +, Huds

11 mov'd Rowe et cet
153 O O Coll Wh 1, Huds 1, Del.
Craig Oh' Ktly
remou'd] removed Cam Glo Cla

Fle Huds 11
well | well | Ff, Rowe well |
Dyce, Hal Cam +, Huds 11, Neils
154 fo king | fo, King F₄
155 Calues skin | Calues-skin | Ff,

Rowe, Fle calve's-skin Pope,+
calf's-skin Cap et cet
156 perplext] Ff, Rowe,+, Fle.
perplex'd Cap et cet
157 wil] Fr
more?] more, Rowe et seq
158 excommunicate] excommunicate'
Fle
curf] Ff, Rowe,+, Var '78, '85,
Cap cursed Cam Glo Cla Wh 11

Is very characteristic of Shakespeare's earlier style, in its elaborate antithesis and play upon words it rivals some of the most affected speeches in Richard II Compare Gaunt's speeches in II, i of that play [See also II, i, 449-453, note by Rushton, ante]—Deighton That is, O, if you admit my need, which need would have no existence if faith had been kept with me, that need necessarily infers this consequence, that if my need were put an end to, faith would once more be a living one O, then if you tread my need under foot (i e, take away the causes of it), faith necessarily mounts up, while if you maintain my need (i e, the causes of it), you are, by doing so, treading faith under foot 'Only' and 'but' in l. 147 are tautological

156 I am perplext] SNIDER (11, 303) calls attention here to the careful differentiation of the characters of father and son acting in accordance with their different principles 'The son is without conscience He sees in the present turn of affairs an opportunity for personal advantage greater than those which the fulfillment of the marriage contract offered—he uses the church as a means At once he becomes very pious, and insists upon obedience to Pandulph's order To be sure, he violates good faith, and endangers the new-born family to which he has pledged his sacred fealty, but these are moral considerations, which have not the weight of a feather against his self-interest. Passing to the father, we observe one of the most profound collisions to be met with in the works of Shakespeare Philip possesses a powerful-indeed, controlling-principle in conscience Good faith, amity, oaths are spiritual elements which he cannot disregard. But here is the Church, which commands him to break them, and the Church, too, is a principle which he acknowledges most devoutly. What is he to do? Philip hesitates to obey the mandate of Pandulph, and maintains the right of moral obligation as revealed in the human heart. It is the great function of the Church to foster and enforce the moral conscience of man, but the Church now has a political end, to which it subordinates its religious end. It is thus in contradiction with itself, and is really destroying the purpose of its existence. The King of France, therefore, asserts the internal spirit of the Church against its formal authority.'

Fra. Good reuerend father, make my person yours,
And tell me how you would bestow your selfe?

This royall hand and mine are newly knit,
And the conjunction of our inward soules
Married in league, coupled, and link'd together
With all religous strength of facred vowes,
The latest breath that gaue the sound of words
Was deepe-sworne faith, peace, amity, true loue
Betweene our kingdomes and our royall selves,
And euen before this truce, but new before,
No longer then we well could wash our hands,
To clap this royall bargaine vp of peace,

160 [225]

160 [225]

159 reuerend] rev'rend Pope,+
160 your selfele?] Ff, Rowe, Pope
your self Han your self Theob et cet
162-173 And kings] Om Dono
164 religous] Fi
vowes,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han
Warb vows Johns Var '73, Knt,
Coll 11, Sta Fle Rlie, Neils vows,
Var '78 et cet

166 deepe-fworne] deep fworn F₄,
Rowe
167 felues,] Ff, Rowe i, Cam Glo
Cla Wh 11 selves Rowe 11,+. selves
Cap Var '73 et cet
168 euen] ev'n, Pope,+
169 then] than F₃F₄
170 of peace] in peace F₄, Rowe 1

159 make my person yours] MOBERLY Nothing can be finer than Philip's vain appeal to Nature and Nature's law, which had little weight indeed at a period when even truth and right would have been considered as wickedness in disguise, unless they moved in the pathways of the Church, as Dean Milman has shown in his sketch of the Emperor Frederick II (Lat Christ, 1v, 370)

160 bestow your selfel That is, behave, act Compare 'How and which way I may bestow myself To be regarded in her sun-bright eye'—Two Gentlemen, III, 1, 87

162 And the consunction] IVOR JOHN There is a looseness of construction in this sentence, for, although 'conjunction' is the subject of '(is) married,' (is) coupled,' and '(is) linked,' these participles agree in meaning with 'inward souls'—Deighton It seems doubtful whether the construction here is 'the conjunction of our souls is married in league,' the words 'coupled vows' being an amplification of 'married in league', or, 'the conjunction of our souls being married in league' is 'coupled,' etc. In either case there is tautology, for the meaning is nothing more than 'the inward union of our souls is outwardly ratified by the solemn compact we have made with formal exchange of yows'

163 coupled, and link'd together] T CARTER (p 209) compares 'Let no man therefore put asunder that which God hath coupled together'—Matthew, xix, 6 (Genevan Vers)

168-171 And even before. . ouer-staind] The lines preceding this make us apt to lose sight of the fact that what follows refers to the words 'this royal hand and mine' The simple statement is thus Our hands are but lately joined in friendship; only just before this truce Heaven knows how smeared they were with blood, the making of this league has barely given us time to cleanse them —ED

170. To clap . . . vp] WRIGHT The figure is taken from the joining of hands

Heauen knowes they were befmear'd and ouer-staind
With slaughters pencil, where reuenge did paint
The fearefull difference of incensed kings
And shall these hands so lately purg'd ofbloud?
So newly ioyn'd in loue? so strong in both,
Vnyoke this seysure, and this kinde regreete?
Play fast and loose with faith? so lest with heauen,
Make such vnconstant children of our selues
As now againe to snatch our palme from palme

171 [236]

175 [240]

171, 177, 201 Heaven] Heav'n
Rowe,+
173 difference] diff'rance] Pope +
incensed] incensed Dyce, Fle
Words.

173 kings | kings Warb Johns Var

'73

175 loue⁹ | love, Rowe et seq

177 heauen, | heav'n⁹ Theob 11, Warb

Johns Fle

179 palme | Palm⁹ F₄ et seq

at the time the bargain was made Compare Tam of Shr., 'Was ever match clapp'd up so suddenly '—II, 1, 327

172 slaughters pencil] The earliest meaning of 'pencil,' as given by Murray $(N.\ E\ D)$, is a broad brush used by painters to cover a large surface with varnish or paint, in illustration whereof he quotes among other passages 'We shoulde with a bundel of humility, as it were with a paynters pensell, dypped in the redde bloude of Christe, marke oure selfe on euerye syde'—1534, More, Treat Pass, Works, 1207/2—ED

175 so strong in both] Johnson I believe the meaning is, love so strong in both parties—Henley Rather, in hatred and in love, in deeds of amity or blood—Capell (I, pt ii, p 129) 'Both' refers to 'love,' and to 'blood' in l 174, but as 'blood' is not very intelligible in conjunction with 'strong,' we must understand by it enmity (an idea included in it), and the whole sentence thus the strengh too of this love and this enmity being seen—Delius suggests as the likeliest construction that 'strong' relates to 'hands', 'both' to 'blood' and 'love'—Moberly: That is, both in quarrel and in love—Wright That is, in fighting and in friend-ship—Deighton [Henley's] explanation seems to me the better one, as completing a climax, the degrees of which are 'so lately purged,' 'so newly joined,' 'so strong,' etc [Is not 'strong' merely intensive here? that is, so strongly, or completely, purged of blood; and so strongly because newly joined in love—ED]

176. regrecte] CRAIGIE (N. E. D., s. v) A (return of a) salutation or greeting. [The present line quoted]

177. Play fast and loose] NARES (Gloss., s v) A cheating game, whereby gipsies and other vagrants beguiled the common people of their money. It is said to be still used by low sharpers, and is called pricking at the belt, or girdle. It is thus described 'A leathern belt is made up into a number of intricate folds, and placed edgewise upon a table. One of the folds is made to resemble the middle of the girdle, so that whosoever should thrust a skewer into it would think he held it fast to the table, whereas, when he has so done, the person with whom he plays may take hold of both ends and draw it away '—Sir J Hawkins, [note on 'Like a right gipsey, hath, at fast and loose, Beguil'd me to the very heart of loss '—Ant. & Cleo, IV, xii, 28].

Vn-fweare faith fworne, and on the marriage bed 180 [245] Of fmiling peace to march a bloody hoaft, And make a ryot on the gentle brow Of true fincerity? O holy Sir My reuerend father, let it not be fo. Out of your grace, deutle, ordaine, impole 185 [250] Some gentle order, and then we shall be blest To doe your pleafure, and continue friends All forme is formelesse, Order orderlesse, Saue what is opposite to Englands loue. Therefore to Armes, be Champion of our Church, 190 [255] Or let the Church our mother breathe her curfe, A mothers curfe, on her revolting fonne France, thou maift hold a ferpent by the tongue, 193 [258]

183 O holy Sir] O! holy sir, Coll Huds 1, Del Craig O, holy sir, Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Sta Cam +, Fle Huds 11, Words Dono Neils 184 [o,] so! Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Ktly, Cam +, Huds 11, Words Dono Neils Craig so Del Fle

186 and then] and Pope,+ then
Lettsom, Huds 11, Words
bleft bless'd Steev et seg

190 to Armes,] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Knt 1, Fle to arms! Theob et cet

Church,] Ff, Rowe, Coll. Huds
1, Cam +, Del Fle Neils Craig
church Pope church! Theob et cet
191 Church our mother] church, our
mother, Cap et seq

191, 192 curse, curse,] curse, curse,— Dyce, Hal Huds ii, Words 192 sonne] sonne or son Ff, Rowe et seq

180, 181 on the marriage bed...to march] MOBERLY It is easy to find fault with such metaphors as these, but the inward meaning should be considered. To make our armies trample down the peace which a marriage has so lately sanctioned, and (in the next line) to make a not where true Sincerity, with her candid brow, should be mistress of all. The metaphors are not, therefore, incongruous, though the compression makes them appear so

182 make a ryot] This is a somewhat unusual expression, the more common one is, to raise or commit a not Grant White in his Memoirs of Sh (Wks, 1, p xlii), in speaking of the quarrels between Sir Thomas Lucy and the Corporation of Stratford, says 'Records of one about common of pasture in Henry VIII's time are still preserved in the Chapter House at London, and among the papers at the Rolls' House is one containing "the names of them that made the ryot uppon Master Thomas Lucy, esquier"—This is, of course, long before W Shakespeare's time, but it is possible that this legal use of the phrase suggested a like use in the present passage—ED.

186 and] LETTSOM (ap DYCE 11) 'And' seems to have intruded from the line next below.

193. a serpent by the tongue MOBERLY The tongue was supposed to be the sting, as by Bunyan, who thought it a miracle that in his rash youth he had forced open a viper's mouth with a stick, and pulled out its tongue unharmed. [This ignorance of a fact in natural history was possibly peculiar to Bunyan. It can hardly be said to be general. Pliny, Natural History (trans. Holland), says: 'Some

A cased Lion by the mortall paw,

194 [259]

194 cafed] Ff, Rowe, Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing 1, Coll 1. chased Pope, Knt caged Coll 11, 111, Ktly ('raged Id conj) chafed Theob et cet

say, that a serpent hath but one venomous tooth, which because it is crooked,' therefore, 'he turneth and bendeth it upright when he would sting or bite withall '—Bk xi (ed 1635, p 337), and Topsell in that part of his volume devoted to Serpents tells us '—in their teeth they carrie poyson of defense and annoyance. In the upper chap they have two longer then all the residue, on either side one, bored through with a little hole, like the sting of a Scorpion, by which they utter their poyson '—(ed 1608, p 11) There are many proofs that Shakespeare's main sources of information on points in Natural History were these two authors, and if he here speak of the dangerous quality of the serpent's tongue, it is, I think, rather on account of its proximity to the death-dealing teeth —ED]

104 A cased Lion STEEVENS The modern editors read 'a chafed hon.' I see little reason for change 'A cased hon' is a hon irritated by confinement. So in 3 Henry VI 'So looks the pent up hon o'er the wretch That trembles under his devouring paws '-I, iii, 12 -MALONE Again in Rowley's When You See Me You Know Me, 1621 'The lyon in his cage is not so sterne As royal Henry in his wrathful spleene' Our Author was probably thinking on the lions, which in his time, as at present, were kept in the Tower, in dens so small as fully to justify the epithet he has used -[Malone is unfortunate in his quotation, as the significant word cage is evidently a misreading of the line as it appears in the other quartos, where the words are, 'The lion in his rage,' ed Elze, p 64 In his careful reprint of this play Elze does not record cage as the reading of any text -- Marshall says that in his copy of the Oto, 1632, 'the word is very indistinct and seems intended for rage more than cage' The first quarto is dated 1605. This would hardly be worth the noting were it not that Collier has used this quotation, as given by Malone, in support of the MS Corrector's change, caged —ED |—KNIGHT, who follows Pope in reading chased, says 'We have ventured here upon a slight change The original is supposed to mean a lion in a cage. The image is, strictly taken, weakened, if not destroyed, by this epithet, for the paw of a confined hon is often held with impunity And yet "cased" may mean irritated by confinement.'-DYCE (Remarks, p 92) With a full recollection of the passages cited by Steevens and Malone to support this reading ('cas'd'), I think it decidedly wrong Shakespeare would not have used 'cased' in the forced sense of caged, because in his time 'a cased hon' meant properly 'a lion stript of his skin, flayed' So in All's Well: 'We'll make you some sport with the fox, ere we case him,' III, vi, III, and in Beaumont and Fletcher's Scornful Lady 'then with my tiller Bring down your gibship. and then have you cas'd, And hung up in the warren '-V, i Knight prints 'chased lion.' But the right reading is undoubtedly chaf'd, in the following passage of Beaumont and Fletcher's Philaster, where the quarto of 1620 has 'chaf'd,' the other eds have chast, and (let it be particularly observed) 'cast' 'And what there is of vengeance in a lion Chaf'd among dogs or robb'd of his dear young '-V. iii I may add, that in Shakespeare's Henry VIII we find '-so looks the chafed hon Upon the daring huntsman that has gall'd him,' III, 11, 206, and in Fletcher's Loyal Subject. 'He frets like a chaf'd hon,' V, in -Singer in his ed 1 accepted the explanation of the Folio reading given by Steevens, in his ed ii he, however, discards this and 'unhesitatingly accepts the reading chafed,' which, through inadvertence, he as-

[194 A cased Lion by the mortall paw]

cribes to Dyce —White decides that caged, although doing the least violence to the text, cannot be received for much the same reasons as given by Knight, that a caged him is less dangerous than one at liberty. In support of Theobald's reading he quotes the passage from Henry VIII, given above by Dyce, and also 'As a chaft Lion, which now meets, now turns, From an untamed Bulls well brandished horns'—Il Pastor Fido, IV, 11, trans. Fanshawe, ed. 1647, p. 130—WALKER (Crit., 11, 295, reading chafed). Carew seems to have had this passage in King John present to his mind when he wrote his livith Poem (Separation of Lovers, ed. Clarke, p. 84), and to have read chased, for chafed, as in Clarke's Carew, contradicts the context.

'Stop the chafed boar, or play With the lion's paw, yet fear From the lover's side to tear Th' idol of his soul away',

and that Carew should have read chased was natural, for the language of Shakespeare's time was then, of course, perfectly well understood, so that no one could possibly take 'cased' for anything but nonsense, and an error of the press, and, this being taken for granted, chased was the most obvious correction, while, on the other hand, to complete the emendation by substituting chafed for chased was what would have occurred to none but a critic. In other parts of this poem Shakespeare is equally visible, compare St 11 with Sonnet cxv1 and St v with Sonnet lvi (Poem xci (The Companion), init Sonnet cxxx?) By the way, Two Noble Kinsmen, IV, 11, Moxon's Beaumont & Fletcher, 11, 573, col 1, 'And as a heated [hunted] lion so he looks', Heywood, Woman Kill'd with Kindness '-as void of pity As chased [chafed] bears '-Dodsley, vii, 262 -FLEAY 'Lions always take their prey by springing on it from some concealed station' W S Dallas on Felidæ. Hence 'cased' (concealed) is equivalent to watching for prey, hungry [Fleav cites passages from Two Gentlemen, Mid N Dream, and As You Like It, wherein the adjective hungry is applied to the lion, which seem hardly relevant \ The 'chafed hon' in Henry VIII III, 11, 206, which some editors rely on for their unnecessary alterations in this passage, is Fletcher's, not Shakespeare's, who does not apply this epithet to animals [Fleay cites passages from Tam of Shrew, 3 Henry VI, and Timon of Athens (which last, by the way, should be Titus Andronicus) wherein chafed is applied to the boar and bull, all these passages Fleav asserts are not of Shakespeare's writing. In support of the Folio reading he cites I, v, 61 of this play, 'What shall they seek the lion in his denne' -ED]-SCHMIDT (Lex), possibly under the influence of the foregoing note by Fleay, interprets 'cased lion' a lion hid in his cave, and indicates his surprise at Theobald's reading by printing chafed in parentheses and with an exclamation mark.-Wright accepts Theobald's reading, since Steevens has not produced any instance wherein 'cased' has such a meaning as 'irritated by confinement, and chafed agrees better with the epithet "fasting" applied to the tiger in the next line '-Marshall We have adopted chafed as being, on the whole, the most probable reading —MOORE SMITH. That is, shut in a box (or cage? or cave?) The point of the epithet would seem to be that if the lion were shut in, the man would be shut in also, and so much more courage would be required —IVOR JOHN None of the suggested meanings for the Folio reading seems satisfactory I fail to see why the man should be supposed to be shut in [as Moore Smith takes it] There is something to be said for Pope's reading [see Text Notes] which would also A fasting Tyger safer by the tooth,

Then keepe in peace that hand which thou dost hold.

Fra. I may dis-ioyne my hand, but not my faith.

Pand. So mak'st thou saith an enemy to faith,

And like a ciuil warre setst oath to oath,

Thy tongue against thy tongue O let thy vow

200 [265]

First made to heaven, first be to heaven perform'd,

First made to heaven, first be to heaven perform'd, That is, to be the Champion of our Church,

What fince thou fworst, is fworne against thy selfe, And may not be performed by thy selfe,

For that which thou hast sworne to doe amisse,

Is not amisse when it is truely done And being not done, where doing tends to ill,

The truth is then most done not doing it.

208 [273]

205 [270]

197 dis-ioyne] distoyne F₂ disjoin Cap. et seq

200 O let] O! let Coll Wh 1, Huds i, Del Craig Oh let Ktly

201 perform'd,] performed! Ktly. 202 Church,] Ff, Rowe 1. church. Rowe 11,+, Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Cam. Glo Cla Del Fle Dono Craig church!

Cap. et cet

204 performed] performed Dyce, Fle.

Huds ii, Words

thy felfe,] Ff, Rowe thy self Pope, Theob Han thyself Warb Johns Var. '73 thyself, Cam +, Words Neils thyself Cap. et cet 205 For that] For that, Theob Warb Johns. Varr. Mal Steev Varr. Sing Coll Hal

206 Is not] Is most Han Huds. 11, Words Neils Is yet Warb Cap Is't not Johns Var '73, '78. Is but Coll 11, 11 (MS), Wh 1 Is done Spedding done I done? Johns Var '73, '78,

'85
208 *tt*] *it*. Pope,+, Ktly, Neils.
Craig

hold in the Henry VIII passage A lion that had been hunted and, so to speak, driven to bay would not be a pleasant creature to take by the paw

202 Champion of our Church] WRIGHT The King of France was styled the Eldest Son of the Church and the Most Christian King

206-208 Is not amisse. not doing it] WARBURTON This is a conclusion de travers We should read, 'Is yet amiss-'-Johnson I rather read, 'Is't not amiss-,' as the alteration is less, and the sense which Dr Warburton first discovered is preserved -Ritson (Remarks, p. 32). All these objections to, and proposed alterations of, the old reading arise entirely from its not being understood. If the reader will consider the passage a moment, he will perceive that it has sense and meaning,—is quite in the spirit of the Cardinal's quibbling logic,—and infinitely superior to any of these pretended emendations Pandulph having conjured the king to perform his first vow to heaven,—to be champion of the Church,—tells him that what he has since sworn is sworn against his-self, and therefore may not be performed by him for that, says he, which you have sworn to do amiss, is not amiss (i e, becomes right) when it is done truly (that is, as he explains it, not done at all), and being not done, where it would be sin to do it, the truth is most done when you do it not So, in Love's Labour's, 'It is religion to be thus forsworn '-[IV, 111, 363] -M. MASON (Comments, etc., p. 156) The old reading cannot be right. Some amendment, therefore, was neces-

[206-208 Is not amisse . . , not doing it]

sary, and all of those proposed will make sense of the passage, but I should prefer that of Hanmer to that of Johnson, because all the rest of Pandulph's argument is in the way of assertion, not of question, and it agrees with what he says in the next line but one 'The truth is then most done, not doing it' And also with what he says afterwards in ll 221, 222 -MALONE accepts approvingly Ritson's interpretation of 'truly done,' i e, not done, since 'the licentiousness of the expression is certainly sufficiently suitable to the other riddling terms used by the legate' Malone adds that 'by placing the second couplet of this sentence before the first, the passage will be perfectly clear Where doing tends to ill, where an intended act is criminal, the truth is most done, by not doing the act. The criminal act therefore which thou hast sworn to do, is not amiss, will not be imputed to you as a crime, if it be done truly, in the sense I have now affixed to truth, that is, if you do not do it '-- [SINGER prints the latter part of Malone's note, dealing with the paraphrase of the passage, without comment or (it is to regretted) without acknowledgement, it may therefore be presumed that he prefers this to Ritson's -ED]-HUDSON (ed 1.) That is, not amiss when done according to truth, because it is then left undone in the sense of 'truly,' as here used, a crime is done truly, when it is not done Where an intended act is criminal, the truth is most done by not doing the act [In his ed ii Hudson adopts Hanmer's reading 'inasmuch as it just makes a balance between the two branches of the sentence "On the one hand, the wrong which you have sworn to do, is most wrong when your oath is truly performed, on the other hand, when a proposed act tends to ill, the truth is most done by leaving the act undone ""-Arrowsmith (Ed of N & Q and Singer, p 6) Heming and Condell contrast advantageously with their blundering successors, for the corruptions of the text introduced by Hanmer, Warburton, and Johnson absolutely invert their author's meaning, and stultify his whole argument, if Shakespeare may be his own interpreter The adverb 'amiss,' 1 205, expresses Pandulph's construction of the deed which King Philip had swern to do, but no part of King Philip's purpose in swearing to do it the deed the latter had sworn to do was, in his estimation, at the time of swearing, just and right, and ll 207, 208 are Shakespeare's own exposition of the meaning attached by himself to the words 'truly done,' when applied to a deed, which, according to Pandulph's construction, it was amiss to do so that Hanmer, Warburton, and Johnson make Shakespeare say that a wrong is done amiss when it is not done at all!! How truly might Shakespeare describe his own lot by the words which he has put into the mouth of one of his characters—of one of his clowns 'When a man's verses cannot be understood, nor a man's good wit seconded with the forward child, understanding, it strikes a man more dead than a great reckoning in a little room ' In swearing, so reasons Shakespeare, the particular act is subordinate to the main purpose the bond of an oath is from its righteous intendment no self-imposed obligation can tye a man to violate the paramount moral obligation not to do evil The text uncorrupted is both good logic and sound morality, adulterated by the logicians Hanmer and Warburton, and by the great moralist and lexicographer Johnson, it is sheer nonsense.—Delius That, which you have wrongly sworn to do, is no longer wrong, if it be accomplished by means which are correct—namely, in contrary fashion. That the Legate joins this hidden meaning with words apparently contradictory is brought out in the following sentence - Collier (Notes & Emend, etc, ed. 11.). For 'not amiss' it is evident

[206-208 Is not amisse not doing it]

that we ought to read 'but amiss,' 'not' for but, and vice versa, being one of the commonest errors [This note is unfortunate in its ambiguity, at first sight it appears to be an original conjecture by Collier Staunton suggests this same alteration as necessary beyond question for the success of the argument, and does not so much as hint that it is not original with him, although Collier's volume antedates Staunton's edition by at least four years In the note on these lines in his ed ii of this play, five years later, Collier leaves us in no doubt as to this being a reading in his corrected Folio 'Here a great difficulty is entirely swept away by the simple change of "not" to but, as we find it in the corr. fo 1632 what a person swears to do amiss "is but amiss," or is still amiss "when it is truly done" Nothing more can be required to clear the whole passage, and it would be mere waste of time and space to advert to what has been written by all editors on the original and absurd line The whole passage is struck out in corr fo 1632, but the emendation of but for "not" is nevertheless inserted in the margin misprint could well be more common, and we have already had several instances of it '-Collier makes no reference to Staunton's conjecture agreeing with the MS correction, but the latter's edition did not appear until after Collier's, although, as Staunton says in his Preface, the greater number of the notes were written between 1857 and 1860 -ED -C & M. COWDEN CLARKE It has been proposed to alter 'not' here to but, which we think would destroy the intention of the pass-As it stands it seems to us to give precisely the kind of sophistical argument characteristic in the mouth of its speaker, for Pandulph goes on to explain his own meaning of the words he uses in this line by what he says in the next two. He interprets 'truly done' to mean left undone, or being not done, which he asserts then most affects truth by non-fulfilment. This he would naturally preface by the sophistry, 'That which thou hast sworn to do amiss is not amiss when it is truly done' The very involvement and obscurity of the casuistry makes it the more dramatically and characteristically accurate, and the whole speech forms a fine specimen of a series of plausible fallacies, strung together with Tartuffian adroitness in confounding right with wrong, and making wrong appear to be right -WORDSWORTH (Sh's Knowledge & Use of Bible, p 74) We may conjecture that Shakespeare had heard read in church the Homily 'against swearing and perjury,' the second part of which contains what follows 'Therefore, whosoever maketh any promise, binding himself thereunto by an oath, let him foresee that the thing which he promiseth be good and honest and not against the commandment of God, and that it be in his own power to perform it justly, and such good promise must all men keep evermore assuredly. But if a man at any time shall, either of ignorance or of malice, swear to do anything which is either against the law of Almighty God, or not in his power to perform, let him take it for an unlawful and ungodly oath.' [ed. 1683, p 45] Godly and wholesome doctrine, which Shakespeare has taken occasion to insist upon in several passages 3 Henry VI 'Perhaps thou wilt object my holy oath To keep that oath were more implety Than Jephthah's, when he sacrificed his daughter.'--V, i, 89-91, 2 Henry VI. 'It is great sin to swear unto a sin, But greater sin to keep a sinful oath '-V, i, 182, 183 [In his quotation of the passage in the present play Wordsworth reads 'Is more amiss,' etc., which he says is his proposed reading for the 'not' of the Folio, in his own edition of King John he has, however, passed from the comparative to the superlative, and reads 'most' with Hanmer - ED] - Rev JOHN HUNTER Here Shakespeare's habit of

The better Act of purpoles mistooke, Is to mistake again, though indirect,

210 [275]

210 Is again, Is, again, Cap Var '78, '85, Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Hal Del Fle

inverting arrangement has, as in many other instances, puzzled his commentators I believe he meant 'For to do amiss that which thou hast sworn,' &c , that is, to act against what thou hast sworn, when such acting is done according to the truth, is not really amiss -FLEAY For to fail in doing that which thou hast sworn to do is no wrong action if done with good intention The construction is to do amiss (incompletely) that which thou hast sworn (to do) is not amiss when it (your course of proceeding) is truly (honestly) done Some commentators have been anxious to show ingenuity in emendations, but have missed the sense this speech of Pandulph's is intentionally confused by Shakespeare as a specimen of Jesuitical casuistry The Jesuits were specially hated by the English at the time of this play's production and revival-Moberly If the Folio reading 'Is not amiss' be correct, the emphasis is on 'truly,' and the meaning, 'is not amiss when it is done truly' (that is, in the very opposite way to what was proposed) But Hanmer's emendation gives a clearer sense —WRIGHT That is, as explained in the next two lines, when it is not done at all. It is therefore unnecessary to read [according to any of the proposed emendations] —HERR (p 27) In 1 208 that 'done' and 'doing' are used in the sense of fulfil and fulfilling is conclusively shown by their association with the word 'performed,' l 204, which is likewise a synonymous term referring to the carrying out, the fulfilling of the truce or vow entered into by Philip with John. 'To do amiss' does not mean to act wickedly, but to fulfil wrongly - VAUGHAN 'Amiss' in 1 205 ought, in construction of the passage, to adhere closely to 'do' and not to 'sworn,' as Warburton, Johnson, and Delius make it The same word in 1 206 ought also to precede 'done' immediately in our construction of it-just as 'truly' also should precede 'done' The quibble of the Cardinal's argument lies in identifying doing the truth with truly doing what one has sworn The reading of the old copies is not only right, but it constitutes the sole conclusion which can possibly be deduced from the argument which follows it -RAICH (p 158) This is no sophism, but the very foundation of the Shakespearean theory of vows In God's sight there is no validity in vowed promises which from the start tend towards evil, or which later will be misused for evil, as was Herod's vow to his daughter God cannot be bound to sin Such a vow, whether sworn to or not, is void But if two oaths stand against one another, the last one made must perforce weaken the earlier, since the later can be accomplished only insofar as the observation of the earlier oath shall not be thereby prejudiced, as the Papal Legate rightly explains 'Therefore thy later vows against thy first Is in thyself rebellion to thyself,' Il 223, 224 -MOORE SMITH An act which you have sworn to commit unrighteously is not unrighteous if, after all, you perform it as truth requires, and in the case of an act which tends to evil, what truth requires is that it should not be performed at all -Belden (Tudor Sh, reading, with Hanmer, most): The Folio reading, 'not amiss,' may be right, with a quibble upon the word 'truly' 'The evil you have sworn to do is not evil when it is truly done, for the true (1 e, right) way to do an evil thing is not to do it at all.'-Deighton's paraphrase is substantially the same as Ritson's.

210, 211. indirect . . . indirection] WRIGHT: That is, unjust. Compare I, ii,

Yet indirection thereby growes direct, And falfhood, falfhood cures, as fire cooles fire Within the fcorched veines of one new burn'd: It is religion that doth make vowes kept,

214 [279]

211 [276]

213 [corched] [corching F3F4, Rowe 1] scorched Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

213 new burn'd] new-burn'd Pope, +, Del Rlfe, Neils

52 above, and Ruchard III I, iv, 224 'He needs no indirect or lawless course To cut off those that have offended him' [For 'indirection' in sense of *injustice*, Wright compares 'To wring From the hard hands of peasants their vile trash By any indirection'—Jul Cas, IV, in, 75]

212 as fire cooles fire] Bucknill (Med Knowledge, etc., p. 65) This notion of one heat driving out another .. appears to be formed upon an old-fashioned custom of approaching a burnt part to the fire, to drive out the fire, as it is said, a practice certainly not without benefit, acting on the same principle as the application of turpentine and other stimulants to recent burns [Bucknill compares with the present passage 'Even as one heat another heat expels, Or as one nail by strength drives out another '—Two Gentlemen, II, iv, 192]—Wright Compare Coriol, IV, vii, 54 'One fire drives out one fire, one nail, one nail' And Jul. Cas 'As fire drives out fire, so pity pity'—III, i, 171 Again, Romeo & Juliet 'Tut, man, one fire burns out another's burning'—I, ii, 46

214-220 It is religion . . . to swearel Warburton In this long speech the Legate is made to show his skill in casuistry, and the strange heap of quibble and nonsense of which it consists was intended to ridicule that of the schools For when he assumes the politician, at the conclusion of the third Act, the Author makes him talk at another rate I mean in that beautiful passage where he speaks of the mischiefs following the King's loss of his subjects' hearts This conduct is remarkable, and was intended, I suppose, to show us how much better politicians the Roman courtiers are than divines - CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 128) seems mainly concerned with the shortcomings of his predecessors' efforts to amend the present lines, and with commendation of his own changes [see Text Notes, Il. 216, 218], whereby 'the speaker's reasoning is broke into two distinct arguments, one ending at 1. 218, the other at a second full stop, 1 222, and that his ensuing conclusion is proper to both of them. The only harshness remaining is in the finishing words of the last argument, a harshness which the Poet is drawn into by his then predominant passion-a playing on words, else he had not been led to express with so much over-conciseness-"when the only truth prov'd by it, is-that thou art unsure"'-JOHNSON The propositions, that 'the voice of the church is the voice of heaven,' and that 'the Pope utters the voice of the church,' neither of which Pandulph's auditors would deny, being once granted, the argument here used is irresistible, nor is it easy, notwithstanding the jingle, to enforce it with greater brevity or propriety [than as given in ll 215-220] I think 'By what,' l 216, should be rather 'By which' [than as Hanmer reads] That is, 'thou swear'st against the thing by which thou swear'st', that is, 'against religion.' Warburton's [pointing of l. 218] leaves the passage, to me, as obscure as before. I know not whether there is any corruption beyond the omission of a point The sense, after I had considered it, appeared to me only this. 'In swearing by religion against religion, to which thou hast already sworn, thou makest an oath the security for

[214-220 It is religion . to sweare]

thy faith against an oath already taken' I will give, says he, a rule for conscience in these cases Thou mayst be in doubt about the matter of an oath, 'when thou swearest, thou may'st not be always sure to swear rightly', but let this be thy settled principle, 'swear only not to be forsworn' let not the latter oaths be at variance with the former Truth, through this whole speech, means rectitude of conduct -- [HEATH (p 226) also proposes the same pointing, viz a period after 'oath,' l 218, as the only means of rendering these lines intelligible, and his interpretation naturally is substantially the same as Johnson's Heath's Revisal and Johnson's ed. were practically contemporaneous, each may be said to have arrived at this solution independently of the other —ED]—MALONE I believe the old reading of 1 216 is right, and that 'By what,' &c, is put in apposition with that which precedes it 'But thou hast sworn against religion, thou hast sworn, by what thou swearest, 1 e, in that which thou hast sworn, against the thing thou swearest by, 1 e, religion Our Author has many such elliptical expressions [For examples of omission of prepositions in certain cases, see Abbott, §§ 200–202] The old copy in 1 219 reads 'sweares,' which, in my apprehension, shows that two half lines have been lost, in which the person supposed to swear was mentioned When the same word is repeated in two succeeding lines the eye of the compositor often glances from the first to the second, and in consequence the intermediate words are omitted For what has been lost, it is now in vain to seek, I have therefore adopted the emendation made by Mr Pope, which makes some kind of sense [The change swear for 'swears' was made by Rowe in his ed ii, not Pope -ED]-STAUNTON There are critics who profess to understand this and similar textual imbroglios of the First Folio, which is more than the Author himself would do I venture to suggest the following as a probable reading of the passage in its original [Staunton makes these conjectural emendations. 'By that,' 1 216, wherein, except the added comma, he is anticipated by Hanmer, 'proof' for 'truth,' 1 218, which is original, a period after 'unsure,' 1 218, wherein Capell anticipated him, and 'Who swears' for 'To sweare,' l 219, wherein Capell also anticipated him, finally, querying whether 'thou swear'st,' l 216, should not be 'thou swearest by,' which is again Capell's reading
Is it ungenerous to observe that Staunton might have saved time and labour had he but consulted the work of some of his predecessors? Even the Variorum of 1821 might have been sufficient -ED]-HUDSON (ed i) Shakespeare doubtless had a purpose in putting such a string of verbal and logical subtleties and evasions into the mouth of Pandulph at all events, it very well illustrates the casuistical art which can easily turn all moral obligations wrongside out The meaning of the text appears to be the oath (truth) in swearing which you are unsafe, defeats your own security,—that oath was taken only that you might not be forsworn, and therefore cannot stand against the former oath wherein you swore to what was right and binding in itself there you swore to that truth from which all other oaths derive their obligation [For the changes adopted by Hudson in the text of his ed ii, see Text Notes, il 216-219 Of Staunton's change of proof for 'truth,' l. 218, he says 'This would be a rather bold change, and I prefer test, as a word more likely to be misprinted truth I see no possibility of making any sense out of the passage without some such change; and test is repeatedly used by Shakespeare as an equivalent for proof Perhaps we ought also to read untrue instead of "unsure", but "unsure" may well be taken in much the same sense as untrue-not to be relied on, or untrustworthy. Some of the strain-

[214-220 It is religion . to sweare]

ings and writhings of exegetical ingenuity that have been resorted to in support of the old text are ludicrous enough '-Whether this last be intended by Hudson as a recantation of his own interpretation, is not quite manifest —ED —R G WHITE characterises the Folio reading 'By what,' 1 216, as 'a manifest misprint, and although he follows Capell in reading 'By which,' considers the emendation 'By that' very plausible, and possibly the Author's word He credits this last being taken in their ordinary and obvious signification, the passage has the very meaning and all the clearness which the casuistical churchman intended it should have ' Of Malone's elucidation White says 'Who shall explain the explanation?' -SNIDER (ii, 304) The form of Pandulph's argument is most happy, the bald, logical utterances of scholastic divinity echo from every line, the vein of fine-spun casuistry, confusing the head and misleading the heart, gives a suspicious subtlety to the whole speech But it is far from being a mere sophistical jumble of words, on the contrary, it is a genuine statement of the right of religious authority against the right of individual opinion There is, however, a most important suppression in the argument of the Legate It is that the prime duty of religion is to quicken the conscience of man, and when the organization of religion—the Church—for its own purposes seeks to deaden that conscience, its right of existence has ceased Philip is manifestly not convinced, but withdraws his opposition, and henceforward drops out of the play -Perring (p 103) That is to say, by swearing two things which are irreconcilable with each other, the one being fidelity to the King of England, the other fidelity to the Church, and so thou art making an oath a surety for thy truth against an oath Surety for thy truth indeed! The truth, as to which thou art so unsure—for how canst thou with all thy vacillation and equivocation give any suretyship for it?—the truth, the tongue of truth, the man of truth, swears only not to be forsworn, truth's sole object is truth, but thy object is falsehood—thou dost swear only to be forsworn—Marshall (reading 1 216, 'By that thou swear'st by') thus paraphrases 'By that (1 e, swearing against religion) you swear against that by which you swear, and make your second oath the guarantee of your truth in not keeping your first one. The truth (i e, the loyalty to the Church) to which you are unsure (1 e, hesitating) to swear, takes an oath only with the object of not breaking it, and Pandulph adds But you take an oath only with the object of breaking it, that is, by taking an oath of fidelity to John, who was the declared enemy of the Church to which he had already sworn 'allegiance, Philip was deliberately forswearing himself The change of "swears," 1 219, to swear (imperative) is not necessary All attempts, however, to render this passage clear must be only partially successful, the obscurity being intentional? -PAGE With the pointing as in the Folio the meaning might be It is only religion which gives a binding sanctity to oaths, but you have sworn against religion itself, by the fact that you have sworn against the very thing you swear to (as a matter of universal obligation), and you make your oath a security for your truth against a previous and absolutely binding oath When yourself about an uncertain matter (not a religious vow), you swear a really binding oath only in case you are not forsworn (by breaking the former absolutely binding oath), if this were not so, swearing itself would be a mockery, but you actually swear only to break your oath. These lines have never been satisfactorily explained. They are probably intended as a specimen of mediæval casuistry, purposely obscure —

But thou hast sworne against religion

By what thou swear'st against the thing thou swear'st,

215 [280]

216 what] that Han Marsh which, Cap Var '78, '85, Wh 1, Dyce 11, 111, Rlfe

thing . [wear'ft] Ff. Rowe, Han

Knt thing swear'st by, Cap Marsh thing swear'st, Pope et cet thing swor'st Ktly conj

Moore Smith follows the Folio in coupling lines 214 and 215, and connecting l 216 with what follows, he also inserts a stop after 'oath,' l 218 He interprets these lines 'Of course Pandulph is arguing that Philip's oath to John is perjury, because it is a violation of his primary vow to heaven', and continues his paraphrase from 1 216 on thus 'In so far as thou takest an oath contrary to an oath already taken, and makest the new oath a surety of thy truth as against the old one, thy second oath which thou art unstable enough to swear, is only taken as a pledge that thou wilt not forswear thyself without such a pledge the oath would be a mere mockery but in thy case thou art actually swearing to forswear thyself, and accordingly art most deeply forsworn by keeping the oath' Moore Smith adds 'In ll 218, 219 a difficulty arises from the fact that "the truth" is made the grammatical subject of "swears," whereas logic requires (instead of "swears") "thou swearest" -- Ivor John These lines can be taken to mean You have sworn against religion by calling in religion to witness an oath which will do her harm. 'The truth . forsworne' is the phrase that offers most difficulty It yields sense by supposing it to be a slight digression from the main argument, meaning, 'and when you are asked to take an oath of which you are not sure of the consequences (such as, Pandulph would imply, the oath you took with John) you only swear, i e, on condition that it is not contrary to some greater oath '-Belden (Tudor Sh) Philip is under vow, presumably from the time of his coronation, 'to be the champion of our Church'; it is the Church, i e, religion, that makes an oath binding, his recent oath to John can be kept only against the church and religion, and is therefore null and void. 'The truth thou art unsure to swear, swears only not to be forsworn' must apparently mean 'The pledge there is so little confidence of your ability to keep that you have to confirm it with an oath, is confirmed with an oath only in order that it may be kept', a rather empty proposition -Wright That is, by the oath thou hast taken thou hast sworn against religion, which is the thing thou swearest by Compare 'This has no holding To swear by him whom I protest to love, That I will work against him '-All's Well, IV, 11, 27-29 The great difficulty of the passage lies in the words, 'the truth, thou art unsure To swear, swears only not to be forsworn' Pandulph's argument is that no oath is binding which is opposed to the higher obligations of religion The vow to God must be kept before and above all others. Other pledges of faith are of less certain obligation, and only bind the person who gives them not to commit perjury; but if by keeping them he breaks his vow to God he commits perjury in the highest degree, and to avoid this must break that pledge which is less binding than his religious obligation The language is made intentionally obscure. [Commenting on the changes made by Staunton and Hudson, Wright says that 'thereby they have given the passage a meaning which is sufficiently clear, but may not be what Shakespeare intended.'I

And mak'st an oath the suretie for thy truth, Against an oath the truth, thou art vnsure 217 [282]

217-222 And mak'ft fweare,] Om Words Dono

217 oath truth oath. truth—

218 oath] oath Johns Var '73, Ktly, Neils Moore Smith oath Var '78, '85, Mal Steev Varr Sing. Knt, Coll Hal Wh Sta Cam + oath,—Huds ii the truth,] the truth, Rowe ii the truth Pope, Theob Warb Johns that truth Han the proof Sta conj. the test Huds 11 the truth Herr. the oath Kinnear

218 thou art] thou be'st Herr. then most Orson

vnfure] untrue Han unsure— Warb unsure Cap Fle Huds 11, Orson

218, 210 the truth forsworne] KNIGHT That is, the troth, for which you have made an oath the surety, against thy former oath to heaven—this troth which it was unsure to swear—which you violate your surety in swearing—has only been sworn—swears only—not to be forsworn, but it is sworn against a former oath, which is more binding, because it was an oath to religion—to the principle upon which all oaths are made - Collier's explanation of these lines is substantially that of Knight, taking 'truth' as the nominative to 'swears,' since Rowe's change swear leaves the verb without any word to govern it. [Rowe intended, I think, that 'swear' should here be taken as the categorical imperative —ED]— CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note XVIII) In 1 218 Mr Halliwell appears to adopt swear'st in his note, though he leaves 'swears' in the text —C & M COWDEN CLARKE. This appears to mean, 'The truth thou art hesitating to abide by, swears itself not to be forsworn' The difficulty and obscurity in this speech chiefly arise from the expression 'swear' and 'swear'st,' being equally used for what has been sworn at different times, or in other words, 'thy later vows' and 'thy first', but the very confusion thus produced in the line of argument has characteristic effect — MOBERLY This line, 218, is the most difficult in the speech. As the meaning at the bottom of it plainly is, that swearing would be to no purpose unless oaths were taken with an intention of keeping them, we may render it by, 'The truth according to which you cannot be trusted to swear, swears only not to be forsworn', that is, 'with a view of keeping its oath But your oath is in itself a perjury (ll 221, 222), and most a perjury if you keep what you have sworn' [VAUGHAN (1, 46) paraphrases substantially as the foregoing -ED]-BULLOCH (D 129) somewhat rashly asserts that 'unsure' is here a misprint for adjured, and this it is which makes Pandulph's meaning 'not quite clear'; although, as Bulloch says, this word does not occur in Shakespeare, yet it 'was in common use in his day, occurring several times in the common English Bible.' [By this last reference it may be presumed that Bulloch means the Authorised Version of 1611. He is quite correct in saying that adjure or adjured occurs therein, in fact, the words may be found in seven passages, but in the corresponding sentences in both the Genevan Version, 1560, and the Bishops' Bible, 1568, the Hebrew word is translated either sware or charged, and the word adjured is found but once common to both translations of the Greek word δρκίζομεν in Acts, xix, 13 'We adjure you,' etc. The word can, therefore, hardly be said to have been in 'common use' at the date of composition of the present play -ED]-W W. LLOYD (N & Q, 1889, VII, vin, 302) The mischief here evidently lies in the negative term 'unsure' The argument, which has to be accommodated by whatever change is made, runs to

To fweare, fweares onely not to be forfworne,
Elfe what a mockerie fhould it be to fweare?

But thou doft fweare, onely to be forfworne,
And most forfworne, to keepe what thou doft fweare,
Therefore thy later vowes, against thy first,

223 [288]

219 To fweare] Who swears Cap
Huds 11 In swearing Herr
fweares] swear Rowe 11,+.
fwears F₃F₄ et cet
219, 220 to be should] to seem will
Herr
220 fweare?] swear! Dyce, Hal Ktly,

Sta Cam +, Huds 11, Neils Craig.

222 And Art Vaughan
fweare, swear Pope et seq.
223 later latter F₃F₄, Rowe,+, Cap.
Varr Mal Steev Varr Sing
vowes] vow Dyce 11, 111, Coll 111,
Huds 11, Words Dono Kinnear

the effect, 'What a mockery should it be to swear, unless the proper tenor of the oath-such an oath as thou art alone authorized to swear-is not to be forsworn? To read 'the truth thou art assured to swear,' using assured, as Shylock does, in the sense of having sufficient security, would suit the argument, and the general parallelism with the phrase 'surety for thy truth,' in the preceding line, is quite in the style of his eminence's inversions and repetitions throughout the speech Another suggestion would be 'secure to swear,' but more risky -HERFORD Pandulph argues that Philip's oath to John is perjury as a violation of his primary vow to heaven, that perjured oath he takes as a surety of his good faith to take an oath of good faith (otherwise insecure) is a mere mockery, unless it implies that he who takes it is not thereby forsworn, whereas Philip is forsworn in the very act of swearing -Miss Porter Both [Johnson's period after 'oath' and the modern colon] seem less clear and strong than the ellipsis of the entire original line unbroken, followed by the next line which adds a clause Against an oath (strong emphasis on Against) is that truth which thou art unsure to swear without that oath! (which needs your Christian fealty as the oath to ensure it)that truth which the oath swears, only not to be (itself) forsworn (i e, solely on condition it is not forsworn itself), is itself a sound security, held inviolate [There could, I think, hardly be produced a proof of the success of Pandulph's casuistry more conclusive than the foregoing array of paraphrases and suggestions, and, on the other hand, the silence of Philip, baffled and bewildered, is quite as strong an evidence that the result which the Legate intended is accomplished -ED]

223, 224 Therefore thy . . . vowes . . Is] Moberly That is, Therefore to put thy later vows, etc, whence the singular verb Pandulph's support of inward truth and right as against conventional honour and faithfulness (and against the reasoning of those who say, like Lady Macbeth, 'You have sworn to do this thing, and therefore must do it') is an admirable specimen of the way in which an argument, true in itself, even though employed for a sophistical purpose, may be thrown into the most varied lights, perhaps also the most striking instance known to literature of close and compressed antithesis, such, it might have been supposed (how vain a supposition when Shakespeare is its object), that no one could have been capable of writing without a thorough training in scholastic logic [Dawson in like manner accounts for this use of the singular verb]—Wright: The verb is singular on account of 'rebellion,' which follows Exactly the opposite is found in Richard II. 'Now, sir, the sound that tells what hour it is, Are clamorous groans,' V, v, 56. [This explanation is, I think, preferable to that of Moberly and Dawson.

Is in thy felfe rebellion to thy felfe.

And better conquest neuer canst thou make,

Then arme thy constant and thy nobler parts

Against these giddy loose suggestions

Vpon which better part, our prayrs come in,

If thou vouchsafe them. But if not, then know

The perill of our curses light on thee

230 [295]

So heavy, as thou shalt not shake them off

But in despaire, dye vnder their blacke weight.

Australia.

Aust Rebellion, flat rebellion.

Bast. Wil't not be?

224 thy selfe thyself. Johns Var '73

227 these] those Huds i

234

giddy loose] giddy-loose Walker,
Dyce 11, 111, Huds 11, Words
fuggestions] suggestions Fle
228 prayrs] prairs F₂ pray'rs F₃F₄,
Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb. Johns Wh.
1. prayers Han et cet
229 them] Ff, Rowe,+, Cam +,
Neils them Cap. Varr Mal Rann,
Steev Varr Sing. Knt. them; Coll

Dyce, Hal Wh i, Ktly, Sta Del Fle

Words Dono Craig

229 then know] then, know, Cap
then, known, Var '73 then know, Sing
Knt, Coll Hal Wh. 1, Sta Huds 1,
Del Fle Dono

230. light] lights Hal Ktly

233-235 Rebellion thine?] Om
Dono

233 flat rebellion] flat rebellion! Var
'78 et cet.

234 Wil't] F₂F₃ Wilt Cap Will't

For this construction we may compare the familiar words 'The wages of sin is death'—Ep l

F₄ et cet

226 Then arme, etc.] Moore SMTH 'Mr Worrall sends me an excellent parallel from Shirley's *Doubtful Heir*, IV, ii "I cannot Now right you more than mourn and give belief to you"

227 suggestions] That is, temptations, promptings to enil

229 If thou vouchsafe them C & M. COWDEN CLARKE (Sh Key, p 322) That is, if you vouchsafe to accept them on the conditions stated

230 curses light] WRIGHT Here 'light' is plural on account of the nearer substantive 'curses' Compare 'The posture of your blows are yet unknown' —Jul Cas, V, i, 33

233 Rebellion, flat rebellion] MOBERLY: That is, flat rebellion of John against the Church—DEIGHTON, with more likelihood, I think, says, 'this seems to refer to Pandulph's words, l 224 "Is in thyself rebellion to thyself"—ED.

234. Wil't not be It is somewhat strange that Capell's sagacious omission of the second l and the apostrophe has received such scant attention. With his reading the phrase at once becomes, Wilt (thou) not be (quiet), but the words as usually printed can only mean 'Will it not be flat rebellion,' a rather tame phrase for Faulconbridge to utter in corroboration of a speech by Austria, and having no connection with the next line. Moberly interprets 'Will nothing settle you?' but just how such a meaning can be wrested from the words 'Will't not be?' is not quite clear. Deighton says. 'That is, that you will hold your tongue', by which, if I understand him, he means. Will it not be flat rebellion when you will

Will not a Calues-skin stop that mouth of thine?	235 [298]
Daul Father, to Armes	
Blanch. Vpon thy wedding day?	[300]
Against the blood that thou hast married?	
What, shall our feast be kept with slaughtered men?	
Shall braying trumpets, and loud churlish drums	240
Clamors of hell, be measures to our pomp?	
O husband heare me . aye, alacke, how new	[305]
Is husband in my mouth? euen for that name	
Which till this time my tongue did nere pronounce,	
Vpon my knee I beg, goe not to Armes	245
Against mine Vncle	
Const O, vpon my knee made hard with kneeling,	
I doe pray to thee, thou vertuous Daulphin,	248 [310]

235 Calues-skin] Ff, Rowe, Fle calve's-skin Theob + calf's-skin Cap et cet
236 Daul] Lewis Rowe et seq
10 Armes] to arms' Han Johns et seq
237, 238 day' married?] day! married' Ktly
238 married] marrièd Fle Words.
Dono
230 What,] What! Coll III, Craig.
240, 241 drums hell,] drums,—. hell,— Cap Var '78, Mal Steev Varr
Sing Dyce, Hal Huds Words
242 heare me | hear me! Cap et seq
242, 243 aye, mouth?] In parentheses Theob.+, Varr Mal Steev.

Varr Knt, Coll Dyce, Hal Sta Huds. Del Rlfe, Words, Dono 242 aye, alacke,] ah' alack, Theob 11, Warb Johns Var '73 ah, alack, Steev Varr Dono ah, alack! Sing Coll 11, 111, Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Del. av. alack' Craig 243 my mouth?] thy mouth! Han. my mouth, Craig euen] ev'n Pope, + (-Var '73). 244 nere] ne're F4 ne'er Rowe 247-249 O, vpon heaven] Lines end. knee, thee, doome heaven Pope et 247 O.] O' Coll Sing ii, Huds Del Craig Oh! Ktly 248 Daulphin Ff, Fle. Dauphin Rowe et cet

hold your tongue He also adds as an alternative interpretation that we read here Wilt, apparently unaware that therein he is anticipated by Capell—ED

235 of thine] For other examples of this construction see, if needful, Abbott, § 239 Compare III, 11, 81 'this foot of mine'

240, 241 Shall. . to our pomp] Malone This is formed on the following lines in *The Troublesome Raigne 'Blanch* And will your grace upon your wedding day Forsake your bride, and follow dreadful drums . . *Phil* Drums shall be music to this wedding day.' [See *Appendix*, *Troublesome Raigne*, pt i, p. 404]

240 braying trumpets] HOLT WHITE, quite needlessly, I think, quotes seven passages from various writers in support of his statement that "Bray" appears to have been particularly applied to express the harsh grating sound of the trumpet. It might, on the other hand, be said that such was but a transferred meaning, and that the word was particularly applied originally to the characteristic cry of the donkey—ED.

250

Alter not the doome fore-thought by heauen. Now shall I fee thy loue, what motive may Be stronger with thee, then the name of wife?

That which vpholdeth him, that thee vpholds, [315]His Honor, Oh thine Honor, Lewis thine Honor. 253

Heav'n Rowe,+ 240 heaven heaven! Dyce, Hal Wh i, Cam +, Del Huds 11, Words Dono Neils 250 loue,] Ff, Rowe, Pope Var '73, Knt, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Sta Del Fle Dono Neils love, Theob et cet

251 then than F₄
253 Honor, Oh honour Oh F₃F₄, Rowe +, Wh. 1, Ktly, Dono Neils

honour,-O, Cap Dyce, Hal honour Oh, Var '78, '85, Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Sta. Huds. Cam +, Words. honour O' Coll Sing. 11, Del Craig. 253 Lewis] Louis Dyce, Hal Wh i, Huds 11, Words Lewis thine Honor | F2F3 Lewis. thine Honor F4, Rowe, Coll Del Fle. Dono Lewis, thine honour!— Theob et cet

252, 253 That which . . thine Honor] DEIGHTON These words recall Lovelace's lines to Lucasta, on going to the wars 'I could not love thee, dear, so much Lov'd I not honour more'

253 Lewis thine Honor! CAMPBELL (Life of Mrs. Siddons, 1, 210) When she patted Lewis on the breast, with the words, 'Thine honour!-oh, thine honour!' there was a sublimity in the laugh of her sarcasm -Fletcher, whose knowledge of Mrs Siddons's acting of the part of Constance is derived from Campbell's and her own account, objects to this conception of these words on the following grounds (p 24) 'We must affirm that anything like sarcastic expression of this passage is quite inconsistent with the essential character of Constance, and most inappropriate to the occasion upon which it is delivered She is now encouraged to strain every nerve of her intellect and her eloquence in enforcing the Cardinal's denunciation against her principal oppressor, and his menace to the most potent of her treacherous friends The Dauphin, whose sense of honour throughout the piece is represented as more susceptible than his father's, is the first to show signs of their late political engagements Upon this relenting emotion she eagerly lays hold, and in opposition to the entreaty of his bride, who kneels to beg that he will not turn his arms against her uncle, makes the fervent religious adjuration, 'Thou virtuous dauphin, alter not the doom Forethought by heaven!' And to Blanch's last appeal she rejoins by urging triumphantly the noble moral sentiment [contained in ll 252 and 253] And on Philip's consenting to break the treaty, she concludes with the grateful exclamation 'Oh, fair return of banish'd majesty!' Where, we would ask, is the tone of sarcasm in all this? The slightest touch of it might have defeated the very object, dearest to her on earth, for which she was pleading, by checking and offending those 'compunctious visitings' the first symptoms of which she was alert to observe and to nourish in the breasts of her unfaithful friends Sarcasm from her lips at such a moment! No, indeed—Constance, and Shakespeare, know too well what they are about In another article, written a few years later, Fletcher deals with the acting of the part of Constance by Helena Faucit, later Lady Martin In speaking of her action at this present passage he says 'Most affectingly and impressively beautiful, to our mind, is the expression of the noble nature of the heroine, which her representation gives to the kneeling appeals which Constance makes to the virtuous dauphin. Already,

Dolph. I muse your Maiesty doth seeme so cold,	[317]
When fuch profound respects doe pull you on?	255
Pand. I will denounce a curfe vpon his head	
Fra Thou shalt not need England, I will fall fro thee.	[320]
Const O faire returne of banish'd Maiestie.	
Elea O foule reuolt of French inconstancy	
Eng France, y shalt rue this houre within this houre.	260
Bast Old Time the clocke fetter, y bald sexton Time:	
Is it as he will? well then, France shall rue. 262	[325]

254 Dolph] Ff Lou Dyce, Hal
Wh 1, Huds 11, Words Lewis Rowe et cet
255 on on on Han Cap et seq
257 need] need F4
258, 259 Marefire inconstancy] Pope et seq
260 Eng] K John Rowe et seq
261, 262 East Old rue] Om Dono
261 bald] bauld F3
Wh Hal Sta Cam +, Dono I'll
Pope et cet

in speaking of Mrs Siddons's acting of the part, we have fully expressed our opinion as to the true reading of this important passage. We have here only to add that Miss Faucit gives that reading, as it seems to us, with admirable affect, delivering especially, with all that noble and generous fervour which we conceive belongs to it, the unanswerable answer to Blanch contained in ll 252 and 253']

255. respects] CRAIGIE (N E D, s v sb 14) A consideration, a fact or motive which assists in, or leads to, the formation of a decision, an end or aim [The present line quoted Compare V, ii, 47, V, iv, 45]

256 denounce] MURRAY (N E. D, s. v vb 1) To give formal, authoritative, or official information of, to proclaim, announce, declare

257 fall froj Wright That is, desert. Compare Heywood. 'If he will recant And fall from Lewis again '-2 Edward IV I, vi.

261, 262 Old Time . . . shall rue] VISCHER (Vortrage, iv, 34) A charming idea, thoroughly Shakespearean, with its train of images It was not to be a cause, only Time, that old bald Sexton, the clock-setter, a lean gray mannikin who goes in and out of a tower in order to strike upon a bell A capital example, if one were to speak on the subject of the value of metaphor -VAUGHAN (1, 00) The order of thought here is indistinctly and elliptically expressed. It is as follows: Old Time sets the clock, and as he does this duty of the parish sexton, also probably does his other duty of digging graves By his calling therefore he is bound to wish for as many deaths as possible. If Time, therefore, is to do what he likes, he will make the French rue -MOORE SMITH Is the remorse of France to be, as John says, contingent merely on the course of Time? Well then, it is a certainty — Description's interpretation is substantially the same as Moore Smith's, he adds 'Of course there is no logical connection between the two things [1. e, Time's decision and France's repentence]; in fact, the humour consists in their irrelevancy '-Ivor John thinks this comment of the Bastard lacks the 'usual salt of his remarks' [But is it not just such a sarcastic speech as we should expect from him? In effect he says What! Is France's punishment to depend upon the action of an old bald sexton? Well, if that is all; France will indeed be

Bla The Sun's orecast with bloud faire day adieu,	263 [326]
Which is the fide that I must goe withall?	
I am with both, each Army hath a hand,	265
And in their rage, I having hold of both,	
They whurle a-funder, and difmember mee.	[330]
Husband, I cannot pray that thou maist winne	
Vncle, I needs must pray that thou maist lose.	
Father, I may not wish the fortune thine	270
Grandam, I will not wish thy wishes thriue	·
Who-euer wins,on that fide fhall I lofe	[335]
Affured losse, before the match be plaid.	273

263 day] pay F ₄ adieu,] adieul Theob et seg	271 Grandam] Grandame Cap Knt, Sta Fle
267 a-funder] affunder F ₃ F ₄ asunder Rowe	273 Assured] Assured Dyce, Fle,
268, 269 maist may'st F4 et seq	Huds 11, Words Dono pland] play'd Pope et seq

well punished Faulconbridge has previously shown his utter scorn of anything less than vigorous action for the settlement of a quarrel—ED]

264 withall] Rev John Hunter When 'withal' is, as here, a preposition equivalent to with, it always follows its object, which is often a relative pronoun, as in the present instance. It will, of course, be without an object when it belongs to the passive participle of a preposition-verb, as in 'He's within, sir, but not to be spoken withal'—Tam of Shr, V, 1, 22. Frequently its object, when that is a relative pronoun, has to be supplied in parsing, as in 'The adversary (whom) I come to cope withal'—King Lear, V, 111, 123. When 'withal' is an adverb, it signifies therewith, as in 'I must have liberty withal'—As You Like It, II, vii, 48. But we sometimes meet with the redundant form, 'therewithal, as in 'And therewithal came to this vault to die'—Rom & Jul., V, 111, 289. [See Abbott, § 196, or Maetzner, 11, p. 146]

267 They...dismember mee] Steevens Alluding to a well-known Roman punishment. '—Metium in diversa quadrigæ Distulerant'—Ænerd, viii, 642 [On this note Malone remarks that 'Shakespeare was much more likely to have alluded in cases of this sort to events which had happened in his own time than to the Roman history', and refers to a note of his on 'Death on the wheel, or at wild horses heels,' Coriol, III, ii, 2, wherein he says 'Shakespeare had probably read or heard that Balthazar de Gerrard, who assassinated William, Prince of Orange, in 1584, was torn to pieces by wild horses,' and so likewise was John Chastel in 1594 for attempting to assassinate Henry IV of France Since this last date is near to that of the composition of the present play it may be that Shakespeare here alludes to that mode of punishment reserved for the most heinous crimes—ED]

272. Who-euer wins] Rev. John Hunter: Similarly, in Ant & Cleo, III, iv, 12, Octavia, perplexed about the hostility between her brother Cæsar and her husband Antony, says 'A more unhappy lady, If this division chance, ne'er stood between, Praying for both parts. . . . Husband win, win brother, Prays, and destroys the prayer.'

Dolph. Lady, with me, with me thy fortune lies.	[337]
Bla There where my fortune liues, there my life dies	275
Iohn Colen, goe draw our puisance together,	
France, I am burn'd vp with inflaming wrath,	[340]
A rage, whose heat hath this condition;	
That nothing can allay, nothing but blood,	
The blood and deerest valued bloud of France.	280
Fra Thy rage shall burne thee vp, & thou shalt turne	
To ashes, ere our blood shall quench that fire	[345]
Looke to thy felfe, thou art in ieopardie	283

274 Lady, with me,] Lady, with me,
Cap et seq
les] lives Cap Words
275 lives] li'es Fleay
276 puisance] puisance F₃F₄
together,] together [Ex Bast
Pope et seq
278 condition,] Ff, Rowe, Pope
condition Theob + condition, Cap
et cet
279 allay] allay't Cap con Dyce

11, 111, Huds 11, Words
280 The blood] Ff, Rowe The best,
Walker, Huds 11, Words The blood,
Pope et cet
and] the Huds 11 (conj)
deerest valued] dearest valu'd
Rowe, Pope, Var '85, Sing Ktly,
Huds 1 dearest-valued Theob et cet.
bloud] blue Bulloch
281 shall] shall F4, Rowe 1

233

274 thy fortune lies] CAPELL (I, pt 11, 129) This [reading lives for 'lies'] may be pronounc'd with great certainty—a genuine reading, and 'lies' its corruption by one enamour'd of rhyme, for the reply is created by it, and depends on it wholly, and inattention or blindness must have been the cause of its appearing in no modern

275 liues] FLEAY 'Lives' was often pronounced lees, as here [see Text Notes], so that lie and live had the same sound. The letter v could be omitted between any two vowels Thus in Tancred and Gismunda, III, chorus, lo'e (love) rhymes to overthrow, and in Edward III, gi'e (give) rhymes to buy, London Prodigal, II, 1, mo'e (move) rhymes to too Chapman is distinguished from all other dramatists by his frequent adoption of this pronunciation

280 The blood] MOBERLY Walker must surely be right in proposing, 'The best, and dearest-valued' [Moberly does not, however, adopt this in his text—ED]—IVOR JOHN The repetition of the word 'blood' has led to emendation The text is, however, defensible. John says, nothing can allay his rage but blood, he is going to state that it must be French blood, and when half-way through the sentence he sees a method of heightening the effect and interjects 'and (that the) dearest valued blood'—[So far from agreeing with Moberly or those who have adopted Walker's change, I think that any substitution here appreciably weakens the effect produced by this explosive repetition. John is fairly stammering with rage, the reiterated 'nothing' in the preceding line has the same force—ED]

283. ieopardie] WRIGHT The origin of this word seems to be the French jeu parti, a game in which the risk is evenly divided. In Du Cange (Gloss, s. v. Jocus) Jocus partitus is 'an alternative' 'The risk involved in accepting an alternative is taken as the rypresentative of any risk whatever, and hence jeopardy has the general meaning or "hazard" (Wright, Bible-Word-Book, s. v. Jeopard).—[Moore Smith notes that the word does not occur elsewhere in Shakespeare]

Iohn No more then he that threats. To Arms le'ts hie [347]

Exeunt. 285

Scana Secunda.

Allarums, Excursions. Enter Bastard with Austria's head.

2

Bast Now by my life, this day grows wondrous hot, Some ayery Deuill houers in the skie,

5

284 then] than F4

To Arms] To Arms! Var '73, Sta To arms, Theob Warb Johns Cap Var '78, '85, Mal

le'ts Fr

hie] Ff, Rowe,+, Sta Fle

285 Exeunt] Exeunt, severally the English and French Kings, &c Dyce, Hal Words

1. Scæna Secundal Scene III Pope Scene IV Warb Johns Act III, sc i Dono A Field of Battle Pope,+, Cap Var '78, '85, Rann In Castle of Angiers Dono Plains near Angiers Mal. et cet

1-15 Om Dono

2 Allarums] Allarms F₄ Alarms, Rowe Alarums, as of a Battle join'd, Cap

Bastard] Faulconbridge Theob +, Var. '78, '85, Rann

Austria's] a Capell

5 ayery] fiery Warb Theob Han Coll 111 (MS.), Huds 11

- 284 To Arms] MALONE (Supplement Obs, 1, 168) I would point thus, 'To arms let's hie'—The proposition is, I believe, single Let us begone to arms! [Malone was apparently unaware that he thus restored the reading of the Folio, although in his own text he returns to the pointing of his predecessors—ED]
- 2, 3 Enter . head] OECHELHAUSER, in his stage arrangement, here makes a wide divergence from the original The scene is still before Angiers, sounds of battle are heard, the Bastard pursues Austria across the stage, and then enters carrying the hon's skin, which he casts down, with the words 'Lie thou there, the ass that wore thee's fled!' This line is, of course, Oechelhauser's own contribution, but the substitution of the hon's skin for Austria's head he obtained, I think, from J. P. Kemble In the latter's arrangement Faulconbridge enters, and after the words 'mischiefe,' 1 6, encounters Austria, attacks him, and drives him off, then re-enters with the hon's-skin, which he apostrophises as 'Austria's head' Charles Kean also adopted this arrangement —ED.
- 4 Bast] F. Gentleman (Dram Cens, ii, 160) We think the lion's-skin, as a trophy of honor worn by his father, should be worn by the Bastard through the remainder of the play
- 5 ayery] Warburton We must read 'Some fiery devil' if we will have the cause equal to the effect—Theobald, in support of Warburton's change, says 'It is a very inconclusive inference, sure, that because it grows wond'rous hot, some airy devil hover'd in the sky. It is a sort of reasoning that carries an air of ridicule, unless we could determine that the Poet meant no more by the epithet than to express the sacred text, in which the Devil is stiled the Prince of the Aur—Johnson. Dr Warburton will have the devil fiery because he makes the day hoi; the Author makes him 'airy' because 'he hovers in the sky,' and the heat and mischief are natural consequences of his malignity.—Edwards (Canons, etc.,

seq

6 pour's] pours F.

7 Enter Hubert] After 1 8 Cap et

6

And pour's downe mischiese Austrias head lye there, Enter Iohn, Arthur, Hubert.

> 7 Iohn Hubert] King John, with Arthur prisoner, Hubert following

p 53) 'Airy devil' seems an allusion to the Prince of the power of the air, but the effect described is pouring down mischief, which would suit a watery devil better than a fiery one —Percy Shakespeare here probably alludes to the distinctions and divisions of some of the demonologists, so much regarded in his time They distributed the devils into different tribes and classes, each of which had its peculiar qualities, attributes, etc These are described at length in Burton's Anatomy of Melancholy, Part I, sect 11, p 45, ed 1632 'Of these sublunary devils—Psellus makes six kinds, fiery, aeriall, terrestriall, watery, and subterranean devils, besides those faieries, satyres, nymphes, &c Fiery spirits or divells are such as commonly worke by blazing starres, fire-drakes, and counterfeit sunnes and moones, and sit on ships' masts, etc , etc Aeriall divells are such as keep quarter most part in the aire, cause many tempests, thunder lightnings, teare oakes, fire steeples, houses, strike men and beasts, make it raine stones,' etc -HENDERSON There is a minute description of devils or spirits, and their different functions, in Pierce Pennilesse his Supplication, 1502 With respect to the passage in question, take the following '-the spirits of the aire will mixe themselves with thunder and lightning, and so infect the clyme where they raise any tempest, that sodainely great mortalitie shall ensue to the inhabitants. The spirits of fire have their mansions under the regions of the moone,' [ed Grosart, p 115 et seq] -- FLEAY, [after quoting the foregoing passages from Burton and Nash, adds] 'But when proud Lucifer fell from the heavens, . They which offended less hung in the fire, And second faults did rest within the air, But Lucifer and his proud-hearted fiends Were thrown into the centre of the earth'-Greene, Friar Bacon, sc ix This last quotation explains the origin of the belief in airy devils -Collier (Notes, etc., p. 204) The MS. Corrector has changed the word 'ayery' to fyery, which, we may feel confident, was that of the Poet, and which is so consistent with the context [In his ed. ii. Collier adds 'An "airy devil" was not likely to be the Bastard's word, in the midst of the heat and fury of the conflict '-ED |-KNIGHT (Stratford Sh). We may venture to think that Collier carries his advocacy too far when he quotes [but a part of] what Burton says of 'fiery devils,' and there stops, although Percy continues the quotation. . We turn to Burton, and find in another place, where he says of this class who pours down mischief 'Paul, to the Ephesians, calls them forms of the air' Shakespeare knew this curious learning from the Schoolmen, but the Corrector knew nothing about it -SINGER (Sh Vind, p 86) likewise finds fault with Collier for quoting but a part of the passage from Burton, and entirely omitting that from Nash, given by Henderson In conclusion Singer observes that 'Nash and Shakespeare most probably drew their pneumatology from the same source The evidence is therefore decisive in favour of the old reading' [It is, at times, painfully evident that Collier, for his knowledge of the work of his predecessors, relies upon the notes contained in the Variorum of 1821 alone In the present instance Warburton's, Theobald's, and Johnson's notes on this word are conspicuously absent, and Collier makes no mention of the coincidence of Warburton's reading with that of his MS Corrector -ED]

8

While Philip breathes

Iohn Hubert, keepe this boy: Philip make vp,

8 While breathes] Om Pope,+
9 Hubert] There, Hubert Pope,
Theob Han Warb Johns Cap Here,
Hubert Ktly, Good Hubert Fle
keepel keep thou Tyrwhitt, Rann,

Dyce 11, 111, Coll 111, Huds 11, Words
9 boy | boy — Coll Dyce, Hal Wh 1,
Ktly, Huds Del Neils Craig
Philip] Cousin Han Words Richard Theob Warb Johns

8 While Philip breathes] POPE omits these words, and in their stead substitutes from *The Troublesome Raigne* the following lines

'Thus hath king Richard's son perform'd his vow, And offer'd Austria's blood for sacrifice Unto his father's ever-living soul'

In this substitution he is followed by Theobald, Hanmer, Warburton, Johnson, and the Variorum of 1773

- 8, 9 Philip . Philip] CAPELL (I, pt 2, p 129) 'Philip' is either a slip of the Poet's, caus'd by his remembrance of what had passed in the Quarto, or we may ascribe it to haste in both the persons it comes from, in either case it ought not to be alter'd [See Text Notes, 1 9]-Steevens also calls attention to this very natural forgetfulness on the part of the King who had given Philip the name of Richard on knighting him - Deighton, while admitting that the words 'while Philip breathes' may possibly be rightly explained as referring to Philip himself, taking breath with a view to renewing the combat, prefers to think that the Bastard here means 'until I have slain Philip,' adding that 'it seems more in the character of the Bastard to determine upon Phihp's death as well as that of Austria.' -It may be said, however, that Deighton has failed to notice how utterly out of character it would be for the Bastard to speak of the King of France without any title, even his brother King speaks of him and to him as 'France'-Perring is also of the opinion that the Bastard does not here refer to himself, he says (p. 104) in regard to the name 'Philip' in I 9. 'It may be said that Shakespeare probably made the slip [of Philip for Richard]. I think it much more likely that a copyist did His eye caught and his ear yet tingled with the name of Philip, King of France, who is mentioned in the preceding line. If we could but peep into the Author's MS I believe we should find "Richard, make up."'-[Had Perring but peeped into Theobald's ed he would have found that herein he was anticipated.—ED
- 9. Hubert, keepe... make vp] Dyce (ed. ii) In Guest's Hist of English Rhythms, vol. 1, p. 238, this line is cited from the old copy as right, and as resembling in metre certain lines of Anglo-Saxon poetry' [The italics and exclamation point are Dyce's.—ED]—Br Nicholson (N. & Q, 1887, VII, iii, 264) An ordinary eye can see that the dramatist made John make this lapse that he might the more contrast the brother and son of Cœur-de-Lion The battle is, according even to the son, 'wondrous hot.'.. The king shows himself weak in resolution and fearful, gives Arthur into other keeping and fears that his camp is assailed and his mother taken. The deed-doing and resolute son of King Richard has, unknown to the nominal leader of the army, rescued her and warded off the danger. The king, in his flurry and fear, recurs to the name under which he first knew the supposed son of Sir Robert Faulconbridge. Like new-made honour, fear forgets the new names of men.

Iohn So shall it be your Grace shall stay behinde

So strongly guarded: Cosen, looke not sad,

no assarlèd Dyce, Fle Huds
n, Words
11 tane la ta'en Rowe.
12 her. l her. Ff
13 not l not Pope, Theob Han
Warb Johns Ktly, Nells

Bastard. Hubert, Lords.

14 on my Liege,] on my Leige, F2 on, my Liege, F4

15 anl a Cap Knt, Sing 11, Hal Coll 111

Exit] Exeunt Rowe

Scene IV Pope Scene v Han Warb Johns Scene III Var '73 et seq

1 Alarums] Alarms F4

Enter] Re-enter Pope, Theob Han Warb

- 3 behinde] behind [To Elinor Han. et seq (after be Capell)
 - 4 So More Lettsom, Huds in guarded | guarded Cap et seq fad, | sad, | to Arthur Pope et seq

ro, II. My Mother . . . tane I feare] MALONE The Author has not attended closely to the history. The Queen-Mother, whom King John had made Regent in Anjou, was in possession of the town of Mirabeau, in that province. On the approach of the French army with Arthur at their head, she sent letters to King John to come to her relief, which he did immediately. As he advanced to the town, he encountered the army which lay before it, routed them, and took Arthur prisoner. The Queen in the meanwhile remained in perfect security in the castle of Mirabeau. Such is the best authenticated account. Other historians, however, say that Arthur took Elinor prisoner. The author of the old play has followed them. In that piece Eleanor is taken by Arthur and rescued by her son.

- 16 FLEAY (Chron Eng Drama, 11, 200) The omission [Scena Tertia] in the Folio arose from the common mistake of printing Exit for Execut at the end of III, ii The MS had probably Ex The new scene is proved by 'Enter John, Arthur, Hubert' in III, iii It should be edited as III, iib to preserve the old notation through the Act
- 4 So] Marshall objects to Lettsom's change 'more' on the ground that Queen Elmor had asked for some specified number of forces, see 1 94 below. "So," therefore, although it looks very much like an accidental repetition by mistake of the word in the line above, may be the right reading, the meaning being, "so strongly guarded as you have asked to be"
- 4. Cosen, looke not sad Knight (Studies, p. 204). Up to the concluding scene of the third Act we have not learnt from Shakespeare to hate John. We may think him an usurper. Our best sympathies may be with Arthur and his mother.

5

10

12

Thy Grandame loues thee, and thy Vnkle will As deere be to thee, as thy father was

Arth O this will make my mother die with griefe.

Iohn. Cosen away for England, haste before, And ere our comming see thou shake the bags Of hoording Abbots, imprisoned angells

Set at libertie: the fat ribs of peace

Must by the hungry now be fed vpon

5 Grandame] Grandam Rowe et seq 7 griefe] grief! Cam +

8 before, before, to the Bast Pope, +, Var. '78, '85 (after Cosen) Mal. et seq

10 hoording hoarding F3F4

10, II imprisoned angells Set at libertie] their imprison'd angels Set at liberty Pope, Var '73, Coll ii (MS) their imprison'd angels Set thou at liberty Theob Han Warb Johns Cap Steev angels imprison'd Set thou at liberty

Var '03, '13, '21, Sing 1 imprison'd angels Set thou at liberty Knt set at liberty Imprison'd angels Walker (Crit, 111, 119), Wh 1, Ktly, Dyce 11, 111, Huds. 11, Rlfe, Words Dono Craig. imprison'd angels Set all at liberty Anon. ap Cam imprisoned angels Set at good liberty Vaughan

12 now] war Warb Theob. maw

vpon | upon Pope

But he is bold and confident, and some remnant of the indomitable spirit of the Plantaganets gives him a lofty and gallant bearing. We are not even sure, from the first, that he had not something of justice in his quarrel, even though his mother confidentially repudiates 'his right'. In the scene with Pandulph we completely go with him. We have yet to know that he would one day crouch at the feet of the power that he now defies. But the expression of one thought that had long been lurking in the breast of John sweeps away every feeling but that of hatred, and worse than hatred, and we see nothing hereafter in the king but the creeping, cowardly assassin, prompting the deed which he is afraid almost to name to himself, with the lowest flattery of his instrument, and showing us, as it were, the sting which wounds, and the slaver which pollutes, of the venomous and loath-some reptile . . . The warnor and the king vanish

9, no. see thou shake ... Abbots] H COLERIDGE In the old play Faulconbridge's execution of this order is exhibited on the stage, and he finds a young-skinned nun in a chest where the Abbot's treasures were supposed to be deposited. It showed the good taste and boldness of Shakespeare that he did not retain this incident, so well calculated to make vulgar spectators laugh. He makes no reflection on the doctrine or discipline of Rome, far less does he calumniate the purity of her devoted virgins. He makes a king speak the sentiments of every king who did not need the Pope's countenance. John, when he found this need, crouched as vilely to the Pope as the most grovelling of Papists, and Shakespeare does not conceal the circumstance. How different from the absurdity of Bishop Bale, who makes the murderous, lastful, impious infidel John a Protestant hero.

10. impresoned angelis] Miss Porter This is, perhaps, a quip on imprisoned nuns quite as much as on the coins called 'angels.' The first pun would be understood by those who knew the older play.

12. Must... now be fed vpon] WARBURTON: This word 'now' seems a very idle term here, and conveys no satisfactory idea. An antithesis, and opposition

Vie our Commission in his vtmost force

Bast. Bell, Booke,& Candle, shall not drive me back,

13 his] its Rowe,+

of terms, so perpetual with our Author, requires 'by the hungry war' War demanding a large expense, is very poetically said to be 'hungry,' and to prey on the wealth and 'fat' of 'peace '-Johnson This emendation is better than the former word, but yet not necessary Hanmer reads maw, with less deviation from the common reading, but not with so much force or elegance as war — CAPELL (I, pt ii, p 129) The word that follows 'hungry' is so far from 'an idle term' that 'tis strongly emphatical, carrying with it the idea of that very word—war which [has been put] in its place, for the time that calls upon John to make this fat-ribbed peace feed the hungry, is—a time of war For opposition—we have now as much as is commendable, and in the best way, that is-indirect, for it lies between leanness, which is comprehended in 'hungry,' and the above-described peace This image is doubtless excited by the idea we commonly have of such churchmen as fall within the Bastard's 'commission' Which commission the Quarto makes him execute openly, much to the diversion of that play's auditors, who had papists and papistry fresh in hatred by reason of the Spanish invasion -STEEVENS Either emendation may be unnecessary Perhaps 'the hungry now' is this hungry instant. Shakespeare uses the word 'now' as a substantive in Meas for Meas, '-till this very now, When men were fond, I smil'd and wonder'd how,' II, 11, 186 -[To this MARSHALL pertinently replies 'Unfortunately "till this very now" is only the conjectural reading of Pope The Ff have "ever till now."'--ED]--MALONE The meaning, I think, is, the fat ribs of peace must now be fed upon by the hungry troops,—to whom some share of this ecclesiastical spoil would naturally fall The expression, like many other of our Author's, is taken from the sacred writings 'And there he maketh the hungry to dwell, that they may prepare a city for habitation '-Psalm cvii. Again 'He hath filled the hungry with good things,' &c -Luke, i, 53 This interpretation is supported by the passage in the old play, which is here imitated. 'Ransack their abbeys, cloysters, priories, Convert their coin unto my soldiers' use.'-- Pt 1, sc 1x, ll 10, 20 On the strength of this Malone conjectured that in the present line the word 'soldiers' had dropped out after the word 'hungry', but later decided that his foregoing interpretation rendered any alteration unnecessary—ED]—VAUGHAN (1, 48) Although Warburton is often as wrong as he is peremptory, I cannot forbear pointing out that his emendation is almost proved to be correct by two considerations combined-by the contrast afforded through the two portraits, 'fat ribs of peace' and 'hungry war,' and by the fact of the same epithet being applied to war in Henry V. '—the poor souls for whom this hungry war Opens his vasty jaws.'— II, 1V, 105. And by a like epithet in 3 Henry VI: 'With need of soldiers for this needy war '-II, i, 147

14 Bell, Booke, & Candlel GREY (1, 285), in reference to the present line, gives a detailed account of a cursing, wherein at certain points candles were extinguished, but there is no mention of either bell or book. The following extract from Grafton is, I think, sufficient to illustrate the method, as will be seen it deals with an important episode in the time of King John. 'In the same yere, Gualo the Popes Legate renued his great cursse vpon Lewes the French kinges soone, for vsurping vpon King John. Likewise vpon Symon Langton and Geruys Ho-

When gold and filuer becks me to come on

I leaue your highnesse. Grandame, I will pray
(If euer I remember to be holy)

For your faire safety: so I kiffe your hand

Ele. Farewell gentle Cosen

Iohn Coz, farewell.

Ele. Come hether little kinsman,harke,a worde.

Iohn Come hether Hubert. O my gentle Hubert,

22

Hal

16 Grandame] Grandam Rowe et seq
19 Farewell] Farewell Ktly Fare
you well Fle.
gentle] my gentle Pope, + (—Var
'73), Cap Steev. Varr Sing 1
20 farewell] farewell [Exit Faulc
Theob Warb Johns Var '78, '85

farewell [Exit Bast Pope et cet

15 becks] beck Theob Warb. Johns

21, 22 hether] htther F₃F₄
21 kin/man,] kinsman,— Pope,+.
worde] word. [Taking him to one
side of the stage Pope,+, Var '78, '85,
Rann word [To Arthur, drawing him
aside Cap word [She takes Arthur
aside Mal et seq
22 Iohn] K John [To Hubert on
the other side] Pope,+
O] Oh! Ktly

bruge, for provoking him to the same, and that with a wonderfull solempnitie For in that doing, he made all the Belles to be rong, the Candels to be light, the dores to be opened and the booke of excommunications and interdictions publiquely to be reade, committing them wholy to the Deuill for their contumacie and contempt. He also commaunded the Bishops and Curates to publishe it abroad ouer all the whole realme, to the terror of all subjects' (ed Ellis, 11, p. 244)—ED

22 Come hether Hubert, etc.] STEEVENS This is one of the scenes to which may be promised a lasting commendation Art could add little to its perfection, no change in dramatic taste can injure it, and time itself can subtract nothing from its beauties -[The following extract is from an Essay on the Writings of Massinger, by Dr John Ferriar, prefixed to Gifford's Massinger, vol 1 'In The Duke of Milan, Act I, sc ult, where Sforza enjoins Francisco to dispatch Marcelia, in case of the emperor's proceeding to extremities against him, the Poet has given him a strong expression of horror at his own purpose After disposing Francisco to obey his commands without reserve, by recapitulating the favours conferred on him, Sforza proceeds to impress him with the blackest view of the intended deed ... If we compare this scene with the celebrated scene between King John and Hubert, we shall perceive this remarkable difference, that Sforza, while he proposes to his brother-in-law and favorite, the eventual murder of his wife, whom he idolizes, is consistent and determined, his mind is filled with the horror of the deed, but borne to the execution of it by the impulse of an extravagant and fantastic delicacy. John, who is actuated solely by the desire of removing his rival in the crown, not only fears to communicate his purpose to Hubert, though he perceives him to be "A fellow by the hand of nature mark'd, Ouoted, and sign'd to do a deed of shame", but after he has sounded him, and found him ready to execute whatever he can propose, he only hints at the deed Sforza enlarges on the cruelty and atrocity of his design, John is afraid to utter his, in the view of the sun nay the sanguinary Richard hesitates in proposing the murder of his nephews to Buckingham In this instance then Massinger may seem to deviate from nature, for

[22 Iohn Come hether, Hubert O my gentle Hubert]

ambition is stronger than love, yet Sforza decides with more promptness and confidence than either of Shakespeare's characters We must consider, however, that timidity and irresolution are characteristics of John, and that Richard's hesitation appears to be assumed, only in order to transfer the guilt and odium of the action to Buckingham '-(pp xcviii, xcix) -J Monck Mason, in his edition of Massinger, 1779, is, I think, the first to call attention to the similarity of situation in King John and in The Duke of Milan, he leaves, however, the comparison to the judgment of the reader It is more than likely that Ferriar acted on this hint—his Essay did not appear until six years later The following remarks by Davies (Dram. Miscell, 1, 51) refer to this note by Mason, and not to Ferriar's analysis Davies's work and Ferriar's are dated the same year, 1785—ED] 'The scene in Massinger's Duke of Milan is well conceived and highly finished, but the lightning itself is not brighter or quicker in its flash, nor more astonishing in its effects, than the sublime and penetrating strokes of Shakespeare
In Massinger, eloquent language and unbroken periods give easy assistance to the speaker, and calm and undisturbed pleasure to the hearer In Shakespeare, the abrupt hints, half-spoken meanings, hesitating pauses, passionate interruptions, and guilty looks require the utmost skill of the actor, while they alarm and terrify the spectator From Colley Cibber's long experience and perfect knowledge of the stage, we might have expected that he would have considered this scene as a sacred thing, and have given consequence to his Papal Tyranny by transcribing it whole and untouched But Colley's confidence in his abilities was extreme, and he has not only mixed his cold crudities and prosaic offals with the rich food of Shakespeare, but has presumed to alter the economy of the scene by superfluous incident for John desires Hubert to draw the curtain, that he may unfold his meaning to him in the dark, and Hubert exacts an exculpatory warrant from him to put Arthur to death In this latter management he has borrowed from Massinger Francisco demands from Sforza a writing, signed by him, to warrant the putting Marcelia to death -[In this last addition Cibber is, to some extent, justified by the fact that Hubert shows such an instrument to Arthur, and later confronts John with this warrant under John's hand and seal.—ED]—GIFFORD, in his edition of Jonson, vol 1, p. 81, compares this scene also with Every Man in his Humour, Act III, sc 1, where the jealous Kitely, by hints and insinuations, persuades Cash to spy upon Mrs Kitely, but tells him that all his doings must be 'Lock'd up in silence, midnight, buried here '-[To me the resemblance is not so striking, as the objects to be attained are quite dissimilar Gifford's vaulting ambition to enthrone Jonson by the side of Shakespeare at times o'erleaps itself, this is a case in point -ED |-Corson (Introduction to Sh, p 173) John is now forced, by circumstances resulting from the capture of Arthur, to play a losing game within his own kingdom His fears as to the young and interesting captive, whose misfortune wins the sympathies of the courtiers and the people, drive him to measures for his own safety, which deprive him of all chance of safety He passes, irresistibly, into the power of an avenging fate The dramatic situation at this stage of the play is in Shakespeare's best tragic manner The moral baseness of John, which seals his doom, may be said to be gathered up, and exhibited in its extreme intensity in the scene with Hubert, in which he intimates to Hubert his wish to have the little Prince put out of the way; and in IV, ii, where he accuses the aptness of the instrument as the cause of the suggestion. I would call special

We owe thee much within this wall of flesh	23
There is a foule counts thee her Creditor,	
And with aduantage meanes to pay thy loue.	25
And my good friend, thy voluntary oath	
Liues in this bosome, deerely cherished	
Giue me thy hand, I had a thing to fay,	
But I will fit it with fome better tune	29

```
23 much | much' Dyce, Hal Cam +,
Huds 11, Words Neils
26 And | And, F4 et seq
27. cherished | cherished Dyce, Fle |
Huds 11, Words Dono

28 hand, | hand — Cap et seq
fay, | say— Rowe 11 et seq
29 tune | Ff, Rowe, Knt, Sta Del.
time Pope et cet
```

attention to the last nineteen verses of John's long speech, III, 11, beginning 'If the midnight bell' The thought keeps on the wing through all these nineteen verses. There is a moral significance in the suspended construction of the language. The mind of the dastard king hovers over the subject of the ungodly act and dares not alight upon it, and the verse, in its uncadenced movement, admirably registers the speaker's state of mind.

28 I had a thing to say] DAVIES (Dram Miscell, 1, 53) The several actors of John in this scene had their different and appropriate shares of merit Quin's voice and manner of acting were well adapted to the situation and business of it. His solemn and articulate whisperings were like soft notes in music, which summon our deepest attention, but, whether the action did not correspond with the words, or the look did not assist the speech and action, the effect was not perfectly produced. If ever Garrick's quick intelligence of eye and varied action failed him, it was here. Through the whole scene his art was too visible and glaring, his inclination and fear were not equally suspended, the hesitations of a man big with murder and death were not happily and sublimely expressed. Of Mossop, justice requires me to say, that he was nearer, in feeling the throes of a guilty mind, and in conveying them to his auditors, than either Quin or Garrick. [Thomas] Sheridan in this scene bore away the palm from all competitors.

29 better tune] MALONE, accepting Pope's reading as a legitimate correction, says 'The same mistake has happened in Twelfth Night, II, III, 122 "Out o' time, sir ye lie"' [This was corrected by Theobald to read tune, but has not been unanimously accepted as an assured correction] Malone also instances the line in Macheth, IV, iii, 235, where the reverse mistake occurs in the Folio 'This time goes manly,' corrected by Rowe to 'this tune,' and almost universally followed -Knight We are by no means sure that Pope's change was called for The 'tune' with which John expresses his willingness 'to fit' the thing he had to say is a bribe—he now only gives flattery and a promise 'The time' for saying 'the thing' is discussed in the subsequent portion of John's speech -- Collier. As the improvement is manifest, we may reasonably infer that time was Shakespeare's word.—STAUNTON. Pope's alteration is perhaps not necessary, for these words were often used, of old, as synonymes -R G. White, in reply to the foregoing note by Staunton, exclaims: 'No, never; except by those who had the ears of Midas, as is shown by numberless passages, among them the following from Lyly's comedy of that name (IV, 1), in which the two words are carefully distinBy heauen Hubert, I am almost asham'd

To say what good respect I have of thee

Hub. I am much bounden to your Maiesty

Iohn Good friend, thou hast no cause to say so yet,

But thou shalt have and creepe time nere so slow,

Yet it shall come, for me to doe thee good.

I had a thing to say, but let it goe

The Sunne is in the heaven, and the proud day,

Attended with the pleasures of the world,

Is all too wanton, and too full of gawdes

Is all too wanton, and too full of gawdes
To give me audience. If the mid-night bell

40
Did with his yron tongue, and brazen mouth

30 heauen] Heav'n Rowe
I am] I'm Pope, + (—Var '73), '7

Dyce 11, 111, Fle Huds 11, Words
33 fo yet,] so—yet— Pope so yet—

Theob Warb Johns Var '73 so yet

Rlfe
M

24 haue | have— Pope. +

34 haue] have— Pope,+ 36-57 Mnemonic Pope, Warb 36 fay,] say— Rowe et seq 37 heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+ (-Var.

38 pleasures pleasure F4, Rowe 1
30 gawdes] gawdes F2F3 gawds, F4,
Rowe, Theob Warb Johns Cap Varr
Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt,
Coll Fle

40 audience] audience Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns Wh 1, Ktly, Sta. Fle Rlfe

guished "We all say that Apollo hath shewn himselfe both a god & of musicke the god Pan himselfe a rude satyre, neither keeping measure, nor time, his piping as farre out of tune, as his body out of forme" The music of Shakespeare's day sounds antiquated to our ears, but the art was much more thoroughly cultivated then than now, and in matters of time and tune and counterpoint our Elizabethan forefathers were in need of no lesson that we could give'

33. Iohn. Good friend, etc] F GENTLEMAN (ap Bell) It is impossible for words to express, or imagination to paint, a finer representation of dubious cruelty, fearful to express itself, than this address of John's to Hubert exhibits, the hesitative circumlocution, with which he winds about his gloomy purpose, is highly natural and the imagery exquisite. To do this scene justice requires more judgment than powers a jealous eye, deep tone of voice, and cautious delivery are the outlines of what should be.

34 creepe time nere so slow! ABBOTT (§ 52) There is probably here a confusion of two constructions (1) 'And though time creep so slow, as it never crept before,' and (2) 'And though time never crept so slow, as in the case I am supposing' These two are combined into, 'And though time creep—(how shall I describe it? though it crept) never so slow' Construction (2) is illustrated by: 'Never so weary, never so in woe.'—Mid N. Dream, III, 11, 442

39. gawdes] WRIGHT That is, trifting ornaments, toys See Mid N Dream, IV, i, 172 'As the remembrance of an idle gawd, Which in my childhood I did dote upon.' And compare 'The gaudy blabbing and remorseful day.'—2 Henry VI: IV, i, I.

42

42 Sound on into] Sound one unto Theob. Han Warb Johns Cap Mal Steev Var '03, '13, Dono Neils Sound on unto Var '73, '78, '85, Rann Sounden unto Rann conj Sound one into Var '21, Dyce, Sta Huds 11, Words Craig Sound On' unto Del conj Sound not into Wetherell (N &

Q, 18 Aug, 1866) Sound on to mark Moberly conj sound dong into Bulloch Sound only to Vaughan

42 racel ear Dyce, Sta Wh Hunter, Clarke, John, M Smith, Huds 11, Cam 11, Words Dono Neils maze M Wheeler (Ath, 25 Oct, 1873) face Bulloch vast Page conj

42 Sound on into . race of night] THEOBALD I do not think that 'sound on' gives here that idea of solemnity and horror which, 'tis plain, our Poet intended to impress by this fine description, and which my emendation ['Sound one unto'] conveys, 1 e, If it were the still part of the night, or One of the clock in the morning, when the sound of the bell strikes upon the ear with the most awe and terror. And it is very usual with our Shakespeare in other passages to express the horror of the midnight bell So in Othello 'Silence that dreadful bell, it frights the isle' -II, iii, 175, Macbeth '-what's the business, That such an hideous trumpet calls to parley The sleepers of the house'—II, in, 86 And sometimes, for the solemnity, he is used to add the circumstance of the particular hour 'The iron tongue of midnight hath toll'd twelue '-V, 1, 370, 'The bell then beating one '-Hamlet, I, 1, 39 - CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 130) The readings 'on' and 'into' are mistakes certainly either of a printer or copyist, for in that reading is neither English nor sense 'on' was never us'd for repeatedly, nor 'into' for unto, which is the sense they must have if the place's sense be contended for, nor, admitting that they might be so taken, does the sense they present express the speaker's intention, which confessedly is—to paint the dead time of night, but 'on' or repeatedly may as well be seven as twelve, implying no certain number But besides expressing the night's deadest season, Shakespeare had a further intention, namely, to affect the ear by some word that should give it sensation of awe and solemnity now one (the excellent emendation of the third modern) acts upon it remarkably in the way he intended, and so the sound of it does in the clock's striking, greatly beyond a sound that's repeated, every stroke beyond one lessening more and more the effect of it, till at twelve we feel nothing Of 'unto' no defending is requisite —MALONE The instances that are found in the original editions of our Author's plays in which 'on' is printed instead of one are so numerous that there cannot, in my apprehension, be the smallest doubt that one is the true reading in this line -[Malone, in corroboration of this note, quotes six passages as printed in the Folio wherein one is printed 'on', the most striking of these is that from the Two Gentlemen, to which he refers, but does not quote in full 'Sir, your glove my gloves are on . Why, then, this may be yours, for this is but one '-II. i, 1, 2.-In reference to Theobald's second change, unto for 'into,' Malone considers it to have been too hastily adopted, and produces two other examples in Shakespeare wherein these words are apparently used in the same sense 'Which to reduce into our former favour '—Henry V: V, ii, 63, '—gleaning all the land's wealth into one.'-Henry VIII: III, ii, 284 'Here,' says Malone, 'we should now certainly write "unto one" Independently of what has now been stated. "into" ought to be restored. So Marlowe, Edward II, 1598, "I'll thunder such a peal into his ears," [ed Dyce, 1i, p 206] So also Bishop Hall, in his Heaven upon Earth. "These courses are not incident into an almighty power," etc.'-

I should suppose the meaning of 'Sound on' to be this 'If the midnight bell, by repeated strokes, was to hasten away the race of beings who are busy at that hour, or quicken night itself in its progress', the morning bell (that is, the bell that strikes one) could not, with strict propriety, be made the agent, for the bell has ceased to be in the service of night when it proclaims the arrival of day. 'Sound on' may also have a peculiar propriety, because, by the repetition of the strokes at twelve, it gives a much more forcible warning than when it only strikes Such was once my opinion concerning the old reading, but, on reconsideration, its propriety cannot appear more doubtful to anyone than to myself It is too late to talk of hastening the night when the arrival of the morning is announced, and I am afraid that the repeated strokes have less of solemnity than the single notice, as they take from the horror and awful silence here described as so propitious to the dreadful purposes of the king Though the hour of one be not the natural midnight, it is yet the most solemn moment of the poetical one, and Shakespeare himself has chosen to introduce his Ghost in Hamlet 'The bell then beating one' Shakespeare may be restored into obscurity I retain Theobald's correction, for though 'thundering a peal into a man's ears' is good English, I do not perceive that such an expression as 'sounding one into a drowsy race' is countenanced by any example hitherto produced —KNIGHT Shakespeare, it appears to us, has made the idea of time precise enough by the 'midnight bell', and the addition of 'one' is a contradiction or a pleonasm, to which form of words he was not given 'The midnight bell' sounding on, into (or unto) the drowsy march, race, of night, seems to us far more poetical than precisely determining the hour, which was already determined by the word 'midnight' But was the 'midnight bell' the bell of a clock? Was it not rather the bell which called the monks to their 'morming lauds,' and which, according to the regulations of Dunstan, was to be rung before every office In Dunstan's Concord of Rules, quoted by Fosbrooke, the hours for the first services of the day are thus stated 'Mattins and Lauds, midnight Prime, 6 A M' It is added, 'if the office of Lauds be finished by daybreak, as is fit, let them begin Prime without ringing, if not, let them wait for day-light, and, ringing the bell, assemble for Prime '-[Knight also calls attention to the fact that in Hamlet, in the line already quoted by Theobald, the spelling is one (not on) both in the early Quartos and in the Folio of 1623 '-ED -COLLIER We prefer the old reading on all accounts Many of the commentators would read one instead of 'on,' which is contradicted by the 'midnight bell' in a line just preceding There is more plausibility for reading ear instead of 'race,' recollecting that of old ear was spelt eare, and the words might possibly be mistaken by the printer, but still 'race,' in the sense of course or passage, conveys a finer meaning the midnight bell, with its twelve times repeated strokes, may be very poetically said to 'sound on into the drowsy race of night', one sound produced by the 'iron tongue' driving the other 'on,' or forward, until the whole number was complete, and the prolonged vibration of the last blow on the bell only left to fill the empty space of darkness -[Collier's MS Corrector changes 'race' to eare, on which Dyce (Notes, etc., p 87) says 'Whether the emendation ear originated with the MS. Corrector, or whether he derived it from some prompter's copy, I feel assured that it is the Poet's word. The same correction occurred, long ago, to myself, it occurred also to Mr Collier, while he was editing the play, and (as appears from his note) he would have inserted it in the text had not his better

judgment been overpowered by a superstitious reverence for the Folio the MS Corrector considered "on" to be an adverb (and we are uncertain how he understood it-"on" and one being so often spelt alike), my conviction would still remain unshaken, that the recent editors, by printing "on" have greatly impaired the grandeur and poetry of the passage As to the "contradiction" which the recent editors object to in "the midnight bell sounding one," I can only say that even prose writers occasionally employ very maccurate language in speaking of the hours of darkness, e g, "It happened that betweene twelve and one a clocke at midnight, there blew a mighty storme of winde against the house," &c -The Famous History of Doctor Faustus, sig R 3, ed 1648 "We marched slowly on because of the carriages we had with us, and came to Freynstat about one a clocke in the night perfectly undiscover'd "-Defoe, Memoirs of a Cavalier, First ed. p 110 '-Collier refused to accept such testimony in favour of one as synonymous with midnight, and concludes his note on this line in his ed ii with this answer to Dyce 'When Defoe speaks of "one o'clock in the night" he is not so simple as to call it midnight, but merely "night," as in truth it was '-Dyce, in his ed ii, replies in a note unworthy of any editor, accusing Collier of again being at his 'old trick of misrepresentation and concealment' in that he had given but one of the quotations, and that one not that germane to the subject, therewith repeating the passage from Doctor Faustus Another melancholy example of two emment editors descending to petty recriminations, the details of which the reader may with ease be spared -ED]-JOSEPH HUNTER (11, 11) [with the reading, 'Sound one into the drowsy race of night']. We have the incongruity (1) of the midnight bell striking the hour of one in the morning, (2) of the hammer of a clock striking on the outside of a bell, being presented to the mind by the 'iron tongue and brazen mouth,' in which on a little reflection we cannot but perceive that it was the pendulous clapper, not the hammer striking on the outside of the bell, that must have been in the Poet's mind, and (3) of men steeped in sleep being described by such a poet as Shakespeare by the phrase 'the drowsy race of night' Any of these, if due attention were given to the passage, would have been sufficient to show that there was something rotten in the state of Denmark. . Now the Poet certainly had not in his thoughts the striking of a clock at all, and the intervention of this idea has the effect of marring in a very extraordinary degree the beauty and grandeur of the conception . , 'This is not a fit scene,' says King John, 'for audience of the thing I was about to say "the sun is in the heavens." Transfer yourself to a scene of the night and darkness, a place where you hear the great bell of a church tolling in the depth of midnight, and imagine that you are pacing the churchyard in the dark midnight amidst the graves of the many dead, and . where spirits are sometimes said to wander. Think of yourself as a man much injured by the world, and as given up to an habitual melancholy.' The mere striking of the church clock, whether once, or with twelve times repeated strokes. is a weak, puerile, incongruous conception, but the continuous tolling of the bell at midnight, which was what Shakespeare meant, adds greatly to the impressiveness of a night scene, and this especially when we recollect on what occasions it was that the church-bell would be heard 'sounding on' in the darkness of midnight. It might be as a passing-bell, a soul just then taking its flight, but it is more probable that the Poet had in his mind the tolling at a midnight funeral and that the full conception of the passage is this. That Hubert is to be transported in thought

to the grave-ground at the foot of some lonely tower, from which is heard the heavy tones of the bell tolling through the darkness of night . In such a scene there was everything to feed melancholy, and put the mind of Hubert into a frame favourable to the King's purposes,—everything to stir up in his mind thoughts which the sun should not look upon This then, I conceive, to be the true explanation of the passage 'Sound on' is the common phrase in Shakespeare for continuous or repeated blasts of a trumpet, just as here it is for the continuous or repeated strokes of the bell-clapper 'Into the drowsy race of night,' if it required any justification, as meaning the step or course of night, would receive it by comparison with the two following passages 'And chide the cripple tardy-gaited night, Who, like a foul and ugly witch, doth limp So tediously away '—Henry V IV, Chorus, 1 20, 'This palpable gross play hath well beguiled The heavy gait of night' -Mid N Dream, V, 1, 374 Shakespeare also, it may be observed, has shown elsewhere that he was sensible to the use which might be made of the deep tones of the funeral bell Thus, in 2 Henry IV 'And his tongue Sounds ever after as a sullen bell Remembered tolling a departed friend,' [I, 1, 102], and in Sonnet lxxi 'No longer mourn for me when I am dead Than you shall hear the surly sullen bell Give warning to the world '-STAUNTON What is meant by 'the drowsy race'? I at one time conjectured that 'race' was a misprint, by transposition of the letters, for carr, or carre, and that the 'Sound on' might be applicable to 'Night's black chariot' 'All drowsy night who in a car of jet By steeds of iron grey through the sky '-Browne's Britannia's Pastorals, Bk 11, song 1 I am now, however, firmly assured that it is a corruption of eare, a word which occurred to me many years ago, as it did to Dyce, Collier, and no doubt to a hundred people besides - R G WHITE (Sh Scholar, p 301) As this line has been frittered away by the editors into 'Sound one unto the drowsy race of night,' it seems plausible to read with Collier's MS. Corrector 'ear of night' But all the changes are alike uncalled for Let anyone who has listened to a church clock striking twelve at midnight, and seeming as if it would never complete its solemn task, say whether 'Sound on into the drowsy race of night' does not bring up his sensations more vividly than 'Sound one into the drowsy race of night' or 'Sound one unto the drowsy race of night' The line as it stands in the original is one of the most suggestive in all Shakespeare's works -- [White, in his edition which appeared five years later, in his note on this line says, however, 'As "race," even in its sense of course or passage, has but the remotest possible connection with the context, and as "the iron tongue and brazen mouth" suggest, if they do not require "the ear of night" to receive their sounds, it seems that this reading which occurred independently to Collier and Dyce, and was found in the former's corrected Folio, should be received "On" of the Folio may be either on the adverb, or one. I think the former much to be preferred.'-ED]-WALKER (Crit, 11, 6) 'Race' is undoubtedly wrong I believe that Shakespeare wrote, 'Sound one into the drowsy eare of night', but that eare in his MS. was by a slip of the pen written care, orwhich is more probable—was so read by the printer, who, seeing this was nonsense, corrected it to race which seemed to offer something like a meaning (The words 'strike one' [Qu. sound one'], by the way, remind me of I Henry VI I, 11, 41. 'I think . . . Their arms are set like clocks, still to strike on', read one I am not sure whether this is my own emendation, or a 'penwig'; I do not, however, find any note on this point in the Variorum [of 1821].-[To the lovers of Elia-

and who does not belong to that happy band-Walker's playful allusion to a 'periwig' needs no explanation -ED]-KEIGHTLEY (ELP, p 223) As Shakespeare had read in the Faerie Queene, of Night 'To run her timely race' (I, v, 45), the attempted corrections of 'race' are all superfluous So also is Warburton's [Theobald's?] reading of one for 'on', 'Sound on' is keep sounding -W L R. CATES (Athenaum, 12 July, 1873) Among the meanings of the word 'race' I find 'swift current,' 'rapid tideway,' examples of which we have in the local designations, 'Pentland Race' and 'Race of Alderney' I have found no hint in any edition of Shakespeare, nor in any glossary to his plays of this meaning then is, Has Shakespeare in this single instance made use of the word in this sense? The passage in which the phrase 'race of night' occurs is one of the most powerful delineations which Shakespeare has given us of the workings of conscience in a guilty man John, full of his dark desire and intent, sees about him 'the proud day attended with the pleasures of the world, and feels that this is no fitting environment or audience for such word as he has to say Awed and silent for very shame in the presence of the sun, he fancies he should be brave in the dark. In instantaneous contrast to daylight and the populous world, imagination depicts the night, the vast environing dark, still and dread, but also full of life and movement, not enfolding the earth like a cloak, but sweeping on and around it like a mighty current The sense of solitude and security from unwelcome listeners is immeasurably intensified by the one tone of the midnight bell, which goes pealing forth, far-penetrating, into the dull inattentive night-stream flowing over him Such significance I find in this famous line So magnificent the imaginative conception which it seems to me Shakespeare, with his omnipotence of wit, his unique mastery of phrase, has condensed for us into so tiny a point, so brief an expression, 'the drowsy race of night' . In illustration of the epithet 'drowsy', as applied to the celestial movement, it is, perhaps, worth while to cite a couplet from the Earl of Stirling, a contemporary of Shakespeare, who in his Domesday writes 'The heavenly as growne now less strong Doe seeme more slacke as weary of their race '-[There is here, I think, a slight slip, Cates meant this couplet as an illustration of the word 'race' as applied to 'the celestial movement'. The word 'drowsy' only appears by implication Fine as is this interpretation with its image of the onward sweep of night and darkness, there is, to me at least, an insuperable objection, masmuch as the adjective 'drowsy' conveys but one idea, that of slow or sluggish movement, while 'race,' as Cates takes it, can but mean a swift onward rush. The adjective and noun neutralise each other -ED]-C. & M. COWDEN CLARKE The old spelling of eare may very easily have been mistaken by the Folio printer for 'race' There is something so contradictory in the words 'drowsy race' that we cannot believe them to be right, whereas Shakespeare further on has the very expression-'vexing the dull ear of a drowsy man,' [III, 11i, 114], in which passage, moreover, the Folio prints 'ear' with a final e.—Balley (11, 245) may also be placed in the number of those commentators who propose to read ear for 'race', he admits that when writing his note he was unaware that he had been anticipated by Dyce; he also rejects the change one for 'on,' since 'To sound on into the drowsy ear of night' implies continuous action, which is needed if the mind is to be brought into the proper tone desired by King John, while 'for a clock to strike one seems utterly insufficient to produce the required mood '-Rev John Hunter One is the poetical midnight hour. 'The bell then beating one' are the words in which

If this fame were a Church-yard where we stand, And thou possessed with a thousand wrongs:

44 possessèd Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words Dono

Bernardo tells the moment of the appearance of Hamlet's ghost 'On' was often written for one, but 'race' is obviously a corruption, and it seems almost certain that Shakespeare wrote eare -FLEAY Ear [for 'race'] is very plausible, but the old reading being intelligible, I do not disturb it With either reading 'drowsy' logically, though not grammatically, belongs to 'night,' by the usual Shakespearean inversion, and surely the clock striking twelve may be said to strike on into the course or current of the slow night Compare 'The clocks do toll, And the third hour of drowsy morning name, Henry V, Prologue, 16, also 2 Henry VI IV, 1, 5 (by Marlowe), where the jades drag the night with drowsy wings, and Much Ado, V, 111, 27 'The drowsy east' On the other hand, see III, 111, 1114 — WRIGHT It is not improbable that 'race' is a misprint for ear, as Walker suggested, and this would be in keeping with 'tongue' and 'mouth' just before -- MARSHALL It is beside the question to show that, because one was often printed 'on,' and even pronounced so, therefore it is, necessarily, so misprinted in this case. Nor does it follow that because eare, as the Folio prints ear, might casily be mistaken for 'race,' that it was so mistaken here If the sense absolutely required ear, we should not hesitate to adopt it, but is not the sense weakened by such a change? On the other hand, it must be granted that no exactly similar use of 'race' can be found in Shakespeare In Sonnet li, ll 10, 11 we have 'Therefore desire, of perfect'st love being made, Shall neigh-no dull flesh-in his fiery race' But that is the only passage I can find in which 'race' is used at all in the sense of course, and that is not very satisfactory, as one wants the same use of the word as in 'mill-race,' where it signifies a swift stream, and here being qualified by the epithet 'drowsy,' the very paradoxical use of the word would of itself be forcible But it may be that 'race' here means disposition, nature, as in 'But thy vile race, Though thou didst learn, had that in 't which good natures Could not abide to be with '-Temp, I. 11, 358-360 And in 'And now I give my sensual race the rein '-Meas for Meas, II, 1v, 160 Or by 'drowsy race of night' Shakespeare might have meant the sleeping people and animals The first meaning of the word given above, viz course (as of a stream), is decidedly the one to be preferred, in which case we need not take 'into' to mean unto, as most of the commentators do, nor, indeed, if ear be adopted, would any other than the ordinary sense of the preposition be required -MOORE SMITH Nothing satisfactory can be made [of the Folio reading]. It seems best to consider, with Walker, that 'race' was a misprint for eare-DEIGHTON Though 'drowsy' belongs more properly to 'night' than to 'race,' if that reading be retained, it seems to me unlikely that Shakespeare should have closely coupled two words so antagonistic in sense -Miss Porter This line is a marvel of impressionistic feeling Lend yourself to it and you hear, half deaf with slumber while you hear, the midnight bell sound on and fall into the drowsy vast, and streaming course of night. You hate to be disturbed from it to note that recent modern editors, as well as old eighteenth century ones, have been so dull to its fine suggestion as to change 'on' into one, and then been led by their own crazy meddling to wonder if 'into' should be unto, and finally to put out 'race'the master touch-for ear

Or if that furly fplit melancholy
Had bak'd thy bloud, and made it heavy, thicke,
Which elfe runnes tickling vp and downe the veines,
Making that idiot laughter keepe mens eyes,
And ftraine their cheekes to idle merriment,
A passion hatefull to my purposes
Or if that thou couldst see me without eyes,
Heare me without thine eares, and make reply
Without a tongue, vsing conceit alone,
Without eyes, eares, and harmefull sound of words
Then, in despisht of brooded watchfull day,

55

45 Spirit melancholy] Spirit, Melancholly, F4, Rowe et sea

46 heavy, thicke,] heavy-thick Pope, Theob Han Warb Walker. heavy, thick, Cap Var. '78, '85, Mal Rann, Steev. Varr. Ktly.

47-50. Which ...purpofes] Ff, Rowe, +, Cam.+, Dyce n, in, Fle. Neils. In parentheses Cap et cet.

47. tuckling trickling Rowe 1. tingling Coll III (MS)

48. idiot laughter] idiot, laughter, Cap et seq.

48 keepel steap Long MS ap Cam. peep Mason conj Sta conj (withdrawn). peak Bulloch

50 A passion purposes In parentheses Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns
55 brooded watchfull broad-ey'd watchful Pope,+, Cap Var '78, '85, Rann, Coll. 11, 111, Wh 11, Craig the broad watchful Coll MS broodedwatchful Mason conj Del. Dono broad and watchful Mitford conj Del conj proud and watchful Mitford conj Del proud, watchful Herr. brusted watchful Vaughan (withdrawn)

45 surly spirit melancholy] Moberly Heie, as usual, described by Shake-speare with singularly graphic touches. It is physical, he thinks, the result of a dull circulation of the blood, as unlike as possible to the tingling life and vigour of that which marks cheery youth—[Nashe (Terrors of the Night) says 'None of these spirits of the ayre or the fire haue so much predominance in the night as the spirits of the earth and water, for they feeding on foggie-braind melancholly, engender thereof many uncouth terrible monsters. This much observe by the way, that the grossest part of our blood is the melancholy humour, which in the spleene congealed whose office is to disperse it, with his thicke steaming fennie vapours casteth a mist over the spirit, and cleane bemasketh the phantasse. And even as slime and durt in a standing puddle, engender toads and frogs, and many visightly creatures, so this slimie melancholy humor still thickning as it stands still, engendreth many mishapen objects in our imaginations.'—ed Grosart, p. 232—ED.]

46. bak'd thy bloud] F GENTLEMAN (Dram Cens., ii, 161) To us it appears that melancholy is a cold chilling disposition of mind, 'bak'd' furnishes an idea of heat, therefore we would substitute caked, as more consonant to the meaning.

47. tickling . . . veines] Neither Rowe's change nor that of Colher's MS. Corrector seem necessary here; compare Spenser, *Muiopotmos*, 1590 'Who, seeing him, with secret ioy therefore Did tickle inwardly in eueric vaine '—ll 393, 394 —ED

48. keepe mens eyes Moberly. That is, inhabit men's eyes, as a 'keeping room' means a room to live in. [Or, in the old-fashioned phrase, to keep one's bed.—Ep.]

55. brooded watchfull day STEEVENS: Mr Pope's alteration [see Text Notes],

[55 Then, in despight of brooded watchfull day]

however elegant, may be unnecessary All animals while 'brooded,' 1 e, 'with a brood of young ones under their protection,' are remarkably vigilant The King says of Hamlet '-there's something in his soul O'er which his melancholy sits on brood '-[III, 1, 173] In Holland's translation of Pliny's Natural History, a broodse hen is the term for a hen that sits on eggs (ed 1601, p 301) Milton also, L'Allegro, desires Melancholy to 'Find out some uncouth cell Where brooding darkness spreads his jealous wings,' plainly alluding to the watchfulness of fowls while they are sitting -MALONE 'Brooded,' I apprehend, is here used, with our Author's usual license, for brooding, 1 e, day, who is as vigilant, as ready with open eye to mark what is done in his presence, as an animal at brood Shakespeare appears to have been so fond of domestic and familiar images that one cannot help being surprised that Mr Pope, in revising these plays, should have gained so little knowledge of his manner as to suppose any corruption here in the text -[Boswell quotes as parallel usages of the word 'brood' 'See how he broods the boy'—Bonduca, IV, ii (p 66, ed Dyce), and 'This fellow broods his master' -Woman's Prize, I, 1 (p. 104, ed Dyce), but in both of these passages 'broad' clearly means cherishes, guards, and not, as Steevens and Malone interpret, watchful or vigilant—ED [—COLLIER (ed 11) We cannot resist Pope's alteration, broad-eyed—the epithet is so happy and so like Shakespeare The MS corrector saw that 'brooded' must be wrong, and perhaps gives us the custom in his day, converting 'brooded' into the brood 'Brooded' has surely nothing to do with brooding chickens — J MITFORD (Gentleman's Maga, Aug, 1844) This is acknowledged not to be a very satisfactory reading. We have thought that the Poet wrote 'crowded,' with the same meaning as in the former part of the speech-'The proud day . . Is all too wanton and too full of gauds' Pope's emendation of 'broad-eyed' is elegant, and in the same play we have 'wall-eyed' and 'eyeless night,' yet we should prefer reading, 'Then in despite of broad and watchful day '-HALLIWEIL quotes Cotgrave Accouré Brooded, set close on, [crowded over, also, covered, hidden, overshadowed] He also notes that broody is the MS correction 'of one of the old annotated Folios'-Rev. JOHN HUNTER 'Brooded' means having a brood, or brooding, day being regarded as having a watchful eye, like that of a brooding bird -MOBERLY considers that to change a word so palpably in Shakespeare's manner as 'brooded' is 'quite unallowable' He compares, for this construction, 'the ravined salt-sea shark', 'the jeering and disdained contempt,' wherein 'ravined' means 'full of ravin,' and 'disdained,' 'full of disdain,' so 'brooded' must be 'full of brooding'-Wright 'Brooded' is an instance of an adjective formed from a substantive by means of the participial suffix -ed. It is derived from the substantive 'brood' and not from the verb, and signifies having a brood to watch over, and it is, therefore, almost equivalent to brooding or sitting on brood . . Compare. 'Thus ornament is but the guiled shore To a most dangerous sea,' Mer of Ven, III, 11, 97, 'guiled' signifying full of guile, deceiful. So also 'Unto the weary and all-watched night -Henry V: IV, prol, 1. 38. [Wright also quotes the passage containing the words 'disdained contempt,' I Henry IV: I, iii, 183, given by Moberly.-For other examples see, if needful, Abbott, § 374.—ED]-Moore Smith Day (i. e, the sun) is thought of as looking down on the world with the watchfulness of a brooding parent-bird The word 'brooded' is not part of a verb, but an adjective formed by adding the suffix -ed to the noun 'broad.' So 'broaded' is equivalent to posI would into thy bosome poure my thoughts

But (ah) I will not, yet I loue thee well,

And by my troth I thinke thou lou'st me well.

Hub So well, that what you bid me vndertake,
Though that my death were adjunct to my Act,

60
By heauen I would doe it

Iohn Doe not I know thou wouldst?
Good Hubert, Hubert, Hubert throw thine eye
On you young boy Ile tell thee what my friend,

56 thoughts] thoughts Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Dono Neils

57 But (ah)] But, ah, Rowe, Cap Var '78, 85, Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce ii, Cam +, Huds ii, Words Neils But ah, Pope,+, Sta Fle But ah! Coll Wh ii, Ktly, Huds Del Craig

not, yet] Ff, Rowe 1 not' yet Dyce, Hal. Cam +, Huds 11, Words Neils not Yet Ktly not—yet Rowe 11 et cet 60 Though] Tho' Theob Warb Johns Var '73

64

61 heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+ (-Var. '73)

I would doe ut I'd do Pope I' do 't Theob Han Warb Johns Steev. Varr Sing Dyce ii, iii, Fle Huds ii, Words

64 yon] yond' Coll Sing 11, Huds boy] boy Ktly, Del Dono Neils. what] what, F₄

sessed of a brood, as landed gentry are gentry possessed of land—Ivor John-Even though 'brooded' be equivalent to brooding, as Wright points out, it does not seem an apt epithet for 'day' in this connection. Cotgrave's [definition of Accouvé, brooded, etc., see foregoing note by Halliwell], vouching for the form of the word in -ed, but proving the mapplicability of the meaning. The day cannot be proud, wanton and full of gawds, attended with the pleasures of the world, watchful and at the same time brooded. Perhaps the Mason-Delius reading is the least objectionable, taking 'brooded' to be an epithet applied to 'watchful,' the day being as watchful as a sitting bird, but even this is far from satisfactory.

63 Hubert, Hubert, Hubert] Moberly. Passionately, John pretending that Hubert's loyal profession had wrung from him a secret which he had been firmly resolved against disclosing, but could hold in no longer—[Moberly is, perhaps, right, but dramatically would it not be more effective to make a slight hesitating pause, accompanied by a furtive glance at Arthur, between each repetition of the name? Moore Smith notes (Introd., p. xlin.) that H Beerbohm Tree, when he produced the play in 1899, introduced a good deal of new 'business' in this scene, and just before this line, 'Arthur innocently picked up the crown from the ground and put it on his head '—ED]

64 He tell thee what, ete Boaden (Life of Kemble, 1, 133) In King John the critics said Kemble was too artificial and too cold. In the great scene with Hubert they found him too solemn and monotonous. The most cold-blooded, hesitating, creeping villainy that ever abused the gift of speech found in Mr Kemble the only powers competent to give it utterance. And if I were to select a scene, in the whole compass of the drama, more appropriated to him than any other, I should, I think, fix upon this noiseless horror, this muttered suggestion of slaughterous thought, on which the midnight bell alone was fitted to break, by one solitary undulating sound, that added to the gloom.

Ktly, Huds Walker, Dyce 11, 111, Cam +, Huds 11, Words Neils 71-75 Death Enough] One line Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll. Dyce 1, Hal Wh 1, Ktly, Sta Huds 1, Del Craig. 71 Death | Death! Var '73

72 My Lord | Ff, Del My Lord?

Rowe et cet

78, 79 Remember Ile send] One line 78 Remember] Ff, Rowe 1 Remember - Rowe 11,+ (-Var '73) member — Cap et cet well well [Returning to the

Queen Pope,+ 79. powers] pow'rs Pope. + (-Var '73). o'rel over Fle

65. serpent in my way] CARTER (p 210) compares 'Dan shall be a serpent by the way, an adder by the path, byting the horse heeles, so that his rider shall fall backward '-Genesis, xlix, 17 (Genevan Vers)

66 this foot of mine] Compare, for this construction, III, 1, 235, or see Abbott,

77 He not say] Moberly What he intended was doubtless, in reality, to make Hubert do the crime, and then bear the blame when the act was questioned

78. Remember] VISCHER (Vorträge, 1v, 37) This is a scene wherein we recognize Shakespeare completely If at times a doubt of the genuineness of this play arises, here it must be silenced. Here, for the first time, Shakespeare develops that secret power, peculiar to him alone, the faculty of giving the spirit of murder with its whisper and veiled words, the instigation to murder, whose fearful character becomes the more fearful through this very quietness. Moreover, the symbolism, 'If this were a churchyard,' and calling laughter 'an idiot,' is thoroughly Shakespearean.

Ele. My bleffing goe with thee Iohn For England Cosen, goe.

80

Hubert shall be your man, attend on you With al true duetie On toward Callice, hoa.

Exeunt. 84

[Scene IV] Scæna Tertia.

Enter France, Dolphin, Pandulpho, Attendants.

2

Fra So by a roaring Tempest on the flood, A whole Armado of connected saile

4

80 thee] thee' Theob et seq 81 goe] Om Steev Var '03, '13, Sing. 1, Words

82 attend] to attend F₃F₄, Rowe t' attend Pope, + (-Var '73), Dyce 11, i11, Huds 11, Words to tend Coll 111.

83 all F_x

duetre | duty — Cap et seq Callice | Ff, Rowe 1, Ktly Calars

Rowe 11. et cet

hoa | ho! Theob et seq

I Scæna Tertia] Ff Scene III Rowe. Scene v Pope Scene vi. Han Warb Johns Scene II Dono Scene IV Cap et cet

The French Court Theob.+, Cap. Var '78, '85, Rann The French

King's Tent Mal et seq

² France, Dolphin, King Philip, Lewis, Rowe et seq

Pandulpho, Pandupho, Ff Pandulph, Cap et seq

3-5 Fra So fellowship] Om Dono.
4 Armado] Armada Johns Var '73.
committed] collected Pope, +, Cap.
Var '78, '85, Rann convented Mason,
Sing 11, Coll 11, 111 (MS), Dyce ii, 111,
Huds 11, Words. conflicted Ktly. consorted Id conj connected Mal conj
(withdrawn), Del convected Dyce
conj Fle R M Spence (N & Q, April,
1894) convoyed Cartwright convexed Bulloch compacted Vaughan.
combined Spedding conjointed Orger

- 81 For England MALONE King John, after he had taken Arthur prisoner, sent him to the town of Falaise, in Normandy, under the care of Hubert, his Chamberlain; from whence he was removed to Rouen, and delivered to the custody of Robert de Veypont. Here he was secretly put to death
- 3. a roaring Tempest] GREY (i, 289) Shakespeare does not allude to any tempest that then happened, but to the defeat of the French fleet (prepared to invade the dominions of the Earl of Flanders) in the Scheld, by the Earl of Salisbury, brother to King John, in the year 1213. In which 300 ships, laden with provisions, arms, and other valuable things, were taken, and above 100 more sunk, and burnt, and the rest destroyed by their own hands for fear of being taken by the enemy. Which put an end to King Philip's purpose of invading England.
- 4 A whole Armadol Warburton This similitude, as little as it makes for the purpose in hand, was, I do not question, a very taking one when the play was first represented, which was a winter or two at most after the Spanish invasion in 1588. It was in reference likewise to that glorious period that Shakespeare concludes his play in that triumphant manner 'This England never did, nor never shall Lie at the proud foot of a conqueror.' But the whole play abounds with touches relative to the then posture of affairs.—Johnson This play, as far as I

Is fcattered and dif-ioyn'd from fellowship. 5 Courage and comfort, all shall yet goe well Fra What can goe well, when we have runne fo ill? Are we not beaten? Is not Anguers lost? 8

5 dis-royned disroyned Ff. disjoin'd 6 comfort,] comfort! Cap et seq Rowe et seq

can discover, was not played till a long time after the defeat of the armado old play, I think, wants this simile The commentator should not have affirmed what he can only guess -PYE (p 145) As a common observer of what passes every day, Johnson should have known that after eight years (for Malone gives this play as written in 1596) that event could not have become uninteresting, which is now highly interesting after the lapse of more than two centuries -- Steevens 'Armado' is a Spanish word signifying a fleet of war The armado in 1588 was called so by way of distinction [Compare Burton, Anat of Melan, 'Better a metropolitan city were sackt, a royal army overcome, an invincible armado sunk,

then her little finger should ake '-Pt, 3, Sec 2, Mem 4, Subsec 1-ED]

4 conuicted] MALONE That is, overpowered, baffled, destroyed To 'convict' and to convince were in our Author's time synonymous See Minsheu's Dictionary, 1617 'To convict, or convince, à Lat convictus, overcome' Also Florio's World of Words, 1598 Convitto Vanquished, convicted, convinced' [MURRAY (N E D, s v vb 7) quotes the present line as the earliest example of this use of 'convicted'—ED]—J MONCK MASON (Comments, ed 1807, p 553) proposes convented in preference to the Folio reading, since, although 'convicted' may mean vanquished, that was not the fate of this particular armado [To Dyce (ed 11) I am indebted for calling attention to this note which does not appear in any other edition of Mason's Comments Neither Collier, whose MS Corrector reads convented, nor Singer, who so reads in his ed ii, refer to Mason Collier, after lauding the reading, says 'There is no need, therefore, to strain after a meaning for "convicted," if, as we are assured, it was not the word of the Poet '-Singer, without referring to Collier's MS Corrector, rejects the Folio reading on the ground that, 'convicted,' signifying vanquished, overcome, 'is a very unusual meaning, even would it serve the purpose' Of Dyce's conjecture, convected, he remarks, 'it is doubtful if such a word existed,' wherein he is quite borne out by the N E D In support of the reading convented, Singer quotes Coriol 'We are convented upon a pleasing treaty '-II, 11, 59 -ED]-R. G WHITE See Cooper's Thesaurus, 1573, 'Convictus, vanguished, overcome; convicted' The manifest allusion to the fate of the Spanish Armada, which was convicted or conquered quite as much by tempest as by its English enemy, sustains the old text reading of Collier's Folio is appropriate and plausible, but nothing more [In his earlier work, Shakespeare's Scholar, p 302, White characterises Collier's MS correction as 'doubtless the right word '-ED |-DYCE (ed. 11) characterises the Folio reading, 'though it formerly meant vanquished, overpowered,' as here 'utterly improper.'-C & M COWDEN CLARKE We have an impression that convicted may be used here by Shakespeare to express condemned, doomed to perdition .-WRIGHT That is, beaten, discomfited. The reference is probably to the great Spanish Armada, which after being harassed and beaten by the English fleet was dispersed by a violent storm.

Arthur tane prisoner? divers deere friends flaine?	
And bloudy England into England gone,	10
Ore-bearing interruption fpight of France?	
Dol. What he hath won, that hath he fortified	
So hot a fpeed, with fuch aduice dispos'd,	
Such temperate order in so fierce a cause,	
Doth want example who hath read, or heard	15
Of any kindred-action like to this?	
Fra Well could I beare that Englandhad this praise,	
So we could finde fome patterne of our shame	
Enter Constance	
Looke who comes heere? a graue vnto a foule,	20
Holding th'eternall spirit against her will,	
In the vilde prison of afflicted breath	22
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

o prisoner] prisoner Pope,+(—Var
'73)

12-18 Dol What shame] Om
Dono

14 temperate] temp'rate Pope,+
(—Var '73)

cause] course Warb Han Johns
Cap Var '73, Sta Dyce 11, 111, Huds
11, Words

15 example] example Coll Wh 1,

Sta Del Fle Neils

16 kindred-action] kindred action
Theob et seq

18 shame] shame Rowe et seq

19 Enter Constance] Enter Lady
Constance, her Hair dishevel'd Cap
After 1 22 Dyce, Huds 11, Words
After 1 21 Sta
20 Looke] Look! Sing 11, Huds
21 th'eternall] Ff, Rowe, +, Coll 11,
Wh Fle the eternal Cap et cet
[pirit] sprite Fle
against] 'gainst Pope, + (—Var
'73)
22 vilde] vild Fle vile Ff et cet
breath] breath Var '73, Coll Hal
Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Cam +, Del Dono

r4 cause] Warburton in making his change interprets course as here meaning march—Capell, while accepting as self-evident the change, takes exception to this interpretation since the 'obvious sense of it is—a course in lists, a knight's course, putting it figuratively We had the same metaphor higher in a line of King Philip's, I 7'—Steevens [Warburton's] change is needless A 'fierce cause' is a cause conducted with precipitation 'Fierce wretchedness,' in Timon, IV, ii, 30, is hasty, sudden misery—Delius also considers Warburton's change unnecessary, since it is the very temperateness of the order and the matter in hand which carry all before them in their fierce onrush

Neils Craig

18 So we . our shame] MOBERLY That is, If there could be found any precedent for shame like ours, if it were not far worse than anything in our fathers' days

20-22 a graue vnto a soule. . afflicted breath] FARMER: I think we should read 'afflicted earth' The passage seems to have been copied from Sir Thomas More 'If the body be to the soule a prison, how strait a prison maketh he the body, that stuffeth it with riff-raff, that the soule can have no room to stirre itself—but is, as it were, enclosed not in a prison, but in a grave'—MALONE There is surely no need of change 'The vile prison of afflicted breath' is the body, the prison in which the distressed soul is confined. We have the same image in

I prethee Lady goe away with me.

Con. Lo; now now fee the iffue of your peace.

24 Lo, now] Ff Lo, now, Rowe Lo now, Pope, Han Lo, now, Theob Warb Johns Var '73, Huds 1 Lo now, Coll Del. Dono Lo, now! Cap et cet

24 now fee] I see Mrs Siddons (Campbell 1, 221). you see Marshall conj

3 Henry VI 'Now my soul's palace is become her prison,'-[II, 1, 74]. Again, more appositely, in Lucrece 'That blow did bail it [the soul] from the deep unrest Of that polluted prison where it breath'd '-[1 1726] Again, in Sir John Davies, Nosce Terpsum 'Yet in the body's prison so she lies. As through the body's windows she must look '-[ed Arber, p 151] -STEEVENS Perhaps the old reading is justifiable So, in Meas for Meas 'To be imprison'd in the viewless winds'-[III, 1, 124] - J MONCK MASON It appears from the amendment proposed by Farmer, and by the quotation adduced by Steevens in support of the old reading, that they both consider this passage in the same light, and suppose that King Philip intended to say 'that breath was the prison of the soul', but I think they have mistaken the sense of it, and that by 'the vile prison of afflicted breath' he means the same vile prison in which the breath is confined, that is, the body King John says to Hubert, speaking of what passed in his own mind, 'Nay, in the body of this fleshly land. This kingdom, this confine of blood and breath,' etc., IV, 11, 255 And Hubert says, in the following scene 'If I . Be guilty of the stealing that sweet breath Which was embounded in this beauteous clay,' IV, 111, 145 It is evident that in this last passage the breath is considered as embounded in the body, but I will not venture to assert that the same inference may, with equal certainty, be drawn from the former -Anders (p 274) what Plato says, in his Cratylus, 400 'For some say that the body is the grave of the soul which may be thought to be buried in our present life, or again the index of the soul, because the soul gives indications to the body, probably the Orphic poets were the inventors of the name and they were under the impression that the soul is suffering the punishment of sin, and that the body is an enclosure or prison in which the soul is incarcerated, kept safe, as the name σῶμα implies, until the penalty is paid '-- ('The thought,' adds Anders, 'had no doubt become a commonplace '-That it was at least common is clearly shown by BAYLEY, who, under the heading Classicisms, p 181, has collected fourteen examples, including the present passage, wherein this thought in varying phrases occurs, and 'These views were very contrary to the theology of the time, and even of current creeds'-As regards the 'prison of afflicted breath,' Mason's interpretation is also that of the present ED]-VAUGHAN (1, 50) raises an objection to Malone's and Mason's explanation, since 'the prisoner here is not simply "the soul," but the spirit, and therefore that the breath of the mortal being might not inaptly be described as the prison of that "spirit" As to "afflicted breath" it is best explained by "Absent thee from felicity awhile And in this harsh world draw thy breath in pain "-Hamlet, V, ii, 358.'- [Is not 'eternal spirit' merely a synonym for the soul? The next words, 'against her will,' seem to show this; 'soul' is always feminine Compare the quotation from Davies, ante.—ED.]

24. the issue of your peace] BUCKNILL (Mad Folk, etc., p. 279): Constance taunts King Philip with his and her own calamities as the result of his peace,

Fra Patience good Lady, comfort gentle Constance.

Con. No, I defie all Counsell, all redresse,
But that which ends all counsell, true Redresse
Death, death, O amiable, louely death,
Thou odoriferous stench. found rottennesse,
Arise forth from the couch of lasting night,
Thou hate and terror to prosperitie,
And I will kiffe thy detestable bones,

32

25 Lady, comfort] Ff Lady; comfort, Rowe,+, Coll Wh i, Dono. Lady! comfort, Cap et cet.

26 all] and Warb Johns.

28 Death, death,] Death; death, Pope, Han Death, death; Theob +, Cam. Glo Cla Wh 11 Death, Death! Var. '73, Hal Death, death — Var '78, '85, Mal Rann, Steev. Varr. Sing Dyce, Words Death, death — Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Del Rife, Dono Neils. Craig

louely death,] lovely death! Pope et seq

29 Thou .. rottennessel Om. Pope,

Han.

29 stench rottennesse, stenchl. .rottenness! Cap. et seq

30 forth from from forth Coll. MS.

the thy Pope, + (-Var. '73).

this Grey

32 detestable bones, detestable bones; Rowe, Pope, Theob. Warb. Johns. Cap bones detestable, Han détestable bones, Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce, Fle. Huds 11, Words detestable bones Cam Glo Cla Wh 11, Neils.

32-35. bones, . browes, . wormes, .. duft, bones brows wormes dust Cam. +, Neils

whereas they were, in reality, the issue of her war. This is the only point on which her quick intellect ever trips. She shows no signs of bending, though her spirit is wounded unto death. Her invincible pride rejects all comfort, all solace. The charnel-house ideas of her invocation to death is poetic delirium, the frenzy of imagination; Juliet's imagination, embracing the same ideas, is feeble and prosaic compared with this horror.

- 26. defie] MURRAY (N E. D, s v. vb^1 5). To set at nought, to reject, renounce, despise, disdain, revolt at [SCHMIDT (Lex, s v vb 2) furnishes many examples of this use of the word l
- 28 O amiable, louely death] Rose (New Sh Soc. Trans, 1880, p 18) One is apt to take Constance as a passionate, single-minded woman, and much of the expression of her grief might be held to be merely conventional. Such lines as 28 and 29, of course, remind one at once of Juliet's rhetoric. But if we continue the scene, and examine particularly the famous lines, 'Grief fills the room up of my absent child, Lies in his bed, walks up and down with me,' we shall find that Constance's intellect is keenly analysing herself, that, intense as her sorrow is, she thinks about it quite as much as she feels, and that there is little danger of its breaking the o'erfraught heart, as does the speechless grief of more massive characters.
- 29 Thou . . . rottennesse] IVOR JOHN The man who could pen certain passages in The Dunciod rejected this line!
- 31 hate and terror to prosperitie] Carter (p. 210) quotes as a probable source of this. 'O death, how bitter is the remembrance of thee to a man that hveth at rest in his possessions, unto the man that hath nothing to vex hum, and that hath prosperite in all things.'—Ecclesiastes, xli, 1 (Genevan Vers.).

36 thy felfe,] thy self Rowe, Neils.
37 me,] me! Ktly
fmi!'fl] smilest Cam Glo Cla.
38 buffe] kiss Pope,+ (—Var '73)
wife] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Fle
wife Coll ii, Ktly, Cam Glo Cla
Wh ii, Neils wife! Var '73 et cet
Miferies Loue,] Misery's love!
Rowe ii thou love of Misery! Pope
39 O. me] Ff, Rowe, Pope O. me!

Theob Han. Warb Johns. Ol .me.
Craig. O, mel Cap et cet
40 peace] peace! Coll et seq
41 cry] cry Ktly, Neils
42 O] Ol Coll Huds Craig
mouth,] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Coll
mouth! Cap et cet.
43 a passion what passion Coll MS.
would I] I would Ff, Rowe,+

35. fulsome] Bradley (N. E. D., s. v. 5) Offensive to the senses generally; physically disgusting, foul, or loathsome [The present line quoted]

38 bussel Capell (I, pt ii, p. 131), objecting to the 'delicacy' of the former editors in changing 'buss' to kiss, says "Buss" is a picked word, purposely chosen to suit the thing she would kiss, and to paint the greediness with which she would do it '—Steevens, while not decrying the delicacy of the former editors in rejecting this 'vulgar' word, quotes, in proof of its former usage in no such sense, a passage from Drayton's Barons' Wars and from Faerie Queene, also from Stanyhurst's translation of Virgil To this last Douce (1, 403), very properly, takes exception, since 'The singular vulgarity of Stanyhurst's language cannot with propriety be used to exemplify the undegraded use of any word whatever "—Wright agrees with Capell that as "buss" is used of coarse and wanton kissing, it is in keeping with the rest of Constance's exaggerated and hysterical language'; as an excellent example of the difference between 'buss' and kiss, Wright quotes 'Yond towers, whose wanton tops do buss the clouds, Must kiss their own feet.'—Tro. & Cress., IV, v. 220.

38 Miseries] WRIGHT The accent on this word is the same as in 'From which lingering penance Of such misery doth she cut me off.'—Mer. of Ven, IV. i. 272.

38. Miseries Loue] MALONE Thou, death, who art courted by misery to come to his relief, O come to me. So before: 'Thou hate and terror to prosperity.'

Which fcornes a moderne Inuocation Pand. Lady, you vtter madnesse, and not forrow 46

46 Which] And Pope, + (-Var '73)

modernel modest Rowe ii, Pope,
Huds ii. widows Coll. ii (MS).

46. Which.. Inuocation] CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note XX) Mr Lloyd writes to us with reference to this speech of Constance 'I think the two last lines are a first and second draught, the latter intended to replace the former, and both printed together by mistake'

46 modernel Bradley (N E D, s v 4) Every-day, ordinary, commonplace The present line quoted, also 'Full of wise saws and modern instances'-As You Like It, II, vii, 156]-Knight remarks that if 'modern' be retained in the text, its only meaning must be trite, common 'But,' he adds, 'the sentence is weak, and a slight change would make it powerful We may read "a mother's invocation" with little violence to the text, moder's (the old spelling) might have been easily mistaken for "modern"-Hudson, in reference to Knight's change, says. 'It must be owned that "modern" seems very tame, and that mother's lifts the verse into poetry at once, nevertheless the change seems scarcely admissible '-[Hudson admits it, however, to the text of his ed ii]-DYCE (Remarks, p 93) Mr Knight's alteration is one of the rashest ever attempted by an editor He had apparently forgotten the following passage in Romeo & Juliet, 'Why follow'd not, when she said-Tybalt's dead, Thy father, or thy mother, nay, or both, Which modern lamentation might have mov'd?'-III, 11, 118 -[Heath should take his proper share, Dyce's condemnation is too much for one editor See Text Notes ED]-COLLIER (Notes, etc., p 206), in justification of the MS Corrector's reading, says 'When we bear in mind that m and w were often mistaken by the old compositors in this volume, the misprint [widow's] will not be thought so extraordinary Such an emendation could hardly have had its source in the fancy, or even the ingenuity, of the old corrector '-R. G. White Heath, who suggested 'a mother's invocation,' and Collier's MS Corrector, who reads 'widow's invocation,' forgot that Constance calls on impartial Death, who, although he might be represented as deaf to a feeble call or to gentle tones, would listen to a mother or a widow as quickly as to a maiden or a wife, and answer 'aequo pede'-Neither the Heath-Knight suggestion nor Colher's MS correction is, to me, quite satisfactory Even Lloyd's surmise as regards the whole line, plausible as it may at first appear, does not quite carry conviction In each case the verb 'scorns' is taken as referring to Death, but does it not refer to the 'feeble voice' to which it is directly joined by the relative? Constance has just before begged that her 'tongue were in the thunder's mouth,' and now refers to her voice as feeble and scorning anything commonplace or ordinary It hardly seems Shakespearean to make Constance exclaim that the 'fell Anatomy,' Death, will not listen to, or be moved by, an ordinary invocation -Ep.]

47. Lady, you vtter. . sorrow] BROOKE (p 233) Not a ray of pity for the fate of the child crosses the mind of the Churchman. There is nothing in his mind but the supremacy of Rome. . . . He is just as dead to all human suffering when he hears Constance crying out her woe for her lost son Philip is sorry for her. Pandulph is as hard as a stone Not only public morality, but the tenderest ties of humanity, are thus represented as despised by the Church, when her interests are endangered Fancy how Englishmen followed all this—men who had

art not holy F4 et cet

48

Con. Thou art holy to belye me fo, I am not mad. this haire I tears is mine,

48 art holy] F2F3 art unholy Var

'73, '78, '85, Rann Sta Huds 11, Dono

49 mad] mad,— Var '85 mad Neils

heard of the pitiless massacre of St Bartholomew's Day, of the cruelties of Spain in the Low Countries, of the blessing the Pope had given to the ravishing soldiery of the Armada, of the treacherous work in England No play of Shakespeare appealed more strongly than this to the national heart and honour, and the national wrath with Rome As I read it, I seem to hear Shakespeare's own passion beating in its verse It may even be that it was owing to his sympathy with England's wrath with Roman pretension and treachery, that he chose in the case of this play not to follow the Chronicles, but to adopt as his source a play in which the facts of history could be manipulated as he pleased. He had thus a free hand so to modify and change events that they should be used to express his opinions and those of his hearers on the questions of his own day. Some explanation at least resembling this must be given of his reckless, apparently unnecessary violation of historical fact -Bowden (p 127) The old play makes Pandulph a hypocrite and a Macchiavellian simply because he is a Catholic prelate. In Shakespeare he appears as an experienced, far-sighted statesman, but also as a ghostly father, full of sympathy for the afflicted He grieves for Arthur's capture and pities Constance, whose maternal, beautiful, and pathetic appeal proves that she saw in him a spiritual consoler, and not a mere cold-hearted, calculating politician

'And, Father Cardinal, I have heard you say That we shall see and know our friends in Heaven' [This is not, at least avowedly, an answer to Brooke, but is an inde-

pendent estimate of the character of Shakespeare's Pandulph -ED.]

49 I am not mad] Wordsworth (Sh's Knowledge & Use of Bible, D 321) The striking sublimity with which Paul, when brought before Festus, replied to the Governor's exclamation that 'he was beside himself,' by the simple denial, 'I am not mad, O noble Festus,' Acts, xxvi, 25, was not likely to be lost upon our Poet's imagination. In both Hamlet, III, iv, 139, and King John it is copied with good effect —Bucknill (Mad Folk, etc., p 280) [Pandulph's accusation] rouses that eloquent defence of her reason, in which she repeats the test of madness which Lear applies to himself, the recognition of personal identity, and in which she expresses the same idea of madness as a refuge from sorrow, which Gloucester does Angrily as Constance rejects the idea of madness, yet she is mad, the very type of acute reasoning mania. In real life the intellect would scarcely be so consistent and consecutive in its operations; but in real life neither sane nor insane people talk blank verse, and express even their deepest emotions in the magnificent imagery which great poet's use. The raving of maniacal frenzy, in which the emotions are exclusively involved, would be represented by short and broken sentences, in which every link in the idea-chain would not be expressed, and which would therefore represent, more or less, the features of incoherence The Poet fills up these chasms in the sense, and clothes the whole in the glowing language of excited intellectual power, and thus we have in Constance the representation of a frenzied woman, speaking with more arrangement of ideas than frenzy really permits --von Friesen (ii, 202) In this passage antithesis is so frequent, and phantasy shows in so high a strain the voluntary abandonment to grief, that it

My name is Constance, I was Geffreyes wife, Yong Arthur is my sonne, and he is lost.	50
I am not mad, I would to heaven I were,	
For then 'tis like I should forget my selfe:	
O, if I could, what griefe should I forget?	
Preach fome Philosophy to make me mad,	55
And thou shalt be Canoniz'd (Cardinall.)	
For, being not mad, but fensible of greefe,	
My reasonable part produces reason	
How I may be deliuer'd of these woes,	
And teaches mee to kill or hang my felfe:	60
If I were mad, I should forget my sonne,	
Or madly thinke a babe of clowts were he;	
I am not mad too well, too well I feele	
The different plague of each calamitie.	
Fra. Binde vp those tresses: O what loue I note	65
In the faire multitude of those her haires,	

50. Geffreyes] Geffrey's F₄
51 loft.] lost! Pope, +, Wh i, Huds
i, Del Rlfe, Dono. Craig lost. Sta.
Ktly, Fle Neils
52. mad,] mad,— Cap et seq
were,] were! Theob. et seq
53. my felfe] my self Rowe, + (—
Var '73)
55-62 In margin Pope, Han
56. And thou (Cardinall)] And Cardinal thou Pope, Han Dono
Canoniz'd] canôniz'd Dyce, Fle
Huds in, Words canôniz'd Dono.
(Cardinall)] Ff. cardinal, Rowe,
Cap. Hal Wh. i, Huds Cam +, Del

Words Neils. Craig. cardinal Theob et cet

60 my felfe] my self, Rowe,+, Ktly 62 he,] he Coll Sta Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Del Fle Rlfe, Dono Neils. Craig

64 different] diff'rent Theob Warb. Johns

65-80 Binde vp a prisoner In margin Pope, Han

65 treffes Of tresses Of Coll Ktly, Cam Glo Cla Wh 11, Del Huds 11, Dono Neils Craig tresses—O, Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Rife, Words

66 haires; hairs! Cap et seq.

would hardly surprise me did certain critics bring upon it the charge of overlading. Nevertheless, I think, that for the most part it may be justified, since it makes the most lifelike impression of that frenzy in which, we later learn, Constance dies. Above all, it is not in the placing together of contradictions in the most fantastic manner, but rather in her utter denial of the accusation that she is mad, wherein lie the most sharply marked symptoms of maniacal frenzy. I do not assert that the self-destroying passion of Constance has to serve as a motive through the necessity of a tragic fate for herself and her son. We can assuredly say. This woman must have compassed her own and Prince Arthur's downfall in the maintainance of the rights of her son, if she had not been left faithless by France.

65. Binde up those tresses JOENSON It was necessary that Constance should be interrupted, because a passion so violent cannot be borne long. I wish the other speeches had been equally happy, but they only serve to show how difficult it is to maintain the pathetic long.

67

Where but by chance a filuer drop hath falne,
Euen to that drop ten thousand wiery fiends
Doe glew themselues in sociable griefe,
Like true, inseparable, faithfull loues,
Sticking together in calamitie.

70

Con. To England, if you will.

72

67 hath] had Orger
falne] fall'n Rowe,+, Sta Dyce
in, in, Huds in fallen Cap et cet
68 Euen] Ev'n Pope,+ (-Var '73),
Fle

68 fiends] friends Rowe 11 et seq 70 loues] lovers Coll 11 (MS) 72 will] will — Theob + will [Giving some of her hairs to the wind Rann

68 wiery] Henley In The Instructions to the Commissioners for the Choice of a Wife for Prince Arthur it is directed 'to note the eye-browes' of the young Queen of Naples (who, after the death of Arthur, was married to Henry VIII and divorced by him for the sake of Anne Bullen) They answer 'Her browes are of a browne heare, very small, like a wyre of heare' Thus also Gascoigne 'First for hir head, the heeres were not of gold, But of some other metall farre more fine, Whereof eache crinet seemed to behold, Like glistring wiers against the sunne that shine ' -[Dan Bartholomew of Bathe, ed Cunliffe, 11, p 07 Compare also 'And round about the same her yellow heare, Having through stirring loosd their wonted band, Like to a golden border did appeare, Framed in goldsmithes forge with cunning hand Yet goldsmithes cunning could not understand To frame such subtile wire, so shinie cleare, For it did glister like the golden sand '-Spenser, Faerie Queene, IV, v1, 20. Again, Ibid, Epithalamion. 'Her long loose yellow locks lyke golden wyre,' l 154, and for several other examples see, if needful, Todd's note on this last passage in his edition of Spenser, vol viii, p 195 -ED]-PYE (p 145), in reference to the foregoing note by Henley, says 'This is the kind of note there is no reading with patience First the fact is false it was Catherine of Arragon and not the Queen of Naples to whom Arthur and Henry VIII were successively married Henry VII wanted himself to marry the young Queen of Naples after Catherine was wedded to his son, as the very document quoted by the critic might have shown him, for it commences "First aftre the presentation and delyverance of suche lettres as they shall have with them to be delyvred to the said quenes from the Ladie Catheryn, Princesse of Wales," &c To this must be added the gross blunder of confounding the single hair which was often compared by the poets of that age to a golden wire, with that wiry form of the eye-brow, which, together with red locks and high forehead, was esteemed beautiful by our barbarian

68 fiends] Miss Porter The writhing of hair that is dishevelled, its responsiveness to the wearer's woful gestures, and the association with the snake-locks that coiled in anguish around the heads of the Furies are behind the picture here of these 'wiery fiends' They were thickened together, tear-glued, making the disarray of grief more noticeable. The change to friends has long held the text, but it lays too much stress on the sticking together part of the imagery Fiends can stick together as well as 'friends,' and much more appropriately in this case.

72. To England, if you will] CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 131). This is spoken tearing some of her hair, and giving it to the winds But why bear it to England? that John might seize on it, as he had on her son, and wreak his spite upon both. The

[72 Con To England, if you will]

'tearing' and the 'liberty,' too, that she talks of soon after, preceded her entry, as may be gathered from 1 65 [That Constance here apostrophizes her hair, which she madly tears from its bonds, is likewise the view of both Staunton and Moberly |-Malone Neither the French king nor Pandulph has said a word of England since the entry of Constance Perhaps, therefore, in despair, she means to address the absent King John 'Take my son to England, if you will; now that he is in your power, I have no prospect of seeing him again. It is, therefore, of no consequence to me where he is '-Delius Her thoughts direct themselves whither her son has been taken, and in the summons to put her hair in order she recognises a summons to hold herself ready for a journey -C & M. COWDEN CLARKE To our minds this is one of those incoherent but wanderinglyconnected speeches which persons in Constance's condition of mind (and even people who are only absent of mind) will frequently make To our thinking, these words of hers are, in fact, a reply to what King Philip says on her entrance -'I prythee, lady, go away with me' At the time of their utterance her thoughts are too much engrossed to notice them, but afterwards—with that curious operation of the memory's ear which gives the echo of a speech addressed to an absentminded person many minutes subsequent to its sound—they recur to her, and she answers them with apparent irrelevancy. This seems to be indicated by King Philip's repeating his former words by way of rejoinder—'Bind up your hairs', as if he would recall her to the point now at issue It appears to us that this interpretation of her speech adds another point of characteristic delineation to the many admirable touches with which the Poet has drawn a mind bordering on frenzy in this powerfully affecting scene [That these words are in answer to Philip's first greeting is likewise Marshall's interpretation—ED]—Rev John HUNTER. I take the sense to be—I will to England, if you will allow me —FLEAY An answer to Philip's speech 'Say this fine speech about faithful love, etc , to England, that is, to John' Compare Rich II II, ii, 70 'My answer is to Lancaster' (is only given to the name of Lancaster) -WRIGHT Constance here replies to Philip's invitation in 1 23 Possibly Il 24-72 may have been added to the 'original draft of the play, or Constance, after the first outburst of her distraction, relapses into apathy and gives herself up to Philip's guidance —W W LLOYD (N. & Q, 1886; VII, 11, 84) takes exception to the foregoing interpretation by Wright, and in particular to the tentative suggestion that Il. 24-72 are an addition, since these 'include reference to the action, "this hair I tear is mine," which is indispensable to explain both Philip's injunction and her reply ' 'In any case,' continues Lloyd, 'Constance was not so distracted that she could construe the French king's words as an invitation to "go away with" him "to England" As Shakespeare did not write nonsense, the text must be corrupt, whether we are able to restore it or not. The case does not appear to me to be desperate. In the response of Constance, "Yes, that I will," I recognise an echo to the words "if you will," now wrongly assigned to herself, and which consequently and naturally are to be given to King Philip The problem, then, is narrowed to divining the phrase which reader or typographer was guilty of transforming into "To England." Several plausible readings occur to me, but I give to this the palm of highest probability: "Lake true, inseparable, faithful loves, Sticking together in calamity. [to To end—an if you will bind up your hairs. Const Yes, that I will; and wherefore will I do it "'-J S HALY (N & Q, 1886, VII, ii, 305):

ACT III, SC IV]	OF KING IOHN	265
Fra Binde vp yo	ur haires	73
Con. Yes that I w	vill . and wherefore will I do it?	
I tore them from their	ir bonds, and cride aloud,	75
O, that these hands c	ould fo redeeme my fonne,	
As they have given the	hefe hayres their libertie	
But now I enuie at the	heir libertie,	
And will againe comm	nit them to their bonds,	
Because my poore chi	ilde is a prifoner	80
And Father Cardinall	l, I haue heard you fay	

74 will | will Sta Fle 76 O,] O' Huds 77 giuen] giv'n Pope,+, Fle libertie] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Huds liberty Fle liberty! Theob et cet 80 prisoner] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han

Knt. Sta Cam +. Neils Craig prisoner, Theob Warb Johns prisoner -Cap et cet 81 And] Oh Pope, Han. Ah! Anon ap Cam

Is it not natural that Constance, who thus apostrophizes her son, 'My boy, my Arthur, my fair son! My life, my joy, my food, my all the world!' should exclaim in her anguish 'To England, if you will!' knowing him to be a prisoner in his uncle's power in that country? The exclamation needs no explanation by any commentator —Br Nicholson (N & Q, 1887, VII, 111, 511) This exclamation has been more than boldly changed Indeed, one might say that never has a passage so subtilely and yet so naturally introduced been so utterly spoilt by trying to amend it instead of thinking over the circumstances and the context . . The widowed mother and her only child had been inseparable. Arthur has been her idol, the more so that she has indulged in all but certain day-dreams, and in loving thoughts of his future happy and glorious career . Her sole thought. her sole talk, is now of him and his fate, her curses and her prayers for revenge. 'She dies in a' despairing frenzy. This scene is an example of it, and Philip shows that he knows what is coming by his words on her approach. After one futile attempt, he at last says, 'Lady, you utter madness,' but her only reply is a raving outburst of grief Then he goes on another tack, and, as he thinks, a sure one He praises the beauty of the hair she is destroying. She at first only hears sounds without sense Suddenly, however, these meaningless sounds seem to her to refer to her one abiding thought Placing her own construction on them, she catches at—'Like true, inseparable, faithful loves, Sticking together in calamity' 'Yes,' she says-if I may add her unexpressed thoughts to her spoken words-'Yes, to England if you will, be the consequences or prison or death, we will still be "inseparable and faithful in our loves, clinging together in our calamities" and in our death. My Arthur, let us see one another, let us live together once more, till together we seek the mercy of God.'—Belden (Tudor Sh) agrees in part with Clarke that these words are given in answer to Philip's invitation, but, so far from being an abstracted reply, are an exhortation to Philip 'to take her with him to England in a further campaign for the rights of Arthur, who has been carried thither '

78. enuie at! WRIGHT compares. 'Against this man, whose honesty the devil And his disciples only envy at.'—Henry VIII: V, iii, 112

81 And . . . heard you say F. Gentleman (ap. Bell). Though Constance's

82, 92 heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+ (-Var '73)

83 true Om Pope. Theob. Han. Warb Johns Cap

I shall see I'll see Walker (Vers). 'shall see Ibid, Fle then' never shall I see Kinnear I shall Vaughan

againe,] again Rowe,+ (-Var. 773)

84. male-childe] Ff, Rowe, Theob +,

Cap Varr Mal male child Pope et cet 86 borne] Ff, Rann, Sing 1, Hal born Rowe et cet

87 Canker-forrow Ff. Rowe, Pope, Theob i, Sing 11, Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Ktly, Cam +, Huds u, Neils canker sorrow Theob ii et cet

oo Agues fittel ague-fit Dyce ii, iii, Huds 11, Words

03 him | him Ktly

grief before the battle appears very powerful to sympathizing passions, yet upon the loss of her son there is a functure of despair, mingled with such an increase of sorrow, that the scene grows rather too trying for refined sensations actress who performs this part has here occasion for uncommon expression of grief, her features should be the living type of sorrow, and her voice capable of breaking harmoniously into the style of expression which a flood of anguish occasions -- Moberly In these last words of Constance she casts aside, like Romeo, all fanciful expressions, and speaks the simplest language of a mother's sorrow —Ivor John The slight irregularity of this line has led to its being suspected, and its contradiction of Il 93, 94 appears to confirm the suspicion [the changes proposed] merely set the rhythm right Constance first takes comfort from the thought that she will see and know her son in heaven. But then comes the thought 'sorrow will so alter him that I may meet him in the court of heaven and not know him, therefore I shall never see him more '-[The contradiction is, of course, intentional. It is her 'pretty Arthur' that she will not see, since he will be altered by sorrow from long imprisonment -ED]

85. suspire That is, breathe. This is evidently derived from the Italian sospurare, to sigh It occurs but in one other passage in Shakespeare 'Did he suspire, that light and weightless down Perforce must move.'-2 Henry IV IV, v, 33. Schmidt (Lex) explains 'suspire' in the present passage 'to be born, to come into life,' which seems hardly necessary. 'Suspirations.' Hamlet I. ii. 70. is perhaps a word of Shakespeare's own coming—Robertson (Baconian Heresy, p. 351) gives several examples wherein 'suspire' and 'suspires' are used in the sense, a sigh, or sighs, which looks as though this were the regular form of the noun from the verb .- Ep.

95

Must I behold my pretty Arthur more

Pand You hold too heynous a respect of greese Const He talkes to me, that neuer had a sonne Fra. You are as fond of greese, as of your childe Con. Greese fils the roome vp of my absent childe.

98

95 heynous] harnous F3F4

98-100 Mnemonic Pope

94 my pretty Arthur] Mrs Griffith (p 180) There is something very tender and affecting in her making use of the epithet 'pretty' in this line. It has a better effect there than dearest, angel, or even lovely (though this last has a more comprehensive sense) would have had in that place. I must beg to refer to the reader's own taste for the justness of this observation, for I own I cannot explain why it strikes me in this manner myself

- 95 heynous] That is, hateful, wicked
- 96 He talkes . had a sonne] STEEVENS To the same purpose Macduff observes 'He has no children'—Macbeth, IV, 111, 216 This thought occurs also in 3 Henry VI ['You have no children, butchers' 1f you had, The thought of them would have stirred up remorse '—V, v, 63]
- 97 fond of greefe] IVOR JOHN One may suspect a play upon 'fond' here You are as fond of (or you are as foolish owing to) grief, as you are fond of your child Constance, of course, only sees one meaning

08-105 Greefe fils the roome you doe MALONE (Chron Order, Var 1821, vol 11, p 353) It is observable that our Author's son, Hamnet, died in August, 1596 That a man of such sensibility, and of so amiable a disposition should have lost his only son, who had attained the age of twelve years, without being greatly affected by it, will not be easily credited The pathetic lamentations which he has written for Lady Constance on the death of Arthur may perhaps add some probability to the supposition that this tragedy was written at or soon after that period -[Brandes (1, 160) also considers that Shakespeare's heart 'found an outlet for its own sorrows in transfusing them into the heart of Constance. See also, note by Brandes, IV, 1, 111 -ED]-WRIGHT (Clarendon Sh, Preface, p iv) Without insisting upon the circumstance that the grief of Constance is not for the death but for the imprisonment of her child, I would ask, is it absolutely necessary to suppose that the lines, however tender and beautiful, in which this grief is described, could only have been written by one who was smarting under the recent loss of his own son? If it be not, then it is possible, as for other reasons it appears probable, that the play may belong to an earlier period than 1596 But even if we adopt Malone's suggestion that there is in this passage a direct reference to Shakespeare's personal sorrow, it is easy to suppose that the lines III, iii, 95-105 may have been added to the original draft of the play — J. Knight (Harper's Maga, May 1903, p. 830): [Malone's] conjecture is plausible enough, and in the case of a lesser man might have ample justification Where, it might be asked, but in personal sufferings could a writer find expressions so poignant and so pathetic as the answer of Constance to the rebuke of King Philip. No less pertinently, however, it may be asked, whence but from personal knowledge and experience did Shakespeare draw his insight into the ambitious, turbulent, defeated, and despairing soul of Lady Macbeth, the tortured heart of Othello, and the flickering brain of Lear? Whence, indeed, came the inLies in his bed, walkes vp and downe with me, Putson his pretty lookes, repeats his words, Remembets me of all his gracious parts, Stuffes out his vacant garments with his forme; Then, haue I reason to be fond of griese? Fareyouwell had you such a losse as I,

100

104

101 Remembets] F₁
103 Then, haue] Then have Rowe,
Pope,+, Dyce, Wh Cam 11

103 griefe?] Ff, Cam 1,+, Neils grief Rowe et cet
104 Fareyouwell Fare you well Ktly

spiration that made him the greatest of creators and the most dramatic of poets? It is unduly to limit his powers and perceptions, and to reduce him to the level of those of his age over whom, great as they are, he towers, to assume such antecedent experience to be indispensable. In the present case neither the post hoc nor the propter hoc is to be denied. In favor of the theory that Shakespeare was animated by his loss to deal with the fate of Arthur, it may be advanced that he abandoned the all but completed record of the Wars of York and Lancaster, with which until that time in his historical plays he had alone concerned himself, and transferred his attention to an earlier epoch, with which he had shown no intention to deal

o8 Greefe fils the roomel Mrs Griffith compares for this thought deuil me plait, et doit toujours me plaire Il me tient lieu de celui que je pleurs'-[MALONE (Var, 1778), without reference to Mrs Griffith, supplies the author of these lines, Maynard, and corrects the last word 'pleurs,' which should be plains, shown by the word 'crains' with which it rhymes in a preceding couplet Mrs Griffith was quoting from memory—ED]—BUCKNILL (Mad Folk, etc., p 283) Constance's last words indicate a state of hallucination. Grief represents her son's voice and figure to her senses Or, if this be not taken literally, it at least represents one manner in which hallucination is produced. An absorbing emotion constantly directs the attention to one idea-image. This creation of the mind at length becomes accepted by the sense as a reality, and the hallucination of This differs, however, in its origin and its significance, from the form of hallucination arising from some abnormal state of the nerves of sense merely, which may exist, as it did in Ben Jonson and Nicolai, without any deviation from a sound state of mental health
If the lively representation of Arthur's presence be not intended to convey the idea of actual hallucination, it at least expresses the complete dominion which an absorbing emotion attains over the attention and mental conception —The following extract from an unsigned article. entitled The Pathetic Element in Literature, in The Spectator, 18 August, 1883. p. 1055, is interesting, as it bears psychologically upon the present passage. In describing the characteristic of pathos the author says that 'it must always be associated with a certain dumbness; it is the appeal that is made to us, whether in life, or in some representation of life, by a sorrow that reveals itself unconsciously. . . The indispensable condition is that the reader should look at the sorrow from afar.' 'Pathos, if we have rightly described it, is not pre-eminently the characteristic of any first-rate genius. To find a writer whose productions it characterises, we must turn to some shy, reserved nature, with whom it is not merely a dramatic effect, but, what is a very different thing, an actual outcome of the character And we do not, accordingly, find much of it in Shakespeare, in proI could give better comfort then you doe
I will not keepe this forme vpon my head,

106 head,] Ff, Rowe, Coll 1, Hal Wh Huds 1, Del Cam +, Neils Craig head, [Tearing off her head-cloath: Pope, + head, [throwing away her head-dress Cap Var '78 head [tearing her hair Coll 11 (MS) head, [Dishevelling her hair Dyce 11, 111, Coll 111, Huds 11, Words head [looses her hair again Dent MS ap Hal head, [Tearing off her head-dress Var '85 et cet

portion to the wealth of every kind which we find in his works But we may take from him specimens of the wealth a which he is poorest, and one scene from King John, which will occur to every reader as an apparent refutation of the limitations we have given to the scope of Pathos, affords, in fact, a good illustration of our meaning The lament of Constance for Arthur is the specimen of pathos, perhaps, most universally appreciated, and it is undeniable that she cannot be called dumb, we have known her lament in dramatic representation made extremely clamorous, and though such a conception seemed to us very injurious to the beauty of the situation, it certainly did not destroy its tear-compelling power But no small part of the wonderful power of the picture seems to us to consist of the dumbness of Arthur,—the slightness and faintness of the sketch, the truth. in a certain sense, of his own words,—"Good, my mother, peace! I am not worth this coil that's made for me" And in the case of Constance herself, our sympathy is solely with the mother It is the purely human feeling-nay, it is the one emotion we share with the creatures below humanity—that is made interesting. If the reader imagines how an artist of lesser genius would have treated the grief of a bereaved mother, he will see that it is touched with wonderful temperance, though with such great impressiveness. The few lines beginning, "Grief fills the place up of my absent child," touch on the anguish of every bereaved heart, they open a vista for every reader to some remembered longing, they put before us the sorrow that belongs not to rich or poor, high or low, wise or foolish, but to all And yet how few they are, how soon we turn to other things, how little is Shakespeare engrossed with that pathetic image! He gives us an indirect glance at it, and hurries on to the interests of a nation. It is interesting, in the case of the only dramatist who can be named on the same page with Shakespeare, to observe how the pathos of this indirect glance fades away, when it becomes direct. Antigone seems to us the grandest female figure in dramatic literature, but the only time she is brought forward in a pathetic light is in her first appearance as an unconscious Pathos cannot combine with the full diapason of tragic power, those flutelike notes are lost in any flood of harmony, their melody is soon over, but for the moment it must be heard alone '

105 I could gue better comfort] Johnson. This is a sentiment which great sorrow always dictates. Whoever cannot help himself casts his eyes on others for assistance, and often mistakes their inability for coldness.

ro6 I will not keepe this forme] COLLIER Constance perhaps were no head-dress but her hair, as we may gather from the preceding part of the scene, and when she says, 'I will not keep this form upon my head,' she begins again to disorder her hair, which she had previously knit up at the words. 'But now I envy at their liberty,' &c. [Delius, Verplanck, Wright, and Herford also thus interpret this line]—C & M COWDEN CLARKE (Sh Key, p. 607): With what skill is augmented the impression of Constance's agony of grief and incipient frenzy, when

When there is fuch diforder in my witte O Lord, my boy, my Arthur, my faire fonne, My life, my ioy, my food, my all the world. My widow-comfort and my forrowes cure.

107

Exit 110

108 O Lord,] O Lord! Cap et seq 108, 110 fonne, cure] son!.cure! Rowe 11 et seq 109 world.] Ff, Fle world, Rowe, Pope, Han Coll Wh 1 world! Theob et cet

she is made to tear off her head-dress, unable to bear the sense of heat upon her brain, with the words 'I will not keep this form upon my head' [Thus Rolfe also understands the line]

108-110 O Lord my sorrowes curel Mrs Griffith (D 181) These last three lines are almost suffocating I believe no woman with a mother's feeling could ever be able to pronounce them articulately, even in representation — DAVIES (Dram Muscell, 1, 55) Constance was Mrs Cibber's most perfect character When going off the stage, in this scene, she uttered the words 'O Lord! my boy!' with such an emphatical scream of agony as will never be forgotten by those who heard her IThe Mrs Cibber, of whom Davies here speaks, is Mrs Theophilus Cibber, Colley Cibber's daughter-in-law-ED |-BOADEN (Life of Mrs Siddons, vol 11. 61) Constance is too impassioned for hope she sees the future in the instant Arthur in the power of her enemy is already dead to her, and it is in another world that, worn down with early sorrow, she fears she shall not know him Her prophetic soul has disposed of him in this She, therefore, does not linger in expectation, but expires of frenzy, before his own rashness, rather than his uncle's violence, has ended her pretty Arthur In the exit of Constance, the sharp shriliness of the organ itself will do something for an actress not highly intellectual -however vehement in her exclamations, Constance has meaning in her language, this was truly given by Mrs Siddons, and not an inarticulate yell, the gnef of merely savage nature [As] Constance she wore a black body and train of satın, and a petticoat of white, disposed in certainly the most tasteful forms of that day The true actress is in everything an artist, the genius before us dishevelled even her hair with graceful wildness - Oechelhauser (Einführungen, i, 25) These final words form the highest point of this difficult rôle and also its greatest effect Moreover, that which Constance has to exhibit in this scene is the most artistically difficult task in the whole play Here by intonation and acting the actress must give suitable outward expression to the most moving and passionate words of poetry, to go to the very furthest limit of æsthetic license and possibility without overstepping that boundary, to indicate the shadow of approaching madness, without showing madness itself. All this demands great ability and great exercise of art Constance's exit must be the very personification of despair; there must be suggested to us that after this there is nothing left for her but madness and death.

TIO. Exit] KNIGHT (Studies, p. 204) Are we to believe that Shakespeare intended that our hearts should sustain this laceration, and that the effects should pass away when Constance quits the stage? . Was there to be no unity of feeling to sustain and elevate the action to the end? . . . No, no The remembrance of Constance can never be separated from the after-scenes in which Arthur appears, and, at the very last, when the poison has done its work upon the guilty king, we

Fra I feare fome out-rage, and Ile follow her. Exit. 111

Dol. There's nothing in this world can make me ioy,

Life is as tedious as a twice-told tale,

Vexing the dull eare of a drowfie man,

And bitter fhame hath fpoyl'd the fweet words tafte,

115

Han Warb
112 209,] Ff, Rowe II, Pope 109

Rowe 1, Ktly, Dono Neils 109, Theobet cet

113, 114 Mnemonic Pope, Warb.

114-120 Vexing euil] Om Dono. 115 And] A Rowe 11,+ (-Var '73). the [weet] that sweet Del conj. words] Ff, Rowe, Mal Coll 1, Fle world's Pope, Coll (MS) et cet

can scarcely help believing that the spirit of Constance hovers over him, and that the echo of the mother's cries is even more insupportable than the 'burn'd bosom' and the 'parched lips,' which neither 'his kingdom's rivers' nor the 'bleak winds' of the north can 'comfort with cold'

112-116 There's nothing . but shame and bitternesse] Johnson The young prince feels his defeat with more sensibility than his father. Shame operates most strongly in the earlier years, and when can disgrace be less welcome than when a man is going to his bride?—Mrs Griffith (p 182). This may be a just image of life, to those who have exhausted its variety, and palled their senses with its pleasures. The speech might not have ill become his father, old Philip, then labouring under disappointed hopes and baffled wishes, who had just then suffered the mortification of having lost a battle in the heart of his own dominions, and whose mistaken faith in heaven had obliged him to break faith on earth, without effect too, but it was certainly rather too premature a sentence to have proceeded from the lips of a young prince, who had been but just married to the woman he loved. Such an impropriety in the character of a speaker hurts the effect of a thought or sentiment.

113. Life... as a twice-told tale] MALONE Our Author here and in another play seems to have had the 90th Psalm in his thoughts 'For when thou art angry, all our days are gone, we bring our years to an end, as it were a tale that is told' So again in Macheth 'Life's but a walking shadow;—. . it is a tale Told by an idiot, full of sound and fury, Signifying nothing'—[V, v, 24.—On the foregoing I am almost disposed to echo the words of Pye on a former remark by Henley, that 'This is one of those notes there is no reading with patience' Beyond the fact that the words 'tale' and 'told' occur there is no similarity whatever, the thought is wholly different in each case The Dauphin's observation is perfectly plain, the Psalmist, Moses, says when life is over it is like a story that is finished, or, perhaps, the count of our years is like an enumeration completed, as in 'Every shepherd tells his tale,' 1 e, counts over the number of his sheep Macheth, on the other hand, likens life to a mere jumble of words uttered without meaning or sequence by an idiot Malone is not often so lacking in perspicacity as here.—ED.]

115. sweet words taste! MALONE The 'sweet word' is life; which, says the speaker, is no longer sweet, yielding now nothing but shame and bitterness. Pope's reading is plausible, but unnecessary.—Steevens I prefer Pope's reading, which is sufficiently justified by the passage in Hamlet: 'How weary, stale, flat, and

125

That it yeelds nought but shame and bitternesse 116 Before the curing of a strong disease, Euen in the instant of repaire and health, The fit is strongest Euils that take leaue On their departure, most of all shew euill 120 What have you loft by lofing of this day? All daies of glory, 10y, and happinesse Pan If you had won it, certainely you had No, no when Fortune meanes to men most good,

Shee lookes voon them with a threatning eye

'Tis strange to thinke how much King Iohn hath lost

120 eurll evil Rowe,+, Coll Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Rlfe, Craig

123 had won] have won Var. '03, '13, '2I

125 threatning | Ff threat'ning Rowe, Pope,+, Mal Neils threatening Var. '73 et cet

eye | eye Rowe et seq.

116 [hame] grief Cartwright 118 Euen] Ev'n Pope,+, Fle

119, 120 Eurls leaue departure,] evils leave, departure, F4, Rowe,+ evils, leave, departure Cap Varr. Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Sta Ktly, Huds 1 evils leave, departure Var '73, Coll Dyce, Hal Wh Cam +, Del. Fle Huds 11, Words Neils Craig

unprofitable Seem to me all the uses of this world ' Our present rage for restoration from ancient copies may induce some of our readers to exclaim, with Virgil's Shepherd 'Claudite jam rivos, pueri, sat prata biberunt'-Dyce (Remarks, p. 94) Malone's explanation is sheer foolishness. The misprint of 'word' for world is one of the most common errors not only in early, but in modern books — DELIUS In view of the first part of the Dauphin's speech, as well as the latter part, Pope's correction seems very probable If we retain the older reading, 'word's,' it must refer to life, moreover, it is not usual for Shakespeare to express himself so obscurely, he would have said with plainer reference 'that sweet word's taste '-WALKER (Crit, 111, 121) Certainly 'sweet world's taste '-R G. WHITE considers Pope's correction as 'being almost obvious', and adds 'If we accept "word" as referring to "life," still we cannot say of the word "life" that "it yields naught but shame and bitterness "'-The CAMBRIDGE EDD assign to S Walker the conjecture 'bitter gall' for 'bitter shame,' but Walker's note (Crit, i, 281) refers obviously to the next line After quoting both lines he says 'Something is wanting that shall class with bitterness (1 116); possibly gall'—This would be hardly worth noting were it not that Moberly, misled by the Cambridge text note, says that such a change is quite unnecessary, as, indeed, it certainly would be, and is, moreover, a reading which Walker did not propose -ED.

117-120. Before the curing . . . shew euill] BUCKNILL (Med. Knowledge, etc., p 134). This passage unquestionably refers to the medical doctrine of crises which was universally prevalent in Shakespeare's time ['Perhaps also,' adds Moberly, to Matthew, xvii, where the evil spirit, being on the point of defeat, tears his victim as he comes out '-Carter (p 211) likewise refers to this incident as related in Mark, ix, 26, as being 'remotely parallel' to the present passage —En]

121 this day For this use of 'day,' equivalent to battle, compare I, 11, 415, and for other examples see SCHMIDT (Lex s v. day, 3)

ACT III, SC IV]	OF KIN	G IOHN	273
In this which he ad	counts fo clea	arely wonne	127
Are not you grieu'd	d that Arthur	is his prifoner?	
Dol As heartily	y as he is glad	l he hath hım	
Pan Your min	de is all as yo	outhfull as your blood.	130
Now heare me spea	ake with a pro	opheticke fpirit	
For even the breat	h of what I m	leane to fpeake,	
Shall blow each du	ıft, each ftraw	, each little rub	
Out of the path wh	uch fhall direc	fily lead	
Thy foote to Engla	ands Throne	And therefore marke:	135
Iohn hath feiz'd A	rthur, and it	cannot be,	
That whiles warme	life playes in	that infants veines,	
The mif-plac'd-Ioh	n should ente	rtaine an houre,	
One minute, nay o	ne quiet brea	th of rest.	139

127 wonne] won Rowe,+, Coll
Wh 1, Huds Del Dono Neils Craig
won! Ktly
132 euen] ev'n Pope,+ (—Var '73).
135 Throne] Ff, Rowe, Sta Fle
throne Pope et cet
marke] Ff, Sta Fle mark.
Rowe et cet

137 whiles] whilst Rowe,+
138 mif-plac'd-Iohn] mifplac'd-John
F₃F₄ misplac'd John Rowe et seq
an] one Coll 11, 111 (MS), Dyce
11, 111, Huds 11, Words
139 One] A Rowe,+.
reft] rest Cap Var '78, '85,
Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt,
Dyce, Hal Words

r30 Your minde is all as youthfull] Moberly That is, You think sentimentally, as a child does, about 'piteous' events Think of them as a man and a politician should, think how they affect the objects which you are pursuing. A wise man should cope with the world by using the vices of the wicked—that is, of mankind at large In governments nothing should be regarded but the interests of the ruler Statecraft is one thing, morality another High political ends must be attained without force and fraud if possible, if not, with them This doctrine, which is that of the Italian Renaissance and of Macchiavelli, its high-prest, is what Shakespeare wishes to exhibit in Pandulph It should be added that Macchiavelli's name, as well as his system, had become a by-word in England in Shakespeare's time 'Am I Machiavel' asks the host in the Merry Wives, and Marlowe, in the Prologue to the Jew of Malia, makes his ghost speak thus 'I count religion but a childish toy, And hold there is no sin but ignorance'

133 each dust] For this use of 'dust' in the sense of a grain of dust, compare IV, 1, 102

133 rub] CRAIGIE (N E. D., s v 2a) An obstacle or impediment by which a bowl is hindered in, or diverted from, its proper course; also, the fact of a bowl meeting with such impediment

138. an houre] COLLIER (ed ii) In the next line we have 'one minute' and 'one quiet breath,' and even without the authority of the corr. Fol we should feel confident that we ought here to read 'one hour' and not 'an hour,' as it has constantly been printed.

A Scepter fnatch'd with an vnruly hand,

Must be as boysterously maintain'd as gain'd.

And he that stands vpon a slipp'ry place,

Makes nice of no vilde hold to stay him vp.

That Iohn may stand, then Arthur needs must fall,

So be it, for it cannot be but so

Dol But what shall I gaine by yong Arthurs fall?

Pan. You, in the right of Lady Blanch your wise,

147

141 boysterously] boystrously Rowe,
+, Cap
gain'd | gain'd Cap et seq
142, 143 Mnemonic Warb
142 slipp'ry] Ff, Wh. ii. slippery
Rowe et cet
143 vilde| vile F4 vild Fle

143 vile | up Rowe,+, Rlfe, Neils
144 stand, then | stand then | Han.
Rann, Fle stand then | Knt | stand, then, Coll | 11, Dono
145 vi, | vi' | Ktly
be buil | but be | Han

140-143 A Scepter snatch'd. to stay him vp] Cunliffe (p 68) This passage is not unlike Seneca, Hercules Furens, 345-9

'rapta sed trepida manu sceptra obtinentur omnis in ferro est salus. quod civibus tenere te invitis scias, strictus tuetur ensis alieno in loco haud stabile regnum est'

If the reader decides that the resemblance is so close as to imply direct connection, the conclusion may be drawn that Shakespeare used the original, and not the translation, which gives quite a different rendering of the text

> '—but got with fearful hand My sceptors are obtaynd in sword doth all my safety stand. What thee thou wotst agaynst the will of cytesyns to get, The bright drawne sword must it defend in forrayne countrey set No stable kingdome is '

The Shakespearean 'maintain' is more correct than the professed translation; Pierrot shows that obtinentur = retinentur, servantur The Shakespearean version of trepida manu is more doubtful, but it is supported by some authorities. Pierrot quotes a paraphrase which runs 'Qui genus iactat suum, aliena laudat, at qui sceptrum rapuit, ei laborandum et vigilandum est, ut vi partum vi retineat' [This should, I think, be 'raptum vi retineat' to correspond with raput in the first part of the sentence, 'partum' could only figuratively mean acquire, through pario, to beget—ED]

147. in the right of Lady Blanch Warner (p 30) [In this scene] the historical facts are tangled together in absolute confusion. The struggle of the kings glides poetically into a plot arranged between the pope's legate and the young French prince Lewis, for the latter to enter England with an army and seize the throne on behalf of Blanch, his wife, the niece of John This is based in the play upon the disturbed relations between John and his English barons on account.

ACT III, SC IV.] OF KIN	G 10AN 275	5
May then make all the claime th	hat Arthur did. 148	3
Dol And loofe it, life and all	l, as Arthur did.	
Pan. How green you are, and	d fresh in this old world? 150	5
Iohn layes you plots the times of	confpire with you,	
For he that steepes his safetie in	true blood,	
Shall finde but bloodie fafety, as	nd vntrue.	
This Act fo euilly borne shall coo	ole the hearts	
Of all his people, and freeze vp t	their zeale, 155	5
That none fo fmall advantage sh	all step forth	
To checke his reigne, but they w	all cherish it	
No naturall exhalation in the ski		3

OF VINC TOWN

149 loose] lose Ff	156-164 That Iohn] Om Dono
150 you are] are you Sing i	156 none] no Pope, Theob Han
151 you plots] your plots Mal conj	Warb Johns
154-164 Mnemonic Warb	157 reignel rein Cap coni

of the imprisonment of Arthur. The situation was really this. At the request of the Pope, and to enforce his nomination of Langton, Philip had prepared an immense army for the invasion of England. The English Barons were discontented with John's arbitrary, vacillating, and selfish policy. The English clergy almos to a man were arrayed against John because of his stubborn fight over the See of Canterbury, and the mass of the people were restless and frightened because of the withdrawal of religious functions and, in that superstitious age, were looking for trouble and disaster, finding strange omens and auguries in earth, sea, and sky. Agitators, taking advantage of this unsettled state of affairs, pushed their own disaffections industriously, and John was looked upon by all classes as the cause of their woes. The passage (Il 147-164) is well worth remembering as indicative of the worldly-wise policy of the Roman See of that day in dealing with its enemies.

151 Iohn layes you plots] MALONE That is, lays plots which must be serviceable to you Perhaps our Author wrote 'your plots' John is doing your business—Steevens. The old reading is undoubtedly the true one—[In support of this Steevens quotes two examples of the ethical dative, of which the present passage is also manifestly an example, see, if needful, Abbott, § 220—ED]

152 true blood] JOHNSON That is, the blood of him that has the just claim—RITSON (Remarks, etc., p. 83) The expression seems to mean no more than innocent blood in general—MOBERLY Here Pandulph comes far short of Macchiavelli, who holds it better to kill men's fathers than to take their money, since they forget the one, but not the other The Poet, in fact, has now got away from Italian morals to those of England, where all men's hearts had gone from Richard III when they heard of his nephew's murder, or of Scotland, which rose with power against those who had thought the blowing up of Darnley a trifle, the consequences of which they might risk

154 so euilly borne! COLLIER. It may be doubted whether we ought to understand 'so evilly borne' as it is printed in the old copies, in the sense of having an evil birth, or merely as ul borne by John's subjects. The last is consistent with what is said afterwards, but seems to afford a poorer sense.

No scope of Nature, no distemper'd day, No common winde, no customed euent,

160

150 fcope] Ff, Rowe, Knt, Coll 1, Sta Hal Cam Glo Cla Rlfe, Del Fle Neils Craig shape Han scape Pope Coll (MS) et cet
160 customed customed Dyce, Fle.
Huds 11, Words Dono

150 scope of Nature] WARBURTON, with the certainty that Pope's reading is the true one, remarks 'The Author very finely calls a "monstrous birth" an "escape of nature," as if it were produced while she was busy elsewhere, or intent upon some other thing '-MALONE The word 'abortives,' 1 163, referring apparently to these 'scapes of nature,' confirms Pope's emendation - KNIGHT 'scope of nature'—the ordinary course of nature—appears to us to convey the Poet's meaning much better [than scape] An escape of nature is a prodigy, Shakespeare says, the commonest things will be called 'abortives' A 'scope' is what is seen—according to its derivation—as a phenomenon is what appears They are the same thing —Delius The 'scope of nature' here means that which is natural and customary, which shall now be construed by the common people as strange and unusual on account of John's crimes Scape of nature, meaning an error or misstep of nature, seems hardly to suit with what has gone before [C & M COWDEN CLARKE decide in favor of the Folio, for substantially the same reasons -ED |- CAMBRIDGE EDD (ed. 1, Note XXI) As Pope's correction, however ingenious and plausible, cannot be pronounced certain, we, in accordance with our general rule, retain the reading of the Folio 'Scope of Nature' may mean anything which lies within the limits of Nature's power -W A WRIGHT (Ibid, ed 11). The context shows it to be the true reading —Douce (1, 404), in answer to Malone's note, says 'The speaker's design is to show that all the common effects of nature which he mentions would be perverted by the people, but an escape of nature would be very properly deemed an abortive The original reading is. therefore, correct, nor could an apter word have been selected Thus in I Henry IV "And curbs himself even of his natural scope." III. 1, 171 '-SCHMIDT (Lex. s. v scope 3) interprets the meaning of the word in the present phrase 'no scope of nature' as 'no effect produced within the regular limits of nature', and characterises Pope's change as 'preposterous'-Rev John Hunter That is, No ordinary indication of nature -Hudson (ed 11) 'Scape of Nature' may well mean any irregularity in the course of things, or any event which, though natural, is uncommon enough to excite particular notice, such as a 'distemper'd day' or an 'exhalation in the sky' So the Poet has 'scapes of wit' for sallies, flights, or frolacs of wit And so Nature may be said to have her frolics, sometimes merry, and sometimes mad, her weather, for instance, sometimes plays very wild pranks It is observable that in the text we have a sort of climax proceeding from things less common to things more and more common—Rolfe. 'Scape' is obviously wrong, as it could refer only to a prodigy or something out of the ordinary course of nature, while the context enumerates only common and customed phenomena, which the people imagine to be produgies and signs.—WRIGHT That is, no circumstance within the limits of nature's operations, no natural effect -MARSHALL. It is difficult to see how any editor could read the passage, and yet print scape in the text 'Scope' is exactly the word required, signifying 'the sphere in which the proper action of any force lies,' and so, any 'usual operation or effect' produced by nature -[The excellent reasons given by the Cambridge Edd for their rejecOr, as a little fnow, tumbled about,

Anon becomes a Mountaine. O noble Dolphine,
Go with me to the King, 'tis wonderfull,
What may be wrought out of their discontent,
Now that their soules are topfull of offence,
For England go, I will whet on the King
Dol. Strong reasons makes strange actions let vs go,
If you say I, the King will not say no.

Exennt. 188

181. Or] Ev'n Han
182 O] Om Pope, Theob Han.
Warb Johns Walker (Crit, III, 121)
Dolphine,] Dolphin, F₃F₄ dauphin! Coll Huds Dolphin! Ktly.
Dauphin, Rowe et cet
183 King,] king. Coll Ktly, Huds.
Del Dono Nels Craig king—Dyce,
Hal Words King! Wh 1
184 difcontent,] discontent Rowe,+,
Ktly.

185 topfull top full F₃F₄ top-full
Pope,+ Varr Mal
offence,] offence Knt, Sta Cam
+, Del Coll III, Fle Dono Neils
Craig
187 reafons] reason Rowe,+
makes] make Cap et seq
frange] Mal Var '21, Knt, Coll
Sing II, Sta Hal Wh 1, Huds 1, Fle
strong Ff et cet
188 Exennt] F₂

with a sweet call, but caught with a broade nette '—Lyly, Euphues and his England, [ed Bond, 11, 155]

187 Strong...strange] MALONE The editor of the Second Folio for 'strange' substituted strong; and the two words so nearly resemble each other that they might certainly have been easily confounded. But, in the present instance, I see no reason for departing from the reading of the original copy, which is perfectly intelligible—Steevens. The repetition, in the Second Folio, is perfectly in our Author's manner, and is countenanced by the following passage in Henry V 'Think we King Harry strong, And, princes, look, you strongly aim to meet him '—[II, iv, 48]—Knight The First Folio reading gives us a deep observation instead of an epigrammatic one—Strong reasons make, that is, justify, a large deviation from common courses—Halliwell Although the repetition [in F2] is in Shakespeare's manner, and could be countenanced by the production of many examples, there is no reason for disturbing the original reading of the First Folio, which is the only real authority for the text of this play—Wright considers the Folio reading, 'strange,' a misprint for strong.

Actus Quartus, Scæna prima.

Enter Hubert and Executioners.

Hub. Heate me these Irons hot, and looke thou stand

3

I Quartus Quintus Ff
ACT III, SCENE III Dono.
Changes to England A Prison,
Pope, + A Room in a Castle, Sta
Cam +, Coll in, Fle Neils Northampton. A room in the Castle Cap
et cet (Dover . Hal Canterbury .

Wh 1)

- 2 Executioners] Ff, Cam Glo Cla Wh 11, Neils certain Officers of the Castle Cap two Attendants Mal et cet
- 3 thou] you Rowe, Pope, Han Dyce 11, 111, Huds ii, Words
- I Scæna prima] MALONE. As has already been stated, Arthur was first confined at Falaise, and afterwards at Rouen, in Normandy, where he was put to death. Our Author has deviated in this particular, from the history, and brought King John's nephew to England, but there is no circumstance, either in the original play, or in this of Shakespeare, to point out the particular castle in which he is supposed to be confined The castle of Northampton has been mentioned, in some editions, as the place, merely because, in the first Act, King John seems to have been in that town In the old copy there is nowhere any notice of place -HALLIWELL Any attribution of place must be historically erroneous, but as John was at Dover when the Dauphin had been invited to England by the Barons, and he then appointed Hubert de Burgh constable of the Castle, it seems most natural to accept that locality as the scene of this portion of the drama -R. G King John's whereabouts, which is also Arthur's as far as the play is concerned (for Hubert passes quickly from one to the other), is determined by the fact that the coronation spoken of in the next scene as having just taken place (in the old play it takes place before the audience), and which is immediately followed by Arthur's death, is the last of the four by which John sought to prop his tottering title This ceremony, as well as its predecessor, took place at Canterbury, where are still visible the remains of a castle of the Norman period, in which Arthur may be supposed to have been confined, if we must consider the material probabilities If, then, Northampton be an acceptable locality, Canterbury is preferable because it will answer the purpose better than any other.—Oechelhauser (Einführungen, 1, 2) In this scene the dialogue between Arthur and Hubert should be arranged to occupy not the full stage, but, on the other hand, it must on no account be too shallow. Tragic and passionate scenes are always injured in their effect by too shallow a stage
- 3. Heate me these Irons] MOBERLY The notion of disabling for the Crown by inflicting blindness comes from early times in France, as when Louis le Débonnaire blinded his nephew Bernard, who had rebelled against him. Yet it was not unknown in England, witness the case of William, Earl of Cornwall, half-brother to the Conqueror, who was taken by Henry I at Tenchebray, and blinded as a punishment for his rebellion.—SNIDER (ii, 308). There is here a question about the character of Hubert Did he intend to put out the young prince's eyes, and then yield to the piteous entreaties of the latter? Or was the whole transaction designed by him in order to 'fill these dogged spies with false reports?' Hubert afterwards

5

10

Within the Arras . when I strike my foot
Vpon the bosome of the ground, rush forth
And binde the boy, which you shall finde with me
Fast to the chaire be heedfull: hence, and watch

Exec I hope your warrant will beare out the deed.

Hub Vncleanly scruples feare not you: looke too't.

Yong Lad come forth, I have to fay with you.

Enter Arthur

4 Arras | arras Neils

6 mel me, F.

7 chaire | chair Johns Var. '73, Wh

heedfull] heedful Coll Huds Del Dono Neils Craig heedfull Ktly watch | watch | Han Ktly

8 Exec] I O Cap First Exec Cam Glo Cla Wh. 11, Neils I. Attend Mal et cet

deed | deed! Rowe

9. Vncleanly] Unmanly Grey, Mar-

shall Unseemly Elze (Athen, June 22, 1867)

9 fcruples] F₂F₃ fcruples, F₄, Rowe 1, scruple! Var '85, Rann scruples Coll Wh 1, Dono scruples Fle scruples! Rowe 11 et cet

too't] to't F3F4 et seq.

[Exeunt Officers Cap Exeunt Executioners Var '78, '85, Rann, Cam Glo Cla Wh II, Neils Exeunt Attendants Mal et cet

says repeatedly that he never entertained the thought of murdering the child, and we must accept his statement or consider him guilty of prevarication. To burn out the eyes, and to kill, are not the same thing, perhaps Hubert intended to do the former, but not the latter—In this manner all his declarations and acts may be reconciled—The question has two sides, still, it comports best with the whole text to consider him a man of noble instincts under a rude exterior, whom even Arthur loved, though his jailer—The King has simply made a mistake in judging of Hubert's character by his rough appearance—a mistake which Hubert resents both in deed and in word

- 9 Vncleanly... you] A SCHMIDT (Jahrbuch, in, p 355) points these words with an exclamation point after 'you,' remarking that 'fear' is here transitive, as often in Shakespeare—Moore Smith agrees with Schmidt as regards the punctuation of the Folio and that this line therefore is to be interpreted 'Let no unbecoming scruples frighten you.'—'This,' remarks Ivor John, 'is rather forcing the construction, and Rowe's reading is much to be preferred, especially as the Fourth Folio supports it'
- Enter Arthur] F Gentleman (ap Bell) Though it must be allowed this scene is finely written, yet the circumstance of it conveys so much of horror that it rather strains humanity too far; the pleading of the young prince is simply natural, and meltingly persuasive, its effect upon Hubert must greatly please humane feeling, and release it from a very painful rack—Davies (Dram Miscell, 1, 63). Cibber, [in his Papal Tyranny,] has done less injury to Shakespeare, in this scene, than in any other of the play. Nay, it must be confessed, he has heightened the anguish of Hubert by a very fine and affecting incident. This man, after giving a solemn promise to his royal master that he would put his nephew to death, instantly prepares to accomplish the deed, but, as he is going about it, he hears the prince putting up his prayers to heaven for him. To hear the innocent victim praying for his slaughterer staggers his resolution, and throws him into an agony—

Ar Good morrow Hubert

Hub. Good morrow, little Prince

Ar. As little Prince, having fo great a Title

To be more Prince, as may be you are fad

Hub. Indeed I have beene merrier.

Art 'Mercie on me

Me thinkes no body fhould be fad but I:

Yet I remember, when I was in France,

'Yong Gentlemen would be as fad as night

Onely for wantonnesse. by my Christendome.

13 Good] Om Pope, Han
14, 15 hauing Prince,] Ff, Rowe,
Dyce, Hal Cam +, Neils In parentheses Pope et cet
15 be you] be You Rowe et seq

17 'Mercie] F₂ 'Mercy Wh 1, Fle
Mercy F₃F₄ et cet
me] me! Pope et seq
19 France] Fance F₂
21 wantonness Pope
et seq

DUPORT (1, 189) This is the sole and only scene of the play which bears the imprint of a great genius Shall I say that it is fortunate that the bad taste of Shakespeare softens the effect? If it were throughout as strong, as pathetic as in certain parts, it would be difficult to endure the horror. The simple reading makes one shudder, what must it be then in representation? Are there not certain spectacles too violent for the human soul which are quite unfit for its entertainment, and which art should spare it? Is there any people so barbarous as to take pleasure in seeing a young child whose eyes are to be burned out with red hot irons, and who implores for mercy? At the same time, although Shakespeare has scattered admirable features through this scene, wherein the sublime and natural surpass any other part, we must assure the reader that beyond those passages which we shall now quote all the rest goes to the opposite extreme, and seems to pass the limit of what is false and preposterous. [The passages which Duport thus selects are as follows 11. 18-28, 44-67; 80-136—ED.]

12, 13, 18 See note by HILGERS, I, 1, 25.

20, 21 sad... Onely for wantonnesse] Steevens It should seem that this affectation had found its way into England, as it is ridiculed by Ben Jonson in the character of Master Stephen, in Every Man in his Humour, 1601 [See Gifford's Jonson 1, 66] Again, in Questions concerning Conie-hood, 1595 'That conie-hood which proceeds of melancholy, is, when in feastings appointed for merriment, this kind of conie-man sits like Mopsus or Corydon, blockish, never laughing,.. which he doth to this end that the guests might mutter how this deep melancholy argueth great learning in him' Again in Lyly Midas, 1592 'melancholy is the crest of courtiers, and now every base companion, being in his muble fubles, says he is melancholy,' [ed Bond, iii, 155]—MALONE I doubt whether our Author had any authority for attributing this species of affectation to the French. He generally ascribes the manners of England to all other countries.

21. by my Christendome] MURRAY (N. E. D., s. v. Christendom, 1 b.): Equivalent to As I am a Christian! [The present line quoted—Malone and Knight interpret 'Christendom' as here meaning christening or baptism, of which sense

So I were out of prison, and kept Sheepe	22
I should be as merry as the day is long.	
And fo I would be heere, but that I doubt	
My Vnckle practifes more harme to me	25
He is affraid of me, and I of him.	
Is it my fault, that I was Geffreyes fonne?	
No in deede is't not and I would to heauen	
I were your fonne, fo you would loue me, Hubert.	
Hub If I talke to him, with his innocent prate	30
He will awake my mercie, which lies dead	
Therefore I will be fodaine, and dispatch	
Ar. Are you ficke Hubert? you looke pale to day,	
Infooth I would you were a little ficke,	
That I might fit all night, and watch with you	35
I warrant I loue you more then you do me.	
Hub His words do take possession of my bosome.	
Reade heere yong Arthur How now foolish rheume?	
Turning dispitious torture out of doore?	39
23 I should I would Var.'21 'Should 36 you do me] you me Vaughar Fle 37. Hub] Hub. [Aside] Cap	
be as be as as Fo. be Pone. Theoh Duce Hal Why Ktly Cam +	

be as be as as F₂. be Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns Var '85, Coll 11 (MS), Dyce 11, 111, Wh 11, Words Neils. long] long Pope, Theob Han

Warb 25 me] me Rowe,+, Ktly

26 him] him Pope,+, Coll. Sing ii, Wh. 1, Ktly, Huds Del. Rlfe, Dono Neils Craig.

28. No in deede] Indeed Pope, Han. Indeed, Theob Warb Johns No. indeed Sta. No, indeed, Cap et cet

us't] ut's F2F3 ut us Pope, Theob Han. Warb Johns as at Var '73. heaven] Heav'n Rowe,+

29. Hubert] Fr

30, 32. [Aside Rowe et seq.

32. sodarne] sudden F.

36. I warrani] Alas, Pope, + (-Var. '73) I warr'nt Vaughan, Fle

Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Ktly, Cam +, Del Huds 11, Neils Craig

38. Two lines, ending Arthur rheume? Rowe 11,+, Var '78, '85, Rann 38. Arthnr] Fr.

38-41 How teares | Aside Rowe 11 et seq.

38 How now] How, how Cap (corrected in Errata)

38, 39. rheume? doore?] Ff, Rowe 1, Fle. rheume, door! Rowe 11,+, Dono rheum' . door? Cap Var '78, '85, Rann, Coll Sta Huds i, Wh 1. rheum' door' Mal et cet

39 dispitious] Ff, Rowe dis-piteous Pope, Theob Han Warb dispiteous Johns et cet this piteous Long MS ap. Cam

torture] nature Han. Warb.

Murray gives several examples s v. 4 But it is plainly here used as a petty oath or asseveration.—ED]

28. No m deede] Moore Smith. Editors are wrong in putting a comma after 'indeed' If it did not introduce the sentence following, there would be no reason for the inversion 'is't' Compare the German constructions, with which our older English was in harmony. Gewiss! es ist so, and Gewiss ist es so.

39 Turning . . out of doore] Moneraly: That tears should 'drive away

I must be breefe, least resolution drop

Out at mine eyes, in tender womanish teares

Can you not reade it? Is it not faire writ?

Ar Too fairely Hubert, for so soule effect,

Must you with hot Irons, burne out both mine eyes?

Hub Yong Boy, I must.

Art And will you?

40 least less F4

43 effect a fact Malone conj (with-

40 least] lest F₄
42 faire] fairly Ktly

43 effect] a fact Malone conj (with drawn)
44 hot] Om Pope, + (-Var '73)

torture' is not supposable as an abbreviation for driving away the resolution to torture Some alteration, therefore, seems necessary [See Text Notes—The COWDEN CLARKES (Sh Key, pp 312-325) among the many examples of words elliptically used quote the present line wherein 'torture' is given for purpose to torture—ED]

- 39 dispitious] WRIGHT That is, pitiless. Compare Chaucer's description of the Parson 'He was to sinful men not dispitious' (Cant Tales, Prol, 1, 518) This, however, may be the old French despiteux, spiteful, or angry But Spenser uses 'dispiteous' in the sense of pitiless 'Spurring so hote with rage dispiteous,' Faerie Queene, I, 11, 15 See also Ibid, II, vii, 62 Again, in Hall's Chronicle (Richard III, fol 4a) 'Shortly shut vp in prison and priuely slaine and murthered by the cruell ambicion of their vinatural vincle and his dispiteous tourmentours'
- 43 effect] WRIGHT That is, meaning, purpose See As You Like It, IV, in, 35 'Such Ethiope words, blacker in their effect Than in their countenance'—
 IVOR JOHN compares also 'Do not look upon me Lest with this piteous action you convert My stern effects'—Hamlet, III, IV, 129.
- 44 Must you . . . both mine eyes] HALLIWELL The words of the royal warrant for this act of cruelty are given at length in the old play, the incidents of which are here closely followed by Shakespeare, who has, however, committed an oversight in making Hubert, in the next scene, produce the king's warrant for the absolute murder of Arthur, as well as mentioning that he had previously shown such a document to a friend of the Earl of Pembroke The error was evidently occasioned by the omission of Hubert's statement to the king, in the old play, that Arthur had died from the effects of the operation he pretended he had performed on his eyes
 In the third Act both the king and Hubert evidently contemplated the death of Arthur, not merely a mutilation of his person. It is said that, by the ancient laws of Germany, the sovereignty of a kingdom could not be exercised by a person deprived of the sense of sight --[For this incident the author of The Troublesome Raigne is partly indebted to Holinshed '-it was reported that King John, through persuasion of his councellors, appointed certeine persons to go vnto Falais, where Arthur was kept in prison, vnder the charge of Hubert de Burgh, and there to put out the yoong gentlemans eies But through such resistance as he made against one of the tormentors that came to execute the kings commandement (for the other rather forsook their prince and countrie, than they would consent to obeie the kings authoritie heerein) and such lamentable words as he vttered, Hubert de Burgh did preserue him from that injurie' (vol. ni, p. 165, col 2) -ED.]

50

55

Hub. And I will.

Art. Haue you the heart? When your head did but

I knit my hand-kercher about your browes
(The best I had, a Princesse wrought it me)
And I did neuer aske it you againe.
And with my hand, at midnight held your head,
And like the watchfull minutes, to the houre,
Still and anon cheer'd vp the heavy time;
Saying, what lacke you? and where hes your greess?

Or what good loue may I performe for you?

49 hand-kercher] Ff, Knt, Dyce, Hal.
Cam +, Fle Huds 11, Words Neils
Craig. handkerchief Rowe et cet

51. againe] again Sta

53 And] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Dyce,

Cam +, Words Neils Craig And, Theob et cet

53 watchfull minutes, to] watchful minutes to Pope et seq minutes, watchful Del conj.

- 48 Haue you the heart] Skottowe (1, 132) Few scenes of deeper pathos occur in Shakespeare than the triumph of humanity over sternness in the breast of Hubert, and the glory is due to Shakespeare only. The pleadings of Arthur, in the old play, are the reasonings of an adult, harsh, quaint, and cold Shakespeare has converted the young man into a child, and artfully invested his supplications with the beautiful simplicity of infantine innocence [See Appendix Troublesome Raigne, Il 51-75, p 501] Corson (Introduction to Sh., p 174) The loveliness of Arthur is the most fully exhibited in the scene with Hubert, where he entreats Hubert to spare his eyes. The pathos of the situation is pushed to the verge of the painful. The highest art was demanded here to keep the treatment of the subject within the domain of the beautiful. And it is so kept. From this point to the end of the play there are no new movements. King John is now in a current which he cannot stem, and will be swept helplessly along to the bitter end
- 49 hand-kercher] R. G $\,$ White. Handkerchiefs were scarce in Shakespeare's time, and unknown in King John's.
- 53 watchfull minutes, to the houre] RANN. The minutes in a clock, which relieve by marking how much of a tedious hour is gone, or to come—Delius: As the minutes, which are ever growing in their continuous onward march, watch for the hour, so Arthur watched ever and anon the time which so wearily stretched out for the sick Hubert—But perhaps Shakespeare's construction is 'minutes watchful to the hour'—Elze (Jahrbuch, xi, 284). Arthur clearly means to say: 'Just as the watchful minutes cheer up the long, slow hour, so did I cheer up the heavy time by my repeated, sympathising questions.' It seems, therefore, that we should read 'And, like the watchful minutes do the hour, Still and anon,' etc.—Wright: That is, the minutes which watch, or are watchful to, the hour. For this position of the adjective compare 'To this unworthy husband of his wife'—All's Well, III, iv, 30.—Schmidt (Lex., s. v. watchful, 3.) interprets 'to the hour' in this passage as meaning 'till the hour is full'—'A sense,' remarks Deighton, 'which I do not think the words will bear.'
 - 56. loue may I performe] MARSHALL: For a similar instance of the use of the

cet
58 nere] ne're Ff ne'er Rowe
59 sicke service] sick-service Del
Dono Craig
Prince] Prince Pope et seq
61 cunning] cunning Cap. Varr
Rann, Coll Cam +, Huds cunning,
Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Sta Ktly,
Fle. cunning:— Dyce, Hal Words.
and if an if Theob et seq
62 heaven] Heav'n Rowe +

62 must will Var '21, Coll Wh 1
63 must] Ff, Rowe, Ktly, Cam +,
Neils Craig must—Pope, + must—
Var '73 et cet
64 nor] and Pope, Han
66 I haue] I've Pope, + (—Var '73),
Huds in I ha' Fle
68-75 In margin Pope, Han
68 do st] Ff, Fle do st Rowe 1,
Theob Warb. Johns Coll Del Wh 1,
Huds Dono dost, Rowe 11, Pope, Han
do st! Cap et cet.

word 'love' in this sense, i e, act of love, compare 'But if I cannot win you to this love'—Pericles, II, iv, 49.

57 lyen] WRIGHT The participle of he, also found in the form lain. In Hamlet, V, i, 90, 'This skull has lain in the earth three and twenty years,' the First Folio reads laine, the first quartos, lyen In the Authorised Version of 1611, layen only occurs in John, xx, 12 In the other passages where modern editions have lain it was originally 'hien' or 'lyen'—Miss Porter. The two-syllabled form of lain is used here, perhaps, for the music of the line. The inversion beginning the verse is certain, and that the placing of a stress is thus avoided seems scarcely less so. The regularity of the lines following and the one before make the irregularity of this one, which is guided by the oratorical sense, the more effective

59 at your sicke seruice] Ivor John That is, at your service when you were sick Compare 'true defense,' IV, iii, 89 [John conjectures that the words 'sick service' should be hyphenated, but in this he is anticipated by Delius—ED]

60. craftie louel C. C. Clarke (Sh's Char, p 329) This honest disclaiming of cunning, as being the basest of injurious imputations, comes with artistical contrast and relief to the main spirit of the play—the spirit of craft and treachery.

69. heate red hot] For this form of the participle Malone compares, 'Hè commanded that they should heat the furnace one seven times more than it was wont to be heat.'—Daniel, iii, 19. [WALKER (Crit, 11, pp. 324-343) gives numerous other

Approaching neere these eyes, would drinke my teares, And quench this fierie indignation,
Euen in the matter of mine innocence
Nay, after that, consume away in rust,

70

73

71 thus Ff, Var '78, '85, Mal Coll i, Del Fle Craig uts Rowe 11,+. his Cap. et cet.

71 fierie] firy Mal Steev Var '21 72 matter] water Long MS ap Cam Dyce 11, 111, Coll 111, Huds 11, Words

examples of this formation in verbs ending in d and t See also, if needful, Abbott, § 342 l

71 this fierie indignation] Steevens This phrase is from the New Testament '-a certain fearful looking-for of judgment, and fiery indignation' ['But.' answers Wright, 'the phrase did not appear in any of the English versions before that of 1611, and therefore Shakespeare could not have borrowed it from this source '-En]-Malone 'This fiery indignation' might mean, the indignation thus produced by the iron being made red hot for such an inhuman purpose -Unnecessarily altered in modern editions to 'his fiery indignation' 'This' refers to the iron 'heat red-hot' of a preceding line that was the fiery indignation which was to be quenched -DYCE (Remarks, etc., p. 94) As usual Mr Collier patronises a mere misprint If the iron had been on the stage (and as yet the attendants have not brought it in), the reading 'this' might, perhaps, have been tolerated -WALKER (Crit, 11, 220) quotes this line, among others, as an example wherein, in the Folio and the early editions of Shakespeare's Poems, 'this' and his have supplanted one another For a second example in this play Walker quotes 'Thinking this voyce an armed Englishman '-V, 11, 151 -[Walker is doubtless right as regards other passages, notably 'It were a shame to let his land by lease,' Richard II. II, 1, Fol, p. 29, col I, and, '-won to this shamefull lust The will of my most seeming vertuous Queene, Hamlet, I, v (Fol, p 257. col 2), but the present passage is not, I think, an example of such a reversal Malone's and Collier's interpretations are quite satisfactory at least to the present Ep 1

72. the matter of mine innocence] W W [WILLIAMS] (Parthenon, 16 August, 1862) The hot iron might be figuratively described as likely to drink the tears of Arthur, but how could it be said to be quenchable in the 'matter' of his 'innocence'? And how could the matter of his innocence cause the iron to consume away in rust? It would seem that we have not the true words of the Author in this place; but if we may suppose that 'matter' was misprinted for water, and read, Even in the water of mine innocence,' the metaphor is not only just and intelligible. but continues the imagery of the previous lines, and accounts for assumed subsequent consumption by rust The reasonableness of this very simple alteration will, I think, be at once admitted. [In confirmation of his change Williams quotes: 'Trust not those cunning waters of his eyes, For villainy is not without such rheum, And he, long traded in it, makes it seem Like rivers of remorse and innocency '-IV, iii, 115-118. 'A comparison,' adds Williams, 'of the two passages is almost conclusive '-DYCE evidently found it so, and unhesitatingly adopted Williams' suggestion in his ed. ii]-Miss Porter. If Williams' conjecture were merely a question of misprinting m for w, it would be twice as persuasive as it is, but there is also the double t to account for.

But for containing fire to harme mine eye
Are you more stubborne hard, then hammer'd Iron?
And if an Angell should have come to me,
And told me *Hubert* should put out mine eyes,

I would not have believe'd him no tongue but *Huberts*.

78

75

74 eye] Ff, Rowe 1 eyes Dyce 11, 111, Fle Huds 11, Words eye Rowe 11 et cet

75 flubborne hard] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han Theob 1 stubborn-hard Theob 11 et cet

76 And if Ff, Rowe, Fle Rann Ohif Pope Ohiif Theob Warb Johns Var '73 Anif Cap et cet 78 him] Om Steev. Var '03, '13 him Knt
no tongue but Huberts] a tongue,
but Hubert's Pope, Han Johns no
tongue but Hubert's— Steev conj
Walker conj Knt, Sta Words—no
tongue but Hubert's Dyce, Hal Wh

Cam +, Huds 11, Words Neils

76 And if an Angell. to me] Birch (p 259) There is no religion put in the mouth of the child, except in the way of reproach 'If heaven be pleased that you must use me ill, Why then you must.' It was unnecessary for the child after this doubt of the will of heaven, to state that if an angel should have come to him and told him that Hubert would put out his eyes, he would have believed no tongue but Hubert's-meaning, that in the only way which Providence has taken to show his special will to mankind, he would not have believed, he would rather trust to How different from the case in the Bible, where Abraham, when told to sacrifice his son, prepares accordingly, but Shakespeare puts in the mouth of the boy that he would not believe in God, under these circumstances he would not trust the issue to God, but only to man The issue is, the moral of Shakespearethat natural feeling prevails, and, in spite of his oath, Hubert does not fulfil his religious obligations — Wordsworth (Sh's Knowledge & Use of Bible, p 322) compares this line with St Paul's Epistle to the Galatians, i, 8 'Though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed,' 'which,' he says, 'appears to have been present to the mind of Shakespeare.'-Cotton (p 152) also makes the same comparison

77 Hubert should] ABBOTT (§ 326) Here, since the Elizabethans could say 'Hubert shall,' they can also say 'he told me Hubert should'

78 I would not . . but Huberts] Warburton Shakespeare, I am persuaded, wrote 'I would not have believed a tongue 'bate Hubert', 1 e, abate, disparage The blunder seems to have arisen thus, bate signifies except, saving, so the transcribers, taking it in this sense, substituted the more usual word 'but' in its place My alteration greatly improves the sense, as implying a tenderness of affection for Hubert, the common reading, only an opinion of Hubert's veracity, whereas the point here was to win upon Hubert's passions, which could not be better than by showing affection towards him —[Unfortunately—or perhaps fortunately—for Warburton's absurd suggestion there is not to be found a single example of abate or 'bate with the meaning disparage—Ed]—Johnson, misled by Warburton into asserting that this line as Pope altered it is the original text, says. 'I do not see why the old reading may not stand. Theobald's alteration, as we find, injures the measure, and Warburton's corrupts the language, and neither can be said much to mend the sense '—[Theobald's 'alteration' is, however, actually the Folio reading.—Ed]—Steevens Shakespeare probably meant this line to be broken

Hub. Come forth Do as I bid you do.
Art O faue me Hubert, faue me . my eyes are out 80
Euen with the fierce I ookes of these bloody men
Hub Giue me the Iron I fay, and binde him heere 82

79 forth] forth! Come forth! Dono
forth] forth [Re-enter Officers
with a cord, the Irons, etc Cap
forth [Stamps Re-enter Attendants
with cords, irons Mal et seq
you do | you Mal

you do] you Mal
do] do [Stamps, and the men

enter Pope,+, Var '78, '85, Rann.

80 Hubert, faue me] Hubert, saue
me! Rowe 11 et seq
me my eyes are] my eyes ere they
Herr con;

81 Euen] Ev'n Pope,+, Fle 82 Iron] wons Anon ap Cam

off imperfectly, 'no tongue but Hubert's- ' The old reading is, however, sense Thus this note appears in the several Variorum editions, but in his own edition, 1703, Steevens abandons this suggestion and for this note substitutes the following 'The transcriber, not understanding the power of the two negatives, "not" and "no" (which are usually employed not to affirm, but to deny more forcibly), intruded the redundant pronoun "him" "-Knight remarks that the double negative is here justifiable, but that the omission of 'him' injures the line His pointing of the line is a modification of Steevens's first suggestion. He leaves the line unfinished, but places a full stop after 'him.'-ED]-WRIGHT That is, I would have believed no tongue but Hubert's, or, no tongue but Hubert's would have made me believe it —Marshall There seems no reason to alter the text; the extra syllable in this case strengthens the dramatic force of the line, the word 'him' being necessary to emphasize the fact that Arthur would not have believed even an angel, he might have meant to exclaim 'No tongue but Hubert's could convince me that Hubert was capable of such cruelty '-- [See note by HILGERS, I, i, 25]

82 Give me the Iron Oechelhauser (Einfuhrungen, 1, 17, foot-note) most celebrated portrayer of the part of Arthur, on the German stage, was Goethe's sweetheart. Christina Louisa Neumann, whose memory he has honored in his well-known poem Euphrosyne She acted the part of Arthur for the first time when fourteen years old, in the year 1792, Goethe himself had arranged King John for the Weimar Theatre, from Eschenburg's or Wieland's translation, and coached Christma most carefully in the rôle, to a reminiscence of this he has devoted one of the loveliest parts of his Ode [See Appendix Actors. Neumann, p. 672] According to Genast's Memoirs this has special reference to an occurrence at the last dress The young actress did not manifest sufficient terror at the glowing iron. Goethe, out of patience at this, snatched the iron from the hand of the actor of Hubert and rushed upon the child with such a terrible glance that she, horrorstricken and shuddering, sank fainting to the ground -RALEIGH (D 125) To his audience Shakespeare must have seemed notable for restraint, they were inured to horrors; and he gave them no hangings, and no deaths by slow torture. Titus Andronicus may be left out of the account as a work of youthful bravado. But the blinding of Gloucester on the stage, though casuistry has been ready to defend it, cannot be excused. This is the chief of his offences, in comparison with this the bringing in of the hot irons, in King John, and the murder of Macduff's young son, in Macbeth, are venial transgressions, which may be happily slurred over in the acting

ACT IV, SC 1.]	OF KING IOHN	289
Art Alas,	what neede you be fo boiftrous rough	ı ⁷ 83
	iggle, I will stand stone still	
For heauen fa	ake Hubert let me not be bound	85
Nay heare me	e Hubert, driue thefe men away,	
And I will fit	as quiet as a Lambe.	
I will not stirr	re, nor winch, nor fpeake a word,	
Nor looke vpe	on the Iron angerly	
Thrust but th	efe men away, and Ile forgiue you,	90
What euer to	rment you do put me too	-
	stand within . let me alone with him.	

I am best pleas'd to be from such a deede.

83 bossfrous rough] bossferous rough F₃F₄ bossfrous rough Pope, Han Var '73 bossfrous-rough Theob et cet

84. stone still stone-still Rowe et seq (stone-still Cap)

85 heauen sake] Ff, Cam + Heav'n sake Rowe, Pope, Theob i, Han Fle. heav'n's sake Theob ii, Warb Johns heaven' sake Cap heaven's sake Dyce, Words Huds ii heaven's sake Var. '73 et cet

bound] Ff, Rowe i bound Rowe ii, +, Coll Del Wh 1, Dono bound! Cap et cet

86. Hubert, Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob

Han Warb Cam +, Neils Hubert— Johns Hubert Coll Del. Wh 1, Craig Hubert! Cap et cet.

93

88 winch Cap Fle wince Ff. et cet 80. angerly angrily Pope.+.

91 too] to F3F4

93 Exec] Ff, Rowe, + I O Cap First Exec Cam +. I Attend Mal et cet.

I deede] Aside Hal
deede] Ff, Rowe. deed [Exit
Pope Exeunt Theob +, Var '78, '85,
Rann Exeunt Officers Cap Exeunt
Executioners Cam + Neils Exeunt
Attendants Mal et cet.

84 struggle... stand stone still] Dawson is in doubt as to this alliteration being intentional, I should be loath to say that we may detect in Shakespeare's verse what was not apparent to his ear. The sibilant effect of the repeated st of these words is almost a shriek of fear, and note also how the predominance of monosyllables in the line lends itself to hasty, almost breathless, utterance—ED

88 winch] WRIGHT. Spelt 'winch' in the Fohos and all the quartos in Hamlet, III, 11, 252 'Let the galled jade winch,' except the quartos of 1603. Cotgrave has 'Guincher. To wrigle, writhe, winche a toeside' And Palsgrave (Lesclar-cissement de Langue Francoyse) gives 'I wynche, as a horse dothe. Je regymbe' [In my copy of Cotgrave the word after 'writhe' is plainly wrinch, but under Regimber Cotgrave has 'To winse, kicke, spurne, strike back with the feet'—ED]

92 let me alone with him] WRIGHT. That is, leave me to deal with him alone So Twelfth Night, II, iii, 145. 'For Monsieur Malvolio, let me alone with him.'

93. I am best pleas'd, etc | HALLIWELL. This line is no doubt spoken aside, for it would hardly be intended it should be uttered in the hearing of Hubert Arthur judges of his kindness of heart from his compassionate looks. [It is, I think, more likely that Shakespeare with his infinite attention to details of character, intended this line to be spoken by the same Executioner as uttered the hope that Hubert's warrant would bear out the deed. There is the same compunction shown by both Attendants, in the older play, due, possibly, to Holinshed (see Note, I. 44). In the present scene the other Executioner is doubtless intended to be a

Art. Alas, I then have chid away my friend, He hath a sterne looke, but a gentle heart Let him come backe, that his compassion may Giue life to yours

95

Huh Come (Boy) prepare your felfe.

Art. Is there no remedie?

Hub. None, but to lofe your eyes.

100

O heaven that there were but a moth in yours.

A graine, a dust, a gnat, a wandering haire,

Any annoyance in that precious fense.

Then feeling what small things are boysterous there,

Your vilde intent must needs seeme horrible.

Is this your promise? Go too, hold your toong.

107

105

Art. Hubert, the vtterance of a brace of tongues.

94 chid] chide Rowe 1 100 but to lose] but lose Vaughan 101. heauen] Heav'n, Rowe, Fle heaven, Cam +.

heav'n! Pope,+ heaven/- Cap et cet moth] Ff, Rowe,+, Cap Varr.

Rann Mal Del Fle mote Mal conj Steev et cet 102 wandering F2, Knt, Coll Dyce,

Del Wh Cam +, Huds Fle Words. Dono Neils wandring or wand'ring F₃F₄ et cet

103. sense | Ff. Rowe, Pope +. sense. Coll sense! Cap et cet

104 boysterous boistrous or boist rous Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns Cap. Var '78, '85, Mal Steev Varr. Sing. Knt, Hal Sta Ktlv

105 vilde] vild F3, Del Fle vile F4 106 Go too,] Go to, Pope et seq toong] tongue Ff tongue -Theob +

107, 108 In margin Pope, Han

glowering, taciturn man without compassion or pity Arthur's words, 'I then have chid away my friend,' show that he, at least, hears the words spoken -ED] 93 from such a deede] For other examples of 'from' in the sense of away from, apart from, used without a verb of motion, see ABBOTT, § 158.

101 a moth] UPTON (ed 11, 252). Undoubtedly the true reading 1s, a mote, Matthew, vii, 3. Hamlet 'A moth it is to trouble the mind's eye '-[I, 1, 112, p. 5, Grigg's Facsimile Qto, 1604]. The Anglo-Saxon version of St Matthew's gospel uses this very word mot meaning what we call chaff, or short straw, and so 'tis now used in the West of England, but in other parts commonly for atoms Chaucer: 'As thicke as motes in the sonne-beem '-Wife of Bath's Tale, [ed. Skeat, 1. 868] —MALONE also compares '—they are in the aire, like atomi in sole, mothes in the sonne' Lodge, Wits Miserie and the World's Madnesse. Preface, [ed Grosart, p. 5].-[Both Dyer and Miss Perpson quote the present passage as an example of the mention of the insect moth; but the two words are quite distinct In Matthew, vi, 19, Genevan Version, the insect 1s meant, as, 'Lay not vp treasures for your selues vpon the earth, where the moth and canker corrupt, & where theeues dig through and steale.'-ED]

107, 108 a brace of tongues . . . a paire of eyes] VAUGHAN (i, 58) This seems an error, for 'the pleading for a pair of eyes Must needs want utterance of a brace of tongues.' But we may so understand the construction without changing the written order of the words, the subject of 'must needs want' stands last, and the

Must needes want pleading for a paire of eyes:

Let me not hold my tongue let me not Hubert,

Or Hubert, if you will cut out my tongue,

So I may keepe mine eyes O spare mine eyes,

Though to no vse, but still to looke on you

Loe, by my troth, the Instrument is cold,

And would not harme me.

Hub. I can heate it, Boy.

115

Art. No, in good footh: the fire is dead with griefe,

roo Hubert,] Ktly Hubertl Cap. Varr Mal Rann, Steev. Varr Sing. Knt Hubert Coll Dyce, Sta Wh. Cam +, Huds Words Dono Craig. Hubert Fle

110 will cut] will, cut F₄ et seq.
111 O eyes,] Ff, Rowe O eyes!
Pope,+ O' eyes, Coll Del Wh 1,

Huds Oh, eyes Ktly. O, eyes, Cap et cet

112 you | you/ Cap Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce, Hal Sta Cam +, Words Neils

113 Loe, Lo! Coll Sing 11, Wh i, Ktly, Huds 1, Craig. 116 griefe, grief Pope, Han

object of it first —Wright explains 'want pleading' as here equivalent to insufficient to plead Ivor John, that 'want' has here the force of fall short in; either of these interpretations show that Vaughan's inversion is quite unnecessary—'A speech,' says Miss Porter, 'which shows us how desperately the boy was pleading now, and how words, fast as they came, could not come fast enough to suit his intensity'—ED.

110 cut out my tongue] JOHNSON This is according to nature We imagine no evil so great as that which is near us

TII O spare mine eyes] BRANDES (1, 168) Arthur's entreaties to the rugged Hubert to spare his eyes must have represented in Shakespeare's thought the prayers of his little Hamnet to be suffered still to see the light of day, or rather Shakespeare's own appeal to Death to spare the child—prayers and appeals which were all in vain. [See note by Malone, III, iii, 98-105, the adverse opinions to Malone's hypothesis there expressed may well be extended to include the foregoing remarks by Brandes—ED]

T16-127. No, in good sooth...doth tarre him on.] KREYSSIG (i, 392). This scene has always been accepted as a masterpiece of the Poet, and when acted with but a small amount of art never fails of its effect on the stage. The fresh, pure, and richly endowed youthfulness of the boy is revealed to us in all its fulness. Then, suddenly, the fearful danger menacing him awakens all the slumbering craft of his spirit, and the naïve expression of his childish prayers rises to the glowing beauty of an irresistible flow of eloquence through the terrible seriousness of the situation. At the same time we cannot quite suppress the thought that we have before us one of those places which, in this play, calls to mind the weakness of the early Shakespearean dramas—a certain abundance of images, at times over-subtle, even degenerating into bombast. It is certainly natural and touching if, to sweet flattery and moving appeals, there be united innocent cunning and irresistible prayers, but would even a richly gifted man, not to mention a simple child, in such a situation have both lessure and imagination to play with similitudes? Is it natural that the child, overcome with the fear of death,

Being create for comfort, to be vs'd

In vndeferued extreames See elfe your felfe,

There is no malice in this burning cole,

119

TIT. Being comfort,] In parentheses

Wh i

Grey conj Huds 11

Createl create? Fle

should speak in highly poetic figures of the blush of shame of the glowing iron. that he would compare the sparks to the dog that would bite his own master, and the dead coals to the repentent sinner mourning in ashes? The Poet must, and assuredly should, idealise the natural expression of emotional effects, and temper the flow of poetry by beauty, but that which is natural to lamentation at unhappy occurrences (one recalls Richard II and Constance) would be by no means suitable to the half-frantic anxiety which seeks to avert an impending misfortune - This somewhat captious criticism evoked dissentient opinions from both THUMMEL (Jahrbuch, x, p 6) and BULTHAUPT (p 85), their main point of contention being that realism is one thing and dramatic poetry another, the poet's fantasy must not be bound by the rules which govern prose composition, and that to make Arthur here speak in the ordinary language of the day would rob the whole passage of its beauty and effect. Both of Krevssig's critics rather allowed their pens and thoughts on this subject a little too free a rein and entered upon a discussion of what constituted the real office of the Poet and the realm of æsthetic criticism. as this is a question not related to the present passage further than as an answer to Kreyssig, their remarks need not be given in full -ED |-BRANDES (1, 175) The taste of the age must indeed have pressed strongly upon Shakespeare's spirit to prevent him from feeling the impossibility of these quibbles upon the lips of a child imploring, in deadly fear, that his eyes may be spared to him -RALEIGH (D 222) In Shakespeare's mature work elaborated figures of this kind [ll 123-127] do not occur His thought presses on from metaphor to metaphor, any one of them more than good enough for a workaday poet, he strings them together and passes them rapidly before the eye, each of them bringing its glint of color and suggestion His so-called mixed metaphors are not mixed, but successive; the sense of mixture is produced by a rapidity of thought in the writer which baffles the slower reader, and buries him under the missiles he fails to catch.

116-118. fire is dead... vndeserued extreames] JOHNSON. The sense is, the fire being created not to hurt, but to comfort, is dead with grief for finding itself used in acts of cruelty, which, being innocent, I have not deserved.—Delius dissents from this interpretation, referring 'undeserv'd extremes' to the iron, which being created for comfort does not deserve to be used for acts of violence, and is therefore dead from grief.—Johnson's interpretation has gained no adherents, the majority of opinion is in agreement with the more rational interpretation of Delius.

rig. malice in this burning cole] GREY (i, 293) This line, I think, should be read thus 'There is no malice burning in this coal.' No malice in a burning coal is certainly absurd—Monck Mason (Comments, p 158) Dr Grey's remark is an hypercriticism; the coal was still burning, for Hubert says 'he could revive it with his breath', but it had lost for a time its power of injuring by the abatement of its heat—Boswell Yet in defence of Dr Grey's remark it may be said that Arthur

120

125

130

The breath of heauen, hath blowne his spirit out, And strew'd repentant ashes on his head

Hub But with my breath I can reuiue it Boy.

Art And if you do, you will but make it blush,

And glow with shame of your proceedings, *Hubert*. Nay, it perchance will sparkle in your eyes.

And, like a dogge that is compell'd to fight, Snatch at his Master that doth tarre him on.

All things that you should vie to do me wrong

Deny their office onely you do lacke

That mercie, which fierce fire, and Iron extends,

120 heauen] Heav'n Rowe, +, Fle
120, 121 his] its Pope, +
123-127 In margin Pope, Han
123 And if] An if Cap Del Sta
Walker, Cam +, Huds 11, Dono Neils.
Craig

imagined 'that the coal was no longer burning,' although Hubert tells him afterwards 'that it was not so far extinguished but that he could revive it with his breath '-Halliwell The original text may be retained, a great exactitude of expression being often thought unnecessary by Shakespeare, who here intends Arthur to exclaim, even the burning coal itself, the coal that was but erewhile glowing, is extinguished by the breath of heaven, the burning coal bears no malice, because it is extinguished —R. G White (Sh's Scholar, p 302) made the same conjectural change as Grey, and for the like reason, adding in parentheses that he found he was anticipated. Later, in his own edition, he merely refers to Grey's change as 'very plausible,' and in conclusion says. 'But we are not warranted in holding a writer of the Elizabethan age to the same exactness of expression which we may reasonably expect from one of the so-called Augustan age of Queen Anne.' -Ivor John characterises Grey's suggestion as 'a most logical and practical emendation, for there would be malice in a burning coal The next few lines, however, rather take away the point of the new reading, for it becomes evident that the coal was still alight although covered with ashes, and could be revived by blowing upon it.'

121 repentant ashes on his head] Carter (p 212) quotes the present line as an example of a 'reference to the ceremonial manner of Jewish repentance,' quoting Job, xlii, 6 'Repent in dust and ashes', Luke, x, 13 'They had a great while agone repented, sitting in sackcloth and ashes'—[The strewing of ashes on the head was originally a sign of mourning with the Jews, and by a transference, as a penitent was meant to show sorrow for his sins, this symbol of mourning was adopted.—ED 1 127 tarrel Murray (N. E. D., s. v. Tar, v², 1.). To irritate, vex, provoke. Now only in tar on (Shaks tarre on), to incite, hound on. [The present line quoted, also Hamlet, II, ii, 370: 'The nation holds it no sin to tarre them to controversy', and Tro & Cress, I, iii, 392: 'Pride alone must tarre the mastiffs, as 'twere their bone.'—[For the derivation of this word see Murray, as above, prefatory note—ED.] 130. extends That is, uses, shows Compare 'To buy his favour I extend

Creatures of note for mercy, lacking vies.

131

Hub. Well, fee to liue: I will not touch thine eye,

131. mercy, lacking mercy-lacking
Pope et seq

132 eye] Ff, Rowe, Pope,+, Cap. Varr. Mal Rann Cam +, Neils eyes Steev et cet

rope et seq 132 fee to live] hve to see or live and see Elze (Ath, 29 June, 1867)

this friendship'—Mer of Ven, I, in, 169 [For other examples wherein 'a plural subject expressing but one idea is followed by a verb in the singular,' WRIGHT cites I, ii, 181, III, i, 34, 225, III, ii, 13.—ED]

131 Creatures] MURRAY (N E D, s v I) Anything created, a created being, animate or inanimate, a product of creative action [The present line quoted; also, among many earlier examples 'These thy gyftes and creatures of bread and wyne,' 1548-9 (Mar), Bk Com Prayer, 128b—ED]

132 see to huel RODERICK (ap EDWARDS, p 254) Read, 'See, and live' For though there is nothing said as yet in this scene about killing him, yet it is plain from Hubert's next speech that the king intended his death should follow his blindness -[Herr also proposes this change of 'to' to and, apparently unaware that he is therein anticipated -ED |-HEATH (p 228) The sense is, Well, I grant you your sight, that you may hereafter have the means of preserving your life. Mr Roderick's correction therefore is quite unnecessary For though the King might intend that Arthur's death should follow his blindness, yet it is plain, from Hubert's own declaration of the purport of his oath at the beginning of this scene, that the King had not yet communicated this his intention to him, and that he speaks of it only from rational conjecture -[This latter statement shows a singular lapse of memory on Heath's part Is it actually possible that any ordinary reader of the play could forget the scene between John and Hubert?—ED]—CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 132) remarks that this is misinterpreted both by Roderick and Heath. 'The only force of that sentence,' he continues, 'is this "Well, take you thought how to live," adding, by implication, in the words that follow,—and think no more of your eyes, they are safe enough, see to do this or that is often us'd in this manner, and with great propriety here '-Steevens 'See to hve' means only Continue to enjoy the means of life.-MALONE I believe the Author meant 'Well, live, and live with the means of seeing,' that is, 'with your eyes uninjured.'-Delios. That is, 'See in order to live' Inasmuch as life is at first only actual through the sense of sight -Ivor John. The meaning is evidently 'live and keep thy sight'; but I cannot help thinking that here we have another clue to the thoughts of Hubert, as in 1 100 above He has promised John that Arthur shall not 'live' and continually has the death of Arthur in his mind In putting out Arthur's eyes it seems to me that he originally intended to kill the Prince, and that in the phrase 'see to live' we have an admission of that What would make Hubert choose the peculiar punishment of putting out Arthur's eyes when he had promised to kill him, unless in so doing he meant to kill?-[The confusion between the actual murder of Arthur and his blinding was doubtless evident to Shakespeare if it be noticed by us, how much more present must it have been to him. In The Troublesome Raigne his only hint for the masterly scene between John and Hubert is the following

133 owes] owns Pope, + (—Var '73)
136 Hubert All Ff, Rowe, +, Fle
Hubert all Coll Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds
Dono Craig Hubert! all Cap et cet
137 ds[guss'd] dssgussèd Dyce, Huds
ii, Fle Words
138 Peace Adreu, Peace! Adreu
Coll Sing 11, Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds.
Craig

139, 140 dead reports] Ff, Rowe, +, Fle dead reports Var '73 dead: reports, Var '85 dead reports; Coll 1, Dyce, Hal. Sta Wh Huds. Cam +, Words Craig dead reports. Cap et cet 140 dogged] doggèd Dyce, Fle Huds. 11, Words

'Hubert de Burgh, take Arthur here to thee,
Be he thy prisoner Hubert, keep him safe!
For on his life doth hang thy Sovereign's crown,
But in his death consists thy Sovereign's bliss.'
Then Hubert, as thou shortly hear'st from me,
So use the prisoner I have given in charge '—Pt i, sc. ix

Then, in scene xii, Hubert having received the promised instructions as to the blinding of Arthur, we are thus made to understand that John, although desiring Arthur's death, decides to spare his nephew's life, while rendering him incapable of reigning. Shakespeare, on the other hand, has left us in no doubt as to John's intention that Arthur be killed, but retains the alternative also. We could ill spare the scene between Hubert and John, and the slight confusion here is but a small price to pay for it. In the older play Hubert says to Arthur that he will tell the King that the torture inflicted had a fatal termination, which is probably to what the 'false reports' in 1 140 refers, Shakespeare's King John may have hoped for such an ending, but there is nothing in his later instructions to Hubert to show this. Malone's interpretation of this line, since it does not involve any change of the text, seems the most satisfactory—ED l

132 thine eye] Compare Troublesome Raigne, 'Cheer thee, young lord' thou shalt not lose an eye,' scene xii, I 130 Steevens's change is, perhaps, unnecessary (see Text Notes) Notice, also, that here, in the next line, and in I 143 below 'thine' and 'thee' are used by Hubert in addressing Arthur for the first time; up to this point 'you' and 'yours' are used by him uniformly. Arthur does not, however, abandon the more formal 'you,' showing thus the almost filial relation. See Abbott, § 231, for numerous examples—Ed.

139. but you are dead] WRIGHT. That is, that you are not dead. So in Rom. & Jul., V, ii, 132. 'My master knows not but I am gone hence'

140. dogged] That is, currish, churlish, morose Moberly interprets this as, 'These spies set on to dog me', but this is, I think, unnecessary. Compare 'Now

And, pretty childe, fleepe, doubtleffe, and fecure, That *Hubert* for the wealth of all the world, Will not offend thee.

141

Art. O heauen! I thanke you Hubert.

Hub. Silence, no more, go closely in with mee,
Much danger do I vndergo for thee.

Exeunt

145

5

Scena Secunda.

Enter Iohn, Pembroke, Salisbury, and other Lordes.

Iohn. Heere once againe we fit: once against crown'd And look'd vpon, I hope, with chearefull eyes.

Pem This once again (but that your Highnes pleas'd)
Was once superfluous you were Crown'd before,

141, 142 fecure, Hubert] secure Hubert, Dyce 11, 111, Huds 11, Fle Words. Dono Neils Craig.

I Scena Secundal ACT IV, SCENE
I Dono

The Court of England Pope, +, Var '78, '85, Rann Dover A Room of State in the Castle Hal King John's Palace Cam +, Neils Northampton A Room of State in the Castle Dono The Same A Room of State in the Palace Cap et cet

2 Enter Lordes] Ff, Rowe, Pope, +, Var '78, '85, Cam + Flourish Enter King John, crown'd, the Lords Pembroke, Salisbury, and others attending King takes his state Cap et cet

3 once crown'd] crown'd once again
Pope, Han

against] again F3F4

for the bare-pickt bone of Maiesty Doth dogged warre bristle his angry crest '— IV, in, 158—ED

141 doubtlesse, and secure] That is, free from fear and care We have had 'doubt' in the sense of fear in 1 24 above, and for 'secure' compare $Henry\ V$ IV, chor. 17 'Proud of their numbers and secure in soul'

145 closely] That is, privately, secretly. Compare 'Meaning to keep her closely at my cell.'—Rom. & Jul, V, ni, 255.

6. you were Crown'd before] Steevens calls attention to the fact that this was John's fourth coronation.—Malone gives the date of the second coronation at Canterbury, 1201, and of the third as April, 1202, after the murder of Arthur; 'probably with a view of confirming his title to the throne, his competitor no longer standing in his way.'—As a point merely of historic interest it may be noted that both of Malone's dates are wrong. The following dates are taken from Roger of Wendover. John's first coronation was May 27, 1199 (vol. ii, p. 181), the second, 8th October, 1200 (ii, 193), the third was on Easter Day (March 25), 1201 (ii, 201); the fourth on 14th April, 1202 (ii, p. 205), which last, as Malone shows, is the historic date of the present scene. There is still some confusion here Boswell-Stone (p. 60, foot-note) says that on April 14, 1202, according to John's Itmerary, he was at Orival near Rouen, and therefore the date of his last coronation must have been that given above as the third.—ED

And that high Royalty was nere pluck'd off	-
	1
The faiths of men, nere stained with reuolt.	
Fresh expectation troubled not the Land	
With any long'd-for-change, or better State	10
Sal. Therefore, to be poffefs'd with double pompe,	
To guard a Title, that was rich before,	
To gilde refined Gold, to paint the Lilly;	
To throw a perfume on the Violet,	
To fmooth the yee, or adde another hew	15
Vnto the Raine-bow, or with Taper-light	
To feeke the beauteous eye of heauen to garnish,	17
8 stained stained Dyce, Fle Huds 13-17 Mnemonic Warb	

n. Words Dono ! 10 long'd-for-change]long'd-for change

13 refined] refined Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

12 guard gard Hal Fle

 $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{A}}$

17 heaven] Heav'n Rowe,+

- Land Deighton No newly excited craving diso Fresh expectation turbed the minds of your subjects with a desire for change and for improvement of condition There is a superfluity here of expectation, and a sort of confusion between, 'Expectation of change or improvement of condition did not agitate the land' and 'Change or improvement of condition was not longed for by the land, so as to disturb it '
- 10 any long'd-for-change Vaughan (1, 60) 'Long'd for' is not the epithet to 'change' merely, as 'better' is the epithet to 'state', but the verse must be understood as if written thus, 'with any long'd-for change, any longed-for better state,' and may be punctuated thus 'With any long'd-for change, or better state' This interpretation is confirmed by a passage in Holinshed 'being allured either for desire of change, or else for desire to see a reformation,' &c. A D. 1405. Otherwise we might not unwarrantably read: '. change to better state'
- 12 guard] Bradley (N E D., s v. vb, 7) To ornament (a garment, etc) with a [border or trimming], to trim as with braid, lace, velvet, etc [Under the figurative use of this word, Bradley quotes the present line Compare also 'Give him a livery More guarded than his fellows.'—Mer of Ven, II, 11, 164]
- 16, 17 with Taper-light. . to garnish] Bullen compares Marston tapers to bright day, it ill befits '-What You Will, II, i, 245 (Wks, ii, 354) date of Marston's play is fully ten years after King John, and this may, therefore, be a reminiscence The thought is, however, almost a general observation on doing that which is superfluous, and seems only another way of putting the common expression To burn daylight On the other hand, the word 'taper' occurring in both lines seems significant of an attempt to copy Shakespeare's words —Ed.
- 17. eye of heauen] BAYLEY, under the heading The Sun-an Eye (pp 260, 261), has collected twenty passages from various authors ranging from 1500 through 1624, wherein this figure occurs. The palm for priority in the list must be divided seemingly between Spenser and Greene, in The Faerie Queene the sun is called 'The great eye of heaven' (I, canto m, v. 4), and in Greene's Neuer Too Late, 'Heaven's secret, searching eye' (ed. Grosart, p. 69). Both of these appeared in 1590.-ED.

Is wastefull, and ridiculous excesse.

Pem. But that your Royall pleasure must be done,
This acte, is as an ancient tale new told,
And, in the last repeating, troublesome,
Being viged at a time viseasonable.

22

20 new told] new-told Han Del Dyce ii, iii, Words

21 And, repeating,] And repeating

F₃F₄, Rowe,+, Coll Wh i, Cam +, Huds 11, Neils Craig
22 wrged] urged Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

21 in the last repeating, troublesomel VAUGHAN (1, 60) This is liable to misconstruction, and is printed to enforce a misconstruction. The line is here made to apply directly to 'this act' of coronation, whereas the Author intended to describe by it directly the telling of an old story over again, when it is troublesome to its hearers 'Repeating' is a word by which Shakespeare constantly designates verbal recitation . The right construction of the line is certainly either this 'This is like an old story told to us over again just when it is troublesome, through being forced upon us unseasonably', or this 'This act is, masmuch as it is forced upon us unseasonably, like an old story told over again, and troublesome in its repetition' I strongly incline to the former of these, partly because Shakespeare has already made use of the same simile in [III, iii, 113, 114], where 'vexing the dull ear of a drowsy man' corresponds to 'being urged at a time unseasonable' here, and where 'as a twice-told tale' corresponds to 'an ancient tale new told' Either one of Vaughan's constructions seem, to me at least, to rob Pembroke's speech of all point To enlarge upon the fact that a twice-told tale is troublesome in its last repeating, when he wishes to impress the king with the risk of arousing the people by a repetition of the coronation, is quite irrelevant What Pembroke says may be thus paraphrased 'This act (the coronation) is like a twice-told tale, and being brought forward at an unseasonable time, will, by its unnecessary repetition, cause disturbance' Salisbury certainly so understands it, his speech is an amphification not only of his foregoing words, but of Pembroke's interruption. In justice to Vaughan it must, however, be said that Shakespeare's use of the verb repeat is mainly confined to discourse, but that the gerund is here used figuratively is, I think, apparent In the foregoing paraphrase it will be noticed that the adjective 'troublesome' has been interpreted as causing disturbance, not in the sense of full of tumult, or trouble, as in the title of the older play, The Troublesome Raigne of John. An 'act' can hardly be said to be full of disturbance though it often may be the cause of it. Compare. 'God knows, my son, By what by-paths and indirect crook'd ways I met this crown, and I myself know well How troublesome it sat upon my head '-2 Henry IV. IV, v, 184-187. Vaughan has strangely misunderstood this causative use of the adjective in applying it to the repetition of the twice-told tale.-Ep.]

ACT IV, SC II.] OF KING	G IOHN 299
Sal. In this the Anticke, and Of plaine old forme, is much disfi	
And like a shifted winde vnto a startles, and frights consideration.	to fetch about,
Makes found opinion ficke, and the For putting on follow a fashion'd Pem. When Workemen striue	d robe
23-29 Mnemonic Warb 23 Anticke] Ff, Rowe. antic Fle antique Pope et cet well noted] well-noted Pope et seq 24 old forme] Old-form Ktly	24 desfigured] desfigured Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words 27 consideration] consideration Fle Words 28 suspected suspect Anon ap Cam 30 to do better] to better do Sta conj
25, 26 shifted winde course for shift' of wind often makes it necessary for is here the nautical word for a ship's consails. As to 'fetch about,' a sailing-ship wind, she can at the best sail only six portexample, if it is wished to sail north and the course upon which the ship can sail is one to Now if, for example, the wind should shift (if the ship had been previously sailing Vindern language 'go about') on the other to sail N. E., or two points nearer her detent to sail N. E., or two points nearer her detent other tack. [Whall, who signs himse (p. 6), queries as to whether Shakespeare in for service in the fleet shortly after his arseven dark years of his life, have acquire 'Words and phrases,' says Whall, 'of an extained said said said said the plays,' and a magnetic through [the plays], and a magnetic "land-lubber" have steered clear of the said said said said said said said said	ca sailing ship to 'fetch about' 'Course urse or a line of direction on which she cannot, of course, sail dead against the ints of the compass from the wind Foi the wind is north, the nearest point to that ack W N W, on the other tack E N E to N N W, it would be of advantage W N W) to 'fetch about' (or in more rack, when she would 'head' or be able esired course (north) than she would onelf 'Master Mariner' in his Introduction may not have been among those 'pressed' inval in London, and thus, during those and his intimate knowledge of sea-terms tremely technical and professional nature stake in their use is never made. Could offer or in the use of such terms?'—ED offer, 1, 129) compares, for this construction wise, Makes this place a paradise'—examples, see Abbott, § 422 —Moore so new a fashion' The construction for rare a wondered father and a wise' Errors, III, ii, 186 We might at first adjective formed by adding the suffix it such an adjective would require to be consider the phrases as cases of displace-try are — 'a so new-fashioned robe', 'a

30, 31 When Workemen . . . couetousnessel THEOBALD. That is, not by their

offered' Fashion'd, wonder'd, offer'd would then be past participles We might, perhaps, illustrate the displacement of the article by the displacement of my in

the phrases 'Good my lord,' &c.

They do confound their skill in couetouineffe,

And oftentimes excusing of a fault,
Doth make the fault the worse by th'excuse
As patches set upon a little breach,
Discredite more in hiding of the fault,

Then did the fault before it was so patch'd.

Sal To this effect, before you were new crown'd
We breath'd our Councell but it pleas'd your Highnes
To ouer-beare it, and we are all well pleas'd,
Since all, and every part of what we would

31 couetousnesses conj 35, 36 fault fault] flaw flaw Warb Cap

37 new crown'd] new-crown'd Pope et seq

38 Councell] counfel F₃F₄
39 it] 't Anon ap Cam. Dyce 11, 111,
Fle Huds 11, Words
and we are] yet we're Pope, Han
and we're Theob Warb Johns

avarice, but in an eager emulation, an intense desire of excelling, as in 'But if it be a sin to covet honour, I am the most offending soul alive '-Henry V IV, 111, 28 [Hanmer, Warburton, and Johnson accept this explanation without comment -ED]-CAPELL (I, pt 1, p 132) The latter part of this maxim has an explanation in three moderns, but not that they demand, for that (methinks) were as follows, 'in that covetousness or coveting of theirs to do better than well' But why are the words before not explained? they more want it, for 'confound' is ambiguous, meaning oftest-perplex, but sometimes-destroy or bring to nothing, and that's the sense it has here [Compare, 'Which in a moment doth confound and kill All pure effects'-Lucrece, 1 250]-MALONE compares 'Were it not sinful then, striving to mend, To mar the subject that before was well?'-Sonnet, cui, and 'Striving to better, oft we mar what's well,'-Lear, I, iv, 369 -HUDSON Lord Bacon, in like manner, attributes the failure of certain men to the love, not of excellence, but of excelling The text is a fine commentary on the elaborate artificialness which springs far more from ambition than from inspiration, and which the poet too often exemplifies in his own pages -WRIGHT, endowing Capell's purposes with words, paraphrases thus 'They destroy what they have done skilfully by their eager desire to improve it.'-ED

30 do better then well STAUNTON'S proposed reversal of the first two words, on the ground that the phrase is 'painfully dissonant,' evoked from Fleay the comment that 'Metrical critics will not learn that a trochee in place of an iambic in English always indicates a precedent pause, in this line at the word "do." So Landor objected to Milton's magnificent line. "Not difficult if thou—hearken to me," saying that "no authority could reconcile" his ears to it. De Quincy castigated him deservedly. See De Quincy's Works, xi, 193'

34, 35 patches... Discredite... the fault M Mason (Com on Beaumont & Fletcher, p. 36). Sergeant Bettlesworth used to say that to have a hole in his stocking was an accident which might happen to any man, but that a darn was deliberate poverty

35. fault] Bradley (N. E D., s v 3) A defect, imperfection, blameable quality or feature

Doth make a stand, at what your Highnesse will.

Ioh Some reasons of this double Corronation
I have possess you with, and thinke them strong.
And more, more strong, then lesser is my feare

41

41 Doth] Do Rowe 11 Must Pope, +, Var '78

will wills Ktly

44 then leffer is my feare] then leffe is my feare F₂F₃ then lefs is my fear F₄, Rowe 1 the less that is my fear, Rowe 11 (the lesser is my fear) Pope,+, Hal (then lesser is my fear) Cap than lesser is my fear Coll 1, Del 1, Wh thus lessening my fear Coll MS than lesser, in my fear Ktly then lesser is my fear, Cam Glo Cla Coll 111 when lesser is my fear, Tyrwhitt, Var. '78 et cet

41 Doth] MALONE (Var, 1785) The change [must, see Text Notes], I suppose, was made because it was thought 'all' required a plural verb, but 'all' here signifies the whole Since the whole, and each particular part, of our wishes, doth make a stand, &c The old reading therefore may remain

43 possest you with] WRIGHT That is, informed you of 'Possess' in this sense is generally followed by 'of' So in Mer of Ven, IV, i, 35 'I have possessed your grace of what I purpose' And Corrol, II, 1, 145 'Is the senate possessed of this' [SCHMIDT (Lex, s v 5) quotes the present line as the only example of 'possess' followed by 'with '—ED]

44 more strong, then lesser is my feare] Johnson, without reference to the original text, 'attempts' (the word is Steevens's) to explain Pope's rearrangement thus 'I have told you some reasons, in my opinion strong, and shall tell more, yet stronger, for the stronger my reasons are, the less is my fear of your disapproba-This seems to be the meaning '-CAPELL (I, pt 1, p 132) [The change of 'then' to the is] changing for changing's sake, for nothing is gained by it unless a weaker expression 'then' is-in that case-If I shall endue you (or possess you) with more, and more strong, reasons, then you may hold my fear to be lesser, and rather prudence than fear -- COLLIER (ed 1.) The First Folio has 'then' for than, the commonest mode of printing the word in Shakespeare's time, but the commentators not adverting to this circumstance do not seem to have understood the passage, and printed 'when lesser is my fear,' putting it in parentheses; the meaning, however, seems to be, that the king will hereafter give his lords reasons 'stronger than his fear was lesser'; the comparative 'lesser' is put for the positive little, because the Poet had used 'more strong' in the preceding part of the line -DYCE (Remarks, etc., p 95), in reference to this note by Collier, says 'Such a portentous reading, and such a super-astute explanation, were perhaps never before exhibited in any critical edition of an author either ancient or modern,and all because Mr Collier would not alter "then" to when, - the latter word being as certainly the right lection here as it is in ["And then, that Harry Bolingbroke, and he," 2 Henry IV. IV, 1, 119], where he has not scrupled to substitute it for "that" of the old copy.'—Collier in his ed ii entirely abandoned his reasonable explanation and accepted Tyrwhitt's change, remarking. 'It may be doubted whether this expresses exactly what the Poet intended, but at all events it is recommended by the fact that it deviates as little as possible from the old text'-[Collier felt evidently that some 'deviation' was necessary since his MS. Corrector had given a rather violent change, which Colher does not strongly defend or recommend -Ep |-Anon. (Blackwood's Maga, Sept, 1853, p. 305): 'When lesser

[44 And more, more strong, then lesser is my feare]

is my fear' is the common reading, but why the king should give them more and stronger reasons for his double coronation, when his fears were diminished, is not The strength of his fears should rather have led him at once to state his reasons explicitly Collier's MS correction is 'thus lessening my fear' But how the communication of his stronger reasons should have the effect of lessening the king's fear is a riddle still darker than the other The possession of these reasons might lessen the usurper's fears, but surely the mere utterance of them could make no difference If the MS Corrector had written 'thus lessening your fears,' there would have been some sense in the emendation, and if a new reading be required, this is the one which we venture to suggest -Knight (Stratford Sh. 1, 258) We have great doubts about 'thus lessening,' and think that Theobald's [Qu Popes'] reading, 'the lesser is my fear,' is quite as good, if 'then lesser is my fear,' read parenthetically, does not give a clear meaning -Delius (ed 1). That is, some reasons, which he considers strong, he has already communicated to the Lords, and more reasons, yet stronger than his fear, which occasioned his second coronation, he will yet communicate to them Shakespeare here introduces 'lesser,' as he uses the similar negative not in a comparative sentence, where it seems almost pleonastic -[In his ed ii Delius adopts Tyrwhitt's reading, omitting any paraphrase of the sentence -ED |-R G WHITE 'More strong than lesser is my fear.' 1 e . reasons stronger than my fear is less, or as strong as my fear is This sense of the original text appears so plain to me as not to admit of a moment's doubt about it Since English was a language we have been in the constant habit of thus comparing the degree of two things, conditions, or affections entirely different in kind [With Tyrwhitt's reading] no reasons can be found why John should postpone giving the reasons for his double coronation until his fears diminished -Perring (p 196) The king tells the peers that he has 'more' reasons and 'more strong' reasons for his double coronation than he has yet disclosed, and it would not be unreasonable to expect him to add that his fears had diminished in consequence But I am not at all sure that this is what he says. Men timid and irresolute, who have been agitated by fears, are not so easily reasoned out of their fears The king was full of fearful foreboding I understand him to say that his reasons are stronger than his fears are less, which is another way of saving that his fears were not lessened in proportion as his reasons were numerous and weighty. The utmost had been done, but the terror had not passed. This avowal might have been merely the outcome of a heart conscious of its own guilt, but I think that it was rather prompted by the suspicious attitude of the peers towards hun, to whom he thus conveys a hint that he is not ignorant of their disaffection As a slight confirmation of this interpretation it is noticeable that, in the short remainder of his speech, the king expresses himself as willing to agree to such measures of reform as they should deem expedient -WRIGHT. That is, more strong in proportion as my fear is less. There appears to be no reason for departing from the original reading, regarding 'then' as equivalent to than -HERFORD. More reasons, even stronger than in proportion to my diminished fear; that is, the superior cogency of his new arguments, far from indicating a greater anxiety, would even exceed the measure of his relief. Tyrwhitt's when is very plausible.-Moore Smith remarks, in corroboration of Tyrwhitt's when, that 'a clear meaning is at once given to "meantime" in the next line, which is otherwise strangely vague.'-Ivon John: If we keep the reading of the Folio, we must take

45

I shall indue you with: Meane time, but aske
What you would have reform'd. that is not well,
And well shall you perceive, how willingly
I will both heare, and grant you your requests
Pem. Then I, as one that am the tongue of these
To found the purposes of all their hearts,

50

40-53 as studies,] -as studies- Neils

'then' as equivalent to than, understanding the line to mean 'More reasons, more strong in proportion as my fear is less? Although Shakespeare in King John seems to have written several passages where the meaning is not obvious at first glance, he has not set such another puzzle as this Tyrwhitt's conjecture is very plausible, but has the great objection of making John admit that he was in great fear, which is not at all probable The true reading must be one in which John makes little of his fear, and none of the proposed readings make this point — MARSHALL rejects the various proposed emendations and the original text, adopting as the most probable reading, 'more strong than less-so is my fear-' which he explains thus 'reasons more strong than less (strong)—so I fear—than those I have given you already ' 'But,' says Marshall, 'the reading of Fr may be correct, and it may mean "And more reasons more strong than those I have already given you I shall give you at some future time—then my fear will be less that you will continue to disapprove of my being crowned " I cannot make any other possible sense of the passage as it stands in the Folio The emendation adopted does little violence to the text, "then" might easily be miswritten or misprinted for than, and "lesser" for less so Tohn's desire seems to be to impress on the lords that he had very important and serious reasons, which he could not just then reveal, for the step he had taken '-Belden (Tudor Sh) and Deighton read with Tyrwhitt, the former says 'John seems to mean that when his fear of Arthur's claim has been allayed (he is expecting a report from Hubert) he will explain to them why he felt his original tenure of the crown to have been inadequate '-Deighton And more reasons of even greater weight I shall communicate to you, when my fears are less than they now are [Tyrwhitt's needless change is, of course, susceptible of either of these interpretations, but, as both the Anonymous writer in Blackwood and White have shown, there is no logical reason why John should hesitate to tell his reasons until his fears were less. White's elucidation of the passage is certainly convincing that any emendation is unnecessary when such a clear meaning may be obtained from the original text —ED]

49, 50 Pem Then I... their hearts] Courtenay (1, 23) I do not find that any of the English lords interfered, as in the play, on behalf of Arthur. One sentence from Holinshed, in which he speaks of the Prince's death in England as well as France, is the only authority for the interest excited in England, of which Shakespeare has drawn a picturesque description. [Let it not be forgotten that Courtenay's object, as stated in his Preface, is to see 'what were Shakespeare's authorities for his history, and how far has he departed from them?'—ED.]

50 sound the purposes] JOHNSON That is, to declare, to publish the desires of all those. [WRIGHT compares. 'For now against himself he sounds this doom '—Lucrece, l. 717.]

Both for my felfe, and them but chiefe of all	51
Your fafety for the which, my felfe and them	
Bend their best studies, heartily request	
Th'infranchisement of Arthur, whose restraint	
Doth moue the murmuring lips of discontent	55
To breake into this dangerous argument	
If what in rest you haue, in right you hold,	57

51-53 Both studies,] In parentheses Pope, Theob Han Warb but studies,] In parentheses Cap Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce, Sta Hal Wh 1 52 them] they Pope, +, Ktly 54 Th'] F₂F₃, Wh 1 The F₄, Rowe et cet 56 argument] F₂, Coll in argument, Theob Warb Johns Var '73

argument — Coll 1, in, Sing. 11, Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds. argument, F₃F₄ et cet.

57 If hold In parentheses Fle
in rest In wrest Steev conj in
rent Anon ap Cam in trust Elze conj
interest Herr
in right unright Cartwright conj
John Hunter conj Vaughan conj
by right Elze conj

52 my selfe and them] C. & M COWDEN CLARKE Such grammatical licenses were allowable in Shakespeare's time, and moreover, in the present passage, 'them' is probably given for the sake of the repetition of 'myself and them' in the speech These kinds of repetitions are much used by Shakespeare, and very markedly so in the present play, they give much energy to his style, and have peculiarly emphatic effect -Walker (Crit, 1, 279) questions the possibility of Shakespeare's having written so ungrammatically, adding that we should 'surely read they' (See Text Notes)-To this the CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note XXIII) reply 'The construction is evidently incorrect, but it may be explained by supposing that the offending word "them," following so closely upon "my self," was suggested to the writer by the analogous pronoun themselves.'—ABBOTT (§ 214) offers substantially the same grammatical explanation, giving the present passage as the only example wherein 'them' is thus used for they —IVOR JOHN, in addition to the foregoing explanation, suggests that 'the printer's eye caught the "myself and them" of the preceding line and repeated it, or that Shakespeare repeated his own phrase without being sensible of the grammatical error'

54, 55 Arthur, whose restraint...lips of discontent] Warner (p 30) The reader of the play must infer that this twelve-year-old boy was the central figure of human and political interest in the England of that day. He was nothing of the kind. He was of very small importance in the actual shuffling of the cards. But he offered dramatic material of considerable value, and Shakespeare used him, as the older dramatist did, without reference to the chronicles and with no attempt at preserving the real perspective of history. Thus the assumed position of Arthur, as an abused and oppressed rightful claimant to the throne, is connected, on no legitimate grounds whatever, with the quarrel between the Pope and King John, and also with the revolts of the Barons. All the critics note the importance attributed by the play to Arthur's movements, but not all of them point out the gross anachronism thus involved.

57 If what. . you hold MALONE (Sup Observ, i, 170) The argument, I think, requires that we should read "-in right you hold not' The word 'not'

[57 If what in rest you haue, in right you hold]

might have dropped out at the press If this was not the case, and the old reading be the true one, there ought to be a note of interrogation after the word 'exercise,' 1 62, so that the meaning might be—If you are entitled to what you now quietly possess, why then should your fears move you? &c - [Inasmuch as Malone did not repeat this conjecture in his own edition a few years later it may be considered as withdrawn -ED |-Steevens. Perhaps we should read 'If what in wrest you have.' etc., 1 e., if what you possess by an act of seizure or violence, &c So again, 'The imminent decay of wrested pomp'-IV, iii, 164 -Henley The emendation proposed by Steevens is its own voucher If 'then' and 'should,' Il 58, 59, change places and a mark of interrogation be placed after 'exercise,' . 62, the full sense of the passage will be restored [See Text Notes]-RITSON Steevens's reading, wrest, is better than his explanation. If adopted, the meaning must be—'If what you possess, or have in your hand, or grasp'—Douce (1, 405) in reference to Steevens's conjecture says 'But surely "the murmuring lips of discontent" would not insinuate that John was an usurper, because the subsequent words, "in right you hold," would then be contradictory One could not say "if, being an usurper, you reign by right " The construction may therefore be more simple If the power you now possess in quiet be held by right, why should your fears, &c '-KNIGHT characterises Steevens's conjecture, with its meaning violence, as 'pure nonsense,' and likewise disagrees with Malone and Douce that 'rest' here means quietly 'The whole scene,' continues Knight, 'shows that John did not hold his power in perfect tranquillity. "Rest" is, we take it, here employed to mean a fixed position To "set up a rest" is a term with which every reader of our old dramatic poets must be familiar Some have thought that the expression was derived from the manner of fixing the harquebuss-a gun so heavy that the soldier, taking up his position, fixed a rest in the ground to enable him to level his piece. But, from a number of examples given by Reed in his edition of Dodsley's Old Plays, we find the same expression used in the game of Primero, in which game, as far as we may judge, the term seems to imply that the player at a particular point of the game makes a decided stand upon the chances he fancies he has secured In a tale told of Henry VIII [Harington's Nugae Antiquae, ed 1804, i, p 223] (quoted by Reed [vol. x, p. 310]) we have "The Kinge, 55 eldest hand sets up all restes, and discarded flush." The king was satisfied with his position, and "threw his 55 on the boord open, with great lafter, supposing the game (as yt was) in a manner sewer." The analogy in the speech of Pembroke is pretty close "If what in rest you have in right you hold."'-I. MITTORD (Gentleman's Maga, Aug, 1844) Steevens's conjecture of wrest seems approved by his fellow commentators, but we prefer 'rest,' and interpret it, undisputed peace and possession. We question whether 'what you have in wrest' is an allowable construction of language [See III, ui, 55] - STAUNTON, apparently unaware that he was substantially anticipated by Malone, proposes to read 'If what in rest you have, not right you hold', and in 'forcible' corroboration of this reading quotes the parallel passage in the older play 'We crave my lord Essex, to please the commons with The libertie of Lady Constance sonne Whose durance darkeneth your highnesse right, As if you kept him prisoner, to the end Your selfe were doubtfull of the thing you have '-FLEAY. I formerly read unright, with Staunton's approval, but no change is needed. This dangerous argument, as to why, if what you hold is rightly held, your fears should induce you to imprison Arthur; for fear implies injustice - WRIGHT: 'In

Why then your feares, which (as they fay) attend The steppes of wrong, should moue you to mew vp

58

58, 59 then should should then Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns Coll. in (MS), Dyce 11, 111, Huds 11, Words. Dono then should not Ktly then,... should they Herr

58 your] no Lettsom ap. Dyce ii.

58, 59 which wrong, Ff, Rowe, Coll Sing ii, Del Sta Wh. i In parentheses Pope et cet
58. which (as fay)] (which as.. fay)
F₃F₄.

rest,' that is, in quiet possession Steevens's [proposed reading] is inconsistent with what follows, 'in right you hold '-KINNEAR (p. 200) The sense indicates that 'rest' is a misprint, rule gives the required meaning, and 'rest' may easily have been a misprint for it. 'Your fears-which attend the steps of wrong' is not language which Pembroke would have addressed to John, and 1s not consistent with the courtesy of the rest of the speech [Kinnear explains that to have in rule is simply another expression of you rule, as in 'who hast the memory of Hermione in honour,' Winter's Tale, V, 1, 50, which is equivalent to, who honourest the memory —ED]—Moore SMITH That is, you possess in peace Compare Troublesome Raigne 'to supplant the foemen to my right and your rest,' [pt i, sc u, 1 105, Appendix, p 485] Unless there is some reference to the use of the word 'rest' in the game of primero, for 'the cards on which one stands to win.'— IVOR JOHN 'Rest' can have nothing to do with the game of primero, where it stood for the limiting stake, and it seems best to take it, with Wright, as meaning peace, security. In order that a clear meaning may be obtained from this and the following lines John opines that a negative sense must be given to these words. either as Malone and Staunton suggest or the words 'in right' be read 'unright' as proposed by three commentators independently -ED]-PAGE (p. 116) Lines 57-62 form a noun-sentence, in apposition with 'argument' The people ask. argumentatively, supposing your possession of the crown is just, why in that case you should imprison Arthur. The emendations proposed by various editors are unnecessary.

58, 59. Why then . . . should mouel CAPELL (I, pt ii, p 132), referring to Pope's reversal of 'then' and 'should,' says 'This has grammar to urge for it, and may be right; but, not seeing how such a change could well happen, the editor rather chooses to think the argument was left purposely unconcluded, as expressing the speaker's modesty and fear of wounding too deeply His attention to his king at this time is strongly mark'd in another line, 65, where he sets his request in a new light, and, instead of asking himself Arthur's enfranchisement, asks that he may have it to say the king had bid him request it, and so make the act his. The custom of asking and granting suits at these seasons [coronations] was once general, and is still in use in the east.'—Steevens. Perhaps this question is elliptically expressed, and means. 'Why then is it that your fears should move you,' etc.-COLLIER (ed. ii) unhesitatingly adopted the transposition of these two words, chiefly on the authority of the MS Corrector.—Singer (ed ii.), without any mention of this, proposed the same reversal as a help 'to the lucidus ordo'; and Colher, justly indignant, accuses him 'merely of a neglect to notice it.'-[But then Collier never mentions that such had been the reading of Pope and his followers, and that this reading was also proposed by Henley in Steevens's edition-ED]-R. G.

60

Your tender kinfman, and to choake his dayes With barbarous ignorance, and deny his youth The rich aduantage of good exercife, That the times enemies may not have this To grace occasions let it be our fuite, That you have bid you aske his libertie.

65

62 exercise,] Ff, Rowe exercise Cap Var '78, '85 exercise Sta Ktly, Lettsom, Fle Neils exercise? Pope et cet

64 let it be] let be Vaughan
65 you] you'd Ktly
aske his] ask, his Han Johns.
Rann, Huds 11, Words Dono.

63 times] time's Pope et seq

WHITE This [Pope's transposition] is, of course, the sense of the passage, and I, at first, thought it was the true reading, but subsequent reflection has convinced me of the purity of the original text. It is as if the sentence were written, 'Why, then, should your fears,' &c, and for the exigencies of verse the verb is transferred to the next line Similar constructions are not rare in our old dramatists.— KEIGHTLEY (Expositor, p 224) As it is plain, from what went before, that they ['your fears'] should not have that effect, editors have made a transposition of 'then' and 'should' It seems to me, however, that here, as in so many other places, the printer omitted the negative after 'should' I do not perfectly understand 'rest' in 1 57, but it may be tranquillity, tranquil undisturbed possession, a sense it bears in Scripture See Psalm xcv, II, ['Unto whom I sware in my wrath that they should not enter into my rest'] - WRIGHT The argument or enquiry takes the form of an indirect question. The people ask, says Pembroke, why your fears should move you to mew up your tender knisman, etc -MARSHALL, whose paraphrase of the argument is substantially the same as Wright's, considers that not only is the sense of the text clear enough without any alteration, but even queries whether 'the transposition of "then" and "should" does not 'weaken the sentence rather than make it any clearer?'

- 59 to mew vp] WRIGHT That is, to confine as in a mew or coop, to coop up, imprison. Compare. 'This day should Clarence closely be mew'd up'—Richard III. I, i, 38. A mew was a cage for hawks
- 62. exercise] Percy. In the middle ages the whole education of princes and noble youths consisted in martial exercises, &c These could not be easily had in prison, where mental improvements might have been afforded as well as anywhere else, but this sort of education never entered into the thoughts of our active, warlike, but illiterate nobility. [Wright compares 'My father charged you in his will to give me good education, you have trained me like a peasant, obscuring and hiding from me all gentleman-like qualities. The spirit of my father grows strong in me, and I will no longer endure it. therefore allow me such exercises as may become a gentleman, or give me the poor allotery my father left me by testament.'—As You Like It, I, i, 76 et seq]
- 63, 64. times enemies . . . grace occasions] WRIGHT [Do not] give a fair opportunity for attack to those who are opposed to the present condition of things.

^{65.} See note by CAPELL, Il. 58, 59, ante.

Which for our goods, we do no further aske, 66 Then, whereupon our weale on you depending, Counts it your weale he have his liberty Enter Hubert Iohn. Let it be fo . I do commit his youth 70 To your direction Hubert, what newes with you? This is the man should do the bloody de He shew'd his warrant to a friend of mine, The image of a wicked heynous fault Liues in his eye that close aspect of his, 75 Do shew the mood of a much troubled brest, And I do fearefully beleeve 'tis done, What we fo fear'd he had a charge to do. The colour of the King doth come, and go Betweene his purpose and his conscience, 80

66-68 Which liberty] Om Dono 66 goods] good Pope,+ further] farther Coll Sing ii, Wh i, Ktly

67 Then, weale on] Ff, Rowe Than weal (on Cap Than weal, on Pope et cet

you] your Var. '85, Var '21 yours Coll III (MS)

68 weale he his] weal he his Rowe ii, Coll Dyce, Sta Wh 1, Ktly, Cam. +, Fle Huds ii, Words Neils Craig. weal that he have Pope, +

69 Enter Hubert] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb Cam +, Neils Craig After direction, 1 71, Dyce, Sta. Fle. Huds 11, Words After 1 70, Johns et cet

71 direction] direction. 131 Rawe ii et

you?] you? [The king goes a sade with Hubert Han you? [taking him apart Cap. Sta Cam +, Fle Niels Craig you? [Hubert whispers the King Coll Del Wh 1, Dono [A] sade to Hubert, Ktly

73 mine,] mine Pope,+, Coll With 1, Huds Dono Neils

75 a[ped] aspect Steev Varr Sing. Dyce, Sta Fle Huds 11, Words 76 Do] F₂F₃ Doth Dyce 1, Sta Flal. Fle

77 'tis] is Vaughan 80 conscience] conscience Fle.

67 Then, whereupon, etc] HERFORD That is (we ask his liberty no further) than the commonwealth counts it your advantage 'Whereupon' has no distinct meaning, it is apparently suggested by 'depending'

69 Enter Hubert] Rose (Macmillan's Maga, Nov, 1878, p 75) Whoever will read this entire scene as it stands in Shakespeare [and in The Troublesome Raigne] cannot fail to find how very much he has improved it in neatness of construction, in probability, in effectiveness, and even in brevity, though he has doubled the dignity and philosophic fulness of nearly all the chief speeches And throughout the Second Part (which begins with Arthur's death) his alterations are at least as important and successful

78. What we so fear'd For other examples of 'what' used relatively, see ABBOTT, § 252.

80. Betweene . . . conscience] JOHNSON That is, between his consciousness of his guilt and his design to conceal it by fair professions—MALONE Rather,

Like Heralds 'twixt two dreadfull battailes fet 81 His passion is so ripe, it needs must breake And when it breakes, I feare will iffue thence The foule corruption of a fweet childes death 84

81-84 Like death] Om Dono Wh 1, Huds 11 set Neils 81 fet] sent Theob Han Warb 84 childes] child's F4

between the criminal act that he planned and commanded to be executed and the reproaches of his conscience consequent on the execution of it So in Coriol. 'It is a purposed thing and grows by plot '-[III, 1, 38] We have nearly the same expressions afterwards 'Nay, in the body of this fleshly land . Hostility, and civil tumult reigns Between my conscience and my cousin's death,' [ll 255-258 below -- M 'Mason The purpose of the King, which Salisbury alludes to, is that of putting Arthur to death, which he considers as not yet accomplished, and therefore supposes that there might still be a conflict in the King's mind-'Between his purpose and his conscience' So, when Salisbury sees the dead body of Arthur, he says 'It is the shameful work of Hubert's hand The practice and the purpose of the king '-Wright agrees with Malone in the interpretation of this line, and remarks that 'Johnson's explanation is out of keeping with the figure of the two heralds, who represent conflicting forces'

81 Heralds . . set] THEOBALD, in justification of his change, sent, says-'Heralds are not planted in the midst betwixt two lines of battle, though they, and trumpets, are often sent over from party to party, to propose terms, demand a parley, &c'-Johnson 'Set' is not fixed, but only placed, heralds must be set between battles in order to be sent between them —R G WHITE It is strange that both [Theobald and Johnson] should miss the point of the question, which has nothing to do with what was the custom (though that is correctly represented by the corrected text), but with the obvious truth, that the King's color, coming and going, could not be compared to anything set —Arrowsmith (The Editor of N & Q, &c., p 6), commenting on the notes of Theobald and Johnson, says 'The Shakespeare scholar need not be told that the participle "set" agrees not with "heralds," but with "battles," or that "battles set" is a common phrase for armies in array '-DYCE (ed ii) I cannot but differ from Mr Arrowsmith I no more believe that here 'set' agrees with 'battles' than I believe that 'set' agrees with 'battles' in the following of Henry V. 'The French are bravely in their battles set '-IV, iii, 69 -WRIGHT 'Set' refers to 'battles' and not to 'heralds.' and there is therefore no necessity with Theobald to change it to sent. [The consensus of opinion is in favor of Arrowsmith's explanation -ED |

82 passion] MURRAY (N E D, s v III, 6 c) A fit or mood marked by stress of feeling, or abandonment to emotion; a transport of excited feeling, an outburst of feeling. [Compare III, iii, 43]

83. when it breakes Johnson. This is but an indelicate metaphor, taken from an imposthumated tumour [In this Shakespeare is, however, not the only offender. Robertson (p. 450) notes that 'Ben Jonson, in his English Grammar, quotes from Sir John Cheke the sentence "Sedition is an aposteam, which, when it breaketh inwardly, putteth the state in great danger of recovery, and corrupteth the whole commonwealth with the rotten fury that it hath putrified with "'-ED] Iohn We cannot hold mortalities ftrong hand
Good Lords, although my will to giue, is living,
The fuite which you demand is gone, and dead
He tels vs Arthur is deceas'd to night
Sal. Indeed we fear'd his fickneffe was paft cure.
Pem. Indeed we heard how neere his death he was,
Before the childe himfelfe felt he was ficke.
This must be answer'd either heere, or hence.
Ioh. Why do you bend such solemne browes on me?
Thinke you I beare the Sheeres of destiny?
Haue I commandement on the pulse of life?

Sal. It is apparant foule-play, and'tis shame That Greatnesse should so grossely offer it, So thriue it in your game, and so farewell

98

85. hand] hand — [turning to the Lords Cap [coming forward Sta Fle hand — Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing i, Knt, Dyce, Hal Cam +, Craig Words

or. ficke] sick Rowe,+, Coll Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Rlfe, Dono Neils 96 foule-play] foul play Cap Sta Cam 1,+, Dono Neils Craig foul-play Fle
98 game,] Ff, Rowe,+ game, Coll
Wh 1, Huds 1 game! Cap et cet
farewell] farewel! Theob Han
Warb Johns

- 88 He tels vs Arthur is deceas'd] OECHELHAUSER (Emfithrungen, etc., 1, 10). In this scene wherein John manifests grief for the death of Arthur, and later, joy at his preservation, the actor must, above all, avoid the indication of veritable grief or joy. It is political success or failure alone that influences John. He mourns Arthur's death only because it drives the nobles into revolt, against them even, he moves but weakly. He rejoices over Arthur's preservation only because he sees therein a means of allaying that uprising which has spread to the people, threatening the throne, which he had thought to strengthen by the second coronation
- 92. This must be answer'd] KNIGHT (Studies, p. 205) This is as knell in John's ears. Throughout this scene the king is prostrate before his nobles,—it is the prostration of guilt without the energy that too often accompanies it. Contrast the scene with the unconquerable intellectual activity of Richard III, who never winces at reproach, seeing only the success of his crimes and not the crimes themselves—as, for example, his answer in the scene where his mother and the widow of Edward upbraid him with his murders.

'A flourish, trumpets, strike alarum, drums! Let not the heavens hear these tell-tale women Rail on the Lord's anointed '—IV, iv, 148

- 93 bend] MURRAY (N. E. D, s v. III, 21) To direct, turn, or incline (the eyes or ears) in the direction of anything seen or heard. [Wright compares: 'See, how the ugly witch doth bend her brows!'—I Henry VI V, ii, 34]
- 97 grossely offer it] WRIGHT. That is, clumsily attempt it So in *I Henry IV* 'A mighty and a fearful head they are, If promises be kept on every hand, As ever offer'd foul play in a state'—III, 11, 69
 - 98 So thriue it in your game] CAPELL (I, pt u, p 133). Salisbury's wish, or

Pem. Stay yet (Lord Salisbury) Ile go with thee,
And finde th'inheritance of this poore childe,
His little kingdome of a forced graue
That blood which ow'd the bredth of all this Ile,
Three foot of it doth hold; bad world the while
This must not be thus borne, this will breake out
To all our forrowes, and ere long I doubt

Exeunt

105

Io. They burn in indignation I repent:
Enter Mes.

101 forced] forced Dyce, Fle Huds
11, Words
102 ow'd] own'd Pope, Theob Warb

Johns

bredth] Ff breath Rowe, Var '03, '13, '21 breadth Pope et cet Ile] Isle F₃F₄

103 hold, hold Sing 11, Sta. Ktly, Fle Dono

while] Ff, Rowe, Fle while Coll 1, 11, Wh 1 while! Pope et cet

104 borne,] borne Neils

105 Exeunt] Exeunt Lords Cap et

ro6 Enter Mef] Enter Messenger Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb Neils. after death, 1 ro8 Johns et cet

Scene III Pope, Han Warb. Johns A Room in the Palace, Kemble (after l. 108)

106-108 Aside Rowe 11, Pope, Theob Han Warb Cap

his imprecation rather, has a briefness in its expression that makes it dark the sense is 'So thrive it with you in your game as your game deserves!' game is—play, the cards John had to manage [Ivor John explains these words as meaning May the rest of your policy thrive in the same unskilful manner—And Moore Smith, May it have the success its clumsy execution deserves.—ED]

ror. a forced graue] VAUGHAN (1, 64) There is an equivocation in these words. 'A forced grave' means first and simply, according to the style of Shakespeare's age, 'a tomb carefully and artificially raised' So, 'Under this forced mount they make a little hollow vawt, and leave a hole open where they may go down,' North's Plutarch, Numa, p 68 The second meaning in the equivocation is 'a grave into which he is brought by violent means,' and will be at the present day much more obvious to the reader than is the direct and simple signification of the words. [Schmidt (Lex.), s v force, 3, gives numerous examples of this word used in the sense of to bring about by violence—ED]

103. bad world the while! WRIGHT compares Richard III III, vi, 10 'Here's a good world the while! why who's so gross, That seeth not this palpable device?' [The meaning is sufficiently apparent in both places The present time is a bad time—ED!

ro6 I repent] SNIDER (ii, 309) A great change is now to be observed in the King He becomes suspicious in mind and dilatory in action, he is plotting to secure the title which springs from birth, and he gives the nation over to discord from within, and to invasion from without He has done a great wrong, guilt destroys his mental repose and undermines his mental activity. His opposition to the Church has also turned into an abuse, he plunders it for money, instead of resisting its political encroachments. The struggle, both with Arthur and with Rome, has been pushed beyond the limit of right into the realm of violation. Such is generally the case with the conqueror; he knows no bounds, and he ends by subverting in victory the very principle which he fought to establish. The psycho-

There is no fure foundation fet on blood:

No certaine life atchieu'd by others death.

A fearefull eye thou haft Where is that blood,

That I haue feene inhabite in those cheekes?

So foule a skie, cleeres not without a ftorme,

Poure downe thy weather how goes all in France?

Mes. From France to England, neuer such a powre

109 haft] Ff, Knt, Del Sta Fle
Dono Neils hast, Rowe et cet
[To the Messenger Rowe, Pope,
Theob Han Warb
112 weather] weather Johns Var.

73, Coll Sing 11, Del Sta Wh 1, Fle. Huds 11, Rife, Dono Neils
113 England, Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb England—Cap. England Roderick, Johns et cet

logical change and the political change exactly correspond—one reflects the other. [The nobles in revolting] fall, however, into as deep a violation as the king—they become assailants of the nation — Their wrong is manifest—they would sacrifice the independence of their country to their moral indignation—Conscience now turns against nationality, as, in the case of the French King, it turned against the Church—This is the most modern of all collisions to be found in Shakespeare, for it belongs, in its full development, to our own times, it gives an expression of the conflict between the individual sense of duty and the authority of institutions. But in the scope and intensity it has in the present age, it does not belong to the Shakespearean world

112 thy weather] WRIGHT That is, thy tempest Compare 'They are louder than the weather or our office'—Tempest, I, 1, 40. And Winter's Tale 'Both roaring louder than the sea or weather'—III, 111, 104

113 From France to England . . . a powre] Roderick (ap Edwards, p. 254) The meaning is, that 'There never was such a power levied by France, for any foreign preparation, as this, wherewith they are at present ready to invade us' But the construction, as it stands, will scarcely bear this. With the alteration of the pointing all proceeds easily 'How goes all in France?' (says the King). 'From France to England' (answers the Messenger), 1 e, All in France goes from France to England—and then goes on describing the formidable power designed for the invasion as if every man in France were engaged in it. This may perhaps be called a poor concert, but, I doubt, it is but too likely that Shakespeare intended it -- Johnson follows Roderick's proposed pointing and gives substantially the same explanation, without assigning either of these to another Johnson's edition and Edwards's volume, in which Roderick's Remarks appear, bear the same date, but, masmuch as Johnson in his Preface refers to The Canons of Criticism, it is but just to credit Roderick with the priority of this change which has been almost universally adopted -ED |-CAPELL (I, pt u; p 133). The full junction that moderns make of these words that follow [England] destroys every appearance of sense and consistency The Folio's [punctuation] directs in part to the present disjunction [see Text Notes], and serves a little to authorise it, its explication is this. The Messenger enters frighted and hastily; hence the imperfection of his answer's beginning, which tended (as we may think) to tell his king the particulars of the 'power' that was coming, but, instead of proceeding, launches suddenly mto the ensuing assertion, in a persuasion that what he meant

For any forraigne preparation,

Was leuied in the body of a land

The Copie of your speede is learn'd by them

For when you should be told they do prepare,

The tydings comes, that they are all arriu'd.

Ioh Oh where hath our Intelligence bin drunke?

Where hath it slept? Where is my Mothers care?

114 forrargne] foreign F₄
preparation] preparation Fle
118 comes] F₂F₃, Del Cam +, Fle.
Dono Neils Craig. come F₄, Rowe et

120 Where
(ap Dyce ii)
care] e

120 Where is] Where was Lettsom
(ap Dyce ii)

care] ear Dyce ii, iii, Coll iii,
Fle Huds ii, Words care Ff et cet

to set forth were better done in that way —[Capell refers to Roderick's proposed change with disapproval, and to his explanation as 'a most unlikely interpreting of the words'—ED]—PYE (p 147) This seems to me the sense of the passage The king enquires about France, the messenger replies, Turn your thoughts from France to England, for thither is the war now transferred, which was the object of his enquiry, the French being already landed

119, 120 Intelligence. slept] MALONE compares 'Was the drunke hope drunk Wherein you dress'd yourself? hath it slept since?'—Macbeth, I, vii, 35. 120 Where is my Mothers care] WALKER (Crit, ii, 3), before having examined the Folio text, and therefore not knowing that there was any doubt about the word 'eare' or care, said 'Care is prosaic and un-Shakespearean Shakespeare wrote eare', and thereupon produced many examples from other authors wherein the word care was evidently a misprint for eare — CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note XXIV) We are inclined to believe that [the first letter of this word] is a broken 'e' and not a broken 'c,' and in this we are supported by the opinion of Sir F Madden and Mr Hamilton. Mr Staunton informs us that in Lord Ellesmere's Folio it is more like a defective Italic e than any other letter, but in the two copies of F₁ before us it is certainly Roman, whether 'c' or 'e' On the other hand, Mr Charles Wright is in favour of an italic c. Under these circumstances we have left 'care' in the text -C & M. COWDEN CLARKE We think that 'care' accords better [than 'ear'] with the previous word 'intelligence,' while the Messenger's word 'ear' is sufficiently suggested by the king's words, 'And she not hear of it?'-DYCE (ed 1), in reference to Walker's conjecture ear, says, briefly 'I cannot agree with him' [In his ed ii Dyce, without reference to his former opinion, remarks that 'This reading ['ear'] (which the context plainly requires) is, in fact, that of the Folio, where, however, the word, at first sight, looks like 'care'—ED]—J HUNTER It is probable from the context that eare was Shakespeare's word [Hunter, however, retains 'care' in his text]-Wright From the messenger's answer [the Folio reading] would seem to have been 'eare', but 'her ear,' l 123, may have been suggested by the nearer 'hear' of the King's speech, and not by the more distant word, whether it were 'care' or 'eare.'-[The importance of Walker's list of examples wherein the word care or cares has been evidently misprinted for eare or eares is not to be lightly gainsaid, though I am not prepared to concur with him in his remark that the expression 'Where is my mother's care' is 'un-Shakespearean'; unusual it certainly is, but not more so than many others. There is, however, a That fuch an Army could be drawne in France, And she not heare of it? 121

Mef My Liege, her eare
Is flopt with dust the first of Aprill di'de
Your noble mother, and as I heare, my Lord,
The Lady Constance in a frenzie di'de

125

121 could] flould F₄, Rowe,+ 124 Aprill] April F₂ 124, 126 di'de] dy'd F₃F₄ 125 Your] you Rowe 11 (misprint). 126 frenzie] frenze F2

curious corroboration of the view that the letter here in question is a c and not an e In 1 64, Act II, sc 1 occurs the word 'marches', in V, 11, 139 the word 'sawcinesse,' and the fourth letter in both these words is unmistakably the same damaged type as was used here for the first letter, there can be no doubt in the words 'marches' and 'sawcinesse' as to the letter intended, and I am therefore of the opinion that the word here is 'care' and not eare, the broken letter is an Italic c with the head slightly injured, were it an e there would surely remain some trace of the broken loop. It is, moreover, of a different Italic font than that used commonly in the Folio for proper nouns This, unfortunately, cannot be shown in the present reprint of the Folio, but the curious student may notice it, if in a copy of the Folio comparison be made between this damaged type and the c, for example, in Constance, line 126 just below. Furthermore, a comparison of this broken letter in the three words shows a marked deterioration. In 'marches' it is practically unbroken, in the present line it is imperfect, and in 'sawcinesse' it is so far gone that it was evidently cast aside after this. It is a fact sufficiently well known that certain errors of the press were corrected while a volume was printing, thus variations are found in copies of the Folio A damaged type is sometimes replaced by one that is perfect. In the hope that such might have occurred in the present case I have examined nineteen copies of the First Folio. but in no case could I perceive that there has been any substitution, an additional proof, possibly, that the printers considered the word 'care' in agreement with their copy, had the Author's word been eare it is quite likely that the damaged type would have called their attention to this evident misprint, and it thus might have been corrected Even without this small corroboration that the word is not eare, the rest of the sentence seems to require some such word as 'care.' For John to ask, Where is my mother's ear that she did not hear of this? is almost tautological —ED]

124. the first of Aprill di'de] Boswell Stone (p. 61) Historic time vanishes when, after John's Barons have departed, he is informed by a messenger that the French 'are all arriv'd'. news which transports us from 1202 to 1216. But when, after brief questions, John is apprized of his mother's death on the first of April, we are borne back to 1204, in which year 'queene Elinor, the mother of King John, departed this life, consumed rather through sorow and anguish of mind than of any other naturall infirmitie' (Holinshed, iii, 167). Perhaps Shakespeare chose April 1 for the day because a celestial appearance—of such sort as was believed to forbode the departure of great persons—is mentioned under the same year, and on the same page, which contains the record of her decease

126. Constance in a frenzie dr'de] BUCKNELL (Mad Folk, etc., p. 284): The

Three dayes before: but this from Rumors tongue		
I idely heard 'if true, or false I know not.		
Iohn With-hold thy speed, dreadfull Occasion		
O make a league with me, 'tıll I haue pleas'd	130	
My discontented Peeres What? Mother dead?		
How wildely then walkes my Estate in France?	132	

127 before] before!	Hal	before
Ktly, Rlfe	_		_
128 <i>idely</i>]	idlely Th	eob 11,	Warb
adly Han et	seq	-	
129-131 V	Vrth-hold	Peeres] Om
Bell			
129 Occasi	on] occasion	: Fle	

131 Peeres] Ff, Rowe, +, Coll Wh 1, Huds Dono Craig peers! Cap et cet.

What?] My Pope, Han What, my Bell What, Wh i, Ktly

132 France?] France! Coll Sing ii, Dyce, Del Hal Wh Cam +, Huds. Words Dono Neils Craig

frightful spectacle of acute mania pursuing its course to a fatal end was no fit subject for dramatic representation. Shakespeare exhibited the growing horror to the extreme limit which decent regard to human weakness permitted, and then mercifully drew the veil. The spectacle of sleepless nights and restless days, of fierce raving and desperate outrage until exhausted nature sinks, this he could not well exhibit to the public gaze. In one short line he tells the end. This concealment of the horrors of furious mania, although their existence is indicated, has its parallel in the treatment of the death of the Queen in Cymbeline. The strong mind of this bad woman, one who 'bears down all with her brain,' is lost in maniacal frenzy, brought on by the disappointment of her schemes. The horror of the desperate bed is withheld. Its termination only is recorded with the frenzied confession of her wickedness.

127 Three dayes before] FRENCH (p 20) The Lady Constance died at Nantes in the year 1201, August 31, therefore long before the death of Queen Elinor, although in the play the events are described as taking place close together.

128 idely] WRIGHT. That is, carelessly, without taking interest in it, or troubling to make further enquiry. Compare 'As in a theatre, the eyes of men After a well-graced actor leaves the stage, Are idly bent on him that enters next.'—Richard II V, ii, 25 [See V, i, 77]

129. Occasion] WRIGHT: That is, the course of events which were following each other in rapid succession. Compare 'We see which way the stream of time doth run, And are enforced from our most quiet there By the rough torrent of occasion.'—2 Henry IV. IV, i, 72. See also the present play, I, ii, 87—IVOR JOHN Perhaps we may best render 'occasion' by hour of trial

131 discontented MOBERLY Pronounce (as in 'heat' for heated), 'My discontent peers What' my mother dead!'

131, 132 What? Mother dead? ... in France] FLETCHER (p 37). The nature of the moral tie between Elinor and John—a bond much more of common interest than affection—contrasts finely, throughout the piece, with the mutual tenderness between Constance and her son. The 'little prince' desires not greatness at all, and his mother desires it only for his sake. Elinor and John love power equally for its own sake; but as for personal affection, the mother-queen loves the greatness of her son chiefly for the sway which it secures to herself, while John betrays no spark of filial, any more than he does of any other, attachment. He loves everybody, even his royal mother, just after the fashion that he so vehemently

Vnder whole conduct came those powres of France,

133

133 came] come Han Coll 11 (MS), 133 France,] France? F₄ Dyce 11, 111, Huds 11 Words

protests he loves Hubert—that is, exactly so far as he can use them Thus, in his exclamation upon hearing of Elinor's death, we find the language not of affection, but of sheer self-interest Elinor, indeed, is shown here, as in history, to have been John's political genius, infusing such spirit and sagacity as had found their way into his councils, and accordingly, in the course of righteous retribution which forms the sequel of the play, the death of Elinor by the hand of heaven is made by the dramatist to follow immediately upon that brought upon Constance by maternal 'My mother dead!' is the exclamation we find John still anguish and despair repeating [1 189] Feeling the sole stay of his mean and cowardly spirit to be thus struck from him at the moment when he needed it the most, we find his resolution thenceforward utterly paralysed, we see him staggering on from one personal and political meanness to another, abandoning wholly to his 'valiant kinsman Faulconbridge' 'the ordering of this present time', and dying at last, in spite of all that kinsman's eloquent exhortations, not like a brother of Cœur-de-Lion, with harness on his back, but like a craven plunderer of monastic treasuries, with poison in his stomach — Stubbs (Memoriale Walteri Coventriae, vol 11, Preface, p xxviii) The strength of John at the beginning of his reign consisted chiefly in the support of four persons his mother Elinor, who maintained by prestige and intrigue his hold on the continent, Hubert Walter, Geoffrey Fitz-Peter, and William Marshall, who, as the chief officers in church and state, continued the régime of Henry II. in England Their support was strong enough not merely to obtain his succession, but to keep up his position for many years, notwithstanding his neglect of their advice and the many acts of tyranny and folly which they strove in vain-to counter-And it is important to note that just as the position of the Angevin dynasty in France collapses on the death of Elinor, so in England the death of Hubert Walter marks the break-up of friendly relations between the king and the church, and the death of Geoffrey Fitz-Peter the final rupture with the baronage, after which the very existence of the royal line depends for years on the adhesion of William Marshall and on the political influence of a new agency, the direct interference of the Popes

132 wildely...walkes] Steevens So in one of the Paston Letters, vol in, p 99: 'The country of Norfolk and Suffolk stand right wildly'—[Marshall, in reference to this example, says 'But for this instance of a similar use of the word we might be tempted to think 'wildly' a mistake for vildly, i. e, vilely']—Malone That is, how ill my affairs go in France—The verb to 'walk' is used with great license by old writers. It often means to go So, in the Continuation of Hardyng's Chronicle, 1543. 'Evil words walke far' Again, in Fenner's Compter's Commonwealth, 1618 'The keeper admiring he could not hear his prisoner's tongue walk all this while.' [We need not seek so far afield for examples of 'walk' in the sense of move; compare. 'Affairs that walk, As they say spirits do, at midnight, have In them a wilder nature than the business That seeks dispatch by day.'—Henry VIII: V, i, 13 And. '—those dancing chips O'er whom thy fingers walk with gentle gait.'—Sonnet exxisii, l 11—ED.]—Collier (ed ii), in corroboration of the justice of the MS correction, come, says 'John is speaking of present danger from a present leader.'

That thou for truth giu'st out are landed heere?

Mes Vnder the Dolphin

135

Enter Bastard and Peter of Pomfret

Ioh Thou hast made me giddy

With these ill tydings Now? What sayes the world To your proceedings? Do not seeke to stuffe My head with more ill newes for it is full

140

Bast. But if you be a-feard to heare the worst,Then let the worst vn-heard, fall on your headIohn Beare with me Cosen, for I was amaz'd

Vnder the tide; but now I breath againe Aloft the flood, and can give audience

145

135 Dolphin] Ff, Fle Dolphin's Ktly Dauphin Rowe et cet

136 Enter Pomfret] After tydings, l 138 Johns Var '73, Dyce, Hal Cam +, Huds 11, Words Craig After world, l 138 Coll 111

Baftard Faulconbridge Theob Warb Johns Var '73, '78, '85, Rann Richard Dono

and Pomfret | Om Bell

138 tydings | tidings Rowe 11 et seq Now? | Ff, Fle Now, Rowe et

cet

141 a-feard] afraid F₄, Rowe,+

afeard F₂F₃, Cap et cet

142 worst vn-heard,] Ff worst unheard Rowe,+, Cam+, Neils worst, unheard, Cap et cet.

144 breath] Ff, Rowe, Theob 11, Warb Cap breathe Pope et cet

136 Peter of Pomfret] LLOYD (Critical Essay, ap SINGER, 11, p 336) The introduction of Peter of Pomfret, and the indication of the popular agitation and uneasiness with which he is connected, could not be spared in a play that is to be introductory to the histories in several of which popular commotion was to play so large a part We may recognize in these delusions the seed-bed of the wild and foolish sects, as in the indicated position of the clergy, the confiscation and plunder, that came on with the better consequences of the reformation. In this earliest play and remotest action it was easy to indicate without offence the necessity for harmonising the influences of Church and State, so far at least that they might work if not together, not in opposition - Douce This man was a hermit in great repute with the common people Notwithstanding the event is said to have fallen out as he prophesied, the poor fellow was inhumanly dragged at horses' tails through the streets of Warham, and, together with his son, who appears to have been even more innocent than his father, hanged afterwards upon a gibbet -GREY Speed (History of Great Britain, p 400) observes that Peter the Hermit was suborned by the Pope's Legate, the French king, and the Barons for this purpose - OECHELHAUSER (Einfuhrungen, 1, 29) On the entrance of the Prophet of Pomfret, I have introduced, by means of an added stage-direction, a crowd of attendants and the people, which is really a shortened restoration of a scene with the people, contained in the older play, but of which Shakespeare did not make use. It seemed to me that it would be effective thus to give a visible embodiment of the anger and alarm described by Hubert and the Bastard. The bloody judgment of the Prophet drives the rabble frightened and dismayed from the presence of the King [Kemble omits the character of Peter of Pomfret.—ED]

145. Aloft] WRIGHT: Johnson in his Dictionary gives an instance of 'aloft'

To any tongue, speake it of what it will Bast How I have speed among the Clergy men, The summes I have collected shall expresse Proceedings of the state of the land.	146
But as I trauail'd hither through the land, I finde the people strangely fantasied,	150
Possest with rumors, full of idle dreames,	
Not knowing what they feare, but full of feare	
And here's a Prophet that I brought with me	
From forth the streets of Pomfret, whom I found	
With many hundreds treading on his heeles	155
To whom he fung in rude harsh founding rimes,	
That ere the next Ascension day at noone,	
Your Highnes should deliuer vp your Crowne	
Iohn Thou idle Dreamer, wherefore didst thou so?	
Pet Fore-knowing that the truth will fall out fo	160
Iohn Hubert, away with him imprison him,	
And on that day at noone, whereon he fayes	
I shall yeeld vp my Crowne, let him be hang'd.	
Deliuer him to fafety, and returne,	
For I must vie thee. O my gentle Cosen,	165
Hear'st thou the newes abroad, who are arriu'd?	
Bast. The French (my Lord) mens mouths are ful of it	
Besides I met Lord Bigot, and Lord Salisburie	168

147 Clergy men] F₂F₃ Clergy-men F₄, Rowe, Pope, Theob 1, Han Cam Glo. Cla clergymen Theob 11 et cet 148 express? Ff, Rowe, Coll Wh 1, Huds. express Pope et cet 149 travail'd travel'd F₄ 151. Posses'd Cap. et seq 153-165 And here's refe thee] Om. Bell.

Pope et seq
156 rimes] rhymes Steev et seq
159 thou [o] thou say so Cap. Varr.
Mal Rann
162 whereon] whereof Neils
165 [Exit Hubert with Peter. Theob.
et seq
167 French (my Lord)] French, my
Lord; Rowe et seq
168. Bigot] Essex Bell.

as a preposition from Milton's Paradise Lost, Bk iii. (1 576): 'Aloft the vulgar constellations thick,' but it is a misprint, either of his own or of the edition which he followed, for 'A loof'—[Murray (N. E. D., s. v. aloft B.) gives, besides the present line, three other examples of 'aloft' used as a preposition. '1500, Hawes Past. Pl., xxxviii, iii, Alofte the basse toure foure ymages stode 1600, Chapman, Ihad, xix, 93. They bear her still aloft mens heads. 1613, Campion, in Arber's Garner, III, 279: Aloft the trees... Our silent harps we pensive hung.'

164. Deliuer him to safety] JOHNSON That is, give him into safe custody.
168. Lord Bigot] WRIGHT. He is called in the old play Richard, earle of Bigot,
and in Holinshed Richard, earle de Bigot. Whether this is an error for Roger
Bigod, Earl of Norfolf, it is difficult to say. [See Dram Persona, 9 Bigot]

With eyes as red as new enkindled fire, And others more, going to feeke the graue	170
Of Arthur, whom they say is kill'd to night, on your	-/-
Iohn Gentle kınıman, go (fuggestion.	
And thrust thy selfe into their Companies,	
I have a way to winne their loues againe:	
Bring them before me.	175
Bast I will seeke them out	
Iohn Nay, but make haste the better soote before.	
O, let me haue no subject enemies,	
When aduerle Forreyners affright my Townes	
With dreadfull pompe of flout inuafion	180
Be Mercurie, fet feathers to thy heeles,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0-
And flye (like thought) from them, to me againe	182

169 With fire,] In parentheses Cap Var '78, '85, Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce 1, Sta Hal

new enkindled] new-enkindled

Pope et seq

171 whom] Ff, Rowe, Del Hal Cam +, Fle Neils. Craig. who Pope et cet.

As two lines, ending night. fuggestion Rowe is et seq 173. Companies, company Pope, Han companies Cap. Varr Mal Rann, Steev. Varr. Sing. Knt. Dyce, Sta Cam.

Glo Cla Wh 11, Words companies Coll Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Rlfe, Dono Neils Craig.

177–180 the better inuasion] Om Bell

178 O,] O' Coll Del Wh 1, Huds 1, Craig

[subsect] subsects Ff, Rowe, Pope, Han

179 Forreyners Foreigners F₄
180 inuafion | Ff, Rowe,+, Coll.
Del Wh. i, Huds i, Dono Craig invasion. Fle invasion! Cap. et cet.

171 whom they say ABBOTT (§ 410) quotes as a similar confusion of two constructions with whom 'Young Ferdinand whom they suppose is drown'd '—
Tempest, III, 111, 92. The two constructions being 'Ferdinand who, they suppose, 15 drowned', and 'whom they suppose to be drowned.' That the idiom may be thus explained Abbott shows by a passage in Matthew, xv1, 13, where all the versions, except Wickliffe's, have 'Whom do men say that I, the son of man, am?' Wickliffe has 'Whom seien men to be mannes sone'

171 suggestion] That is, secret incitement, temptation Compare III, 1, 227; and for other examples see, if needful, SCHMIDT (Lex., S. v. 2)

174 a way to winne their loues] Miss PORTER What was this? See also ll. 130, 131. Another hint at the granting of the Charter as a concession serving his present need? [From John's behaviour to Hubert it is, I think, more likely that his method of winning back the Peers was to consist in laying all the blame for Arthur's death on Hubert.—ED]

177 the better foote before] WRIGHT compares. 'Come on, my lords, the better foot before'—Titus Andronicus, II, in, 192. [MURRAY (N. E. D.) quotes the latter line as the earliest example of this use of the phrase. It does not, however, follow that the author (I am loath to accuse Shakespeare) of that unpleasant tragedy is the originator of the expression.—ED]

Bast. The spirit of the time shall teach me speed Exit 183 Iohn Spoke like a sprightfull Noble Gentleman Go after him for he perhaps shall neede 185 Some Messenger betwixt me, and the Peeres, And be thou hee Mef With all my heart, my Liege. Iohn. My mother dead? Enter Hubert. 190 My Lord, they fay fiue Moones were feene to Foure fixed, and the fift did whirle about (night: The other foure, in wondrous motion Ioh Five Moones? Hub.Old men, and Beldames, in the streets 195

184-188 Iohn Spoke Liege] Om
Bell
188 [Exit Rowe et seq
190 Scene iv Pope, Han Warb
Johns.

190 Enter] Re-enter Cap et seq
192 fixed] fixed Dyce, Fle. Huds ii,
Words
fift] fifth F₃F₄

Do prophesie vpon it dangerously.

189 My mother dead] MOBERLY Some real sorrow seems intended, not merely regret that his mother's politic wisdom is now lost to him [See note by Fletcher, 1 131, ante]

roi. fine Moones were seene tonight] Grey (1, 297) This incident is mentioned by few of our historians. I have met with it nowhere but in Matthew of Westminster and Polydore Vergil, with a small alteration. [Besides the early chroniclers mentioned by Grey, this incident of the five moons is related by Roger of Wendover who wrote in 1235 (vol. 11, 200), by Grafton, 1569 (vol. 1, 231), and by Holinshed, 1577 (vol. 111, 163). In the older play the five moons appear above—ED]

195-212. Old men... of Arthurs death] MARSHALL This powerful description, so vivid in all its details, reads like the result of personal observation Could Shakespeare have observed such signs of popular excitement after the execution of Mary Queen of Scots? In the old play there is no parallel to this passage, the hint for which may have been taken from Holmshed. 'For the space of fifteene daies this rumour incessentlie run through both the realmes of England and France, and there was ringing for him through townes and villages, as it had beene for his funerals' (vol. 11, p. 286).

106 prophesie! WRIGHT: 'Prophesy' in this passage appears to be used not so much in the sense of foretelling future events predicted by this phenomenon as in that of commenting upon and expounding the phenomenon itself, making it the text of a dangerous discourse Jeremy Taylor's Liberty of Prophesying was not the liberty of predicting future events, but of expounding scripture The religious exercise known by this name is described by Bacon in Considerations touching the Edification and Pocification of the Church of England (Letters and Life, ed Spedding, iii, 119).

ACT 1V, SC. 11]	OF KING	IOHN	321
Yong Arthurs death is			197
And when they talke			.ds,
And whifper one anot			
And he that speakes,	doth gripe th	ne hearers wrist	, 200
Whilft he that heares	, mak e s feare	efull action	
With wrinkled browe	s, with nods,	with rolling ey	es.
I faw a Smith stand v	with his hami	mer (thus)	
The whilft his Iron di	d on the An	uile coole,	
With open mouth fwa	allowing a Ta	aylors newes,	205
Who with his Sheeres	, and Meafur	re in his hand.	
Standing on flippers,	•	•	
Had fallely thrust vp			208

108-212 Mnemonic Pope, Warb et cet 207, 208 which feete. Ff. Rowe. +. 208 contrary contráry Steev Vart. Cam +, Neils In parentheses, Cap Sing Knt, Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words.

200, 202 And he that speakes. with rolling eyes | MALONE This description may be compared with a spirited passage in Edward III 'Our men with open mouths and staring eyes Look on each other, as they did attend Each others words, and yet no creature speaks, A tongue ty'd fear hath made a midnight hour, And speeches sleep through all the waking regions,' [IV, v, ed Collier, p 80]

207, 208 slippers. . vpon contrary feetel Boswell The following notes afford a curious specimen of the difficulties which may arise from the fluctuations What has called forth the antiquarian knowledge of so many learned commentators is again become the common practice at this day - Johnson I know not how the commentators understand this important passage, which, in Dr Warburton's edition, is marked as eminently beautiful, and, on the whole, not without justice But Shakespeare seems to have confounded the man's shoes with his gloves. He that is affrighted or hurried may put his hand into the wrong glove, but either shoe will equally admit either foot. The Author seems to be disturbed by the disorder which he describes - THEOBALD, in a letter to Warburton dated Ian 6, 1720, remarked on this same fact of having 'shoes or slippers particular for one foot, and not the other,' but omitted all reference to this in his (See Nichols, 11, 392) Johnson's note called forth a ventable shower of references selected by Steevens. Tollet, and Malone to show that in Shakespeare's time shoes were shaped as the ancient sandals for the right and left foot. These quotations occupy a page and a half in the Variorum of 1821, but do not, I think, need to be given the same amount of space here The very fact of Shakespeare's mentioning 'contrary feet' seems sufficient proof of the fashion. Malone in concluding his note says. 'It should be remembered that tailors generally work barefooted; a circumstance which Shakespeare probably had in his thoughts when he wrote this passage. I believe the word "contrary," in his time, was frequently accented on the second syllable, and that it was intended to be so here So Spenser, "That with the wind contrary courses sew"-Facrie Queene' (Bk IV, cant ix, verse 26). As regards 'contrary' used as an adjective, Malone is quite right; but the noun, 'the contrary,' is uniformly accented on the first syllable.-Ivon JOHN cogently remarks that 'Johnson's curious note would never have been written Told of a many thousand warlike French,

That were embattailed, and rank'd in Kent.

Another leane, vnwash'd Artificer,

Cuts off his tale, and talkes of Arthurs death

Io Why seek'st thou to possesse me with these feares?

Why vrgest thou so oft yong Arthurs death?

Thy hand hath murdred him I had a mighty cause

To wish him dead, but thou hadst none to kill him

H No had (my Lord?) why, did you not prouoke me?

209 Told He told Long MS ap. Cam 11

210 embattaıled] embatteled F₄, Rowe, +, Varr Mal Rann, Steev Var. '03, '13, Knt, Del Huds 1 embattaıled Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

215 murdred] F₂, Fle Neils murdered F₃F₄ murther'd Rowe, Pope, Theob Han Warb Rife murder'd Cap et cet

215, 216 I had kill him] Two lines, the first ending dead, Dono 215. I had] I'd Vaughan, Words 215 a mighty cause la cause Pope, + (—Var '73) mighty cause Steev Var '03, '13, Walker (Crit, 1, 37), Words

217 H] Hub Rowe et seq

No had Had none Rowe 11,+, Cap Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr Sing 1, Coll 1, Del 1, Huds 1, Dono None had Knt No cause Del conj (withdrawn), Cartwright

why,] why F4, Rowe 1
you not] not you Var '78, '85,
Rann

if he had tried to suggest a reason why Shakespeare should have alluded to an obvious impossibility'—We may also say that his note would have been modified had Johnson consulted any work on the history of fashions or of costume, but we need not further continue this discussion à propos des bottes—ED]

209 a many] WRIGHT So in Henry V. IV, 1, 127 'So should he be sure to be ransomed, and a many poor men's lives saved' [Compare also I, 1, 193, see, if needful, ABBOTT, § 87]

210 embattailed] WRIGHT That is, set in order of battle See *Henry V*. IV, ii, 14, where the first Folio has 'The English are embattail'd, you French Peeres'

217. No had (my Lord?)] Collier (ed 1) 'No had' may have been misprinted for 'None had', but it is more likely that Hubert took up and repeated the King's words—Arrowsmith (N. & Q, 1853, I, vin, 520) produced a number of examples of this mode of speech, no did, no will, no had; it is, however, not necessary to give all of these, but two or three are sufficient to prove, as Arrowsmith wished, that here the Folio is quite right and those who wished to amend it wrong '—the whole world Yields not a workman that can frame the like Fort. No does?'—Old Fortunatus (Old Eng. Plays, ed Dilke, in, p 140). 'I am an elde fellowe of fifty wynter and more, And yet in all my lyfe I knewe not this before Person. No dyd, why sayest thou so, upon thyselfe thou lyest'—John Bon and Mast. Person, [Percy Soc. Pub., vol xxx, p 15] 'Chedsey Christ said, "Take, eat, this is my body", and not "Take ye, eat yea" Philpot No did, master doctor?'—Foxe's Acts and Monuments, vol vii, p. 637, Catley's edition 'Careless. No, forsooth; I do not know any such, nor have I heard of him that I wot of Martin No have, forsooth' and it is even he that hath written against this faith.'

Iohn It is the curse of Kings, to be attended

218-224 Mnemonic Warb

—Ibid, p 665 [Collier (ed 11), evidently influenced by these examples, restored the Folio text without referring to Arrowsmith, but instancing a somewhat similar form 'No hath not?'—As You Like It, I, 111, 193—ED]—WRIGHT, in addition to those examples collected by Arrowsmith, quotes Peele's Edward I 'Q Elinor You will not leave? let be I say Longsh I must be better chid Q Elin No will?'—ed Dyce (1861), p 392

218 It is the curse of Kings, etc] WARBURTON This plainly hints at Davison's case in the affair of Mary Queen of Scots, and so must have been inserted long after the first representation - Capell (I, pt 11, p 134) is inclined to subscribe to the truth of the first part of Warburton's remark that there is here a reference to Davison's case 'But where,' continues Capell, 'the remarker goes on "and so must have been inserted long after the first representation,"-this he must have pen'd in his sleep. For how do these speeches prove themselves an after-insertion, when the business alluded to was prior by four years to even the first representation, as he calls it, meaning play? which play if he had known, he would not have dreamt of a second made out of it by insertions and patches, as his words indicate ' The 'play' to which Capell here refers is, of course, The Troublesome Raigne -ED |--MALONE It is extremely probable that our Author meant to pay his court to Elizabeth by this covert apology for her conduct to Mary The Oueen of Scots was beheaded in 1587, some years, I believe, before he had produced any play on the stage -Douce (1, 406) It may be doubled whether any such apology [as Malone suggests] would be thought necessary during the life of Elizabeth May it not rather allude to the death of the Earl of Essex? If this conjecture be well founded, it will serve to ascertain the date of the composition of the play, and to show that Meres had mistaken the older piece for Shakespeare's -Knight If Shakespeare had been the idiot that these critics would represent him to have been, Elizabeth would very soon have told him to keep to his stage, and not meddle with matters out of his sphere, for, unquestionably, the excuse which John attempts to make, could it have been interpreted into an excuse for Elizabeth, would have precisely the same effect with regard to Elizabeth which it produces with regard to John-it would have made men despise as well as hate the one as the other. Knight characterizes Douce's note in regard to Essex as 'utterly worthless.'-ED -W W LLOYD (ap SINGER, ii, p. 380) Doubtless we may be more familiar now, from the revelation of private documents, with the detailed meanness and cruelty of the Oueen's highness than even her contemporaries, but still so much was notorious at the time from Davison's defense, of the cajolery brought to bear upon him and his treacherous requital, that it seems impossible that the royal villainy of John could ever have been exhibited upon the stage without receiving its application from every beholder. The application is salient even in the earlier play, but in Shakespeare's elaboration it is ten times more so - COURTENAY (i, 10). This scene was apparently suggested by a passage from Holinshed 'Hubert de Burgh did preserve him [Arthur] from that injury. . For he considered that King John had resolved upon this point only in his heat and fury afterwards, upon better advisement, he would both repent himself so to have commanded and give them small thanks that would see it put in execution,' [vol. iii, p. 165l. And this is the only passage which leads me to believe that Shakespeare

[218 Iohn It is the curse of Kings, to be attended]

did not entirely rely upon the old play That piece describes John as repenting vehemently, but there is nothing upon which these fine touches [ll 218 et seq] can have been founded As Holinshed affords a sufficient foundation for [this passage and ll 241-251], it is doubtless unnecessary to have recourse to any courtierlike or political motive in the poet But from the unbounded love of flattery and personal attention which characterized our celebrated Queen, I attribute much probability to this opinion of the critics [Warburton and Malone] -F Victor Hugo (111, 465) If this reference to the execution of the Queen of Scots was on a firm foundation, it would aid us in clearing up some obscure corners in Shakespeare's play If the death of Arthur was, in the Poet's thought, but the symbol of the death of Mary Stuart, King John should be regarded as the personification Then all the incidents of the drama will be no more than allusions to contemporary events Pandulph excommunicating John will be the Pope launching his bull of anathema against Elizabeth The absurd Duke of Austria killed by the sympathetic Bastard will be Philip II conquered by the English people King Philip of France, alternately upholding and deserting the cause of Arthur, will be Henri III, upholding and abandoning successively the cause of Mary Stuart The alliance proposed between the Dauphin and Blanche of Castile will be the projected match between the Duc d'Anjou, brother of Henri III. and Elizabeth The revolt of Pembroke and Salisbury, making common cause with a stranger to punish the murderer of Arthur, will be, by allegory, the rebellion of the Duke of Suffolk and the Earl of Northumberland allying themselves with the catholics to deliver Mary Stuart Finally, the invaders, chased from the country by the Bastard, will be the Armada conquered by the English nation, and the magnificent apostrophe with which the piece terminates will be the cry of victory uttered by the patriotic Poet —Rev John Hunter The murder of Thomas à Becket may also be referred to, [as well as the execution of the Queen of Scots] — MOBERLY also quotes as an example the behaviour of Fitzurse and his companions on Henry's rash exclamation against Becket Continuing, Moberly says "To understand a law on a hint of authority" seems a strange expression for understanding a command upon a hint "Law" or "a law" is in Shakespeare always a rule of some generality, indeed, his legal instinct would hinder his using the word to express the mere will of the sovereign. If the text is correct, Shakespeare may perhaps have been thinking of those who "establish mischief for a law" (Psalm xciv, 20). But the passage looks more as if there had been interpolation, the original connection being "And on the winking of authority To know the meaning, when perchance it frowns More upon humour than advised respect." And the addition might be accounted for by supposing that the play was written in 1595 or 1596, but that the interpolated words were afterwards added in allusion to the death of Essex (against the queen's will) in 1601. Such was the popularity of this unfortunate nobleman, that every time Elizabeth sent him on an expedition whole sheets of new songs in his honour were sung about the streets' As to the question of there being here a reference to Elizabeth's behaviour to Davison after the execution of the Queen of Scots, Moberly replies 'Certainly, of Shakespeare was in a position to know such intimate and secret history, but only on that condition.'-Descriton, in answer to Warburton and Malone, says 'But surely Elizabeth could not even pretend to pretend that the execution of Mary was not a deliberate act on her part ' [Courtenay's quotations from Hohnshed and his con-

220

By flaues, that take their humors for a warrant, To breake within the bloody house of life, And on the winking of Authoritie
To vinderstand a Law, to know the meaning
Of dangerous Maiesty, when perchance it frownes
More vpon humor, then aduis'd respect

Hub. Heere is your hand and Seale for what I did.

Ioh Oh, when the last accompt twixt heaven & earth

225

220 within Om Ff, Rowe into Pope, + (-Var '73)
225 [The King snatches the warrant

225 [The King snatches the warrant out of Hubert's hands Hal 226 Oh.] O' Coll Del Huds 1, Craig

226 accompt] F₂, Fle account F₃F₄, Rowe et cet

heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+ (-Var

clusion that we need not here suppose any direct reference to the secret courthistory of Shakespeare's day are quite sufficient. Moberly's objection on the ground of Shakespeare's lack of knowledge of such history applies equally, I think, to the case of Essex—ED]

220 the bloody house of life] Delius compares 'Most sacriligious murther hath broke ope The Lord's anointed temple, and stole thence The life of the building'—Macbeth, II, III, 72-74—WRIGHT That is, the house of life which thereby becomes bloody. For this proleptic use of the adjective, see Macbeth, III, IV, 76 'Ere humane statute purged the gentle weal,' that is, purged the commonwealth and made it gentle—Moore Smith I see no reason for thinking with Wright that 'bloody' is here proleptic 'The bloody house of life' is surely the house of life which is full of blood until it is broken into and the blood spilt Compare. 'this confine of blood and breath.' 1 256

221, 222. And on the winking . . . a Law] IVOR JOHN. That is, when one in authority winks, to interpret it as a command

223 dangerous] DEIGHTON. 'Dangerous' seems here to mean, when in a state of fury [No blame could attach to any minister or attendant who followed out commands given by the king in a state of fury. 'Dangerous' has here, perhaps, the older meaning as given by Murray 'Difficult or awkward to deal with, haughty, arrogant, the opposite of affable,' and of this use he gives several examples, as 'He was to synful man naught despitous Ne of his speche daungerous ne digne'—Chaucer, Prologue, l. 517.

224 More vpon humor...respect] C & M Cowden Clarke That is, more on account of captiousness and ill-temper than from deliberate consideration or motive [Compare. '—'tis worse than murder To do upon respect such violent outrage'—King Lear, II, iv, 22]

225 Heere is . . . I did Denghton For this and the following speeches, compare the dialogue between Bolingbroke and Exton, Richard II. V, vi. 34-52.

226. Ioh Oh, when the last accompt] Davies (*Dram. Miscell*, i, 70) [At this line] Garrick snatched the warrant from Hubert's hand, and grasping it hard, in an agony of despair and horror, he threw his eyes to heaven, as if self-convicted of murder, and standing before the great judge of the quick and dead to answer for the infringement of the divine command

226. the last accompt twixt heaven & earth] Wordsworth (Sh's Knowledge

Is to be made, then shall this hand and Seale Witnesse against vs to damnation. How oft the sight of meanes to do ill deeds, Make deeds ill done? Had'st not thou beene by.

227

230

228 damnation] Ff, Rowe, + (—Var '73), Coll Del Huds 1, Dono Craig damnation Fle damnation! Cap et cet

229-232 Mnemonic Warb
230 Make! Ff, Rowe, Pope, Dyce,
Cam +, Words John, Marsh Neils
Makes Theob et cet
deeds ill done! ill deeds done Cap.

conj Knt, Dyce, Sta Coll 11, 111 (MS), Hal Wh 1, Ktly, Huds Words Craig deeds ill-done Fle

230 Had'fl for hadst Pope, + (—Var '73) Hadest Cap Varr Mal Rann, Steev Varr. Sing 1, Ktly, Fle O hadst Cartwright

not thou] thou not Sta Fle thou not then Lettsom, Huds 11

and Use of Bible, p 302) It was to be expected that the circumstances of the judgement day, as they are revealed to us in Scripture, would make a deep and lasting impression upon a mind like Shakespeare's Accordingly, when he desires to give more than ordinary effect to deep passion, to indignation and horror at crime committed, or to affliction and distress at calamity incurred, he has recourse to images which are associated with the final doom—the sounding of the last trump, the discomfiture of creation, the dissolution of the heavens and the earth (See Lear, V, ni, 263, Macbeth, II, ni, 83, Rom & Jul, II, ni, 67, 2 Henry VI-V, ni, 40-43, Hamlet, III, ny, 48-51)

230 Makel Marshall Some editors alter 'make' to makes, but unnecessarily, the plural is suggested by 'means' in the previous line [Or, perhaps, by 'deeds,' the word directly preceding the verb—ED]

230 deeds ill done Knight We have ventured upon a transposition [see Text Notes The original might apply to good deeds unskilfully performed — COLLIER (ed. i) says that the Rev H Barry suggests the transposition, adopted by Knight, for which there may be some ground, but the words as they stand in the Folios 'are very intelligible, whether the adjective be put before or after the substantive, "ill" is here not an adverb, but agrees with "deeds "'-[The MS. Corrector is, however, with Collier more potent than either the Reverend Barry or Knight, and on his authority Colher (ed ii) adopts the transposition, remarking that although the original is intelligible, the reversal of the adjective was merely an error of the press.-ED]-DYCE With Mr Knight and Mr Collier's MS Corrector, I have made a transposition, which is obviously necessary, not so much because, as Mr Knight says, the old reading 'might apply to good deeds unskilfully performed,' as because in such passages the order of the words which are emphatically repeated is rarely, if ever, changed -R. G White also adopts the transposition, but, as he admits, with some hesitation, in spite of the sanction given it by Collier's MS. Corrector and Dyce, in answer to the latter's note White says: 'But it should be observed that writers before the middle of the seventeenth century take a much greater latitude than we do now in the placing of adverbs (as well as adjectives), and often place them before the verb when they intend to qualify the substantive which is the subject of the predication; so in this case, "a deed ill done" may have been put for "an ill deed done." - [A somewhat singular lapse of memory on White's part, especially as the text was directly before him, reading 'deedes ill' and not 'a deed,' as he quotes it.—ED.]—VAUGHAN (1, 69) The phrase

231

A fellow by the hand of Nature mark'd, Quoted, and fign'd to do a deede of shame, This murther had not come into my minde. But taking note of thy abhorr'd Aspect, Finding thee sit for bloody villanie. Apt, liable to be employ'd in danger,

235

234 Aspect] aspect Steev Varr Sing Knt 1, Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

'makes ill deeds done' might have fairly answered the purpose here, but its substitution for 'makes deeds ill done' is officious 'Ill done' is a mere epithet describing the quality of 'deeds,' just as if the Poet had written 'ill done deeds,' and it is the equivalent of 'ill' in the line above 'Makes' means 'brings into existence', and the whole aphorism is 'How often does the sight of means to do evil deeds, produce evil deeds '-Ivor John It is tempting to read 'Make ill deeds done' with Knight But the Folios are unanimous, and it seems to me that their reading is undoubtedly right, meaning 'How oft the sight of means to do ill deeds makes deeds done which it were ill to do'--in fact, 'ill' is a proleptic adjective --MARSHALL The transposition is absolutely necessary not only to the sense but also to the force of the passage, which is weakened if the 'ill deeds' are not repeated in the same order as that in which they occurred before We may compare with this passage the following in Beaumont and Fletcher's A King and no King, III, in 'Arb If there were no such instruments as thou, We kings could never act such wicked deeds '-Works, vol 1, p 66 The whole scene between Arbaces and Bessus may be read and compared with this, certainly not to Shakespeare's disadvantage The break, caused by the deficient syllable in the middle of this line, is very dramatic, and is not to be 'corrected' by the weak device of printing hadest instead of 'hadst' The actor naturally supplies the hiatus by a half groan, half sigh [I do not know to what edition of Beaumont and Fletcher Marshall's reference (vol. 1, p. 66) applies, but in Dyce's edition, vol 1i, p. 207, there is given a note by the editor of the edition of 1778, George Colman, calling attention to the similarity of thought and situation in these two plays - Dawson also suggests that the missing syllable of this line be supplied by a 'gesture' or 'marticulate cry.' —Ep]

232. Quoted] WRIGHT: That is, noted, from the notes or marks in the side (cote) or margin of a book. Cotgrave (Fr Dict) has 'Quoter To quote or note in the margent, to note by the way' Compare 'He's quoted for a most perfidious slave'—All's Well, V, ii, 305—Davies (Dram. Miscell, 1, 112) asserts that 'quoted,' as used frequently by Shakespeare, is 'a playhouse word. The characters, who are to be called by the prompter's boy to be ready for the scene, are quoted by him in the margin of the play.'—[A most alluring explanation of the present passage and a metaphor quite in Shakespeare's manner. Nature the universal prompter of mankind assigning and calling each man to play his part on the world's stage. It has additional force, moreover, coming from one who was himself an actor, thoroughly conversant with theatrical slang. Whether the word was a survival from the time of Shakespeare it is impossible to say. Murray (N. E. D., s. v. quote) does not record any such restricted meaning among the many senses of this word—En.

I faintly broke with thee of *Arthurs* death And thou, to be endeered to a King, Made it no confcience to destroy a Prince.

237

Hub My Lord

240

Ioh Had'st thou but shooke thy head, or made a pause When I spake darkely, what I purposed Or turn'd an eye of doubt vpon my face, As bid me tell my tale in expresse words

244

238 endeered] endearèd Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words 239 Made] Mad'st Pope,+, Cap Varr Rann 240 My Lord] My Lord— Rowe 11 et seq 241-246 Mnemonic Warb 242 purposed] purposed Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words 244 As] Or Pope, +, Cap Var. '78, '85, Rann And Mal Var '21, Sing i, Ktly, Dyce 11, 111, Words expressed Express Fle Huds 11

239 Made it no conscience] MURRAY (N E D, s v conscience, IV, 11) To make (a) conscience, to make it a matter of conscience, to have scruples about, to scruple 1579, Lyly Euphues (Arber), 92 'Thou hast thought it no conscience to betray me' 1586, Let, Earle of Leycester, 25 'Therefore have we little reason to trust her in that, whereof she maketh so small a conscience.'

241. Had'st thou but shooke thy head, etc] Johnson There are many touches of nature in this conference of John with Hubert. A man engaged in wickedness would keep the profit to himself, and transfer the guilt to his accomplice. These reproaches, vented against Hubert, are not the words of art or policy, but the eruptions of a mind swelling with consciousness of a crime, and desirous of discharging its misery on another. This account of the timidity of guilt is drawn ab ipsis recessibus mentis, from the intimate knowledge of mankind, particularly that line in which he says, that to have bid him tell his tale in express words, would have struck him dumb. nothing is more certain than that bad men use all the arts of fallacy upon themselves, palliate their actions to their own minds by gentle terms, and hide themselves from their own detection in ambiguities and subterfuges.

244 As bid me tell] M. MASON. That is, such an eye of doubt as bid me tell my tale, etc. [Both Douce and Collier likewise so interpret this phrase The former taking the words 'an eye of doubt' as elliptical —ED |—MALONE, in support of his reading And, says. 'As we have here "As" printed instead of And, so vice versa, in Henry V, 4to 1600, we find And misprinted for "As" "And in this glorious and well foughten field We kept together in our chivalry." - STEEVENS: In the present instance 'as' seems to mean as if 'Had you' (says the king speaking elliptically) 'turned an eye of doubt on my face, as if to bid me tell my tale in express words.' [SCHMIDT (Lex) furnishes numerous examples of 'as' with this meaning. This passage he considers, however, an example of the use of 'as' wherein the correlative is omitted, and for a like usage quotes: 'This is a strange thing as ere I looked on.'-Tempest, V, 1, 289 -ED |-VAUGHAN (1, 70). The whole tenour of John's complaint is this that Hubert might without speaking a word, and by the mere significance of manner, look, and gesture, have diverted him from his purpose. He commences 'Hadst thou but shook thy head' Now the word and, proposed by Malone, and or, proposed by Pope, both imply the necessity of

245

Deepe shame had struck me dumbe, made me break off, And those thy feares, might have wrought feares in me. But, thou didst vinderstand me by my signes, And didst in signes againe parley with sinne,

248

248 with sinne] with sign Coll 11, 111 (MS) with me Cartwright

Hubert's speaking as well as of gesticulating, and therefore vitiate the passage All amendment, too, is based on an erroneous appreciation of the word 'as' 'As' here signifies which, a vulgar expression now, but certainly not more than a proper manner of speaking and writing in Shakespeare's time. Thus, in Cymbeline, 'those arts they have as I Could put into them'—V, v, 338. And again in Jul Cas, 'I have not from your eyes that gentleness And show of love as I was wont to have'—I, 11, 33—WRIGHT rejects both Pope's and Malone's change for the same reason as does Vaughan, that it was a hint in look or manner, without words, which would have turned John from his purpose—Abbott (§ 280) quotes both the examples given by Vaughan as examples of the use of 'as' in the sense such as, and adds thereto 'With that ceremonious affection as you were wont'—Lear, I, 1v, 63 [Abbott's is, I think, the more obvious interpretation—ED]—L CAMPBELL (p 108) No one who has tried to realise the speech, even in dramatic recitation, can fail to see that a fine point is missed, or rather spoiled, by the reading 'And turned an eye of doubt upon my face'

246 those thy feares] For this construction, compare V, 1, 6, and Macbeth, II, 11, 61 'No, this my hand will rather The multitudinous seas incarnadine'

248 signes. with sinnel Collier (ed ii). There can be no reasonable doubt that sign was the Poet's word, and not 'sin' The king parley'd by signs with Hubert, and Hubert answered in signs the signs of the king, so the German editor. 'Doch du verstandst aus meinen Zeichen mich, Und pflogst durch Zeichen mit dem Zeichen Rath'-The translation from which Collier quotes is that of Schlegel-Tieck's, 5th ed (1853), which was issued shortly after the appearance of Collier's Notes and Emendations in order to incorporate many of the MS Corrector's changes In the subsequent editions the editors returned, however, to the original translation 'mit der Sunde Rath,' and it is, therefore, the 5th edition only wherein the lines appear as Colher gives them. 'mit dem Zeichen Rath' In III, iii, 46 for 'modern' Collier's MS Corrector reads widow's, and in the Schlegel-Tieck, 5th ed, the word 'schwache' is changed to the archaic word for wittwe, withib I instance this merely as another example While this is a matter of very minor importance, from Collier's note it might be supposed that the German translator was furnishing corroborative evidence of the necessary change of 'sin' to sign, whereas it is quite the other way round -ED |-SINGER (Sh Vind, p. 91). Although [the MS correction] is specious, the reading of the old copy is perfectly intelligible, and in some respects preferable, and must not be disturbed for a fanciful conjecture - Knight (Stratford Sh, i, 258) We take leave to doubt 'parley with sign' is conveyed by the previous 'my signs' and 'signs again.' Sin expresses the real or assumed self-condemnation of John—the vile deed of which he could not speak without deep shame. John had not hesitated before to speak of ill deeds, and of the murder of which he spake darkly -LETTSOM (ap. DYCE, 11.): The MS. Corrector's 'sign' is not English. Collier and Mommsen both applaud it; yet the one explains it, and the other translates it, as if the conjecture Yea, without stop, didst let thy heart consent,
And consequently, thy rude hand to acte
The deed, which both our tongues held vilde to name.
Out of my sight, and neuer see me more:
My Nobles leaue me, and my State is braued,
Euen at my gates, with rankes of forraigne powres;
Nay, in the body of this slessly Land,

255

251 vilde] vild F₂F₃, Del Fle vile
F₄ et cet
name] name— Pope, Theob
Warb Johns Varr Mal Rann, Steev
Varr Sing Hal Ktly, Dyce, Words
252 more] more! Pope et seq.

254 forraigne powres] foreign pow'rs Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns foreign powers F₄ et cet 255 [Laying his hand upon his breast, Long MS ap Cam

had been 'signs,' not 'sign' 'Signs' is probably Shakespeare's word —C & M COWDEN CLARKE 'Sin' accords perfectly with 'the deed' mentioned three lines further on, and there is sufficient consonance between 'sin' and 'signs' to afford the kind of verbal play in iteration that Shakespeare loves to indulge in, and which he has so abundantly indulged in in this particular drama —MARSHALL characterises the MS Corrector's change as 'a very foolish and needless alteration' 'It is difficult,' he continues, 'to imagine a weaker piece of tautology than such a line would furnish, and, in any case, we should have to read signs, as Lettsom observes, to make any sense of it John is complaining that Hubert seemed immediately to comprehend his purpose, though only hinted at in signs, and that he did not even delay his consent, much less remonstrate with the proposer of the crime. That he parleyed with sin was, in fact, the essence of Hubert's offence'

250 consequently] WRIGHT That is, in consequence, accordingly Compare Twelfth Night 'And consequently sets down the manner how '—III, iv, 70

250 thy rude hand to actel VAUGHAN (1, 71) 'To act' is slightly but not fatally irregular after 'let' If he 'let his heart consent,' he also let his hand act, not 'to act' But 'to' is sometimes thus prefixed to a second infinitive mood, where it has not preceded the first, in Shakespeare and in other writers of his time. On the other hand, too is often spelt 'to' in the oldest copies Too combines with 'consequently' to represent the overt act as an additional step in crime. It is quite uncertain, therefore, whether the Poet would now have written his verses as the text prints, or thus 'And consequently thy rude hand too act' [Vaughan has, possibly, misunderstood the construction here There is no irregularity if we take the infinitive 'to act' as directly dependent on the verb 'consent' and not on 'let', thus. Thou didst let thy heart, and in consequence thy hand, consent to act. Even without this involved construction justification of the infinitive after 'let' may be found. ABBOTT (§ 349) says. 'To is inserted after "let" both in the sense of to "suffer" and in that of "hinder"; giving as examples. 'And let no quarrel nor no brawl to come.'-Twelfth Night, V, i, 364, and 'If nothing lets to make us happy both '-Ibid., 256. Vaughan's assertion that 'too is often spelt "to" in the oldest copies' is difficult either to refute utterly or prove conclusively. The erratic spelling of the early compositors is an unsound foundation whereon to build. In justice to Vaughan it may be said, however, that one example occurs in the present play: 'and reason to he should,' V, ii, 136 -Ep.]

This kingdome, this Confine of blood, and breathe 25	6
Hostilitie, and ciuill tumult reignes	
Betweene my conscience, and my Cosins death	
Hub Arme you against your other enemies.	
Ile make a peace betweene your foule, and you.	0
Yong Arthur is aliue This hand of mine	
Is yet a maiden, and an innocent hand	
Not painted with the Crimfon spots of blood,	
Within this bosome, neuer entred yet	
The dreadfull motion of a murderous thought, 26	5

256 breathe] breath, F₄
257 reignes] reign Han Huds 11
259 enemies] enemies? F₃ enemies,
F₄, Rowe
261 Yong alive] Transposed to fol-

262, 263 hand. blood, hand, blood

low 1 260 Bell, Kemble

Ff, Rowe Cap hand, blood Pope et cet
265 murderous Ff, Rowe, Coll Dyce, Del Cam Glo Cla Wh 11, Huds 11, Words Neils Craig murd'rers Warb Han murtherous Wh 1, Rlie murd'rous Pope et cet

256 This kingdome] For references and allusions to the body of man as a microcosm, or world in little, see *Julius Casar*, II, 1, 75, 76, this edition

258 Betweene death] C & M COWDEN CLARKE This and I 80 above serve to illustrate each other—DEIGHTON That is, there is civil war between my conscience and the desire for my cousin's death [Deighton is possibly right, at the same time, it seems a rather violent prolepsis to use 'death' for desire for a person's death Is not the conflict to which the king here refers that between conscience and the crime itself?—ED]

261 Yong Arthur is alue] MARSHALL. These words Charles Kean, with an eye to dramatic effect, transferred to the end of the speech, thus making the question of John in I 270 ('Doth Arthur live?') an echo of the words immediately preceding. The alteration is certainly one fitted for the stage, but there is not the slightest ground for adopting it in the text. [This transposition is not, however, original with Charles Kean. It occurs also in Bell's ed., which was printed from the prompt copies of the time of Garrick. Kemble also adopted this reading long before Kean.—ED.]

264, 265. Within this bosome . . . murderous thought] Warburton Nothing can be falser than what Hubert says in his own vindication, for we find, from Act IV, so i, 'the motion of a murd'rous thought had entered into him,' and that very deeply, and it was with difficulty that the tears, the entreaties, and the innocence of Arthur had diverted and suppressed it. Nor is the expression in this reading at all exact, it not being the necessary quality of 'a murd'rous thought' to be 'dreadful,' affrighting, or terrible. For it being commonly excited by the flattering views of interest, pleasure, or revenge, the mind is often too much taken up with those ideas to attend, steadily, to the consequences. We must conclude, therefore, that Shakespeare wrote, 'a murderer's thought.' And this makes Hubert speak truth, as the Poet intended he should. He had not committed the murder, and consequently the motion of a murderer's thought had never entered his bosom. And in this reading the epithet 'dreadful' is admirably just and in nature. For after the perpetration of the fact, the appetites, that burried their owner

And you have flander'd Nature in my forme,

Which howfoeuer rude exteriorly,

Is yet the couer of a fayrer minde,

Then to be butcher of an innocent childe

Iohn Doth Arthur live? O hast thee to the Peeres,

Throw this report on their incensed rage,

And make them tame to their obedience.

Forgive the Comment that my passion made

273

269 an innocent] a guilless Pope, Han 270 O] O/ Coll Sing 11, Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Craig hast] haste F₃F₄ 271 incensed incensed Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words 272 obedience | Ff, Rowe,+, Coll Sing 11, Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Dono Craig obedience! Cap et cet

to it, lose their force, and nothing succeeds, to take possession of the mind, but a dreadful consciousness, that torments the murderer without respite or intermis-SION —JOHNSON I do not see anything in this change worth the vehemence with which it is recommended Read the line either way, the sense is nearly the same, nor does Hubert tell truth in either reading when he charges John with slandering He that could once intend to burn out the eyes of a captive prince, had a mind not too fair for the rudest form —HEATH (p 229) According to Warburton's reading and interpretation, the sense of the passage would amount to this I have not committed the murder But is this the natural sense of the words? or, would any reader, whose mind had not been prepossessed by the subtleties of Warburton's reasoning, ever have understood them so? I appeal to the reader himself, whether the obvious meaning, even of this reading, be not, I have never entertained even a thought of murder, which is precisely the meaning too of the common reading, for the thought of a premeditated murder is attended with its terrors too before the actual commission And in saying this also Hubert would equally speak the truth For it is evident from the first scene of this Act that Hubert had no intention to murder Arthur, but only to burn out his eyes, an operation which, however shocking, numerous examples in history, and the established practice of the Persian court under the late monarchy, sufficiently prove may be performed with safety to life -F Gentleman (Drom. Censor, 11, 163). Hubert's exculpation of himself comes favourably from the actor, but has more plausibility than truth; for his assertion of a mind free from the taint of any murderous thought is contradicted by the readiness with which he understood and coincided with John's meaning, to have rendered him truly amiable some passages might have been added to signify that he only undertook the horrid charge to save young Arthur, at present he is only left a very dubious or rather culpable character

265. motion] That is, impulse, compare, 'But from the inward motion to deliver.'-I, i, 222

^{267.} exteriorly] For other examples of this formation see Abbott, § 447.

^{268, 269} a fayrer minde, Then to be] WRIGHT. That is, a mind too fair to be, &c. [ABBOTT (§ 390) interprets the construction here. 'Than (that which is fit) to be,' &c.]

²⁷⁰ Doth Arthur hue] See note by OECHELHAUSER, 1. 88 above

Vpon thy feature, for my rage was blinde, And foule immaginarie eyes of blood Prefented thee more hideous then thou art Oh, answer not, but to my Closset bring The angry Lords, with all expedient hast, I consure thee but flowly run more fast

275

3

Exeunt. 279

Scena Terha

Enter Arthur on the walles Ar The Wall is high, and yet will I leape downe

275 foule immaginarie] foul-imaginary Walker, Dyce II, III, Huds II, Words 278 haft,] F₂F₄ hafte F₃, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns Del Coll II, Ktly, Cam +, Dono Neils Craig hastel Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Huds II,

Words haste Han et cet 279 consure] cónjure Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words 1 Scena Tertia] Scene v Pope, Han Warb Johns

A Prison Rowe A Street before a Prison Pope,+, Var '78, '85, Rann Northampton before the Castle Dono The Same Before the Castle Cap et cet

2 walles] walls, disguised Theob +, Cap walls, Arthur disguised as a ship-boy Words

275 foule immaginarie] VERPLANCK One of the most frequent confusions of shades of meaning, in our old poets, which strikes the modern reader, is that of the active and passive significations, as delighted and delightful, as here 'imaginary eyes' for imagining or image-forming eyes

279 I consure thee] WRIGHT That is, I solemnly adjure thee The accent is on the first syllable, as in *Macbeth*, 'I conjure you, by that which you profess'—IV. 1, 50

3 yet will I leape downel MALONE Our Author has here followed the old play In what manner Arthur was deprived of his life is not ascertained Matthew Paris, relating the event, uses the word evanuat; and, indeed, as King Philip afterwards publicly accused King John of putting his nephew to death, without either mentioning the manner of it, or his accomplices, we may conclude that it was conducted with impenetrable secrecy The French historians, however, say that John, coming in a boat during the night-time to the Castle of Rouen, where the young prince was confined, ordered him to be brought forth, and having stabbed him, while supplicating for mercy, the king fastened a stone to the dead body and threw it into the Seine, in order to give some colour to a report, which he afterwards caused to be spread, that the prince, attempting to escape out of a window of the tower of the castle, fell into the river and was drowned -[Since Shakespeare here follows the older play, any discussion as to historic accuracy on his part is quite superfluous The blame, if any, hes with his predecessor, in thus making King John vicariously the destroyer of his nephew For an interesting summing up of the evidence in the case against John, see Miss Norgate's John Lackland, pp. 90-93 -ED]-OECHELHAUSER (Einfuhrungen, 1, 29). This leap of Good ground be pittifull, and hurt me not.

There's few or none do know me, if they did,

This Ship-boyes femblance hath difguis'd me quite.

I am afraide, and yet Ile venture it

If I get downe, and do not breake my limbes,

Ile finde a thousand shifts to get away,

As good to dye, and go, as dye, and stay

Oh me, my Vickles spirit is in these stones,

Heauen take my soule, and England keep my bones Dies

12

4 not] not! Pope et seq
5 me, if] me, if Cap et seq
10 [Leaps down Rowe et seq
Leaps down, and is sore wounded Cap
11 Oh me,] Oh me! Pope et seq
| pirit | sprite Fle

II flones,] stones! Cap stones— Sing Coll Dyce, Sta Hal Wh 1, Huds Words stones Ktly 12 Heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+, Fle keep] take Rowe 11 bones] bones! Theob et seq

Arthur into the depths involves a difficulty It should not be required of the actress to leap from such a height on to the open stage that the fall would be probably fatal It must, therefore, be the best expedient to have Arthur jump from a wall at the side scene or, better, from a terrace, toward the back of the stage, and then after uttering a piercing cry, to stagger out on the stage, there sinking down, perhaps hidden partly by a set-piece so that the lords do not at once notice the body

- 6 Ship-boyes semblance] Marshall From what source Shakespeare got the idea of disguising Arthur as a ship-boy is not known [It is not known, but it may be surmised, without the risk of a very serious error, that here Shakespeare felt he might trust to his own imagination and fancy—ED]
- 10 dye, and go] This alternative is, of course, an impossibility, but what boy, or man either, would stop to consider grammatical accuracy when about to make a leap for life or death—ED
- 11, 12. Oh me . . . my bones] VERPLANCK In the old King John, after his fall, Arthur speaks thus 'Comfort my mother, shield her from despair When she shall hear my tragic overthrow' This fond recurrence of the dving youth to his mother is natural and affecting, and I can only account for Shakespeare's throwing it aside, upon the same reason that in Lear he has purposely avoided one or two touching incidents of the old play, as thoughts preoccupied by his predecessors, whose works he had taken for the groundwork of his plot, while it was his aim to give a new and original poetical character to the familiar plot -- Knight (Studies, p. 206) If any other recollection were wanting, these simple words would make us feel that John was as surely the murderer of Arthur, when the terrors of the boy drove him to an inconsiderate attempt to escape from his prison, as if the assassin, as some have represented, rode with him in the dim twilight by the side of a cliff that overhung the sea, and suddenly hurled the victim from his horse into the enguling wave, or as if the king tempted him to descend from his prison at Rouen at the midnight hour, and, instead of giving him freedom, stifled his prayers for pity in the waters of the Seine-Rushton (Sh's Testamentary Lang, p 5) quotes the second of these lines in illustration of a form used in wills of Shakespeare's time, called the Bequest of Soul and Body Rushton gives as

This gentle offer of the perillous time Who brought that Letter from the Cardinall? Sal The Count Meloone, a Noble Lord of France, Whose private with me of the Dolphines love, 19

13 Bigotl Essex Bell 10 with mel missive Coll ii, ni (MS) 14 S] Saint F2 St F3F4 witness Spedding 18 Meloone] Melloone Ff, Ktly, Fle Dolphines | Dolphin's F4, Ktly, Chatillon Bell Melum Rowe et cet Fle Dauphin's Rowe et cet

an interesting example of this the following from Shakespeare's own will 'ffirst I comend my soule into the handes of God my Creator and my bodye to the earth whereof yt ys made '-[It can hardly, I think, be doubted that Rushton is right in thus seeing an allusion to this preliminary bequest, which is, in fact, to be found in the wills of testators long before the time of Shakespeare, for many examples see Earliest English Wills (Early English Text Soc., No 78, ed Furnivall). —ED]

14 him] That is, the Dauphin See L 122

19 Whose private with me] POPE That is, whose private account of the Dauphin's affection to our cause is much more ample than the letters —Delius. as an example of a similar use of 'private' as a substantive, quotes 'Nor must I be unmindful of my private'—Jonson, Catiline, III, 11 MURRAY (N E D, s. v II. 4) quotes this line from Catiline in illustration of 'private' used in the sense of 'A private or personal matter,' as also in Unton, Correspondence, 1592, ed Roxb, 289: 'I will no longer hold your Lordship, with this my privatt' Murray quotes this present line as the only example of 'private' in the sense given above by Pope -- Collier (Notes, etc., p 208) The notes upon this passage have all referred to the word 'private,' when the blunder lies in 'with me' 'Whose private missive,' etc., is the way in which the MS Corrector says that line should have been printed. This correction seems to imply resort to some original, such as that which the printer of the Folio, 1623, had misread -SINGER (Sh Vind, p 91) It is very improbable that the words 'with me' should have been a misprint for missive! Every one familiar with the diplomatic correspondence of the reign of Elizabeth would at once recognise this as a common form of expression for the oral communication confided to a trustworthy messenger who carried despatches, and some of the numerous volumes of State Papers of that time would no doubt furnish the express words of the Poet Allusions to this private oral communication are perpetual 'Haynes' Burleigh Papers' afford many examples Thus in minutes of Oueen Elizabeth's letters to Lord Scrope and others 'Of which matters we have somewhat more largely imparted our mind to this bearer,' p 571. 'As for all other things, we remit you to the declaration of our mind by Sir P Carew, 'The present time giveth us occasion to send unto you our trusty servant Thomas Warcop, this bearer, to th' intent that you may at good length be better informed by him.—then conveniently is to be now don by writing, p. 555. The words of the Folio must still, therefore, continue to 'be taken as the true text' until we have better 'authority' than that of the Corrector for interference - Anon (BlackIs much more generall, then these lines import. 20 Big To morrow morning let vs meete him then. Sal. Or rather then fet forward, for 'twill be Two long dayes journey (Lords) or ere we meete. Enter Bastard Bast Once more to day well met, distemper'd Lords, 25

The King by me requests your presence straight Sal The king hath dispossest himselfe of vs. 28

We will not lyne his thin-bestained cloake

20 generall, then these than these gen'ral Han 23 meete] meet him Ktlv

24 Baftard | Faulconbridge Theob Warb Johns Varr. Rann Richard Words Dono 26 straight] strait F3, Pope,+

28, 20 lyne norl Om Bell 28 thin-bestained Ff thin, bestained Pope. Theob Warb Johns Var '73. Sta Ktly sin-bestained Coll 11, 111 (MS). Sing 11. Huds 11 thin bestained Dyce, Fle Words thick bestained

Cartwright thin bestained Rowe et cet

wood's Maga, Sept, 1853, p 305) We confess that we prefer the MS Corrector's line, 'Whose private missive,' to the ordinary reading But we are not prepared to say the latter is unintelligible, or that it is not in accordance with the diplomatic phraseology of the time -R G WHITE There is no doubt that the MS Corrector's change is a mere modernization, and that 'private' was used in Shakespeare's time and by Shakespeare himself as a noun, or as an adjective absolute, the idea of the noun which we would use it merely to qualify being included in it. For instance 'Go off: I discard you let me enjoy my private' (i e . my privacy) — Twelfth Night, III, iv, 100 See also, 'noblemen brought up in delicate,' Peele, Battle of Alcazar, ed. Dyce, 11, p 128 - STAUNTON That is, secret despatch. [In his Corrigenda Staunton substitutes for this interpretation, confidential barley -ED]-IVOR JOHN. 'Private' is here equivalent to private communication either by letter from the Dauphin or in conversation with Melun, more probably the latter

23 or ere] SKEAT (Dict, s v) The use of or for ere is not uncommon, see 'or ever I had seen that day '-Hamlet I, ii, 183. Particularly in the phrase or ere, Tempest, 'I would Have sunk the sea within the earth or ere It should the good ship so have swallowed '-I, 11, 10-12, Macbeth, IV, 111, 173 'Dying or ere they sicken.' The forms or, er, ar occur as exact equivalents in the same passage in the three texts of Piers Plowman, C. viu, 66, B v, 459, A. v, 232. All are from A. S. er, ere, or from its equivalents in various English dialects. It is probable that or ere arose as a reduplicated expression, in which ere repeats and explains or; later this was confused with or e'er; whence or ever [See also V, vi, 51.]

25 distemper'd] WRIGHT. That is, disordered by passion, ill-humoured. Compare Tempest, IV, 1, 145. 'Never till this day Saw I him touch'd with anger so distemper'd '

28 lyne] WRIGHT. 'Line' is used figuratively, in the sense of strengthen from within, in Henry V: II, iv, 7 'To line and new repair our towns of war' And in Macbeth, I, iii, 112: 'Or did line the rebel With hidden help and vantage'

28 thin-bestzined clocke] Collier (Notes, etc., p. 208) considers the hyphen here as conclusive proof that the MS. Corrector's reading, 'sin-bestained,' is 'the

With our pure Honors nor attend the foote That leaves the print of blood where ere it walkes Returne, and tell him fo 'we know the worst.

30

Bast. What ere you thinke, good words I thinke were best

Sal Our greefes, and not our manners reason now. Bast. But there is little reason in your greefe Therefore 'twere reason you had manners now

35

29 nor] We'll not Kemble

31 fo | so Neils

genuine text', 'a fine compound,' he adds, 'the use of which is amply justified by the crimes of which the revolted lords consider John guilty '—Anon (Blackwood's 'Sin-bestained' is plausible. But there is also a Maga, Sept, 1853, p 306) propriety in the use of the word 'thin' The king's cloak (that is, his authority) was thin because not lined and strengthened with the power and honours of his The text ought not to be altered [KNIGHT (Stratford Sh., p 259) and VAUGHAN (1, p 73) reject the MS correction for substantially the same reasons as the foregoing -ED |-DYCE Though it be true that the Folio has 'thin-bestained,' it is equally certain that the MS Corrector's alteration does not receive the slightest support from the words being so hyphened, for the Folio exhibits numerous passages in which, contrary to modern usage, the hyphen is employed, e g, elsewhere in the present play 'who hath read or heard Of any kindred-action like to this,' III, iii, 15, 16, 'The mis-plac'd-John should entertaine an houre,' III, iii, 138, 'A cockred-silken wanton braue our fields,' V, 1, 75-SINGER (Sh Vindicated, p 92): 'Sin-bestained' is doubtless a good and probable conjectural emendation -R. G White (Sh Scholar, p 304) 'Thin bestained' is most probably a misprint for 'sin bestained, as the corrector in Colher's folio conjectures. [In his own edition a few years later White speaks of this correction as 'plausible, but unnecessary '-ED |-MOBERLY decides against the MS correction, since "thin" means easily seen through, and therefore requiring to be lined, as in Henry VIII: "(Flatteries) are too thin and bare To hide offences "-V, ni, 125'-MASSEY (p. 598) I believe that Sonnet lxxu. illustrates this line and comparatively proves 'thin bestained' to be the wrong reading.

> 'Ah wherefore with infection should he live, And with his presence grace impiety, That sin by him advartage should achieve, And lace itself with his society?'

Here sin lacing or decorating itself assuredly suggests that the cloak to be, or not to be, lined 'with our pure honors' was sin-bestained, not 'thin bestained'. The cloak might require new lining, either because it was very thin, or much soiled, but Shakespeare would hardly have put forth such a double reason for a single lining. Lastly, 'our pure honours' necessarily implies 'his sin bestained cloak '— Ivor John' 'Thin' and 'bestained' offer two distinct ideas, and 'thin' is absolutely necessary because it carries out the idea of 'line'

33 greefes] BRADLEY (N E D, s. v sb 2b) A wrong or injury which is the subject of formal complaint or demand for redress [Compare 1 Henry IV 'The King hath sent to know The nature of your griefs'—IV, iii, 42]

Rann

```
Pem. Sir, fir, impatience hath his priuiledge.
                                                                    36
  Bast 'Tis true, to hurt his master, no mans else
         This is the prison What is he lyes heere?
  Sal.
  P Oh death, made proud with pure & princely beuty,
The earth had not a hole to hide this deede
                                                                    40
         Murther, as hating what himselfe hath done,
  Sal
Doth lay it open to vrge on reuenge
  Big. Or when he doom'd this Beautie to a graue,
Found it too precious Princely, for a graue
  Sal. Sir Richard, what thinke you? you have beheld,
                                                                    45
Or haue you read, or heard, or could you thinke?
Or do you almost thinke, although you see,
That you do fee? Could thought, without this object
Forme fuch another? This is the very top,
                                                                    49
                                       43 graue] glaive Han
  36 his] its Pope,+, Var '78, '85,
                                       44 precious Princely,] Ff, Rowe,
Sing 1, Coll 11
                                     Pope, Theob Han Warb
  37 his] its Pope,+
                                                               precious,
                                     princely Johns Var '73
     mans| man's Knt. man Ff et cet
  38 [Seeing Arth Pope et seq (subs)
                                     princely Cap et cet
                                       45-49 Sir another?] Om Bell
  39 Oh death,] Oh Death! Coll Wh. 1,
                                                          another?] Om.
Huds. 1.
                                             you have
     beuty,] F<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> beauty, F<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>, Coll
                                     Dono
```

i, 11, Wh. 1, Huds 1 beauty/- Johns Var. '73 beauty! Pope et cet. 40. had] hath Coll ii 43 a] the Rowe 11,+, Var. '78, '85,

45 you haue] F2, Knt, Fle haue you F₃F₄, Rowe et cet 48 That] What Pope, + (-Var '73). 49 This is] 'tis Pope, + (-Var '73). This' Walker (Vers, 85)

37. no mans else Collier This is another though a trifling instance of the advantage of referring to two different copies of the First Folio That belonging to Lord Francis Egerton reads 'no mans else,' but that of the Duke of Devonshire is corrected to 'no man else,' which is certainly right The error must have been discovered while the sheet was going through the press, and corrected before any more copies were worked off -[Collier is quite correct as to variations in copies of the Folio due to corrections while the work was printing, but this, I think, is not an instance. In Lee's admirable facsimile of the Devonshire copy there may still be seen, on close examination, the remains of the top of the s in 'no mans'; the letter seems to have slipped out of alignment. In my copy the s is actually below the other letters and is slightly imperfect, although still visible —ED]

45. you have beheld KNIGHT: We retain the original text which appears to mean, You see-or have you only read or heard? Your senses must be so startled that you may doubt 'you have beheld.'—VAUGHAN (1, 74) agrees with Knight in retaining the Folio text; and suggests that "That you do see" may be that which you do sec-the relative "that," not the conjunction; or, on the other hand, it may mean do you not with difficulty think that you see, although you do really see?' For the use of 'almost,' l. 47, Vaughan compares 'Would you imagine or almost believe, Were't not that by great preservation We live to tell it you.'-Richard III: III, v, 45.

52 vildest] F₂F₃, Del Fle vilest F₄ et cet
55-60 Pem All spectacle] Om

To the yet vnbegotten finne of times:

Dono

58 yet vnbegotten] Ff, Rowe, Coll

58 yet vnbegotten] Ff, Rowe, Coll Dyce, Wh. 1, Cam +, Neils Craig yet-unbegotten Pope et cet
58 sinne of times] sins of Time Pope,
+, Cap Varr Rann, Sing Huds
Words sin of time Var '03, '13 sin
of Time's Ktly

58

53 wall-ey'd] Wright That is, with glaring eyes The word properly describes an eye in which the iris is discoloured or wanting in colour This gives it a fierce expression, like the glaring look of a man in a rage See Titus Andronicus, V, 1, 44

'Say, wall-eyed slave, whither would'st thou convey This growing image of thy fiend-like face?'

Huloet, in his Abcedarium, 1552, has, 'Whaule-eyed Glauciolus' And in the old Latin English Dictionary called Bibliotheca Eliotæ or Eliotis Librarie, edited by Cooper in 1548, we find, 'Glauciolus, a horse with a wall eye' Compare Holland's translation of Pliny (1601), Bk xi, c 37, vol i, p 334, 'Augustus Cæsar of famous memorie, had red (glauci) eies like to some horses and indeed walleied he was, for the white thereof was much bigger than in other men.' In Spenser a wall-eye is a mark of jealousy See Faerie Queene, 1, 4, § 24

'And next to him rode lustifull Lechery, Vpon a bearded Gote, whose rugged heare, And whally eies (the signe of gelosy,) Was like the person selfe, whom he did beare'

And Marston, Insatiate Countess (Works, iii, 107, ed Halliwell) 'And with wall-ey'd jelousie kept me from hope.' The word is probably connected with the Icelandic vagl, a disease called the beam in the eye, whence vagl-eygr, wall-eyed (Thomas Saga Erkibyskups, ed Magnusson, 1 232).

58. sinne of times] MALONE That is, of all future times So in Henry V: 'By custom and the ordinance of times.'—II, iv, 83. Again in Lucrece: 'For now against himself he sounds this doom That through the length of times he stands disgraced,' 1 717—STEEVENS, in support of Pope's reading, quotes, 'For who would bear the whips and scorns of time?'—Hamlet, III, i, 70, and from this play, 'I am not glad that such a sore of time.'—V, ii, 15.—R. G White (Sh. Scholar, p. 304). It is very plain to me that 'sin of times' is a misprint for 'sins of time,' as Pope suggested. Pembroke says that all murders past stand excused in this, and this shall excuse all other crimes to be committed. 'Sin,' it is true,

And proue a deadly blood-shed, but a iest,	
Exampled by this heynous spectacle	60
Bast. It is a damned, and a bloody worke,	
The gracelesse action of a heavy hand,	
If that it be the worke of any hand.	
Sal If that it be the worke of any hand?	
We had a kinde of light, what would enfue	65
It is the shamefull worke of Huberts hand,	
The practice, and the purpose of the king.	
From whose obedience I forbid my soule,	68

59 1eft] Jeaft F₄
61 damned] damnèd Dyce, Fle. Huds.
11. Words

64 hand?] hand, Rowe i. hand,—Cap hand' Ktly, Cam +, Neils Craig
65 enfue] ensue. Pope,+.

might be used collectively, but then at least we should read 'sin of time'. In lifting the matter the s was evidently transferred from one word to the other — [In his edition White accepts the Folio text, remarking that 'Here "sin" is used abstractly, and "times" frequently occurs in our old authors, where we would use the singular form '—Pope's reading he characterises as 'plausible'—ED.]

61 It is a damned . . . worke] C. C. CLARKE (Sh's Characters, p 333). The important and decided change that takes place in the character of Faulconbridge is when he first hears of the death of little Arthur, and at the same time hears it ascribed to John, to whom he himself is deeply indebted. His speeches after this event are still those of the courageous, high-spirited man, but they are distinctly those of the man of moral courage as contrasted with his previous physical courage Like one of truly noble nature, he scorns to fall off from the patron to whom he owes so much, but the discovery of that patron's baseness and treachery acts like a talisman to unseal his eyes to the vainglory and wickedness of 'vaulting ambition' and low cupidity. He still cleaves to the cause of the king, and endeavors to screen him from the indignation of his revolting nobles, but he now discourses with a calm dignity totally unlike the rash impetuosity of Faulconbridge in the opening of the play. . . The genius of the Poet is displayed in quelling the fiery spirit of the man only by the chilling mist of suspicion and misplaced confidence. This is a beautiful tribute to the character of Faulconbridge. The only time after this that his old impetuosity returns is when Salisbury threatens him-'Stand by, or I shall gall you, Faulconbridge!' Then he flares out. The staring fact of the death of the little Prince Arthur is before him, he cannot blink that, he is pushed to a corner and hedged in, and yet he evades the charge, with the object pointed at. How natural all this is; and how like a thorough and determined partisan! . . With the exception of the explosion with the nobles (and this arose only from his valour being chafed), throughout this whole scene we discover working in him that divine maturer insight into the rottenness of contest and strife, which gradually takes the place of his young ambition and which finds words at length in that grand and solemn soliloguy—closing the scene—where he bids Hubert bear away the little dead Prince.

ACT IV, SC III]	OF KING	IOHN	341
Kneeling before th And breathing to h		,	70
The Incense of a V	ow,a holy Vow		70
Neuer to taste the Neuer to be infected	-	world,	70
Nor conversant wi	,	enesse,	73
Till I haue fet a gl	-	•	75
By giuing it the w	orship of Reueng	ge.	

```
70 hrs] thrs Rowe,+. of Arthur's Rann head, Farmer, 71 Vow | vow' Pope,+ (-Var. '73). Sing Coll 11, 111 (MS), Wh 1, Dyce 75 hand, | Laying hold on one 11, 111, Huds 11, Rlfc, Words Neils
```

69 rune of sweete life] WRIGHT compares young Clifford's speech addressing his father's dead body 'Come, thou new ruin of old Clifford's house'—2 Henry VI V, 11, 61

71 a holy Vow] JOHNSON This is a copy of the vows made in the ages of superstition and chivalry

75, 76 a glory to this hand . . worship of Reuenge] Johnson The 'worship' is the dignity, the honour We still say worshipful of magistrates — FARMER I think it should be 'a glory to this head'-pointing to the dead Prince, and using the word 'worship' in its common acceptation 'A glory' is a frequent term 'Round a quaker's beaver cast a glory,' says Mr Pope, [Epilogue to Satures, Dialogue 11, verse orl, the solemn confirmation of the other lords seems to require this sense. The late Mr Gray was much pleased with this correction — SINGER, misled possibly by Farmer's quotation, ascribes the change of 'hand' to head to Pope, and Staunton, misled by Singer, does likewise.—Ed.]—Tollet The old reading seems right to me, and means 'till I have famed and renowned my own hand by giving it the honour of revenge for so foul a deed.' 'Glory' means splendor and magnificence in Matthew, vi, 29. So, in Markham's Husbandry, ed 1631, p. 353 'But if it be where the tide is scant, and doth no more but bring the river to a glory,' 1 e, fills the banks without overflowing So in I, 11, 465, 466 'O two such silver currents when they join Do glorify the banks that bound them in' A thought almost similar to the present occurs in Jonson's Catiline, who, Act IV, sc iv, says to Cethegus 'When we meet again we'll sacrifice to liberty. Cet And revenge. That we may praise our hands once!' i. e , O! that we may set a glory, or procure honour and praise to our hands, which are the instruments of action -M MASON (Comments, 159) I believe, at repeating these lines, Salisbury should take hold of the hand of Arthur, to which he promises to pay the worship of revenge - [Rann and Dehus follow Mason in thus referring Salisbury's vow to the hand of Arthur, not his own |-- MALONE: I think the old reading the true one In the next Act we have the following lines 'I will not return Till my attempt so much be glorified '-[V, ii, 115, 116]. The following passage in Troilus & Cressida is decisive in support of the old reading. 'Jove let Aeneas live, If to my sword his fate be not the glory, A thousand complete courses of the sun '-[IV, 1, 126] -KNIGHT (Specimen, etc., p 10) Mark the perpetual opposition of 'hand' in the whole passage 'The graceless action of a heavy hand', 'It is the shameful work of Hubert's hand,' and then note the peculiar force of Salisbury's vow that he would never taste [75, 76. a glory to this hand . . . worship of Reuenge]

delight or ease 'Till I have set a glory to this hand By giving it the worship of revenge '-DYCE (ed 1) is firmly on the side of Malone and Tollet in defense of the original text, but in his ed ii adopts Farmer's correction without comment, HUDSON (ed 1) courageously remarks that 'It is difficult to see how [Farmer's] change betters the passage,' yet, under Dyce's influence, he also adopts the correction without mention of his former opinion -R G White, adopting Farmer's correction, says 'The allusion is unmistakably to the halo round the heads of saints in old paintings A glory could not be set to a hand, neither is "worship" applicable to that member Tollet [forgets] that "revenge" could be no "worship" except to Arthur The passages produced in support of the old reading show that "glory" and "worship" may be well used in such relations to each other as they bear in this passage, which no one ever doubted The difficulty is in their relations to "hand"'-C & M COWDEN CLARKE There is much grace in the idea of sainting the head of the little murdered Prince with the symbol of martyrdom Nevertheless, the vow to dedicate his own 'hand' to the service of winning 'glory' by attaining vengeance, and giving it the honour or 'worship' of having fulfilled a so-esteemed sacred duty, is perfectly consistent with one of the practices of chivalrous times, therefore we believe the Folio word to be most probably right -WRIGHT [Salisbury means] his own hand, which is uplifted while he pronounces his vow. As the correction [head] had the approval of Gray it may perhaps be thought rash to pronounce it, however elegant, not only unnecessary but wrong. [It is more than passing strange to find so careful an editor as Wright assigning the correction to Pope. Aliquando dormitat, etc -ED]-VAUGHAN (1, 75) Prince Henry says of Hotspur 'I shall make this Northern youth exchange His glorious deeds for my indignities,' [r Henry IV: III, 11, 146], 'He shall render every glory up, Yea, even the slightest worship of his time,' [Ibid, 1 150] The glory then described as 'set to the hand' is the renown appropriate to one great action which the hand has acheived, such as might be multiplied as its great deeds are accumulated. It is quite clear, too, that in the passage here quoted from Henry IV. the 'glory' and the 'worship' both belong to the person who performs the actions, and not to anyone for whose sake they are performed The train of thought and the forms of expression are manifestly identical in both places occur again distinctly in this play, III, 1, 107-110. In all three passages 'worship' is attributed to 'the hand' and in two of them 'glory' also. It may well be, therefore, to the hand of Salisbury, and not to the head of Arthur, that a glory is set and a worship given.—FLEAY, in support of the original and to show that a glory was not peculiar to the head in painting, says. 'In Didron's Christian Iconogsaphy, fig. 20, there is a hand in a cruciform numbus, in fact, such representations are not uncommon Is there any allusion to the "hand of glory," which was made of a hand of a man, strangled and thrown out on the highway, and was used to hold a candle made of fat of a strangled man, which deprived of motion any person it was held towards? But I cannot trace this superstition beyond the end of the seventeenth century.' [Is it superfluous to remind the reader of Barham's thrilling verses on the subject of The Hand of Glory? Fleay's query may, I think, be answered in the negative, such an allusion is quite beside the point -ED]-KINNEAR (p 203) Salisbury, by dethroning John in the right of the dead Arthur. would, as it were, crown Arthur, and at least give him the homage of revenge: 'glory' is equivalent to crown Compare. 'Thus have I yielded up into your hand

Pem Big. Our foules religiously confirme thy words.		
Enter Hubert		
Hub Lords, I am hot with hafte, in feeking you,		
Arthur doth liue, the king hath fent for you	80	
Sal Oh he is bold, and blushes not at death,		
Auant thou hatefull villain, get thee gone. (the Law?		
Hu I am no villaine. Sal. Must I rob		
Bast. Your sword is bright sir, put it vp againe.		
Sal. Not till I sheath it in a murtherers skin.	85	
Hub. Stand backe Lord Salsbury, stand backe I fay		
By heauen, I thinke my fword's as fharpe as yours.		
I would not haue you (Lord) forget your felfe,		
Nor tempt the danger of my true defence,		
Least I, by marking of your rage, forget	90	
78 Hubert] Hubert, hastily Cap 83 [Drawing his sword. Pope et	seq	
Scene vi Pope, Han Warb (exc Cap).	_	
Johns 86 Stand backe fland backe]	Put	

80 liue,] live, Cap et seq 81 Oh] O! Coll Del Ktly, Huds. Craig 82 villain,] villain! Coll Del Wh. 1, Huds 1, Dono Craig

gone | gone! Theob +, Varr.
Rann, Mal. Steev Varr Sing Knt
83 Law? Law F₂F₃

83 [Drawing his sword. Pope et seq (exc Cap).
86 Stand backe fland backe] Put up put up Bell
86, 102 Salsbury] Salifbury F₃F₄
87 heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+, Fle.
yours] Ff, Rowe,+, Coll Del.
Wh. 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Fle. Rife, Dono.
Neils. Craig yours Cap et cet
oo of your] but your Coll MS.

The circle of my glory,' V, 11, 3, 'The lineal state and glory of the land,' V, vii, 111.— [It will thus be seen that Kinnear approves Farmer's reading. In neither passage quoted does it seem necessary to place so exact a meaning upon 'glory'—ED]—BELDEN (Tudor Sh), following Farmer's correction, says the Folio reading 'may be explained by supposing that Salisbury lifts the hand of the dead Prince and swears by it to give it the "worship of revenge". Shakespeare's strong predilection for playing upon words favors the Folio reading (ll. 62, 63, 66) But "glory," with its connotation of aureole, seems to call for head.'

84. Your sword is bright] MALONE That is, lest it lose its brightness So in Othello 'Keep up your bright swords, for the dew will rust them '—I, ii, 59—PYE But even in this passage Othello is not really anxious that the swords should not be rusted, and still less is Falconbridge so here. Othello speaks in contempt of those who draw their swords in a private broil, and Falconbridge means to say, in sovereign contempt to Salisbury, You have shown us your sword is bright, and now you may put it up again, for you shall not use it.

89 my true defence] JOHNSON. That is, honest defence; defence in a good cause.
—Davies (Dram Miscell., i, 80), while admitting that these words will bear the interpretation given them by Johnson, says. 'but I am of opinion that, in guarding himself against this attempt upon his life, Hubert rather intended to bring the Earl to a sense of his danger, in attacking one who was well skilled in fighting, a brave man and a soldier, able to defend himself by art and strength as well as courage. However, I am not wedded to my opinion'

your Worth, your Greatnesse, and Nobility	91
Big Out dunghill dar'ft thou braue a Nobleman?	_
Hub Not for my life But yet I dare defend	
My innocent life against an Emperor.	
Sal Thou art a Murtherer.	95
Hub. Do not proue me fo	
Yet I am none Whose tongue so ere speakes false,	
Not truely speakes who speakes not truly, Lies	
Pem Cut him to peeces	
Bast Keepe the peace, I say.	100
Sal Stand by, or I shall gaul you Faulconbridge	
Bast Thou wer't better gaul the duell Salsbury	102

94 innocent life] innocent self Dyce
in, iii innocence Words
96 Do not] Do but Ktly. Do you Id.

97. So ere] soever Warb
102. Thou] Th' Fle
102, 107. druell] Devil F4

92 dunghill Rushton (Sh Illust by Old Authors, p 64) beside the present line quotes, 'Out dunghill!'—Lear, IV, vi, 249, and says 'Littleton thus describes the villein service to which Shakespeare may allude in these passages "Tenure in villenage is most properly when a villein holdeth of his lord, to whom he is a villein, certain lands or tenements according to the custom of the mannor, or otherwise, at the will of the lord, and to do his lord villein service, as to carry and re-carry dung of his lord out of the city, or out of his lord's mannor, unto the land of his lord, and to spread the same upon the land, and such like "—Section 172'—[There appears to be strong probability that Rushton is right in view of the fact that Salisbury has already called Hubert 'a villain,' which he indignantly denies.—Wright says 'The full form is "dunghill cur," as in "Shall dunghill curs confront the Helicons"—2 Henry IV V, 11, 108' I rather think, however, that the whole phrase is, as in 'Base dunghill villain,' 2 Henry VI. I, 11, 196 Coarse as the epithet undoubtedly now seems, it appears to have been a fairly common term of reproach with Shakespeare and his contemporaries.—Ed.]

94. life! DYCE (ed. 11) justifies his change 'life' to self, saying that the word 'life' was repeated in mistake from the foregoing 'life,' adding that this 'error is now for the first time corrected.'—Dyce has, however, up to the present time failed to convince any other editor—even Hudson, his unswerving follower—of the necessity for this change—ED.

96 Do not proue me so! Johnson: Do not make me a murderer by compelling me to kill you; I am hitherto not a murderer—Davies (Dram. Miscell, i, 81). I rather believe 'Do not prove me so' is as much as to say, Do not bring me to a trial, or to proof of it; for the consequence will be, that yourself will be found a slanderer and a har.—Singer. Hubert means: 'Do not provoke me, or try my patience so.' This was a common acceptation of the word 'To assay, to prove, to try, to tempt one to do evil'—Baret Alvearie, s. v prove

102-107 Thou wer't better...from hell] Corson (Cornell Review, May, 1878, p 364). Observe how the abruptness of strong feeling is subserved by the monosyllabic words in this passage. And a little further on [li 123-132], when the

105. thee] the Warb (misprint).

betime,] betime F₄

108 renowned] renownèd Dyce, Fle

Huds 11, Words

110 Hnb] F₂

Bastard expresses to Hubert his suspicions, note the staccato effect of the monosyllabic words of which some of the clauses are entirely composed

102 Thou wer't better] ABBOTT (§ 352) "Thou wert better' represents an old impersonal idiom, 'Me were liefer,' i e, 'it would be more pleasant to me, Me were loth, Him were better' Very early, however, the personal construction is found side by side with the impersonal The change seems to have arisen from an erroneous feeling that 'Me were better' was ungrammatical

103-105 If thou but thee dead Davies (Dram Miscell, 1, 82). In this Scene Garrick [as Faulconbridge], notwithstanding the animation of his countenance, and his great power of action, from the deficiency of person, amongst men who were of larger size than himself, rendered this spirited speech unimportant and inefficient. When Walker uttered these words he drew his sword, threw himself into a noble attitude, sternly knit his black brows, and gave a loud stamp with his foot, insomuch that, pleased with the player's commanding look and vehement action, the audience confirmed the energy of his conceptions by their approbation of appliause.

105 Ile strike thee dead MOBERLY Shakespeare seems hardly to have kept in mind the relationship between Salisbury and Richard Plantaganet, as he also undervalues the position of Hubert de Burgh

ros, 106. thee . . . thy . . you . . . your] Dawson (University Sh). The change from 'thou' to 'you' is difficult to explain, except as an oversight —[Skeat (William of Palerne, E. E. T. S., New Series, N° I, Introd., p. xhi) says 'Thou is the language of a lord to a servant, of an equal to an equal, and expresses also companionship, love, permission, defiance, scorn, threatening, whilst (ye or you) is the language of a servant to a lord, and of compliment, and further expresses honour, submission, entreaty '—Abbott (§ 235) In almost all cases where thou and you appear at first sight indiscriminately used, further considerations show some change of thought, or some influence of euphony to account for the change of pronoun]

106 tosting-Iron] STEEVENS compares: 'I dare not fight, but I will wink and hold out mine iron. It is a simple one, but what though? it will toast cheese '—
Henry V. II, 1, 7-0.

111 Who kill'd this Prince] OECHELHAUSER (Buhne-bearbeitung, p 110) gives the following stage-direction 'Hubert sees the body of Arthur now for the first

Hub. 'Tis not an houre fince I left him well I honour'd him, I lou'd him, and will weepe My date of life out, for his fweete lives loffe	112
Sal Trust not those cunning waters of his eyes,	115
For villanie is not without fuch rheume,	
And he, long traded in 1t, makes it feeme	
Like Riuers of remorfe and innocencie.	
Away with me, all you whose soules abhorre	
Th'vncleanly fauours of a Slaughter-house,	120
For I am stifled with this smell of sinne	
Big Away, toward Burie, to the Dolphin there	
P There tel the king, he may inquire vs out Ex Lords.	
Ba. Here's a good world knew you of this faire work?	
Beyond the infinite and boundlesse reach of mercie,	125
(If thou didft this deed of death) art y damn'd Hubert.	
Hub Do but heare me fir.	
Bast. Ha? Ile tell thee what.	
Thou'rt damn'd as blacke, nay nothing is fo blacke,	129

```
116, 117. rheume it a rheume ..it
                                           phin Rowe et cet.
Warb rheums them Hal
                                              124. Scene vii. Pope, Han. Warb
  117, 118. Om Bell
                                           Johns
  117 And he, And he F<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>, Rowe.
                                              125, 126 As three lines, ending
  118 innocencie] innocence Pope,+.
                                           reach death). Hubert Pope et seq
  120 fauours] fauour Ff, Rowe, +.
                                           (exc. Sta).
       a] this Var '85
                                              126 art y] thou art F<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>, Rowe 1
  121. this] the F4, Rowe,+ (-Var
                                           art thou F2, Rowe ii et seq.
73)
                                             129. as] so Pope,+, Var '78, '85,
      finne ] sin/ Cap.
                                           Rann.
  122. Dolphin] Ff, Ktly, Fle
                                 Dau-
                                                  blacke,] black- Rowe ii. et seq.
```

time, and with an expression of deepest horror and compassion sinks down beside it, weeping.'

124. Ba. Here's a good world] Oechelhaüser (Einführungen, i, 14). This scene, in the presence of Arthur's dead body, is the culminating point in the portrayal of the Bastard, and, moreover, brings us nearer to him in the aspect of humanity. It cannot be too strongly impressed upon the actor to allow the deepest commiseration for the unfortunate Prince, and moral horror at the deed to be clearly shown Possibly, on the nearer view of the body and its situation, the absolute supposition of Hubert's guilt seems to him premature. 'If that it be the work of any hand,' he adds; he preserves Hubert from punishment before his crime is proved, and finally is convinced of his innocence

129. Thou'rt damn'd as blacke! STAUNTON. Shakespeare had probably here in his mind the old religious plays of Coventry, some of which in his boyhood he might have seen, wherein the damned souls had their faces blackened [Staunton subjoins a memorandum from Sharp's Dissertation wherein the amount paid to 'iii whyte sollys' and 'iu blake sollys' is recorded—ED]

ACT IV, SC iii.] OF KING IOHN	347
Thou art more deepe damn'd then Prince Lucifer There is not yet fo vgly a fiend of hell As thou shalt be, if thou didst kill this childe. Hub Vpon my soule	130
Bast If thou didst but consent To this most cruell Act do but dispaire, And if thou want'st a Cord, the smallest thred That euer Spider twisted from her wombe	135
Will ferue to strangle thee A rush will be a beame To hang thee on. Or wouldst thou drowne thy selfe, Put but a little water in a spoone,	140
And it shall be as all the Ocean, Enough to stifle such a villaine vp. I do suspect thee very greeuously.	·
130 Lucifer] Lucifer Ff, Rowe,+. 133 foule] soul— Pope et seq 136 thred] threed F ₄ 138 Will will be One line, Steev. Varr Sing Knt, Ktly 138 ferue to Om Pope,+ 730. 139. on on Rowe et seq thy felfe Om Steev con 141 Ocean ocean Ktly, Fle	

131 There is not yet, etc.] Steevens I remember once to have met with a book, printed in the time of Henry VIII (which Shakespeare might possibly have seen), where we are told that the deformity of the condemned in the other world is exactly proportioned to the degrees of their guilt. The author of it observes how difficult it would be, on this account, to distinguish between Beelzebub and Judas Iscariot—Halliwell. The allusion seems to be general. The hideous faces of the devils were familiar to all at this period from the paintings on the walls of churches. There was scarcely a church in England without a painting in some form of the Last Judgment, including figures of 'ugly fiends of hell'

134 If thou didst but consent] MOBERLY. The Bastard's keen look sees that Hubert is speaking the truth. [Moberly calls attention, as did Clarke, I 61, ante, to the change in the character of Richard from the selfishness and coarseness of the early scenes to this highly moral attitude here shown]

136 if thou want'st, etc] VAUGHAN (i, 76) In this address to a supposed murderer Shakespeare describes a popular belief of his own age, that persons guilty of such crimes contracted thereby for themselves and their offspring a constitutional debility incapable of resisting injuries which would not affect other men. It is teld by the chroniclers that Humfrey Banaster, who betrayed his master, the Duke of Buckingham, lost 'his younger son by strangling and drowning in a small puddle'—Holinshed, 1483, [iii, 744, a—Vaughan's quotation is quite to the point, and the chronicler mentions the fact as an example of God's Judgment on Banaster, but this does not, I think, prove that such belief was general. Neither Brand nor Lean record any such superstition in regard to a murderer.—ED]

142. to stifle...vp] WRIGHT. 'Up' has an intensive force, giving the idea of completion, as in, 'Why, universal plodding poisons up The nimble spirits in the arteries'—Love's Labour's, IV, iii, 305. [For many other examples of this intensive use of 'up' see Schmidt (Lex), s. v. 7]

Hub If I in act, confent, or finne of thought, Be guiltie of the stealing that sweete breath Which was embounded in this beauteous clay, Let hell want paines enough to torture me. I left him well

145

Bast Go, beare him in thine armes. I am amaz'd me thinkes, and loose my way Among the thornes, and dangers of this world. How easie dost thou take all England vp, From forth this morcell of dead Royaltie?

150

153

147 me] Ff me Rowe, Pope, Coll Del Cam +, Fle Dono Neils Craig me! Theob et cet 152-160 How peace] Om Bell 152, 153 vp, Royaltre?] Ff, Rowe, Pope up! Royalty Han up royalty, Fle up! Royalty, Theob et cet

149 arms] arms Rowe n et seq Hubert takes up Arthur Coll n (MS).

144, 145 If I in act . . Be guiltie] DAVIES (Dram Miscell , 1, 84) This is a repetition of his impudent affirmation to the King 'Within this bosom never enter'd yet The dreadful motion of a murderous thought' Hubert's exculpation of himself renders his character odious Shakespeare has drawn this man, in opposition to all record, in a worse light than he needed to have done Colley Cibber, on the other hand, causes Falconbridge to stab Hubert, on the accusation of the Peers, and the sight of the dead body of Arthur, without further inquiry into his guilt; and Hubert, dying, owns the justice of his punishment, for, though he did not commit the murder, he declares that he once intended it [With the actual character of Hubert de Burgh we need not concern ourselves any more than did Shakespeare, who found a character ready to his hand in the older play The anonymous author must bear the blame for making Hubert a har and time-server.—ED]

151. Among the thornes. . of this world] EATON (p 20) compares 'Thorns and snares are in the way of the froward he that doth keep his soul shall be far from them,' *Proverbs*, xxii, 5, and remarks that 'It is not strange that Falconbridge should be so lost' when we recall his 'ransacking the church, offending charity'

152. OECHELHAUSER (Bühne-bearbeitung) here has the following stage-direction: 'Hubert raises Arthur in his arms to carry him away, but even in the act lowers him again to the ground and kneels beside the body, weeping bitterly.'

152, 153. How ease... England vp... dead Royaltre?] Theobald But how did Hubert take England up, from forth the dead body of young Arthur? Most sagacious editors! The stupid pointing, which has prevail'd in all the copies, makes stark nonsense of the passage. My pointing [see Text. Notes] restores it to its genuine purity. Faulconbridge, seeing Hubert taking up the body of the dead Prince, makes two reflections. How easily, says he, dost thou take up all England in that burthen! and then, That the life, right, and truth of the realin was fied to Heaven from out the breathless coarse of that slaughter'd Royalty—Capell characterises the foregoing as 'a foolish and an insolent note,' at the same time remarking that any reader of sagacity could not 'have stood long' without seeing the necessity of correcting so obvious an error in punctuation.

The life, the right, and truth of all this Realme Is fled to heaven and England now is left 155 To tug and scamble, and to part by th'teeth The vn-owed interest of proud swelling State: Now for the bare-pickt bone of Maiesty. Doth dogged warre briftle his angry creft. And inarleth in the gentle eyes of peace: 160 Now Powers from home, and discontents at home Meet in one line and vast confusion waites As doth a Rauen on a ficke-falne beaft. The imment decay of wrested pompe.

164

155. Isl Are Han heaven | Heav'n Rowe, +, Fle 156. [camble] scramble Rowe.+. Craig th'] Ff. Rowe,+ Wh Fle the Cap et cet 157 proud swelling proud-swelling Pope et seg (exc Coll)

157 State | state Pope,+, Cap 160 peace | peace. Pope,+, Ktly, Neils 163 sicke-falne] F2 sick-fallen F3F4. sick, fall'n Pope,+, Cap. sick-fall'n Cap et cet 164 wrested wasted Anon an Cam. wretched Schmidt (Lex) conj

- 154 the right . . of all this Realmel Marshall It is remarkable that, though so faithful and zealous a partisan of John's, the Bastard here clearly recognizes Arthur's right to the throne
- 156. scamble Bradley (N E D, s v). To struggle with others for money, fruit, sweetmeats, etc., lying on the ground or thrown to a crowd, hence to struggle in an indecorous and rapacious manner in order to obtain something. [The present line quoted 1
- 157. vn-owed interest] STEEVENS. That is, the interest which has no proper owner to claim it - DAVIES (Dram Miscell, 1, 85). I cannot think that Mr Steevens has hit the sense of 'unowed interest.' By England I understand John, who is often so termed by himself. In this speech, I 152, Arthur, as rightful heir to the crown, is likewise called England. The king is now forced to fight and struggle for that dominion which he formerly enjoyed, but which he does not owe or possess -- MALONE. That is, the interest which is not, at this moment, legally possessed by any one, however rightfully entitled to it. On the death of Arthur the right to the English crown devolved to his sister, Eleanor. [I much prefer Davies' interpretation. It seems very unlikely that the Bastard should have the intimate knowledge of the succession to the crown implied in Malone's note -ED.
- 161. Powers from homel WRIGHT. That is, the French troops which had landed. 162, vastl WALKER (Crit., ii. 30) quotes this passage among many others wherein, he thinks, 'vast' is used in the Latin sense of vasius, i e., emply, waste
- 164 wrested pompe] Johnson: That is, greatness obtained by molence. MALONE. Rather, greatness wrested from its possessor—Vaughan (1, 77). Shakespeare's expression concerning King John, that he had the kingdom of England 'in wrest,' seems to indicate that 'wrested' does not apply to the crown as it would be violently taken from John, nor to the crown as it had been violently taken by him, but to the crown as now held by him through superior strength. King John has al-

Now happy he, whose cloake and center can Hold out this tempest Beare away that childe, And follow me with speed Ile to the King. A thousand businesses are briefe in hand, And heaven it selfe doth frowne vpon the Land

165

Exit. 169

165 center] Ff, Rowe ceinture Steev conj Craig ceinter Del cincture Pope et cet
168 in at Rowe, +

169 heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+ Exit] Ff. Exeunt, Hubert bearing out Arthur Exeunt Rowe et cet

ready been spoken of as 'possessed with pomp' Faulconbridge was too true to his benefactor to impute, in plain words, wrong-doing to him—Ivor John One is tempted to paraphrase this as 'Usurpyd Power,' one of the 'characters' in Bale's Kynge Johan—[Faulconbridge, as Marshall observes, 1 154, wavers in his allegiance, and this is, I think, a further carrying out of that very plain hint—Ed |

165 center | HALLIWELL One of the annotated Folios, of no authority, reads curiously, 'cloak and bever,' the annotator, not making any meaning out of the original text, boldly altering it to suit his own taste -Schmidt (Jahrbuch, iii, p 367) remarks upon Pope's reading cincture, which has been so generally accepted, and expresses surprise that readers have not taken offence at this unusual expression 'Whether we take the girdle as a necessary part of the mantle or not, in either case the connection is strange. But of this Shakespeare is guiltless. The Folio reading means, whose cloak and heart (center) can hold out this tempest' In support of this interpretation of 'centre' in the sense of innermost core of the body Schmidt quotes 'Poor soul, the centre of my sinful earth,' Sonnet cxlvi, and 'Turn back, dull earth, and find thy centre out,' Romeo & Juliet, II, 1, 2 -CORSON (Cornell Review, October, 1875), apparently unaware that he is anticipated by Schmidt, upholds the Folio reading, giving to it the same metaphorical meaning, heart or soul, his interpretation of the passage is a slight amplification of his predecessor, as thus 'Such dire calamities will sweep over the land that they will not only act disastrously upon the outward circumstances of men's lives, but will penetrate to their inmost being, and happy he who can stand out against them '-Miss Porter likewise adopts this explanation of the original text, without reference, however, either to Schmidt or Corson -ED.

168 briefe in hand] Capell That is, are now in hand and ask brief dispatch—R. Warner (Letter to Garrick, p. 24) suggests that 'brief' is here used in a sense common in the South and West of England, viz. prevailing, abounding—T. Wright (Dial Duct) gives several examples of this sense of the word, which is still in use. While it cannot be said that Warner is mistaken, yet it seems hardly in Shakespeare's manner to say tautologically 'a thousand businesses are abounding'; and it seems more logical to adopt Capell's explanation—ED

169. Exit] F. Gentleman (ap Bell). The Fourth Act, in point of acting ment, is undoubtedly better than either of the other three; having more regularity, and what may be called essential business.

3

Actus Quartus, Scæna prima.

Enter King Iohn and Pandolph, attendants

K. Iohn. Thus have I yeelded vp into your hand

1 Actus Quartus,] Act V Rowe. Act IV, scene III Dono

The Court of England Pope,+, Var '78, '85, Rann Northampton A Room in the Palace Dyce Bristol A Room of State in the Castle Hal Canterbury A Room in the Palace Wh 1 King John's Palace Cam +, Neils Interior of the Temple Church, Northampton, C Kean The Same A Room in the Palace Cap et cet.

2 Enter attendants | Ff, Rowe, +,
Var '78, '85, Rann Cam + Enter
King John, attended, Pandulph with
the Crown, his Train and others Enter
King John, Pandulph with the Crown,
and Attendants Mal et cet

Pandolph,] Pandulph, Ff Pandulpho, Johns Var '73
3 haue I] I have Pope,+

- 1. Scæna primal Halliwell, in justification of his placing the locality of this scene at Bristol, says 'The surrender of the crown by John to Pandulph, and his reception of it again as the Pope's vassal, took place on Ascension day, May 16th, 1213, at Dover, but it clearly appears, from the Bastard's first speech in the present scene, that such a locality cannot be intended, and it is necessary to amalgamate the incident of John's submission with the events that took place shortly before his death in 1216 John decamped from Dover on the approach of Louis, passing through Winchester to Bristol, where he was joined by the Legate. No approach to historical accuracy can here be attained, but the present selection of a place for this scene appears that which is most consistent with the whole narrative '-French (p 17, foot-note) The place where King John yielded up the circle of his glory to Cardinal Pandulph is said to be the Preceptory of the Knight's Templars, at Swingfield, five miles North of Folkestone, in Kent, of which some remains exist, though now used as a farm-house. [For the views of different Historians as to this act by John, see Sharon Turner, Middle Ages, vol i, p. 414, Lingard, vol 11, p. 331, Miss Norgate, p. 180 - Ep]
- 3 Thus haue I yeelded Boas (Sh. & his Predecessors, p. 243) It has attracted universal notice that Shakespeare passes very lightly over those misdeeds of the king which have given him so sinister a prominence in history. His extortions from clergy and laity are merely touched upon incidentally, and not the faintest allusion is made to the constitutional struggle which ended in the grant of the Great Charter. Startling as it sounds to modern ears, it is almost certain that Shakespeare had small knowledge of that document, and a very inadequate sense of its importance. A strong monarchical rule was the ideal of the Tudor period, and the power of the crown was limited not by strictly defined clauses, but by hearty popular sympathies in the sovereign It was only under the Stuarts, when this communion of feeling between ruler and people ceased to exist, that the champions of national liberty were forced to entrench themselves behind their traditional rights, and drag again into prominence the parchment scrolls wherein these were embodied. Thus the significance of John's reign for Shakespeare lay far less in constitutional struggles than in foreign relations, and its supreme event was not the signing of the Charter, but the surrender of the English crown, when the

The Circle of my glory.

Pan Take againe

5

From this my hand, as holding of the Pope Your Soueraigne greatnesse and authoritie

Iohn. Now keep your holy word, go meet the French, And from his holinesse vse all your power To stop their marches' fore we are enslam'd. Our discontented Counties doe reuolt:

IO

4 [Giving the Crown Pope,+, Varr Rann, Cam + 5 Take! Take't (Lettsom), Dyce u, 111, Coll 111, Fle Huds 11, Words

[giving back the Crown Cap Mal. et seq

6 From this] This from Heath Pope Ff, Pope, Fle. pope, Rowe et cet 7 Your Soueraigne] Sovereign your Rann

8 word,] word Ktly, Neils.
10-19 To ftop enfues] Om. Bell
10 their] the Warb

10, 11 we are doel they have to Moberly conj

10 enflam'd] enflamed Rowe 11 et seq

recreant king, eating all his brave words of an earlier date, resigned the symbol of royalty into the hands of Pandulph, to receive it back again as a vassal of the Pope.—Oechelhauser (Einführungen, 1, 11). In this scene wherein John kneels in submission to the Papal Legate, fear, at the approaching attack of France, and at the downfall of his greatness, robs him of all spirit, and all that decision which the earlier phase of his character indicated. With this breaking down of spirit the actor should also make manifest in representation a corresponding physical downfall in feature, bearing, and tone of voice, which will strengthen the effect of the play and facilitate its performance. This is a scene of moral suicide, which in every feature, inwardly and outwardly, must bring out the most marked contrast to John's earlier manly defiance of the Cardinal. Every speech of the King here shows the beginning of that sickness, almost helpless weakness, which in the succeeding short conversation with Hubert on the battle-field has actually broken out, and should here be clearly indicated.

- 3 haue I yeelded] PAGE: John here uses the singular as a private individual. After receiving the crown again from Pandulph he resumes the plural of majesty, 'we,' l. 10.
- 6. Pope] IVOR JOHN. By inserting a comma after 'Pope' 'sovereign greatness and authority' may be made object to 'take'; the meaning is thus preserved and the grammatical construction saved. [See Text Notes]
- 10. 'fore] M. MASON (Comments, p 100). This cannot be right, for the nation was already as much inflamed as it could be, and so the king himself declares. We should read for instead of 'fore.'
- ri. Counties] Steevens. Perhaps 'counties' in the present instance do not mean the divisions of a kingdom, but lords, nobility, as in Romeo & Juliet, Much Ado, etc —Delius also thus understands this word, since if we take 'counties' in its ordinary meaning, divisions of a kingdom, the contrast between the nobility and the revolting people is lost; to this Wright replies 'But "discontented counties" refers only to certain parts of the country which were actually in revolt while a spurit of disobedience affected the whole people.'—Ivor John I think the fact

Our people quarrell with obedience,

Swearing Allegiance, and the loue of foule
To ftranger-bloud, to forren Royalty;
This inundation of mistempred humor,

Rests by you onely to be qualified
Then pause not . for the present time's so sicke,
That present medcine must be ministred,
Or ouerthrow incureable ensues.

13 and the] and Han and true Vaughan

14 ftranger-bloud] ftranger blood
Theob et seq

forren] forran F₃ foreign F₄
Royalty,] royalty Var. '73 et seq.
15-19 This enfues] Om Dono
15 mistempred] F₂, Fle Neils mistempered F₃F₄, Cam in distemper'd

Rowe, Pope, Han mistemper'd Theob et cet

18 medcine] med'cine F₃F₄, Rowe, Pope, Han medicine Theob et cet min.fired] Ff. minist'red Cap Fle Neils ministered Rann minister'd Mal et cet 19 incureable] incurably F₄, Rowe,

19 incureable] incurably F₄, Rowe,

that there is no mention of the rebellion of the nobles (which at that time was the real danger, as Shakespeare knew), if this is supposed not to refer to them, decides the matter John would never have omitted them from his list of troubles—Moore Smith Shakespeare has not elsewhere used this word of English nobles, though it is of frequent occurrence in plays whose scene is laid in Italy The sense shires is further supported by the reference to Kent, 1 34 below, and (as Mr Worrall points out) by Edward III I, 1, 142 'In every shire elect a several band,' which shows the important part played in military organization by the division into counties On the other hand, it may be said that while there is no real distinction between 'our shires' and 'our people,' one would expect in this passage some reference to the revolted nobles, and the passage from The Troublesome Raigne, which would seem parallel to the one before us, is quite clear. [See Appendix Troublesome Raigne, pt 11, 11, sc ii, 124-127—ED]

- 13 loue of soule] RUSHTON (Sh Illust by Old Authors, pt ii, p 3) quotes 'For as the soule doth rule the earthly masse And all the service of the bodie frame, So love of soule doth love of bodie passe No lesse than perfect gold surmounts the meanest brasse '—Faerie Queene, IV, ix, 2—[SCHMIDT (Lex, s v) gives several examples wherein the soul is referred to as 'the seat of real, not only professed, sentiments']—Moore Smith Were Schmidt's explanation not so satisfactory we might have conjectured here 'soul of love,' which is found in Mid. N. Dream, II, 1, 182.
- 15, 16. mistempred humor... qualified] Belden (Tudor Sh) points out that there is here a reference to the physiological doctrine of humours 'Upon the right blending of the four humours [blood, phlegm, melancholy, choler] depends one's physical and mental health When one humour preponderates unduly (making an "inundation") it must be "qualified" (corrected, got back to its right proportion) before health can be restored '—[In the present case it is, of course, the blood which preponderates.—ED]
- 19 ouerthrow] VAUGHAN (i, 78) asserts that 'overthrow' in this line has been probably interpreted as 'urreparable defeat', but that this is incorrect, since the word is here used as 'a purely medical expression, signifying a glut of morbid and

Pand It was my breath that blew this Tempest vp,

Vpon your stubborne vsage of the Pope
But since you are a gentle convertite,
My tongue shall hush againe this storme of warre,
And make faire weather in your blustring land
On this Ascention day, remember well,
Vpon your oath of service to the Pope,
Goe I to make the French lay downe their Armes Exit.

Iohn. Is this Ascension day? did not the Prophet
Say, that before Ascension day at noone,
My Crowne I should give off? even so I have:

24 blustering Ff, Rowe,+, Wh 1, Neils blustering Var '73 et cet land | land Rowe 11. et seq 25-32 On this voluntary | Om Bell. 25. Afcention] Afcension F₂F₄ 27 Exit] Exit Pandulph and Train Cap 28, 29 Ascension] Ascention F₃ 30 even] ev'n Fle

morbific humours,' quoting in support of this meaning 'To make particular laws were to no purpose, but much like as one should give some easie medicine to purge an overthrowne bodie with all humours and diseases'—North, Plutarch (ed. 1776), p. 35—[I fail to see that Vaughan's interpretation is an improvement on that generally accepted, and I do not know from what source he derives his authority for his meaning of 'overthrow'—Murray (N. E. D.) does not include among the various senses of the word any example of its use as a purely medical term, s. v. overthrown, he quotes the passage from North's Plutarch (given by Vaughan) as an example of this word in the sense overcome, vanguished—Ed.]

- 22. convertite] MURRAY (N E D, s v 2) A person converted to a religious life or to an approved course of action [The present line quoted, also 'Out of these convertites There is much matter to be heard and learn'd'—As You Like It, V, iv, 190 This word, and its exact shade of meaning here intended, was the occasion for a series of notes by Steevens, Monck Mason, and Malone, whose remarks thereupon occupy nearly a page in the Variorum of 1821 The N E. D, it is true, admits meanings other than that given above, but the question then becomes philological rather than Shakespearean.—Ed)
- 25. Ascention day WRIGHT. Ascension day in 1213 fell on May 23 The date of John's form of homage to the Pope was May 15, and Matthew Paris, in order apparently to make this a fulfilment of Peter of Pomfret's prophecy, calls it the eve of Ascension day. His date is a week wrong, but in the interpretation of prophecy this is a tolerably near approximation. During the whole of John's reign Ascension day never fell on May 16.
- 27. Goe I... their Armes] WARNER (p. 42). Shakespeare, following the older play, identifies the turning back of Philip from his attack upon England with the turning back of Lewis, who was summoned some years later by the English Nobles to their aid. As a matter of history, all of those scenes which, in the play, have to do with the papal interference against Prince Lewis, on behalf of John, were actually true as toward King Philip, after the submission of John

- 31, 32 constraint voluntary] The full text of John's charter of resignation is given by Roger of Wendover (ed. Giles, 11, 368, 360). It is perhaps interesting to compare the present passage with the following from the charter's preamble '-we impelled by the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, and not by force or from fear of the interdict, but of our free will and consent, and by the general advice of our Barons, assign and grant to God and his holy apostles Peter and Paul, and to the holy church of Rome our mother, and to our lord Pope Innocent and his catholic successors, the whole kingdom of England and the whole kingdom of Ireland, with all their rights and appurtenances, in remission of the sins of us and our whole race, as well for those living as for the dead, and henceforth we retain and hold those countries from him and the church of Rome as vicegerent, and this we declare in the presence of this learned man Pandulph, sub-deacon and familiar of our lord the Pope'-There is no mention in Holinshed of the act being either voluntary or under compulsion, the older play omits all reference to this also. It is, perhaps, too much to say that Shakespeare was aware of the original text of the charter, but at all events he so thoroughly understood the craven nature of John that the words put in his mouth are true both to nature and history -ED.
- 33. Enter Bastard F. Gentleman (ap Bell) The fifth Act would begin much better here than with that dull, disgraceful circumstance of John's resigning his crown to Pandulph.
- 39 hurries vp and downe] Delius 'Hurries' is here the intransitive verb, and 'up and down' a preposition—Deighton also considers 'up and down' as a preposition, comparing. 'Which else runs tickling up and down the veins'—III, ni, 62.—Wright, in reference to Delius's note, says 'It is better to take "hurries" as transitive and "up and down" as an adverb.'—Which is the opinion likewise of the present ED.
- 41, 42. Would not . . . Arthur was aliue] Munro: (Troublesome Raigne, etc., Introd., p. xxxii.) The news of Arthur's actual death, together with the election of Lewis, forces John in The Troublesome Reign to submit to Pandulph; in Shake-

Bast. They found him dead, and cast into the streets,	43	
An empty Casket, where the Iewell of life		
By fome damn'd hand was rob'd, and tane away.	45	
Iohn That villaine Hubert told me he did liue		
Bast So on my soule he did, for ought he knew.		
But wherefore doe you droope? why looke you fad?		
Be great in act, as you have beene in thought:		
Let not the world fee feare and fad distrust	50	
Gouerne the motion of a kinglye eye:		
Be stirringas the time, be fire with fire,		
Threaten the threatner, and out-face the brow		
Of bragging horror So shall inferior eyes		
That borrow their behaulours from the great,	55	
Grow great by your example, and put on	00	
The dauntlesse spirit of resolution.	57	

44 Iewell of life] jewel, life, Pope,+.
45 lane] ta'en Rowe et seq
47 So. foule] So, ... soul, Cap et seq.
ough!] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob i,
Han Cap Words
50-52 fad. be] blank. meet Coll MS

53 threatner] threatener Coll Dyce, Del Wh Cam +, Huds Fle. Words. Dono Neils Craig 56 Grow] Show Herr 56, 57 and resolution on Bell 57 spirit of resolution spirite of resolution Fle spirit of resolution Words

speare's play we pass with extraordinary swiftness from John having learnt that Arthur actually lives to John having just resigned his crown to Rome Between these two scenes Arthur has died, but John does not know of it John thus submits to Rome still thinking Arthur lives This is so important and obvious a change that it cannot have been without design The intention of the dramatist is fairly apparent The news of Arthur's death smites John down, it paralyses his action; it is now that the intrepid and energetic Bastard comes forward with all the fire of Richard, and steps into John's place as leader, it is now that John begins his decline. The submission of the crown to Rome was but a step on the way, and it is not that step in the main which Shakespeare has in view. What to him is so dramatically important is the final catastrophe The attempt on Arthur and the consequent secession of nobles drove John to surrender to Rome to Rome proved unavailing But the old John sending back Chatillon 'as lightning in the eyes of France,' and hurling defiance at France and Rome, could yet have risen as the Bastard objurgated him, 'to outface the brow of bragging horror' To all the Bastard's exclamations of horror at compromise with the 'cock'red silken wanton' of France, John says but this: 'Have thou the ordering of the present time.' The news of the actual death of Arthur is carried over from the dramatically incidental resignation of the crown to the dramatically important death. The change is part of Shakespeare's attempt to reconcile the brave and aggressive John of the play's beginning with the weakling at its end It links the death of Arthur with the death of John.

56, 57. put on . . . of resolution] MALONE. So in Macheth: 'Let's briefly put on manly readiness.'—II, iii, 139 —[Malone is, I think, quite wrong, there is

58 Away,] Away! Coll Sing 11, Del Ktly, Huds 1, Dono Craig

60 confidence] confidence Rowe et

61 What,] What! Coll Wh i, Ktly, Huds Craig

61, 62 denne, there?] den? there, Cap Var '78, '85, Rann, Mal Steev Varr den? there? Var '73 63 Ohl O! Coll Del Huds Craig
faid] Ff, Rowe, Cam +. said
Coll Del Wh 1, Huds 1, Fle Dono
Craig said! Pope et cet
forrage,] Courage! Coll 11, 111
(MS) forward! Long MS ap Cam
64 farther] further Steev Varr Sing

i, Knt, Coll 1, 11, Dyce, Del. Hal Words

no parallelism beyond the fact that the present line is a simile, the line in *Macbeth* refers to the putting on of actual clothing—ED]

59 become] MURRAY (N E D, s v vb, 9 c) Of a person To grace or adorn his surroundings, place or position, to occupy or wear with fitting grace [Steevens compares 'Such a sight as this Becomes the field, but here shows much amiss.'—Hamlet, V, 11, 413]

63. forrage Johnson To forage is here used in its original sense, for to range abroad -- STAUNTON (Addenda and Corrigenda, p lxvi), in corroboration of Johnson's definition, remarks 'Florio after explaining Foragio to mean fodder, &c , says it had anciently the sense of Fuora, which is out, abroad, forth '--FLEAY 'Forage' here means, seek for prey, as always in Shakespeare, and Marlowe, Tamburlaine, III, i. 'Forage up and down' [Fleay also quotes in illustration 'And forage their country as they have done ours,' Edward III. IV. iii. and Edward III II, 1 (Shakespeare's part). 'The lion doth become his bloody jaws And grace his foragement by being wild When vassals fear his trembling at his feet '—I do not know from what text Fleay quotes this last, in Collier's ed p 31 the lines read 'And grace his foragement, by being mild When vassal fear hes trembling at his feet '-A trifling point, hardly worth the noting, were it not that Rolfe copies Fleay's misquotation and changes the last two words to 'their feet' -ED]-HUDSON (ed 11) Collier's MS. Corrector substitutes Courage for 'Forage,' and, I suspect rightly, as, at the close of the scene, the speaker says: 'Away, then, with good courage!' The old text seems indeed to be sustained by several quotations showing that lion and forage were apt to be used together So in Henry V. 'Smiling to behold his lion's whelp Forage in blood of French nobility '-I, ii, 100 Also in Chapman's Revenge of Bussy d'Ambois 'And looke how lyons close kept, fed by hand Lose quite th' innative fire of spirit and greatness That lions free breathe, forraging for prey '-II, i. Still I am not sure that the argument from these passages will fairly cover the case in hand, as it is the spirit of resistance and defence, not of conquest, that Faulconbridge is trying to kindle in John.— MARSHALL, on the other hand, decides that 'these instances are quite sufficient to prove that the text is right, the word 'forage' having been suggested by the comparison of John to a hon in 1 61.

And grapple with him ere he come fo nye The Legat of the Pope hath beene with mee, And I have made a happy peace with him, And he hath promis'd to dismisse the Powers Led by the Dolphin.

Oh inglorious league: Ba/tShall we vpon the footing of our land, Send fayre-play-orders, and make comprimife, Infinuation, parley, and bafe truce To Armes Inuafiue? Shall a beardlesse boy,

A cockred-filken wanton braue our fields.

75

65

70

65 come comes Hal Cam 1,+, Dono. Craig nye] nigh F3F4 69 Dolphin] Ff, Wh Ktly. Dauphin Rowe et cet 70 league] league! Pope et seq 72 fayre-play-orders] Ff, Rowe,+. fair-play offers Sing in, Coll ii, iii

(MS), Wh Ktly, Dyce ii, iii, Huds ii, Words fair-play orders Cap et cet 72 comprimise] compromise Rowe 11. 75 cockred-silken] cockred, silken Pope, Theob 1 cocker'd, silken Theob. u, Han Warb Johns Var '73 Ktly cock'red silken Fle Neils cocker'd silken Cap et cet.

71. vpon the footing of our land WRIGHT That is, standing on our own soil -Deighton. Possibly the meaning is, when an enemy has set foot upon our shores, in Henry V 'For he is footed in their land already,' II, iv, 143, Richard II 'Who strongly hath set footing in this land,' II, ii, 48.

72 fayre-play-orders] C & M COWDEN CLARKE, referring to the MS Corrector's change, offers, retain the Folio reading, and suggest that 'orders' is here used in the sense, arrangements, proposed measures, since this word is used apparently with this meaning in 'Achievements, plots, orders, preventions, Excitements to the field, or speech for truce,' Tro & Cress, I, 111, 181, and in 'The Emperor's coming to the field of France To order peace between them,' Henry V V, chor, 1.39. They also call attention to the word 'order' in the next scene, 1.7, where it may be taken in this same sense - [SCHMIDT (Lex) quotes both these lines as examples of the word in the sense, condition, stipulation The N E D does not include this meaning among the several senses of the word 'order.'— MARSHALL adopts the meaning given by Schmidt, remarking that "Fair-play" is here used more in the sense friendly treatment than in its strict sense of fair or just dealing.'-ED.]

75. cockred SKEAT (Dict.). To pamper, indulge children. Of uncertain origin. Cotgrave says: 'coqueliner un enfant, to dandle, cocker, fondle, pamper, make a wanton of a child.' [The words 'cocker' and 'wanton' seem to be closely allied, besides the present line Skeat quotes: 'Neuer had cockered us, nor made us so wanton '-Sir T. More's Works, p. 337 d. See also next note by Wright.-ED]

75. wanton] WRIGHT That is, a person brought up in luxury and effeminacy. Compare 'Which he, young wanton and effeminate boy.'-Richard II: V, iii, 10. And Lyly's Euphues 'I am enforced to thinke that . . thy parents made thee a wanton with too much cockering' (ed. Arber), p. 36

75 braue] Wright 'Brave' is here used in the ordinary sense of defy, with a side reference to the meaning of the adjective 'brave,' showy, splendid; as if 'to

ACT V, SC. 1]	OF KING IO	<i>PHN</i> 359	9
And flesh his sp	ırıt ın a warre-lıke foy	le, 70	5
Mocking the ay	re with colours idlely	fpred,	
And finde no ch	ecke? Let vs my Lieg	e to Armes	
Perchance the (Cardinall cannot make	your peace,	
Or if he doe, let	t it at least be faid	80	2
They faw we ha	ad a purpose of defence	:	
	thou the ordering of th		
	then with good courag		
	well meet a prowder i	-	1
77 idlely] idely I Theob idly Han e		ordering] ord'ring Pope,+ (—Var Cap	•

brave our fields' signified to display his finery in our fields
It is quite in Shakespeare's manner to select his words with reference to the other meanings of which they are capable For example, in *Hamlet*, III, 1, 76, 'a bare bodkin' is a mere bodkin, but the epithet 'bare' is used in preference because it also might mean 'unsheathed.'

Neils Craig

78 Armes] arms! Ktly

Cap.

79 cannot] can't Pope, + (-Var. '73),

83 courage | courage! Dyce, Hal Wh

Cam +, Coll m, Huds n, Words.

77 Mocking ...idlely spred] JOHNSON compares 'Where the Norweyan banners flout the sky And turn our people cold '-Macbeth, I, 11, 49 -MALONE From these two passages Gray seems to have formed the first stanza of his celebrated Ode. 'Ruin seize thee, ruthless king! Confusion on thy banners wait! Though fann'd by conquest's crimson wing They mock the air with idle state.'

77 idlelyl WALKER (Vers. p 14) notes that this word is frequently so spelt in the Folio, 'even when pronounced as a dissyllable,' as here His other examples of this form are 'God helpe poor souls, how idlely doe they talke.'-Com of Errors, IV, 1v. (Folio, p. 96, col. 1); and 'Are idlely bent on him that enters next'-Richard II V, in. (Folio, p 42, col. 1) [See, IV, 11, 128 'I idely heard '-ED] 83, 84. Away . . . a prowder foel Johnson. Let us then away with courage, vet I so well know the faintness of our party, that I think it may easily happen that they shall encounter enemies who have more spirit than themselves -STEEVENS Dr Johnson is, I believe, mistaken. Faulconbridge means-for all their boasting. I know very well that our party is able to cope with one yet prouder and more confident of its strength than theirs Faulconbridge would otherwise dispirit the king, whom he means to animate -Boswell 'Yet I know' is still I know.'-Douce (i, 408) It may be doubted whether Steevens has sufficiently simplified the meaning, which is 'yet I know that our party is fully competent to engage a more valuant foe,' 'Prouder' has in this place the signification of the old French word preux.

Scæna Secunda.

Enter (in Armes) Dolphin, Salisbury, Meloone, Pem-	
broke, Bigot, Souldiers.	
Dol My Lord Melloone, let this be coppied out,	
And keepe it fafe for our remembrance	5
Returne the prefident to thefe Lords againe,	
That hauing our faire order written downe,	
Both they and we, perusing ore these notes	
May know wherefore we tooke the Sacrament,	
And keepe our faithes firme and inuiolable.	10
Sal Vpon our fides it neuer shall be broken.	
And Noble Dolphin, albeit we fweare	
A voluntary zeale, and an vn-urg'd Faith	13

1. Scoena Secunda] Scene 11. Rowe et seq

The Dauphin's Camp Pope The Dauphin's Camp, at St Edmundsbury Theob +, Var '78, '85, Rann Cam +, Neils. A Plain in Suffolk Cap Near St Edmundsbury The French Camp Dyce, Hal Coll. ii, Huds ii, Words. Craig Near St Edmundsbury The Dauphin's Tent Dono A Plain near St Edmundsbury Mal et cet

2 Enter Dolphin,] Drums, &c Enter Lewis, and Forces marching Cap Dolphin,] Ff, Ktly. Louis, Dyce, Hal. Wh. 1, Huds. ii. Lewis, Rowe

et cet

- 2, 4 Meloone (Melloone), Ff, Ktly Chatilion, Bell, Kemble. Melun, Rowe et cet
 - 3 Souldiers] and Others Cap
- 5 remembrance] rememberance Ktly, Fle.
- 6 president] precedent Johns et seq 9 wherefore] wherefore Steev Varr Knt, Dyce 11, 111, Fle Huds 11, Words 12 And] And, F4
- 13 and an and Pope, Theob Han. Warb Johns Huds n, Words Dono. an Cap Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce, Del Fle Craig
- r Scoena Secunda] Theobald, in justification of his placing the locality of this scene as 'A Plain, near St Edmund's-Bury, says 'In the preceding Act, where Salisbury has fixed to go over to the Dauphin, he says. "Lords, I will meet him at St Edmund's-Bury." And Count Melun, in this Act, says "Upon the altar at St Edmund's-Bury ... where we swore to you Dear amity." And it appears from The Troublesome Raigne that the interchange of vows between the Dauphin and the English barons was at St Edmund's-Bury'
- 6. president] M. MASON (Comments, etc., p. 160) That is, the rough draft of the treaty. So in Richard III the Scrivener employed to engross the indictment of Lord Hastings says that 'it took him eleven hours to write it, and the precedent was full as long a-doing '—[III, vi. 0, 10]
- 9 wherefore] Here accented on the second syllable; for other examples of this change of accent, see Walker (Vers), ch. xi
- 13 A voluntary...Faith] DAWSON notes that by reading 'unurged' this line becomes a regular Alexandrine, and there is thus no need to make any omissions for the sake of the metre.

ACI V, SC II.]	02 112110	101111		301
To your proceeding	gs . yet beleeue	me Prin	ice,	
I am not glad that	-			15
Should feeke a plaf	ter by contem	n'd reuo	lt,	
A 11 1 .1		•	•	

OF KING JOHN

And heale the inueterate Canker of one wound, By making many Oh it grieues my foule, That I must draw this mettle from my side

To be a widdow-maker . oh, and there Where honourable refcue, and defence

Cries out vpon the name of Salisbury.

But fuch is the infection of the time,
That for the health and Physicke of our right,

We cannot deale but with the very hand Of sterne Injustice, and confused wrong.

14 mel me. F₄ D

15-18 I am many] Om Bell. 16 contemn'd] condemn'd Cap conj Heath, Huds 11.

ACT W SC 11 7

17 the inueterate th' invet'rate Pope, Theob 1, Han. th' inveterate Theob 11, Warb Johns Fle Words

18 many] Ff, Rowe, Cap Varr Mal Steev Varr Sing 1 many Var '85 many Pope et cet

19 metile] mctal Rowe 11
20. widdow-maker] widow-maker!

Dyce, Hal Wh 1, Cam.+, Huds. 11, Words Neils

261

20

25

22 Cries] Cry Han Hal
Salisbury] Salisbury Var '85,
Rann, Mal. Steev Varr Sing 1, Knt,
Sta Huds Fle Salisbury' Dyce, Hal

Wh Cam +, Huds Words Neils
26, 27 confused grieved confused
grieved Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words
26 wrong | wrongs Rowe 11 wrong
Pope et seq

r6. contemn'd reuolt] HEATH (p 230). The epithet 'contemn'd' hath no propriety here, we should certainly read condemn'd, that is, which the general voice of mankind condemns, and which, therefore, Salisbury himself cannot help deploring —WRIGHT Compare. 'Frighting her pale-faced villages with war And ostentation of despised arms'—Ruchard II II, iii, 95

22 Cries out vpon] Wright That is, exclaims against the name of Salisbury for being on the opposite side So in As You Like It, II, vii, 70 'Why, who cries out on pride That can therein tax any private party?' And I Henry IV IV, iii, 150 'Cries out upon abuses' Or 'cry out upon' may be equivalent to cry upon in the sense of appeal te, as in As You Like It, IV, iii, 150 'And cried, in fainting, upon Rosalind'—Ivor John inclines to the second of these interpretations of 'cries out upon,' because it has more connection with what goes before "It grieves my soul to draw my sword in order to become a widow maker, and that among those whom I ought to rescue and protect"—Deighton also accepts this interpretation, since the words 'honourable rescue and defence' show that 'cries out upon' is used not in the sense of exclaims against, but as meaning calls for aid.

24-26 That for . . . confused wrong Deighton That is, to restore our right to a healthy condition, we have no other remedy but the unshrinking use of injury to our countrymen and anarchy in which right and wrong are confusedly mixed up, the play upon 'right' (that which is due) as opposed to 'wrong' (that which is not due, injustice) and of 'right' (that which is morally good) as opposed to 'wrong'

And is't not pitty, (oh my grieued friends)
That we, the fonnes and children of this Isle,
Was borne to see so fad an houre as this,
Wherein we step after a stranger, march

27

30

27 friends)] friends! Pope et seq
29 Was] Were Ff
fad] bad Rowe II
30 flep march] march step Herr
franger, march] Ff, Rowe, Pope,

Knt, Coll Sing 11, Sta Ktly, Huds Fle Neils stranger-march Han Dyce, Wh Huds 11, Words stranger's march Long MS ap Cam stranger monarch Herr stranger march Theob et cet

(that which is morally evil) makes the sentence difficult of explanation —DAVIES (Dram Miscell, 1, 100) sees in these lines the only hint, throughout the play, as to the true cause of the quarrel between John and his Barons, which was not the murder of Arthur, but John's resolution to break through his most solemn engagements, signed and sworn to in the Great Charter, manifested by his invading the estates of his nobles, this it was which drove them into the arms of France -[Can we not almost see Shakespeare's gentle smile of tolerance, and hear his equally gentle comment 'My lord, there was no such stuff in my thoughts'-ED]-BOWDEN (p 157) In King John the nobles appear as deriving their rights not from the Great Charter, which the Poet ignores, but from common law and im-The Barons are the King's Peers, his judges when he breaks memorial custom the laws of Church or State, and the executors of their judgements, as far as they have the power Thus they are represented in [this scene] as resisting the encroachments of the crown, and their rebellion, in alliance with the French king, is dictated by motives of religion, duty, and patriotism. But the Poet is careful to point out in this speech of Salisbury the evils entailed by even justifiable rebellion The uncertainty of conscience as to what is lawful or not in rebellion, the 'healing one wound only by making many,' the necessity of fighting with one's own countrymen and forming alliances with their enemies, these are some of the evils of insurrection

27. grieued] WALKER (Crit, 111, 122) takes 'grieved' here as equivalent to the modern aggrieved, but this seems hardly necessary, Shakespeare's use of this word is almost universally in the sense afflicted, which it may well bear in this passage.—ED

30. a stranger, march] THEOBALD: All the printed copies have mistakenly pointed this passage; but with submission to the former editors, the word 'stranger' is here an adjective in its usage, and to be coupled to 'march,' which is its substantive and no verb. So in Richard II. 'But tread the stranger paths of banishment'—[I, iii, r43] And so in Rape of Lucrece. 'But she, that never cop'd with stranger eyes,' [l. 99].—MALONE, without referring to Theobald's note, likewise takes 'stranger' as an adjective. His quotation is even more apposite than Theobald's, since it is from the preceding scene of this play. 'Swearing allegiance... To stranger blood, to foreign royalty,' l. 14.—C. & M. COWDEN CLARKE 'feel' that 'stranger' taken as an adjective is very 'un-Shakespearean in effect.'—VAUGHAN (i, 80). This is harsh indeed, 'stranger march' with 'stranger' as an epithet to 'march' being more awkward by far than 'stranger blood' in the preceding scene; and to 'step after a stranger march,' being an expression which does not shape any appropriate image. Nor do I recall any passage in Shakespeare

Vpon her gentle bosom, and fill vp	31
Her Enemies rankes? I must withdraw, and weepe	
Vpon the fpot of this inforced cause,	
To grace the Gentry of a Land remote,	
And follow vnacquainted colours heere	35
What heere? O Nation that thou couldst remoue,	
That Neptunes Armes who clippeth thee about,	
Would beare thee from the knowledge of thy felfe,	38
	-

32-42 Om Bell
32, 33 I must cause In parentheses
Theob et seq (exc Johns)
32 I must weepe In parentheses
Walker (Crit, 111, p 122)
33-42 Om Dono
33 spot of spot, for Pope thought of
Coll MS, Wh 1 spur of Dyce 1
(conj), Walker, Dyce 11, 111, Coll 111,
Words spite of Jervis conj Vaughan

conj sport of Herr
33 inforced] enforced Dyce, Fle
Huds n, Words.
35 heere] here! Pope here? Theob
et seq
36 remoue,] remove! Pope et seq
38 Would felfe] Transposed to follow l. 11 above in Var '21 (misprint).
thee from the] the from thee F4

where 'march' means anything which a person in the army can 'step after' If, too, Salisbury and the rest filled up the enemies ranks, they did not step after a march, but with it [Vaughan, therefore, apparently unaware that he is restoring the Folio text, proposes that 'march' be here taken as a verb—ED]—WRIGHT Theobald's alteration sunnecessary, and destroys the climax of the sentence—Moberly All this passage seems an expansion of some sentences on the revolt against John in the Homily against wilful rebellion, appointed in 1562, to be read in churches 'Now, had Englishmen at that time known their duty to their prince, set forth in God's word, would a great many of the nobles and other Englishmen, natural subjects. have taken part against the King of England, and against Englishmen, with the French king and with Frenchmen? Would they have sworn fidelity to the Dolphin of France. and have stood under the dolphin's banner displayed against the King of England?'—[I am inclined to agree with Wright that Theobald's change is unnecessary and that the Folio reading should stand—ED.]

33 the spot] M. Mason 'Spot' probably means stain, or disgrace.—Malone compares 'To look into the spots and stains of right.' [Here he was unfortunately trusting to his memory, which played him false, the line reads 'blots and stains' in every edition. 'Spot' in the sense given by Mason occurs in V, vii, 110 'To rest without a spot forevermore.'—ED]—R G. White decides that the Folio reading is 'nonsense,' and therefore accepts the MS. Corrector's change, thought. 'The misprint,' he adds, 'is, perhaps, the result of the spelling tho't, which when the bow of the h was brought below the line might be easily mistaken for fpot'—Wright: 'Upon' is used here, as in I, 11, 623, 'upon commodity,' and in IV, 11, 224. 'upon humour,' to express the ground of an action. 'Spot' is stain, disgrace.

37. chippeth] Wright: That is, embraceth thee, surroundeth thee Compare: 'Clipp'd in with the sea That chides the banks of England, Scotland, Wales.'—I Henry IV: III, 1, 44. And Othello: 'You elements that clip us round about.'—III, iii, 464.

38. from the knowledge of thy selfe] VAUGHAN (i, 83). This does not mean

And cripple thee vnto a Pagan shore, Where these two Christian Armies might combine The bloud of malice, in a vaine of league, And not to spend it so vn-neighbourly.

40

42

39 cripple] Ff, Rowe gripple Steev conj Fle Craig grapple Pope et cet fhore,] shore! Pope,+, Cap shore, Var '78 et seq
41 vaine! veine or vein Ff

42 to [pend] mis-spend Han to-spend Steev Varr Sing Knt, Dyce 1, Sta Hal Huds Fle forspend Vaughan conj

'bear thee to a distance from the knowledge of thyself,' but 'bear thee without thy knowing it,' in accordance with Shakespeare's frequent use of 'from'

- 39, 40 cripple thee combine] Capell (I, pt 11, p 135) This wish of Salisbury's is not of easy conception, it has the appearance of satire on Christian nations, living under the gospel of peace, and yet engaging in enmities more embitter'd and frequent than a pagan world was acquainted with —Malone Our Author seems to have been thinking on the wars carried on by Christian princes in the holy land against the Saracens, where the united armies of France and England might have laid their mutual animosities aside, and fought in the cause of Christ, instead of fighting against brethren and countrymen, as Salisbury and the other English noblemen who had joined the Dauphin were about to do
- 39 cripple] STEEVENS. Perhaps our Author wrote gripple, a word used by Drayton, Polyolbion Song I 'That thrusts his gripple hand into her golden maw,' [I 106—WRIGHT observes that gripple is here used by Drayton, as an adjective, and also by Spenser as a noun, but by neither as a verb—Bradley (N E D, v. gripple vb, quotes 'I am glad that I have any occasion to griple with this sinne, where it hath made so many spoyles' H Smith, Sermon Usury 1, 3 (1591), also 'The distant corners of their gripled fleet' Heywood If You Know not Me, in, (1607) Wks, 1, 346—ED]
- 41. in a vaine] MOBERLY That is, make the angry blood of both flow, as it were, in one vein of alliance for crusading purposes
- 42. And not . . . vn-neighbourly] Malone This is one of many passages in which Shakespeare concludes a sentence without attending to the manner in which the former part is constructed.
- 42 And not to spend] Steevens. Shakespeare employs, in the present instance, a phraseology which he had used before in *The Merry Wives* 'And fairy-like, to-pinch the unclean knight' [—IV, IV, 57]. To, in composition with verbs, is common enough in ancient language. [Steevens's wanderings into the realm of philology are not, as a rule, fraught with success; and this is a melancholy example. In the first place Shakespeare can hardly be said to have used the phrase before in *The Merry Wives*, as this present play antedates that comedy by at least five years, and secondly, the line quoted by Steevens with the compound 'to-pinch,' is merely a conjecture by Tyrwhitt and therefore is not necessarily the language of Shakespeare. It is quite true that to is quite frequent in compounds with verbs, but rarely, if ever, except in the full form all-to-, meaning completely or thoroughly. Steevens, supplementing Tyrwhitt's note on the line from Merry Wives, gives a number of examples which might easily be increased. (For the etiology of this compound, see Eastwood & Wright Bibleword Book, s. v. All-to). The line from

44 affections] affection Pope, Theob Warb Johns wraftling] F₂F₃, Fle wreftling F₄

That filuerly doth progresse on thy cheekes

45 Doth] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob Warb Johns Cam +, Neils Do Han et cet 46-56 Om Bell, Dono.
46 haft] haft thou F₄
47 compulfion] compassion Han
refpect] respect! Pope et seq
49 cheekes] cheeks Pope, Theob

49

Warb Johns Coll Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds 1, Neils. cheeks, Han

Nobility] nobility Rowe et seq

Chaucer, quoted by Tyrwhitt, 'mouth and nose to-broke' (Reeve's Tale, 1160), is, however, not a case in point, 'to-broke' here is merely the past participle of the older English verb to-breken, to break in picces—Ed]—R G White I am not sure that the construction is not 'where these two Christian armies might not combine to spend it so unneighborly',—'combine' being used transitively and intransitively according to the free style of Shakespeare's day—Wright Where two infinitives follow an auxiliary verb, it is not uncommon for 'to' to be inserted before the second, though it is omitted before the first, as here, 'might combine

and not to spend' So in the Prayer Book Version of *Psalm* lxxviii, 8 'That they might put their trust in God and not to forget the works of God, but to keep his commandments' See I, 11, 143, IV, 11, 250, and below, line 145 It is therefore wrong to read 'to-spend' with Steevens.

- 45. Doth] WRIGHT remarks that Hanmer's change is unnecessary, since 'the nominative is the idea involved in the preceding clause,' as if it had been 'the wrestling of great affections.' See I, 11, 265, 267.
- 47. compulsion... braue respect] Warburton This 'compulsion' was the necessity of a reformation in the state, which according to Salisbury's opinion (who, in his speech preceding, calls it an 'enforced cause') could only be procured by foreign arms, and the 'brave respect' was the love of his country—Capell (I, pt ii, p 136) opines that the foregoing note contains a specious sense of 'compulsion,' but that Hanmer's reading, compassion (which is also that of Halliwell's annotated third Folio), has much show of reason, since 'though it may be permitted to Salisbury to call his cause of revolt "an enforced cause," perhaps it should not be openly termed so by Lewis, whom it behov'd to speak fairer, compassion he might see, and admire, and give the praise we have seen to it, and his other terms after it, "brave respect," are better understood of Salisbury's brave resentment of John's ill-behaviour (in the business of the church, and of Arthur, and numbers more) and his brave acknowledgement of the better title of Lewis.' Capell also suggests that compunction might even be a reading more suitable than either 'compulsion' or compassion—ED
- 49 siluerly] Abbott (§ 447): Ly, found with a noun and yet not appearing to convey an adjectival meaning, represents like, of which it is a corruption (Compare 'exteriorly,' IV, ii, 267)

My heart hath melted at a Ladies teares,	50
Being an ordinary Inundation	
But this effusion of such manly drops,	
This showre, blowne vp by tempest of the soule,	
Startles mine eyes, and makes me more amaz'd	
Then had I feene the vaultie top of heauen	55
Figur'd quite ore wirh burning Meteors.	
Lift vp thy brow (renowned Salisburie)	
And with a great heart heave away this storme.	
Commend these waters to those baby-eyes	
That neuer faw the giant-world enrag'd,	60
Nor met with Fortune, other then at feafts,	
Full warm of blood, of mirth, of gossipping.	
Come, come; for thou shalt thrust thy hand as deepe	
Into the purse of rich prosperity	64

50-56 Mnemonic Warb
50 Ladies] lady's Rowe
51 Inundation] inundation Fle
54 Startles] Startle Rowe 1.
55 heaven] Heav'n Rowe,+
56. wirh] F.
57 renowned] renowned Dyce, Fle.
Huds 11, Words
58. ftorme-] storm Pope,+.

59-62 Mnemonic Warb Om Bell. Dono. 59 thefe those these Rann

waters] warres F₂ warrs F₃
Wars F₄
62. Full warm of] Full-warm of Pope,
Theob Warb. Johns Full of warm

Theob Warb. Johns Full of warm Heath, Cam.+, Dyce 11, 111, Huds 11, Rlfe, Words Neils Hertford, Marshall. gossipping | gossipping | F3F4

- 50 My heart hath melted, etc MINTO (p 282) Bacon wondered why a woman's eye should be so gazed at when the beauties of the heavens were so little regarded. That wonder spoke the philosopher no less unmistakably than this present passage speaks the dramatist Human passion affected him more than the grandest phenomena of inanimate nature.
- 53 showre, blowne vp] MALONE compares 'This windy tempest, till it blow up rain Held back his sorrow's tide.'—Lucrece, l. 1788—WRIGHT more appositely compares 'See, see what showers arise, Blown with the windy tempest of my heart.'—3 Henry VI: II, v, 86.
- 62. Full warm of blood] HEATH (p 230) As the adjective 'warm' hath in this construction equally a reference to 'mirth' and 'gossiping,' as well as to 'blood,' I should rather think the Poet wrote 'full of warm blood.'—CAPELL (I, pt ii, p. 136). The substantive to 'warm' is 'feasts,' and the line's sense—feasts in which the blood ran full high, and mirth and gossiping kept pace with the blood no occasion then for a propos'd transposition of 'warm' and 'blood,' which only serves to make tame a line of spirit—R. G. White: That is, full warm with blood [For many examples of this use of 'full' with adjectives for emphatic effect, see Scenaur, Lex., s. v. full. 3.]
- 64. the purse of rich prosperity! MOBERLY: The mention of reward is an unfortunate argument to a man of Salisbury's temper, and, feeling his mistake, the Dauphin instantly takes another tone more persuasive.

As Lewis himselfe so (Nobles) shall you all, That knit your sinewes to the strength of mine. 65

Enter Pandulpho

And euen there, methinkes an Angell spake.

68

65 himself | himself Rowe Scene iii Pope, Theob Warb Johns

67 Enter Pandulpho] Ff, Rowe Enter Pandulph Pope, +, Dyce, Cam +, Fle He sees Pandulph coming at a distance Han Enter Pandulph with his retinue Hal Enter Pandulph attended. Cap et cet (after 1 68, Hal Cam +, Fle After 1 72 Dyce, Sta Wh 1, Huds 11, Words)

68 Om Bell

fpake,] spake! Theob Johns
speeds, Han Warb

68 an Angell spake] Johnson thinks Hanmer's reading, speeds, unnecessary 'The Dauphin does not yet hear the legate indeed, nor pretend to hear him, but seeing him advance, and concluding that he comes to animate and authorise him with the power of the church, he cries out, "at the sight of this holy man, I am encouraged as by the voice of an angel "-MALONE Rather, In what I have now said, an angel spake, for see the holy legate approaches, to give warrant from heaven, and the name of right to our cause -[Delius agrees with Malone in thus interpreting these words]-Steevens This thought is far from a new one Thus, in Gower, De Confessione Amantis 'Hem thought it sowned in her ere As though that it an angell were,' [ed Macauley (E E T Soc), liber viii, ll 781, 782, but the context shows that these lines are not parallel to the present passage. Thus 'Singende he harpeth forth withal That as a vois celestial Hem thoghte,' etc. It is the voice of the singer that is compared to that of an angel, not his propitious message Compare 'Amongst all these fair entising objects, which procure love, and bewitch the soul of man, there is none so moving, so forcible as profit; and that which carrieth with it a shew of commodity... Tell him good tydings in this kinde, there spoke an angel, a blessed hour that brings in gain '-Burton, Anat of Melancholy, Part 2, Sec 1, Mem 2, subsec 1 -ED.] BIRCH (p 260), with characteristic contempt for any evidence of religious sentiment in Shakespeare, says. 'The irony of this remark may be well understood, when the legate comes to command the French forces to withdraw, John having made his peace with heaven. Shakespeare before had introduced Chatillon, as a miracle, to bring news—the reverse of the expectation of Philip and Constance [I, 11, 54]— Shakespeare must have had in these instances his usual design of particularly ridiculing these special interpositions, as they were thought, or claimed to be, of providential agency. Lewis does not care for his faith when it stands in the way of his interest. The "warrant from the hand of heaven," the honoured messenger of advantage, is rudely handled when he comes on a different errand '-CAMBRIDGE Enp. (Note XXVI.): None of the interpretations of this line hitherto suggested are at all satisfactory Surely the close proximity of 'purse,' 'nobles,' and 'angel' shows that Shakespeare has here yielded to the fascination of a jeu de mots, which he was unable to resist, however unsuitable the occasion might be The Dauphin, we may suppose, speaks 'aside,' with an accent and gesture which mark his contempt for the mercenary allies whom he intends to get rid of as soon as may be. -[Dr Wright in the Clarendon ed, some years later, adheres to the opinion that there is here intended a play on the words 'noble' and 'angel', saying in con-

[68 And euen there, methinkes an Angell spake]

clusion 'It must be remembered that an angel was the fee for a lawyer's opinion. from which, perhaps, "there spake an angel," which occurs in the play of Sir Thomas More, I, 1, 176, as a proverbial expression of approval, may have had its origin '-It is pleasant to note that Wright does not repeat the remark in regard to the 'mercenary nobles', Moberly's view of the case is far more just, see his note 1 64. ante -ED |-C & M COWDEN CLARKE (unwilling to accept Malone's interpretation) 'think this phrase is the Dauphin's comment on hearing the trumpet sound that announces the approach of the "holy legate," bringing "warrant from the hand of heaven" And further remark that, 'There is no stage-direction in the Folio, either here or afterwards, when Faulconbridge enters that, in both instances, the lines are intended to call attention to the sounding of the trumpet which precedes a stage entrance of importance, especially on the battle-field, and nothing would be more poetically and dramatically probable than that the trumpet-call which heralded the approach of the "holy legate' should suggest the idea of an angel's proclamation '-VAUGHAN (1, 85) Either Malone's interpretation is correct, or we should read 'And even there methinks an angel shapes' 'Shapes' then would mean 'in confirmation of all this, even at vonder spot an angel presents itself in the material and actual form and presence For look where the holy legate is coming as an ambassador from God to warrant our league and actions' 'There' refers to a spot pointed to, and 'where' to the same spot In corroboration of the use of shape in the sense assume a form Vaughan quotes 'Their dear loss The more of you 'twas felt, the more it shaped Unto my end in stealing them '-Cymbeline, V, v, 346 It seems hardly necessary to remark that 'shaped' here means to be suited, to square, and not to assume a form -ED |-MOBERLY The allusion is probably to Acts, xxiii, o 'But if an angel or a spirit hath spoken to him, let us not be fighters against God '-- Compare also 'Then came there a voyce from heauen, saying, I have both glorified it, and will glorifie it againe. Then saide the people that stoode by and heard, that it was thunder others saide An Angel spake to him '-St John, xii, 28, 20 (Genevan Vers) |-ORGER (D 15) I can hardly be persuaded to admit the Cambridge Editors' suggested explanation of this line as a 10cose aside. It seems entirely out of place in Lewis's mouth; but after the pathetic expressions of grief given forth by Salisbury, it would not be unsuitable to him. He sees the legate coming to give the English nobles 'warrant from the hand of heaven', and the opportune coincidence of his approach with Lewis's assurances warns him to declare that Lewis had spoken like an angel in the words of comfort he had uttered [Orger therefore would arrange these lines, 68-72, as a reply by Salisbury |--HERR (D 34) agrees with the Cambridge Edd that there is a play on words here between the two meanings of the word 'angel'; but as this does not explain how an angel 'there spake' on the entrance of Pandulph, Herr suggests that the line run 'there, methinks, an angel shakes,' since we must remember that John had said 'see thou shake the bags Of hoarding abbots imprison'd angels Set thou at liberty,' and the emended line will thus mean 'even there an angel is shaken out from the purse of prosperity-look where the holy legate quickly comes' 'Before "shakes" an ellipse occurs and the line must be understood to read, "an angel is out shaken" -from "the purse of nch prosperity" -- MARSHALL contributes still another example of the use of this expression ' . the bloud-hound Securitie wil smel out ready money for you instantly Sir Petronell There spake an angel.' Marston:

ACT V, SC	ii] 0.	F KING	IOHN		369
To give v And on o	nere the holy Leg is warrant from or actions fet th	the hand	of heauen,		70
With holy Pand	Haile noble Pri	nce of F	rance		
	is this King Id				
	to Rome, his fpi				75
That so s	tood out against	the holy	Church,		
The great	: Metropolis and	Sea of F	Rome		
Therefore	thy threatning	Colours	now winde vp	,	
	the fauage fpir				_
That like	a Lion fostered	vp at ha	.nd,		80
-	e gently at the f	_	•		
	o further harme	_			_
Dol.	Your Grace shall	pardon	me, I will not	backe.	83
	n] Heav'n Rowe,+	Han	78 threatning] Neils Craig	threatening	Cam +,
	Rowe ii (misprint)	. Alau.	80 fostered vp	foster'd-up D	yce, Hal.
77 The] 1 Sea] S	That Rowe 11, Pope.		Huds 11, Words 82 Om Dono	fosi'red up	Fle
	Rome Pope,+,	Del.		her Coll Wh	i. 1.
Dono Crais	,				

Eastward Hoe, II, i (ed Pearson, 11i, p 31) Marshall pertinently calls attention to the frequent connection of the phrase with the mention of money, or well-known names of coins, as here [All of which gives warrant from the hand of Shakespeare that the text here is in no need of any change Hanmer's speeds, Vaughan's shapes, Herr's obscure and extravagant alteration, shakes, are alike to be rejected That the Dauphin's use of these words refers to the trumpet announcing the approach of Pandulph, as suggested by the Clarkes, seems the most probable explanation, at least to the present ED |

74. The next] Ivor John I can find no Shakespearean warrant for this peculiar use of 'next' Did Shakespeare write news, as he did in scores of similar situations? [Compare, perhaps, 'For Humphrey being dead as he shall be And Henry put apart, the next for me'—2 Henry I III, 1, 383 SCHMIDT (Lev) interprets 'the next' in this latter passage, what follows, the rest, and thus it may also be taken in the present line—ED]

83. shall pardon me] WRIGHT That is, must pardon me. Compare 'Your grace shall understand that at the receipt of your letter I am very sick '—Mer of Ven., IV, 1, 149 [For other examples of this use of 'shall' see, if needful, ABBOTT, § 315]

83. I will not backe! SNIDER (ii, 314). The Dauphin from the obedient son of the Church becomes, in a breath, its most refractory child What is the matter? His political interest now conflicts with religious authority, and he, in his turn, has come to refuse subordination to Rome, he is just where John was before. The Dauphin has no conscience, the Church is employed by him simply as an

I am too high-borne to be proportied
To be a fecondary at controll,
Or viefull feruing-man, and Inftrument
To any Soueraigne State throughout the world.
Your breath first kindled the dead coale of warres,
Betweene this chastiz'd kingdome and my selfe,
And brought in matter that should feed this fire;
And now'tis farre too huge to be blowne out
With that same weake winde, which enkindled it:
You taught me how to know the face of right,
Acquainted me with interest to this Land,
Yea, thrust this enterprize into my heart,

95

85-87 Om Bell
88 coale of warres] coal of war Pope,
+, Ktly coals of war Cap conj Huds.
11, Craig
80 chastiz'd] chastis'd Mal Steev

Varr Sing Knt, Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words
90 fire,] fire Pope, + (-Var. '73)
92. it 1 it Pope et seq
94 interest to 1 int'rest to Pope, Theob.
Warb my int'rest in Han

instrument But Pandulph is truly a comic figure, here his deep policy has swallowed itself. The State which he invoked to subject State to Church very naturally refuses to be subjected itself. This is just the old struggle over again—the Legate is exactly where he began. Such is the outcome of the political authority of the Church, it shows indeed a comic retribution. When the end is supposed to be gained it is simply lost. Pandulph vanishes and Lewis declares for battle.

84 proportied] WRIGHT That is, treated as a property, or instrument for a particular purpose, to be thrown aside as soon as used, like a thing with no will of its own The word is derived from the technical sense of the word 'property' as used in the theater. Compare 'They have propertied me.'—Twelfth Night, IV, in, 99.

88. warres] WRIGHT: 'Wars' and war are used interchangeably by Shakespeare. We find 'at war' in Jul Cas, I, 11, 46, and 'at wars' in 2 Henry IV: III, i, 60; 'go to war' in Tro & Cress, II, 111, 145, 'go to wars' in Much Ado, I, i, 307; 'make war' in Macheth, II, iv, 18, and 'make wars' in Corrol., I, 1v, 40

94. interest to this Land] MALONE. This was the phraseology of Shakespeare's time. So in r Henry IV: 'He hath more worthy interest to the state.'—[III, ii, 98]. Again, in Dugdale's Antiquities of Warwickshire, ii, p. 927. '—in 4 Rich. II, he had a release from Rose the daughter and heir of Sir John de Arden before specified, of all her interest to the manor of Pedimore' [Had Malone said that this was the legal phraseology of Shakespeare's time he would have been more correct. The present passage and that from r Henry IV. are the only two wherein the noun 'interest' is thus used. In all the other instances the phrase is 'interest in.' 'Interest to' doubtless arose from confusion with the older word interess, right, or legal claim, which is thus explained by Coke 'Interesse... in legall understanding extendeth to Estates, Rights and Titles, that a man hath of, in, to, or out of Lands, for he is truly said to have an interest in them'—On Littleton,

OF KING IOHN

ACT V, SC 11]

96 ye] you Steev Varr Sing i, Knt, 102-112 Am I . Set?] Om Bell. 102. 103 borne? prouided?] borne, . Sta Huds 1, Fle Craig 98 marriage bed] Marriage-bed F4 provided, Theob et seg

345 b It is not difficult to see that interess to easily might become, from similarity of sound, 'interest to '-ED 1

96 yel ABBOTT (§ 236) In the original form of the language 'ye' is nominative. you accusative This distinction, however, though observed in our version of the Bible, was disregarded by Elizabethan authors, and 'ye' seems to be generally used in questions, entreaties, and rhetorical appeals Ben Jonson says second person plural is for reverence sake to some singular thing' Compare: 'Therein, ye gods, you make the weak most strong'-Jul Cas, I, iii, or

98, 99. I . . . claime this Land for mine RITSON (Remarks, p. 84) It is possible that Shakespeare imagined the Dauphin to have the right he pretended But even supposing Eleanor of Britain [Arthur's sister] out of the question, John, and his son Henry, had a better title than Blanch, who was only that king's sister's daughter, unless, indeed, he might be said to have forfeited all right, by his unnatural cruelty to his nephew. . . yet still even on that idea, would young Henry's title remain unimpeached; for neither then, nor in our Author's time, was it understood that the personal misconduct of the ancestor could, in any case, bar the succession of the innocent issue

106. hable Wright That is, ready to recognise my claim [Wright compares 'And all that we upon this side the sea . Find liable to our crown and dignity, I, 11, 512-514, where 'liable' clearly means subject or subservient to, as, I think, it does also in the present line -ED]

100. Viue le Royl WALKER (Vers., p. 3), In French speeches or phrases, such as occasionally occur in Shakespeare, the final e, now mute, is usually sounded

100. as I have bank'd their Townes] STEEVENS This may mean, 'throw up entrenchments before them.' The old play [The Troublesome Raigne], however, leaves this interpretation extremely disputable. It appears from thence that these salutations were given to the Dauphin as he sailed along the banks of the river. This, I suppose, Shakespeare called banking the towns.

[rog Viue le Roy, as I haue bank'd their Townes?]

'—from the hollow holes of Thamesis
Echo apace replied, Vive le roy!

From thence along the wanton rolling glade,
To Troynovant, your fair metropolis'

[Pt 11, sc 111, ll 173-176, Appendix, p 522] We still say to coast and to flank, and to 'bank' has no less of propriety, though it is not reconciled to us by modern usage -Collier It is doubtful in what sense we are to take 'bank'd', whether Lewis means to say that he has thrown up embankments before the towns, or whether he uses 'bank'd' in reference to the towns on the shores of the Thames -Staunton This is supposed to mean, sail'd along beside their towns upon the river's banks, but from the context it seems more probably an allusion to card-playing, and by 'bank'd their towns' is meant, won their towns, put them in bank or rest - [Keightley (Expositor, p 225) opines that this latter interpretation is preferable to that offered by Steevens, but to Shakespeare's use of 'banked' in this technical gaming sense there is an insuperable objection -MURRAY (N, E, D) does not record any example of such, earlier than the nineteenth century Under the meaning coasted Murray quotes the present line as the only example -ED |-R G WHITE I suspect a corruption here, though unable to suggest an emendation -HALLIWELL That is, thrown up intrenchments before. This seems to be the meaning, but in the Dauphin's speech in the old play, which is addressed to the Baron's, Echo is described as replying Vive le Roy 'from the hollow holes of Thamesis,' the writer perhaps forgetting that Rochester, the town there alluded to, was not situated on the Thames, but on the banks of the Medway The Dauphin landed, besieged, and reduced the castle of Rochester, and hastened his march to the capital It is this progress which is here alluded to —C & M COWDEN CLARKE It is probable that the sentence includes reference to all these varied meanings [Steevens's, Staunton's, and Halliwell's] according to Shakespeare's mode of combining several significations in one comprehensive expression - Vaughan (1, 85) characterises the interpretation by Steevens as 'farfetched' even supported by the quotation from the older play 'It is possible,' says Vaughan, 'that the reading itself, "bank'd," may be wrong, and that it should be "banged their towns" "banged" is battered Othello "The desperate tempest hath so bang'd the Turks," II, 1, 22' He adheres, however, to the original text with the meaning, throw up entrenchments -ORGER (p. 16) pertinently observes that this interpretation of 'bank'd,' 'or "cast a bank against them," as in Isazak, xxxvii, 33, is alike contrary to the idea of the expedition of the march, and the alacrity of the inhabitants to accept relief from the dominion of their native king." -It may, however, be noted that in the passage from Isaiah the word 'bank' appears for the first time in the Authorised Version, in the Genevan and earlier versions the phrase reads: 'cast a mount against'; this cannot, therefore, be taken as an example of such use of the word bank. Orger's objection is equally applicable to Vaughan's bang'd with its idea of a hostile action. In conclusion Orger says "Bank'd" may be more plausibly interpreted, Come by sea to the banks on which their towns stood, as "bank" is used in connection with the "sea" as well as "rivers" But as he is apparently speaking of his march, and "banking their towns" would in either case be a very forced expression, I would suggest warned, in the sense of summon, as it seems to be used in Jul Cas, "They mean to warn us in Philippi here," and read "as I have warned their towns "-WRIGHT is in

113 No, no] No, Pope,+, Steev Var '03, '13, Dyce n, m, Huds n, Words Dono Neils

neuer shall] shall never F4, Rowe 115 Out-side or in-side] I care not Bell 116-121 Om Bell

116, 117 glorified, promised.] Ff. glorified, ..promised Coll Rowe,+ Del Sta Huds. i, Fle glorified . prom-1sèd Dyce, Fle Huds. 11, Words glorified promised, Hal. Ktly glorify'd .. promised Cap et cet

119 fiery] firy Mal Steev.

121 [Trumpet sounds Rowe et seq (Trumpet within Cap).

123 Scene IV. Pope, Han Warb. Johns

Enter Bastard Ff. Rowe, Pope

Enter Faulconbridge Theob Warb. Johns Var '73 Enter Bastard attended Cap et cet (Faulconbridge Var. '78, '85, Rann Richard Words Dono. 124 faire-play] fair play Pope,+, Steev Varr Sing Knt, Coll Del Sta. Wh Ktly, Cam 1,+, Dono Craig.

125 audience] audience Johns Var. '73, Knt, Neils

125-127 Speake King I come Ff. Sing speak, king I come, Theob Warb. speak, king I come Johns Var '73, I John speak king I come, Coll Del Wh i, Ktly, Rlfe, Dono. speak king, I come, Sta speak . king I come Ktly, Huds 1 speak. . king I come, Wh. 11, Neils. speak . . king I come, Rowe et cet

127 you have] you Rann.

accord with Steevens that this word refers to the Dauphin's sailing along the rivers, and that the passage is based on that from the older play -Ivor John. I am inclined to suspect the text, the more so because it does not seem likely that the French went to attack many towns by sailing up rivers, although the corresponding passage of The Troublesome Raigne refers to sailing up the Thames. We might suggest hail'd.

113 No, no, on my soule] LETTSOM (ap DYCE) suggests that this be 'No, on my soul.' but, as will be seen in the Text. Notes, he is anticipated by Pope; Mason and Steevens also make the same conjecture -ED

125-127 I am sent . . to learne] As may be seen in the Text Notes opinion

And, as you answer, I doe know the scope		
And warrant limited vnto my tongue.		
Pand The Dolphin is too wilfull opposite	130	
And will not temporize with my intreaties		
He flatly faies, heell not lay downe his Armes.		
Bast By all the bloud that euer fury breath'd,		
The youth faies well. Now heare our English King,		
For thus his Royaltie doth speake in me	135	
He is prepar'd, and reason to he should,		
This apish and vinmannerly approach,		
This harnefs'd Maske, and viaduifed Reuell,		
This vn-heard fawcineffe and boyish Troopes,	139	

130 Dolphin] Ff, Wh. Ktly, Fle
Dauphin Rowe et cet
wilfull opposite] F₂F₃, Knt.
wilful, opposite Theob et cet
132 flatily] flately F₂
134 well.] well Cap. Varr. Rann,
Mal. Steev Varr Sing Knt.
135 me] me Cap et seq.
136-140 Om Dono.
136 to] too Ff.

136 [hould,] Ff. should. Rowe,+,
Ktly. should: Cap et cet
138 vnadus[ed] unadvs?d Dyce, Fle
Huds 11, Words
139 vn-heard] unheard Ff, Rowe,
Warb Coll 1, Ktly unhear'd Pope,
Han. unhair'd Theob et cet
and] of Coll 11 (MS)
Troopes] troop Cap. conj. Huds
11. Words.

is divided as to the correct pointing of these lines —CAPELL declares that Theobald's punctuation quite destroys the force of the Bastard's speech, but this seems rather too severe a stricture, since, apart from the fact that with this pointing we obtain an awkward construction, 'I am sent from the king,' the general sense is quite the same as that conveyed by the Folio's text, 'I am sent From the king I come to learn,' etc—Ivor John also thinks Theobald's comma after 'king' unnecessary, the arrangement he proposes and adopts as being nearer that of the Folio is, however, that of Johnson and the *Variorum* of '73—ED.

129. Inmited] WRIGHT: That is, appointed Compare. 'For 'tis my limited service.'—Macbeth, II, iii, 56.

131. temporize] WRIGHT: That is, come to terms, compromise. So, in Corrol., IV, vi, 17. 'All's well; and might have been much better, if He could have temporized'

131. intreaties] WALKER (Crit., ii, 1) The double ending in this play grates on my ear. Read, surely, entreates; the mistake was easy. And so, perhaps, Richard III: 'I am not made of stone But penetrable to your kind entreaties'—III, vii, 225. [Corroboration of Walker's conjecture is found in the fact that in this line from Richard III. the quarto reading is intreats, while the Folio reading is 'entreaties.' Walker gives numerous examples of entreats used for 'entreaties.'—ED.]

139. vn-heard THEOBALD 'Unheard' is an epithet of very little force or meaning here, besides, let us observe how it is coupled Faulconbridge is sneering at the Dauphin's invasion as an unadvised enterprise, savouring of youth and in-

The King doth smile at, and is well prepar'd To whip this dwarfish warre, this Pigmy Armes From out the circle of his Territories.

140

141 this] these Rowe et seq Pigmy Armes] pigmy swarm Vaughan conj (withdrawn) 142 out] ont F2

discretion, the result of childishness and unthinking rashness, and he seems altogether to dwell on this character of it by calling his preparation 'boyish troops,' 'dwarfish war,' 'pigmy arms,' etc , which, according to my emendation, sort very well with unhair'd, i e, unbearded sauciness. So before Faulconbridge says 'shall a beardless boy, A cockred, silken wanton brave our fields,' V, 1, 74 So in Macbeth 'And many unrough youths that even now Protest their first of manhood,' V, u, 10.-MALONE, in support of Theobald's change, remarks that 'hair was formerly written hear '-Collier (ed 1), rejecting Theobald's change as needless, accepted the Folio text, explaining that 'un-heard' was here used in the sense unheard of -DYCE (Remarks, etc., p. 95) says 'To me it is so evident from the context that unhair'd (1 e, beardless) is the true reading, that I should hardly blame any editor who omitted to state that the word happens to be misspelt in the Folios Malone's remark might be confirmed by many passages besides the following "But die their heare with sundry subtill slights," Epilogue to Gascoigne's Steele Glasse'-Wright also quotes 'Staring with hollow eies and stiff vpstanding heares'-Faerie Queene, II, 1x, 13 -Collier (ed 11), evidently feeling the force of Dyce's remark, acknowledges the propriety of unhair'd and accepts it as the true reading, although his MS Corrector is silent on this point.—INGLEBY (Still Lion, p. 22) Theobald, who must have been ignorant of the fact that 'unheard' was merely unhau'd under an earlier orthography, proposed unhau'd as an emendation This is merely an example of those orthographies, so fertile in confusion and mistake, which coincide where they should diverge, and diverge where they should coincide —SCHMIDT (Lex) prefers the unchanged text taken in the sense unprecedented, unheard of; although he quotes the present line as the only instance of this use. - VAUGHAN (i, 87) proposes unread, 1 e, having had no instruction, as a reading preferable to Theobald's, since 'boys are not unhaired,' and unlearned is 'peculiarly applicable to "boyish troops." Vaughan offers the following ingenious history of the depravation: 'the word unread by a common slip of type-composing became uneard, and uneard was mistakenly corrected "unheard."' In his first edition Vaughan proposed 'unfear'd sauciness in boyish troops', but as he did not repeat this in his ed ii it may be regarded as withdrawn -Miss C. Porter Theobald's emendation has received an astonishing vogue, when 'unheard' or unheard of is so pertinent and unforced -[Though the date of the Folio is somewhat late for the archaic spelling 'unheard' for unhair'd, yet the latter epithet is here so appropriate that until there can be produced another example of 'unheard' in the sense given by Schmidt, we must, I think, accept Theobald's restoration.-ED.]

139 and COLLIER (ed 11). The genitive case which the Corrector introduces [see Text. Notes] is clearly necessary, for the Bastard was speaking of the 'sauciness' of the boyish troops of France; and it not infrequently happened that the compositor blundered by confounding the abbreviation for 'and' with the preposition of.

That hand which had the strength, euen at your dore,	143
To cudgell you, and make you take the hatch,	
To diue like Buckets in concealed Welles,	145
To crowch in litter of your stable plankes,	
To lye like pawnes, lock'd vp in chests and truncks,	
To hug with fwine, to feeke fweet fafety out	
In vaults and prisons, and to thrill and shake,	
Euen at the crying of your Nations crow,	150

144 take the hatch] STEEVENS That is, to leap the hatch To take a hedge or a ditch is the hunter's phrase—MALONE So in Massinger's Fatal Dowry. 'I look about and neigh, take hedge and ditch,' [IV, 1]—WRIGHT Compare, 'Dogs leap the hatch, and all are fled '—Lear, III, vi, 76 So in the present play, 'o'er the hatch,' I, i, 180, is used figuratively for an irregular mode of entering

145. concealed Welles] Malone I believe our Author, with his accustomed license, used 'concealed' for concealing; wells that afforded concealment and protection to those who took refuge there—STEEVENS. 'Concealed wells' are wells in concealed or obscure situations, viz in places secured from public notice.

147 pawnes] That is, pledges

150 the crying of your Nations crow MALONE justly reprehends the change of 'your' to our (which, by the way, he assigns to Pope, who is therein blameless), for the reason that 'the Bastard is speaking of John's achievements in France.'-Douce That is, at the crowing of a cock, 'your nation's crow', gallus meaning both a cock and a Frenchman [an inhabitant of Gallia] —Z GREY acknowledges, in his Preface, indebtedness to the Reverend Mr Smith of Harleston for various notes contributed On the present line Mr Smith speaks as follows Richard I, brother to King John, had been called the scare-crow of the Saracens Shakespeare might, by poetical license, style King John the scare-crow of the French, from the signal victory he gained over them at the battle near Poictou' Smith therefore proposes to read: 'the crying of your nation's scare-crow'; 'voice' in the next line is thus 'the sound of King John's name', he justifies this reading by a quotation from I Henry VI. in reference to Talbot. 'Here, said they, is the terror of the French, The scare-crow, that affrights our children so.'—I, iv, 42 — [The lines are apposite to Smith's argument, but whether the epithet, scare-crow, is as applicable to King John as to Talbot is open to grave doubt, of course the Bastard might so represent the case which is in Smith's favour, but that Shakespeare-I say it with reluctance-knew of John's military success at Poictou and therefore thus spoke of him here as 'the nation's scarecrow,' is hardly credible.-ED]-COLLIER (Notes, etc., p 211) Douce's suspicion is fully confirmed by the emendation [of the MS. Corrector] 'the crowing of your nation's cock' There can, we apprehend, be no dispute that this must be the true text -Singer (ed 11.) pays no attention to the MS. Corrector's suggestion, but, following Douce's lead, [150 Euen at the crying of your Nations crow]

remarks that "Crow" is here a metonymy cock "-Collier (ed ii), justly indignant at this slight on his MS Corrector, exclaims 'It is certainly the first time it was ever stated that a "crow" was another name for a cock, or a cock for a "crow" Neither did we ever hear before that either a cock or a crow cried '-[To this last Singer might have answered that Collier could have heard of just such an ornithological fact had he turned to Ariel's Song in The Tempest '-I hear The strain of strutting chanticleer Cry, Cock-diddle-dow '---I, 11, 383 It is somewhat hazardous to limit any poet as to what terms he is to use in describing a sound Faulconbridge in 1 174 below is made to speak of the war-drum as 'crying out', which is certainly more exaggerated than thus describing the sound of the cock or the crow —ED]— DYCE (Remarks, p 96) Except those explanatory of customs, dress, &c, the notes of Douce are nearly worthless Would Shakespeare (or any other writer) employ such an expression as 'the crying of the crow [of a cock]?'-Halliwell [The MS Corrector's reading is] a violent alteration for which there is not the shadow of authority The original text is perfectly intelligible,—to make you so afraid of the Englishmen, that you thrill and shake, even at the simple caw of your crow, mistaking it for his voice -R G White, retaining the Folio text, admits though that he is not satisfied with the passage, either with Malone's or with Douce's explanation, and is half disposed, were it not for the violence of the alteration, to accept the reading of Colher's MS Corrector -Br Nicholson (N & Q, 1867, III, x1, 251) The lines previous to this may well stand for a boastful account of the dismay of the French, and devastation of their country, as set before us in the historic and patriotic play of Edward III, and this line itself refers to that account of the winning of the battle of Crecy, which, in the same play, is transferred to that of Poictiers Those birds that are there first spoken of as 'ravens' that, with the accompanying darkness, 'dismayed' the French soldiery, and made them 'let fall their arms, And stand like metamorphosed images Bloodless and pale, still gazing on another,' are spoken of thuswise a few lines further down by Artois 'The amazed French Are quite distract with gazing on the crows', and the Prince, 'What need we fight and sweat, and keep a coil, When railing crows outscold our adversaries?' As evidencing also in some degree the immediate source of the allusions, I would add that 'your nation's crow' seems to have been suggested by the remembrance of the French king's word about a dozen lines below the last quotation, when, with reference to another part of the prophecy, he says. 'Myself What with recalling of the prophecy, And that our native stones from English arms Rebel against us, find myself attainted With strong surprise of weak and yielding fear '-Act IV, sc vi. Nowhere else in his histories does Shakespeare anachronise after this fashion, and hence I believe that he here appropriated a remarkable incident on which he had formerly written and dilated Indeed, from this and other reasons, I cannot but believe that Edward III. was one of those plays which at an early period of his life was altered by him; and in relation to his other works, he seems to have considered it as a nursery garden, whence he could transplant and graft such seedlings of his genius as first appeared there —KEIGHTLEY (N. &; O., 1867, III, xu, 61). The reading of Colher's MS Corrector is poor I believe the real word to have been crower, a word no doubt of the Poet's comage, like many others, but in strict accordance with analogy The Bastard, we may see, has been using the most insulting and disparaging language to the French, and what was more natural than that he should conThinking this voyce an armed Englishman.

151

151 this] his Rowe, +, Steev Varr 151 armed] armèd Dyce, Fle Huds. Sing. Dyce, Wh Ktly, Cam +, Huds 11, Words Coll. 111, Words Neils Marshall

temptuously term the bird that was regarded as their emblem the 'crower'? We may observe that s has been effaced at the end of the following line, and so r or er may have been effaced here [It is unpleasant to agree with a criticism as harsh as that by Dyce in regard to the notes of Douce, but, in the present instance at least, I am disposed to think that it is justified Collier's MS Corrector falls under the same condemnation, and but little more can be said in defence of the remarks of various editors accepting Douce's explanation that Faulconbridge is here speaking contemptuously of the French, personified by their national emblem, the cock Excellent antiquarian as was Douce, he has, I fear, spoken too hastily, and without a sufficiently careful examination of the facts in regard to the national emblem of France. Chéruel (Dictionnaire Historique, s. v. Coq) says 'The cock was not used as the symbol of France until towards the close of the seventeenth century. Up to that time it figures only upon the spires of churches to symbolise the vigliance which should distinguish the ministers of God. One of the first monuments whereon the cock figures as an emblem of France is a medal of 1670, which bears the legend "Gallus protector sub umbra alarum." Those who were the enemies of France made use of this emblem especially. For example, in 1706, on the defeat of the French at Ramillies, there was portrayed the figure of a cock allowing itself to be caught by a fish-hook, on which it was hurling itself eagerly Another medal shows the Gallic Cock fleeing before the Belgian Lion, with this legend. "Nunc tu, Galle, fugis, dum leo belga fremit"... Up to the time of the Revolution the symbol of the cock was above all else satirical. It never appears on the medals struck by order of Louis XIV, the Academy of Inscriptions never employs it as a national emblem. It was not adopted as a symbol of France until 1792' Chéruel (s. v Armes de France) says 'Louis le Jeune [1137-1180] replaced the bees [national symbol of the earlier race] by the Fleur de lis, which remained the national emblem until the time of the Revolution.... Bonaparte becoming Emperor then substituted for the gallic cock the eagle, and on his imperial mantle sewed the bees. The restoration brought back the fleur de lis In 1830 the gallic cock again became the national emblem, in 1852 it was replaced by the eagle.' (See also Larousse, Dictionnaire Encyclopedique, s. v. coq gaulois.)—Douce was at work upon his Illustrations to Shakespeare prior to 1807; at that time the cock was well known as the national emblem of France. This it was, perhaps, which misled him into thinking that it was of sufficient antiquity to be known to Shakespeare, but the dates show this to be impossible As I began this note with the remark that it was unpleasant to agree in condemnation of Douce, so it is equally unpleasant to destroy faith in an explanation which has been accepted by a number of the editors, and which, up to the present time, has been unquestioned I may add that Nicholson's suggestion that we have in this line a reminiscence of an incident in the play of Edward III. is eminently satisfactory, and with this explanation a change of text is quite needless.—Ep.1

151. this voyce] DYCE. The Folio has 'this,' wrongly I believe. The misprint 'this' for his is frequent, so, earlier in the present play, the Folio has: 'And quench this fierie indignation.'—IV, i, 71.—FLEAY. Various editors read his for 'this'

ACT V, SC 11]	OF KING	; IOHN	379
Shall that victorious hand be feebled heere, That in your Chambers gaue you chasticement? No know the gallant Monarch is in Armes,			
And like an Eagle, To fowffe annoyand And you degenerate you bloudy Nero's,	o're his ayerice that comes e, you ingrate ripping vp th	e towres, neere his Nest, Reuolts, ne wombe	155
Of your deere Mother-England blush for shame For your owne Ladies, and pale-visag'd Maides, Like Amazons, come tripping after drummes			
Their thimbles into armed Gantlets change, 162			
154 No know] No, no Dyce 11) 155 ayerie towres] a: Rowe 1 aiery tow'rs Row towers Cap et seq 156 fowffe] foufe F4 157 Revolts] revolters 159 your] our Wh 1	very tower F ₄ , we 11,+. avery	150 shame] shame. Han Warb Johns 160-164 Om Bell. 160 and] the Ktly 162 armed] armèd D 11, Words change] chang'd (MS), Huds 11, Words	yce, Fle. Huds Dyce, Coll 11
here, and in I, 1, 154, I, surely it is more likely that all these misprints	hat we misunder hould occur in on	stand the grammar of Sl	nakespeare than ne change ['this'

h n s to his] is demanded more by the ear than by the understanding, the alliteration 'Thinking this' being very cacophonous, though it might make sense.

155. ayerie] That is, the nest of an eagle. Steevens compares, 'Our alery buildeth in the cedar's top '-Richard III I, 111, 264

155 towres] WRIGHT That is, rises in its flight in circles till it gets to a favourable height for swooping down upon and striking its prey. Compare 'Which, like a falcon towering in the skies, Coucheth the fowl below '-Lucrece, 1 506.

156 sowssel WRIGHT. That is, to swoop upon or strike, is also a term of falconry. So, in Spenser, Faerie Queene, I, v, 8, of the fight of a griffin and a dragon 'With hideous horror both together smight, And souce so sore, that they heavens affrav.'

157. Reuolts] WRIGHT That is, rebels, deserters Compare Cymb . 'This way, the Romans Must or for Britons slay us, or receive us For barbarous and unnatural revolts.'-IV, iv, 6. See also V, 1v, 10 below.

158 bloudy Nero's WRIGHT The hideous story of Nero's barbarity is told in Higden's Polychronicon (ed. Lumby), iv, 395.—Ivor John It is also referred to in The Troublesome Raigne [Philip (to his mother). 'And here, by Heaven's eternal lamps, I swear; As cursed Nero with his mother did, So I with you, if you resolve me not,' Pt i, sc i, ll 364-366], and again in Hamlet, III, ii, 412.

162. change] HALLIWELL: Dyce reads chang'd, which is perhaps an unnecessary deviation from the original text. The construction seems to be,-your own ladies, and pale visag'd maids, like Amazons come tripping after drums; they change their thumbles into armed gauntlets [It is to be remembered that many forms of the early thimble were open at the end, thus the resemblance to an 'armed gauntlet' was not so unlike.-ED.]

Their Needl's to Lances, and their gentle hearts To fierce and bloody inclination 163

Dol. There end thy braue, and turn thy face in peace, 165

163 Their Om Pope, Han
Needl's Needles F₃F₄, Rowe,+,
Cap neelds Var '78, '85, Mal Steev
Varr Sing 1, Knt, Del Sta Dyce 11,

iii, Huds 11, Words Craig neeles Fle 164 inclination] inclination Fle. Words

165 There end] There, end Coll 11

163 Needl's Steevens So, in Mid N Dream 'Have with our neelds created both one flower'-III, 11, 204 -[The original text in this last quotation is, however, 'needles' Neelds is the reading adopted, for the sake of the metre, by Rann, Malone, and Steevens]-MALONE remarks that this word was certainly, in the present line, intended to be so pronounced, as is evident from the spelling in the Folio -ABBOTT (§ 465) 'Needle,' which in Gammer Garton rhymes with 'feele,' is often pronounced as a monosyllable 'Deep clerks she dumbs, and with her needle composes,' Pericles, V, Gower, 5, 'I would they were in Afric both together, myself by with a needle that I might prick '-Cymb, I, 1, 168, 'Or when she would with sharp needle wound '-Pericles, IV, Gower 23. In the latter passage 'needle wound' is certainly harsh, though Gower does bespeak allowance for his verse A. J Ellis suggests 'ld for 'would,' which removes the harshness. 'And gri | ping it | the nèedle | his fin | ger pricks,' R of L., 319, 'Their nèedles | to làn | ces, and | their gent | le hearts '-- 'To thread | the post | ern of | a small needles eye.'-Rich II V, v, 17. 'Needles' seems harsh, and it would be more pleasing to modern readers to scan, 'the post | ern of a | small nee | dles èye ' But this verse, in conjunction with Per, IV, Gower 23, may indicate that 'needle' was pronounced as it was sometimes written, very much like neeld, and the d in neeld, as in vild (vile), may have been scarcely perceptible [In a note on the line from Mid N Dream, quoted by Steevens above, the Editor, this edition, says 'One instance of "needle" no one, I believe, has noticed, where it must be pronounced as a dissyllable It occurs in R of L, within two lines, strangely enough, of the line cited by Abbott "Lucretia's glove, wherein the needle sticks," l 217 proves, I think, that the word was pronounced by Shakespeare either as a monosyllable or as a dissyllable, according to the needs of his rhythm '-Spenser, according to Osgood's Concordance, uses the word 'needle' six times; in each case the metre shows that it is to be taken as a dissyllable.—En]

r65 There end thy brauel MINTO (p. 283) Mere warlike enthusiasm, the thirst for fighting and glory, is never more than a subordinate passion in Shake-speare's dramas. Its various moods—its hardy aspiration 'to pluck bright honour from the pale-faced moon,' r Henry IV: I, in, 201, its eager revelling in the anticipated combat, r Henry IV: IV, i, 1111; its delight in the most deafening sounds of war, its contemptuous braving of the enemy [as here]—are rendered with the greatest spirit in the speeches of the hot-headed, 'wasp-stung, and impatient' Hotspur, and the strong, humorous soldier of fortune, Faulconbridge. When the warlike fit is on him, Hotspur is the very incarnation of the demon of war, the unmistakable son of Bellona he speaks plain cannon-fire and breathes cannon-smoke, in his dreams he mutters words of encouragement to his horse, and his face is strained with phantom effort. But both Hotspur and the Bastard are exhibited to the audience rather as characters, or, as they were then called, 'humours,' than heroes. Hotspur's uncontrollable ardour is snubbed sarcastically

ACT v, sc ii] OA	F KIN	G IOHN	381	
We grant thou canst out-	cold vs	Far thee well,	166	
We hold our time too pre-				
With fuch a brabler.		•		
Pan. Giue me leaue to	fpeak	2		
Bast No, I will speake			170	
Dol. We will attend to		er	•	
Strike vp the drummes, a	nd let t	he tongue of warre		
Pleade for our interest, an				
Bast Indeede your dri	ums bei	ng beaten, will cry out,		
And fo shall you, being be			175	
An eccho with the clamor				
And euen at hand, a drumme is readie brac'd,				
That shall reuerberate all,		•		
Sound but another, and a				
(As lowd as thine) rattle i			180	
And mocke the deepe mouth'd Thunder for at hand				
(Not trusting to this halting Legate heere,				
Whom he hath vs'd rather for fport, then neede)				
Is warlike Iohn . and in hi	-			
A bare-rib'd death, whose	office 1	s this day	185	
To feast vpon whole thou		=		
•		to finde this danger out	t. 187	
			•	
166 Far] fare Ff.	~	Del Sta Wh i, Ktly, Hu	ds i, Fle	
168 brabler] babler Rowe,+, Varr Mal.	Cap.	Rlfe, Dono Neils Craig 178 all, as] all as Pope et	Sert.	
171. neyther] neither. —Var	'73,	181 Thunder] thunder. Po		
Coll Dyce, Del Hal Wh Ktly,	Cam.	Han Warb		
+, Huds 11, Dono Neils Craig.		182. Legate] F ₁ 185 A bare-rib'd] Bare-rib	hed Anon	
175 beaten] beaten Coll Su	ng ii,	ap Cam.		
by his uncle and his father, and				

by his uncle and his father, and his fire-eating propensities generally are indiculed by the more versatile Prince Harry And similarly when the Bastard, a more robust warnor than Percy, gives his bragging message to the King of France, he is called a scold, and contemptuously interrupted by the rattle of drums.

165 brauel WRIGHT That is, bravedo, defiant speech Compare 'This brave shall oft make thee to hide thy head '-Tro & Cress, IV, 1v, 139

168 brable] That is, loud or quarrelsome talker.—MURRAY (N E D) marks the verb brabble as of obscure origin.

184, 185. In his fore-head sits A bare-rib'd death] Rolfe compares: '—for within the hollow crown That rounds the mortal temples of a king Keeps Death his court, and there the antic sits' [But this is not, I think, a parallel; King Richard is moralising upon the precarious existence of a king.—ED]

187. Strike vp our drummes] WRIGHT. 'Up' is here used emphatically, as in 'stifle...up,' IV, iii, 142. Compare Ruchard III. 'Strike up the drum,' IV,

Bast. And thou shalt finde it (Dolphin) do not doubt

Exeunt

Scæna Tertia.

Alarums. Enter Iohn and Hubert.

Iohn. How goes the day with vs? oh tell me Hubert.
Hub Badly I feare, how fares your Maiesty?
Iohn This Feauer that hath troubled me so long,

Lyes heavie on me oh, my heart is ficke.

Enter a Messenger.

5 7

188 Dolphin] Ff, Wh Ktly, Fle Dauphin Rowe et cet.

r Scæna Tertia] Scene v. Pope, Han Warb Acr V, sc r Dono. Scene III Rowe et cet

The Field of Battle Pope,+, Varr Rann, Cam +, Neils Near St Edmundsbury A Field of Battle. Hal The same. Field of Battle Cap et cet 2. Alarums] Alarms F₄, Rowe,+. Loud Alarums Cap

3 ohl O' Coll Sing 11, Del Wh 1, Ktly, Huds

4 feare] fear. Coll Sing 11, Dyce, Del. Wh Ktly, Cam.+, Huds Words. Neils Craig

 oh,] O! Coll Sing ii, Del Ktly ficke.] sick! Pope,+, Cap Varr. Rann, Mal Steev. Varr Sing. Knt

iv, 179, and Cornol, IV, v, 230 'You shall have the drum struck up this afternoon' Compare also Psalm lxxxi, 3 'Blow up the trumpet in the new moon'

- r Scæna Tertial Davies (Dram Miscell, 1, 103) These short scenes are of real importance, though often neglected by actors of some ment because not attended with expected applause. It was the great excellence of Garrick to hold in remembrance the character he played through all its various stages. No situation of it whatever was neglected by him ... In this dialogue with Hubert, Garrick's look, walk, and speech confessed the man broken with incessant anxiety and diseased both in body and in mind. Despair and death seemed to hover over him.
- 2. Alarums] BOSWELL STONE (p 71). 'Alarums' may possibly represent the decisive battle of Lincoln fought on May 20, 1217, when the French and their English allies were defeated by William Marshal, Earl of Pembroke, who commanded the army of the boy-king, Henry III. If the general disregard of historic time in this play be remembered, such a conjecture is not affected by the qualification that it involves John's entry some seven months after the date which historians fix for his death Allowing for altered circumstances and antedating, we may then suppose the 'great supply' [mentioned in 1.12] to be the reinforcements sent by Philip of France, about three months after the battle of Lincoln. These needful succours never reached Lewis.
- 5 This Feauer... so long Bucknill (Med Knowledge of Sh, p 135). King John is driven from the field of battle by illness, possibly by the accession of a fit of ague superinduced only by the breath of the Lincolnshire fens, since the fever, a common term for ague, has troubled him long.

Mef. My Lord: your valuant kinfman Falconbridge,
Defires your Maiestie to leaue the field,
And send him word by me, which way you go.

Iohn Tell him toward Swinsted, to the Abbey there.

Mef Be of good comfort for rhe great supply
That was expected by the Dolphin heere,
Are wrack'd three nights ago on Goodwin sands
This newes was brought to Richard but euen now,

8 Falconbridge] Dyce, Fle Huds 11,
Words Faulconbridge Ff et cet
11, 19 Swinsted] Ff, Rowe, Pope,
Fle Swineshead Hal Swinstead
Theob et cet
12 rhe] F1

13 Dolphin] Ff, Wh Ktly, Fle.
Dauphin Rowe et cet
14 Are] Was Cap Is Hal.
wrack'd] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Wh.
Sing 11, Del. Sta Fle Rlfe, Craig.
wreck'd Theob 11 et cet

II. Swinsted] REED (Var. '03) That is, Swineshead, as I am informed by the present Vicar of that place—Halliwell. This is an error [for Swineshead] derived from the older play, and one which also occurs in ballads of the time, but it is as well to correct it, there being places of both names within twenty-five miles of each other, but at Swinstead there was no Abbey, and all the best authorities agree that King John rested at Swineshead where there was an Abbey founded for the Cistercians by Robert de Greslie in 1134. There are no remains of the building in existence—[French (p 4) says 'Swineshead, on the eastern coast, is in the direct route from Lynn Regis to Sleaford, where John was taken ill, and Newark, where he died '—ED]—Cambridge Edd. (Note XXVII) There can be no doubt that 'Swinstead' is an error for Swineshead, the place of King John's death—But as the mistake occurs in the old Quarto, which Shakespeare follows, we have not felt justified in removing it from the text—[Wright (Clarendon Ed)) notes that this same error is found in Rastell's Chromicle and Stow's Annales (1580)]

12-14 supply... Are wrack'd] MALONE 'Supply' is here and in V, v, 16, used as a noun of multitude—Lettsom (ap Dyce ii) But Malone quite overlooks 'was' in the preceding line, which is incompatible with the plural 'Are'; and the words 'three nights ago,' which demand the agrist. I suspect that a line has been lost here—[Lettsom's objection to Malone's remark is certainly well grounded and would be unanswerable were it not that, as Malone says, the word 'supply' is used again as a noun of multitude where this accident is reported to the Dauphin. These two passages are, moreover, the only examples of 'supply' thus used by Shakespeare—Onions (N. E. D., s. v. II, 5) gives but one example, and that from John Smith's Discoveries and Accidents with the Second Supply in Virginia, 1624 'There we found the last supply were all sicke,' Bk III, ch vi—Capell's readings was for 'are' and supplies for 'supply,' in V, v, 16, may be accepted as an alternative to considering 'supply' used here in a sense so unusual.

15. Richard] Steevens. That is, Sir Richard Faulconbridge [Qu. Plantaganet?], and yet the King, a little before (Act III, sc. 11), calls him by his original name of Philip—Malone The King calls him familiarly by his old name of Philip, but the Messenger could not take the same liberty.—C. & M. Cowden Clarke: The Messenger here uses the Christian name given in knighthood to Sir Richard Plan-

The French fight coldly, and retyre themselues

Iohn Aye me, this tyrant Feauer burnes mee vp,

And will not let me welcome this good newes.

Set on toward Swinsted. to my Litter straight,

Weaknesse possesses and I am faint Execut. 20

Scena Quarta.

Enter Salisbury, Pembroke, and Bigot.

Sal I did not thinke the King fo ftor'd with friends.

Pem Vp once againe put spirit in the French,

If they miscarry we miscarry too

Sal That misbegotten diuell Falconbridge, In fpight of fpight, alone vpholds the day.

Pem. They fay King Iohn fore fick, hath left the field.

Enter Meloon wounded.

Mel. Lead me to the Revolts of England heere.

10

5

17. Aye me, Ff Ay me, Rowe, Dyce 11, 111, Fle Words Ay me! Cap Dyce 1, Sta Hal. Cam +, Neils Craig Ah me! Pope et cet

tyrant] tyraot F2

19. firaight] fireight F4, Rowe. strait Pope,+

r. Scena Quarta] Scene vi. Pope Han Warb Johns Scene continued Dono Scene iv. Rowe et cet

The French Camp Theob.+, Varr Rann Another part of the Battle-Field. Hal. Cam +, Fle Neils The Same. Another part of the Same Cap et cet

- 2 Enter Bigot] Alarums continued. Enter and others Cap et seq
 - 6. druell] Devil, F4
- 7 [pight of [pight] spite of spite Cap. et seq
 - 8 fore fick] sore-sick Dyce, Words
- 9 Meloon] Ff, Ktly, Fle Chatillion Bell Kemble. Melun Rowe et cet wounded] Ff, Rowe,+, Cam +, Fle Neils led Cap. and lead by Soldiers. Var '73 et cet

tagenet, although he has just called him by his former surname 'Faulconbridge.' It is as if the Poet wished to show that the renownedly brave man was known familiarly by both titles, and addressed or spoken of now by one and now by the other.

- 16. retyre themselues] WRIGHT That is, retreat. 'Retire,' like endeavor, remember, and many other words, was once reflexive. Compare: 'Beseech you give me leave to retire myself.—Cornol., I, iii, 30 [Also: 'He was not in the cities wofull fyre Consum'd, but did himselfe to safety retyre.'—Facric Queene, III, ix, 40.—ED]
- 7. In spight of spight] MOBERLY: That is, in spite of all disaster. [Compare: 'In spight of spight in Hespera I golden fruit would pull.'—Warner, Albion's England, VII, xxxvi. (ed. 1602, p. 172).—ED]
 - 10 Reuolts | See, V. 11, 157 above

Sal. When we were happie, we had other names

11

Pem It is the Count Meloone

Sal. Wounded to death.

Mel. Fly Noble English, you are bought and fold, Vnthred the rude eye of Rebellion,

15

12 Meloonel Ff, Ktly, Fle Chaillion Bell Melun Rowe et cet 15 Vnthred eyel Unthreed eye F₄ Untread way Theob i, Wh 1 Untread . road-way Coll 11, 111 (MS) Unthread eye Rowe et cet 15 rude eye of] eye of rude Huds 11

14 you are bought and sold] MALONE This expression seems to have been proverbial, intimating that foul play has been used Compare Richard III 'Jocky of Norfolk be not too bold, For Dickon, thy master, is bought and sold '—V, ii, 304—Steevens It is used again in I Henry VI IV, iv, 13, ['Bought and sold Lord Talbot'], and in Com of Errors, III, 1, 72, ['It would make a man as mad as a buck, to be so bought and sold '—To these Wright adds one more example from Shakespeare 'Thou art bought and sold among those of any wit, like a barbarian slave'—Tro & Cress, II, 1, 51—ED]

15 Vnthred the rude eye] THEOBALD Tho' all the copies concur in this reading, how poor is the metaphor of unthreading the eye of a needle? And, besides, as there is no mention made of a needle, how remote and obscure is the allusion without it? The text, as I have restored it, is easy and natural [see Text. Notes], and it is a mode of expression which our Author is everywhere fond of, to tread and untread, the way, path, steps, etc. So Salisbury says afterwards 'We will untread the steps of damned flight,' 1 56, 'But tread the stranger paths of banishment,' Rich. II. I, iii, 143, 'Where is the horse that doth untread again His tedious measures,' Mer of Ven , II, vi, 10. And in Venus & Adoms 'She treads the path that she untreads again,' 1 908—CAPELL (II, pt ii, p 137) We may allow of this metaphor's poorness and of its poor introduction with the third modern [Theobald], without admitting (with him) a necessity of pronouncing it spurious, and so proceeding to change Shakespeare has some others that match it, and this too had been swallowed, if untread had not invited, and way, words of such a tempting affinity it blinds the sye of a critic and makes objections invisible. and yet there is one against the use of them here that is rather glaring, namely, that this identical metaphor (for 'steps' makes no difference) occurs in another speaker's mouth in this identical scene (1. 56) And this possibly it was that caused the choice of the present metaphor, which though not the fittest that might be, has a poetical air with it; and in the license of poetry is significant of—forego or lay aside the work of 'rebellion,' its 'rude' work, making rebellion a sampler, and them the workers on it, but not daring to approach the real metaphor nearer, by reason of its baseness, the Poet gives the instrument working a 'rude eye,' intimating thereby the work's coarseness, and bids 'unthread' the rude instrument, for-lay aside the rude work. [Capell has, madvertently I think, quoted in support of the original text the identical line that Theobald gives in corroboration of the propriety of his own reading. Capell's interpretation is fantastic to say the least —ED | Johnson: The metaphor is certainly harsh, but I do not think the passage corrupted.— RANN: That is, clear it from all film, that it may see the path back to duty, lay aside the rude work.—Mason (Comments on Sh, p 161) The word 'eye' induces

[15 Vnthred the rude eye of Rebellion]

me to think that, notwithstanding the harshness of the metaphor, the present reading is that of Shakespeare Coriolanus says of the common people of Rome 'Even when the navel of the state was touch'd They would not thread the gates' -[III, 1, 124 -STEEVENS also adduces this quotation in support of the Folio text, and adds thereto 'Threading dark-eyed night'-Lear, II, 1, 121-ED |-MALONE Some one, observing on this passage, has been idle enough to suppose that the 'eye' of 'rebellion' was used like the 'eye' of the mind, &c Shakespeare's metaphor is of a much humbler kind He was evidently thinking of the 'eye of a needle' Undo (says Melun to the English nobles) what you have done, desert the rebellious project in which you have engaged In Coriol we have a kindred expression [III, 1, 124] Our Author is not always careful that the epithet which he applies to a figurative term should answer on both sides 'Rude' is applicable to 'rebellion,' but not to 'eye' He means, in fact, the eye of rude rebellion IAs none of Malone's predecessors even suggest a reference to the 'eye of the mind.' I strongly suspect that the 'idle observer' is Malone himself, who thus repudiates a view which on later consideration he found untenable -ED]-KNIGHT regards Theobald's change merely as a corruption of the passage, and a conversion of poetry into prose, as to Malone's calling the metaphor of the needle's eye humble, 'Nothing, it appears to us, is humble in poetry that conveys an Knight says image forcibly and distinctly, and "the eye of a needle" by the application of the poet may become dignified But the word "thread" perhaps metaphonically is used to convey the meaning of passing through anything intricate, narrow, diffi-"They would not thread the gates" and "One gains the thickets and one thrids the brake," in Dryden, have each the same meaning. The "rude eye" is the rough and dangerous passage of rebellion '-[In support of Knight's remark in regard to the use of metaphors of humble seeming the following passage from Cary's translation of Dante may, perhaps, be of interest-

'They each one eyed us, as at eventide
One eyes another under a new moon
And towards us sharpened their sight, as keen
As an old tailor at his needle's eye.'—Hell, Canto xv, ll 17-20.—ED]

-Delius Perhaps 'the rude eye of rebellion' means only, the dark outlet of rebelhon - Collier (Notes, etc., p 211) Theobald was not far wrong when he changed 'Unthread' to uniread, 'eye' to way, but he missed the emendation of another word, which with the others, is thus altered by the MS. Corrector. 'Untread the road-way of rebellion,' that is, return by the road you took when you rebelled against King John In confirmation we may notice that Salisbury himself repeats nearly the same terms [1. 56] To misprint untread the roadway, 'unthread the rude eye,' seems an excess of carelessness, which we cannot in any way explain. The fault must in this instance lie with the compositor .- [Collier (ed ii), remarking on the agreement of Theobald's reading with that of the MS. Corrector, says Even if it were mere conjecture in both cases it would be singular that two authorities should tally so exactly, yet not be right Besides, common sense is altogether in favour of the emendation, for with all our predilection for Shakespeare's figures of speech, where they are intelligently printed, how can any poetical meaning be made out of the old text, it can present no image but the degrading one of unthreading the eye of a needle, and what has a needle and thread to do with

[15 Vnthred the rude eye of Rebellion]

rebellion? In our opinion nothing can be clearer than that the MS Corrector's emendation restores the genuine language of the Poet according to the dictates of ordinary reason We have no hesitation in placing this change in the text of Shakespeare, where, we apprehend, it must ever hereafter remain '-It was such infelicitous and extravagant commendation as that contained in the final sentences, coupled with an equally unfortunate tone of dogmatism, that excited the more acrimonious remarks of Collier's opponents In his third edition Collier, still retaining the MS correction, omits all comment beyond remarking that such was the reading of his corrector, and that 'Theobald read "Untread the rude eye," which is only half the necessary emendation how was a rude eye to be untrodden?'-This is, of course, quite incorrect, but in justice to the editor we must remember that at this later period he was upwards of eighty-seven years old, and his former notes were written nearly forty years since -ED |-SINGER (Sh Vindicated, etc., p 93). Never was a more improbable conjecture, though Theobald had stumbled on it, and perhaps the Corrector derived it from him. To 'unthread the rude eve of rebellion' is merely a metaphor of to undo what you have done. and return to your allegiance to the king It is impossible to consider it a typographical error, and of this Mr Collier seems to be conscious - STAUNTON That is, Retrace the difficult path upon which you have entered Theobald proposed to read, 'untread the rude way,' but to thread one's way through any intricacy is still an habitual figure, and to pass through the eye of a needle is an oriental metaphor for any troublesome undertaking, familiar to us all by the passage in Matthew, xix, which Shakespeare has himself paraphrased in Richard II 'It is as hard to come, as for a camel To thread the postern of a needle's eye,' V, v, 17, [Staunton also quotes the lines from Coriol given as an example by Mason]. Moreover, the original spelling is 'unthred,' and it is remarkable that in the Folio, thread, which occurs many times, is invariably spelt thred, whilst tread is always exhibited in its present form —HALLIWELL If Malone's last opinion be questioned [that this means the eye of rude rebellion], the expression 'rude eye' may be interpreted as the rough passage of rebellion Shakespeare was fond of domestic and familiar metaphors So, in the present play 'Now for the bare-picked bone of majesty', and again, 'Have I not here the best cards for the game '-R G WHITE At the utmost stretch of metaphor, what likeness can there be between rebellion and a needle!-[Theobald's correction] is justified by the want of sense in the original text, and by Salisbury's reply to Melun's exhortation He answers 'We do believe, . . and we will untread the steps of damned flight', and this damned flight which they were to untread was plainly not their retreat, but their desertion of their king, their course along the rude way of rebellion . The mistaking of wave for 'eye' in old manuscript was very likely to occur, and the misconception of the line (for compositors do not put manuscript in type word by word) was, in my judgment, still further aided by the fact that tread and 'thread' were pronounced alike. [That the sound of th and t was in many words identical was shown first by White in his Introduction to Much Ado About Nothing, p 226, the substance of his remarks, with Ellis's answer thereto, will be found in the note on II, in, 60 of Much Ado, this edition White is possibly right that in the present passage there is confusion between the two words owing to the similarity of sound of the and ! Survivals of this may be seen in Thomas, Thames, thyme. In concluding his note on the present line White characterises the MS. Corrector's change, road-

[15. Vnthred the rude eye of Rebellion]

way, as 'needless'-ED]-A SCHMIDT (Jahrbuch, 111, p 357) is not satisfied with either Theobald's reading or that of Collier's MS Corrector, and approaches the problem of determining the true reading in a different manner Since either the word 'unthread' or 'eye' is a misprint, but certainly not both, a comparison of other passages in plays of nearly the same period as King John will lead us to the result desired, thus 'Must I do so? and must I ravel out My weaved-up folly,' Richard II IV, 1, 228, 'Ill-weaved ambition, how much art thou shrunk!' I Henry IV V, 1v, 88, and even nearer yet to this line in King John '-will you again unknit This churlish knot of all-abhorred war?'-- I Henry IV V, 1, 16 'Unknit' here corresponds exactly to 'unthread' in King John, 'churlish' to 'rude,' and 'knot' should have a parallel in place of 'eye,' and what otherwise could that be but tie? especially since the Folio usually prints this word tye 'There is,' adds Schmidt, 'but one objection to this emendation Often as Shakespeare uses the word tre as a verb, it is found as a substantive in Macbeth only, namely, at III, 1, 17'-IBID (Lex, ed ii, s v unthread), after quoting the present line, compares Richard II V, v, 17, and Lear, II, i, 121, remarking in conclusion 'The constant combination of the words thread and eye in all these passages is sufficient to refute the different emendations proposed by the commentators, not excepting that attempted in this Lexicon, sub eye'-[This inclusion of his own emendation among those to be refuted does not appear in the ed i of his Lexicon -ED]-C & M. COWDEN CLARKE That is, retrace the rough and contracted path of rebellious disaffection into which you have thrust yourselves The metaphor has the more propriety, because to thread the eye of a needle is a process of some difficulty, while, to unthread a needle's eye is, on the contrary, one of the most easy tasks. therefore the proposal to 'unthread the rude eye of rebellion' appropriately metaphorises the intricate course they have taken in forsaking the English side and revolting to the French; and also the facile one they would take in withdrawing themselves from it and returning to their natural allegiance -WRIGHT, following several of his predecessors, compares Richard II V, v, 17, Coriol, III, 1, 124; Lear, II, 1, 121, 'where there is again an allusion to the needle's eye. Hence to "unthread the rude eye of rebellion" is to withdraw from the difficult and hazardous undertaking in which they were engaged '-VAUGHAN (i, 80) What Shakespeare was thinking directly about was a person passing back again on return through the entrance gate through which he had once entered But this thought he indirectly expresses by a metaphor from the eye of a needle; and as he speaks elsewhere of the 'needle's eye' as a postern, so he now imagines the postern as a needle's eye, and calls the postern an eye accordingly The return through the gate, therefore, is like the return of a thread through the needle's eye, which return necessarily 'unthreads' the needle. Therefore the return through the gate metaphorically unthreads the gate. 'Unthread' is unquestionably right. I do not, besides, see the necessity for understanding 'rude' to apply to 'rebellion' and not to 'eye,' as critics insist, for surely a gateway may be imagined as rudely and repulsively built.—Bulloch (p 135). The only error in the passage is a deficiency of a syllable to complete the rhythm, and essential to its meaning. By reading the line thus every want is satisfied 'Unthread the rude eye of rebellion's maze.' There is here a reference to the Cretan labyrinth, when Theseus found his way out by the thread which he had unwinded to the farthest recesses, and thus retraced his steps Both labyrinth and maze are found in Shakespeare . . The

16

And welcome home againe discarded faith, Seeke out King *Iohn*, and fall before his feete: For if the French be Lords of this loud day, He meanes to recompence the paines you take, By cutting off your heads Thus hath he sworne,

20

16 faith,] faith Pope et seq.
18 the French be Lords] the Prince be lord Ktly the French be lord Cam

Edd conj Hunter conj. Words that France be lord Huds 11 French Lewis be lord Dono

language of the speaker is '—since you English have entered into this maze of rebellion, your only safety is to retrace your way, and welcome your lawful King' —[It is to be feared that but few will agree with Bulloch that this reading satisfies every want, it is not sufficiently clear why the 'eye of rebellion's maze' is more intelligible than the simple 'eye of rebellion'—ED]—MARSHALL The expression seems rather a forced one, though the epithet 'rude' may bear the double sense of rough, as applied to rebellion, and of rudely or coarsely made, as applied to the 'eye' of a needle Dr Charles Annandale suggests unthreat, 1 e, deprive of threatening look or expression, but I cannot find any instance of such a word, nor of the analogous use of any verb compounded with un—Belden (Tudor Sh) That is, You have been drawn into this rebellion like thread into the eye of a needle by Lewis's treachery, draw yourselves out again before his work is stitched up, for when he has used you he will cut you off

- 18. 10 French . . . He meanes MALONE That is, the Frenchman, Lewis means, etc. See Melun's next speech 'If Lewis, by your assistance win the day,' l. 43 -WALKER (Crst, ii, 235) Palpably wrong Did Shakespeare write-'if that France be lord, etc.? or is a line lost? e g, 'Seek out King John, and fall before his feet, [Confide not in the plighted faith of Lewis,] For, if, 'etc -KEIGHTLEY (Expositor, p 226). Here 'He' can only refer to John, while it is evidently the Dolphin that is meant. I have therefore, as I find Mr Lloyd also has done, read Prince for 'French,' and lord for 'lords' But as in this play Prince alone is never used of the Dolphin, it may be that a line is lost. It might have been something of this sort. 'And Fortune smile upon the Dolphin's arms.'-CAMBRIDGE Epp. In support of the reading which we propose, lord for 'lords,' we would refer to Henry V: IV, 1v, 80, where 'the French' is used in the singular, 'the French might have a good prey of us if he knew of it.'-[WRIGHT, Clarendon ed , repeats this note substantially, only adding that if we retain the original text, "He," meaning the Dauphin, comes in rather abruptly '-ED]-HALLIWELL The word 'He' here refers to Lewis, by a common maccuracy which makes the pronoun relate to what is understood by the context as a whole -MOBERLY Many attempts have been made by editors to get 'the Dauphin' into this passage, as if a man wounded as Melun was must necessarily complete his sentence.
- 18 this loud day C & M. Cowden Clarke By the one little monosyllable 'loud' here how finely does the Poet set before our imagination the uproar of battle—the drums and tramplings, and trumpetings, and shoutings, and groanings of an engagement.
- 20. By cutting off your heads! Belden (Tudor Sh). This treachery of Lewis comes as something of a surprise. In The Troublesome Raigne it is prepared for by an earlier scene in which Lewis, after confirming by oath the solemn agreement

And I with him, and many moe with mee,	21
Vpon the Altar at S Edmondsbury,	
Euen on that Altar, where we fwore to you	
Deere Amity, and euerlasting loue.	
Sal. May this be possible? May this be true?	25
Mel Haue I not hideous death within my view,	
Retaining but a quantity of life,	27

22 S] Saint F₄ St F₃F₄
 25 possible?] possible! Pope et seq
 true?] true! Theob Warb Johns

Cap. Varr Rann, Mal Steev 27-29. Om Dono

with the English nobles referred to at the opening of V, n above, proceeds, as soon as he is left alone with his French followers, to swear on the altar at St Edmundsbury that 'Theres not an English traytor of them all John once dispatcht and I faire Englands King Shall on his shoulders beare his head one day.'

26 Mel Haue I not hideous death, etc] C COWDEN CLARKE (Sh's Characters, p. 337) As an instance of the caution with which Shakespeare conducts and fills up the design in his dramas, and contrives to make even the most subordinate parts accord with the main outline, and constitute a portion and necessary adjunct to the consummated plot, may be noticed the introduction on the scene of the dying French lord, Melun-with the sublime lesson put into the mouth of a man, 'right in whose eyes are seen the cruel pangs of death' At that solemnest moment of all the junctures in a man's life, it was especially fine in the Poet to place truth, and honour, and fidelity in contrast with dissimulation, fraud, and treachery The dying speech of this French lord—one of the least important agents, be it observed, in the history—is scarcely to be exceeded in affect by any other in the play.—W. L RUSHTON (N & Q, 1872, IV, x, 29) Shakespeare may have taken this sentiment from the following passage in Lyly's Euphues 'When my lady came, and saw me so altered in a moneth, wasted to the harde bones, more lyke a ghoast then a lyving creature . . she asked me whether the Italian were my messenger, or if he were, whether his embassage were true, which question I thus answered-"Lady-to dissemble with the worlde, when I am departing from it, woulde profite me nothing with man, and hinder me much with God, to make my deathbed the place of deceipt, might hasten my death, and encrease my danger," [Euphues and his England, ed. Bond, ii, 73] In these passages Shakespeare and Lyly express the same sentiment in similar language — HEARD (p. 18). An exception to the rule rejecting hearsay evidence is allowed in the case of dying declarations. Shakespeare has put the principle on which this species of evidence is admitted into the mouth of the dying Melun. Evidence of this description is admissible only in the single instance of homicide, 'where the death of the deceased is the subject of the charge, and the circumstances of the death are the subject of the dying declaration' One reason for thus restricting the admission of this species of evidence may be the experienced fact that implicit rehance cannot in all cases be placed on the declarations of a dying person; for his body may have survived the powers of his mind

27. a quantity] WRIGHT That is, a small portion, such as could be easily measured Compare. 'Thou rag, thou quantity, thou remnant'—Tam of Shrew, IV, iii, 112

28 a forme of waxe] STEEVENS This is said in allusion to the images made by witches. Holinshed observes that it was alleged against dame Eleanor Cobham and her confederates 'that they had devised an image of wax representing the king, which by their sorcerie, by little and little consumed, intending thereby, in conclusion, to waste and destroy the king's person.'

41 rated hated Johns conj

Johns Var '73, Sta Ktly, Fle

- 33 liue hence, by Truth] That is, live hereafter by telling the truth. Compare, 'This must be answered either here, or hence '—IV, 11, 92.
- 37 night... contagious] For this idea that the night air was dangerous to health, compare. 'What is Brutus sick And will be steal out of his wholesome bed To dare the vile contagion of the night '—Jul. Cas, II, 1, 263-265—ED
- 39 old, feeble, . . Sunnel WALKER (Crit, 111, 123) compares '—when from high-most pitch, with weary car, Like feeble age, he reeleth from the day '—Sonnet vii.
- 41. fine] WRIGHT There is, of course, a play upon the two meanings of this word, penalty and end, as in Hamlet, V, i, 115, 'Is this the fine of his fines?'
- 41 rated Treachery] Johnson It were easy to change 'rated' to hated for an easier meaning, but 'rated' suits better with 'fine.' The Dauphin has rated your treachery, and set upon it a fine which your lives must pay.—WRIGHT That is, treachery which has been assessed at its value.—MOORE SMITH. Perhaps the word 'rated' implies the other sense, rebuked, blamed. Compare Ant & Cleo., I, iv, 34: 'to be chid, as we rate boys.'
- 42. Euen . . . your lives] In R. G. White's ed ii. this line should be at the top of the page, but has been strangely misplaced to follow l. 50. A vagary of the

If Lewis, by your assistance win the day.	43
Commend me to one Hubert, with your King,	
The loue of him, and this respect besides	45
(For that my Grandfire was an Englishman)	
Awakes my Conscience to confesse all this	
In lieu whereof, I pray you beare me hence	
From forth the noise and rumour of the Field,	
Where I may thinke the remnant of my thoughts	50
In peace and part this bodie and my foule	
With contemplation, and deuout defires	
Sal We do beleeue thee, and beshrew my soule,	
But I do loue the fauour, and the forme	
Of this most faire occasion, by the which	55
We will vntread the steps of damned flight,	
And like a bated and retired Flood,	57

45, 46 him, Englishman]] Ff, Rowe, +, Ktly, Cam +, Fle Neils. Craig him,—. Englishman,— Cap et cet 51. peace] Ff. peace, Rowe, Theob. Warb. Johns. Var. '73. peace, Pope et cet.

53 thee,] Ff, Rowe,+, Coll i, in thee; Var '73, Wh i, Huds i, Coll iii,

Neils thee — Sta thee Cam +, Craig. thee,— Cap et cet. 56 damned damned Dyce, Fle Huds. ii, Words flight,] Ff, Rowe, Cam.+, Neils. flight, Pope et cet.

flight, Pope et cet.

57 retired] retiring Han retirèd

Dyce, Fle Words Huds 11

compositor which makes Melun ask that he may think the remnant of his thoughts even with a treacherous fine of the lives of all the nobles—ED

- 46 For ... an Englishman] MALONE first called attention to this line as taken bodily from The Troublesome Raigne, pt ii, sc v, l 28—French (p 18) It would be interesting to discover whether Shakespeare, following the old play, had any authority for deriving Melin from an English ancestor Robert de Melin, Bishop of Hereford, A D. 1163—1166, was one of the chief opponents of Thomas à Becket, he was called 'Episcopus Anglorum sapientissimus'—Moberly According to Holinshed Melin 'fell sick at London' and gave the warning to the English nobles without assigning any particular motive. The Troublesome Raigne adds that he wishes to free his soul from guilt, and the more so 'because his grandsire was an Englishman.' Shakespeare adds the love of Hubert as a still stronger inducement, probably because after these events Hubert was viewed as the model Englishman.—Marshall: It is difficult to conjecture why Shakespeare introduces this friendship of Melin for Hubert; perhaps he intended to have made some dramatic use of it, but forgot his intention.
- 49. rumour] WRIGHT. That is, a confused din. Compare Jul. Cas, II, iv, 18: 'I heard a bustling rumour, like a fray.' [These are the only passages wherein Shakespeare uses this word in this sense; elsewhere it has the present meaning, as in IV, ii, 127. '—but this from rumours tongue I idely heard'
- 54 But I do loue] ABBOTT (§ 126): 'But' is not adversative, but means if not after 'beshrew me' [Compare, 'Perdition catch my soul, But I do love thee!'— Othello. III, iu, 90]

ACT V, SC IV	OF	KING	IOHN
--------------	----	------	------

Leauing our rankneffe and irregular courfe,

Stoope lowe within those bounds we have ore-look'd,

And calmely run on in obedience

Euen to our Ocean, to our great King Iohn

My arme shall give thee helpe to beare thee hence,

For I do see the cruell pangs of death

Right in thine eye Away, my friends, new flight,

64

58 ranknesse] bankless Cap conj 59 ore-look'd] o'erleaped Meredith 60 obedience] due obedience Bell 64 Right in thine eye] Right in thine eyes Pope Pight in thine eyes Han Pight in thine eye Warb Fight in thine eye Cap Bright in thine eye Coll 11, iii (MS) Fright in thine eye Anon ap Coll Light in thine eye Knt conj (Stratford Sh). Root in thine eye Brae Light on thine eye Moberly conj 64 my friends, my friends! Cap et seq

new flight] and fly Pope, Han

393

58 ranknesse] MALONE 'Rank,' as applied to water, here signifies exuberant, ready to overflow, as applied to the actions of the speaker and his party it signifies inordinate. So in Ven & Ad, 'Rain added to a river that is rank Perforce will force it overflow the bank,' [1 71].

59 bounds we have ore-look'd] Compare 'Like a proud river peering ore his bounds'—II, 1, 25

or our Ocean . our great King Iohn] SIMPSON (New Sh Soc Trans, 1874, p 432) King John is owned, even by his mother, to have possession, but no right And Faulconbridge after Arthur's death, whose title he always opposed, says 'The life, the right, the truth of all this realm is fled to Heaven.' The rebellion of the nobles, justified morally, is only condemned politically as unpatriotic a treason not against the king, but against the country, which they not only ravaged by war, but delivered over to the foreigner. Salisbury, in the exaggeration of his new loyalty, says 'We will . calmly run on in obedience Even to our Ocean, to our great King John' The expression is strong, but it is, after all, only the natural term of the metaphor of the overflowing river. The position of the royal power must be judged by the facts, not by a doubtful word. The 'right' of King John being expressly denied, we can hardly find a 'divine right' in a poetical bye-word. [The title of Simpson's article is The Politics of Shakespeare's Historical Plays, the foregoing is from the section dealing with the Tenure of the Crown—ED.]

64. Right in thine eye] STEEVENS: 'Right' signifies immediate It is now obsolete—Heath (p. 131) If Hanmer's correction [pight] be admitted, it seems to require that 'pangs,' too, in 1 63 should be altered to phangs or fangs For pangs pight, or pitched, in an eye seems to be but an inconsistent metaphor But I can see no necessity for altering the old reading, 'Right in thine eye,' or as Pope gives it, 'in thine eyes,' that is, full, or plainly, in thine eyes—Capell (I, pt ip 138). What sense or what fitness others may discover in 'Right' (or in 'Pight,' which is its Oxford amendment) is unknown to the editor, this he is sure of—that in either the one nor the other has poetry, and therefore thinks himself justified in its present easy correction by a word that has both [see Text. Notes].—Collier (Notes & Emendations, p 212) 'Bright' appears, from the MS Corrector's insertion of the necessary letter in the margin, to be the word in reference to the remarkable brilliancy of the eyes of many persons just before death Editors guessed at

And happie newnesse, that intends old right.

Exeunt 65

65 In margin Pope, Han

65 Exeunt] Exeunt, leading of Melun Theob et seq

almost every word but the right one -SINGER (Sh Vind, p 94) The MS Corrector's change is plausible, but not necessary The old text is perfectly intelligible -Knight (Stratford Sh) This substitution of Bright for 'Right' is, we venture to say, the one grain of wheat in the long catalogue of MS corrections of King John, and ought to be introduced in every edition -DYCE, after quoting the foregoing opinions of Singer and Knight, says 'For my own part, I am convinced that [the MS Corrector's change] is utterly wrong, and, in confirmation of my opinion, I could cite the authority of an eminent living physician [Dr Elliotson, as Dyce admits in his ed ii] Mr Collier tells us that "Bright" is to be understood "in reference to the remarkable brilliancy of the eyes of many persons just before death", but if that lighting up of the eyes ever occurs, it is only when comparative tranquillity precedes dissolution-not during "the pangs of death", and most assuredly it is never to be witnessed in those persons who, like Melun, are dving of wounds-of exhaustion from loss of blood-in which case the eye, immediately before death, becomes glazed and colourless '-- [Bucknill (Sh's Medical Knowledge, p 136) corroborates Elliotson's opinion that death from hæmorrhage causes a dimness of the eye, but says, on the other hand, that the eye would be bright under 'cruel pangs'-Ep -R G. White That is, directly (which is only dirightly) or immediately. A right course is a straight course, and a straight course, or line is the shortest, nearest, or most immediate course between any two points, either to physical or mental perception [Referring to Steevens's remark that 'right' in the sense of immediate 'is now obsolete,' White adds 'But it has survived in America, and is in constant and common use in the phrase "Right away," for "on the instant," "immediately," which our somewhat overweening cousins sneer at as an Americanism 'I-Elze (Athenæum, 22 June, 1867) I think the compositor anticipated 'right' from the following line, and am convinced that the true reading is 'Writhing thine eye' -FLEAY That is, not pretended, veritable -HUDSON (ed 11.) Capell reads 'Fight in thine eye', and the same occurred to me before I knew that anyone had hit upon it I have hardly any doubt that so we ought to read, for the image or idea of death-pangs combating in the eye, and striving to quench its native fire, is good sense and good poetry too -VAUGHAN (1, 91) The Poet is here probably alluding to the sign of death described by Pliny, who after saying that the eye gives token of dissolution 'most of all,' adds. 'so long as the patient's eye is so clear that a man may see himself in the apple of it, we are not to despair of life' (Holland's translation). Now 'right in thine eye' is a precise equivalent to 'in the apple of thine eye,' and is therefore the true reading -[Holland's translation did not appear until 1601; therefore this could not have been the source of Shakespeare's knowledge on this subject at the time of the composition of the present play.—ED |-WRIGHT That 'Right' is a possible misprint for Riot [see Text. Notes] is certain, from the fact that in the first edition of the Globe Shakespeare 'motous' was misprinted righteous in Rich. III II, 1, 100.

65 happie newnesse] JOHNSON That is, happy innovation, that purposed the restoration of the ancient rightful government —Rirson (Remarks, etc., p. 84). What 'rightful government'? Does the good old constitutionalist [Johnson] sup-

5

Scena Quinta.

Enter Dolphin, and his Traine

Dol The Sun of heauen(me thought) was loth to fet, But staid, and made the Westerne Welkin blush, When English measure backward their owne ground

1-26 Om Bell

r Scena Quinta] Ff Scene vii Pope, Han Warb Johns Night comes on, retreat sounded Dono

A different part of the French Camp Theob Warb Johns Varr Rann The French Camp Cam +, Neils The Same The French Camp Cap et cet

2 Dolphin,] Ff Louis, Dyce, Hal

Wh 1 Lewis, Rowe et cet

3 me thought] methought F4

4 stard] Ff, Rowe,+, Var '78, '85, Rann stay'd Cap et cet

5 English Ff, Rowe 1, Coll Wh 1, Cam +, Huds 1, Fle th' English Rowe 11,+, Huds 11, Words the English Cap et cet

measur'd Pope et cet

pose it to have been John, a murderer and a villain, one who had not the least right to the possession of the crown, and whom it would have been praiseworthy in any man or set of men to have put to death?

- r Scena Quintal E B WARNER (p 47) The Play brings the army of Lewis to a halt, after some large successes, by the submission of John to the Roman see As we know, however, this interference of the Pope had been against the army of Philip While the events of the last scenes of the play therefore are very fairly accurate, they are so turned out of their order in time, as well as twisted as to the relations of the prime actors, that there is not room for the smallest pretense to suppose that Shakespeare ever consulted history at all in the construction of this play
- 3 The Sun. was loth to set] Carter (p. 216) Compare the Scripture reference to the victory of Joshua 'And the Sunne abode, and the moone stood still, untill the people avenged themselves upon their enemies (is not this written in the booke of Jasher) So the Sunne abode in the middes of the heaven, and hasted not to goe downe for a whole day '—Joshua, x, 13 (Geneva Vers)
- 5 measure] WALKER (Crit, 11, 61) quotes this line as an instance wherein the final d and final e are confounded in the Folio text, which confusion, remarks Walker, arises 'in some instances perhaps from the juxtaposition of d and e in the compositor's case; but far oftener—as is evident from the frequency of the erratum from something in the old method of writing the final e or d, and which those who are versed in Elizabethan MSS may perhaps be able to explain '-- Lettsom, Walker's editor, subjoins this foot-note 'Walker's sagacity, in default of positive knowledge, has led him to the truth The e, with the last upstroke prolonged and terminated with a loop, might easily be taken for d. It is frequently found so written' [Other examples of this confusion given by Walker are as follows 'To vs the imagine [for imagin'd] Voyce of Heauen itselfe,' 2 Henry IV IV, ii, p 92, col. 2; 'Mine eyes Were not in fault, for she was beautifull. Mine eares that heare [for heard] her flattery,' Cymb., V, v, p 305, col. 2.—ED]—WRIGHT, who retains the Folio text, remarks, 'there are instances of this change from the past to the present.' See Winters Tale, V, ii, 83. 'She lifted the princess from the earth, and so locks her in embracing as if she would pin her to her heart '-[Walker's is a more satisfactory explanation, at least to the present Ed]—Moberly:

In faint Retire · Oh brauely came we off, When with a volley of our needlesse shot, After such bloody toile, we bid good night, And woon'd our tott'ring colours clearly vp,

6

9

9 woon'd wound Rowe 11 et seq 1 tott'ring Ff, Rowe Neils tatter'd Pope,+, Cap Varr. Rann Steev Var. '03, '13, Coll MS tottering Sing Knt, Sta Ktly, Fle Cam 11, Craig tatt'ring
Wh 11 tattering Mal et cet
9 clearly] chearly Rann conj closely
Coll MS. cleanly Cam Edd conj

As 'backward' is not wanted along with 'in faint retire,' perhaps Shakespeare wrote 'To see the English measure their own ground In faint retire '—VAUGHAN (i, 91) I cannot quite approve [Rowe's and Pope's alterations] The sentence is partly an historical statement of fact and partly an explanation of the fact. The western welkin blushes, 'when English measure backward their own ground,' in sympathy with the discomfiture of the most western race and kingdom, and it did so on this occasion. The general and indefinite word 'English' and the present tense 'measure' seem to me appropriate to the double meaning which is conveyed

- 9 tott'ring] Murray (N E D, s v tottered) Originally a variant of tattered, and used in that sense (cf. Norwegian dialect totra, rag), subsequently associated with totter v, to swing to and fro, and more or less assimilated in sense 1596 I Henry IV: IV, 11, 37 'A hundred and fiftie totter'd prodigalls, lately come from swine-keeping' [Steevens furnished several other examples of this form of the word. Shakespeare uses the words tatters and tattered in several other passages, but with the exception of the present passage and that from I Henry IV, already quoted, the spelling, in the Folio, is in the modern form -Rolfe notes, however, that in Rich II III, iii, 52, the 1st and 2nd Quartos have 'tottered,' while the Folios read tattered -ED |-MALONE, adopting Pope's reading, tatter'd, says, in justification, that Shakespeare uses the active and passive participles 'very indiscriminately '-On this point see Abbott, § 372 -Steevens, also reading with Pope, remarks 'Of tattering (which would obviously mean tearing to tatters) our Author's works afford no parallel -KNIGHT, after remarking that tattering was originally the same as 'tottering,' adds, 'But "tottering," in our present meaning unsteady, may be received without difficulty '-Singer. It is obvious that tatter'd cannot be the right word, for how could their tatter'd colours be clearly wound up? The Dauphin means to put the best face on a drawn battle, and says 'Our colours which were tottering, and like to have gone down in the action, were fairly furled up at its close without disaster Though not lords of the field, we were the last to quit it.' 'To tottre,' says Baret, 'nutare, vacillare, see shake and wagge '-STAUNTON' To totter signified to hang or droop, and the 'tottering' or drooping colours, after a hard fight, contrast becomingly with the spreading, waving colours of an army advancing to battle.—FLEAY That is, waving. Compare Spanish Tragedy, sc. vi, 'A man hanging and tottering and tottering, As you know the wind will wave a man.' Most editors say it means tattered (1).
- 9 clearly] Capell (I, pt ii, p. 138) The only sense 'clearly' can have is, entirely or totally, leaving nothing unwound, but why should that be thought of by Lewis? or, if thought of, why expressed so imperfectly? The near resemblance it bears to chearly or cheerfully, the great apparent fitness of that word, and the other's unfitness, all determine the editor to think chearly should be advanced to the text, removing it from its first designed station—the conjectural readings.—

Dauphin Rowe et cet

14 The My lord, the Cap conj
Meloone F₂, Ktly, Fle. Melloone
F₃F₄ Melun Rowe et cet

15 againe at length Ff, Rowe, Pope,
Han
falne faln F₄, Cap fall'n Rowe,
+ (-Var. '73), Cam +, Dyce ii, iii,
Huds ii, Words. Craig fallen Var. '73
et cet.

16 fupply supplies Cap Var '73,

'78, Rann, Coll III.

16 long] long for Ktly.

17 Are] Is Hal

18 fowle, shrewd foule shrew'd Ff,
Rowe foul, shrewd Huds II foul
shrewd Pope, et cet

news [news! Theob et seq.
hart'] Ff, Rowe,+, Fle heart!
Cap et cet.

19 fo fad] sad Theob II, Warb

22 powres] powers F3

Collier (Notes & Emendations, etc., p. 212) We are not prepared to say that we like closely [the MS. corrector's change] better than 'clearly,' the latter, perhaps, indicating the winding up of the colours without obstruction from the enemy—[Moore Smith makes the same suggestion for a meaning of 'clearly.'—ED]—Cambridge Edd. (Note XXIX) Clearly [see Text Notes] is equivalent to neatly, and seems to be appropriate as antithetical to 'tottering' or tattering [Dr Wright in the Clarendon ed. remarks on this earlier conjectural reading that clearly does not give a sense different from that of the original text—ED]—Fleax That is, completely. Compare Arden of Feversham, IV, 1. 'Well, seeing 'tis done clearly, let it pass.' Here also 'clearly' means completely. Cf also Twelfth Night, V, i, 289.—Kinnear (p. 205) That is, sine macula, unstained by defeat.—Deighton If we knew what 'clearly' meant, we should be nearer knowing what 'tottering' means. If 'tottering' is equivalent to 'tattered,' it is active participle for the passive

24 keepe good quarter] STEEVENS That is, keep in your allotted posts or stations.—WRIGHT Guard carefully the posts assigned to you Compare *I Henry VI*: II, i, 63. 'Had all your quarters been as safely kept As that whereof I had the government.'

5

10

Scena Sexta.

Enter Bastard and Hubert, severally

Hub Whole there > Speake hoa, speake quickely, or I shoote.

Bast. A friend What art thou?

Hub Of the part of England

Bast. Whether doest thou go?

Hub What's that to thee?

Why may not I demand of thine affaires,

As well as thou of mine?

Bast Hubert, I thinke.

r Scena Sexta] Ff Scene viii. Pope, Han Warb Johns. Scene п. Dono. Scene vi Rowe et cet

Swinstead Plains leading to the Abbey Cap An open place in the neighborhood of Swinstead Abbey. Theob et cet (Swineshead Hal)

2 Baftard] Faulconbridge Theob. Varr Rann Richard Words Dono. feuerally | meeting Cap Mal Steev Varr Sing Knt, Huds

3 Whose hoa, One line Fle. Whose Who's F4 3 Speake hoa,] Speak, hoa, F4, Rowe, Pope, Han Speak, ho Fle Speak, ho' Theob et cet

6-9 Om Bell

6 Whether] And whither Pope,+. Whither F₄, Rowe et cet

7, 8 What's demand Ff, Rowe,+,
Sta One line Cap et cet

8,9 Why mine? Bast Why .
mine? Lloyd, Dyce n, m, Huds n,
Wh in, Words Neils

8 not I] I not Var '78, '85, Rann 10 Baft] Om Lloyd, Dyce 11, 111, Huds 11, Wh 11, Words Neils

- 4 A friend What art thou?] DAWSON The line begun by the Bastard can be equally well completed by Hubert's reply, or by the Bastard's second question. Perhaps they were to be said together by the two actors, the Bastard asking his second question without heeding Hubert's reply 'Whither dost thou go' seems too trochaic for the beginning of a line
 - 5 Of the part of England That is, of the English side, or party

7-10. Hub. What's that . Bast Hubert . thinkel W W Lloyd recommended the following redistribution of these speeches, which Dyce accepts in his ed ii 'as absolutely necessary' 'Hub What's that to thee? Bast Why may not I demand Of thine affairs, as well as thou of mine? Hubert I think?'—Marshall likewise follows this suggested redistribution—Elze (Notes, 1st series, p. 64): If we bear in mind that throughout the play the Bastard is hot-headed, aggressive and over-bearing, whereas Hubert is of a sedate temperament and generally stands on his defence, it will seem quite natural that it is not the latter but the former who opens the dialogue with the impetuous question 'Who's there? Speak hoa!' to which he immediately adds a threat. It speaks greatly in favour of this supposition that in the stage-direction the name of the Bastard is placed first. I feel therefore convinced that the verses should be distributed as follows

Bast. Who's there? Speak, ho! speak quickly, or I shoot Hub. A friend.

Hub Thou hast a perfect thought I will vpon all hazards well beleeue

11

Thou art my friend, that know'st my tongue so well Who art thou?

Bast. Who thou wilt and if thou please Thou maist be-friend me so much, as to thinke

15

I come one way of the Plantagenets.

Hub Vnkinde remembrance thou, & endles night,

18

11-19 Thou haft fhame] Om Bell
15 and] Ff, Rowe,+, Del Wh 1, Cam +, Fle Words Dono Neils an Theob et cet

18 endles] endless F4, Rowe, Pope, Coll 1, Del Hal Ktly, Dono eycless Theob et cet

Bast

What art thou?

Hub

Of the part of England -

Whither dost thou go?

Bast

What's that to thee?

Hub

Why may not I demand

Of thine affairs, as well as thou of mine?

Bast Hubert, I think.

Hub

Thou hast a perfect thought.

-Vaughan (1, 93) Half a line is wanted here after 'Whether dost thou go?' spoken by the Bastard Further, Hubert first refuses to answer a question himself, and then immediately proceeds to expostulate with the Bastard as if for refusing to answer, whereas the Bastard himself has given no refusal, nor showed any reluctance to be questioned I would give the dialogue thus

Bast A friend what art thou?

Hub

Of the part of England

Whither dost thou go?

Bast What is that to thee?

Hub 'What is that to thee'?-Why may I not demand

Of thine affairs—as well as thou of mine?

Bast Hubert, I think.

Hub Thou hast a perfect thought.

- 18 Vnkinde remembrance] WRIGHT Hubert reproaches his own want of memory, which, together with the darkness, prevented him from recognising his friend
- 18. endles] Theobald Why 'endless night'? Hubert means no more than that the dulness of his recollection, and the darkness of the night, had disgraced him in his not knowing Faulconbridge by the tone of his voice. Our Author certainly wrote eyeless.—Warburton We should read eyeless. So Pindar calls the moon the eye of night ['The Moon with radiant orb, Eve's radiant eye displayed '—Ode III, Strophe u, trans. A. Moore—ED]—Steevens. This epithet ['eyeless'] I find in Markham's English Arcadia, 1607 'O eyeless night, the portraiture of death!' Again, in Gower, Confessione Amantis, lib v, fol 102, b 'The daie made ende, and loste his sight, And comen was the darke night, The whiche all the daies eie blent,' [ed Macaulay (E E. T. Soc), liber v, Il 3465, 3466, where l. 3465 reads 'loste his lyht.'—Collier, in his edition of Edward III,

[18 Hub Vnkinde remembrance thou, & endles night]

included in his Shakespeare, ed iii, compares, with this present passage in King John, the following, 'Masking as 'twere, the beauteous burning sun, Leaving no hope to us but sullen dark And eyeless terror of all ending night '-IV, iv, 7-0-ED |-MALONE With Pindar our Author had certainly no acquaintance, but, I believe, the correction eyeless is right Shakespeare has, however, twice applied the epithet 'endless' to night in Rich II 'Then thus I turn me from my country's light To dwell in solemn shades of endless night,' [I, iii, 177] Again 'My oildry'd lamp-Shall be extinct with age and endless night,' [Ibid , 1 222] But in the latter of these passages a natural, and in the former a kind of civil, death is alluded to. In the present passage the epithet 'endless' is inadmissible because, if understood literally, it is false On the other hand, eyeless is peculiarly applicable. The emendation is also supported by our Author's Rape of Lucrece 'Poor grooms are sightless night, kings, glorious day,' [1 1013] - Collier [Theobald's alteration is quite unnecessary, and perverts the sense of the Poet. Hubert is referring to the length of the night, and 'endless' could not well have been a misprint for eyeless - [Collier in both his ed ii and ed iii follows Theobald, partly on the strength of his MS Corrector's concurrence with that reading -ED]-WALKER (Crit, 11, 66) includes this word 'endles' among those wherein there has occurred a confusion between the final d and e in the Folio, thus the word here should be, he avers, eieles - VERPLANCK 'Endless night' seems a natural expression of impatience at the long and tedious night -John Hunter 'Eyeless night,' that is, night that prevents vision Another example of metonymy, like 'the stumbling night '-FLEAY: 'Eyeless,' that is, blinding So the editors explain I think rather, night without starlight the stars being the night's eyes, as the sun is the day's. The misprint is in itself worth notice in Rom & Jul we have fire and fury for fire-eyed fury; and in Timon, wappened widow for wap-eyed widow; in Mer of Ven endless for cureless - SCHMIDT (Lex) explains 'endless' as here meaning extremely dark, on this Wright comments. 'If this were the meaning of "endless" there would be no need for change, otherwise it is a mere otiose epithet.'-Miss Porter: A night that seems unending, in sadness, and hopelessness, as well as darkness. It expresses Hubert's feeling rather than fact or figure. Hence Theobald's change is not so fit, however rational. It is, moreover, a thoroughly natural expression where mere naturalness is suitable. [This last sentence refers to the Folio reading, not to Theobald's -ED.]-MARSHAIL In favour of the reading of the Folio it may be said that 'endless' is not here so commonplace an epithet as at first sight might appear. Hubert had been watching by the king all night, and to him the night might well seem endless, anxious as he was for the day. That the night was unusually dark we gather from lines 23 and 27 below, and from the circumstance that Faulconbridge tells Hubert that he had lost half his power in crossing the flats of the Wash One circumstance may be worth noting, and that is in seven other passages in the Folio in which 'endless' occurs it is invariably spelt endlesse Remembering Shakespeare's fondness for the fancy of calling the stars 'night's candles,' Mer of Ven , V, i, 220, and Rom. & Jul, III, v, 9, one is almost tempted to suggest that he might here have coined a word, and written 'candleless night.'-- DANIEL (p 47) has made the same conjecture, though somewhat abbreviated, cand'less, which Ivon John characterises as a hideous word', there will be few, I think, who will gainsay him in that -JOHN asks: 'Is there here a reminiscence on anyone's part (Shakespeare, copyist, or Haue done me shame Braue Soldier, pardon me, That any accent breaking from thy tongue, Should scape the true acquaintance of mine eare

20

BaltCome, come fans complement, What newes abroad?

Hub.Why heere walke I, in the black brow of night To finde you out.

Brcefe then : and what's the newes? Baft

25

Hub. O my fweet fir, newes fitting to the night, Blacke, fearefull, comfortlesse, and horrible

Bast. Shew me the very wound of this ill newes, I am no woman, Ile not fwound at it.

The King I feare is poylon'd by a Monke, Hub.

30

25 Breefel Fr 26 fitting fitted Johns Varr Rann fwoon F4 et cet

30 Monke,] monk Tohns Neils

monk Cap et seq 29 swoond Del

printer) of the "endless night" of Gaunt's speech in Rick II I, iii, 2222'-[In spite of Marshall's able defence of 'endless' as here used, the objections of Theobald and Malone that it is inapplicable, as descriptive of profound darkness, are well grounded But is it to the darkness that Hubert here refer-> Faulconbridge recognises Hubert by his voice, not by sight, and Hubert says that unkind remembrance and endless night prevented him from recognising the roice of Faulconbridge, again there was no question of sight, in his next speech Hubert says that he has started out under the black brow of night to find Faulconbridge, it seems hardly likely then that he would admit his inability to recognise him for whom he was searching simply because it was dark. As Shakespeare has twice used the words 'endless night' as a euphemism for death, I incline to think that they are here used in the same sense, and that Hubert thus refers to the death of the King, it was anxiety, together with his unkind memory, that made him unable to recognise the voice of his friend. His use of a descriptive phrase rather than the plain word death is quite in agreement with what he says later that his object in coming out was to acquaint Faulconbridge in order that he might thus better prepare him for bad news which otherwise might have come to him unexpectedly emendation eycless, while it has ample justification from other examples, is, I think, unnecessary.—ED]

23, 24 Why heere . you out] Rev John Hunter I walk abroad to find you out with your night darkened visage -FLEAY As we say, in the face of day What can J Hunter mean? [Compare '-this disturbed sky Is not to walk in '-Jul. Cæs., I, m, 39]

30 poyson'd by a Monkel Malone Not one of the historians who wrote within sixty years after the death of King John mentions this very improbable story. Thomas Wykes is the first who relates it in his Chronicle as a report According to the best accounts John died at Newark, of a fever [Malone gives in outline the story as related by Holinshed, but Grafton was, I think, the source of the incident as in the older play; the unpleasant nature of the poison, and the method of its procuring, are mentioned by Grafton only 'And in the same selfe I left him almost speechlesse, and broke out To acquaint you with this euil, that you might

31

32 To] T' Pope, Theob Han Warb 32-34 that of this] Om Bell Fle Huds 11, Words

yere [1216], King Iohn came to Swinestedes Abbey, not farre from Lyncolne, he rested there two dayes, where as certayne wryters report that he was most trayterously poysoned, by a Monke of the same Abbey being of the order of Saint Barnard, called Simon Swynested, and as Caxton sayth in his booke called fructus temporarum and Polichronicon also sayth the same, the aforesayd Monke named Simon, hearyng the king upon an occasion to talke of breade, should say that if he lived a vere lenger, he would make that lofe of breade beyng then of the value of one halfe penny woorth twelve pence, meanyng that he woulde so persecute his rebellious people, that he would not leave one of them to be the owner of a The Monke heeryng the king thus speake, conceyued of the king a very euil opinion, insomuch the Monke went to his Abbot shewing him the whole matter, and what he was mynded to do He alleged for himselfe the prophesie of Cayphas, saying it is better that one man dye, then all the people should perishe. I am well content, sayth he, to die and to become a martyr, so that I may utterly destroy this Tyraunt With that the Abbot wept for gladnesse, and much commended his feruant zeale as he toke it. The Monke then beyng absolued of his Abbot for doying of this act aforehande, went secretly into a Gardeyne vpon the backesyde, and findyng there a most venemous toade, he so pricked him, and pressed him with his penne knife, that he made him vomit all the poyson that was within him This done, he conveyed it into a cup of wine, and with a smilying and flatteryng countenance he sayd thus to the king, if it shall lyke your Princely Maiestie, here is such a Cup of Wine as ye neuer dranke before in all your life tyme, I trust this wassall shall make all England glad And with that he dranke a great draught, the king pledging him The Monke anone after went to the Farmory [infirmary], and there dyed, his guttes gushing out of his belly, and had continually from thence forth three Monkes to sing Masses for his soule, confirmed by their generall Chapter The king within shorte space after, feeling great griefe in hys body, asked for Simon the Monke, and aunswere was made that he was departed this lyfe Then God haue mercy vpon me (sayd the king) I suspected as muche. With that he commaunded his Chariot to be brought, for he was not able to ryde. So went he from thence to Slaford Castell, and from thence to Newarke vpon Trent, and there within lesse then three dayes he dyed, when he had reigned xvij yeres vj monethes and odde dayes, and was honourably buryed at Worcester, with all his armed men attendyng voon the buryell.'—Grafton's Chronicle, i, 246.—CHESNEY (p. 137) Shakespeare does not appear to have kept close to the symptomatology, for the king had been sick a time before this poisoning should have happened, in V, iii, whilst on the field of battle, the king was made to exclaim: 'Ah me' this tyrant fever burns me up, and will not let me welcome this good news.' If the Monk had been using treachery toward the king, then he certainly had been using 'poison to work a great time after,' because even prior to the facts last stated as to the condition of King John on the battlefield, the complaint is made by him—'this fever that hath troubled me so long lies heavy on me. O' my heart is sick '

32-34 that you might . . of this] MALONE That you might be able to pre-

ACT V, SC	vı] OF KIN	NG IOHN	403
The bet	ter arme you to the fod:	aine time,	33
Then if	you had at leifure know	ne of this.	
Baft	How did he take it? W	Tho did taste to him?	35
	A Monke I tell you, a		•
	Bowels fodainly burft ou		37
	ine] fudden F4	35-38 How recover] On	
34 at le	essure of] at my lessure o'	36 refolued] resolvèd Dyce	, Fle Huds
11011		ii, Words 37 [odainly] [uddenly Ff	

pare instantly for the sudden revolution in affairs which the king's death will occasion in a better manner than you could have done if you had not known of it till the event had actually happened, and the kingdom was reduced to a state of composure and quiet -M Mason (Additional Comments, p 36) It appears to me that 'at lessure' means less speedily, after some delay I do not clearly comprehend Malone's explanation, the death of the king was not likely to reduce the kingdom to a state of composure and quiet whilst there was a hostile army in the heart of it -VAUGHAN (1, 94) 'At leasure' applies to Hubert's leasure and not to the kingdom's quiet [as explained by Malone] The purport of the line is 'than if I had not made so much haste to inform you of this' The last two lines are, however, faulty in expression 'The better than' is not a phrase that we could use now Possibly we should read 'Then better arm you,' etc This word then is one key to the meaning of 'at leisure,'—'that you might better arm yourself against the exigencies of the moment, through my breaking out in this way to tell you, than you could through my taking my leisure to tell you '-Wright explains 'the sudden time' as 'the emergency which has suddenly come upon us', and 'at leisure' as 'if the news had come in a leisurely manner and not in this hot haste' [This seems the more logical explanation; for other examples of 'to' in the sense with a new to, as in 'to the sudden time,' see Abbott, § 186.—Ed.]

- 34 at leisure knowne of this] CAPELL (I, pt 11, p 138) 'Knowing a thing at leisure' can have no other sense than to know it by preparation, preparedly, now a better armour is none against the first impressions of grief or 'ill news' than preparation, and yet the words of this line import a better, and in doing so, are repugnant to the drift of all that proceeds Perhaps it may be apparent by this time that some corruption has happen'd, and the way it has happen'd may have occurr'd to a prepar'd critic, and one who carries in mind the corruptions of other places less and 'leisure' concurring caus'd a dropping of less; and the line (whose first words were 'Than had you') coming so disfigur'd to press, was patch'd by a transposition, and if, instead of this its very certain amendment (as we are bold to pronounce)—'Than had you at less leisure known of this' meaning, as said before,—when you were less prepar'd.
 - 35 Who did taste to him?] That is, who acted as taster for him?
- 36 resolued WRIGHT. That is, resolute, determined. Compare Rich. III iii, 340. 'How now, my hardy, stout resolved mates!'
- 37. Whose Bowels sodainly burst out Bucknill (Med. Knowledge of Sh, p 137) The ideas which prevailed in Shakespeare's time on the subject of poisons, both among the ignorant and learned, were strangely chimerical, the description of this death by poison is, however, as accurate as it is fearful, the only point of error

45

Yet speakes, and peraduenture may recouer. 38

**Bast* Who didst thou leave to tend his Maiesty?

**Hub.* Why know you not? The Lords are all come backe,

And brought Prince *Henry* in their companie, At whose request the king hath pardon'd them, And they are all about his Maiestie.

Bast. With-hold thine indignation, mighty heauen, And tempt vs not to be are aboue our power.

39 Who] Whom Han Coll. Del Wh
1, Huds Craig
40, 41 noi? companie,] not, company? Sta
Pope, Theob Han Warb Johns
45 power] Ff, Rowe,+, Coll 1, 11
Power' Cap et cet

being in Hubert's description of the poison upon the monk 'whose bowels suddenly burst out' No poison known to modern science would produce such an effect It is, however, quite consistent with the old opinion, both medical and general, which attributed to poison swelling and bursting of the body as one of its effects [See] 30 above, note and extract from Grafton's Chronicle, this symptom is not mentioned in the older play -ED |-Moves (p 54) There is some internal evidence to show that arsenic was the poison administered to King John Monk who poisoned him acted the part of taster and died immediately bowels suddenly burst out' is not an unlikely popular description of the severe diarrheea which is a frequent symptom of arsenical poisoning, especially as this is often accompanied by discharges of blood -[In Moson's translation of Wirtzung's Praxis Medicina Universalis, 1598, Part 7, ch 4 among the symptoms of poisoning by cantharides is mentioned in particular 'there avoydeth the scrapings of the bowels, like to them that have the bloude Flixe,' p 605—See also notes by Moyes, and Wainwright, V, vii, 45 -ED J-UPTON (p 134, foot-note), in order to exhibit some of Shakespeare's 'rants about kings, which border on blasphemy,' quotes 'Most sacriligious murther hath broke ope The Lord's anointed temple,' Macbeth, II, 111, 72, and the present passage, upon this latter he remarks 'So 'tis written of Judas, Acts, 1, 18 "He fell headlong and burst asunder" You see,' adds Upton, 'he has Christ in view whenever he speaks of kings, and this was the court language. I wish it never went further.'-MOBERLY It is strange that Shakespeare should make the Monk's 'bowels burst out' when nothing of the kind happened to John There may have been some notion of a specially divine punishment upon a regicide

- 40 Why know you not?] HALLIWELL Sense would sanction a comma after the word 'not,' but the punctuation of the Folio more clearly expresses Hubert's astonishment at the Bastard not being acquainted with that which he proceeds to mention. [See Text Notes]
- 45 tempt vs. our power] Carter (p 216) compares 'God is faithful which wil not suffer you to be tempted above that you are able, but will even give the issue with the tentation that ye may be able to beare it '-1 Corinkians, x, 13 (Geneva Vers.)—[Halliwell and John Hunter likewise refer to this passage from 1 Corinkians, but quote it as in the Authorised Version 'Tempt' in both

Scena Septima.

Enter Prince Henry, Salisburie, and Bigot. Hen. It is too late, the life of all his blood

3

46-49 Ile tell efcap'd] Om Bell 46 power] pow'rs Pope,+ (powers Var 73')

48 denoured] devoured Dyce, Fle Hads 11, Words

49 hardly] Om Ff, Rowe

50 before] If, Rowe,+, Coll Wh (am +, Neils before, Fle Craig before! Cap et cet

1 Scena Septima] Scene IV Pope, Han Warb Johns Scene III Dono Scene VII Rowe et cet

Changes to Swinsted Pope Changes to the Orchard in Swinstead Abbey Theob + The Same The Abbey-Garden Cap The Garden of Swineshead Abbey Hal The Orchard in Swinestead Abbey Var '78 et cet

cases is used in the sense of 'to induce, or call upon'. Compare 'Who from my cabin tempted me to walk Upon the hatches'—Richard III. I, iv, 12—ED]

- 46. halfe my power] WRIGHT 'Thus the countrie being wasted on each hand, the king hasted forward till he came to Wellstreme sands, where passing the washes he lost a great part of his armie, with horses and carriages.'—Holinshed, iii, 194-
 - 51. I doubt] Compare IV, 1, 24
 - 51 or ere] See IV, 11, 23, and note
- 1. Scena Septimal Occhelhauser (Emfuhrungen, etc, i, 30) This scene I have laid in the court-yard of the Abbey of Swinstead, enclosed on the back and sides by the passage-way of the cloisters. The door through which Hubert goes out, when the Bastard meets him, may be placed on the side at either the first or second entrance. Access to the court-yard from the cloisters is at the back through the row of columns. The care and regard for the dying king, the grief of the son at the separation, and its reflection by the remaining bystanders, the gracious greeting of the heir to the throne by the lords,—all this must be well carried out, in order to elevate the scene and produce a worthy ending to the tragedy. ['The grey light of dawn' is Oechelhauser's direction for the lighting of this scene in his stage-arrangement.—Ed]
 - 2 Prince Henry | See Dram. Person , 3, note by FRENCH
- 3. life of all his blood! BLCKNILL (Med. Knowledge of Sh. p 137) The life of the blood, the cerebral localization of the mental functions, the cessation of bodily pain at a certain stage of the operation of corrosive poison, when death, 'having preyed upon the outward parts, leaves them insensible' [see Text. Notes, l. 20], the confused throng of thoughts which characterise some forms of delirium, all these points of physiological observation are wonderfully conceived and expressed

Is touch'd, corruptibly and his pure braine (Which fome suppose the soules fraile dwelling house)

5

4 corruptibly] corruptedly Cap corruptively Rann conj

4 pure] poor Wh 1, Huds 11 sore Herr hurt Vaughan conj 5 foules] Soul's F₄

- 4 corruptibly] WRIGHT That is, so as to cause it to corrupt [As another example of this use of an adjective in -ible with an active meaning Malone quotes 'The Romans plausibly did give consent,' Lucrece, 1 1854, that is, with acclamations—To this Wright adds 'For we no longer are defensible,' Henry V III, iii, 50, where 'defensible' means capable of making defense—ED]
- 4 his pure braine] HALLIWELL 'Th' infectious drinke fumde up into his head, And through the veines into the heart it spread, Distempering the pure unspotted braine, That doth in man his memorie maintaine'-Deloney, The Lamentable Deloney's Strange Histories were Death of King John, [see Appendix, p 706] first published in 1607, it is therefore probable that he had here in mind the present passage in King John which had appeared nearly ten years previously—ED]— R G WHITE The original has 'pore,' which although it was the commonest spelling of 'poor' in the Folio and in other books of the time, and represents the old pronunciation of that word (which is still preserved in some parts of the United States), has hitherto been printed in all modern editions, without comment, 'pure', though what 'his pure brain' could mean here who shall tell? It will be seen that there is no similarity between this passage and 'a halting sonnet of his own pure brain,' Much Ado, V, iv, 87 (where 'pure' means unaided, and 'of his own pure brain' what children call 'all out of his own head'), or 'that's pure' as used by an English rustic for that's good — CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note XXX.), referring to the foregoing note by White, say 'In all the copies [of the Folio] known to us the reading is "pure" '-- [Were an answer needed to White's question as to what 'his pure brain' might here mean, Dr Wright's interpretation is more than sufficient, that is, 'his brain otherwise clear and undisturbed' -- Staunton (Athenœum, 20 March, 1873, p 407) compares 'Whereof ingrateful man with liquorish draughts And morsels unctuous greases his pure mind. That from it all consideration slips ' -Timon, IV, ui, 105. As to White's assertion that the common spelling of the word 'poor' in the Folio is pore, I think I may say, from a fairly extensive examination of the text of that volume, that it is doubtful if a single example of such spelling could be found, this word is, with but a few exceptions, either spelt poore or poor. It is, of course, impossible now to say whence White derived his information on this point.—ED.]—KINNEAR (p. 205): That is, even his very brain, not only is the life of all his blood touched corruptibly, but even his brain itself, the dwellinghouse of the soul.
- 5. the soules fraile dwelling house] The actual seat or dwelling place of the soul in man was one of those subjects upon which the ancient philosophers expended much speculation. It was, however, early recognised that the soul was two-fold in its nature; that is, the intellectual or rational faculty and the sensible or vital spirit. Each of these, mutually dependent on the other, controlled every movement of the body or emotion of the mind. The following extract from Holland's Plutarch's Morals, 1603, gives a comprehensive review of the earlier opinions on this subject. 'Plato and Democritus place it [the Soule] in the head throughout. Strato between the two ene browes. Erisistratus in the membrane or kell that enfoldeth

[5 (Which some suppose the soules fraile dwelling house)]

the braine, and it he called Epicranis Herophilus within the ventricle or concavitie of the braine, which also is the basis or foundation of it Parmenides over all the brest, and with him accordeth Epicurus the Stoicks all with one voice hold it in the whole heart, or else in the spirit about the heart. Diogenes in the cavitie of the great arterie of the heart, which is full of vitall spirit Empedocles in the consistence or masse of bloud others in the very necke of the heart some in the tunickle that lappeth the heart and others againe in the midriffe some of our moderne philosophers hold, that it taketh up & occupieth all the space from the head downward to the Diaphragma or midriffe above said Pythagoras supposeth that the vitall part of the Soule is about the heart, but the reason and the intellectual or spirituall part, about the head '-Opinions of Philosophers, Bk iv, ch v, p 834 —That the brain was regarded as the seat of the reasonable soul by anatomists and surgeons, at least as late as 1548, we learn from Thomas Vicary's Anatomie, the earliest book on that subject in English, in Chapter III, he says 'Because the head of man is the habitation or dwelling place of the reasonable soule of man, therefore, with the grace of God, I shal fyrst speake of the Anatomie of the head' (ed Furnivall, E E Text Soc, New series, 1 m, p 24) —In a later work, with which Shakespeare is supposed to have been well acquainted—Batman uppon Bartholome, De Proprietatibus Rerum, 1582, after a long discussion on the properties of the soul, the reasonable soul, and the sensible soul, which need not be here repeated—the writer says 'In the head all the wits be seene, and therefore in a manner it presenteth the person of the soule, that counsaileth and ruleth the bodie '-Bk V, ch. 2, p. 35 Montaigne (Apology for Raymond de Sebonde) is thus translated by Florio 'But to returne unto our soule, where Plato hath seated reason in the braine, anger in the heart, lust in the liver, it is verie likely, that it was rather an interpretation of the soules motions, then any division or separation he ment to make of it, as of a soule into many members. And the likeliest of their opinion is, that it is alwaies a soule, which by hir rationall facultie, remembreth hir selfe, comprehendeth, judgeth, desireth, and exerciseth all hir other functions, by divers instruments of the bodie, as the Pilote ruleth and directeth his ship according to the experience he hath of it, now stretching, haling, or loosing a cable, sometimes hoveing the Main-yard, removing an oare, or stirring the Rudder, causing several effects with one onely power. And that she abideth in the braine, appeareth by this, that the hurts and accidens, which touch that part, doe presently offend the faculties of the soule, whence she may without inconvenience descend and glide through other parts of the bodie, as the Sunne spreadeth his light, and infuseth his power from heaven, and therewith filleth the whole world '-Essayes (ed in). Bk ii, p 307.-W C Hazhtt (Shakespeare, p 267), on the present passage, says 'This strikes me as a most extraordinary proof of the Poet's insight, standing in place of advanced scientific knowledge, since he here clearly announces his own hypothesis couched (as usual) in general terms, as to the identity of the soul with the brain, although he did not go so far as to trace that of the brain with the blood, nor was it necessary for him as a dramatist to do so.'-When consistency of character demands it the words which Shakespeare places in the mouths of his people do not necessarily reflect his own opinions; but in the present case this is merely an abstract observation, and characteristic to Prince Henry no more than to Salisbury or Bigot Nevertheless I cannot agree with Hazlitt, the words 'which some suppose' seem to point to doubt in the belief that the brain was the soul's

6

10

Doth by the idle Comments that it makes, Fore-tell the ending of mortality

Enter Pembroke.

Pem His Highnesse yet doth speak, & holds beleese, That being brought into the open ayre, It would allay the burning qualitie Of that sell posson which assayleth him

Hen. Let him be brought into the Orchard heere. Doth he full rage?

Pem. He is more patient

15

Then when you left him, euen now he fung

Hen Oh vanity of ficknesse fierce extreames. In their continuance, will not feele themselues. Death having praide vpon the outward parts. Leaves them invisible, and his seige is now

20

12 which that Rann
14 Exit B Capell. Exit Bigot.
Mal et seq

16-32 Om Bell. 16-24 Om Dono

17 ficknesse | sickness! Rowe ii et seq 18 feele | fell Rowe ii. breed Herr

19 praide prei'd F2 prey'd F3F4

20 them inuisible, and his seize them inuisible, and hir siege F_2 them inuisible, and her siege F_3F_4 , Rowe 1. them; invisible his siege Pope, Theob Var '78, '85 them inscrisible, his siege Han

Warb Johns them insensible and his stege Cap Var '73 Steev Var '03,'13 Sing Sta Wh Dyce ii, iii, Huds Coll iii, Rlfe, Words Neils Craig. (and's stege Walker) them invisible, and his stege Mal Var '21, Knt, Coll i, ii, Dyce i, Del Hal Ktly, Cam i, Glo Cla Fle Perring them invisited and his stege Coll MS them, and his invisible, stege Mitford (Gent Maga, Aug, 1844) them ill-visited, and his stege Ingleby (N. & Q, Ap, 1853). them, and, invisible, his stege Herr

dwelling house Compare, 'the bloody house of life,' IV, 11, 120, which is likewise an abstract observation, and seems to point to the belief that the body was the seat of the soul and the vital spirit. The question is, however, too intrinsicate to be resolved in a note on a single line, and therefore—in the words of the translator of Bartholomeus—'thus much on the soul's dwelling house sufficeth'—ED

- 18. In their continuance MALONE I suspect our Author wrote, 'In thy continuance' In his Sonnets the two words are frequently confounded. If the text be right, 'continuance' means continuity. Bacon uses the word in that sense.—Singer (ed. ii) So Baret, 'If the disease be of any continuance, if it be an old and settled disease'.
- 18 will not feele] That is, customarily do not feel; compare: 'Being ireful on the lion he will venture'—Ven & Ad., 1 628.
- 18. will not feele themselues] KINNEAR (p. 206): That is, pain of extreme violence, when continued, 15 wont to lose sensation—ceases to be felt. Compare for the insensibility produced by faintness 'Who [the heart], overcome by doubt and bloodless fear, With cold-pale weakness numbs each feeling part.'—Ven. & Ad, 1. 891.
- 20 Leaues them muisible] CAPELL (I, pt ii, p 139). Nor is this amendment [insensible, see Text. Notes] less certain, for the stop of old editions is here, and its

[20 Leaues them inuisible, and his seige is now]

displacing by some moderns [Pope and Theobald], together with their omission of 'and' after 'invisible,' leaves as great an exception as that they meant to remove Death's 'siege' is as visible in the 'mind' as the limbs, being seen in its 'fantasies', some of which argue more than a siege,—an approaching conquest It were needless to observe in behalf of insensible that the progress of most deaths is as this description sets forth, being a thing too notonous -M MASON (Comments, etc., p 161) As the word 'invisible' has no sense in this passage, I have no doubt but the modern editors are right in reading insensible [see Text Notes], which agrees with the two preceding lines. The lines are evidently intended as a paraphrase, and confirmation of the first two I cannot conceive why death should be more invisible when he attacks the mind than when he attacks the body, as it is through the organs of the body that he attacks the mind -MALONE 'Invisible' is here used adverbially Death having glutted himself with the ravage of the almost wasted body, and knowing that the disease with which he has assailed it is mortal, before its dissolution, proceeds, from mere satisty, to attack the mind, leaving the body invisibly, that is, in such a secret manner that the eye cannot precisely mark his progress, or see when his attack on the vital powers has ended and that on the mind begins, or, in other words, at what particular moment reason ceases to perform its function and the understanding, in consiquence of a corroding and mortal malady, begins to be disturbed Our Poet, in his Ven & Ad, calls Death 'invisible commander,' [1 1004] Henry is here only pursuing the same train of thought which we find in his first speech in this scene. Our Author has, in many other passages in his plays, used adjectives adverbially So in All's Well 'Is it not meant damnable in us '-IV, iii, 31 Again in I Henry IV '-ten times more dishonourable ragged than an old faced ancient '-IV, u, 33 [For many more examples see Abbott, § 1]-Steevlns As 'invisible' and 'insensible' are not words of exactest consonance, the legitimacy of this emendation has been disputed It yet remains in my text, for the sake of those who discover no light through the ancient reading Perhaps (I speak without confidence) our Author wrote invincible, which, in sound, so nearly resembles 'invisible' that an inattentive compositor might have substituted the one for the other. All our modern editors (Mr Malone excepted) agree that 'nrincible, in 2 Henry IV III, ii, 337, was a misprint for invisible ['His dimensions to any thick sight were invincible'], and so (vice versa) 'invisible' may here have usurped the place of invincible If my supposition be admitted, the Prince must design to say that Death had battered the royal outworks, but, seeing they were invircible, quitted them, and directed his force against the mind In the present instance the King of Terrors is described as a besieger, who, failing in his attempt to storm the bulwark, proceeded to undermine the citadel Why else did he change his mode and object of attack?—The Spanish ordnance sufficiently preyed on the ramparts of Gibraltar, but still left them impregnable The same metaphor, though not continued so far, occurs again in Timon: '-Nature To whom all sores lay siege '-[IV, iii, 6]. Again, in All's Well: '-and yet my heart Will not confess he owes the malady That doth my life besiege.'-II, i, 10-[It is somewhat difficult of belief that Steevens is here seriously offering these two passages in corroboration of an emendation in which he had no confidence, the rest of his long note leaves, however, no doubt that behind his mask of sobriety he is actually indulging in a malicious grin, he refuses acquiescence in Malone's explanation of the adverbial use of the adjective for the

[20 Leaues them muisible, and his seige is now]

reasons that his two examples occur 'in light and familiar dialogue, or where the regular full-grown adverb was unfavourable to rhyme or meter', 'or they might be typographical imperfections '-Steevens then grudgingly admits that adjectives employed adverbially 'are sometimes met with in the language of Shakespeare,' yet such must not be so considered when 'it might operate equivocally and provoke a smile, as on the present occasion' Then throwing aside his serious mask completely Steevens concludes 'That Death, therefore, "left the outward parts of the King invisible" could not, in my judgment, have been an expression hazarded by our Poet in his most careless moment of composition Besides, if the outward part (1 e, the body) of the expiring monarch was in plain, familiar, and unqualified terms, pronounced to be invisible, how could those who pretended to have just seen it expect to be believed? and would not an audience initiated in the mystery of adverbial adjectives, on hearing such an account of the royal carcase, have exclaimed, like the Governor of Tillbury Fort, in The Critic '-thou canst not see [it], Because it is not yet in sight '-Malone, it is needless to say, did not take any notice of this complete perversion of his view of the meaning of the adjective 'visible' in the present passage, he had concluded his note with a remark in regard to the poet Gray's having made Death a Queen instead of a King in one of his poems, possibly led thereto by Rowe's reading of this line 'her siege,' therein following the Folios In defence of Gray's thus making death feminine Steevens contributed a note occupying an entire page of the Variorum, fairly bristling with classical quotations and lines from obscure writers As this belongs, however, to a discussion of the text of Gray and not Shakespeare, it must be relegated to the task of repetition by some future editor of Gray -ED]-KNIGHT The meaning of 'invisible' is, we take it, unlooked at, disregarded — MITFORD (Gentleman's Maga, Aug, 1844) The commentators reject 'invisible' as without meaning, and insert insensible, adding five long passages of commentary, notwithstanding which we are not at all convinced that they had any right to turn Shakespeare's good steed out of the stall, to put in their own sorry gelding. We have in our copy inserted the following reading as most likely to be true: 'Death, having preyed upon the outward parts, Leaves them, and his invisible siege is now' The first rule of a good surgeon is never to amputate when he can reset the limb, and thus restore it to its primitive state, but the editors of Shakespeare are too often like those quack dentists who draw a sound natural tooth to insert a false one of their own -COLLIER. Malone's interpretation renders the alteration made by some editors, of 'invisible' to insensible or invincible, quite unnecessary.—Singer (ed. ii.) Guided by the context, 'will not feel themselves,' I cannot but think Hanmer's emendation a necessary and happy one [Singer quotes Knight's interpretation in stalics and with an exclamation point, which mode of printing was, presumably, supposed by Dyce and Singer to signify the holding up of the hands in amazement.—Ep]—Collier (Notes & Emendations, p 212) There is no doubt that 'invisible' is wrong, and the MS. Corrector converts it into unvisited, which may, we think, be adopted without hesitation—death has abandoned the King's external form, and has laid siege to his understanding.—[Collier (ed ii) hesitates, however, to depart from the original, and quotes in support of it. 'These cowards invisibly assail his soul, And threaten conquest of our sovereign' Tamburlaine, pt n, V, m, Quarto, 1606 'Nevertheless,' adds Colher, 'it is to be observed that in the earliest edition of Tamburlaine, 1590, the word is invincibly '-ED |-SINGER

Against the winde, the which he prickes and wounds With many legions of strange fantasies,

22

21 winde] mind Rowe ii et seq

(Sh Vindicated, p 95) That 'invisible' and unvisited would be absurd and senseless in this passage who can doubt? The correction of it to insensible is so obvious, so near to the form of the old word, and affords such excellent sense, that few, I think, would for a moment entertain the MS Corrector's unvisited It may be observed that 'that last hold' is the last place where sensation remains—Dyce characterises the reading of the MS. Corrector as 'next to nonsense'—Knight (New Lamps, etc, p 13) If death had abandoned the outward parts, how can they be called unvisited? [A hit, a palpable hit!—ED]—HALLIWELL The Folio text is probably correct. A transposition of the term 'invisible' to after the word 'siege,' placing a semicolon after 'them,' would fulfil the conditions of sense and metre—Staunton Notwithstanding Malone's defence of 'invisible,' it appears to be without sense in this passage Hammer's emendation is in some degree verified by the corresponding passage in the earlier play

'Power after power forsake their proper power, Onely the heart impugnes with faint resist'

[Troublesome Raigne, pt 11, sc. viii, 1 52]

-C & M COWDEN CLARKE The context seems to us to prove Hanmer's emendation to be the right word, while 'invisible' affords to us no sense whatever —Verplanck To me it seems evident that 'invisible' for insensible was an error of the press or, more probably, of the copyist of the manuscript used by the Folio editors —FLEAY I have inserted a comma after 'them,' which makes the sense of the passage clear It is death that is visibly acting while preying on the body, but invisible when he attacks the mind Compare Knack to Know a Knace, sc xiii, 'But I'll prevent him, follow me, invisible '-WRIGHT agrees with Malone that the subject of 'invisible' is 'Death' and not 'the outward parts'-Marshall regards Hanmer's emendation as very plausible; but, without reference to Malone's note, suggests that 'invisible' may here refer adverbially to Death. [Malone's explanation of the adverbial use of 'invisible' is, to me, the solution of the difficulty Hanmer's emendation has in its favor but little more than that of the MS. Corrector or of Steevens. That Death did not leave the King's body insensible is very clearly shown by his agony of burning sensation, and to say that Death left the body unvisited after telling of the effects of that visitation seems nothing less than a plain contradiction. On the other hand, it is not strictly correct to say that the attack of Death on the body is perceptible while that on the mind is imperceptible, since in each case the effect is outwardly made known, either by contortions of the body or the ravings of delirium -ED.]

22 many legions of strange fantasies] Bucknill (Medical Knowledge, etc, p 138). The supervention of delirium, upon the cessation of pain in the outward parts, was noted and expressed by Hippocrates in the sixth aphorism, section 2. 'Persons who have a painful affection of any part of the body, and are in a great measure insensible of pain, are disordered in intellect.' When John is brought into the Orchard, the throng and press of strange fantasies have ceased to confound themselves. He does not rage as he has done before, but expresses himself

Which in their throng, and preffe to that last hold,
Counfound themselues 'Tis strange y death shold sing
I am the Symet to this pale faint Swan,
Who chaunts a dolefull hymne to his owne death,

24 y] that Ff
fing] Ff, Rowe, Pope, Theob
sing' Cap Sta sing Johns et cet

25 Symet] cygnet Rowe 11 et seq Swan] swain Johns (misprint)

again sensible of suffering, in similes of terrible power. It would perhaps, however, have been more consistent with the strict probability of the course of events if the cessation of what may be called traumatic delirium, and the restoration of painful sensation, had not been represented, for when death, having preyed upon the outward parts, lays his siege against the mind, the delirium generally continues to the end [See note by Chesney, 1 42 below]—Moyes (p 24) That Shakespeare had closely observed the wanderings of the mind in illness is evident when we study the death-bed scenes of King John and Falstaff. The delirium [of John] is acute and in keeping with the circumstances, contrast it with the wandering of Falstaff's mind at the close of his life, as described by Dame Quickly, Henry V II, iii, but note the difference between the delirium of King John and that of old Sir John, who, dying in advanced life and of a long-standing ailment, 'fumbled with the sheets, played with flowers, and smiled upon his fingers' ends' The gravity of the symptom is recognised in each case 'It is too late,' says Prince Henry, 'I knew there was but one way,' says Dame Quickly

23 throng, and presse to that last hold] JOHNSON That is, in their tumult and hurry of resorting to the last tenable part—[MALONE compares 'That many maz'd considerings did throng And press'd in, with this caution'—Henry VIII II, 1V, 185, but this is not, I think, strictly speaking, a parallel, in the present passage the words 'throng' and 'press' are nouns, in that from Henry VIII. they are verbs—Ep]

25, 26 Swan, ... chaunts ... to his owne death Shakespeare refers to this poetical idea of the song of the dying swan in three other passages 'And now this pale swan in her watery nest Begins the sad dirge of her certain ending,' Rape of Lucrece, l. 1611, 'I will play the swan, And die in music,' Othello, V. 11, 247. 'Then if he lose, he makes a swan-like end Fading in music,' Mer. of Ven., III, ii, 44; and in the doubtful poem, Phænix & Turtle, 'the death-divining swan,' 1. 15.—The source of the fable is well given in the following from Sir Thomas Browne's delightful work, Pseudodoxia 'And first, from great antiquity, and before the melody of Syrens, the musical note of swans hath been commended, and that they sing most sweetly before their death for thus we read in Plato, that from the opinion of Metempsychosis, or transmigration of the souls of men into the bodies of beasts most suitable unto their human condition, after his death Orpheus the musician became a swan; thus was it the bird of Apollo, the god of music, by the Greeks, and an hieroglyphick of music among the Egyptians, from whom the Greeks derived the conception,—hath been the affirmation of many Latins, and hath not wanted assertors almost from every nation. All which notwithstanding, we find this relation doubtfully received by Æhan, as an hearsay account by Bellonius, as a false one by Pliny, expressly refuted by Myndius in Athenœus, and severely rejected by Scaliger. Authors also that countenance it, speak not satis-

[25, 26 Swan, Who chaunts a dolefull hymne to his owne death] factorily of it some affirming they sing not till they die, some that they sing yet die not Some speak generally, as though this note were in all, some but particularly, as though it were only in some, some in places remote, and where we can have no trial of it, others in places where every experience can refute it as Aldrovandus upon relation delivered concerning the music of the swans on the river of Thames near London When, therefore, we consider the dissension of authors, the falsity of relations, the indisposition of the organs, and the unmusical note of all we ever beheld or heard of, if generally taken, and comprehending all swans, or of all places, we cannot assent thereto Surely he that is bit with a tarantula, shall never be cured by this music, and with the same hopes we expect to hear the harmony of the spheres' (Book III, ch xxvII, § 1) -- WILLUGHBY (Bk III, § II, p 357), after describing the general appearance of the wild swan, says 'The Windpipe reflected in form of a trumpet seems to be so contrived and formed by nature for modulating the voice Hence what the Ancients have delivered concerning the singing of swans (if it be true, which I much doubt) seems chiefly to agree to this bird and not to the tame Swan For my part, those stories of the Ancients concerning the singing of Swans, viz that those birds at other times, but especially when their death approaches, do with a most sweet and melodious modulation of their voice, sing their own Nama or funeral song, seemed to me always very unlikely and fabulous, and to have been therefore not undeservedly exploded by Scaliger and others Howbert, Aldrovandus, weighing on both sides the arguments and authorities of learned men, hath (he saith) observed them to be equal, wherefore to cast the scale, and establish the affirmative, he thinks that wonderful structure of the wind-pipe, by him first observed, is of weight sufficient. But this argument, though it be very specious and plausible, yet doth it not conclude the controversie For we have observed in the wind-pipe of the Crane the like ingress into the cavity of the breast-bone, and reflection therein, or a more remarkable one, yet no man that I know of, ever commended the Crane for singing, or musical modulation of its voice But if you ask me to what purpose then doth the wind-pipe enter into the breastbone, and is in that manner reflected there? I must ingenuously confess, I do not certainly and fully know '-HARTING (p 203) The late Charles Waterton once had an opportunity, which rarely occurs, of seeing a swan die from natural causes 'Although I gave no credence,' he says (Essays on Natural History, second series, p 128), 'to the extravagant notion which antiquity had entertained of melody from the mouth of the dying swan, still I felt anxious to hear some plaintive sound or other, some soft inflection of the voice which might tend to justify that notion in a small degree But I was disappointed He nodded and then tried to recover himself, and then nodded again, and again held up his head, till, at last, quite enfeebled and worn out, his head fell gently on the grass, his wings became expanded a trifle or so, and he died whilst I was looking on. He never even uttered his wonted cry, nor so much as a sound to indicate what he felt within The silence which this bird maintained to the last tends to show that the dying song of the swan is nothing but a fable, the origin of which is lost in the shades of antiquity '-DYER (p 157) quotes the following from Engel (Musical Myths and Facts, 1, p 89) 'Although our common swan does not produce sounds which might account for this tradition, it is a well-known fact that the wild swan, also called the "whistling swan," when on the wing emits a shrill tone, which, however harsh it may sound if heard near, produces a pleasant effect when emanating from a large flock when high

And from the organ-pipe of frailety fings 27 His foule and body to their lafting reft Sal. Be of good comfort (Prince) for you are borne To let a forme vpon that indigest 30 Which he hath left so shapelesse, and so rude Iohn brought in. 33

I marrie, now my foule hath elbow roome.

Bigot, bringing in King John in a chair.

28 to] Om Ff 32 Iohn in | Enter Attendants, and Cap et seq (subs)

in the air, it is heard in a variety of pitches of sound, increasing or diminishing in loudness according to the movement of the birds and to the current of air '-[This is, however, merely slight corroborative evidence as to the swan singing at any time, it has but little to do with its chanting its own requiem Let the originator of the fable be who it may. Is it not sufficient that Shakespeare was so attracted by the poetic idea that he was not content with but one recurrence to it?—ED] 27 frailety WALKER (Vers, p 158) compares for this spelling, metri gratia. 'Is't I would have my frailety so belide?'-Daniel, Cleopatra, I, 1, ed 1623, p 430. 30. a forme vpon that indigest] MOBERLY 'The death of John,' say Stubbs. Constitutional History, 11, 3, 'saved the kingdom for his descendants. It removed the great stumbling-block, and reversed the papal policy as regarded the Charter. The sagacious and honest policy of the Earl of Pembroke. placed the country under a government which included all elements, and which, while it could not suppress all jealousies, found room for all energies.' How excellently Shakespeare sums this up in a few words

- 30. indigest] MURRAY (N E. D, s v. sbs B) quotes the present line as the only example of this word used as a noun in the sense of a shapeless mass.
- 31. so shapelesse, and so rude] WHALLEY A description of the Chaos almost in the words of Ovid 'Quem dixere Chaos, rudis indigestaque moles'—Metamorphosis, 1.-Malone 'Which Chaos hight, a huge rude heap No sunne as yet with lightsome beames the shapeless world did view '-Golding's Translation, 1587.
- 32 Iohn brought in Boas (Sh & his Predecessors, p. 243) This scene would make a deeper impression were it in more organic connexion with what has gone before. In the old play, where so much prominence had been given to the attack upon the religious houses, the death of John at the hands of a monk was a dramatically fitting Nemesis. But one of the very few mistakes made by Shakespeare in working up older materials was that he here retained the original version of John's murder, while omitting all that had led up to it. We feel that the king's ignoble end should have had a more intimate relation to his design upon Arthur, or some other misdeed emphasized in the play
- 33. Iohn. I marrie, now, etc.] DAVIES (Dramatic Miscell., i, p 113), in speaking of Garrick's acting in this scene, says: 'The agonies of a man expiring in a delirium were delineated with such wonderful expression in his countenance, that he impressed uncommon sensations, mixed with terror, on the admiring spectators, who could not refuse the loudest tribute of applause to his mimitable action. Every word of the melancholy news, uttered by Faulconbridge, seemed to touch the tender strings of life, till they were quite broken, and he expired before the unwelcome tale was finished.'-F GENTLEMAN (Dram Censor, 11, 166) We have now brought

It would not out at windowes, nor at doores, There is so hot a summer in my bosome, That all my bowels crumble vp to dust I am a scribled forme drawne with a pen Vpon a Parchment, and against this fire Do I shrinke vp.

35

Hen. How fares your Maiesty?

40

Ioh. Poyfon'd, ill fare : dead, forfooke, cast off,

```
34 doores,] doors Pope et seq
36 dust Johns Var '73
38, 39 One line F4, Rowe 1
41 Poyson'd Poison'd Var '73.
ill fare ] ill fair F4, Rowe 1 ill
fate! Pope ill fare! Theob Warb.
Johns —ill-fare,— Steev Varr —ill
```

fare— Cam +, Neils ill faring Fle.
ill-fated Daniel conj ill-fare, Craig.
—ill fare,— Cap et cet
41 dead,] oh! dead Han indeed Anon.
ap. Cam
caft off,] cast off! Var '73

royalty to the last thread of life, and are sorry to be under the necessity of observing that our Author has not displayed his usual force of genius in what the expiring monarch says, his speeches are too figurative for one in great pain, he resigns his breath too in a manner very unfavourable for stage action, though a most abandoned politician, not one pang of a guilty conscience is mentioned, which even in the midst of distraction seldom fails to show itself

- 34 It would not out at windowes Moberly As the gypsies in Guy Mannering hold that no one can die with the door shut [The passage to which Moberly refers is as follows 'At once three or four men, ruffians in appearance and dress, rushed into the hut "Meg, ye limb of Satan, how dare you leave the door open?" was the first salutation of the party "And whoever heard of a door being barred when a man was in the dead-thraw?-how d'ye think the spirit was to get awa through bolts and bars like thae?"'-(Chap XXVII, near end) On this the author has the following note: 'The popular idea that the protracted struggle between life and death is painfully prolonged by keeping the door of the apartment shut was received as certain by the superstitious eld of Scotland But neither was it to be thrown wide open. To leave the door ajar was the plan adopted by the old crones who understood the mysteries of deathbeds and lykewakes. In that case there was room for the imprisoned spirit to escape, and yet an obstacle, we have been assured, was offered to the entrance of any frightful form which might otherwise intrude itself' (See also Brand Popular Antiquities, ed Ellis, 11, 231)—There is, possibly, a reference in the present line to this superstition, but if this were in the King's mind the simple opening of doors and windows would have satisfied him quite as well as being brought into the open air The reasons given for his removal were that the cooler air would allay the heat of his fever -ED
- 38 Parchment...against...fire] BAGLEY (p 316) compares, Alphonsus, Chapman, 1654 'Mine entrails shrink together like a scroll of burning parchment.'—IV, in
- 41. Poyson'd] CHESNEY (p. 158) The case of King John bears a much closer analogy to a case wherein the hand of nature has been instrumental in saturating the system with poison, than does it to one in which 'a villainous monk' had been the instrument. Miasmatic exhalations had no doubt wrought the evil in this case. To this must be added the fact that he had been sick before, as will be

And none of you will bid the winter come

42

42-47 Mnemonic Warb

remembered by his language on the battlefield In the most deadly forms of pernicious fever there is no symptom so horrible to the patient as this sense of burning heat, this is his agonising torment when he is pulseless and his skin is icy coldnay his breath is even cold, and his surface as blue and lifeless as the body of him who already tenants the grave,—the thermometer showing at the same time a great reduction in the normal temperature of the patient's body, whilst the oppressive internal congestions make him clamor for air, air, bring him to the window, door .- into the yard, orchard, anywhere so that he may have air! and the exclamation often is, 'O' that I had a river of cold water running through me! I am burning up' In all these malarial cases an unbearable burning sensation or pain in the stomach is one of the most distressing concomitants. Hence the exclamation 'Bid the winter come to thrust his icy fingers in my maw' He had been sick a time before his last severe illness, and withal inhabited a marshy district, between the discharge of two considerable rivers—the Wash and the Humber, where the surface is so low that the ocean has in many places to be kept at bay by dikes Moors and fen-lands characterise Lincolnshire today, after all the efforts with money and labor to reclaim it from the sea, and when we go back to the twelfth century, we ought surely to find it as malarial as the Pontine marsh of Italy or the sloughs of our own Mississippi -- Moyes (p 54) There is some internal evidence to show that arsenic was the poison administered to King John ... The delirium is a rare symptom, though one not unknown, occurring in three out of twenty-five cases analyzed by Dr Guy (Forensic Medicine, p. 447). The extreme debility, the thirst, the burning of the mouth and throat symptomatic of arsenical poisoning find their adequate literary expression in this passage -- Wainwright (p. 20) Here is a perfect description of arsenical poisoning

41 Poyson'd, ill fare] THEOBALD, rejecting quite properly Pope's needless change (see Text Notes), says 'Considering how much our Author lov'd and has practised the jungle and play on words similar in sound, there is no question but he intended it here So in 2 Henry VI "Sheriff farewell, and better than I fare" -[II, 1v, 100] And in 3 Henry VI "How now, fair lords? What fare? What news abroad "-[II, 1, 95] Ill fate both takes away the antithesis, and makes a very flat, insipid exclamation '-- CAPELL (I, pt 11, p. 130) describes Pope's change and Hanmer's supplementary syllable as 'wild corrections,' both corrections showing that 'neither [Pope nor Hanmer] had any conception of the length of that "fare," or of its beauty so lengthen'd and yet the beauty is striking, and the lengthening has several parallels in words that still remain in some places even in their copies, notwithstanding the extraordinary diligence which they both of them us'd to root out all of the sort.'-MALONE, WALKER (Vers, 139), and ABBOTT (§ 480) agree with Capell, whom, however, they do not quote, but STEEVENS, commenting on Malone's note, says. 'As this word ["fare"] has not concurring vowels in it, like hour or fair, nor was ever dissyllabically spelt (like fier) faer, I had rather suppose the present line imperfect than complete it by such unprecedented means '-[The careful reader or actor need but repeat this line slowly to grasp at once the effect of a series of gasps produced by the skilful arrangement of labials and dentals-'Poison'd,' 'dead,' 'forsook,' 'cast off' The lengthening of a monosyllable into a dissyllable may be necessary for the finger-counting metrists,

43

To thrust his ycie fingers in my maw,

but seems quite unnecessary here and, as FLEAY remarks, is, moreover, very harsh—ED]

42-47 bid the winter come.. And comfort me with cold] Davies (Dram Miscellanies (1, 105) Mr Seward, in the Preface to his edition of Beaumont and Fletcher [p xxxiv], prefers the poisoning of Alphonso, in their play of A Wife for a Month, to [the present scene in King John] The ment of that scene [Act IV, sc iv] is great, but the authors have surely said more than was necessary. It is true, their images correspond with the subject, and their lines in general are worked up to great perfection, but the situation would not admit of such diffusion, or such nice descriptions of heat and cold, with their several attributes. Shakespeare knew human nature better than these his imitators and envious rivals. He knew where to stop. Their heads were at work with fancy, while his heart was busy in its feelings. One speech of Alphonso, in the Wife for a Month, [compared with this] from Shakespeare's King John, will perhaps convince the reader that I do not wrong the celebrated dramatic twins.

'Give me more air, air, more air, blow, blow!

Open, thou eastern gate, and blow upon me!

Distil thy cold dews, O thou icy moon,

And, rivers, run through my afflicted spirit!

I am all fire, fire, fire! The raging dog-star

Reigns in my blood! Oh! which way shall I turn me!

Ætna and all his flames burn in my head

Fling me into the Ocean, or I perish

Dig, dig, dig, till the springs fly up;

The cold, cold springs, that I may leap into 'em,

And bathe my scorch'd limbs in their purling pleasures.

Or shoot me up into the higher region,

Where treasures of delicious snow are nourish'd

And banquets of sweet hail!'—[ed. Dyce, vol ix, p. 364,

where he who so desires may read two more pages of similar wealth of imagery on the subject of cold, ice, and snow, after which, if he be not in agreement with Davies, he may at least subscribe to the critic's final remark, that 'This is not the language of a man in extreme pain'—Steevens also calls attention to the imitation of the present scene by Beaumont and Fletcher—ED]

42, 43. bid the winter.. thrust his youe fingers] Steevens Dekker, in The Gull's Hornbook, 1609, has the same thought '—the morning . waxing cold, thrust his frosty fingers into thy bosome '—[Old Book Collector's Miscellany, 11, 25]. Again, in a pamphlet entitled, The Great Frost, Cold Doings in London, 1608 'The cold hand of winter is thrust into our bosoms '—[Social England Illustrated, English Garner, new ed, p. 174.—WRIGHT pertinently remarks 'Shakespeare was probably not the first to invent the phrase, and these examples are not necessarily imitations of the present passage '—ED]—Malone quotes, as parallel in thought and expression, a passage from an old play entitled Lust's Dominion, printed in 1657, the authorship of which he assigns to Marlowe. The lines are as follows

'O I am dull, and the cold hand of sleep Hath thrust his icy fingers in my breast And made a frost within me'—[Hazlitt's Dodsley, vol xiv, p. x36]. Nor let my kingdomes Riuers take their course
Through my burn'd bosome nor intreat the North
To make his bleake windes kisse my parched lips,
And comfort me with cold. I do not aske you much.
I begge cold comfort and you are so straight
And so ingratefull, you deny me that
Hen Oh that there were some vertue in my teares,
That might releeue you
Iohn The salt in them is hot
Within me is a hell, and there the posson 53

46 parched parched Dyce, Fle Huds
11, Words
47 I you | I ask not Pope, Theob
Han Warb I do not ask Vaughan
48 ftraight | strait Pope et seq
49 ingrateful | ungrateful F4, Rowe,
51 you | you! Theob et seq
52 in | of Ff, Rowe,+, Cap Varr
Rann

—Collier on this replies that Malone was mistaken in attributing this play to Marlowe, as 'the historical portion of the incidents did not occur until five years after Marlowe's death' Collier (History of Dramatic Poetry, 111, 96) gives reasons for attributing Lust's Dominion to Dekker, Haughton, and Day, he thus concludes his note on the present line 'Shakespeare's King John was indisputably written before 1598, and Lust's Dominion was probably not produced until 1600, so that although the authors of that play may have copied Shakespeare, there can be no pretence for saying that he imitated them '—Malone also compares Marlowe, Tamburlaine, 1591 'O, poor Zabina, O my queen, my queen, Fetch me some water for my burning breast, To cool and comfort me with longer date '—Pt 1, Act V, so 11 (ed Dyce, p 103)—[Is 1t, however, necessary to seek further than the corresponding scene and passage in The Troublesome Raigne for the source of a poetic figure, which is, after all, not so complicated as to be beyond the compass of Shakespeare's inventive faculty (See Appendix, Troublesome Raigne, p. 533—ED)

48 cold comfort] WRIGHT In Rich II Gaunt's death-scene is full of this trifling with words—MARSHAIL. For another instance of the use by Shakespeare of 'cold comfort,' in the same sense of poor comfort, as we use the phrase, see Tam. of Shrew, IV, 1, 32. 'or shall I complain on thee to our mistress, whose hand, she being now at hand, thou shalt soon feel to thy cold comfort'

48 straight] Steevens. That is, narrow, avaricious; an unusual sense of the word—Wright Cotgrave has 'Chichement, Miserably, niggardly, hardly couetously, with a strait, or a close hand.'—[Steevens is, perhaps, right in remarking that this is an unusual sense of this word—the present line is the only one wherein Shakespeare uses it with this meaning—Bradley (N. E. D., s. v. strait, III, 15) marks it as obsolete in this sense, and gives seven examples ranging from 1290 to 1628, among which the present line is quoted—ED.]

53-55 Within me...blood Compare Jonson Volpone, 'But angry Cupid bolting from her eyes, Hath shot him self into me like a flame; Where, now, he flings about his burning heat, As in a furnace an ambitious fire, Whose

vent is stopt. The fight is all within me.'—II, it (ed Gifford, vol 111, 221)—ED

60, 61 tackle... shrowds] WRIGHT Compare 3 Henry VI V, 1v, 18, where the same nautical metaphor is found even in greater detail 'The friends of France our shrouds and tacklings'

66 module] Bradley (N E D, s v module) The earliest uses in English seem to be based directly on Latin senses not found in French, and also to show confusion of the word with model, 2 c A mere image or counterfeit [The present line quoted, also 'Come bring forth this counterfeit module,' All's Well, IV, iii, 114, and Du Bartas, II, iv, III Schisme, 492 'This Childe (no Man, but Man's pale Module now)'—Dyce (ed ii) notes that the present passage and that from All's Well, quoted above, are the only two passage wherein the Folio has this form of the word, but in all other passages the word is spelt model—Malone's remark that 'module' and model 'were only different modes of spelling the same word' seems, therefore, to be erroneous, due to lack of observation. He quotes as an example 'Dear copy of my-husband! Oh let me kiss thee! (kissing picture) How like him is this model?'—The London Prodigal, 1605 [This is, however, open to objection for two reasons: it is not a parallel example to this line in King John, and, secondly, it is not from The London Prodigal, but from The Puritan Widow, 1607, Act I, sc. i, ll 134, 135—ED]

75

Where heaven he knowes how we shall answer him 68 For in a night the best part of my powre, As I vpon advantage did remoue, 70 Were in the Washes all vnwarily, Deuoured by the vnexpected flood You breath these dead newes in as dead an eare My Liege, my Lord: but now a King, now thus.

Euen so must I run on, and euen so stop.

68 heauen] Heav'n Rowe,+ (-Var '73). God Words

71 Washes all Dyce, Hal Cam +, Words Neils Washes all, F4, Rowe, Pope, Han Washes, all Theob et cet 72 Denoured devoured Dyce, Fle Huds 11, Words

flood | flood. [King sinks in his chair, and expires Capell flood [The King dies Rowe et cet. 73. breath] Ff, Rowe, Cap breathe

Pope et cet

73 eare] ear, F4 Rowe,+ ear Var '73 et seq

74 Liege, my Lord King, Ff, Rowe Liegel my Lord!- king, Cap Dono Liege' my Lord!- king, Coll Del Wh 1, Huds 11, Rlfe Liegel my Lord! king, Cam Glo Cla Wh 11, Neils liege, my lord King, Fle Liegel my Lord! - King, - Pope et cet 75 Euen euen] Ev'n ev'n Pope, Theob Han. Warb Johns Fle

68 heaven he knowes] WALKER (Crit, i, 215) quotes this line as an evident example of the change of the word 'God' to 'heauen' in conformity with the Act to Restrain Abuses connected with the Stage-James I (1605-06), cap 21 -ED

60 in a night! For other examples of this construction, where 'a' is used for one, see ABBOTT, § 81

70 vpon aduantage] WRIGHT That is, seizing a favourable opportunity

72 vnexpected flood] Collier (Notes & Emend, p 213) We are not told in any of the old copies when he dies, but those words are written [by the MS Corrector] in the margin, just after the Bastard has concluded his statement of the loss of 'the best part of his power.'-[Do not Salisbury's words render any such stage-direction quite superfluous here?-Oechelhauser's stage business is as follows 'The King suddenly starts up Then slowly sinks back and dies Prince Henry throws himself down beside the King, weeping The Abbey bell is tolled slowly. The bystanders show themselves deeply moved '-ED -BUCKNILL (Mad Folk, etc., p. 286) In all the deaths of all the plays, a long bill of mortality indeed, there is only one instance in which all the horrors of a bad end are laid bare, namely, in that of Cardinal Beaufort. In King John's death physical anguish alone is expressed, and this with such beauty and force of language as to veil the foul reality of death by a corrosive poison [For an interesting and dramatic account of John's last hours and death, see Miss Norgate's John Lackland, pp. 281-286]

74 but now a King, now thus WRIGHT: Compare, 'And in a word, but even now worth this, And now worth nothing.'-Mer. of Ven , I, i, 35

75. euen so stop MADDEN (p 200, foot-note) The technical meaning of 'the stop,' as the end of a swift career [a swift gallop with a sudden stop] was often present to Shakespeare's mind Read in this light, the word 'stop' acquires a new significance, as when Prince Henry says of a king's career 'Even so must I run on, and even so stop.'

What furety of the world, what hope, what stay, When this was now a King, and now is clay? Bast Art thou gone so? I do but stay behinde,	76
To do the office for thee, of reuenge,	
And then my foule shall waite on thee to heauen,	80
As it on earth hath bene thy feruant still	
Now, now you Starres, that moue in your right fpheres,	
Where be your powres? Shew now your mended faiths,	
And instantly returne with me againe.	
To push destruction, and perpetual shame	85
Out of the weake doore of our fainting Land	
Straight let vs feeke, or straight we shall be fought,	
The Dolphine rages at our verie heeles.	
Sal It feemes you know not then fo much as we,	
The Cardinall Pandulph is within at rest,	90
Who halfe an houre fince came from the Dolphin,	-

76, 77 In margin Pope, Han 80, 81 Om Dono 80 heauen] Heav'n Rowe, + (—Var. '73) 82-107 Om Bell 82. right] bright Pope, Han Warb Johns

87 Straight straight] Strait strait
Pope,+
88 Dolphine] Dolphin F₃F₄, Wh.
Ktly, Fle Dauphin Rowe et cet
91 Dolphin] Ff, Wh Ktly, Fle
Dauphin Rowe et cet.

76 stay] C & M. Cowden Clarke: The way in which Shakespeare uses the word 'stay' in this passage—to express 'a point of reliance,' 'an available support'—may serve to aid in illustrating his use of the word in 'Here's a stay,' I, 11, 379.

82, 83 you Starres . . your mended faiths] Delius The Barons but lately returned to their allegiance are stars which now circle in their proper paths -DAWSON You nobles that have returned to your allegiance give proof of your fidelity.—WRIGHT, taking the first part of this sentence as an apostrophe to the stars governing the King's destiny, interprets the 'mended faiths' as referring to 'John's fortune [which] had broken faith with him.'—Page likewise so takes it, but C & M CLARKE and DEIGHTON accept the interpretation of Delius, on Wright's note Ivor John remarks 'I cannot agree with Wright. . It seems to me quite evident that "Plantagenet" is talking to the nobles, "stars that now move in your right spheres (which you had left awhile) where are your men? Show your returned allegiance by marching with me upon the foe at once" Pope also misunderstood the passage, or he could never have read bright for "right" [The concluding lines of the Bastard's speech show that Wright strangely misinterpreted this passage, and that the explanation of Delius and his followers is unquestionably correct -Ep 1

90 Pandulph] WRIGHT Shakespeare's authority is still the old play. It was Cardinal Gualo, or Guala Bicchien, who really interfered betweed John and his French invaders.

92
95
100
103

92 of our] of fair Roderick 96 well finew'd] well-sinewed Cap. well sinewed Dyce, Fle Words Huds 11. our] our own Coll. MS Words. 97. 'tis] Ff, Rowe, Sta. Neils it is Pope et cet 99. fea side] Sea-side Ff. et seq

08 many carriages] KNIGHT illustrates this line with an engraving from a MS. written at the close of the fourteenth century appearing in Markland's history of carriages in England (Archalogia, vol xx) wherein is shown a two-wheeled car, covered by an elaborate canopy, drawn by one horse, and containing but one passenger, presumably a royal personage —HALLIWELL reproduces Knight's illustration and note, adding thereto a minute description by Fairholt of the royal char, which seems somewhat unnecessary with a picture before the reader Now, with all deference to both Knight and Halliwell, it may be questioned why Salisbury should state that the dispatch of any number of such vehicles to the sea-side would be evidence that the Dauphin was abandoning his projected invasion. The term 'carriages' is, I think, used here not in the sense of a vehicle for passengers, or simply as baggage, as Moore Smith suggests, but in its technical sense as applied to the baggage and impedimenta of an army -Murray (N. E D) under this head quotes, 1598 Grenewey Tacitus Annals, I, xi, p 21, ['after them followed the first legion] the carrages inclosed in the middest' And 1611, Speed Hist Gt. Brit., IX, iv, 43 'The King .. had sent his maine Army to conduct the Carriages' (The first of these extracts is, by the way, almost contemporaneous with the present play) With this meaning of the word Salisbury says. The Dolphin has sent back much of his military equipment to the sea-side, and left the settlement of the terms of peace to the Cardinal -ED.

og. cause and quarrell RUSHTON (Sh. & the Lex Scripta, p. 93) compares. 'methinks I could not die anywhere so contented as in the king's company his cause being just and his quarrel honourable.'—Henry V· IV, i, 130, and thinks that since both Salisbury and King Henry connect the word 'quarrel' with the word 'cause,' that the former is used by both in its legal sense as given by Coke: '—as to this word queralus it is to be known that quarrels extend not only to actions as well real as personal... but also to causes of action and suits ... So that by release of all quarrels, not only actions depending in suit, but causes of action and suit also are released.'—Coke on Littleton, 292, a.

Bast Let it be so, and you my noble Prince, With other Princes that may best be spar'd, Shall waite vpon your Fathers Funerall.

105

Hen. At Worster must his bodie be interr'd, For so he will'd it.

108

104 fo,] Ff, Rowe so; Pope,+, Neils. so — Coll Del Sta Wh 1, Ktly, Fle Rlfe, Dono. Craig so;— Cap et cet 105 Princes] nobles Elze (Athen, 22 June, 1867)
107 At] As Cap (misprint)
Worster] Worcester F₃F₄.

Princel lord Cartwright

TIOS Princes Walker (Crit, 1, 293) Scarcely right, for although Salisbury, Bigot, &c, are called 'princes' below, 1 124, and so Henry V '—Brothers both Commend me to the princes in our camp,' IV, i, 24, the 'lords of England,' as they are called just below, 1 30, yet in the present passage the case is different [This is given by Walker, among many other examples, where he suspects an error through the printer's having caught and repeated a word from a preceding line.—ED [—CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note XXXI) The error may be in the word 'prince,' for which it would be easier to suggest a substitute than for 'princes' As an illustration of the facility with which such mistakes may be made we may mention that Sidney Walker himself, quoting this play, IV, 111, 48, 49, 'Could thought without this object Form such another?' wrote inadvertantly 'such object'—Wright If any change were made it would rather be that of 'Prince' [1 104] to King

107, 108 At Worster . For so he will'd it] '[King John] being then asked by the abbat of Croxton, where he would wish to be buried in case he should die, he answered, "To God and St Wolston I commend my body and soul" After this, on the night next after St Luke the Evangelist's day [October 10], he departed this life, having reigned eighteen years and a half, his body was dressed in royal robes and carried to Worcester, and was there buried honourably in the Cathedral church by the bishop of that place '-Roger of Wendover, vol 11, p 378 -Steevens A stone coffin, containing the body of King John, was discovered in the cathedral church of Worcester, July 17, 1797 - HALLIWELL The original interment of King John took place before the altar of the Blessed Virgin in the Lady's Chapel, between the sepulchres of the Saints Oswald and Wulstan. The royal coffin when in this locality was probably let into the floor, the top of it being level with the pavement, the sculptured figure of the king, the effigy now on the tomb, being placed on the coffin as its covering In the reign of Henry VIII the king's sepulchre was removed from the Lady's Chapel to the upper end of the choir near the altar, when the coffin was placed on the pavement and a tomb erected over it to support the effigy. The memory of the ancient interment is preserved by the small statues of Oswald and Wulstan, each swinging a censer, which are placed in a recumbent position one on each side of the king's head John holds a sceptre in his right hand, and in his left is a sword, the point of which enters the mouth of a lion which lies at his feet. The coffin was opened in the year 1797, and the body of the king was found on examination to have been interred in a dress like that represented on the effigy, excepting that on the skull in the coffin was found to be the celebrated monk's cowl in which, as a passport through the regions of purgatory, he is recorded to have been buried. The circumstance [of his willing his body to St Wulstan] is not menBast. Thither shall it then,

And happily may your sweet selfe put on

The lineall state, and glorie of the Land,

To whom with all submission on my knee,

I do bequeath my faithfull services

And true subjection everlastingly.

Sal. And the like tender of our love wee make

To rest without a spot for evermore

Hen. I have a kinde soule, that would give thankes,

And knowes not how to do it, but with teares

Bast. Oh let vs pay the time: but needfull woe,

III. Land,] Ff, Rowe, Pope. land; Fle land' Theob et cet

II2 whom. fubmission knee,] Ff, Rowe, Pope whom, submission... knee, Theob Warb Johns whom... submission, knee Cam +, Neils. whom... submission, knee, Han. et cet

II6. [kneeling too, with the other

Lords Cap They kneel Coll. 11
117 grue thankes] Ff, Coll Hal
give thanks to you Ktly fain give thanks
Cam Edd conj give you thanks Rowe
et cet.
118 it, but I it but FiF4, Rowe

119. time] time Rowe et seq

tioned in the old play, and is questioned by Holinshed, but it is a fact that was derived by Shakespeare from some other source, for there is no doubt of its correctness—[Rolfe notes that the effigy of King John 'is the earliest sculptured representation of an English monarch that remains in the country.'—Holinshed, alone among the chroniclers, mentions the monk's cowl as a part of King John's burial costume, and on this point thus comments 'For the manner was at that time in such sort to burie their Nobles and great men, who were induced by the imaginations of monks and fond fansies of freers to believe, that the said cowle was an amulet or defensative to their soules from hell and hellish hags, how or in whatsoever sort they died, either in sorrow and repentence for sinne, or in blasphemie, outrage, impatiencie or desperation '—vol iii, p. 195, col b 10—ED]

113. bequeath Rushton (Sh's Testamentary Language, p. 23), in regard to this somewhat unusual use of this word, quotes from several of the old law-writers of Shakespeare's day, in order to show that during and after that time the words 'devise' and 'bequeath' were 'applied indifferently to both real and personal property.'—ED.

115. And the like tender] BOSWELL-STONE (p. 75). The revival of patriotic feeling, which placed Henry III. on the throne, is exhibited when Faulconbridge and Salisbury—the dramatic characters who severally represent the royalist and baronial parties—unite in proffering allegiance to their youthful sovereign ['There is,' remarks Delius, 'here lacking a stage-direction, that the lords kneeling offer their allegiance to the young king '—As though in answer to this, Oechelhauser gives the following: 'All kneel in homage to the Prince, at the same time the rising sun shines forth.'—Ep.].

119, 120 Oh let vs...our greefes] MALONE Let us now indulge in sorrow, since there is abundant cause for it. England has been long in a scene of confusion and its calamities have anticipated our tears. By those which we now shed we

Since it hath beene before hand with our greefes. This England neuer did, nor neuer shall Lye at the proud foote of a Conqueror, But when it first did helpe to wound it selfe Now, these her Princes are come home againe, Come the three corners of the world in Armes,

120

125

120 before hand] before-hand Rowe is et seq 121 This] Thus Han Warb nor neuer shall] and never shall Pope, Han In parentheses Var '85, Rann, Mal Steev Varr Sing Ktly, Words
125 Armes, arms! Pope

only pay her what is her due —Steevens I believe the plain meaning of the passage is this As previously we have found sufficient cause for lamentation, let us not waste the present time in superfluous sorrow —Wright Let us only indulge in such sorrow as is due to the occasion [The order of words in the foregoing could but mean that they alone were to indulge, etc., but this is, I think, due to inadvertance, without doubt what Wright intended to say was, Let us indulge in such sorrow only as is due, etc.—Ed.]—Moore Smith Let us not pay more than necessary sorrow to the present occasion, since it has made us pay in advance

121. This] HEATH (p 232) I cannot see the least necessity for the alteration [by Hanmer, see Text Notes] Rather there is some impropriety in the particle Thus, as it implies a reference of similitude to something preceding, though in truth no such correspondence is to be found. I am not, however, ignorant that this reference may possibly be understood to be to the event which terminates the play.

124 Now, these . . . home againe] CAMBRIDGE EDD (Note XXXII) Mr Lloyd suspects that this line is spurious, 'A compliment to Steenie and Baby Charles, who came back from Madrid in the year that the first edition of King John was published, and thrust in by the editors, or perhaps by the actors, in place of a line of similar purport but less applicable.'

125. the three corners of the world BARNARD. England in the Middle Ages was not regarded as an island, but rather as a kind of alter orbis with reference to the Continent, much as we look at Australia . . The meaning then may be, Europe, Asia, and Africa, versus England, the last being the fourth quarter, or 'corner' (compare perhaps I, ii, 32) of the globe But it is possible Shakespeare may have had in his mind's eye some of the old maps which represent the Spanish peninsula as turning up at an acute angle to the west coast of France, and so enclosing the southern part of Ireland. The Scandinavian invasions of England, the Norman Conquest, and the attempt of the Spanish Armada, the three chief events since the Anglo-Saxon settlement of Britain, would thus seem to have come from the three countries which presented salient angles towards Britain, 'the three corners of the world.' They are defied, should they all again come and all at once Or the notion of 'the three corners of the world' may have been suggested by the Pope, France, and Spain [as in the concluding lines of The Troublesome Raigne, see note by Steevens, below].—Page: That is, all the world It is not clear why Shakespeare speaks of 'three corners.' In the Authorised Version [we find] 'He shall . gather together . . . the dispersed of Judah from the four corners of the earth.'—I sarah, xi, 12. The four corners would mean east, west, north, south. Perhaps Shakespeare considered that England had no enemies to fear from the west, the ocean. [This

[127 If England to it selfe, do rest but true]

to have been borrowed from these two lines of the old play 'Let England live but true within itself, And all the world can never wrong her state '-Steevens 'Brother, brother, we may be both in the wrong' This sentiment might originate from A Discourse of Rebellion, drawne forth for to warne the Wanton Wittes how to kepe their Heads on their Shoulders, by T Churchyard, 1570 'O Britayne bloud, marke this at my desire—If that you sticke together as you ought This lytle vie may set the world at nought '--REED shows that this sentiment may be traced still higher by quoting from Borde's Fyrst Boke of the Introduction of Knowledge (temp Henry VIII) 'if they [the English] were true wythin themselves, they nede not to feare although al nacions were set against them ' [Reed also gives a couplet from Furmus Troes, 1633, wherein this sentiment is also expressed, but the late date makes it likely that the writer had the present lines in mind As Shakespeare is here evidently paraphrasing a line in The Troublesome Raigne it seems hardly a profitable task to seek the original author's inspiration—ED]—F Victor Hugo (iii, 468) On reading the apostrophe, with which this play concludes, it is difficult not to see therein an allusion to contemporary events In my opinion, this apostrophe was inspired by the threats of the Catholic coalition against heretic England This opinion, which I am surprised at being the first to express, is completely confirmed by the concluding line of the older play —GERVINUS (p 371) In the opinion of the Poet, as well as of Faulconbridge, no foreign policy and no hostile sword should heal domestic wounds Hearty unity with a natural enemy is of no value to him, and the national discontent at the league with foreign propaganda, though it may be formed even against tyranny and arbitrariness at home, is to him a sight full of ignominy and dishonour A lesson grandly inculcated upon us Germans, who will have no state, nor politics, nor common nationality, nor public welfare until we understand how to apply to ourselves the conclusion of this play, which is at the same time the soul of it -Moberly: The play ends by striking once more its key-note of patriotism and determined resistance to invasion, however formidable



APPENDIX

THE TEXT

THE LIFE AND DEATH OF KING JOHN appeared for the first time in the Folio of 1623, where it occupies pp 1-22 in the division of that volume containing the histories On November 8, 1623, Jaggard & Blount placed on the Stationers' Registers a list of plays which 'were not formerly entered to other men' as the required entry of Shakespeare's collected works, but King John is missing from this list, and an exhaustive search of the Registers has thus far failed to bring to light any entry of Shakespeare's play In regard to the omission from Jaggard & Blount's list Halliwell opines 'Unless, as was probably the case, the omission was accidental there may either have been a previous entry of the play to some other publisher, although such entry is not now to be found in the register, or the copyright of King John belonged to one of the publishers whose general rights had been purchased by Jaggard & Blount' The text as it has come to us is singularly free of corruptions. The most notable exception being Act I, 1, 249, 250, for which, as yet, no entirely satisfactory emendation has been proposed The heading Actus Ouartus, Scana prima, repeated as the heading to Actus Ouintus is of minor importance.

LIST OF EMENDATIONS ADOPTED IN THE TEXT OF THE CAMBRIDGE EDITION

This list does not include Stage directions, divisions into metrical lines, mere punctuation, such as an ! into an ?, or changes of spelling. The Four Folios are considered as one text. The lines are numbered according to the Text in the present volume.

In the following passages—

Pope amends 'Could get me sir'... to Could he get me? Sir.—I, i, 250. Rowe amends 'Ace' to Ate—II, i, 67.

Theobald amends 'Alcides shooes' to Alcides' shows.—II, i, 154

Theobald amends 'Angiers' to Anyou—II, 1, 162

Capell amends 'Comfort yours' to Confronts your—II, i, 231.

Tyrwhitt amends 'Kings of our feare' to King'd of our fears.—II, 1, 392

Theobald amends 'tast' to task.—III, i, 80

Theobald amends 'cased' to chafed—III, i, 195.

Dyce amends 'race' to ear—III, 11, 42 (Cam ii.).

Rowe amends 'fiends' to friends.—III, 1v, 68.

Heath amends 'warm of' to of warm.—V, ii, 62.

Theobald amends 'vn-heard' to unhair'd.—V, ii, 139. Theobald amends 'endles' to eyeless—V, v1, 18.

Rowe amends 'Symet' to cygnet -V, vu, 25.

DATE OF COMPOSITION

The following passage from Meres's Palladis Tamia, Wits' Treasury, 1598, has been often quoted, nevertheless it is here repeated, since it bears upon the present play 'As Plautus and Seneca are accounted the best for comedy and tragedy among the Latines, so Shakespeare among ye English is the most excellent in both kinds for the stage, for Comedy witness his Gentlemen of Verona, his Errors, his Love's Labour's Lost, his Love's Labour's Wonne, his Midsummer Night's Dream, and his Merchant of Venuce; for Tragedy his Richard the 2, Richard the 3, Henry the 4, King John, Titus Andronicus, and his Romeo and Juliet' (Shakspere Allusion Book, pt 1. 150) Malone, whose essay on the Chronological order of Shakespeare's Plays first appeared in the Variorum of 1778, assigns the date 1596 to King John, and places it fourteenth in his list, preceded by Hamlet, Com of Err, Romeo and Juliet, Midsummer Night's Dream, Winter's Tale, Two Gentlemen, Locrine; Pericles, 3 Henry VI, 2 Henry VI, I Henry VI, Love's Labour's, Titus Andronicus In his second revision, which appeared in the Variorum of 1785, the same date, 1596, is given to King John, but this play is placed as twelfth on the list, due simply to the fact that on consideration Malone entirely rejected the two plays, Locrine. No 7. and Pericles, No 6, the order of the others remaining unchanged In his third and final revision, in his edition of 1700. King John still retains the date of 1506, but stands eleventh in the list, preceded by the same plays, but in slightly different order, Titus Andronicus, No 1, being rejected Malone adopted the date 1596 for three reasons (1) As King John is mentioned by Meres in 1508, it could not have been written after that date, though he admits a possibility that Meres may have confused Shakespeare's play with its predecessor. The Troublesome Raigne. (2) The grief of Constance over the loss of Arthur may be the reflection of Shakespeare's own sorrow at the loss of his little son Hamnet who died in August, 1506 (see note on III, 1v, 98-105). (3) The description by Chatillon of the English forces may have been suggested by the grand fleet which was sent against Spain in 1506 (see II, 1, 74-79 and notes) An apparent quotation from The Spanish Tragedy (1586), at II, 1, 147, 148, is used by Malone as a reason for assigning perhaps even an earlier date to King John; and as a limit in the opposite direction the line III, i, 25, 'Like a proud river peering o'er his bounds,' is seemingly imitated by Marston in the Insatiate Countess, 1603 (see note ad loc cit) Malone thus concludes. 'A speech spoken by the Bastard in the Second Act of this tragedy seems to have been formed on one in an old play entitled, The Famous History of Captain Thomas Stukely Captain Stukely was killed in 1578 The drama of which he is the subject was not printed till 1605, but it is in black letter, and, I believe, had been exhibited at least fifteen years before' (See II, 1, 486 and note)

HURDIS. King John is a play in the two first acts of which there are many scenes written in a strain of uncommon majesty, well sustained. The interview between John and Hubert, Act III, in which the death of Arthur is determined, that part of Act IV. which follows the second coronation of the King, and almost the whole of Act V are finely conceived and well executed. This, together with the general correctness of the language, induces me to believe that it was of late composition.

COLERIDGE attempted a classification of the Plays in 1802, he placed The Troublesome Raigne in the First Epoch of Shakespeare's works, together with The London Produgal, Cromwell, Henry VI, parts 1, 2 and 3, first ed, and Edward III. 'All these,' he added, 'are transitional works, not his, yet of him'. In a second attempted classification, 1810, Coleridge placed all the Histories together in a group following the Comedies, and preceded by the four tragedies—Macbeth, Lear, Hamlet, Othello. 'In order,' he said, 'to be able to show my reasons for rejecting some whole plays and very many scenes in others'. In a third and final attempt, 1819, Coleridge placed the present play second in the Second Epoch between Richard II. and Henry VI

G CHALMERS The fact is, that there are many allusions in Shakespeare's King John to the events of 1596, and to some in 1597, though the commentators have not been very diligent to collect them The Pope published a Bull against Elizabeth in 1596, and the Pope's Nuntio made some offers to Henry IV against Queen Elizabeth (Camden in Kennet, 11, p 601) The scene with Pandulph, the papal legate, which alludes to those offers, must, as Johnson remarks, have been, at the time it was written, during our struggles with poverty a very captivating scene The contradictory, shifting policy of England and France, as represented in King John, forms an admirable parody on the adverse, friendly conduct of Elizabeth and Henry IV (Camden in Kennet, 11, p 595) Let the siege of Angiers, in King John, be compared with the loss and recapture of Amiens, in 1597, chiefly by the valour of the English reinforcements, under the gallant Baskerville The altercations between the bastard, Falconbridge, and Austria, while the conduct of the Archduke Albert was so unpopular in England, must have afforded a rich repast to an English audience There is a strong allusion, particularly in the last act, to the quarrel between Essex and Raleigh, which began at Calais, in 1506, and rose to a more remarkable height in 1597 (Camden in Kennet, 11, pp 594, 597) Owing to the many piques among the great, occasioned by the selfish ambition of Essex, the concluding remark of Falconbridge must have been felt and applauded by the auditory 'Nought shall make us rue, If England to itself do rest but true' If to all those imitations we add the remark of Johnson, how much advantage Shakespeare constantly derived from facts then recent, and the passions then in motion, there can no doubt remain but that our Poet's King John must be fixed to the spring-time of 1508 as the true epoch of its original production.

DRAKE (Sh & His Times, ii, 419) accepts 1598 as the date for this play, moved thereto by the arguments of Chalmers, which he considers of greater force than those of Malone for the earlier date King John is sixteenth in Drake's Chronological Table, preceded by Hamlet, 1597, and followed by All's Well, 1598.

TIECK (Schlegel's Trans, 1830, vol iii, p. 339) To all attentive readers of the Poet who are conversant with his language and have made a study of his works, there can be no question but that this play must be one of Shakespeare's later compositions, and could not have been written either in 1590 or 1591 His marvellous skill shows in every part, agility and fastidiousness with surety play with the most intricate turnings and expressions. The character of Faulconbridge alone proclaims the thorough master of his art, who dared to paint in the humor of this hero with so bold a brush. This tragedy could certainly not have been written before 1611; the same year when there appeared another edition of the older, remarkable play, The Troublesome Raigne, bearing on its title-page 'written by W. Sh.' This last is clearly one of Shakespeare's early compositions written certainly before 1589 or 1590.

KNIGHT King John is one of the plays of Shakespeare enumerated by Francis Meres in 1598 We have carefully considered the reasons which have led Malone to fix the date of its composition as 1596, and Chalmers as 1598, and we cannot avoid regarding them as far from satisfactory There can be no doubt that Shakespeare's King John is founded on a former play We cannot understand how Coleridge, at any rate, should have supposed it possible that the two works could be produced by the same pen We must, for our own part, hold to the opinion that the old King John was not either 'his or of him ' The date, then, of this older play of King John, 1591, and the mention of Shakespeare's play, by Meres, in 1598, allow us a range of seven years for the period of the production of this, the first in the order of History of Shakespeare's historical plays Shakespeare's son Hamnet died in August, 1596, at the age of twelve Hence the inspiration, according to Malone, of the deep pathos of the grief of Constance on the probable death of Arthur We doubt this The dramatic poetry of Shakespeare was built upon deeper and broader foundations than his own personal feelings and experiences In the Sonnets, indeed, which are professedly a reflection of himself, we have, as far as we can judge, a key to as much of the character as he chose to disclose of the one man, Shakespeare, but in the plays his sense of individuality is entirely swallowed up in the perfectly distinct individuality of the manifold characters which he has painted From the first to the last of his plays, as far as we can discover, we have no 'moods of his own mind'-nothing of that quality which gives so deep an interest to the poetry of Wordsworth and Byron-and which Byron, with all his genius, could not throw aside in dramatic composition We are, for this reason, not disposed to regard the opinion of Malone upon this point as of much importance. The conjecture is, however, recommended by its accordance with our sympathies, and it stands, therefore, upon a different ground from that absurd notion that Shakespeare drew Lear's 'dog-hearted daughters' with such irresistible truth because he himself had felt the sharp sting of 'filial ingratitude'

VERPLANCK Meres mentions King John in his list of Shakespeare's works before 1508, but, indeed, that weighty evidence is hardly needed to authorize our assigning its production to that second, or-if we admit Pericles and Andronicus to be the Juvenile essays of his muse—to that third period of his literary progress, when, after the success of his first comedies, his style and versification gained a larger freedom, his characters a deeper stamp of truth and individuality, as well as a richer variety, and his exhibitions of emotion, passion, and suffering more minuteness and accuracy, as well as more vividness of painting, while the flowing lines, with a certain regularity of pause—the somewhat diffuse and perspicuous diction—still distinguish his manner quite as much from that of Othello and Lear, as it otherwise varies from that of the Two Gentlemen of Verona In these respects the contrast between the English Historical plays and the Roman tragedies is worthy of notice —the former being more assimilated, in their general poetic tone, to the Merchant of Venice, as the latter are to the great tragic master-pieces of the Author's zenith of power. Yet in both classes alike—the English and Roman histories—in King John, as in Coriolanus, we can trace the same overflowing creative mind which crowded Hamlet and Macbeth and Lear with character, passion, allusion, reason, poetry, until the language bent under the thought of weight and sentiment In King John and its companions these characteristics of style are seen but occasionally, appearing as in their very rudiments, while in the later works they appear in a calmer and moderated exercise, rather as the effect of habit than of effort.

COLLIER (Introduction, p 5) Upon the question, when King John was written by Shakespeare, we have no knowledge beyond the fact that Francis Meres introduces it into his list in 1598. We should be disposed to assign it to a date between 1596 and 1598, when the old King John, which was probably in a course of representation in 1591, had gone a little out of recollection, and when Meres would have had time to become acquainted with Shakespeare's drama from its popularity either at the Globe or Blackfriar's Theatres

HUDSON (Introduction, p 356) Divers attempts have been made to argue the date of the writing from allusions to contemporary matters, respecting which attempts we cannot stop, nor is it worth the while to say more than that they do not really amount to anything at all Some of the German critics, on the other hand, seem altogether out, when, arguing from the internal evidence of style, structure of the verse, tone of thought, and peculiarity of dramatic logic, they refer King John to the same period of the Author's life with The Tempest, Cymbeline, and The Winter's Tale In all these respects it strikes us rather as having something of an intermediate cast between The Two Gentlemen of Verona and The Merchant of Venuce We are persuaded, though we should be troubled to tell why, that it was written some time before the two parts of King Hemy IV The play, especially in the first three acts, has a certain smoothness and fluency of diction, a uniformity of pause, and a regularity of cadence, therewithal, the persons deliver themselves somewhat in the style of set speeches, rather as authors striving for effect, than as men and women stirred by the real passions and interests of life, there is something of a bookish grandiloquent tang in the dialogue, all of which smacks as if the Poet had here written more from what he had read in books, or heard at the theatre, than from what his most prying, quick, and apprehensive ear had overhead of the hitherto unwritten drama of actual and possible men [Hudson is of the opinion that Henry IV was written as early as 1503, it may, therefore, be inferred that he assigns a date of composition for the present play close to that of the first edition of The Troublesome Raigne -ED]

Delius When the internal evidence of style and verse is considered King John belongs to the middle period of Shakespeare's career Dividing the Histories into two groups, the first, consisting of the four-drama cycle Henry VI, Parts 1, 11, and 11, and Richard III, the second group, also a four-drama cycle, consisting of Richard II, Henry IV, Parts 1 and 11, and Henry V, then King John will be between these two groups, but related to the latter cycle more closely than to the earlier, as is shown in this play by every distinguishing mark of Shakespeare's artistic development

R Cartwright (p 183) The Taming of the Shrew was immediately followed by King John, composed most probably during the summer of 1596, whether it was finished or not before the death of Hamnet, in August, matters little, in Constance we have a picture of the maternal sufferings of Shakespeare's wife, anxiously watching the wasting figure of her only son, it may be reasonably inferred such is the case, since no editor pretends the play was produced before 1595, and in no other play has he painted maternal suffering so vividly and forcibly, and yet the anxiety and misery of Constance are in a measure imaginary, she sees in her mind's eye her son wasting away.

R. G. White (Introduction, p. 15). Shakespeare's King John was produced be-

tween 1591 and 1598, and its style of expression and tone of thought, which are marked by somewhat more of maturity than appears in *The Merchant of Venice*, for instance, while they are not yet those of Shakespeare's later period, indicate 1596 as about the date of its production The Folio, the only source of the text, gives it in a state very nearly approaching purity

Halliwell (Introduction, p 336) King John was probably written after 1591, the date of the publication of The Troublesome Raigne, but still it is possible that Shake-speare may have used a play-house copy of that piece, so that a limit in that direction can hardly be determined with certainty. There are no allusions in the play itself that can be safely depended upon as arguments in the question respecting the date of its composition, with the exception perhaps of the reference, in the First Act, to a passage in the tragedy of Solyman and Perseda, which was entered on the books of the Stationers' Company in November, 1592, and was probably then in the commencement of its popularity

STOKES (p 43) The application of the different metrical tests supports as well the early date assumed for this play as the grouping of it with Richard II and Richard III; in addition to the 'feminine-ending test' and the 'weak-ending test,' the absence of prose in these three plays should be noted, while it may be added that there is a remarkable absence of 'classical allusions' in the three plays. The early date of the piece may be seen, too, from the occasional plays-upon-words and conceits in unsuitable places, from the antithetical answers; from the lengthy speeches (for example, the Bastard's soliloquies), and from a certain want of connection throughout. The play should be compared, too, with the two parts of the old King John in order to observe Shakespeare's discrimination and artistic taste, the side of the reign which he has not dramatised should be also noticed

Professor J K Ingram (New Sh Soc Transactions, 1874, p 450) exhibits a table of the plays of Shakespeare arranged in order of the number of lines having light endings, such as the words, am, art, been, etc , and those having weak endings, such as the words, and, as, at, but, by, etc 'From this Table,' says Ingram. 'the following results seem deducible I During the first three-fourths (or thereabouts) of Shakespeare's poetic life he used the light endings very sparingly and the weak endings scarcely at all 2 The last fourth (or thereabouts) is obviously and unmistakeably distinguished from the earlier stages by the very great increase in number of light endings, and, still more, by the first appearance in any appreciable number, and afterwards the steady growth of the weak endings 3 Hence, in any discrimination of periods which is founded on metrical considerations, this last may be called the "weak-ending period."' Ingram's deductions have special reference to the dates of certain of the plays, and therefore need not here be repeated. In the Table King John is eighth in order, having seven light endings, and not any weak endings; standing between Richard III, with four light endings, not any weak endings, and Mer. of Ven., with six light endings and one weak ending.

F. S. PULLING (New Sh. Soc. Trans., 1879, p. 457), on a hint from Professor Ingram, has applied one other form of verse test as a solution of the problem of determining the true chronology of Shakespeare's plays, he says. 'My plan has been to distinguish between single-line and part-line speeches, as well as between those speeches which end with the end of a line, and those which end in the middle of a

line This I have done with respect to twenty of the most important Plays, and the results obtained are, I think, interesting, and none the less so because they, in the main, tend decidedly to confirm the conclusions arrived at by means of the other tests' Pulling entitles this the 'speech-ending test' In his table King John stands fourth, preceded by Richard II, Two Gentlemen, and Com. of Errors, and preceding Romeo and Juliet

Ward (11, 101) Malone's circumstantial evidence [to fix the date of composition in 1596] is not overwhelming, and is by no means irreconcilable with the conclusion with which the tests of versification fairly agree, that the play belongs to the same period of Shakespeare's productivity as Richard II, and may be dated about the same time, probably rather earlier. The general looseness of texture observable in the construction of this play, and its great flow of oratorical speech, point to the same conclusion.

ULRICI (3d ed , 11, 221) Most critics do not place King John earlier than 1596-97 I, for my part, believe that it may have appeared some years earlier. It is true that it contains but few passages in rhyme (as the subject offered no occasion either for lyrical effusions or for the expression of calm contemplative reflection), but these rhymes are often just those very alternate rhymes which are always less frequently met with in Shakespeare's later works The drama is also written wholly in verse, to the exclusion of all prose, but this circumstance I do not consider of any great importance, for in Shakespeare's tragedies and historical dramas (except in the comic scenes introduced) it is invariably only persons from the lower ranks who speak in prose, and such persons and such scenes do not occur in King John Of greater weight, in my opinion, is the generally clear and regular flow of the language, which is still free from complicated similes and constructions, and also the regular, almost monotonous versification with its usually masculine endings. I am therefore inclined to assume that King John may have appeared in 1593-94, that is, in the interval after the completion of the earlier tetralogy of English histories, which comprises the three parts of Henry VI and Richard III, but before the commencement of the later one, which includes Richard II, Henry IV. (1st and 2d parts), and Henry V.

FURNIVALL (Leopold Sh., Introduction, p xli) With this play of pathos and patriotism we open Shakspere's Second Period-looking on Richard II as the last play in which rhyme plays a prominent part, we take the series of Henry VI and Richard III as the transition to the Second Period—and on opening it we are struck with a greater fulness of characterisation and power than we saw in the first-period plays But the whole work of Shakspere is continuous King John is very closely linked with Richard III In both plays we have cruel uncles planning their nephews' murder, because the boys stand between them and the crown In both we have distracted mothers overwhelmed with grief In both we have prophecies of ruin and curses on the murderers, and in both the fulfilment of these. In both we have the Kingdom divided against itself, and the horrors of civil war. In both we have the same lesson of the danger of division taught to the discontented English parties of Shakspere's own day. Richard III. is the example of the misgovernment of a cruel tyrant, King John, of the misgovernment of a selfish coward But in John we have the mother's pathetic lament for her child far developed above that of Queen Elizabeth's for her murdered innocents, and far more touching than the laments of Queen Margaret and the Duchess of York, while the pathos of the stifled children's death is heightened in that of Arthur The temptation scene of John and Hubert repeats that of Richard and Tyrrel The Bastard's statement of his motives 'Gain, be my lord,' etc., is like that of Richard the Third's about his villainy (The Bastard's speech on commodity may be compared with Lucrece's reproaches to opportunity) Besides the boy's pleading for his life, besides his piteous death and the mother's cry for him, which comes home to every parent who has lost a child, we have in the play the spirit of Elizabethan England's defiance to the foreigner and the Pope The rhetoric of the earlier historical plays is kept up in King John, and also Shakespere's power of creating situations, which he had possessed from the first

FLEAY (Introduction, p o) The majority of critics fix the date of production in 1506—rightly This is one of the plays mentioned in Mere's list published in 1508. but probably written not later than 1507 The older play on which it was founded was undoubtedly written with special reference to the attempted Spanish invasion of 1588, and it seems most likely that this play was in like manner written with a view to the second projected Spanish invasion of England in 1595 Hence all allusions in the older play would become equally applicable at the later date. Just as the earlier drama was produced in the year after the first invasion was attempted, so Shakespeare's History was in all likelihood written in the year after the second invasion was frustrated. This is confirmed by the singular appropriateness of the lines (II, 1, 76-79) to the fleet sent against Spain in 1506 This fleet sailed 3d June, and returned, after the sacking of Cadiz and destruction of the Spanish fleet, on 8th August in that year. It is further confirmed by the likelihood that the laments of Constance for Arthur's death were inspired by Shakespeare's sorrow for his heir and only son. Hamnet, whom he lost on 12th August Taking, then, August as one limit of date, the other can be found. Steevens quotes from the Famous History of Thomas Stukeley (V, i)

'Why, here's a gallant, here's a king indeed. He speaks all Mars
Tut, let me follow such a lad as this
This is pure fier, every look he casts
Flasheth like lightening there's mettle in this boy;
He brings a breath that sets our sails on fire
Why, now, I see we shall have cuffs indeed.'

He compares this with II, i, 155-166, and rightly infers that one passage was imitated from the other, but is wrong in making Shakespeare the plagiarist. The copying is palpably on the side of the other writer. Now Stukeley was acted at the Rose by the Admirals Company for the first time on 11th December, 1596, and probably run in opposition to Shakespeare's John. We cannot, then, be far wrong in putting the date of John as about Simon and Jude's Day, 28th October, the usual commencement of the Winter season at the playhouses. If still further confirmation of the argument that Stukeley and John were rival plays be needed, it may be found in the fact that the older play of John had, about 1589, also a rival play on Stukeley run against it by another company. This play was called the Battle of Alcazar, and was written by G. Peele. It was not new when acted by Lord Strange's Company in 1591-2 (February 20th, Henslowe's Diary) When the Chamberlain's Company played Shakespeare's History, it was natural that the Admiral's Company should copy the enemy's tactics and produce a new Stukeley, to match

the new King John A similar reprisal took place in regard to Jeronymo (see Marston's Malcontent, Induction) Thomas Stukeley, while harbored at Philip's Court, was complained of by Elizabeth as a rebel He taught Philip how to make ships of war like the English, and projected an invasion of Ireland which came to nothing Philip did, however, thereafter (in 1577) prepare to invade England with a large fleet. Hence the choice of this subject, as well as that of the reign of John, at times when armadas had been defeated in 1589 and 1598. As the Chamberlain's Company had incorporated or were formed from Lord Strange's in 1594, they would have the repertoire of the latter in their possession, and the play on Stukeley, called by Henslowe Muley Morocco, that is, The Battle of Alcazar, does not appear in connection with the other companies who acted at the Rose.

It seems more reasonable to infer that I, ii, 1-200 and III, ii, 1-10 were inserted hurriedly after the rest of the play had been written This would also account for the confusion in the division into acts and scenes already noticed A reference to the metrical table, which shows only two rhymes in the 200 lines in I, ii, and no rhyme in III, ii, confirms the conjecture, and we consider that the celebrated passage alluding to the English fleet of 1596 (lines 71-75) is also contained in I, ii I feel little doubt that these subsequent insertions were made after Hamnet's death, and that the blunders of Philip for Richard and Lewis for Philip are to be attributed to the confusion caused by grief in Shakespeare's mind None but those who have had to write compulsorily under similar bereavements can tell how errors do creep in at such times That the errors remained uncorrected causes no difficulty, for this play was not printed during Shakespeare's life, and its probable revivals in 1611 and 1622 took place after his retirement from the theatre, according to the most probable chronology, which gives 1611 for the production of his last complete play, the two plays produced afterwards being finished by Fletcher (See Shakes pears an Study, in Collins' Series) The excision of the character of Essex from this play may also have been made after August, 1596, and with the same want of care, which would account for his name being left in the prefix, I, 1, 44.

DOWDEN (Sh's Primer, p 90) As to the date of King John, all that we can assert with confidence is that it lies somewhere between the early histories, Henry VI, Parts I, II, and III, with Richard III, and the group of later histories, the trilogy consisting of I and 2 Henry IV and Henry V. Thus in the historical series it is brought close to Richard II Neither play contains prose, but the treatment of Faulconbridge's part shows more approach to the alliance of a humorous or comic element with history (which becomes complete in Henry IV) than does anything in the play of Richard II. King John and Richard II. have the common characteristics of containing very inferior dramatic work side by side with work of a high and difficult kind The chief point of difference with respect to form is that Richard II contains a much larger proportion of rhymed verse, and, on the whole, we shall perhaps not err in regarding Richard II. as the earlier of the two.

WRIGHT (Clarendon ed, Introduction, p iii): A point which is supposed to have some influence in determining the date of King John is the fancied resemblance of Faulconbridge's speech in II, i. to some lines in the old play of Stukeley, which, although not printed till 1605, is believed by Dyce to be the same as the play of Stewtley, mentioned in Henslowe's Diary as having been acted by the Lord Admiral's Company on the 11th of December, 1596. Anyone who reads the lines which Steevens thought might have been imitated by Shakespeare will be able to form an

opinion as to whether they have anything to do with the question before us I think not But in another speech of the Bastard's, I, 1, 244, 'Knight, knight, good mother, Basilisco-like,' there is a distinct reference to the play of Soliman and Perseda, which was entered at Stationer's Hall, 29 November, 1592 Between this date, therefore, and 1598, when Meres wrote, the composition of King John must be placed, and if we may trust the evidences of style, language, and metre it is probable that we shall not be far wrong in placing it very near Richard II, perhaps rather before than after it, and therefore about the year 1593 or, at any rate, in the period 1593-4

Dawson (Introduction, p v) If with Malone, for example, it is maintained that King John must have been written about August 11th, 1596, because on that day Shakespeare's only son Hamnet was buried, two improbable assumptions are made (1) that Shakespeare's finest lines in this and other plays could only be written from his own personal experience, and (2) that the plays appeared in print in the exact form in which they were first written. On the contrary, it seems much more probable that when the plays were now and again taken out of the repertoire to be acted, such changes were made as seemed appropriate, having special reference to passing events, than that they remained untouched from the time of writing to that of publication in the First Folio of 1623

TEN BRINK (p 80) The second period of Shakespeare's activity extends to the beginning of the seventeenth century, and one of the characteristics which at once distinguishes it from the first concentration The Poet here limits his dramatic productions to two kinds-comedy and historical plays-and he carries both of these forms of art to the highest point of their development. The two works which stand at the head of this period—The Taming of the Shrew and King John—owe their elaboration only to Shakespeare, and not their rough outlines—a proof of his growing appreciation of art, as well as of his increased estimation in the world of letters Both works show in a striking manner how the Poet, now in the plenitude of his youthful strength and manhood, delighted in moral worth in uncouth, nay, in coarse, forms We meet characters of a more refined, more ideal type in The Merchant of Venuce, whose central figure is the high-spirited Portia, with the sinister but imposing figure of Shylock as a contrast But the thought which runs through the first two works—that it is not outward show and appearance, but genuine worth, that tells-is here again dwelt upon with great emphasis, and strikingly symbolized.

Boas (Sh. & his Predecessors, p 238) King John in its main object recalls Ruchard III, while the character of Constance anticipates that of Richard III. It resembles Ruchard III. also in the prominence given to rivalries of women, but the grouping is less studiously monumental, and the curious strophic balance of lamentation has disappeared. The blank verse is still overloaded with rhetoric, which has, however, lost the peculiar lurid tinge of the earlier play. Rhyme is almost entirely confined to the pithy rejoinders and epigrams of the Bastard, in whose person the element of popular humor enters for the first time an entirely Shakesperean historical play. There is as yet, however, no hint of the use of prose as the fittest vehicle for this humour. Thus the internal evidence stamps King John as a link between the earlier and later Histories, and it may be assigned to about the year 1595

GOLLANCZ (Temple Sh) From internal evidence King John belongs to the same

group as Richard II and Richard III, especially in the characteristic absence of prose The large amount of rhyme in Richard II makes it, in all probability, anterior to King John The play may safely be dated 1595

Garnett and Gosse (Illust Hist of English Lit, 11, 210) Critics have unanimously fixed the date of King John at from 1594 to 1596 We feel little doubt that it was produced in the summer of 1595 It is manifestly a celebration of Elizabeth's successful defence of her Kingdom against Pope and Spaniard, heightened by contrast with the failure of John, and combined with an earnest appeal to the writer's countrymen for patriotic service in the face of pressing danger. This danger can be nothing but the Spanish invasion, the dread of which kept the whole kingdom astir through the greater part of 1595, when the Spaniards actually did effect a landing in Cornwall.

HERFORD (Eversley ed) The definite limits of the date of King John are as follows (1) The older play upon which Shakespeare founded his History-The Troublesome Reign of King John-cannot be earlier than c 1587, for its sounding rhetoric and facile blank verse as well as the explicit language of the preface proclaim it to have been inspired by Marlowe It was printed in 1501 (2) Shakespeare's King John is mentioned by Francis Meres in 1598 But these wide limits admit of being considerably narrowed Of the ten histories, six can be dated with some certainty 2 and 3 Henry VI and Richard III. are fixed by Greene's diatribe to 1592-3, I and 2 Henry IV and Henry V by the Essex allusion in Henry V, chorus v, to 1598-9 Far more clearly than Richard II, King John belongs to The absence of prose, the rarity of rhyme, the approximation to tragedy, connect it with the earlier, Marlowesque group, the wealth of humor, the plastic characterisation, with the later John is modeled with a maturer touch than Richard II, but the tragedy of which he is the contriver has striking affinities of situation to that of Richard, and continually recalls it in spite of equally striking diversities of treatment Constance is not Margaret, nor Arthur Edward, but they are new and poignant melodies upon the same motifs, the frenzied mother, the assassin uncle are still dominant and unexhausted themes On the other hand, the character of Falconbridge links the play yet more closely to the great trilogy of Henry V. The madcap prince, who shows himself a master of war and of peace the moment the need arrives, is of the same mould as the blunt soldier 'one way Plantagenet,' whose motley covered the hon's heart of Cordehon, the mythical Bastard foreshadows the historical conqueror of Agincourt He opens the circle of Histories founded upon humour and heroism, as John closes the cycle founded upon anguish and crime These considerations tend to fix King John near the middle of the probable interval between the last of the earlier group and the first of the later-1 e, about 1505

IVOR JOHN (Introduction) On general grounds (following what we might call the 'feeling' test) Richard II. and King John seem to be grouped together. Authorities unanimous in dating Richard II about 1593-4 are now equally unanimous in dating John either immediately before or immediately after Richard, we have, therefore, to choose between a date nearer 1593 and a date nearer 1595. Nothing can guide us in our choice except a comparison of the plays in the hope of discovering signs of greater maturity in the treatment of one or the other. But even here we are handicapped, firstly, by the fact that Shakespeare deliberately chose to keep close to his 'source' in so many respects, and therefore did not allow his own

genius full play, and secondly, by the fact that, in any case, the plays were written within a very short time of one another Comparison of the methods and characteristics of the two plays yields the following results
There is a greater consistency and unity in the treatment of Richard's character He is, all through, the weak, sentimental poseur, whose weakness we pity, and whose poses we despise, but we sympathise with him in his misfortunes because they are brought about not by crime but by incompetence, not by deliberate malice but as a result of sentimental impotence On the other hand, John is at one and the same time the swift and resolute warrior leaping fearlessly upon his enemy, the champion of his country against Papal aggression, and the vacillating coward far worse than the murderer of Arthur, toadying to Pandulph and detracting from our sympathy with his awful death by the childishness of his unkingly lamentations. John is neither the hero nor the villain of the piece, but an unpleasant mixture of both Again, the characters in Ruchard appear to be drawn by a hand at once firmer and more subtle We get to know Bolingbroke gradually and surely as the play progresses, every action and almost every word add little by little to our conception of his character, and that conception is only completed with the last scene of the last act There is no parallel to this in King John We know Faulconbridge as well at the end of the first scene as we do when we close the book It may be said that every scene as a new revelation is not consistent, natural, and inevitable as it is in the case of Bolingbroke. These arguments and others of a similar kind that might be adduced make for the later date of Richard As opposed to that view it may be held that the mixture of tragedy and comedy in the play brought about by Shakespeare's treatment of the character of the Bastard is a sign of more mature work, besides, the continual and fatiguing drop into rhyme in the earlier part of Richard and the uncalled-for puns and concerts in unwelcome places also seem to indicate that Richard was earlier than John, we find that the first and last are in favour of the earlier date of Richard, while the other two are against it. The tests, therefore, are at least not against the earlier date of Ruhard II A comparison between two similar passages-King John, II, 1, 23 et seq, and Richard II II, 1, 40 et seq -may give a slight hint as to their order. Shakespeare never goes back, and in such cases the more elaborate and fuller passage is always the later
In this case the Richard passage is far more fully developed than that in John, this seems, therefore, to make for the later date of The definite truth, however, 'by our best eyes cannot be censured,' and we must, therefore, candidly date John with a hyphen, 1503-5.

Luce (p. 181). Hamnet Shakespeare died on the 12th August, 1596, and some critics find a reflection of the Poet's loss in the lament of Constance for Arthur, but I should hesitate to allow such a conjecture to stand as evidence of date. More to our purpose is the evidence of style, of poetic dramatic power, which warrants us in assigning the play to a year not later than 1594, and this in spite of the usual inequalities of workmanship. The play certainly follows Richard II, and as certainly precedes the First Part of Henry IV, for which it prepares us by the humorous character of the Bastard, and by adhering less strictly to historical fact; though in this regard it follows the old play.

BROOKE (p. 227). The play of The Life and Death of King John was written in the years which saw the production of the historical dramas of Henry VI, Richard II, and Richard III, and its proper date is 1594. About the same date The Mer-

chant of Venuce was written, in which Shakespeare turned from history to romance

S Lee (Life of Sh) To 1594 must be assigned King John Like the first and third parts of Henry VI and Richard II, the play altogether eschews prose Strained conceits and rhetorical extravagances which tend to rant and bombast are clear proofs of early composition

SCHELLING (Elizabethan Drama, 1, 271) Chronologists of Shakespeare are in doubt as to the order of King John and the two Richards All we know is that all three must have followed the plays on Henry VI, and certainly preceded the trilogy on Henry IV and V, and belated Henry VIII Be the order of John and the Richards what it may, these plays have come close together, and they mark, however arranged, Shakespeare's gradual progress from mere apprenticeship to complete freedom in the practice of his art.

F W CLARKE (Old Spelling Sh., Introduction) The only point on which scholars now differ is as to whether the present play preceded or succeeded King Richard II. In the former case, it must be referred to 1503, in the latter, to 1505 or 1506 It seems to me that the latter supposition is more likely, in King John there are many affectations in the diction, it is true, but, on the whole, the verse is far more dramatic and rings truer than in the lyrical Richard II The latter play shows throughout the influence of Marlowe In King John Shakespeare seems more confident of his own powers, and strikes out on purer and bolder lines, it must also be remembered that the events of the reign of King Richard II formed a far easier subject for dramatic treatment than those of King John When the opportunity arises in the latter play, as in the famous scene between King John and Hubert, or in one between Hubert and Arthur, Shakespeare's grip on subtle dramatic characterization and true pathos unmarred by fanciful conceit is, to my mind, very greatly in excess of anything he shows in King Richard II The vacillating John, now fiery and defiant, now weak, cowardly, and despairing, can hardly be said to exhibit a falling off from the portrait of Richard II, while Falconbridge's gradual development from a rough, untutored youth to a noble and true-hearted Englishman capable of sustaining the flagging courage of the King, and the gradual rise of his influence and authority, seem to indicate a progression compared with the undoubtedly fine but, in places, somewhat obscure treatment of Bolingbroke I, therefore, place King John after Richard II, and suggest 1595-6, in view of Malone's allusions 1596 may be hazarded as the most probable.

RECAPITULATION							
1790 MALONE						159	6
1792 HURDIS					late	composition	n
1799 CHALMERS							0
1817 DRAKE		•				159	0
1830 TIECK .	٠,					161	I
1839 KNIGHT		••			between 15	91 and 159	8
1847 VERPLANCK.	-					159	8
1852 COLLIER					 between 15	96 and 159	8
1856 HUDSON						about 159	2

RECAPITULATION:	
1857 DELIUS	1598
1862 CARTWRIGHT)	1596
1865 R G WHITE ∫	
1865 HALLIWELL	between 1593 and 1597
1865 STOKES	1597
1874 Ingram	1597 or 1598
1875 WARD	1597
1876 Ulrici	1593
1877 FURNIVALL	1597
1878 FLEAY	1596
1878 DOWDEN	1598
1885 WRIGHT	1593 or 1594
1887 DAWSON	1596
1896 Boas	
1901 GOLLANCZ	1595
1903 GARNETT AND GOSSE	-393
1904 Herford	
1907 Ivor John	1593-1595
1907 LUCE	1594
1911 F. W CLARKE	1596
1914 Brooke (1594
1916 S LEE \(\)	

SOURCE OF THE PLOT

STEEVENS Hall, Holinshed, Stowe, etc., are closely followed not only in the conduct, but sometimes in the very expressions, throughout the following historical dramas viz, Macbeth, this play, Richard II, Henry IV, two parts, Henry V, three parts, Richard III, and Henry VIII. A book called the Historic of Lord Faulconbridge, bastard Son to Richard Cordelion, was entered at Stationers' Hall, Nov 29, 1614, but I have never met with it, and therefore know not whether it was the old black letter history, or a play upon the same subject.

MALONE: The Historie of Lord Faulconbridge, &c., is a prose narrative, in bl l. The earliest edition that I have seen of it was printed in 1616. But by an entry on the Stationers' Register, 29th November, 1614, it appears that there had been an old edition of the tract entitled The History of George W. Faulconbridge, the son of Richard Cordelion, and that the copy had been assigned by (William) Barley to Thomas Beale. A book entitled Richard Cur de Lion was entered on the Stationers' books in 1558. A play called the Funeral of Richard Cordelion was written by Robert Wilson, Henry Chettle, Anthony Mundy, and Michael Drayton, and first exhibited in the year 1598. [I have given these two notes a place here, because they are included in the Variorum of 1821, among the Preliminary Remarks on this play, but I fear they have but little bearing on the present subject, the Source of the Plot of Shakespeare's King John—ED]

KNIGHT (Introduction) The King John of Shakespeare is not the King John of the Historians which Shakespeare had unquestionably studied, it is not the King John of his own imagination, casting off the trammels with a rigid adoption of the facts those Historians would have imposed upon him, but it is the King John, in the conduct of the story, in the juxta-position of the characters, and in the catastrophe-in the historical truth, and in the historical error-of the play which preceded him some few years This, unquestionably, was not an accident It was not what, in the vulgar sense of the word, is called a plagiarism. It was a submission of his own original powers of seizing upon the feelings and understanding of his audience, to the stronger power of habit in the same audience The History of John had been familiar to them for almost half a century The familiarity had grown out of the rudest days of the drama, and had been established in the period of its comparative refinement, which immediately preceded Shakespeare Whoever really wishes thoroughly to understand the resources which Shakespeare possessed in the creation of characters, in the conduct of a story, and the employment of language will do well, again and again, to compare the old play of King John and the King John of our dramatist

Lioyd (ap Singer, ed 11) I cannot satisfy myself that there is positive proof that Shakespeare applied to Holinshed's Chronicle, or any other, for assistance or suggestion. But even if he did, the ment will still remain with the earlier writer of inventing the main scheme of the dramatic digest of a disorderly period. He it was who recognized the effectiveness of making the murder of Arthur the very hinge and tuning point between the high-spirited success of the commencement of the reign, and the disgrace and dejection that ensued, and he it was who gave such heightening emphasis to the indignation excited by the death of Arthur, as to place the selfish and heartless policy of the princes and legate in the most obvious and odious light, and who, lastly, had the clearness of sight to fix upon the assertion of national independence against invading Frenchmen and encoaching ecclesiastics as the true principle of dramatic action of time. Time and the Hour do not allow me to follow out all his footsteps, but I have seen enough to convince me that he diligently consulted not only Holinshed, but the more varied and remote authorities

Confining, however, our attention to Holinshed, there might be some reason to suspect that he had been read carelessly in one chief matter, were not the artistic motive for the interpretation adopted so evident The sympathy for Arthur was chiefly among his own subjects or allies in Poictou or Britany, the Britans, as they are called by the Chronicler, and the term may have been applied too extensively John, after the capture of Arthur, caused himself to be re-crowned and then returned to Normandy, where 'true it is great suit was made to him to have Arthur set at liberty, as well by the French King as by William de Riches, a valiant Baron of Poictou, and divers other noblemen of the Britans, who, when they could not prevail in their suit, they banded themselves together and joining in confederacy,' and so forth, p. 274 Presently after follows the account of the relenting of Hubert de Burgh, and the reluctance of the meaner instruments, and lower down the murmurs of John's own knights, not, however, in the pure disinterestedness of the play, but in apprehension that, if taken by the King of France, they would be 'made to taste of the like cup.' The nearest approach to this motive in the old play is in the words of Essex.

> 'What hope in us for mercy on a fault, When kinsman dies without impeach of cause'

But this, perhaps, may be enough to assure us that it was in deliberate preference that the dramatist invented the nobler motive, or rather its unusual and exclusive force, and thus brought another group into happy composition with that supplied to him by the historian, of the lamenting and supplicant Arthur

I think we must note it also as a happy error or equally happy thought of the first dramatist, to unite Austria and Lymoges into a single character, and thus concentrate the odium both of the imprisonment and death of Cœur-de-lion on the antagonist of Faulconbridge.

WRIGHT The question of the authority followed by Shakespeare in this play is a very simple one He took the old play on the same subject and rewrote it, keeping substantially the same plot, and adding only one character, that of James Gurney There is no reason to suppose that, as in his other historical plays, he consulted the Chronicles at all The older play is in two parts, the second beginning with the death of Arthur, Act IV, scene 3 of the present play, and having a separate title, 'The second part of the troublesome Raigne of King Iohn, conteining the death of Arthur Plantaginet, the landing of Lewes, and the poysoning of King Iohn at Swinstead Abbey' Shakespeare, as has been said, follows the plot of the old play substantially, but one scene in which the Bastard is represented as ransacking an abbey is omitted altogether, and the result is a well-developed historical drama instead of a politico-religious pamphlet. Some of the sentiment, however, still remains, and it is an instructive warning to those who would frame theories of the purpose of a play from internal evidence, that the passages to which Tohnson pointed as containing undoubted references to the events of Shakespeare's own time, are just those which he took almost verbatim from the earlier work of his unknown predecessor.

The old play opens immediately after the coronation of John, which took place on Ascension day, 27 May, 1199, and ends with his death, 18 October, 1216 Between these two events the scenes do not follow in strict historic order, and Shakespeare has not attempted to make any change in this respect. The greatest disorder is found in the fourth act. If we place the time of the first act soon after John's coronation in 1199, Act II and Act III, scene 1 belong to 1200, for the interview between John and the French King Philip, at which the marriage of Lewis and Blanch was agreed upon, was held on Ascension Day, 18 May, 1200 The second and third scenes of Act III belong to 1202, when Arthur was taken prisoner at the Capture of Mirabeau on the 1st of August, and kept at Falaise. The first and third scenes of Act IV. follow the proper chronological order, the former belonging to 1202, and the latter to 1203, when, according to some accounts, Arthur was assasinated on the 3rd of April at Rouen. But the last scene of Act III suddenly introduces Pandulph, whose visit did not take place till 1212, when he was sent by the Pope to France to depose John He landed in England in 1213 In the second scene of Act IV. we are carried back again to 1202, when John was crowned a second time at Canterbury on the 14th of April, being Easter Day. The incident of the five moons which is brought into the same scene is placed by the chroniclers in December, 1200. Constance died in 1201, Elmor in 1204, and Peter of Pomfret flourished in 1212, and was put to death in 1213. All these events are referred to or made in the play to happen in Act IV, scene ii. In the third scene of Act IV the same confusion prevails, and the death of Arthur (1203) is contemporary with the landing of Lewis at Stonar in the Isle of Thanet on the 20th of May, 1216 The fifth act opens with the surrender of the crown by John to the Papal legate, which really happened on the 15th of May, 1231, but is made in the play to be contemporary with the French invasion Act V, scene ii is placed at Bury St Edmunds, but there is no evidence that the Dauphin ever had a camp there, and the locality was probably suggested to the author of the older play by the fact that before the high altar of the Abbey the barons met in 1214 Melun's confession of the meditated treachery of Lewis was made on his death-bed in London and not on the battlefield (Holin-The remaining scenes do not violate the chronological order of events, but it is worth while to note, as showing how completely indifferent in the play Shakespeare was to historical consistency, that at the time of his father's death Prince Henry was only nine years old, having been born 1 October, 1207 On the other hand, Arthur is represented as much younger than he really was, for he was born 30 April, 1187, and was, therefore, twelve years old at the opening of the play and sixteen at the time of his death Again, Hubert de Burgh, one of the most powerful nobles of his time and Chamberlain to the King, for whom he successfully defended Dover Castle against Lewis, appears in the play as a person of inferior rank who could be employed in a menial capacity It is hardly necessary, however, to refer to these facts in order to prove that Shakespeare did not follow the Chronicles, for a very superficial comparison of the Troublesome Raigne of King John with the play before us will be sufficient to show that the latter is entirely taken from the former, and that to this cause and to this alone any departure from historical accuracy is due The still earlier play of Kynge Johan, by Bishop Bale, which was edited for the Camden Society by the late Mr. Collier, has nothing whatever to do either with Shakespeare's work or that of his nearer predecessor [As Shakespeare based his King John on The Troublesome Raigne, and therefore only indirectly on the Chronicles, I have thought it unnecessary to include here excerpts from Holinshed or Grafton. Such are merely illustrative of the ingenuity of the anonymous author of the older play in his use of historic material See, if needful, Boswell-STONE, pp. 45-77.--ED.]

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE

Malone The Troublesome Raigne of John, King of England, which is in two parts, and was printed at London for Sampson Clarke, 1591, has no author's name in the title-page. On its republication in 1611 the bookseller, for whom it was printed, inserted the letters W. Sh in the title-page, and in order to conceal his fraud omitted the words—publickly—in the honourable Citive of London, which he was aware would proclaim the play not to be Shakespeare's King John, the company to which he belonged having no publick theatre in London, that in Blackfriars being a private play-house, and the Globe, which was a public theatre, being situated in Southwark. He also, probably with the same view, omitted the lines addressed to the Gentleman Readers, which are prefixed to the first edition of the old play. Shakespeare's play being then probably often acted, and the other wholly laid aside, the word lately was substituted for the word publickly—'as they were sundry times lately acted,' &c. Thomas Dewe, for whom a third edition was printed in 1622, was more daring. The two parts were then published, 'as they were sundry times

lately acted', and the name of William Shakespeare inserted at length 'By the Queen's Majesties players' was wisely omitted as not being very consistent with the word *lately*, Elizabeth being then dead nineteen years

CAPELL (I, pt 1, p 115) Shakespeare was the author alone of the John in two parts, whose second begins at Arthur's attempt to escape and his consequent death From evidence of their date, and some other, we may pronounce them his first undisputed excursion into the regions of drama, and, as such, they are but a feeble performance, sprinkl'd with some quotations from classics, and, in the comedy part, with some monkish Latin, notwithstanding, they bear his marks in some places, and in the dying scene strongly, here are some of the lines that come from John in his agony, and the intelligent will see their author at once 'Power after power forsake their proper power, only the hart impugnes with faint resist the fierce invade of him that conquers Kings Phillip a chayre, and by and by a grave, my leggs disdaine the carriage of a King', and these are follow'd by a thought that makes so noble a figure in his true Henry 6, part the second for here the king is call'd on by Faulconbridge, when his speech is gone from him, in sign of pardoning his barons who kneel about him, to do what the other king asks of Beaufort-lift up his hand We have mention'd an address in this John, it is titl'd-To the Gentlemen Readers, and begins thus 'You that with friendly grace of smoothed brow Have entertained the Scythian Tamburlaine, And given applause unto an infidel· Vouchsafe to welcome (with like curtesie) A warlike Christian and your Countreyman' Tamburlaine, which is given to Marlowe, appears in these lines the inciter of Shakespeare's John; and the latter, in his turn, was inciter as well of Marlowe as others to work up into plays the relations of English Chroniclers, this setting them the example It was follow'd quickly after by Marlowe in a play or which our Edward the second is the subject, in it is one particular scene rising with such amazing disparity above the nonsense of all the others, and those of his Tamburlaine, that no reader whatever can persuade himself that they are all of one hand, the scene is that of the murder of this most wretched of princes, affects with such powerfulness, and is so much in the manner of our Author, that judges will not be fearful of thinking him an assistant The play's appearance in print and (possibly) upon the stage was after the writer's death, who was cut off in '93, if not earlier, by a very signal mischance that is recorded in Wood and others To return to this John. The story, and its form in some measure, pleas'd its Author so well, that in a while -and no long one-he took it up again, gave it quite a new dress, with much height'ning of character (the Bastard's, particularly), and another John started forth, in the shape he wears in the Folio, and in this copy. In which is less departure from the copy it follows than we are forc'd to in many plays, the main defect of that copy lying in its divisions as well of acts as of scenes, in both which it is egregiously faulty till you come to the fifth act; the third modern [Theobald] first adjusted them truly, that is—the acts, in scenes, he is deficient as usual, and directions that could be follow'd with reason, place included, and entries, and all of that sort—are the growth of neither ancients nor moderns.

L. TIECK (i, p xvi) The Troublesome Raigne is one of the youthful compositions of Shakespeare, it was printed with his name during his lifetime, and the construction, the characters, and, in fact, every feature so bears the impress of Shakespeare that it is ludicrous that the English critics, blind to this, wish to ascribe it either to

Green, or Marlowe, or any other, because in their opinion it is so utterly paltry and unworthy of the poet [In his notes to Schlegel's translation (1830) Tieck prefaces his remarks on King John by saying that he can but repeat what he said in regard to the earlier play in his former work, and adds thereto 'If we accept the older King John as the work of our Poet, it may easily happen that many things can be assigned to his great name far less worthy than this tragedy '—ED]

ULRICI (ed 1, p 445) In general, I concur in opinion with Tieck. Only I cannot go so far with him as to assert that every line is stamped with the genius of Shakespeare He may perhaps have remodelled an older work of some other writer to such an extent as might justify him in assuming for his labours the title of a new and original creation, or, what at this date was a common practice—a relic of that custom of the Middle Ages of transacting all the business of life by guilds and corporations—he may have laboured on it in conjunction with other pens I derive support for my own view principally from the comic parts—the scenes between Faulconbridge and the Monks and Nuns These are so low and rude that I can recogmise in them little, if any, of Shakespeare's facetious grace. Had Shakespeare written such scenes he would at least have ennobled the indelicacy of them by wit and humour, but of these there is not the least vestige. The fountain of wit which has gushed forth so plenteously in Locrine, Pericles, and Henry the Sixth, seems quite dried up, the comic consists in the bare fact, and the fact itself is but a coarse libel on It is in vain to plead that the Poet had here allowed himself to be carried away by the prevailing national feeling, and has made a sacrifice to popular wit, for of the latter even it is hardly possible to speak in the present case, and besides, Shakespeare could always throw a poetic radiance over genuine vulgar wit-as is abundantly seen in the Locrine, Pericles, and others of his youthful productions But how, then, it may be asked, did Shakespeare allow such scenes to stand when he revised the whole? Because it was exactly these scenes that were most likely to fall in with the popular humour of the time Again, they are distinguished by short rhyming verses, and by a language of which there is not the most distant counterpart to be found in all the other dramas of Shakespeare Besides the comic parts, perhaps the long scene before St. Edmund's Bury, and towards the end of the second part the scene between the Monk and the Abbot, which is very similar to those which we have already censured, are from a foreign hand All the rest I look upon as Shakespeare's property Some passages, e g, that in the first part, where Faulconbridge prefers to be the bastard of the Lion-hearted Richard than the legitimate son of the old Faulconbridge, the scenes between Hubert and Prince Arthur, between John and the Prophet of Pomfret, Philip Faulconbridge, &c, as well as the several soliloquies of John, are so profoundly poetical, that it is absolutely impossible to say from whose hand they could come if not from Shakespeare's The language and characterization, also, are in every respect worthy of him Of the former, even Malone (Reed's Sh, XIV, 285) allows that it possesses the closest resemblance with that of Henry the Sixth, and that both pieces must necessarily have had the same author. With him, it is true, this resemblance does but form a proof of the spuriousness of the work, while with us, who are thoroughly convinced of the genuineness of Henry the Sixth, it affords conclusive evidence to the contrary Of the characters, those of John, of Faulconbridge, Hubert, and Arthur are distinguished as genuine Shakespearian designs, though in the rough outline and strong colouring we recognise an unpractised hand. The other characters also are ably drawn for a young poet without experience in the historical drama Lastly, the

composition presents in all essential points the exact form that the subject afterwards received from his more practised hand in the later King John I will only add the remark that if the older King John be, as I believe, in the main Shakespeare's property, then Meres, in his Wit's Treasury, must have meant this and not the later piece His testimony, indeed, is adverse to the opinion of English critics. for if the older King John be throughout spurious, then we must date the later one as early as 1508, against which, however, diction, versification, characterization and composition, and many single passages furnish decisive evidence The later, unquestioned King John did not probably appear before 1610 or 1611, to judge from the repeated reprints of the older plays in this year. The earlier piece may have been originally composed in 1507-8-by whom it is impossible to determine, since it lies before us in so changed a shape from its original. It was probably remodelled soon after the defeat of the Spanish Armada, and may in its new form be looked upon as one of the many pieces with which the English stage celebrated the great national victory That the young poet has here, as well as in Titus Andronicus. kept close to Marlowe's model, and that in this respect the present piece may be regarded as the transition to Henry the Sixth, must be clear to every one tolerably acquainted with Marlowe's style As compared, however, with Titus, the youthful and extravagant ebullition of fancy appears greatly modified, the tragic does not travail in birth with the horrible, the characters, although harshly and roughly drawn, have much of human nature in their composition. The poet appears to have already discovered the faults of his model, and evidently has sought to avoid them, but, above all, he has everywhere displayed his usual high estimation of the truth and dignity of history, which are nowhere violated except in those scenes which we have already pronounced the property of another

Schlegel (ii, 258) It would be very instructive if it could be proved that several earlier attempts of works, afterwards written, proceeded from Shakespeare himself, and not from an unknown author. We should thus be enabled to trace his development as an artist. Of the older King John, in two parts (printed by Steevens among six old plays), this might probably be made out. That he sometimes came back to the same work is certain. We know with respect to Hamlet, for instance, that it was very gradually formed by him to its present perfect state. Whoever takes from Shakespeare a play early ascribed to him, and confessedly belonging to his time, is unquestionably bound to answer, with some degree of probability, this question, Who has then written it? Shakespeare's competitors in the dramatic walk are pretty well known, and if those of them who have acquired a considerable name, a Lyly, a Marlowe, a Heywood, are still so very far below him, we can hardly imagine that the author of a work which rises so high beyond theirs would have remained unknown.

Skortowe (i, 127). The various events of John's confused reign are ill calculated for dramatic representation, in which the want of a leading interest is imperfectly supplied by a mere collection of incidents. The great fault of the old play is that it gives a very inadequate idea of what it professes to represent. If the reader be not previously acquainted with the history, he will in vain seek a knowledge of it from the progress of the scene. It is scarcely ever clear, for instance, whether the barons are in arms against the king in defense of their own liberties, or as the tools of Phillip and partisans of Lewis, and thus the supporters of the cause of the pope. Throughout the play, indeed, John's disagreement with his nobility, and their

extensive confederacy against him, for the protection of their independence, are kept too much out of sight, and of an event so important as the signature of Magna Charta there is a total neglect With almost implicit fidelity Shakespeare copied the old play in its story and scenic arrangement of circumstances He seldom corrects his author, but with him attributes the death of Richard the First to the Duke of Austria, and names that duke 'Lymoges' Richard was, indeed, imprisoned on his return from Palestine by Leopold, Duke of Austria, but he met his death, several years afterwards, from the hand of Bertrand of Gouron, while besieging Vidomar, viscount of Limoges, in the castle of Chalus Holinshed related that Arthur was imprisoned in Falaise, and afterwards at Rouen, and in this latter place he was supposed to be murdered, in the old play Arthur is confined somewhere in England, and there Shakespeare also confines him Shakespeare has forcibly displayed the art, sophistry, insincerity, and ambition of the court of Rome, but it is singular that he has not, like the author of the old play, exhibited the depravity of the monastic orders, and the horrid tendency of papistical principles . Shakespeare is the author of the best passages in John, Arthur, Constance, and Faulconbridge, though the stamp of each character remains unaltered from what he found it He did not act fairly by himself, he adopted the plot of his predecessor in all its details, and his characters in their several groupings, and thus circumscribed his own power of improvement.

VERPLANCE (Introduction, p 6) Shakespeare's entire and thorough rewriting of the chronicle drama affords a strong indication that Shakespeare had no claim to its dialogue or poetry, for this is a process which no author would unnecessarily apply to his own work, and it is one which we know with certainty that he was not in the habit of using in his enlargements and improvements, from those of Love's Labour's Lost to the more thorough rewriting of much of Romeo and Juliet and of Hamlet This argument is the stronger from the consideration that there is much of the ordinary dialogue of the older play necessary for the conduct of the plot. unmarked by any special excellence or defect, which had it been written by him he would, of course, have preferred retaining, with such modifications only as might be required to adapt it to the new matter, instead of actually rewriting the whole as he appears to have done. I must add that there are some words of touching tenderness in the dying speech of Arthur in the old play [see note, Act IV, scene in] which, had the thought been originally his own, I cannot but think that he would have expanded and improved instead of throwing them aside, as the poetical property of another which he did not care to use, whilst the historical incidents and personages drawn from the old chronicles or from prior plays he might justly regard as the common property of every dramatist who might choose to employ them. My own impressions are, therefore, strongly in accordance with the opinions of the best English authorities (Farmer, Steevens, Knight, Collier, etc.) that Shakespeare had no hand whatever in the two parts of The Troublesome Raigne-which two parts, by the way, have themselves a contrast of manner that give reason to think that more than one author was employed in their preparation Still, it is within the bounds of possibility that these may have been among Shakespeare's earlier essays in historic tragedy, and it is just to add that if such were the case it would not be at all discreditable to his genius, though the contemptuous remarks of some of the editors would give their readers a different impression. It does not furnish choice extracts such as those by which the taste of Charles Lamb has made some second rate Elizabethan dramatists familiar to modern readers, but as a whole it compares advantageously

enough with the diamas of its time, less extravagant in diction and imagery than many of them, it puts the historical personages and their actions as related by the more popular English Chroniclers on the scene with spirit and distinctness, whilst the Bastard, whether drawn from tradition or from the author's invention, is strongly marked as a courageous, active, ambitious soldier, and though a little 'robustious,' noisy, and ranting, yet not more so than the other dramatic military heroes of his time, who were generally expected to 'split the ears of the groundlings'

KNIGHT (Studies, etc., p 197) The old play of The Troublesome Reign was, in all likelihood, a vigorous graft upon the trunk of an older play, which 'occupies an intermediate place between moralities and historical plays,'-that of Kynge Johan, by John Bale, written probably in the reign of Edward VI Shakspere, then, had to choose between forty years of stage tradition and the employment of new matenals He took, upon principle, what he found ready to his hand But upon this theory, that The Troublesome Reign is by another poet, none of the transformations of classical or oriental fable, in which a new life is transfused into an old body, can equal this astonishing example of the life-conferring power of a genius such as Shakspere's On the other hand, if The Troublesome Reign be a very early play by Shakspere himself and (we doubt this greatly), the undoubted King John offers the most marvellous example of the resources of a mature intellect, in the creation of characters, in the conduct of a story, and the employment of language, as compared with the crude efforts of an unformed mind The contrast is so remarkable that we cannot believe in this theory, even with the whole body of German critics in its favour Supposing Bale's 'pageant' of Kynge Johan to be written about the middle of the sixteenth century, it presents a more remarkable example even than 'Howleglas,' or 'Hick Scorner' (of which an account is given in Percy's agreeable 'Essay on the origin of the English Stage'), of the extremely low state of the drama only forty years before the time of Shakspere. Here is a play written by a bishop, and yet the dirty ribaldry which is put into the mouths of some characters is beyond all description, and quite impossible to be exhibited by any example in these pages We say nothing of the almost utter absence of any poetical feeling -of the dull monotony of the versification-of the tediousness of the dialogueof the martificial conduct of the story These matters were not greatly amended till a very short period before Shakspere came to 'reform them altogether' Our object in mentioning this play is to show that the King John upon which Shakspere built was, in some degree, constructed upon the Kynge Johan of Bale, and that a traditionary King John had thus possessed the stage for nearly half a century before the period when Shakspere wrote his King John There might without injury to this theory have been an intermediate play That the Kynge Johan of the furious Protestant bishop was known to the writer of the King John of 1501 we have little doubt. Our space will not allow us to point out the internal evidences of this, but one minute but remarkable similarity may be mentioned. When John arrives at Swinstead Abbey, the monks, in both plays, invite him to their treacherous repast by the cry of 'Wassail' In the play of Bale we have no incidents whatever beyond the contests between John and the pope—the surrender of the crown to Pandulph—and the poisoning of John by a monk at Swinstead Abbey. The action goes on very haltingly; but not so the wordy war of the speakers. A vocabulary of choice terms of abuse, familiarly used in the times of the Reformation, might be constructed out of this curious performance. Here the play of 1501 is wonderfully reformed; and we have a diversified action, in which the story of

Arthur and Constance, and the wars and truces in Anjou, are brought to relieve the exhibition of papal domination and monkish treachery The intolerance of Bale against the Romish Church is the most fierce and rampant exhibition of passion that ever assumed the ill-assorted garb of religious zeal. In the John of 1501 we have none of this violence, but the writer has exhibited a scene of ribaldry, in the incident of Faulconbridge hunting out the 'angels' of the monks, for he makes him find a nun concealed in a holy man's chest This, no doubt, would be a popular scene Shakspere has not a word of it Mr Campbell, to our surprise, thinks that Shakspere might have retained 'that scene in the old play where Faulconbridge, in fulfilling King John's injunction to plunder religious houses, finds a young smooth-skinned nun in a chest where the abbot's treasures were supposed to be deposited' When did ever Shakspere lend his authority to fix a stigma upon large classes of mankind in deference to popular prejudice? One of the most remarkable characteristics of Shakspere's John, as opposed to the grossness of Bale and the ribaldry of his immediate predecessor, is the utter absence of all invective or sarcasm against the Romish church, apart from the attempt of the pope to extort a base submission from the English King Here, indeed, we have his nationality in full power, but how different is that from fostering hatreds between two classes of one people!

GERVINUS (p. 353) To compare the older King John with Shakespeare's is a task which far more rewards the trouble than the comparison of Henry VI with its original, because in King John the maturer poet revised a work at any rate as good in itself The older King John is a rough but not a bad piece, from which the poet could have borrowed many happy poetical and historical features. It possesses the old stiffness and is intermingled with Latin passages according to the earlier custom, yet it is freer from the extravagances of the old school, from which these historical subjects in a great measure rescued us. The diffuseness in the second part is heavy, and here Shakespeare with excellent tact has remedied the evil by abridgement The characters are designated in a manner suitable for our Poet's use, but they are far less sustained than his For the mere sake of speaking, speeches are put in the lips of Faulconbridge which are inconsistent with his nature Arthur, who once speaks in the childlike tone of his age, loses it again, and in the pathetic scene with Hubert is a precocious disputant How far Shakespeare excelled his best contemporary poets in fine feeling is evinced by this older play if it be compared with his revised work. In another respect also the accurate comparison of the two works is of the greatest interest, if we would watch Shakespeare's depth in the treatment of his poetry, as it were, in the work and in the creation of itself. In many passages of the old play, where motives, delineation of character and actions, lay before him in ample prolixity, he has gathered the contents of whole scenes compactly into a single sentence or a single insinuation, he disdains superabundant perspicuity and leaves to the actor, the spectator, and the reader somewhat for his own mind to find out and to add. If we interpret as much out of such scanty hints as all penetrating commentators of Shakespeare feel themselves obliged to do, we prepare the way for an impression of unwarranted imputations of greater wisdom and fulness than the Poet intended But these comparisons prove to us only too plainly that we can never go too far in truly fathoming this poet, that far rather we have to labour to find out what hes concealed in him, and that we have only to guard ourselves from interloping his sentiments with philosophical maxims and reflections which are foreign and remote to him as well as to his age.

R G WHITE (Introduction, p 14) Although not even the germ of any thought. or scene, or character (except, perhaps, Queen Elmor) that gives Shakespeare's King John its value is to be found in The Troublesome Reign, that play is not without some poetical and dramatic merit, which, indeed, is considerable for a drama produced in its period of the Elizabethan era, and, what is far more important to the subject in hand, there is evidence in the former that the language of the latter was much in Shakespeare's mind, even if its text were not so constantly before his eyes, while he was writing the new play Numerous instances of parallel passages in which the thought is similar and the words sometimes the same are cited in the notes, and will show the reader that Shakespeare worked with the old play in his head if not in his hand, nevertheless in no degree diminishing our admiration of the greatness and fecundity of the genius, which, having conceived by such a play as that, could bring forth such a play as this The Troublesome Reign was first published in 1591, or it is perhaps better to say that that is the date of the It is not only inferior in every respect to his poorest earliest edition known and earliest work, but its ments, such as they are, are not at all like the ments of his acknowledged works at any period of his life. It is not only unequal, but its parts are dissimilar in style. It is chiefly in verse, but it contains specimens of nearly every variety of rhythm and rhyme known to English versifiers of the Elizabethan period, and the conclusion that, according to a common practice of the time, it is the production of more than one playwright-perhaps of three or four-must force itself upon the mind of every sufficiently observant reader who is familiar with our early dramatic literature. It was probably produced two or three years before the date of the first edition known; as at that date it was a new play, and in 1587-8 the English hatred of Rome and Spain was stimulated to renewed activity by the approach of the Armada It has been conjectured with great probability that Greene, Peele, and Marlowe were concerned in the composition of this old History, and it is barely possible that Shakespeare, who seems to have begun his career as their humble co-laborer, contributed something to it, as like in style to what they wrote as he could make it

von Friesen (u, 188) In spite of the distinguishing tone of this drama [The Troublesome Raigne], in contrast to others of a similar period, we are unable to detect the dramatic touch and the vivacity which are observed, invariably, in Shakespeare's early productions. The Poet seems to fall short in situations where details are wanted and too much inclined to rhetorical outbursts where restricted brevity would be more appropriate His characters are suffering from a lack of individuality, a trait which is never found in Shakespeare's weakest poetical essays. The make-up of his various types of personages is often so grossly exaggerated as to come under the definition of brutal, as but rarely happens with Shakespeare, through his fine tact In that same play we meet with crude situations, which are to be considered so much more foreign to Shakespeare's individuality on account of their absolute want of wit or humor. In making these comparisons we are also struck by the marked contrast existing between Shakespeare's tolerant views and the constant polemic against Catholicism and the papacy We are able, however, to establish the approximate period of its publications, as the author bases his claim to its popularity on the reception given to Marlow's Tambourlaine; we may thus take it for granted that the play did not appear before the years 1587-8 We can hardly fail being surprised in reading of the part of a Christian warrior which the author ascribes to King John, who is supposed to have had to face many stormy events for the sake of the true Christian belief and who had always shown opposition to the man of Rome According to further investigations, the historical character of King John must have been sufficiently familiar to Shakespeare not to allow him to present him in the rôle in which he appears in this play For instance, he never had the intention of describing the King's differences with the Court of Rome in a spirit of hatred and passion No matter how little in reality King John is being shielded from the wiles of Rome, Shakespeare would not, even in his younger years, have put the brutal expressions in his mouth, wherein the older poet is altogether too liberal I do not propose dwelling upon the by-play, depicting the antics of the bastard in a convent, showing on this occasion the most scurrilous and abnormal immoralities by monks and nuns. All those who insisted on crediting Shakespeare with this play, and particularly L Tieck, agreed that this episode did not originate with him, but was undoubtedly to be considered as a joke, which had been deliberately interpolated In spite of all these depreciating denunciations, which would lose most of their harsh and objectionable features, if Shakespeare was not regarded as the author, the piece possesses, nevertheless, a literary ment of considerable importance The author must, at all events, have belonged to the most distinguished dramatists of his time, second only to Shakespeare himself Many of the passages appearing in the play are undoubtedly from the pen of a true poet Even if the sentiments of the author, drawn forth by enthusiasm for the glory and independence of his native land, are not fully deserving of the praise of Tieck, yet they are of greater literary value than any others of the same period, with the exception of Shakespeare's own histories While I am in no way trying to impugn the memory of my late friend, I believe that his criticisms were dictated by his likes and dislikes to a greater extent than by an accurate consideration of the subject which was under investigation. Moreover, it does not seem likely that the animosity against the lame criticism of the preceding century has remained without leaving a marked influence. When considering the question from another standpoint, we may admit of the possibility of a less persistent opposition, if Marlowe's name had not been mentioned too hastily, in order to establish and settle the question of the authorship of this work This very fact manifests a defect of the critical judgment which leads to lively opposition. The English treat the question relating to the identity of the real author of the old King John with indifference If Shakespeare's right to the same could be assailed and contested in any positive and trustworthy manner we may be met with the suggestion advanced by Malone, who thinks of a possibility of ascribing its authorship to the combined work of R. Greene and G Peele As far as I am able to judge, we can hardly deny detecting the traces of the work of various men At the same time I feel confident that Marlowe could not have been one of them His claim relating to the favorable reception of his Tambourlaine in the prologue is not sufficient evidence in controverting other important factors According to my way of thinking this introduction shows rather a negative than a positive character Even if such was not the case, the succeeding expressions and the eulogies referring to the triumph of the English royal power and its independence of all papist influences, do in no way agree with Marlowe's opinions. On the other hand, we find many indications in the descriptive parts as well as in the mode of expression which point to Greene or Peele and not in the least to Marlowe. Dr Elze has advanced the supposition, in the introduction of his revised translation, that Shakespeare may possibly have contributed some additions or modifications to the work of Greene and Peele and may thus have acquired a certain claim to its authorship. This may also perhaps, as he states, lead

to the possible supposition of a cause accounting for the repeated controversies which were directed by Greene against Shakespeare This view appeals to me particularly because it coincides with my own Before accepting it, however. it ought to be subjected to a thorough test, though this may distract us from our real objective, as this question has already been sufficiently discussed This may also explain L Tieck's adherence to his opinion relating to Shakespeare's authorship of this old play, which he bases on the author's age According to Francis Meres. in Palladis Tamia, King John was one of the best creations of Shakespeare (1508) Tieck did not mean, however, to admit of its appearance previous to the year 1611. and he thinks that the reference to it by Francis Meres relates to the old play only I must admit that from external and internal signs I might also have been easily inclined to contradict the opinion of Malone and others, who place the date of its origin either in 1506 or 1507 if we did not find in both views abstracts too decided to allow us to regard Shakespeare's position to this as the next produced directly before the succeeding Histories The contradicting feature contained therein is based before all on the difference of expression of either part In the beginning of the action the language and the versification are marked by a similar tone of quiet formality, I might even call it a ceremonial pathos, resembling exactly his earlier histories His punctuation is of a plain character and corresponds in most instances with the closing verse The further the action proceeds, however, the more intricate the construction becomes, and we observe an increasing discrepancy between the closing verse and the general idea which may be involved The difference. namely, in the first and second acts from the three following is so great that we may often be misled in thinking the composition of the separate parts to be of different periods As a further instance of this we may observe a growing inclination towards the play of antithesis, and we find many passages reminding us of the Sonnets The thoughts and metaphors partake of a character similar to that which is seen in these poems. Moreover, we may again observe in many places such a great similarity in the application of contrasting situations and characters as to induce the belief in the influence of an ordinary habit This play also shows an apparent artistic procedure consisting in isolating, so to speak, the individuality of each of the players Their impressions, their views, also the language which they use, and their mode of expression appear to be particularly under such influence All this may guide us in the search of the real origin of the Drama I also have to point out that this work is distinguished by a peculiar character. When judging the same from the standpoint of its composition we cannot help but observe how closely it follows the lines of a model which represents a type of nature which is unique The grouping of the whole play as well as that of the personages who figure in it have undoubtedly been borrowed from the original. We may even discern thoughts and ideas which are taken from the same Nevertheless, I have been unable to detect one expression or even one word which one might say that Shakespeare has borrowed from the older play Yet more striking is it that, as regards the personages, although the likeness of these is used both in the older play and the later, one can hardly believe that the individual portraits shown in the older play have been only more completely drawn in the later piece. Much rather are they to be regarded as entirely new portraits, although bearing the same names and appearing in like situations. The object of the whole combination seems to have remained the same; it is, however, rendered in an entirely different manner, and thus it appears to be under the influence of motives which are altogether new This furnishes sufficient cause in such instance to suppose that Shakespeare has written

according to an ideal which made an impression upon his phantasy and which induced him to produce his drama with all its imaginary attributes. We may perhaps consider the question from another side, this picture may have been suggested to him by an epic-historical representation or by a previous dramatical essay on the subject Assuredly neither he nor his direct predecessor could have obtained his conception from the chronicle While being conversant with the historical happenings of King John's reign, which lasted for a period of seventeen years, he undoubtedly made use of the same material as John Bale. This author as well as all the other literary men who devoted their attention to the turbulent reign of John were only concerned in representing or illustrating the resistance of the King to the Roman Curia They took it for granted that their stand was as justifiable as it was praiseworthy considering the existing prejudices of the times There is, however, hardly a sufficiently tragic motive on record in the final period of his life or the conditions which existed during that period. The difficulties which seem to justify the King's antagonism to Rome and which cast an unfavorable light upon the curia, appear to be due, according to the author, to the intrigues of the papists in inducing a rebellion among the barons The fact that the King had to submit to abject humiliations in order to be granted a pardon, and his final undoing as a result of the priesthood's hatred does to a great extent deprive the whole of all the tragic features, even if the attempt of those authors should have been instigated, with the object of adding to the bad feeling against the papacy The happenings which took place during King John's reign are of such a peculiar nature as not to be altogether suitable as material for a well-founded tragic picture, nevertheless Shakespeare succeeded in giving his work a fairly tragic color Perhaps the common form of recording history on its prototype has been a hindering factor, for we can hardly deny that Shakespeare's drama does not possess such uniformity of action which we expect from a strictly historical tragedy and which we find in a striking form in Richard III In taking everything into consideration, in attempting to establish the approximate time of the production of this piece. I find myself confronted with certain conditions, marked by strenuous deeds followed by brilliant results, and, on the other hand, by a series of weak and vacillating performances alternating again with efforts of a superior order I may thus be justified in hesitating between two theories It seems to me that the author has, in many instances, been under the impression or influence of the customs and habits of the earliest periods. At the same time he observes a tendency to resort to certain modifications and improvements which actually belong to a succeeding and more developed period I would thus be inclined to say that this work by Shakespeare was produced between the period of Richard III. with Romeo and Juliet and that of Richard II. with Henry IV, in between and consequently close to the year 1505.

FLEAY (Introduction, p 32) The older play was reprinted in 1611 with 'W. Sh' on the title-page, and in 1622 with 'William Shakespeare' in full The most probable reason for such reprints (or re-issues with new title-pages) of a not very popular play is that the bookseller endeavoured to get rid of copies under Shakespeare's name at times when his (unprinted) play was being performed And there is confirmatory grounds for the likelihood of revivals at two dates in the contemporary events. The special political references in both plays are to fears of Spanish invasions dissipated, and hope restored by English successes as soon as the English people show themselves united; they also display strong hostility to the pope. Now, after Elizabeth's death to the date of the printing of the Folio, 1623, there

were two periods similar in hopes and fears to those already alluded to-namely. 1611 and 1622 In 1610 Prince Henry was made Prince of Wales, and negotiations were set on foot for a marriage between him and the Infanta of Spain In the same year Henry IV was assassinated by Ravaillac In 1611 the negotiations for the marriage had been broken off, and the people were excited against Spain, still more against the Jesuits, on account of the assassination, against the pope as the supporter of both, and even in October, 1610, they had begun in Parliament their resistance to the encroachments of the Stuarts on the Public liberty What fitter time for a revival of King John? In 1622 the match between Prince Charles and another Infanta was, after long negotiation, likely to be effected, but the English hated it and everything Spanish, they were enraged with the king for allowing his subjects (especially papists) to assist in the wars against the Palatine, for the anti-Puritan tendency of the court, and for the loss of his son-in-law's dominions Moreover, in the event of the marriage negotiation not being successful, the likelihood of a new armada was already talked of (see Epistolæ Ho Elianæ). At these two dates, in fact, and these alone, were revivals of this play likely to take place If these title-pages (of O 2 and O 3) are as truthful in other matters as they are false to the authorship, this play was acted (1) by Queen Elizabeth's players, (2) by Oueen Anne's, (3) by Oueen Henrietta's This is possible, but not likely Queen Anne's Company was formed from the Earl of Worcester's, not from Queen Elizabeth's, and no such transference is known for any other play. Probably the printer reproduced the old statement without regard to the change of meaning in the words 'Oueen's Players' As it was acted in the city, the company had not probably left their regular theatre (the Theatre in Shoreditch, which they probably left in 1580), and were acting at the inn yards, notably the Red Bull, in St John Street Date Almost certainly 1580, after the Spanish Armada had been destroyed. In this year R Greene was leaving the Queen's Theatre, and G Peele was taking his place (see Shakes peare Manual, part 11, chap x111) Note especially the final lines

> 'If England's peers and people join in one, Nor Pope, nor France, nor Spain can do them wrong'

Authorship Evidently this is a production of the pre-Shakespearean school, as evidently Marlowe had no share in it in None of his genius is recognisable, nor has it any of his metrical characteristics. The lines to the gentlemen readers also show that it was acted by a company in opposition to that with which Marlowe was connected (Admiral's or Pembroke's in 1589)

'You that with friendly grace and smoothed brow Have entertain'd the Scythian Tamburlain, And giv'n applause unto an infidel, Vouchsafe to welcome with like courtesy A warlike Christian, and your countryman.'

Yet in the British Museum Catalogue Marlowe is given as the probable author The only playwrights known to be connected with Queen Elizabeth's Company were Lodge, Greene, and Peele, and the only year in which they were all engaged in writing for these men was 1589. Now, any one who compares sc. x,

'O tempus edax rerum, Give children books, they tear 'em, O vanities vanitatis, In this waning actatis,' etc. with Greene's Friar Bacon, sc vii,

'And I with scientia
And great diligentia,
Will conjecture and charm
To keep you from harm,
That utrum horum mavis,
Your very great navis,' etc.

will see that he was likely to be the author of this scene Again, Scene iii of the second part is manifestly by an author different from those of the bulk of the play In this scene Lewis is monosyllabic, Lew's, in the other scenes dissyllabic, there are a number of characters introduced unnecessarily-Chester, Beauchamp, Clare, Percy, etc -who do not occur elsewhere, two of whom, Rosse and Percy, are dramatis personæ in Greene's James IV, which dated 1589, this same year, and the metre is like Greene's, I therefore assign to him this scene also. It is noticeable that Shakespeare makes no use whatever of these scenes, though he does more or less of every other scene in the play The rest of the play is by two writers, one of whom always spells Lewes, the other Lewis, one fonder of rhymes than the other, one alluding to Scripture narrative, the other not, one indulging in prose, and largely in Latin quotations, the other not, one allowing trisyllabic feet in his verse, the other not I have no hesitation in assigning the prose, Lewis, rhyming, trisyllabic feet, Latinised parts to Peele, and the scriptural allusions to Lodge, the joint author of the Looking-Glass for London But there is one objection, Lodge rhymes abundantly in his Marius and Sylla. This is due to difference of date, he does not do so in his part of the Looking-Glass, and in other plays I attribute to him in whole or part, such as Fair Em, London Prodigal, Mucidorus, Taming of the Shrew, I Henry VI, Warning for Fair Women, he varies much in this respect in different dates.

E ROSE (Sh as an Adapter, Macmillan's Maga, Nov, 1878) The old 'chronicle' of The Troublesome Raigne of King John is clearly the work of a man of considerable, though uncultivated, power, and it is some proof of the estimation in which it was held that three editions of it were published, in 1591, 1611, and 1622. On the title-page of the third, the publisher had the impudence to place the name of Shakespeare, but that it was not by him must, I think, be evident to any man who has ever written a play or a poem—He has recast it more completely than anyone ever could—or would, with a first sketch often so powerful—recast his own work—Although each scene of Shakespeare follows a scene of the original, he has not throughout the whole play copied one line nearly word for word—at least, I have not remarked one, except a list of 'Volquesson, Touraine, Maine, Poiters, and Anjou, these five provinces'; and this though he constantly found speeches as good as this

... I am interdicted by the Pope, All churches cursed, their doors are sealed up, And for the pleasure of the Romish priest The service of the Highest is neglected The multitude (a beast of many heads) Do wish confusion to their sovereign The nobles, blinded with ambition's fumes, Assemble powers to beat mine empire down, And, more than this, elect a foreign king.
O England, wert thou ever miserable? King John of England sees thee miserable John, 'tis thy sins that makes it miserable! Ourcould delirant reges, plectuntur Achivi' (Part II, sc ii, ll 120-132)

So entirely, indeed, had the dialogue been rewritten that one can hardly imagine Shakespeare to have known the original play except by seeing it acted, and perhaps quickly reading it through How immensely he improved on even the best speeches of his predecessor may be seen from the quotations I shall make, while that predecessor's worst was mere schoolboy doggerel Nor is his refined and polished versification a greater improvement than the clearness and depth of thought in his lines, which show not merely what men said and did, but the reason and the appropriateness of those deeds and speeches The chief faults of the old play are these It has no hero, there is not enough to bind the scenes together, and make an interesting whole of them It is throughout filled with an anti-Romish spirit. violent and vulgar, and entirely out of place in a work of art, though no doubt adding much to the play's temporary popularity. The characters are mere rough outlines, wanting in fulness and consistency, and there is no one in the play, except here and there Falconbridge, in whom you can take much interest The dialogue is rather dull, and lacking in variety and finish, and, finally, the play is much too long-its Second Part especially-and wants neatness and clearness of construction It is characteristic of Shakespeare that, in remedying these faults, he does not for a moment depart from the lines the original author has laid down. He does not go to History for fresh facts to strengthen his plot, he absolutely adds no word of allusion to the Great Charter, which might, one would think, have been worked up into a grand scene Indeed, the only alteration of fact that he makes is a perversion of history, Arthur was not a mere child, but a young man, as, if we may judge by his conversation with Hubert, the original makes him The old play is divided into two parts, each of which is about the length of, and may have been split up into, five short acts Although he has greatly extended almost every important scene, and has doubled the length of the two leading characters. Shakespeare has compressed these ten acts into five of reasonable length, arranged, with a curious instinct which seems prophetic, in almost exact accordance with modern scenic requirements, except as regards the last act. Acts I and II have but one scene apiece, Acts III. and IV each three, of which the middle ones may well be flat or 'carpenter's' scenes, and even in the fifth act the scenery is not very difficult. It is a very noticeable difference between the two plays, that while in the elder we find no systematic division (excepting that into two rather unequal halves), in the later Shakespeare—who I believe always paid great attention to the construction of his acts—has made the inter-acts divide the story into five complete and symmetrical parts. Act I. gives us the French king's challenge and its acceptance by John, with the story of the bastard Philip and his brother Act II shows the commencement of hostilities, and the mutual attack upon Angiers, then the arrangement come to between the kings—the peace made on the marriage between Lewis and Blanch. In Act III. the influence of Rome breaks off this peace, there is a battle in which the French are defeated, and Constance mourns the loss of her son Act IV brings us back to England, and gives us the remainder of Arthur's story, and the revolt of the barons at his death. Act V. shows the advance of the French in England, with their allies the rebellious lords, the murder of the king; and the final mishap to the Dauphin's army, which causes him to offer terms of peace. In reconstructing the play the great want which struck Shakespeare seems to have been that of a strong central figure. He was attracted by the rough, powerful nature which he

could see the Bastard's must have been, almost like a modern dramatist 'writing up' a part for a star actor, he introduced Falconbridge wherever it was possible, gave him the end of every act (except the third), and created, from a rude and inconsistent sketch, a character as strong, as complete, and as original as he ever drew. Throughout a series of scenes, not otherwise very closely connected, this wonderfully real type of faulty, combative, not ignoble manhood is developed, a support and addition to the scenes in which he has least to say, a great power where he is prominent. This is the most striking example of his development of a character, but his treatment of Constance, Arthur, Hubert, Pandulph, and of some portions of the character of John himself is very noticeable. The entire wonderful scene in which Constance laments the loss of her child is founded upon the seven lines.

'My tongue is tuned to story forth mishap
When did I breathe to tell a pleasing tale?
Must Constance speak? Let her tears prevent her talk.
Must I discourse? Let Dido sigh, and say
She weeps again to hear the wrack of Troy
Two words will serve, and then my tale is done—
Elinor's proud brat hath robbed me of my son!' (Pt I, sc x, ll 24-30).

The somewhat sinister wisdom of Pandulph is carefully and at length elaborated, and one of several indistinguishable barons (Salisbury) has been made chief spokesman of the revolt caused by the murder of Arthur Hubert now stands out with a rough manhood which is very sympathetic, and many subtle touches are added to the King's character-of which more hereafter I should like to remark three points in which Shakespeare, in compressing the original, has left matters a little less clear than he found them
In the first place, does it strike one why Falconbridge makes such a dead set at Austria, or Lymoges, as Shakespeare-repeating his predecessor's blunder-sometimes calls him? Are we not apt to fancy that it was chiefly because the bastard was a bullying sort of fellow, and saw that Austria was a coward? But in the old play it is at once and fully shown that he wanted to avenge the duke's cruelty to his father, Richard I, Austria is indeed wearing the skin of the lion which Richard killed, and which gave him his famous surname Then—it is a very minor matter—but one does not quite know why Falconbridge should be so much annoyed at the betrothal of Blanche to the Dauphin, nor why Blanche should have backed up Falconbridge in his apparently unjustifiable attack upon Austria In the original we find that Elinor had half promised Blanche's hand to the Bastard, whom the lady gave up for Lewis with some reluctance Lastly-and this is a good deal more important-Shakespeare does not at all explain why the monk poisoned King John Has not one been rather startled, on seeing the play acted, by its sudden termination? Just when his fortunes are at their most critical point, the hero, without rhyme or reason, dies, some one comes in casually and says that the king is dying, murdered by an anonymous monk, who is indeed described as a 'resolved villain,' but who is not shown to have had any motive whatever for his deed It is as if the Gravedigger should suddenly brain Hamlet with his pick-axe, in the midst of their conversation, and decline to give any reason for his conduct. The author of The Troublesome Raigne, besides giving at length the scene of the ransacking the monasteries by the king's command, tells us in so many words that the murderous monk expected to be 'canonized for a holy saint' for poisoning the king that did 'contemn the pope' and 'never loved a friar,'

and shows us his conception of and preparation for the crime Having tried to show how greatly Shakespeare improved the older play, even from the point of a modern stage-manager. I must explain why his example in this case seems to me, as I have said, a warning as well as a lesson to dramatists What is it that has neutralised his efforts to make of King John a stage-play as successful and enduringly popular as, for example, Richard III? It must be either the subject itself, or the way in which it has been dealt with in the original piece-which, in its broad outlines, he has not attempted to alter The subject is perhaps not altogether a good one The king's great crime is so dastardly, the leading cause of his misfortunes (his quarrel with Rome about Stephen Langton) is so undramatic, and his nature breaks down so entirely at the end-when even a villain like Richard III fights nobly, and forces some sort of respect from the audience—that it may be that no poet could have made a strong play of the story of his life As it is, in Acts I and II he is a non-entity. Falconbridge filling the first act, and nobody being very prominent in the second, in the third act Constance is supreme, and in the fourth Arthur, while even in the fifth the king is not of very great importance, his death-scene being much weakened in effect (however it may gain in refinement) by the removal of his violently remorseful and Protestant speeches Indeed, it must be confessed that the omission from the play of the constant attacks on Popery, though an improvement from a purely literary point of view, destroys to a certain extent its raison d'etre, the spirit that helped to animate its old straggling mass, and, as has been pointed out, the motive of its denouement. The effort, too, to give the piece a hero in Falconbridge is a failure, because, as long experience teaches, you cannot force a character out of the position he would naturally occupy in a play Falconbridge is properly little more than a chorus, a cynical critic of a wicked age—he might be entirely omitted without in the least degree altering the substance of the plot and it is, therefore, impossible to make the story centre in him, as should every story in some one figure, or inseparably connected group of figures Shakespeare has no doubt kept so closely to the lines of the older play because it was a favourite with his audience, and they had grown to accept its history as an absolute fact. but one can hardly help thinking that had he boldly thrown aside these trammels and taken John as his hero, his great central figure, had he analysed and built up before us the mass of power, craft, passion, and deviltry which made up the worst of the Plantagenets, had he dramatised the grand scene of the signing of the Charter, and shown vividly the gloom and horror which overhung the excommunicated land, had he painted John's last despairing struggles against rebels and invaders. as he has given us the fiery end of Macbeth's life—we might have had another Macbeth, another Richard, who would by his terrible personality have welded the play together, and carried us along breathless through his scenes of successive victory and defeat. That by this means something would be lost is true—Falconbridge, for example, would certainly be lessened—but the worth of a real work of art is greater than the worth of any part of it, and Constance and Hubert probably need not suffer, while the influence of the death of Arthur might very likely be made to penetrate more thoroughly the entire play. In Macbeth, Henry V, Richard III, Coriolanus everything is subordinated to the centre, the mainspring of the plot, in King John each act has a different hero What could be more fatal to the interest of the whole? To some it may seem presumptuous thus to criticise Shakespeare, but is it not, indeed, the only way to make sure that one really appreciates him? Of such appreciation I wish my unsparing criticism of his work to be a proof, it is a poor faith that dares not listen to and seek out every accusation against its idol

MOORE SMITH (Introduction, p xxv1) To these points of Mr Rose I would add one more or two In Act II, sc 1 we are surprised that King John arrives in France as soon as Chatillon himself. Chatillon's explanation (1.57, &c) is that

'the adverse winds' Whose leisure I have stay'd have given him time To land his legions all as soon as I'

This may or may not be a more plausible explanation than that given in *The Troublesome Rangue*, where, on the dismissal of Chatillon, John gives a special order

'Pembroke, conuey him safely on the sea But not in hast for as we are aduisde, We meane to be in France as soone as he'

Once more There seems to me a good deal of obscurity in Shakespeare's play concerning the supposed warrant for Arthur's death. In Act III, so iii the King hints to Hubert that he desires him to put Arthur to death, but he gives him no written warrant. In Act IV, so i, 1 6 we hear of a 'warrant,' which at 1 33 is shown to Arthur, but it is clear from Arthur's words at 1 39 that the warrant is not to kill the boy, but to put out his eyes. In act IV, so ii, 1 70 Pembroke speaks of a 'warrant' which Hubert had shown to a friend of his. This one would suppose to be the 'warrant' mentioned in the preceding scene, but here Pembroke evidently considers it a death-warrant (cf. 1 87). Then Hubert announces to John (ll. 206, 207) that Arthur is not blinded but dead, and adds, 'Here is your hand and seal for what I did'. John seems not to deny it, but goes on as if the only instigation which he had given Hubert to kill Arthur was the oral hint in Act III, so iii, ll. 227-232. In The Troublesome Raigne, the whole story is clearer. Hubert's warrant to 'put out the eies of Arthur Plantaginet' is given in full

After sparing the Prince, he comes to John and announces the presence of the lords.

'According to your Highnes strict command Young Arthurs eyes are blinded and extinct.'

When John replies (brutally enough),

'Why so, then he may feele the crowne but never see it.'

Hubert continues.

'Nor see nor feele, for of the extreams paine Within one houer gaue he vp the ghost'

It is in consequence of this story that the lords leave the King in indignation — It is true that when John reproaches Hubert afterwards 'for killing him whom all the world laments,' Hubert replies.

'Why heres my Lord your Highnes hand and seale, Charging on liues regard to doo the deeds';

but this is clearly only the warrant for blinding the boy. I may add that in *The Troublesome Rangue* the rigour shown to Arthur, as well as John's second coronation, was a consequence of the fear excited in John's mind by the prophecy of Peter. In Shakespeare the prophecy came after Arthur's death, and the second coronation is left unaccounted for. Lastly, in Act IV, sc. ii, l. II Salisbury announces, with reference to the coming of the Dauphin, 'Lords, I will meet him at St. Edmunds-

bury', and in Act V, sc 11 we find as a matter of fact, according to our stage-directions, that the meeting is at that place But why at St Edmundsbury? In Shakespeare we see no reason But in The Troublesome Raigne, as in Holinshed. we see that the Lords went to St Edmundsbury disguised as Palmers on pilgrimage to a famous shrine, the better to cloak their rebellious designs from the King Having considered the debt which Shakespeare in King John owes to the older play. we must now ask if there is any evidence that he is also indebted directly to Holinshed or any other authority Mr Wright says, and perhaps with truth, 'There is no reason to suppose that he consulted the Chronicles at all' At the same time there are one or two small points which tend in the other direction In Act II, sc 1, 1 131 Constance casts a slur of unchasity on Queen Elinor There is nothing corresponding to this in the old play, nor, Mr Boswell-Stone says, does Holinshed mention any such imputation on the Queen It is a fact, however, that she had been divorced by Louis VII of France in 1151, and Stow reports that 'she was defamed of adultery,' &c Was this known to Shakespeare, or are Constance's words to be taken as mere stock-abuse? Probably the latter In Act IV, sc 11, l 120 Queen Elinor is said to have died on 'the first of April' This is not stated in the old play, nor, according to Mr Boswell-Stone, in Holinshed Mr Stone thinks that Shakespeare may have chosen this date because Holinshed on the same page which records Elinor's death describes a 'bright fire' in the air which began 'on the first of April' (1204) If so, Shakespeare must at least have referred to Holinshed. But it is at least a curious coincidence that, according to the Annales de Waverleia (256), quoted by Mr Stone, Elinor did die on April 1, 1204 In Act V, sc 111, 1 o Shakespeare speaks of the 'great supply' that was wrecked on Goodwin Sands. The old play does not use the word 'supply' here, but Holmshed tells us that 'a new supplie of men was readie to come and aid Lewes' As, however, in regard to the wreck, Shakespeare is distinctly following the old play and not Holinshed, who describes the ships as defeated and not wrecked, it is safest to think the use of the word 'supply' is here accidental In Act V, sc vii, 1 99 Shakespeare tells us that John had 'willed' to be buried at Worcester The old play says merely

> 'Meanwhile to Worster let vs bear the King And there interre his bodie, as beseemes'

On the other hand, Holinshed says that John was buried at Worcester, 'not for that he had so appointed (as some write).' Was this version known to Shakespeare?

Moore Smith (English Miscellany, p 335) I note two small instances in which Shakespeare, in King John, borrows something from The Troublesome Raigne, but uses it in another connexion than its original one. Both illustrate the fineness of feeling. In the old play the Bastard, in his anger at the marriage of Lewis and Blanch, threatens Lewis that he will cause his wife to be unfaithful to him

'But let the froelicke Frenchman take no scorne
If Philip front him with an English horne'
(Hazlitt's Shakespeare's Library, vol. v, p. 249.)

Shakespeare treats the marriage as one in which the audience are to feel a sympathetic interest, and in this connexion the Bastard's threat would be an outrage. But Shakespeare allows the Bastard to utter the same taunt to Austria (II, i, 292), for whom the audience have no sympathy whatever In the old play the Bastard utters a horrible threat to his mother, to treat her as Nero treated Agrippina, unless

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE-COLLIER-SYMONDS 465

she will tell him the truth This was too revolting for Shakespeare to keep in this connexion, but he used the same historical illustration for the conduct of the rebel lords towards England (V, 11, 152)

Collier (History of Dram Poetry, 111, 73) The Troublesome Reign of King John, King of England, 18 in two parts, and bears the marks of more than one hand in its composition the first part, and especially the earlier portion of it, is full of rhymes, while in the second part they comparatively seldom occur, which may be said to establish that the one was written nearer the date when rhyme was first discarded. The blank-verse of the second part is also a decided improvement upon that of the first part, it is less cumbrous and more varied, though still monotonous in its cadences. Malone, upon conjecture only, attributed the old King John to Greene or Peele, and some passages in the second part would do credit to either. In the opening of it is a beautiful simile, which Shakespeare might have used had he not been furnished, on the same occasion, with another from the abundant store of his own fancy, that which he employs has, perhaps, more novelty, but assuredly less grace, and both are equally appropriate. Arthur has thrown himself from the tower, and is found dead. Shakespeare calls his body

'An empty casket, where the jewel of life By some damn'd hand was robb'd and Ta'en away '

The author of the second part of the old King John describes the dead body as a

'withered flower.

Who in his life shin'd like the morning's blush, Cast out of door'

Shakespeare may be said to have borrowed nothing from this piece beyond an important historical blunder, pointed out by Steevens (II, 1, 8), as to his having 'preserved the greatest part of the conduct' of the elder production, both writers very much followed the chroniclers of the time.

SWINDURNE (p 99) The Troublesome Reign of King John, weakest and most wooden of all wearisome chronicles that ever cumbered the boards, had in it for sole principle of life its power of congenial appeal to the same blatant and vulgar spirit of Protestantism which inspired it. In all the flat interminable morass of its tedious and tuneless verse I can find no blade or leaf of living poetic growth, no touch but one of nature and of pathos, where Arthur dying would fain send a last thought in search of his mother. From this play Shakespeare can have got neither hint nor help towards the execution of his own, the crude sketch of the Bastard as he brawls and swaggers through the long length of its scenes is hardly so much as the cast husk or chrysalid of the noble creature which was to arise and take shape for ever at the transfiguring touch of Shakespeare.

SYMONDS (p. 376). The Troublesome Reign of King John, in two parts, is, to all appearances, a piece of work posterior to Marlowe's Tamburlaine, written in sustained but very rough blank verse, converting the prose chronicle bluntly into scenes, and indulging in but rare occasional diversions. A ribald episode in rhyme, introduced into the first part, and containing a coarse satire on monastic institutions, may be regarded as a farcial interlude rather than an integral portion of the play. When Shakespeare set his hand to King John he found the Bastard's part blocked

out with swaggering vigour in the elder chronicle, the cornless germ of Hubert's character, and a bare suggestion of the King's contrivance for his nephew's murder. In the evolution of our theatrical literature it is singularly interesting to notice the gradual development of this historical drama in its three stages. Bale's performance marks the emergence of the subject, still encumbered with the allegonical personifications and didactic purposes of the Morality. The Troublesome Reign exhibits a dull specimen of solid play-carpentry in the earliest and crudest age of blank-verse composition. King John is a masterpiece belonging to the second period of Shakespeare's maturity

FURNIVALL (Forewords to Prætorius Facsimilie, pt 11, p xxxv1) If Shakespere had not rewritten The Troublesome Raigne, I think the author of it would have got more credit for his work than he has yet obtained As the case stands, almost all the Shakespere critics-save Mr W Watkiss Lloyd-have felt bound to run down the old Playwright and run up Shakespere They don't seem to have askt themselves what merit Shakespere saw in the old play, that he was content to write his own King John on his foregoer's lines (more or less), and go no further than The Troublesome Raigne for his material. They do not give the Playwright credit for having recognized before Shakespere, that—in Elizabethan days at least—comedy had to be muxt with history in order to get an effective historical play They forget that if Shakespere had his first lesson of the kind in The Contention and 2 Henry VI, it made so little impression upon him that after he wrote Ruhard II. and Ruhard III. without comic relief—and made his gardeners in the former play talk like philosophers—while after the Troublesome Raigne and King John he learnt to put Falstaffe and comedy into Henry IV and V They pass over the fact that Shakespere put his seal of approval on the old Playwright's invention of Falconbridge and his mother, &c, his alteration of Holinshed's characters of Arthur, of Limoges, &c, and his avoidance of Constance's re-marriages They do not give the earlier dramatist credit for his keeping clear of one great blemish in Shakespere's play, the nonshowing of the motive for the poisoning of John by the Swinstead monk They are not as fair to the old playwright as Shakespere himself was. He evidently said to himself when he saw (or perchance read the MS of) The Troublesome Raigne: 'This play has merit, it'll do for me, I can make a better thing of it, but the man who wrote it is no fool, he's given me all the material I want, and hints that I can develop, and I thank him for them.' Though it is quite true that no good play can be made of the historic John, who degraded himself from the representative of England's independence into the Pope's tool, from a man into a cur, yet it is clear that the old playwright made a very fair drama on the subject for his time That scene xi. of Part I, when the Bastard finds the Nun lockt up in the prior's chest 'to hide her from lay men,' and then discovers 'Friar Lawrence' lockt up in the ancient Nun's chest, must have been a very telling one on the Elizabethan stage, you can fancy the audience's chuckles over it So also must the Falconbridge incident, I, i, and the Bastard killing Limoges on the stage, Pt. I, sc. xi, have been thoroughly appreciated. Besides these scenes, the pathos of Arthur's death, the patriotism of the resistance to the Pope, and to John's oppressive taxation, the treachery of the French turning the nobles back to their allegiance, the final echo of the chronicler.

'Let England liue but true within it selfe,
And all the world can neuer wrong her state . . .
If England's Peeres and people loyne in one,
Nor Pope, nor France, nor Spaine can doo them wrong'—

all these points must have appealed strongly to an audience of Elizabeth's time, to whom home strife, Armada threats, disputed succession to the throne, and Papal intrigues were matters of lifelong familiarity. And altogether, many as are the blemishes of *The Troublesome Rangue*, no fair-minded reader will deny or belittle its ments.

SCHELLING (Chronicle Play, p 46) With The Troublesome Raigne we reach a typical specimen of the earlier Chronicle History before it was transformed by the genius of Marlowe and Shakespeare. That it was acted soon after the performance of Tamburlame the prologue discloses. Hence unless the play antedate this prologue The Troublesome Raigne was first acted in the year of the Armada Although The Troublesome Rangue adheres to epic sequence of event, the material of the chronicle is treated with some skill and much Freedom Thus the dramatist suppresses, as did Shakespeare after him, the fact of the remarriage of Queen Constance, and assigns a motive, in his rapacious treatment of the clergy, for the poisoning of John in Swinstead Abbey, a point omitted by Shakespeare The Troublesome Raigne retains the admixture of comic and serious material which characterized the two older specimens of its class and which descended to the regular drama from the earliest times The clown is not a character of The Troublesome Raigne, and the step to the comedy of Shakespeare's Henry IV becomes conceivable Whether from reluctance to offer so great a relief to the dark picture of the unworthy tyrant John or from the example of Marlowe, Shakespeare reduced the comedy element of the older play to the single figure of the Bastard Faulconbridge, and ennobled that personage with a deeper and richer character than is his in The Troublesome Raigne To accomplish this last Shakespeare was compelled to omit the finest scene of the older play, that between Philip and Lady Faulconbridge, in which the youth wrings from his reluctant mother a confession of her frailty and the certainty that his real father was King Richard Cordelion Shakespeare also confined within bounds the staunch and boisterous Protestant spirit with which the earlier play is pervaded, a spirit which, in view of the contemporary struggle with Spain, assumes a political rather than a polemical bias This spirit, which does not materially interfere with the general purpose of the play, suffices together with its improved style, the greater ease of its verse, its earnest attempt at consistency and clear outlining of character, to raise this play to a position distinctly above the two earlier productions of its class. It may not be too much to affirm that in the personages of The Troublesome Raigne, especially in the King and in Faulconbridge (to the vigorous characterization of which Shakespeare himself owes more than a hint), we have the earliest vital representation of an historical personage upon the English stage.

Munro (Introduction, p. xxi.). The older play has been called worthless, it is better than that. Dr F S Boas justly says of it, 'It contained the outhnes, sketched with a good deal of vigour, of all the principal characters' It is here—here alone, perhaps—that the author's merit lies. The great characters are all decisively limited by a strong though not delicate hand. John's brutal selfishness, Arthur's boyish gentleness, the Bastard's rough manliness, audacity, and capability, Pandulph's subtlety, and Elinor's masterful shrewdness, have definite beginnings in the old play. Had the author possessed more humanistic feeling, more sympathetic imagination, his art might have been better and his figures more replete with telling detail. He was obsessed by other ideals. Situations which a man with dramatic

instinct could (and did later) develop with tremendous human appeal, he neglects or abuses with theological allusions But, like all the Elizabethan playwrights, he knew his business well enough to satisfy the needs of his theatre he gives us three battles, disputes of monarchs, a coronation, prophecies and marvels, a betrothal. humour in a friary, plots rebellions, proclamations, the sufferings of the innocent. a death-scene, some bombast and sature, and much patriotic feeling He was, indeed. for an age, and has crept into all time in the shadow of another His standpoint is largely theological He selected and constructed his plot as much for religious argument as the author of Republica had written for the opposite school His intention was to depict John as the prophetic forerunner of Henry VIII, to emphasize how much national solidarity was bound up with opposition to Rome, to portray corruption in the monasteries and faithlessness in the Papacy, and to show how John's pandering to priesthood had produced internecine strife and invasion He was incited by the passionate national feeling which had seized hold of men Drake had burst on Cadiz in 1587, and the Armada sailed in 1588 The lines 'to the Gentlemen Readers' strike the prevailing note John is 'the warlike Christian,' who, 'for Christ's true faith . . set himself against the man of Rome' From the first John promises what Henry VIII accomplished 'I'll seize the lazy abbeylubbers lands' he declares in the first scene, and later he replaces 'abbey-lubbers' by such contumelious phrases as 'the pope and his shavelings,' supported by approbrious references to 'trental obsequies, mass, and month's-mind' He speaks of himself as 'in arms against the Romish Pride' (Sc viii, 1 40) Although he honours the church and holy churchmen, and is eager for the service of the highest (Part I, sc 11, 1 124), he scorns 'to be subject to the greatest prelate in the world' (Sc. v.), and designates as 'asses' those kings before him who had borne

'The Slavish weight of that controlling priest' (Sc. xii).

He greeves that their devotion had led them into 'a thousand acts of shame' His conception of kinghood is such that he repudiates the overlordship of any power in Christendom, and his intention is to the full, all that the Fidei Defensor of 1544 was to imply 'As I am King, so will I reign next under God, Supreme Head both over spiritual and temporal' (Sc v) He satirizes the riches and idleness of the monks and friars, and the adventures of the bastard, his abettor, among the 'smooth-skin nuns' and 'Fausen friars' are depicted with coarse humour John's position declares the author's intention. Here was the instrument, had it been worthy, which might have accomplished for England all that Henry VIII accomplished But John made one fatal blunder The turning-point in the action, and the primary cause of John's failure, was the murder of, or the intent to murder. Arthur It was this which caused the secession of nobles, and lent weight to the charges of Rome. Hauteur, usurpation, and disobedience put him without the pale of the Church, murder, without the pale of humanity And it was the secession of the nobles which gave Lewis his chance, which made him the formidable tool of Pandulph, and indirectly entailed John's end Lewis himself is made to dwell upon the necessity of the rebels in his campaign, and the impregnability of the island without them (Part II, sc. ix, ll. 25 and on). The logical climax, so far as this author was concerned, was the failure of John Such a climax was the consistent outcome of his purpose. That, however, was already accomplished when John bared his head to Pandulph Still, the 'Fall of Princes' conception of tragedy carries the play on to what should have been the dramatic climax, the death, when again the desire to present the first step in the prophetic mission of John, the accession of Henry, spoils the dramatic effect even of this. The principal dramatic consequence of the attack of Arthur is obscured by the author, but he attempts to intensify the nemesis which overtakes John by relieving him of direct responsibility for the boy's death. Hubert disobeys his orders and the boy lives, and it seems as if all might yet go well We leave John at the end of Part I 'replete with bliss that Arthur lives' But he had gone too far The death of Arthur, and all that seemed frustrated through no virtue of John's, speedily follow. Heaven averts its face, sickness smites him, his mother dies, he is compelled dissemblingly and unavailingly to bow to the power he had rejected and insulted, his forces are lost in the Wash, and finally, in the war his folly has invited, he is poisoned at the abbey which has been ransacked by his orders The author is anxious to emphasize that submission to Rome was John's greatest blunder (Pt. II, sc viii, 1 95), and the Bastard describes his misfortune as 'the fruit of Popery' (Pt II, sc viii, 1 113) John came to recognize his own unworthiness, and declared his forerunnership—

'Thy sins are far too great to be the man
T' abolish Pope and popery from thy realm
But in thy seat, if I may guess at all,
A king shall reign that shall suppress them all' (Pt II, sc 11, ll 169-172).

There is a touch of nobler regret in his last utterance—

'I am not he shall build the Lord a house
Or root these locusts from the face of the earth,
But if my dying heart deceive me not,
From out these loins shall spring a kingly branch,
Whose arms shall reach unto the gates of Rome,
And with his feet tread down the strumpet's pride
That sits upon the chair of Babylon'

For the rest, the author always keeps his end in view the easy Papal excommunication and dispensation, Papal fickleness, the treacherous swearing by Lewis on the altar, the definite connexion between the rebels and Pandulph and Lewis, the theological contention between Hubert and Arthur, the reviling of Rome by Lewis himself, John's utterances and the friary scenes, the appeal to Englishmen never to trust foreign rule, and the concluding words of the Bastard, are all designed to maintain the plea with which the author begins

BROOKE (p 227) The writer of *The Troublesome Raigne* used or misused the events of history as its writer pleased, and Shakespeare in his play took a similar licence. Why, being quite able, as in his other historical dramas, to follow history almost accurately, he chose in this drama to play pranks with facts, and in some cases without dramatic necessity, I can only conjecture, and, indeed, it does not make much matter. The real matter is the play itself, its presentation of human passions, and the probable insight it gives us into the personal patriotism of Shakespeare. It may be amusing to find out Shakespeare's deliberate errors, and we can discover them in every text-book on this drama, but when we read or see the play it is best, for the time, to assume that Shakespeare was right in his variations from the truth. History is one thing, and it is good, of course, to know the facts, but art is another thing, and, however she may choose to manipulate the facts, she is excused if her deviation from fact enables her to create new images of humanity and varied pictures of our life. If Shakespeare, for example, had followed historical

fact we should never have had the scene between Arthur and Hubert, or the wild magnificence of the grief of Constance, or Faulconbridge's steady loyalty to England when all seemed lost, and even one of these representations is more important in its truth to human nature, and in its influence of humanity, than any accurate knowledge of the facts about King John I should have liked to have seen Shakespeare at work on The Troublesome Raigne, which he took as his original It is not quite a bad play, but his humourous rage at its weakness, false passion, and blundering execution could only have been matched by the delight he had in reconceiving, re-forming, re-charactering the whole of it It is told of Michael Angelo that his friends brought to him a huge block of marble, ten feet high, which some futile sculptor had begun to shape, and then, in despair, had the grace to surrender The great artist saw beneath the rude block the noble statue of David which stood for so long in front of the palace of the Signoria at Florence He sprang upon it with a chisel and mallet, in a fiery energy, and out of the formless marble emerged, as if at the voice of God, the young conqueror of the Philistine With a like fire and fury of creative energy we may imagine Shakespeare hewing out his King John from the formless mass of The Troublesome Raigne What joy was his as he felt, rising into speaking life beneath his hand, the terrible motherhood of Constance, the piteous childhood of Arthur, the growing manhood of Faulconbridge, the dignified statesmanship of Salisbury, and the strange figure, mingled of vile clay and gold, of the King whom he slew on so burning a couch because he had wronged England is no joy in the wide world to be for one moment compared to the joy of creation, and all men of creative genius know and have loved that lonely rapture

THE

TROUBLESOME RAIGNE

of John, King of England, with the discovery of King Richard Cordelion's Base sone (vulgarly named, the Bastard Fawconbridge): also the death of King John at Swinstead Abbey.

As it was (sundry times) publicly acted by the Queen's Majesty's Players, in the honourable City of London.

[Device]

Imprinted at London for Sampson Clarke, and are to be sold at his shop, on the backside of the Royall Exchange.

I59I.

TO THE GENTLEMEN READERS.

You that with friendly grace of smoothed brow Have entertained the Scythian *Tamburlaine*, And given applause unto an Infidel,
Vouchsafe to welcome, with like courtesy,
A warlike Christian and your countryman
For Christ's true faith endur'd he many a storm,
And set himself against the Man of Rome,
Until base treason (by a damnèd wight)
Did all his former triumphs put to flight
Accept of it, sweet Gentles, in good sort,
And think it was prepar'd for your disport

5

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE OF KING JOHN

[SCENE 1]

Enter K. Iohn, Queene Elinor his mother, William Marshal Earle of Pembrooke, the Earles of Essex, and of Salisbury

Queene Elianor	
Barons of England, and my noble Lords .	
Though God and Fortune have bereft from us	
Victorious Richard, scourge of infidels,	
And clad this land in stole of dismal hue,	
Yet give me leave to joy, and joy you all,	
That from this womb hath sprung a second hope,	•
A King that may, in rule and virtue both,	
Succeed his brother in his Empery	
K John My gracious mother Queen, and barons all,	
Though far unworthy of so high a place	10
As is the throne of mighty England's king,	
Yet, John, your Lord, contented uncontent,	
Will, as he may, sustain the heavy yoke	
Of pressing cares that hang upon a crown	
My Lord of Pembrooke, and Lord Salsbury,	15
Admit the Lord Shatillion to our presence,	
That we may know what Philip, King of Fraunce,	
By his ambassadors, requires of us	
Q Elinor Dare lay my hand, that Elinor can guess	
Whereto this weighty embassade doth tend	20
If of my nephew Arthur and his claim,	
Then say, my son, I have not mist my aim	
Enter CHATILLION and the two Earls	
K John My Lord Chairllion, welcome into England .	
How fares our brother Philip, King of Fraunce?	
Chat His Highness, at my coming, was in health,	25
And will'd me to salute your Majesty,	
And say the message he hath given in charge.	
K John And spare not, man, we are prepar'd to hear	
Chat Philip, by the grace of God, most Christian King of Fraunce, having	
taken into his guardian and protection, Arthur, Duke of Britiaine, son and	30
heir to Jeffrey, thine elder brother, requireth, in the behalf of the said	
Arthur, the Kingdom of England, with the Lordship of Ireland, Pottiers,	
Annow, Torain, Maine: and I attend thine answer.	
K John A small request: belike he makes account	34

34

That England, Ireland, Posters, Ansow, Torain, Maine,	35
Are nothing for a king to give at once	
I wonder what he means to leave for me	
Tell Philip, he may keep his lords at home	
With greater honour than to send them thus	
On embassades that not concern himself,	40
Or if they did, would yield but small return	
Chat Is this thine answer?	
K John It is, and too good an answer for so proud a message.	
Chat Then, King of England in my master's name,	
And in Prince Arthur, Duke of Brittaine's name,	45
I do defy thee as an enemy,	
And wish thee to prepare for bloody wars	
O Elinor My Lord, that stands upon defiance thus,	
Commend me to my nephew, tell the boy,	
That I, Queen Elianor (his Grandmother)	50
Upon my blessing, charge him leave his arms,	•
Whereto his head-strong mother pricks him so	
Her pride we know, and know her for a dame	
That will not stick to bring him to his end,	
So she may bring herself to rule a realm	55
Next, wish him to forsake the King of Fraunce,	-
And come to me, and to his uncle here	
And he shall want for nothing at our hands	
Chat This shall I do, and thus I take my leave	
Iohn Pembrooke, convey him safely to the sea,	60
But not in haste; for, as we are advis'd,	-
We mean to be in Fraunce as soon as he,	
To fortify such towns as we possess	
In Aniou, Torain, and in Normandy [Exit Chatt]	
Enter the Shrive, & whispers the Earl of Sals. in the ear	
Salisbury Please it your Majesty, here is the Sheriff of Northampton-	65
shire, with certain persons that of late committed a riot, and have appeal'd	
to your Majesty, beseeching your Highness, for special cause, to hear them.	
John. Will them come near And while we hear the cause,	
Go, Salsbury, and make provision,	
We mean with speed to pass the sea to Fraunce [Exit Salisbury]	70
Say, Shrieve, what are these men? what have they done?	
Or whereto tends the course of this appeal?	
Shrieve. Please it your Majesty, these two brethren, unnaturally falling at	
odds about their father's living, have broken your Highness' peace, in seek-	
ing to right their own wrongs without cause of law or order of justice, and un-	75
lawfully assembled themselves in mutinous manner, having committed a	
not, appealing from trial in their country to your Highness; and here I,	
Thomas Newdigate, Shrieve of Northamptonshire, do deliver them over to	
their trial.	
K. John. My Lord of Essex, will the offenders to stand forth, and tell	80
the cause of their quarrel	
Essex Gentlemen, it is the King's pleasure that you discover your	
gnefs, and doubt not but you shall have justice.	83

Philip Please it your Majesty, the wrong is mine, yet will I abide all	
wrongs, before I once open my mouth to unrip the shameful slander of my	85
parents, the dishonour of myself, and the wicked dealing of my brother, in	
this princely assembly	
Robert Then, by my Prince his leave, shall Robert speak,	
And tell your Majesty what right I have	
To offer wrong, as he accounteth wrong	90
My father, (not unknown unto your Grace,)	•
Receiv'd his spurs of knighthood in the field,	
At kingly Richard's hands in Palestine,	
Whenas the walls of Acon gave him way	
His name, Sir Robert Fauconbridge of Mountbery	95
What by succession from his ancestors,	,,
And warlike service under England's arms,	
His living did amount to, at his death,	
Two thousand marks revenue every year	
And this, my Lord, I challenge for my right,	100
As lawful heir to Robert Fauconbridge	
Philip If first-born son be heir indubitate	
By certain right of Englands ancient law,	
How should myself make any other doubt	
But I am heir to Robert Fauconbridge?	
	105
Iohn Fond youth, to trouble these our princely ears,	
Or make a question in so plain a case	
Speak! Is this man thine elder brother born?	
Robert Please it your Grace with patience for to hear,	
I not deny but he mine elder is,	110
Mine elder brother too, yet in such sort,	
As he can make no title to the land	
Iohn A doubtful tale as ever I did hear,	
Thy brother and thine elder, and no heir,	
Explain this dark Ænigma	115
Robert I grant, my lord, he is my mother's son,	
Base born, and base begot, no Fauconbridge	
Indeed, the world reputes him lawful heir,	
My father in his life did count him so,	
And here my mother stands, to prove him so	120
But I, my lord, can prove, and do aver,	
Both to my mother's shame and his reproach,	
He is no heir, nor yet legitimate	
Then, gracious lord, let Fauconbridge enjoy	
The living that belongs to Fauconbridge,	125
And let not him possess another's right	-
Take Dearen this the land is then her Emplands law	
Q. Eleanor Ungracious youth, to up thy mother's shame,	
The womb from whence thou did'st thy being take.	
All honest ears abhor thy wickedness,	130
But gold, I see, doth beat down Nature's law	-50
- • • • •	***
Mother My gracious lord,—and you, thrice reverend Dame,	132

That see the tears distilling from mine eyes,	133
And scalding sighs blown from a rented heart,	
For honour and regard of womanhood,	135
Let me entreat to be commanded hence	
Let not these ears receive the hissing sound	
Of such a viper, who, with poison'd words	
Doth macerate the bowels of my soul	
Iohn Lady, stand up, be patient for a while	140
And fellow, say, whose bastard is thy brother?	
Philip Not for myself, nor for my mother now,	
But for the honour of so brave a man	
Whom he accuseth with adultery,	
Here I beseech your Grace, upon my knees,	145
To count him mad, and so dismiss us hence	
Robert Nor mad, nor maz'd, but well advised, I	
Charge thee, before this royal presence here	
To be a bastard to King Richard's self,	
Son to your Grace and brother to your Majesty	150
Thus bluntly, and	•
Elianor Young man,	
Thou needst not be ashamed of thy kin,	
Nor of thy sire But forward with thy proof	
Robert The proof so plain, the argument so strong,	155
As that your Highness and these noble lords,	•
And all (save those that have no eyes to see)	
Shall swear him to be bastard to the King	
First, when my father was Ambassador	
In Germany unto the Emperor,	160
The King lay often at my father's house,	
And all the realm suspected what befell	
And at my father's back-return again,	
My mother was deliver'd, as 'tis said,	
Six weeks before the account my father made	165
But more than this look but on Philip's face,	3
His features, actions, and his lineaments,	
And all this princely presence shall confess	
He is no other but King Richard's son	
Then, gracious lord, rest he King Richard's son,	170
And let me rest safe in my father's right,	-/-
That am his rightful son and only heir	
Iohn. Is this thy proof, and all thou hast to say?	
Robert I have no more, nor need I greater proof	
Iohn. First, where thou saidst, in absence of thy sire.	175
My brother often lodged in his house	-13
And what of that, base groom, to slander him	
That honour'd his Ambassador so much.	
In absence of the man, to cheer the wife?	
This will not hold, proceed unto the next	180
Q Elinor. Thou say'st, she teem'd six weeks before her time.	100
Why, good Sir Squire, are you so cunning grown,	182
1 oce	102

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	477
To make account of women's reckonings? Spit in your hand, and to your other proofs	183
Many mischances hap in such affairs,	185
To make a woman come before her time	105
Iohn And where thou say'st, he looketh like the King	
In action, feature and proportion	
Therein I hold with thee, for in my life	
I never saw so lively counterfeit	190
Of Richard Cordelion, as in him	,
Robert Then, good my lord, be you indifferent judge,	
And let me have my living and my right	
Q Elinor Nay, hear you, sir, you run away too fast	
Know you not, Omne simile non est idem?	195
Or have read in Harke ye, good sir !	
'Twas thus I warrant, and no otherwise	
She lay with Sir Robert your father, and thought upon King Richard my	
son, and so your brother was form'd in this fashion	
Robert Madam, you wrong me thus to jest it out	200
I crave my right King Iohn, as thou art King,	
So be thou just, and let me have my right	
Iohn Why, foolish boy, thy proofs are frivolous,	
Nor canst thou challenge anything thereby	
But thou shalt see how I will help thy claim,	205
This is my doom, and this my doom shall stand	
Irrevocable, as I am King of England	
For thou know'st not, we'll ask of them that know;	
His mother and himself shall end this strife, And as they say, so shall thy living pass	210
Robert My lord, herein I challenge you of wrong,	210
To give away my right, and put the doom	
Unto themselves Can there be likelihood	
That she will loose,	
Or he will give the living from himself?	215
It may not be, my lord Why should it be?	3
Iohn Lords, keep him back, and let him hear the doom	
Essex, First ask the mother thrice, who was his sire?	
Essex Lady Margaret, widow of Fauconbridge, who was father to thy	
son Philip?	220
Mother Please it your Majesty, Sir Robert Fauconbridge	
Robert This is right, ask my fellow there if I be a thief	
Iohn Ask Philip whose son he is	
Essex. Philip, who was thy father?	
Philip. Mass, my lord, and that's a question and you had not taken	225
some pains with her before, I should have desired you to ask my mother	
Iohn. Say, who was thy father?	
Philip. Faith, my lord, to answer you sure, he is my father that was	
nearest my mother, when I was gotten; and him I think to be Sir Robert	
Fauconbridge	230
Iohn. Essex, for fashion's sake demand again,	
And so an end to this contention.	232

APPENDIX

Robert Was ever man thus wrong'd as Robert is?	233
Essex Philip, speak, I say, who was thy father?	
K John Young man, how now, what, art thou in a trance?	235
Q Elinor Philip, awake! The man is in a dream	
Philip Philippus, atams edite Regibus	
What say'st thou, Philip, sprung of ancient Kings?	
Quo me rapit tempestas?	
What wind of honour blows this fury forth,	240
Or whence proceed these fumes of majesty?	-
Methinks I hear a hollow echo sound,	
That Philip is the son unto a King	
The whistling leaves upon the trembling trees,	
Whistle in concert I am Richard's son,	245
The bubbling murmur of the water's fall,	
Records Philippus Regis filius,	
Birds in their flight make music with their wings,	
Filling the air with glory of my birth,	
Birds, bubbles, leaves and mountains, echo, all	250
Ring in mine ears, that I am Richard's son	3-
Fond man, ah, whither art thou carried?	
How are thy thoughts yrapt in Honour's heaven?	
Forgetful what thou art, and whence thou cam'st?	
Thy father's land cannot maintain these thoughts,	255
These thoughts are far unfitting Fauconbridge,	~33
And well they may, for why this mounting mind	
Doth soar too high to stoop to Fauconbridge	
Why, how now? Knowest thou where thou art?	
And know'st thou who expects thine answer here?	260
Wilt thou, upon a frantic madding vein,	200
Go lose thy land, and say thyself base-born?	
No, keep thy land, though Richard were thy sire	
Whate'er thou think'st, say thou art Fauconbridge	
Iohn Speak, man, be sudden, who thy father was	265
Philip. Please it your Majesty, Sir Robert	203
Philip, that Fauconbridge cleaves to thy jaws.	
It will not out · I cannot, for my life,	
Say I am son unto a Fauconbridge.	
Let land and living go ! 'tis Honour's fire	270
That makes me swear King Richard was my sire.	2/0
Base to a King, adds title of more state,	
Than knight's begotten, though legitimate	
Please it your Grace, I am King Richard's son	
Robert. Robert, revive thy heart, let sorrow die !	075
His faltering tongue not suffers him to he	275
Mother. What headstrong fury doth enchant my son?	
Philip. Philip can not repent, for he hath done.	
Iohn Then, Philip, blame not me, thyself hast lost	
By wilfulness, thy hving and thy land	280
Robert, thou art the heir of Fauconbridge	200
God give thee joy, greater than thy desert !	282
and the state of t	404

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	479
Q Elianor Why, how now, Philip, give away thine own? Philip Madam, I am bold to make myself your nephew,	283
The poorest kinsman that your Highness hath,	285
And with this proverb 'gin the world anew,	
Help hands, I have no lands, Honour is my desire,	
Let Philip live to show himself worthy so great a sire	
Elinor Philip, I think thou knew'st thy grandam's mind	
But cheer thee, boy I will not see thee want	290
As long as Elmor hath foot of land,	
Henceforth thou shalt be taken for my son,	
And wait on me, and on thine uncle here,	
Who shall give honour to thy noble mind	
K John Philip, kneel down, that thou may'st throughly know How much thy resolution pleaseth us	295
Rise up, Sir Richard Plantagenet, King Richard's son	
Philip Grant, Heavens, that Philip once may show himself	
Worthy the honour of Plantagenet,	
Or basest glory of a bastard's name	300
K John Now, Gentlemen, we will away to Fraunce,	300
To check the pride of Arthur and his mates	
Essex, thou shalt be ruler of my realm,	
And toward the main charges of my wars	
I'll seize the lazy abbey-lubbers' lands	305
Into my hands, to pay my men of war	0-5
The Pope and Popelings shall not grease themselves	
With gold and groats that are the soldiers' due.	
Thus, forward, lords ! let our command be done,	
And march we forward mightily to Fraunce	310
[Exeunt Manet Philip and his Mother.	
Philip Madam, I beseech you, deign me so much leisure as the hearing	
of a matter that I long to impart to you	
Mother What's the matter, Philip? I think your suit in secret tends	
to some money matter, which you suppose burns in the bottom of my	
chest	315
Philip No, Madam, it is no such suit as to beg or borrow,	
But such a suit as, might some other grant,	
I would not now have troubled you withal	
Mother A God's name let us hear it!	
Philip Then, Madam, thus your ladyship sees well,	320
How that my scandal grows by means of you,	
In that report hath rumour'd up and down,	
I am a bastard, and no Fauconbridge This gross attaint so tilteth in my thoughts,	
Maintaining combat to abridge my ease,	325
That field and town, and company alone,	3-3
Whatso I do, or wheresoe'er I am,	
I cannot chase the slander from my thoughts.	
If it be true, resolve me of my sire;	
For, pardon, Madam, if I think amiss	330
Be Philip Philip, and no Fauconbridge,	

His father doubtless was as brave a man.	[Kneels]	332
To you on knees, as sometime Phaeton,		
Mistrusting silly Merop for his sire,		
Straining a little bashful modesty,		335
I beg some instance whence I am extraught		
Mother Yet more ado to haste me to my grave!		
And wilt thou too become a mother's cross?		
Must I accuse myself, to close with you,		
Slander myself, to quiet your affects?		340
Thou mov'st me, Philip, with this idle talk,		•
Which I remit, in hope this mood will die		
Philip Nay, lady mother, hear me further yet,		
For strong conceit drives duty hence awhile		
Your husband Fauconbridge was father to that son		345
That carries marks of nature like the sire,		
The son that blotteth you with wedlock's breach,		
And holds my right, as lineal in descent		
From him whose form was figur'd in his face		
Can Nature so dissemble in her frame,		350
To make the one so like as like may be,		00-
And in the other, print no character		
To challenge any mark of true descent?		
My brother's mind is base, and too too dull		
To mount where Philip lodgeth his affects,		355
And his external graces that you view,		000
Though I report it, counterpoise not mine		
His constitution, plain debility,		
Requires the chair, and mine the seat of steel,		
Nay, what is he, or what am I to him,		360
When anyone that knoweth how to carp,		3
Will scarcely judge us both one-country-born?		
This, Madam, this, hath drove me from myself,		
And here, by Heaven's eternal lamps, I swear,		
As cursed Nero with his mother did,		365
So I with you, if you resolve me not		5-5
Mother Let mother's tears quench out thy anger's fire,		
And urge no further what thou dost require		
Philip. Let son's entreaty sway the mother now.		
Or else she dies . I'll not infringe my vow		370
Mother. Unhappy task must I recount my shame,		0 , -
Blab my misdeeds, or, by concealing, die?		
Some power strike me speechless for a time,		
Or take from him awhile his hearing's use !		
Why wish I so, unhappy as I am?		375
The fault is mme, and he the faulty fruit.		0.0
I blush, I faint, oh, would I might be mute!		
Philip. Mother, be brief! I long to know my name.		
Mother. And longing, die, to shroud thy mother's shame.		
Philip. Come, Madam, come, you need not be so loth.		380
The shame is shared equal 'twixt us both.		500

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	481
Is't not a slackness in me, worthy blame,	382
To be so old, and cannot write my name?	50-
Good mother, resolve me !	
Mother Then, Philip, hear thy fortune and my grief,	385
My honour's loss, by purchase of thyself,	• •
My shame, thy name, and husband's secret wrong,	
All maim'd and stain'd by youth's unruly sway	
And when thou know'st from whence thou art extraught,	
Or if thou knew'st what suits, what threats, what fears,	390
To move by love, or massacre by death,	
To yield with love, or end by love's contempt,	
The mightiness of him that courted me,	
Who temper'd terror with his wanton talk,	
That, something may extenuate the guilt	395
But let it not advantage me so much,	
Upbraid me rather with the Roman dame	
That shed her blood to wash away her shame.	
Why stand I to expostulate the crime	
With pro et contra, now the deed is done?	400
When, to conclude, two words may tell the tale,	
That Philip's father was a Prince's son,	
Rich England's rule, world's only terror, he,	
For honour's loss, left me with child of thee, Whose son thou art Then pardon me the rather,	
	405
For fair King Richard was thy noble father Philip Then, Robin Fauconbridge, I wish thee joy,	
My sire a King, and I a landless boy	
God's Lady-Mother, the world is in my debt,	
There's something owing to Plantagenet	410
Ay, marry, Sir, let me alone for game,	410
I'll act some wonders, now I know my name.	
By blessed Mary, I'll not sell that pride	
For England's wealth, and the world beside.	
Sit fast, the proudest of my father's foes !	415
Away, good mother! there the comfort goes.	0
[Exeunt.	
[Scene ii]	
Enter PHILIP, the French King, and Lewis, Limoges, Constance, and her	
son Arthur	
King Now 'gin we broach the title of thy claim,	
Young Arthur, in the Albion territories,	
Scaring proud Angiers with a puissant siege.	
Brave Austria, cause of Cordelions death,	
Is also come to aid thee in thy wars,	5
And all our forces jom for Arthur's right.	,
And, but for causes of great consequence,	
Pleading delay till news from England come,	
Twice should not Titan hide him in the West,	
To cool the fetlocks of his weary team.	10

Till I had, with an unresisted shock,	II
Controll'd the manage of proud Anguers' walls,	
Or made a forfeit of my fame to Chance	
Constance May be that John, in conscience, or in fear	
To offer wrong where you impugn the ill,	15
Will send such calm conditions back to Fraunce,	
As shall rebate the edge of fearful wars	
If so, forbearance is a deed well done	
Arthur Ah, mother, possession of a crown is much,	
And John, as I have heard reported of,	20
For present vantage would adventure far	
The world can witness, in his brother's time	
He took upon him rule, and almost reign,	
Then it must follow, as a doubtful point,	
That he'll resign the rule unto his nephew,	25
I rather think the menace of the world	
Sounds in his ears as threats of no esteem,	
And sooner would he scorn Europa's power,	
Than lose the smallest title he enjoys,	
For questionless, he is an Englishman	30
Lewes Why, are the English peerless in compare?	
Brave cavaliers as e'er that island bred,	
Have hv'd and died, and dar'd and done enough,	
Yet never grac'd their country for the cause	
England is England, yielding good and bad,	35
And John of England is as other Johns	
Trust me, young Arthur, if thou like my reed,	
Praise thou the French that help thee in this need	
Limoges The Englishman hath little cause, I trow,	
To spend good speeches on so proud a foe	40
Why, Arthur here's his spoil, that now is gone,	
Who, when he liv'd, outrov'd his brother John;	
But hasty curs that he so long to catch,	
Come halting home, and meet their overmatch	
But news comes now, here's the Ambassador 1	45
7. 4. 0	
Enter CHATILLION	
K. Phili And in good time, welcome my Lord Chaullion.	
What news? Will John accord to our command?	
Chatellion Be I not brief to tell your Highness all,	
He will approach, to interrupt my tale,	
For one self bottom brought us both to Fraunce.	50
He, on his part, will try the chance of war,	
And if his words infer assured truth,	
Will lose humself and all his followers,	
Ere yield unto the least of your demands.	
The mother-queen, she taketh on amain	55
'Gainst Lady Constance, counting her the cause	
That doth effect this claim to Albion,	_^
Conjuring Arthur, with a grandame's care,	58

TH	E TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	483
To leave his mother, w	illing him submit	
His state to John, and h		60
Who, as she saith, are st		
More circumstance, the		
This is the sum, which b		
	wind must nip somebody's spring,	
Sudden and brief, why		65
	t persons of account are with him?	J
	d, Earl Pembrooke and Salsbury,	
The only noted men of a		
Next them, a bastard of		
A hardy, wild-head, tou	gh and venturous,	70
With many other men of	high resolve	
Then is there with them		
And Blanch, her niece, d	aughter to the King of Spaine	
These are the prime bird	s of this hot adventure	
Enter John &	his followers, Queen, Bastard, Earls, &c.	
	th, John, an over-daring spirit	75
Effects some frenzy in the	ny rash approach,	
Treading my confines w	th thy armed troops	
I rather lookt for some s	ubmiss reply	
Touching the claim thy	nephew Arthur makes	
To that which thou unju	istly dost usurp	80
K John For that, C	Chatillion can discharge you all,	
I list not plead my title	with my tongue,	
Nor came I hither with	intent of wrong	
To Fraunce or thee, or a	ny right of thine ,	
But in defence and purc	hase of my right,	85
The town of Anguers, wh	uch thou dost begirt	
In the behalf of Lady Co	enstance son,	
Whereto, nor he nor she	can lay just claim	
Constance Yes (false	intruder) if that just be just,	
And headstrong usurpat	ion put apart,	90
Arthur, my son, heir to	thy elder brother,	
Without ambiguous shae	•	
Is sovereign to the subst	ance thou withhold'st	
Q Elinor. Misgoverr	'd gossip, stain to this resort,	
Occasion of these undeci	ded jars,	95
I say, that know, to che		
Thy son hath naught to		
For proofs whereof, I can		
That bars the way he un		
	eed, a crabbed woman's will,	100
Wherein the Devil is an		
And proud Dame Elmor		
More wills than so, on p		
Were never made to him		
-	e was, as sure there can be none,	105
The law intends such tes	staments as void.	

Where right descent can no way be impeacht	107
Q Elmor Peace, Arthur, peace, thy mother makes thee wings	
To soar with peril after Icarus,	
And trust me, youngling, for thy father's sake,	IIC
I pity much the hazard of thy youth	
Constance Beshrew you else, how pitiful you are,	
Ready to weep to hear him ask his own,	
Sorrow betide such Grandames and such grief,	
That minister a poison for pure love	115
But who so blind, as cannot see this beam,	
That you, forsooth, would keep your cousin down,	
For fear his mother should be us'd too well?	
Ay, there's the grief, confusion catch the brain	
That hammers shifts to stop a prince's reign!	120
Q Elnor Impatient, frantic, common slanderer,	
Immodest dame, unnurtur'd quarreller,	
I tell thee, I, not envy to thy son,	
But justice, makes me speak as I have done	
K Philip But here's no proof that shows your son a king	T.0.
	125
K. John What wants, my sword shall more at large set down.	
Lewis But that may break before the truth be known	
Bastard Then this may hold till all his right be shown	
Limoges Good words, sir sauce, your betters are in place	
Bastard Not you, sir doughty with your lion's case	130
Blanche Ah, joy betide his soul, to whom that spoil belong'd.	
Ah, Richard, how thy glory here is wrong'd !	
Limoges Methinks that Richard's pride and Richard's fall	
Should be a precedent t'affright you all	
Bastard What words are these how do my sinews shake?	135
My father's foe clad in my father's spoil!	
A thousand furies kindle with revenge	
This heart, that choler keeps a consistory,	
Scaring my inwards with a brand of hate	
How doth Alecto whisper in mine ears	140
Delay not, Philip, kill the villain straight,	
Disrobe him of the matchless monument,	
Thy father's triumph o'er the savages	
Base herdgroom, coward, peasant, worse than a threshing slave,	
What mak'st thou with the trophy of a King?	145
Sham'st thou not, coistril, loathsome dunghill swad,	-40
To grace thy carcase with an ornament	
Too precious for a monarch's coverture?	
Scarce can I temper due obedience	
Unto the presence of my Sovereign	***
From acting outrage on this trunk of hate:	150
But arm thee, traitor, wronger of renown	
For by his soul I swear, my father's soul,	
Twice will I not review the morning's rise	
Till I have torn that trophy from thy back,	155
And split thy heart for wearing it so long.	

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	485
Philip hath sworn, and if it be not done,	157
Let not the world repute me Richards son	
Lamoges Nay, soft, sir Bastard, hearts are not split so soon,	
Let them rejoice that at the end do win	160
And take this lesson at thy foeman's hand	
Pawn not thy life, to get thy father's skin	
Blanche Well may the world speak of his knightly valour,	
That wins this hide to wear a lady's favour	
Bastard Ill may I thrive, and nothing brook with me,	165
If shortly I present it not to thee	
K Philip Lordings, forbear, for time is coming fast,	
That deeds may try what words cannot determine,	
And to the purpose, for the cause you come	
Meseemes you set right in chance of war,	170
Yielding no other reasons for your claim	
But so and so, because it shall be so	
So wrong shall be suborn'd by trust of strength	
A tyrant's practice, to invest himself	
Where weak resistance giveth wrong the way.	175
To check the which, in holy, lawful arms,	
I, in the right of Arthur, Geffreys son,	
Am come before this city of Anguers,	
To bar all other false supposed claim,	
From whence, or howsoe'er, the error springs,	180
And in this quarrel, on my princely word,	
I'll fight it out unto the latest man	
K John Know, King of Fraunce, I will not be commanded	
By any power or prince in Christendom,	
To yield an instance how I hold mine own,	185
More than to answer, that mine own is mine	
But wilt thou see me parley with the town,	
And hear them offer me allegrance,	
Fealty and homage, as true hege-men ought	
K Philip Summon them, I will not believe it till I see it, and when	190
I see it I'll soon change it [They summon the town the Citizens	
appear upon the walls	
K John You men of Angiers, and, as I take it, my loyal subjects, I	
have summon'd you to the walls To dispute on my right, were to think you	
doubtful therein, which I am persuaded you are not In few words, our	
brother's son, backt with the King of Fraunce, have beleaguer'd your	195
town upon a false pretended title to the same, in defence whereof, I,	
your hege lord, have brought our power to fence you from the usurper,	
to free your intended servitude, and utterly to supplant the foemen, to	
my right and your rest Say then who, who keep you the town for?	
Citizen. For our lawful king	200
K John I was no less persuaded then, in God's name, open your	
gates, and let me enter.	
Gitizen And it please your Highness, we control not your title, neither	
will we rashly admit your entrance: if you be lawful King, with all	
obedience we keep it to your use. if not King, our rashness were to be	205

impeach'd for yielding without more considerate trial we answer not as 206 men lawless, but to the behoof of him that proves lawful

K John I shall not come in, then?

Citizen. No, my lord, till we know more

K Philip Then hear me speak in the behalf of Arthur, son of Geffrey, elder brother to John, his title manifest, without contradiction, to the crown and kingdom of England, with Angiers and divers towns on this side the sea Will you acknowledge him your liege lord, who speaketh in my word, to entertain you with all favours, as beseemeth a king to his subjects, or a friend to his well-willers, or stand to the peril of your contempt, when his title is proved by the sword

Citizen We answer as before till you have proved one right, we acknowledge none right, he that tries himself our Sovereign, to him will we remain firm subjects, and for him, and in his right, we hold our town, as desirous to know the truth, as loath to subscribe before we know More than this we cannot say, and more than this we dare not do

K Philip Then, John, I defy thee in the name and behalf of Arthur Plantagenet, thy King and cousin, whose right and patrimony thou detainest, as I doubt not, ere the day end, in a set battle make thee confess, whereunto, with a zeal to right, I challenge thee

K John I accept the challenge, and turn the defiance to thy throat
[Execut]

[SCENE III]

Excursions The BASTARD chaseth Limoges, the Austrich Duke, and maketh him leave the lion's skin

Bastard And art thou gone, misfortune haunt thy steps, And chill cold fear assail thy times of rest Morpheus, leave here thy silent ebon cave, Besiege his thoughts with dismal fantasies And ghastly objects of pale threatening Mors Affright him every minute with stern looks, Let Shadow temper terror in his thoughts, And let the terror make the coward mad; And in his madness let him fear pursuit, And so in frenzy let the peasant die. Here is the ransom that allays his rage, The first feehold that Rubard left his son. With which I shall surprise his living foes, As Hectors statue did the fainting Greeks.

Exit.

[SCENE IV.]

Enter the Kings' Heralds, with Trumpets, to the walls of Angiers. they summon the town

Eng. Herald. John, by the grace of God, King of England, Lord of Ireland, Annau, Toraine, &c., demandeth once again of you his subjects of Angiers, if you will quietly surrender up the town into his hands?

Fr Heraid Philip, by the grace of God, King of Fraunce, demandeth in the behalf of Arthur, Duke of Britaine, if you will surrender up the town into his hands, to the use of the said Arthur

5

215

220

225

10

5

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	487
Citizens Heralds, go tell the two victorious princes,	7
That we, the poor inhabitants of Angiers,	•
Require a parley of their Majesties	
Heralds We go	10
Enter the Kings, Queen Elianor, Blaunch, Bastard, Limoges, Lewes, Cas-	
tilean, Pembrooke, Salisbury, Constance, and Arthur, Duke of Britaine	
John Herald, what answer do the townsmen send?	
Philip Will Angiers yield to Philip, King of Fraunce?	
En Her The townsmen on the walls accept your Grace	
Fr Her And crave a parley of your Majesty	
K John You citizens of Anguers, have your eyes	15
Beheld the slaughter that our English bows	
Have made upon the coward, fraudful French?	
And have you wisely ponder'd therewithal Your gain in yielding to the English king?	
Philip Their loss in yielding to the English king.	
But, John, they saw from out their highest towers	20
The chevaliers of Fraunce, and crossbow shot,	
Make lanes of slaughter'd bodies through thine host,	
And are resolv'd to yield to Arthurs right	
John Why, Philip, though thou brav'st it 'fore the walls.	25
Thy conscience knows that John hath won the field	-3
Philip What'er my conscience knows, thy army feels	
That Philip had the better of the day	
Bastard Philip indeed hath got the lion's case,	
Which here he holds to Limoges' disgrace	30
Base Duke, to fly and leave such spoils behind!	0.
But this thou knew'st of force to make me stay	
It far'd with thee as with the mariner	
Spying the hugy whale, whose monstrous bulk	
Doth bear the waves, like mountains, 'fore the wind,	35
That throws out empty vessels, so to stay	
His fury, while the ship doth sail away	
Philip, 'tis thine and 'fore this princely presence,	
Madame, I humbly lay it at your feet,	
Being the first adventure I achiev'd,	40
And first exploit your Grace did me enjoin	
Yet many more I long to be enjoin'd	
Blaunch Philip, I take it, and I thee command	
To wear the same, as erst thy father did	
Therewith, receive this favour at my hands,	45
T'encourage thee to follow Richard's fame	
Arthur. Ye citizens of Angiers, are ye mute?	
Arthur, or John, say which shall be your King?	
First Citizen We care not which, if once we knew the right;	
But till we know, we will not yield our right.	50
Bastard Might Philip counsel two so mighty kings	
As are the Kings of England and of Fraunce,	
THE WOULD GOING MOUNT LINGUE TO UNITE	22

t

And knit your forces 'gainst these citizens,	
Pulling their batter'd walls about their ears	55
The town once won, then strive about the claim,	
For they are minded to delude you both	
Citizen Kings, Princes, Lords and Knights, assembled here,	
The citizens of Anguers, all by me	
Entreat your Majesty to hear them speak,	60
And as you like the motion they shall make,	
So to account and follow their advice	
John Philip Speak on, we give thee leave	
Cutezen Then thus whereas that young and lusty knight	
Incites you on to knit your kingly strengths,	65
The motion cannot choose but please the good,	
And such as love the quiet of the state	
But how, my lords, how should your strengths be knit?	
Not to oppress your subjects and your friends,	
And fill the world with brawls and mutinies,	70
But unto peace your forces should be knit,	•
To live in princely league and amity	
Do this, the gates of Angiers shall give way,	
And stand wide open to your heart's content.	
To make this peace a lasting bond of love,	75
Remains one only honourable means,	
Which, by your pardon, I shall here display	
Lewes, the Dolphin and the heir of Fraunce,	
A man of noted valour through the world,	
Is yet unmarried let him take to wife	80
The beauteous daughter of the King of Spain,	
Niece to K. John, the lovely Lady Blanche,	
Begotten on his sister Elianor	
With her in marriage, will her uncle give	
Castles and towers, as fitteth such a match	85
The kings thus join'd in league of perfect love,	-5
They may so deal with Arthur, Duke of Britaine,	
Who is but young, and yet unmeet to reign,	
As he shall stand contented every way	
Thus have I boldly (for the common good)	90
Deliver'd what the city gave in charge,	90
And as upon conditions you agree,	
So shall we stand content to yield the town.	
Arthur. A proper peace, if such a motion hold;	
These kings bear arms for me, and for my right,	95
And they shall share my lands to make them friends.	93
Q Elianor. Son John	
Follow this motion, as thou lov'st thy mother;	
Make league with Philip, yield to anything.	
Lewes shall have my niece, and then be sure,	100
Arthur shall have small succour out of Fraunce.	100
K. John Brother of Fraunce, you hear the citizens;	
Then tell me how you mean to deal herein.	***
ance cen me now you mean to dear nerein.	103

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	489
7.7	
Constance Why John, what canst thou give unto thy niece,	
That hast no foot of land but Arthurs right? Lewes. By'r Lady, citizens, I like your choice,	105
A lovely damsel is the Lady Blanche,	
Worthy the heir of Europe for her fere Constance What, Kings, why stand you gazing in a trance?	
Why, how now, lords? Accursed citizens,	
To fill and tickle their ambitious ears,	110
With hope of gain that springs from Arthur's loss	
Some dismal planet at thy birthday reign'd,	
For now I see the fall of all thy hopes	
K Philip Lady, and Duke of Britaine, know you both,	
The King of Fraunce respects his honour more	115
Than to betray his friends and favourers	
Princess of Spain, could you affect my son	
If we, upon conditions could agree?	
Bastard 'Swounds, Madam, take an English Gentleman.	
Slave as I was, I thought to have mov'd the match	120
Grandam, you made me half a promise once,	
That Lady Blanche should bring me wealth enough,	
And make me heir of store of English land	
Q Elianor Peace, Philip, I will look thee out a wife.	705
We must with policy compound this strife	125
Bastard If Lewes get her, well, I say no more	
But let the fronc Frenchman take no scorn,	
If Philip front him with an English horn	
John. Lady.	130
What answer make you to the King of Fraunce?	130
Can you affect the Dolphin for your lord?	
Blanche I thank the King, that likes of me so well,	
To make me bride unto so great a Prince	
But give me leave, my lord, to pause on this,	135
Lest, being too too forward in the cause,	-03
It may be blemish to my modesty	
Q Elianor. Son John, and worthy Philip, King of Fraunce,	
Do you confer awhile about the dower,	
And I will school my modest niece so well,	140
That she shall yield as soon as you have done	-40
Constance. Ay, there's the wretch that broacheth all this ill,	
Why fly I not upon the beldame's face,	
And with my nails pull forth her hateful eyes	
Arthur. Sweet mother: cease these hasty madding fits	145
For my sake, let my grandam have her will	
O, would she with her hands pull forth my heart,	
I could afford it, to appease these broils	
But, mother, let us wisely wink at all,	
Lest further harms ensue our hasty-speech.	150
Philip. Brother of England, what dowry wilt thou give	
Unto my son, in marriage with thy mece?	
John. First, Philip knows her dowry out of Spain	153

To be so great as may content a king	
But, more to mend and amplify the same,	155
I give in money thirty-thousand marks,	
For land, I leave it to thine own demand	
K Philip Then I demand Volquesson, Torain, Main,	
Potters and Anyou, these five provinces,	_
Which thou, as King of England, hold'st in Fraunce	160
Then shall our peace be soon concluded on	
Bastard No less than five such provinces at once?	
John Mother, what shall I do? my brother got these lands	
With much effusion of our English blood	,
And shall I give it all away at once?	165
Q Elinor John, give it him, so shalt thou live in peace,	
And keep the residue sans jeopardy	
John Philip, bring forth thy son, here is my niece,	
And here in marriage I do give with her,	
From me and my successors, English kings,	170
Volquesson, Poitiers, Angou, Torain, Maine,	
And thirty thousand marks of stipend coin	
Now, citizens, how like you of this match?	
Citizen We joy to see so sweet a peace begun	
Lewes Lewes with Blanch shall ever live content.	175
But now, King John, what say you to the Duke?	
Father, speak as you may in his behalf	
Philip King John, be good unto thy nephew here,	
And give him somewhat that shall please thee best	
John Arthur, although thou troublest Englands peace,	180
Yet here I give thee Britaine for thine own,	
Together with the Earldom of Richmond,	
And this rich city of Angiers withal	
Q Elianor And if thou seek to please thine uncle John,	
Shalt see, my son, how I will make of thee	185
John Now everything is sorted to this end,	
Let's in, and there prepare the marriage rites,	
Which in Saint Marys chapel presently	
Shall be performed ere this presence part	
[Exeunt Manent Constance and Arthur.	
Arthur Madam, good cheer, these drooping languishments	190
Add no redress to salve our awkward haps	
If Heavens have concluded these events,	
To small avail is bitter pensiveness.	
Seasons will change, and so our present grief	
May change with them, and all to our rehef	195
Constance. Ah, boy, thy years, I see, are far too green	
To look into the bottom of these cares,	
But I, who see the poise that weigheth down	
Thy weal, my wish, and all the willing means	
Wherewith thy fortune and thy fame should mount,	200
What joy, what ease, what rest can lodge in me,	
With whom all hope and hap do disagree?	202

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	491
Arthur Yet ladies tears, and cares, and solemn shows,	203
Rather than helps, heap up more work for woes	203
Constance If any power will hear a widow's plaint,	205
That from a wounded soul implores revenge,	
Send fell contagion to infect this clime,	
This cursed country, where the traitors breathe,	
Whose perjury, as proud Briareus,	
Beleaguers all the sky with misbelief	210
He promist, Arthur, and he sware it too,	
To fence thy right, and check thy foemen's pride	
But now, black-spotted perjurer as he is,	
He takes a truce with El'nor's damned brat,	
And marnes Lewes to her lovely niece,	215
Sharing thy fortune and thy birth-day's gift	
Between these lovers Ill betide the match	
And as they shoulder thee from out thy own,	
And triumph in a widow's tearful cares,	•
So Heavens cross them with a thriftless course. Is all the blood yspilt on either part,	220
Is all the blood ysplit on either part, Closing the crannies of the thirsty earth,	
Grown to a love-game and a bridal feast?	
And must thy birthright bid the wedding-banns?	
Poor helpless boy, hopeless and helpless too,	225
To whom misfortune seems no yoke at all,	3
Thy stay, thy state, thy imminent mishaps	
Woundeth thy mother's thoughts with feeling care.	
Why look'st thou pale? the colour flies thy face,	
I trouble now the fountain of thy youth,	230
And make it muddy with my dole's discourse,	
Go in with me, reply not, lovely boy,	
We must obscure this moan with melody,	
Lest worser wrack ensue our malcontent [Exeunt.	234
[Scene V]	
Enter the King of England, the King of Fraunce, Arthur, Bastard,	
LEWES, LIMOGES, CONSTANCE, BLANCHE, CHATILLION, PEMBROOKE,	
SALISBURY, and ELIANOR	
John This is the day, the long-desired day,	
Wherein the realms of England and of Fraunce	
Stand highly blessed in a lasting peace	
Thrice happy is the Bridegroom and the Bride,	
From whose sweet bridal such a concord springs,	5
To make, of mortal foes, immortal friends	
Constance Ungodly peace, made by anothers war	
Bastard. Unhappy peace, that ties thee from revenge.	
Rouse thee, Plantagenet, live not to see	
The butcher of the great Plantagenei	10
Kings, Princes, and ye Peers of either realms,	
Pardon my rashness, and forgive the zeal	
That carries me in fury to a deed	13

Of high desert, of honour, and of arms		
A boon, O kings, a boon doth Philip beg,		15
Prostrate upon his knee, which knee shall cleave		
Unto the superficies of the earth,		
Till Fraunce and England grant this glorious boon		
John Speak, Philip, England grants thee thy request		
Philip And Fraunce confirms whate'er is in his power.		20
Bastard Then, Duke, sit fast, I level at thy head,		
Too base a ransom for my father's life		
Princes, I crave the combat with the Duke,		
That braves it in dishonour of my sire		
Your words are past, nor can you now reverse		25
The princely promise that revives my soul,		
Whereat, methinks I see his sinews shake		
This is the boon, dread lords, which, granted once,		
Or life or death are pleasant to my soul,		
Since I shall live and die in Richards right		30
Limoges Base bastard, misbegotten of a King,		•
To interrupt these holy nuptial rites		
With brawls and tumults, to a Duke's disgrace,		
Let it suffice, I scorn to join in fight		
With one so far unequal to myself		35
Bastard. A fine excuse, Kings, if you will be kings,		
Then keep your words, and let us combat it.		
John Philip, we cannot force the Duke to fight,		
Being a subject unto neither realm		
But tell me, Austria, if an English Duke		40
Should dare thee thus, would'st thou accept the challenge?		•
Limoges Else let the world account the Austrich duke		
The greatest coward living on the earth		
John. The cheer thee, Philip, John will keep his word.		
Kneel down, In sight of Philip, King of Fraunce,		45
And all these princely lords assembled here,		
I gird thee with the sword of Normandy,		
And of that land I do invest thee Duke,		
So shalt thou be, in living and in land,		
Nothing inferior unto Austria		50
Lamoges King John, I tell thee flatly to thy face,		•
Thou wrong'st mine honour, and that thou may'st see		
How much I scorn thy new-made Duke and thee,		
I flatly say, I will not be compell'd .		
And so farewell, Sir Duke of Low Degree		55
I'll find a time to match you for this gear	[Exit	•••
John Stay, Philip, let him go! the honour's thine.	•	
Bastard I cannot live unless his life be mine.		
Q Elianor. Thy forwardness this day hath joy'd my soul,		
And made me think my Richard lives in thee		60
K Philip. Lordings, let's in, and spend the wedding day		
In masques and triumphs, letting quarrels cease.		62
·		

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	493
Enter a CARDINAL from Rome	
Cardinal Stay, King of France, I charge thee, join not hands	63
With him that stands accurst of God and men	۷,
Know, John, that I, Pandulph, Cardinal of Millame, and Legate from the	65
See of Rome, demand of thee, in the name of our Holy Father the Pope	-3
Innocent, why thou dost-contrary to the laws of our Holy Mother, the	
Church, and our Holy Father, the Pope-disturb the quiet of the Church,	
and disannul the election of Stephen Langhton, whom his Holiness hath elected	
Archbishop of Canterbury this, in his Holiness' name, I demand of thee?	70
John And what hast thou, or the Pope thy master, to do, to de-	
mand of me how I employ mine own? Know, Sir Priest, as I honour the	
Church and holy churchmen, so I scorn to be subject to the greatest Prelate	
in the world Tell thy Master so from me, and say, John of England said it,	
that never an Italian Priest of them all, shall either have tithe, toll, or polling	75
penny out of England, but, as I am King, so will I reign next under God,	
Supreme Head both over spiritual and temporal And he that contradicts	
me in this, I'll make him hop headless	
Philip What, King John, know you what you say, thus to blaspheme	
against our Holy Father, the Pope?	80
John Philip, though thou and all the Princes of Christendom suf-	
fer themselves to be abus'd by a Prelate's slavery, my mind is not of	
such base temper If the Pope will be King in England, let him	
win it with the sword I know no other title he can allege to mine in-	
heritance	85
Card John, this is thine answer?	
John What then?	
Card Then I, Pandulph of Padua, Legate from the Apostolic See, do,	
in the name of Saint Peter, and his successor, our Holy Father, Pope	
Innocent, pronounce thee accursed, discharging every of thy subjects of all	90
duty and fealty that they do owe to thee, and pardon and forgiveness of sin	
to those or them whatsoever which shall carry arms against thee, or murder	
thee this I pronounce, and charge all good men to abhor thee as an excom-	
municate person	
John So, Sir, the more the fox is curst, the better 'a fares if God bless	95
me and my land, let the Pope and his shavelings curse, and spare not	
Card Furthermore, I charge thee, Philip, King of Fraunce, and all the	
Kings and Princes of Christendom, to make war upon this miscreant And	
whereas thou hast made a league with him, and confirm'd it by oath, I do, in the name of our foresaid Father, the Pope, acquit thee of that oath as unlaw-	100
ful, being made with an heretic. How say'st thou, Philip, dost thou obey?	100
John. Brother of Fraunce, what say you to the Cardinal?	
yourself to the Church of Rome John. And what say you to our league, if I do not submit?	105
Philip What should I say? I must obey the Pope.	103
John. Obey the Pope, and break your oath to God?	
Philip. The Legate hath absolv'd me of mine oath:	
Then yield to Rome, or I defy thee here	
John. Why, Philip, I defy the Pope and thee,	110
False as thou art, and perjur'd, King of Fraunce,	

Unworthy man to be accounted King	112
Giv'st thou thy sword into a prelate's hands?	
Pandulph, where I, of abbots, monks and finars,	
Have taken somewhat to maintain my wars,	115
Now will I take no more but all they have	
I'll rouse the lazy lubbers from their cells,	
And in despite I'll send them to the Pope	
Mother, come you with me, and for the rest	
That will not follow John in this attempt,	120
Confusion light upon their damned souls	
Come, Lords,	
Fight for your King that fighteth for your good?	
K Philip And are they gone? Pandulph, thyself shalt see	
How Fraunce will fight for Rome and Romish rites	125
Nobles, to arms! let him not pass the seas,	
Let's take him captive, and in triumph lead	
The King of England to the gates of Rome	
Arthur, bestir thee, man, and thou shalt see	
What Philip, King of Fraunce, will do for thee	130
Blanche. And will your Grace, upon your wedding-day,	
Forsake your bride, and follow dreadful drums?	
Nay, good my lord, stay you at home with me	
Lewes Sweet-heart, content thee, and we shall agree	
K Philip Follow me, Lords! Lord Cardinal, lead the way!	135
Drums shall be music to this wedding-day [Exeunt.	•
[Scene VI]	
Excursions The Bastard pursues Austria, and kills him.	
Bastard Thus hath King Richards son perform'd his vows,	
And offer'd Austrias blood for sacrifice	
Unto his father's everliving soul	
Brave Cordelion, now my heart doth say,	
I have deserv'd, though not to be thy heir,	5
Yet as I am, thy base-begotten son,	•
A name as pleasing to thy Philips heart,	
As to be call'd the Duke of Normandy	
Lie there a prey to every ravening fowl	
And as my father triumph'd in thy spoils,	10
And trod thine ensigns underneath his feet,	
So do I tread upon thy cursed self,	
And leave thy body to the fowls for food. [Exit.	13
(2007)	-3
[Scene VII]	
Excursions. ARTHUR, CONSTANCE, LEWES, having taken Queen Elianor	
prisoner Couriemen Thurs hoth the Cod of Very	
Constance. Thus hath the God of Kings, with conquering arm,	
Disperst the foes to true succession.	
Proud, and disturber of thy country's peace,	
Constance doth live to tame thine insolence;	
And on thy head will now avenged be	5

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	495
For all the mischiefs hatched in thy brain	6
O Elinor Contemptuous dame, unreverent Duchess, thou,	Ū
To brave so great a Queen as Ehanor	
Base scold hast thou forgot that I was wife	
And mother to three mighty English Kings?	10
I charge thee, then, and you forsooth, Sir Boy,	
To set your grandmother at liberty,	
And yield to John, your uncle and your King	
Constance 'Tis not thy words, proud Queen, shall carry it	
Elianor Nor yet thy threats, proud dame, shall daunt my mind	15
Arthur Sweet Grandam, and good Mother, leave these brawls!	
Elianor I'll find a time to triumph in thy fall	
Constance My time is now to triumph in thy fall,	
And thou shalt know that Constance will triumph	
Arthur Good mother, weigh it is Queen Ehanor	20
Though she be captive, use her like herself	
Sweet Grandam, bear with what my mother says	
Your Highness shall be used honourably	
Enter a Messenger	
Mess Lewes my lord, Duke Arthur, and the rest,	
To arms in haste, King John rallies his men,	25
And 'gins the fight afresh, and swears withal	•
To lose his life, or set his mother free	
Lewes Arthur, away, 'tis time to look about	
Elianor Why how now, dame? What, is your courage cool'd?	
Constance No, Elianor, my courage gathers strength,	30
And hopes to lead both John and thee as slaves;	_
And in that hope, I hale thee to the field [Exeunt.	32
[SCENE VIII]	
Excursion Elinor is rescued by K John, and Arthur is taken prisoner. Exeunt Sound victory	
[Scene IX]	
Enter K. John, Q Elinor, and Arthur prisoner, Bastard, Pembrooke, Salisbury and Hubert de Burgh	
John Thus right triumphs, and John triumphs in right.	
Arthur, thou seest Fraunce cannot bolster thee	
Thy mother's pride hath brought thee to this fall.	
But if at last, nephew, thou yield thyself	
Into the guardance of thine uncle John,	-
	5
Thou shalt be used as becomes a Prince. Arthur Uncle, my grandame taught her nephew this,	
To bear captivity with patience.	
Might hath prevail'd, not right, for I am King	
Of England, though thou wear the diadem,	10
Eleanor. Son John, soon shall we teach him to forget	10
	12
These proud presumptions, and to know himself	12

John Mother, he never will forget his claim, I would he liv'd not to remember it	13
But leaving this, we will to England now,	
And take some order with our popelings there,	15
That swell with pride, and fat of laymen's lands	
Philip, I make thee chief in this affair	
Ransack the abbeys, clossters, priories,	
Convert their coin unto my soldiers' use	20
And whatsoe'er he be within my land,	20
That goes to Rome for justice and for law,	
While he may have his right within the realm,	
Let him be judg'd a traitor to the state,	
And suffer as an enemy to England	•
Mother, we leave you here beyond the seas,	25
As Regent of our Provinces in Fraunce,	
While we to England take a speedy course,	
And thank our God that gave us victory	
Hubert de Burgh, take Arthur here to thee,	
Be he thy prisoner Hubert, keep him safe,	30
For on his life doth hang thy Sovereign's crown,	
But in his death consists thy Sovereign's bliss,	
Then Hubert, as thou shortly hear'st from me,	
So use the prisoner I have given in charge	
Hubert Frolic, young prince, though I your keeper be,	35
Yet shall your keeper live at your command	
Arthur As please my God, so shall become of me	
Q Elianor My son, to England I will see thee shipt,	
And pray to God to send thee safe ashore	
Bastard Now wars are done, I long to be at home,	40
To dive into the monks' and abbots' bags,	
To make some sport among the smooth-skin nuns,	
And keep some revel with the fausen friars	
John To England, lords, Each look unto your charge,	
And arm yourselves against the Roman pride [Exeunt.	45
LEMOUTH.	
[Scene X]	
Enter the King of Fraunce, Lewes his son, and Cardinal Pandulph,	
Legate, and Constance	
Philip What, every man attacht with this mishan?	
Why frown you so, why droop ve. lords of Fragues ?	
Methinks it differs from a warlike mind	
To lower it for a check or two of chance	
Had Limoges escap'd the Bastard's spite.	_
A little sorrow might have serv'd our loss	5
Brave Austria, Heaven joys to have thee there.	
Card His soul is safe and free from Purgatory	
Our Holy Father hath dispenst his sins:	
The blessed saints have heard our orisons.	10
And all are mediators for his soul.	~~
And in the right of these most holy wars,	12

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	49 <i>7</i>
His Holiness free pardon doth pronounce	
To all that follow you 'gainst English heretics,	13
Who stand accursed in our Mother Church	15
	-3
Enter Constance alone	
Philip To aggravate the measure of our grief, All malcontent, comes Constance for her son	
Be brief, good madam, for your face imports	
A tragic tale behind, that's yet untold	
Her passions stop the organ of her voice,	20
Deep sorrow throbbeth misbefall'n events	
Out with it, lady, that our act may end	
A full catastrophe of sad laments	
Constance My tongue is tun'd to story forth mishap	
When did I breathe to tell a pleasing tale?	25
Must Constance speak Let tears prevent her talk Must I discourse? Let Dido sigh, and say,	
She weeps again to hear the wrack of <i>Troy</i>	
Two words will serve, and then my tale is done	
El'nor's proud brat hath robb'd me of my son	30
Lewes Have patience, madam, this is chance of war	•
He may be ransom'd, we revenge his wrong	
Constance Be it ne'er so soon, I shall not live so long	
Philip Despair not yet, come, Constance, go with me,	
These clouds will fleet, the day will clear again [Exem	<i>it</i> • 35
Card Now, Lewes, thy fortune buds with happy spring, Our Holy Father's prayers effecteth this	•
Arthur is safe, let John alone with him,	
Thy title next is fair'st to England's crown	
Now stir thy father to begin with John,	40
The Pope says Ay, and so is Albion thine	
Lewes Thanks, my lord legate, for your good conceit,	
'Tis best we follow, now the game is fair	
My father wants, to work him, your good words	
Card A few will serve to forward him in this, Those shall not want but let's about it then [Execus	45
Those shall not want out let's about it then (Exem	w.
[Scene XI]	
Enter PHILIP leading a Friar, charging him to show where the Abbot's gold la	-
Philip Come on, you fat Franciscans, dally no longer, but show m	е
where the Abbot's treasure lies, or die	
Friar Benedicamus Domini ! Was ever such an injury!	
Sweet Saint Withold, of thy lenity,	5
Defend us from extremity,	3
And hear us for Saint Charity,	
Oppressed with austerity	
In nomine Domini,	
Make I my homily,	10
Gentle gentility,	

Grieve not the clergy	12
Philip Grey-gown'd good-face, conjure ye, ne'er trust me for a groat,	
If this waist-girdle hang thee not, that girdeth-in thy coat	
Now, bald and barefoot Bungue birds, when up the gallows climbing,	15
Say, Philip, he had words enough to put you down with ryming	
Friar Ah, pardon! O parce!	
Saint Fraunces, for mercy,	
Shall shield thee from night-spells	
And dreaming of devils,	20
If thou wilt forgive me,	
And nevermore gneve me	
With fasting and praying,	
And 'Hail-Mary' saying,	
From black purgatory,	25
A penance right sorry,	
Friar Thomas will warn you,	
It never shall harm you	
Philip Come, leave off your rabble!	
Sirs, hang up this losel	30
Friar For Charity I beg his life, Saint Frauncis' chiefest friar,	-
The best in all our convent, sir, to keep a winter's fire	
Oh, strangle not the good old man, my hostess' oldest guest,	
And I will bring you by and by unto the Prior's chest	
Philip Ay, say'st thou so, and if thou wilt, the friar is at liberty;	35
If not, as I am honest man, I'll hang you both for company	••
Friar Come hither, this is the chest, though simple to behold,	
That wanteth not a thousand pound in silver and in gold	
Myself will warrant full so much, I know the Abbot's store,	
I'll pawn my life there is no less, to have whate'er is more	40
Philip I take thy word, the overplus, unto thy share shall come,	•
But if there want of full so much, thy neck shall pay the sum	
Break up the coffer, friar !	
Fruer. Oh, I am undone!	
Fair Aluce the nun	45
Hath took up her rest	
In the Abbot's chest.	
Sancte benedicite!	
Pardon my simplicity	
Fie, Alice! Confession	50
Will not salve this transgression.	J -
Philip. What have we here, a holy nun? so keep me, God, in health,	
A smooth-fac'd nun, for aught I know, is all the Abbot's wealth.	
Is this the nunnery's chastity? Beshrew me, but I think	
They go as oft to venery, as niggards to their drink	55
Why, paltry friar, and pandar too, ye shameless shaven-crown,	JJ
Is this the chest that held a hoard, at least a thousand pound?	
And is the hoard a holy whore? Well, be the hangman nimble,	
He'll take the pain to pay you home, and teach you to dissemble.	
Nun. O, spare the Friar Anthony, a better never was,	60
To sing a Dirige solemnly, or read a morning Mass.	

That hath a hoard this seven years, did never see the sun, And that is yours and what is ours, so favour now be shown, You shall command as commonly as if it were your own Friar Your honour excepted Num Ay, Thomas, I mean so Philip From all, save from finars Num Good sir, do not think so Philip I think, and see so why, how cam'st thou here? Thom All, save from laymen Num 'This true, sir, for fear Philip For fear of the laity a pitful dread, When a num flies for succour to a fat finar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Num Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'its yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Finar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should's the pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a firar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the firar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks firar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The firar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please Frow Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerunt I Give children books, they tear them	THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	499
And that is yours and what is ours, so favour now be shown, You shall command as commonly as if it were your own Friar Your honour excepted Num Ay, Thomas, I mean so Philip From all, save from finars Num Good sur, do not think so Philip I think, and see so why, how cam'st thou here? Friar To hide her from laymen Num Tis true, sur, for fear Philip For fear of the laity a pitiful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat finar's bed! But now for your ransom, my closter-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Num Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'us yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Frar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentiuts, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Some with an dod of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the finar's chest filled with a fausen nun, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the finar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun agam locks finar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The finar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lascrivously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aun, if I do miss amending; This better burn the clossters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press tha	If money be the means of this, I know an ancient nun,	62
You shall command as commonly as if it were your own Friar Your honour excepted Num Ay, Thomas, I mean so Philip From all, save from firars Num Good sir, do not think so Philip I think, and see so why, how cam'st thou here? Friar To hide her from laymen Num 'Tis true, sir, for fear Philip For fear of the laity a pitiful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat firar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Num Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Lawence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Lawrentius, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Lawrence Friar Amor vincit ommia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a firar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the firar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks firar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The firar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please The solution of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please The nun again locks frare up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The firar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously firs		
Friar Your honour excepted Num Ay, Thomas, I mean so Philip From all, save from finars Num Good sir, do not think so Philip I think, and see so why, how cam'st thou here? Friar To hide her from laymen Num 'Ins true, sir, for fear Philip For fear of the latty a pitful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat finar's bed 'But now for your ransom, my closter-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Num Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'its yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the letcher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vinci omnua, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a finar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the finar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks finar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The finar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Num I cry peccavi, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Frior Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		6-
Num Ay, Thomas, I mean so Philip From all, save from frars Num Good sir, do not think so Philip I think, and see so why, how cam'st thou here? Friar To hide her from laymen Num 'Tis true, sir, for fear Philip For fear of the laity a pitiful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat friar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Num Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Leurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Leurence 190 Friar Amor vinct omnua, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasc		05
Philip From all, save from finars Num Good sir, do not think so Philip I think, and see so why, how cam'st thou here? Friar To hide her from laymen Num 'Tis true, sir, for fear Philip For fear of the laity a pitiful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat finar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Num Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Hould oredo Laurentius, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincut ommia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a finar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the finar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks finar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The finar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please The finar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel	•	
Nun Good sir, do not think so Philip I think, and see so why, how cam'st thou here? Privar To hide her from laymen Nun 'Tis true, sir, for fear Philip For fear of the laity a pitful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat finar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Nun Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'fix yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please It holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was begul'd Philip And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
Philip I think, and see so why, how cam'st thou here? Friar To hide her from laymen Nun 'Tis true, sir, for fear Philip' For fear of the laity a pitiful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat finar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Nun Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip' Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentus, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omina, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the finar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks finar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grevous, The finar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'This better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bund them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
Friar To hide her from laymen Num 'Tis true, sir, for fear Philip For fear of the laity a pitiful dread, When a num flies for succour to a fat friar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Num Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'its yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Head credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so hasciviously as often as they please To revel so hasciviously as file has un to quit you for your evil? Num I cry peccar, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd File. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		70
Nun 'Tis true, sir, for fear Philip For fear of the laity a pitiful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat friar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Nun Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'fix yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun agam locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; This better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccare, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	Friar To hide her from laymen	,,,
Philip For fear of the laity a pitiful dread, When a nun flies for succour to a fat frar's bed! But now for your ransom, my cloister-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where lies so much money Nun Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, rehigious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccavi, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus dax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
When a nun flies for succour to a fat friar's bed! But now for your ransom, my closter-bred coney, To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Nun Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, Iff thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincti omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; This better burn the closters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus dax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
But now for your ransom, my closter-bred coney, To the cheest that you speak of, where hes so much money Nun Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'its yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Fair Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my amn, if I do miss amending; This better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus dax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
To the chest that you speak of, where hes so much money Nun Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Houd credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'This better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		75
Nun Fair sir, within this press, of plate and money is The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit comma, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks firar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lascivously as often as they please To revel so lascivously as often as they please To revel so the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; This better burn the closters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccam, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		73
The value of a thousand marks, and other things, by Gis Let us alone, and take it all, 'its yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Hand credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please The mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'This better burn the closters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccam, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bund them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Let us alone, and take it all, 'tis yours, sir, now you know it Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To revel so lascriviously as often as they please To fill mend the fault, or fault my aum, if I do miss amending; This better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, perce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up f		
Philip Come on, sir Friar, pick the lock, this gear doth cotton handsome, That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	, , ,	
That covetousness so cunningly must pay the lecher's ransom What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns. How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccur, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		80
What is in the hoard? Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun , We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth , And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun , The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns. How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
Friar Friar Laurence, my lord, now holy water help us, Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
Some witch or some devil is sent to delude us **Haud credo Laurentius**, 85 That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun , We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence 90 **Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth , And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should **Philip** How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun , 95 The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please 100 I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? **Num** I cry *peccan**, *parce me**, good sir, I was beguil'd 105 **Friar** Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd 105 **Priar** Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd 105 **Priar** And so I shall 105 **Sirs** Sirs** Shind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. **Friar** Laurence 0 tempus edax rerum 1 Give children books, they tear them 1100		
Haud credo Laurentius, That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun , We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincut omnia, so Cato affirmeth , And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun , The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the closters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	The state of the s	
That thou should'st be pen'd thus, In the press of a nun , We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence 90 Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth , And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun , 95 The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please 100 I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd 105 Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		8¢
In the press of a nun, We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, 55 The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns. How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please 100 I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		-5
We are all undone, And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please The friar the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
And brought to discredence, If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccavi, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	<u>-</u>	
If thou be Friar Laurence Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	· ·	
Friar Amor vincit omnia, so Cato affirmeth, And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	·	00
And therefore a friar, whose fancy soon burneth, Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		,
Because he is mortal and made of mould, He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	Because he is mortal and made of mould,	
Philip How goes this gear the friar's chest filled with a fausen nun, The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	He omits what he ought, and doth more than he should	
The nun again locks friar up, to keep him from the sun Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns. How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please. I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd. Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd. Philip. And so I shall. Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence. O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them.		95
Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous, The friar's chest a hell for nuns. How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please. I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccan, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd. Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd. Philip. And so I shall. Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence. O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them.		
The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us? Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease? To revel so lasciviously as often as they please 100 I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd 105 Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd 105 Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence 0 tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	Belike the press is purgatory, or penance passing grievous,	
To revel so lasciviously as often as they please I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	The friar's chest a hell for nuns How do these dolts deceive us?	
I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	Is this the labour of their lives, to feed and live at ease?	
I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending; 'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending. But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	To revel so lasciviously as often as they please	100
But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil, Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	I'll mend the fault, or fault my aim, if I do miss amending;	
Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	'Tis better burn the cloisters down, than leave them for offending.	
Is this the press that holds the sum to quit you for your evil? Nun I cry peccari, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	But holy you, to you I speak, to you, religious devil,	
Nun I cry peccare, parce me, good sir, I was beguil'd Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
Friar Absolve, sir, for charity she would be reconcil'd Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them	•	105
Philip. And so I shall Sirs, bind them fast, this is their absolution; Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		_
Go hang them up for hurting them, haste them to execution. Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
Friar Laurence O tempus edax rerum! Give children books, they tear them		
Give children books, they tear them		
	Give children books, they tear them	110
	O vanıtas vanıtatis,	

In this waning atatis, At threescore well near,	112
To go to this gear, To my conscience a clog,	115
To die like a dog Exaudi me, Domine, Si vis me parcere Si habeo veniam	
To go and fetch it, I will despatch it, A hundred pound sterling For my life's sparing	120
Enter Peter, a Prophet, with people Peter Ho, who is here? Saint Fraunces be your speed, Come in my flock, and follow me, your fortunes I will rede Come hither, boy, go, get thee home, and climb not overhigh For from aloft, thy fortune stands in hazard thou shalt die Boy God be with you, Peter, I pray	125
You come to our house a Sunday Peler My boy show me thy hand,	130
Bless thee, my boy, for in thy palm I see A many troubles are ybent to dwell, But thou shalt 'scape them all, and do full well Boy I thank you, Peter There's a cheese for your labour My sister	
prays you to come home, and tell her how many husbands she shall have, and she'll give you a rib of bacon Peter My masters, stay at the town's end for me, I'll come to you all anon I must dispatch some business with a friar, and then I'll rede your fortunes	1 35
Philip. How now, a prophet? Sir Prophet, whence are ye? Peter. I am of the world, and in the world, but live not, as others, by the world what I am, I know, and what thou wilt be, I know If thou knowest me now, be answered If not, enquire no more what I am Philip Sir, I know you will be a dissembling knave, that deludes the	140
people with blind prophecies you are him I look for; you shall away with me. Bring away all the rabble, And you, friar Laurence, remember your ransome, a hundred pounds, and a pardon for yourself and the rest Come on, Sir Prophet, you shall with me, to receive a prophet's reward	145
[Exeunt [Scene XII]	140
Enter Hubert de Burgh, with three Men. Hubert. My masters, I have showed you what warrant I have for this attempt; I perceive, by your heavy countenances, you had rather be otherwise employed, and for my own part, I would the King had made choice of some other appropriate and the statement of the some other appropriate that a property of the statement of the s	
of some other executioner, only this is my comfort, that a King commands, whose precepts neglected or omitted, threateneth torture for the default. Therefore in brief, leave me, and be ready to attend the adventure; stay within that entry; and when you hear me cry, 'God save the	5
King, issue suddenly forth, lay hands on Arthur, set him in this chair,	8

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	501
wherein (once fast bound) leave him with me, to finish the rest Attendants We go, though loth [Execut	10
Hubert My lord, will it please your Honour to take the benefice of the	
fair evening?	
Enter ARTHUR to HUBERT DE BURGH	
Arthur Gramercie, Hubert, for thy care of me	
In or to whom restraint is newly known,	
The joy of walking is small benefit,	15
Yet will I take thy offer with small thanks,	
I would not lose the pleasure of the eye	
But tell me, courteous keeper, if you can,	
How long the King will have me tarry here	
Hubert I know not, Prince, but as I guess, not long	20
God send you freedom, and God save the King, [They issue forth.]	
Arthur Why, how now, sirs, What may this outrage mean?	
Oh, help me, <i>Hubert</i> , gentle keeper, help,	
God send this sudden mutinous approach	
Tend not to reave a wretched guiltless life	25
Hubert So, sirs, depart, and leave the rest for me	
Arthur Then, Arthur, yield, Death frowneth in thy face.	
What meaneth this? Good Hubert, plead the case	
Hubert Patience, young lord, and listen words of woe,	
Harmful and harsh, hell's horror to be heard,	30
A dismal tale, fit for a fury's tongue	U-
I faint to tell, deep sorrow is the sound	
Arthur What, must I die?	
Hubert No news of death, but tidings of more hate;	
A wrathful doom, and most unlucky fate	35
Death's dish were dainty at so fell a feast,	33
Be deaf, hear not, it's hell to tell the rest	
Arthur Alas, thou wrong'st my youth with words of fear;	
'Tis hell, 'tis horror, not for one to hear	
What is it, man If it must needs be done,	40
Act it, and end it, that the pain were gone	40
Hubert I will not chant such dolour with my tongue,	
Yet must I act the outrage with my hand	
My heart, my head, and all my powers beside,	
To aid the office, have at once denied	
Peruse this letter, lines of treble woe,	45
Read o'er my charge, and pardon when you know	
Hubert, These are to command thee, as thou tend'rest our quiet in	
mind, and the estate of our person, that presently upon the receipt of our	
command, thou put out the eyes of Arthur Plantagenet.	50
Arthur Ah, monstrous damned man,	
His very breath infects the elements,	
Contagious venom dwelleth in his heart,	
Effecting means to poison all the world	
Unreverent may I be to blame the heavens	55
Of great injustice, that the miscreant	
Lives to oppress the innocents with wrong.	57

Ah, <i>Hubert</i> , makes he thee his instrument	58
To sound the trump that causeth hell triumph	
Heaven weeps, the saints do shed celestial tears,	60
They fear thy fall, and cite thee with remorse,	
They knock thy conscience, moving pity there,	
Willing to fence thee from the rage of hell	
Hell, Hubert, trust me, all the plagues of hell	
Hangs on performance of this damned deed	65
This seal, the warrant of the body's bliss,	•
Ensureth Satan chieftain of thy soul	
Subscribe not, Hubert, give not God's part away	
I speak not only for eyes' privilege,—	
The chief exterior that I would enjoy,—	70
But for thy peril, far beyond my pain,	,-
Thy sweet soul's loss, more than my eyes' vain lack,	
A cause internal, and eternal too	
Advise thee, Hubert, for the case is hard,	
To lose salvation for a King's reward	75
Hubert My lord, a subject dwelling in the land	/3
Is tied to execute the King's command	
Arthur Yet God's commands, whose power reacheth further,	
That no command should stand in force to murther	
Hubert But that same Essence hath ordain'd a law,	80
A death for guilt, to keep the world in awe	00
- ' -	
Arthur I plead not guilty, treasonless and free	
Hubert But that appeal, my lord, concerns not me Arthur Why, thou art he that may'st omit the peril	
	0-4
Hubert Ay, if my sovereign would remit his quarrel	85
Arihur His quarrel is unhallow'd, false, and wrong	
Hubert Then be the blame to whom it doth belong	
Arthur Why, that's to thee, if thou, as they proceed,	
Conclude their judgment with so vile a deed	
Hubert Why, then, no execution can be lawful,	90
If judges' dooms must be reputed doubtful	
Arthur Yes, where, in form of law, in place and time,	
The offender is convicted of the crime.	
Hubert. My lord, my lord, this long expostulation	
Heaps up more grief, than promise of redress,	95
For this I know, and so resolv'd I end,	
That subjects' lives, on King's commands depend	
I must not reason why he is your foe,	
But do his charge, since he commands it so	
Arthur. Then do thy charge, and charged be thy soul	IOO
With wrongful persecution done this day	
You rolling eyes, whose superficies yet	
I do behold with eyes that Nature lent,	
Send forth the terror of your mover's frown,	
To wreak my wrong upon the murderers	105
That rob me of your fair reflecting view	
Let Hell to them (as earth they wish to me)	107

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	503
Be dark and direful guerdon for their guilt, And let the black tormentors of deep <i>Tartary</i>	108
Upbraid them with this damned enterprise,	110
Inflicting change of tortures on their souls	110
Delay not, Hubert, my orisons are ended	
Begin, I pray thee, reave me of my sight	
But, to perform a tragedy indeed,	
Conclude the period with a mortal stab	115
Constance, farewell Tormentor, come away,	
Make my dispatch the tyrant's feasting day	
Hubert I faint, I fear, my conscience bids desist	
Faint, did I say? Fear was it that I named?	
My King commands, that warrant sets me free,	120
But God forbids, and He commandeth kings,	
That great Commander counterchecks my charge,	
He stays my hand, He maketh soft my heart	
Go, cursed tools, your office is exempt	
Cheer thee, young lord, thou shalt not lose an eye, Though I should purchase it with loss of life.	125
I'll to the King, and say his will is done,	
And, of the langor, tell him thou art dead	
Go in with me, for Hubert was not born	
To blind those lamps that Nature polisht so	130
Arthur Hubert, if ever Arthur be in state,	-3-
Look for amends of this received gift	
I took my eyesight by thy curtesy,	
Thou lent'st them me, I will not be ingrate.	
But now procrastination may offend	153
The issue that thy kindness undertakes	
Depart we, Hubert, to prevent the worst.	[Exeunt.
[SCENE XIII]	
Enter King John, Essex, Salisbury, Pembrooke.	
John. Now, warlike followers, resteth aught undone	
That may impeach us of fond oversight?	
The French have felt the temper of our swords,	
Cold terror keeps possession in their souls, Checking their overdaring arrogance	_
For buckling with so great an overmatch,	5
The arch-proud titled Priest of Italy,	
That calls himself Grand Vicar under God,	
Is busied now with trental obsequies,	
Mass and month's-mind, dirge, and I know not what,	10
To ease their souls in painful purgatory,	
That have miscarried in these bloody wars	
Heard you not, lords, when first his Holiness	
Had tidings of our small account of him,	
How, with a taunt, vaunting upon his toes,	15
He urged a reason why the English ass	
Disdam'd the blessed ordinance of Rome?	17

The title (reverently might I infer,)	18
Became the kings that erst have borne the load,	
The slavish weight of that controlling Priest,	20
Who, at his pleasure, temper'd them like wax,	
To carry arms, on danger of his curse,	
Banding their souls with warrants of his hand	
I grieve to think how kings in ages past	
(Simply devoted to the See of Rome),	25
Have run into a thousand acts of shame	
But now, for confirmation of our state,	
Sith we have prun'd the more than needful branch	
That did oppress the true well-growing stock,	
It resteth, we, throughout our territories,	30
Be reproclaimed and invested King	
Pembrook My hege, that were to busy men with doubts	
Once were you crown'd, proclaim'd, and with applause	
Your city streets have echo'd to the ear,	
God save the King, God save our sovereign John,	35
Pardon my fear, my censure doth infer,	
Your Highness not depos'd from regal state,	
Would breed a mutiny in people's minds,	
What it should mean, to have you crown'd again	
John Pembrooke, perform What I have bid thee do,	40
Thou know'st not What induceth me to this,	
Essex, go in, and lordings all, be gone	
About this task, I will be crown'd anon	
Enter the Bastard	
Philip, what news, how do the Abbots' chests?	
Are friars fatter than the nuns are fair?	45
What cheer with churchmen? had they gold, or no?	
Tell me, how hath thy office took effect?	
Philip My lord, I have perform'd your Highness' charge;	
The ease-bred Abbots and the bare-foot frars,	
The monks, the priors, and holy cloister'd nuns,	50
Are all in health, and were, my lord, in wealth,	
Till I had tithed and toll'd their holy hoards	
I doubt not, when your Highness sees my prize,	
You may proportion all their former pride	
John. Why, so, now sorts it, Philip, as it should;	55
This small intrusion into Abbey trunks	
Will make the Popelings excommunicate,	
Curse, ban, and breathe out damned orisons	
As thick as hailstones 'fore the spring's approach,	
But yet as harmless and without effect,	60
As is the echo of a cannon's crack	
Discharg'd against the battlements of heaven.	
But what news else befel there, Philip?	
Bastard Strange news, my lord . within your territories,	_
Near Pomfret, is a prophet new sprung up,	65
Whose divination volleys wonders forth	

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	505
To him the Commons throng with country gifts,	67
He sets a date unto the beldame's death,	-•
Prescribes how long the virgin's state shall last,	
Distinguisheth the moving of the heavens,	70
Gives limits unto holy nuptial rites,	
Foretelleth famine, aboundeth plenty forth.	
Of fate, of fortune, life and death, he chats	
With such assurance, scruples put apart,	
As if he knew the certain dooms of Heaven,	75
Or kept a register of all the Destinies	
John Thou tell'st me marvels, would thou had'st brought the man.	
We might have question'd him of things to come	
Bastard My lord, I took a care of had-I-wist,	
And brought the prophet with me to the court,	80
He stays, my lord, but at the presence door	
Pleaseth your Highness, I will call him in	
John Nay, stay awhile, we'll have him here anon,	
A thing of weight is first to be perform'd	
Enter the Nobles, and crown King John, and then cry 'God save the King!'	
John Lordings, and friends, supporters of our state,	85
Admire not at this unaccustom'd course,	_
Nor in your thoughts blame not this deed of yours	
Once ere this time was I invested King,	
Your fealty sworn as hegmen to our state	
Once since that time, ambitious weeds have sprung	90
To stain the beauty of our garden-plot,	
But Heavens, in our conduct,—rooting thence	
The false intruders, breakers of world's peace,—	
Have, to our joy, made sunshine chase the storm	
After the which, to try your constancy,	95
That now I see is worthy of your names,	
We crav'd once more your helps for to invest us	
Into the right that envy sought to wrack	
Once was I not depos'd, your former choice,	
Now twice been crowned, and applauded King?	100
Your cheered action to install me so,	
Infers assured witness of your loves,	
And binds me over, in a kingly care,	
To render love with love, rewards of worth	
To balance down requital to the full	105
But thanks the while, thanks, lordings, to you all	
Ask me and use me, try me, and find me yours.	
Essex A boon, my lord, at vantage of your words,	
We ask, to guerdon all our loyalties	
Pembrooke We take the time your Highness bids us ask.	110
Please it you grant, you make your promise good,	
With lesser loss than one superfluous hair	
That not remember'd falleth from your head	
John My word is pass'd; receive your boon, my lords.	114

What may it be? Ask it, and it is yours	115
Essex We crave, my lord, to please the Commons with,	
The liberty of Lady Constance son,	
Whose durance darkeneth your Highness' right,	
As if you kept him prisoner, to the end	
Yourself were doubtful of the thing you have	120
Dismiss him thence, your Highness needs not fear,	
Twice by consent you are proclaim'd our King	
Pembrooke This, if you grant, were all unto your good,	
For simple people muse you keep him close	
John Your words have searcht the centre of my thoughts,	125
Confirming warrant of your loyalties,	3
Dismiss your counsel, sway my state,	
Let John do nothing but by your consents	
Why, how now, Philip, what ecstacy is this?	
Why casts thou up thy eyes to heaven so? [There the five moons appear.	130
Bastard See, see, my lord, strange apparitions,	130
Glancing mine eye to see the diadem	
Placed by the bishops on your Highness' head,	
From forth a gloomy cloud, which, curtain-like	
Display'd itself, I suddenly espied	
Five moons reflecting, as you see them now	135
Even in the moment that the crown was plac'd,	
Gan they appear, holding the course you see	
John What might portend these apparitions,	
Unusual signs, forerunners of event,	140
Presagers of strange terror to the world?	
Believe me, Lords, the object fears me much	
Philip, thou told'st me of a wizard late	
Fetch in the man to descant of this show	
Pembrooke The Heavens frown upon the sinful earth,	145
When, with prodigious unaccustom'd signs,	
They spot their superficies with such wonder	
Essex Before the runs of Jerusalem,	
Such meteors were the ensigns of His wrath	
That hast'ned to destroy the faultful town.	150
Enter the BASTARD, with the Prophet	
John Is this the man?	
Bastard. It is, my lord	
John. Prophet of Pomfret, for so I hear thou art,	
That calculat'st of many things to come,	
Who, by a power replete with heavenly gift,	155
Can'st blab the counsel of thy Maker's Will	•
If fame be true, or truth be wrong'd by thee.	
Decide in cyphering, what these five moons	
Portend this clime, if they presage at all.	
Breathe out thy gift, and if I live to see	160
Thy divination take a true effect.	234
I'll honour thee above all earthly men.	16:

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	507
Peter The sky wherein these moons have residence,	~6~
Presenteth Rome, the great Metropolis,	163
Where sits the Pope in all his holy pomp,	165
Four of the moons present four provinces,	105
To wit, Spain, Denmark, Germany, and France,	
That bear the yoke of proud commanding Rome,	
And stand in fear to tempt the Prelate's curse	
The smallest moon that whirls about the rest,	170
Impatient of the place he holds with them,	•
Doth figure forth this Island, Albion,	
Who 'gins to scorn the See and the State of Rome,	
And seeks to shun the edicts of the Pope	
This shows the heaven, and this, I do aver,	175
Is figur'd in these apparitions	
John Why, then it seems the Heavens smile on us,	
Giving applause for leaving of the Pope,	
But, for they chance in our Meridian,	
Do they effect no private growing ill	180
To be inflicted on us in this clime?	
Peter The moons effect no more than what I said, But, on some other knowledge that I have	
By my prescience, ere Ascension Day	
Have brought the sun unto his usual height,	185
Of crown, estate and royal dignity,	105
Thou shalt be clean despoil'd and dispossest	
John False dreamer, perish with thy witched news.	
Villam, thou wound'st me with thy fallacies	
If it be true, die for thy tidings' price,	190
If false, for fearing me with vain suppose	•
Hence with the witch, hell's damned secretary	
Lock him up sure for by my faith I swear,	
True or not true, the wizard shall not live	
Before Ascension Day? Who should be cause hereof?	195
Cut off the cause, and then the effect will die	
Tut, tut, my mercy serves to maim myself,	
The root doth live, from whence these thorns spring up,	
Ay, and my promise pass'd for his delivery	
Frown friends, fail faith, the devil go withal,	200
The brat shall die that terrifies me thus	
Pembrooke and Essex, I recall my grant,	
I will not buy your favours with my fear,	
Nay, murmur not, my will is law enough,	
I love you well; but if I lov'd you better, I would not buy it with my discontent	205
Enter Hubert.	
How, now? what news with thee?	
Hubert According to your Highness' strict command,	
Young Arthur's eyes are blinded and extinct	
John. Why, so?	210
Then he may feel the crown, but never see it,	***

Hubert Nor see nor feel, for, of the extreme pain,		212
Within one hour gave he up the ghost		
John What is he dead?		
Huberi He is, my lord		215
John Then with him die my cares		
Essex Now joy betide thy soul		
Pembrooke And Heavens revenge thy death		
Essex What have you done, my lord? Was ever heard		
A deed of more inhuman consequence?		220
Your foes will curse, your friends will cry revenge		
Unkındly rage, more rough than northern wind,		
To chip the beauty of so sweet a flower		
What hope in us for mercy on a fault,		
When kinsman dies without impeach of cause,		225
As you have done, so come to cheer you with,		
The guilt shall never be cast me in my teeth	[Exeunt]	
John And are you gone? The devil be your guide		
Proud rebels as you are, to brave me so,		
Saucy, uncivil, checkers of my will		230
Your tongues give edge unto the fatal knife		
That shall have passage through your traitorous throats		
But husht, breathe not bug's words too soon abroad,		
Lest time prevent the issue of thy reach		
Arthur is dead, ay, there the corsie grows		235
But while he liv'd, the danger was the more,		
His death hath freed me from a thousand fears,		
But it hath purchast me ten times ten thousand foes		
Why, all is one, such luck shall haunt his game,		
To whom the devil owes an open shame		240
His life, a foe that levell'd at my crown,		
His death, a frame to pull my building down		
My thoughts harp still on quiet by his end,		
Who, hving, aimed shrewdly at my room		
But, to prevent that plea, twice was I crown'd,		245
Twice did my subjects swear me fealty,		
And, in my conscience, lov'd me as their liege,		
In whose defence they would have pawn'd their lives.		
But now, they shun me as a serpent's sting,		
A tragic tyrant, stern and pitiless,		250
And not a title follows after John,		•
But butcher, bloodsucker, and murderer,		
What planet govern'd my nativity,		
To bode me sovereign types of high estate,		
So interlac'd with hellish discontent,		255
Wherein fell fury hath no interest?		-
Curst be the crown, chief author of my care,		
Nay, curst my will, that made the crown my care:		
Curst be my birthday, curst ten times the womb		
That yielded me alive unto the world		260
Art thou there, villain, furies haunt thee still		

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE		509
For killing him whom all the world laments		262
Hubert Why, here's my lord, your Highness hand and seal,		
Charging, on life's regard, to do the deed		
John Ah, dull concerted peasant, know'st thou not,		265
It was a damned, execrable deed?		·
Show'st me a seal? Oh, villain! both our souls		
Have sold their freedom to the thrall of hell,		
Under the warrant of that cursed seal		
Hence, villain, hang thyself, and say in hell,		270
That I am coming for a kingdom there		•
Hubert My lord, attend the happy tale I tell!		
For Heaven's health, send Satan packing hence,		
That instigates your Highness to despair		
If Arthur's death be dismal to be heard,		275
Bandy the news for rumours of untruth		
He lives, my lord, the sweetest youth alive,		
In health, with eyesight, not a hair amiss		
This heart took vigor from this forward hand,		
Making it weak to execute your charge		280
John What lives he? Then, sweet hope, come home again,		
Chase hence despair, the purveyor for hell		
Hie, Hubert, tell these tidings to my lords,		
That throb in passions for young Arthurs death		
Hence, Hubert, stay not till thou hast reveal'd		285
The wished news of Arthus happy health		•
I go myself, the joyfull'st man alive,		
To story out this new supposed crime	Exeunt.	288

The End of the First Part

SECOND PART OF THE

froublesome Raigne of King

John, contenning the death

of Arthur Plantaginet,

the landing of Lewes, and

the poysoning of King

John at Swinstead

Abbey.

As it was (sundry times) publicly acted by the Queen's Majesty's Players, in the honourable City of London

[Device]

Imprinted at London for Sampson Clarke, and are to be sold at his shop, on the backside of the Royal Exchange.

I59I.

TO THE GENTLMEN READERS

The changeless purpose of determin'd Fate Gives period to our care, or heart's content,	
When Heaven's fixt time for this or that hath end,	
Nor can earth's pomp or policy prevent	
The doom ordained in their secret will	5
Gentles we left King John replete with bliss	•
That Arthur liv'd, whom he supposed slain,	
And Hubert posting to return those lords	
Who deem'd him dead, and parted discontent	
Arthur himself begins our latter act,	10
Our act of outrage, desp'rate fury, death,	
Wherein fond rashness murd'reth first a Prince,	
And monkish falseness poisoneth last a King	
First scene shows Arthurs death in infancy,	
And last concludes Johns fatal tragedy	15
	511

The second part of the troublesome Rargne of King John, containing the entraunce of Lewes the French Kings sonne with the poysoning of King Iohn by a Monke

[Scene I]

Enter young ARTHUR on the walls	
Now help, good hap, to further mine intent,	
Cross not my youth with any more extremes,	
I venture hfe, to gain my liberty,	
And if I die, worlds troubles have an end	
Fear 'gins dissuade the strength of my resolve,	5
My hold will fail, and then, alas, I fall,	•
And if I fall, no question, death is next	
Better desist, and live in prison still	
Prison, said I? nay, rather death than so	
Comfort and courage come again to me	10
Ill venture, sure, 'tıs but a leap for life	
[He leaps, and brussing his bones, after he	
was from his traunce, speaks thus	
Ho, who is nigh? somebody take me up	
Where is my mother? let me speak with her	
Who hurts me thus? Speak, ho, where are you gone?	
Ah me, poor Arthur, I am here alone	15
Why call'd I Mother? how did I forget?	
My fall, my fall, hath kill'd my mother's son	
How will she weep at tidings of my death !	
My death indeed, O God, my bones are burst	
Sweet Jesu, save my soul, forgive my rash attempt,	20
Comfort my mother, shield her from despair	
When she shall hear my tragic overthrow	
My heart controls the office of my tongue,	
My vital powers forsake my bruised trunk,	
I die, I die, Heaven take my fleeting soul,	25
And lady mother, all good hap to thee [He dies	-3
Enter Penbrooke, Salisbury, Essex.	
Essex My lords of Penbroke and of Salsbury,	
We must be careful in our policy	
To undermine the keepers of this place,	
Else shall we never find the Princes grave	30
Penbrooke My lord of Essex, take no care for that.	50
I warrant you it was not closely done	
But who is this? Lo, lords, the wither'd flower,	
Who, in his life, shin'd like the morning's blush,	
Cast out o' door, denied his burial rite,	35
A prey for birds and beasts to gorge upon	53
Salisbury O rathful spectacle, O damned deed;	
My sinews shake, my very heart doth bleed.	38
	~~

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	513
Essex Leave childish tears, brave lords of England	
If waterfloods could fetch his life again,	40
My eyes should conduit forth a sea of tears,	40
If sobs would help, or sorrows serve the turn,	
My heart should volley out deep piercing plaints,	
But bootless were't to breathe as many sighs	
As might eclipse the brightest summer's sun	4.5
Here rests the help, a service to his ghost	45
Let not the tyrant causer of this dole,	
Live to triumph in ruthful massacres,	
Give hand and heart, and Englishmen, to arms, 'Tis God's decree to wreak us of these harms	
	50
Penbroke The best advice But who comes posting here	
Enter Hubert	
Right noble lords, I speak unto you all	
The King entreats your soonest speed	
To visit him, who, on your present want,	
Did ban and curse his birth, himself, and me,	55
For executing of his strict command	
I saw his passion, and, at fittest time,	
Assur'd him of his cousin's being safe,	
Whom pity would not let me do to death	
He craves your company, my lords, in haste,	60
To whom I will conduct young Arthur straight,	
Who is in health, under my custody	
Essex In health, base villain, were't not I leave thy crime	
To God's revenge, to whom revenge belongs,	
Here should'st thou perish on my rapier's point	65
Call'st thou this health? such health betide thy friends,	·
And all that are of thy condition	
Hubert My lords, but hear me speak, and kill me then	
If here I left not this young Prince alive,—	
Maugre the hasty edict of the King,	70
Who gave me charge to put out both his eyes,—	,-
That God that gave me living to this hour,	
Thunder revenge upon me in this place!	
And as I tender'd him with earnest love,	
So God love me, and then I shall be well	75
Salsbury Hence, traitor, hence? thy counsel is herein [Exit HUBERT	73
Some in this place, appointed by the King,	
Have thrown him from this lodging here above;	
And sure the murder hath been newly done,	
For yet the body is not fully cold.	80
Essex. How say you, lords, shall we with speed dispatch,	00
Under our hands, a packet into Fraunce,	
To bid the Dolphin enter with his force, To claim the kingdom for his proper right,	
, , , , ,	0
His title maketh lawful strength thereto.	85
Besides, the Pope, on peril of his curse,	
33	

Hath barr'd us of obedience unto John		87
This hateful murder, Lewes his true descent,		
The holy charge that we receiv'd from Rome,		
Are weighty reasons, if you like my rede,		90
To make us all persever in this deed		
Pembrooke My lord of Essex, well have you advis'd		
I will accord to further you in this		
Salisbury And Salisbury will not gainsay the same,		
But aid that course as far forth as he can		95
Essex Then each of us send straight to his allies,		
To win them to this famous enterprise,		
And let us all, yclad in palmer's weed,		
The tenth of April, at Saint Edmunds Bury,		
Meet to confer, and on the altar there		100
Swear secrecy, and aid to this advice		
Meanwhile, let us convey this body hence,		
And give him burial, as befits his state,		
Keeping his month's-mind and his obsequies,		
With solemn intercession for his soul		105
How say you lordings, are you all agreed?		
Pembrooke The tenth of April, at Saint Edmunds Bury:		
God letting not, I will not fail the time.		
Essex Then let us all convey the body hence.	[Exeunt.	109
[Scene II]		
Enter King John, with two or three, and the Prophet.		
John Disturbed thoughts, foredoomers of mine ill,		
Distracted passions, signs of growing harms,		
Strange prophecies of imminent mishaps,		
Confound my wits, and dull my senses so,		
That every object these mine eyes behold,		5
Seem instruments to bring me to my end		
Ascension Day is come John, fear not then		
The produgies this pratting Prophet threats		
'Tis come indeed . ah, were it fully past,		
Then were I careless of a thousand fears,		10
The dial tells me it is twelve at noon.		
Were twelve at midnight past, then might I vaunt		
False seers prophecies of no import		
Could I as well, with this right hand of mine,		
Remove the sun from our meridian,		15
Unto the moonstead circle of th' Antipodes,		
As turn this steel from twelve to twelve again,		
Then, John, the date of fatal prophecies		
Should, with the Prophet's life together end		
But Multo coduni inter colicem supremoque labra		20
Peter, unsay thy foolish doting dream,		
And, by the crown of England, here I swear,		
To make thee great, and greatest of thy km.		
Peter King John, although the time I have prescrib'd		24

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	515
Be but twelve hours remaining yet behind,	25
Yet do I know by inspiration,	
Ere that fixt time be fully come about,	
King John shall not be King as heretofore John Vain buzzard, what mischance can chance so soon,	
To set a King beside his regal seat?	20
	30
My heart is good, my body passing strong, My land in peace, my enemies subdu'd,	
Only my barons storm at Arthurs death,	
But Arthur lives Ay, there the challenge grows	
Were he despatch'd unto his longest home,	35
Then were the King secure of thousand foes	33
Hubert, what news with thee, where are my lords?	
Hubert Hard news, my lord Arthur, the lovely Prince,	
Seeking to escape over the castle walls,	
Fell headlong down, and, in the cursed fall,	40
He brake his bones, and there before the gate	7-
Your Barons found him dead, and breathless quite	
John Is Arthur dead? then, Hubert, without more words, hang the Prophet.	
Away with Peter Villain, out of my sight,	
I am deaf, be gone, let him not speak a word.	45
Now, John, thy fears are vanisht into smoke.	45
Arthur is dead, thou, guiltless of his death	
Sweet youth, but that I strived for a crown,	
I could have well afforded to thine age	
Long life, and happiness to thy content	50
Enter the BASTARD.	
John Philip, what news with thee?	
Basiard The news I heard was Peters prayers,	
Who wisht like fortune to befall us all	
And with that word, the rope, his latest friend,	ţ
Kept him from falling headlong to the ground	55
John. There let him hang, and be the ravens' food,	
While John triumphs in spite of prophecies	
But what's the tidings from the Popelings now	
What say the monks and priests to our proceedings?	
Or where's the barons, that so suddenly	60
Did leave the King upon a false surmise?	
Bastard The prelates storm, and thirst for sharp revenge	
But, please your Majesty, were that the worst,	
It little skill'd a greater danger grows,	
Which must be weeded out by careful speed,	65
Or all is lost, for all is levell'd at	
John. More frights and fears, whate'er thy tidings be,	
I am prepar'd then, Philip, quickly say,	
Mean they to murder, or imprison me,	
To give my crown away to Rome or Fraunce;	70
Or will they, each of them, become a king?	
Worse than I think it is, it cannot be.	72

APPENDIX

Bastard Not worse, my lord, but every whit as bad.	73
The nobles have elected Lewes king,	
In right of Lady Blanche, your niece, his wife;	75
His landing is expected every hour,	
The nobles, commons, clergy, all estates,	
Incited chiefly by the Cardinal,	
Pandulph, that lives here Legate for the Pope,	
Thinks long to see their new-elected king	80
And, for undoubted proof, see here, my liege,	
Letters to me from your nobility,	
To be a party in this action,	
Who, under show of feigned holiness,	
Appoint their meeting at Saint Edmunds Bury,	85
There to consult, conspire, and conclude	٠,
The overthrow and downfall of your state	
John Why, so it must be One hour of content	
Matcht with a month of passionate effects	
Why shines the sun to favour this consort?	90
Why do the winds not break their brazen gates,	90
And scatter all these perjur'd complices,	
With all their counsels and their damned drifts?	
But see the welkin rolleth gently on ,	
There's not a louring cloud to frown on them,	05
The heaven, the earth, the sun, the moon, and all,	95
Conspire, with those confederates, my decay	
Then Hell for me, if any power be there,	
Forsake that place, and guide me, step by step,	
To poison, strangle, murder in their steps	100
These traitors oh that name is too good for them,	100
And death is easy Is there nothing worse	
To wreak me on this proud peace-breaking crew?	
What say'st thou, Philip? why assist'st thou not?	
Bastard. These curses, good my lord, fit not the season:	
Help must descend from Heaven against this treason	105
John Nay, thou wilt prove a traitor with the rest,	
Go, get thee to them, shame come to you all	
Bastard I would be loth to leave your Highness thus.	
Yet you command, and I, though griev'd will go	
John. Ah, Philip whither goest thou, come again.	110
John. A madman, Philip, I am mad indeed,	
My heart is maz'd, my senses all foredone;	
And John of England now is quite undone,	115
Was ever king, as I, opprest with cares?	
Dame Elianor, my noble mother-queen,	
My only hope and comfort in distress,	
Is dead, and England excommunicate,	
And I am interdicted by the Pope,	120
All churches curst, their doors are sealed up; And, for the pleasure of the Romish prest	
THIS IN THE DICASHEE OF THE KOTHER TYPEST.	T22

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	517
The service of the Highest is neglected	123
The multitude, a beast of many heads, Do wish confusion to their sovereign, The nobles, blinded with ambitious fumes, Assemble powers to beat mine empire down,	125
And more than this, elect a foreign king O England, wert thou ever miserable,	
King John of England sees thee miserable John, 'tis thy sins that makes it miserable, Quicquid delirunt Reges, plectuntur Achin Philip, as thou hast ever lov'd thy King,	130
So show it now post to Saint Edmunds Bury,	
Dissemble with the nobles, know their drifts, Confound their devilish plots, and damn'd devices Though John be faulty, yet let subjects bear, He will amend, and right the people's wrongs	135
A mother, though she were unnatural, Is better than the kindest stepdame is	140
Let never Englishman trust foreign rule Then, <i>Philip</i> , show thy fealty to thy King, And 'mongst the nobles, plead thou for the King	140
Bastard I go, my lord See how he is distraught,	145
This is the cursed priest of <i>Italy</i> Hath heapt these mischiefs on this hapless land Now, <i>Philip</i> , had'st thou <i>Tullys</i> eloquence,	-43
Then might'st thou hope to plead with good success John And art thou gone? success may follow thee: Thus hast thou show'd thy kindness to thy King. Sirrah, in haste go greet the Cardinal,	[Exit. 150
Pandulph, I mean, the Legate from the Pope Say that the King desires to speak with him	
Now, John, bethink thee how thou may'st resolve And if thou wilt continue Englands king, Then cast about to keep thy diadem,	155
For life and land, and all, is levell'd at The Pope of <i>Rome</i> , 'tis he that is the cause,	160
He curseth thee, he sets thy subjects free From due obedience to their sovereign: He animates the nobles in their wars, He gives away the crown to <i>Philips</i> son, And pardons all that seek to murder thee	100
And pardons all that seek to murder thee And thus blind zeal is still predominant. Then, John, there is no way to keep thy crown, But finely to dissemble with the Pope That hand that gave the wound, must give the salve	165
To cure the hurt, else quite incurable. Thy sins are far too great to be the man	170
T' abolish Pope and popery from thy realm:	
But in thy seat, if I may guess at all,	172

A king shall reign that shall surpass them all	173
Peace, John, here comes the Legate of the Pope,	
Dissemble thou, and whatsoe'er thou say'st,	175
Yet with thy heart wish their confusion	
Enter PANDULPH	
Pandulph Now John, unworthy man to breath on earth,	
That dost oppugn against thy Mother Church,—	
Why am I sent for to thy cursed self?	
John Thou man of God, Vicegerent for the Pope,	180
The holy Vicar of St Peters Church,	
Upon my knees, I pardon crave of thee,	
And do submit me to the see of Rome,	
And now, for penance of my high offence,	
To take on me the holy cross of Christ,	185
And carry arms in holy christian wars	•
Pandulph No, John, thy crouching and dissembling thus	
Cannot deceive the Legate of the Pope	
Say what thou wilt, I will not credit thee	
Thy crown and kingdom both are ta'en away,	190
And thou art curst without redemption	
John Accurst indeed, to kneel to such a drudge,	
And get no help with thy submission,	
Unsheath thy sword, and slay the misproud priest	
That thus triumphs o'er thee, a mighty king	195
No, John, submit agam, dissemble yet,	-90
For priests and women must be flattered.	
Yet, holy father, thou thyself dost know,	
No time too late for sinners to repent	
Absolve me, then, and John doth swear to do	200
The uttermost, whatever thou demand'st	200
Pandulph John, Now I see thy hearty pentence,	
I rue and pity thy distrest estate	
One way is left to reconcile thyself,	
And only one, which I shall show to thee	205
Thou must surrender to the see of Rome	203
Thy crown and diadem, then shall the Pope	
Defend thee from th' invasion of thy foes;	
And where his Holmess hath kindled Fraunce,	
And set thy subjects' hearts at war with thee,	210
Then shall he curse thy foes, and beat them down	
That seek the discontentment of the king	
K John. From bad to worse, or I must lose my realm,	
Or give my crown for penance unto Rome,	
A misery more piercing than the darts	215
That break from burning exhalations' power	213
What? shall I give my crown with this right hand?	
No. with this hand defend thy crown and thee.	
What news with thee	
Enter Messenger.	
Please it your Majesty, there is descried on the coast of Kent, an hundred	220

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	519
sail of ships, which of all men is thought to be the French fleet, under the conduct of the Dolphin, so that it puts the country in a mutiny, so they send to your Grace for succour	221
John How now, Lord Cardinal, what's your best advice, These mutinies must be allay'd in time By policy, or headstrong rage at least O John, these troubles tire thy weari'd soul, And, like to Luna in a sad eclipse,	225
So are thy thoughts and passions for this news Well may it be, when kings are grieved so, The vulgar sort work princes' overthrow Pandulph King John, for not effecting of thy plighted vow, This strange annoyance happens to thy land	230
But yet be reconcil'd unto the Church, And nothing shall be grievous to thy state K John On, Pandulph, be it as thou hast decreed, John will not spurn against thy sound advice Come, let's away, and with thy help, I trow,	235
My realm shall flourish, and my crown in peace [Exeunt]	239
[Scene III]	
Enter the Nobles, Penbrooke, Essex, Chester, Bewchampe, Clare, with others Penbrooke Now, sweet Saint Edmund, holy saint in heaven, Whose shrine is sacred, high esteem'd on earth, Infuse a constant zeal in all our hearts	
To prosecute this act of mickle weight, Lord Bewchampe, say, what friends have you procur'd? Bewchampe The Lord FitzWater, Lord Percy and Lord Ross, Vow'd meeting here this day, th' eleventh hour Essex Under the cloak of holy pilgrimage,	5
By that same hour, on warrant of their faith, Philip Plantagenet, a bird of swiftest wing, Lord Eustace Vescy, Lord Cressy, and Lord Mowbrey, Appointed meeting at Saint Edmunds shrine Pembroke Until their presence, I'll conceal my tale	10
Sweet 'complices in holy Christian acts, That venture for the purchase of renown, Thrice welcome to the league of high resolve, That pawn their bodies for their souls' regard Essex Now wanteth but the rest to end this work	15
In pilgrims habit comes our holy troop A furlong hence, with swift unwonted pace Maybe they are the persons you expect Pembroke. With swift unwonted gait see what a thing is zeal, That spurs them on with fervence to this shrine, Now joy come to them for their true intent,	20
And, in good time, here come the warmen all,	25
That sweat in body by the mind's disease. Han and heartscase brave lordings he your lot.	27
	21

Enter the Bastard PHILIP, &c	
Amen, my lords, the like betide your luck,	28
And all that travail in a christian cause	
Essex. Cheerly replied, brave branch of kingly stock,	30
A right <i>Plantagenet</i> should reason so	·
But silence, lords, attend our coming's cause,	
The servile yoke that pained us with toil,	
On strong instinct hath fram'd this conventicle,	
To ease our necks of servitude's contempt	35
Should I not name the foeman of our rest,	
Which of you all, so barren in conceit,	
As cannot level at the man I mean?	
But, lest enigmas shadow shining truth,	
Plainly to paint, as truth requires no art,	40
Th' effect of this resort importeth this	•
To root, and clean extirpate, tyrant John	
Tyrant, I say, appealing to the man,	
If any here, that loves him, and I ask,	
What kinship, lenity, or Christian reign	45
Rules in the man, to bar this foul impeach?	43
First, I infer the Chesters banishment,	
For reprehending him in most unchristian crimes,	
Was special notice of a tyrant's will	
But were this all, the devil should be sav'd,	50
But this, the least of many thousand faults	30
That circumstance with lessure might display	
Our private wrongs, no parcel of my tale,	
Which now in presence, but for some great cause	
Might wish to him as to a mortal foe	دم مع
But shall I close the period with an act	55
Abhorring in the ears of Christian men,—	
His cousin's death, that sweet unguilty child,	
Untimely butcher'd by the tyrant's means,	
Here is my proofs, as clear as gravel brook,	60
And on the same, I further must infer,	00
That, who upholds a tyrant in his course,	
Is culpable of all his damned guilt	
To show the which is yet to be describ'd	
My Lord of Penbrooke, show what is behind	65
Only, I say, that were there nothing else	٠5
To move us, but the Pope's most dreadful curse,	
Whereof we are assured if we fail,	
It were enough to instigate us all,	
With earnestness of sp'rit, to seek a mean	
To dispossess John of his regiment.	70
Penbrooke. Well hath my Lord of Essex told his tale,	
Which I aver for most substantial truth	
And more to make the matter to our mind,	
I say that Lewes, in challenge to his wife,	
Hath title of an uncontrolled plea	75

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	521
To all that 'longeth to our English crown	77
Short tale to make, the See Apostolic	• • •
Hath offer'd dispensation for the fault,	
If any be, as trust me, none I know,	80
By planting Lewes in the usurper's room	
This is the cause of all our presence here,	
That, on the holy altar, we protest	
To aid the right of Lewes with goods and life,	
Who, on our knowledge, is in arms for England	85
What say you, lords?	
Salsbury As Pembrooke saith, affirmeth Salsbury:	
Fair Lewes of Fraunce that spoused Lady Blanche,	
Hath title of uncontrolled strength	
To England and what longeth to the crown	90
In right whereof, as we are true inform'd,	
The Prince is marching hitherward in arms	
Our purpose to conclude that with a word,	
Is to invest him, as we may desire,	
King of our country, in the tyrant's stead	95
And so the warrant on the altar sworn,	
And so the intent for which we hither came	
Bastard My lord of Salsbury, I cannot couch	
My speeches with the needful words of art,	
As doth beseem in such a weighty work	100
But what my conscience and my duty will,	
I purpose to impart	
For Chester's exile, blame his busy wit,	
That meddled where his duty quite forbade ·	
For any private causes that you have,	105
Methink they should not mount to such a height,	
As to depose a king, in their revenge	
For Arthur's death, King John was innocent	
He, desperate, was the deathsman to himself.	
Which you, to make a colour to your crime,	110
Injustly do impute to his default	
But where fell traitorism hath residence,	
There wants no words to set despite on work	
I say 'tis shame, and worthy all reproof,	
To wrest such petty wrongs, in terms of right,	115
Against a king anointed by the Lord	
Why, Salsbury, admit the wrongs are true,	
Yet subjects may not take in hand revenge,	
And rob the heavens of their proper power,	
Where sitteth He to whom revenge belongs	120
And doth a Pope, a priest, a man of pride,	
Give charters for the lives of lawful kings?	
What can he bless, or who regards his curse,	
But such as give to man, and takes from God	
I speak it in the sight of God above	125
There's not a man that dies in your behef,	

But sells his soul perpetually to pain	127
Aid Lewes, leave God, kill John, please hell,	
Make havoc of the welfare of your souls,	
For here I leave you, in the sight of heaven,	130
A troop of traitors, food for hellish fiends	
If you desist, then follow me as friends,	
If not then do your worst, as hateful traitors	
For Lewes his right, alas, 'tis too too lame,	
A senseless claim, if truth be title's friend	135
In brief, if this be cause of our resort,	
Our pilgrimage is to the devil's shrine	
I came not, lords, to troop as traitors do,	
Nor will I counsel in so bad a cause	
Please you return, we go again as friends,	140
If not, I to my King, and you where traitors please [Exit	
Percy A hot young man, and so, my lords, proceed,	
Ay, let him go, and better lost than found	
Penbrooke What say you, lords, will all the rest proceed,	
Will you all with me, swear upon the altar	145
That you will to the death be aid to Lewes, And enemy to John?	
Every man lay his hand by mine, in witness of his heart's accord. [They do so]	
Well, then, every man to arms to meet the King,	
Who is already before London	
Massan Gutan	
Messenger Enter What news, herald?	
Messenger The right Christian prince, my master, Lewes of Fraunce,	150
is at hand, coming to visit your honours, directed hither by the right	
honourable Richard, Earl of Bigot, to confer with your honours.	
Penbrooke How near is his Highness?	
Messenger Ready to enter your presence [Exit	
Example 2 ready to enter your presence	155
Enter Lewes, Earl BIGOT with his troop.	
Lewes. Fair lords of England, Lewes salutes you all	
As friends, and firm well-willers of his weal,	
At whose request, from plenty-flowing Fraunce,	
Crossing the ocean with a southern gale,	
He is, in person, come at your commands,	160
To undertake, and gratify withal,	
The fulness of your favours proffer'd him	
But, world's brave men, omitting promises	
Till time be minister of more amends,	
I must acquaint you with our fortune's course.	165
The heavens, dewing favours on my head,	3
Have, in their conduct, safe with victory,	
Brought me along your well-manured bounds,	
With small repulse, and little cross of chance,	
Your city Rochester, with great applause,	170
By some divine instinct, laid arms aside,	-10
And, from the hollow holes of Thamesis,	
Echo apace replied Vive le roy.	

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	523
From thence, along the wanton rolling glade,	
To Troynovant your fair Metropolis,	175
With luck came Lewes, to show his troops of Fraunce,	-,5
Waving our ensigns with the dallying winds,	
The fearful object of fell frowning war,	
Where, after some assault, and small defence,	
Heavens, may I say, and not my warlike troop,	180
Temper'd their hearts to take a friendly foe	
Within the compass of their high-built walls,	
Giving me title, as it seem'd they wish	
Thus Fortune, lords, acts to your forwardness	
Means of content, in lieu of former grief	185
And, may I live but to requite you all,	
World's wish were mine, in dying noted yours	
Salisbury Welcome the balm that closeth up our wounds,	
The sovereign med'cine for our quick recure,	
The anchor of our hope, the only prop	190
Whereon depends our lives, our lands, our weal,	-9-
Without the which, as sheep without their herd,	
(Except a shepherd winking at the wolf),	
We stray, we pine, we run to thousand harms	
No marvel, then, though with unwonted joy,	195
We welcome him that beateth woes away.	-93
Lewes Thanks to you all of this religious league,	
A holy knot of Catholic consent	
I cannot name you, lordings, man by man,	
But, like a stranger unacquainted yet,	200
In general I promise faithful love	
Lord Bigot, brought me to Saint Edmund's Shrine,	
Giving me warrant of a Christian oath,	
That this assembly came devoted here,	
To swear, according as your packets show'd,	205
Homage and loyal service to ourself	5
I need no doubt the surety of your wills,	
Since well I know, for many of your sakes,	
The towns have yielded on their own accords,	
Yet, for a fashion, not for misbelief,	210
My eyes must witness, and these ears must hear	
Your oath upon the holy altar sworn,	
And after, march, to end our coming's cause	
Salsbury That we intend no other than good truth,	
All that are present of this holy league,	215
For confirmation of our better trust,	~~3
In presence of his Highness, swear with me	
The sequel that myself shall utter here —	
I, Thomas Plantagenet, Earl of Salisbury, swear upon the altar, and by	
the holy Army of Saints, homage and allegiance to the right Christian	220
Prince, Lewes of Fraunce, as true and rightful King to England, Cornwall, and	240
Wales, and to their territories, in the defence whereof, I, upon the holy	
altar, swear all forwardness [All the English Lords swear.	223
ment, sment att tot watchiess fund the English Lord's Sweat.	×23

As the noble Earl hath sworn, so swear we all	
Lewes I rest assured on your holy oath,	225
And on this altar, in like sort I swear	_
Love to you all, and princely recompense,	
To guerdon your good wills unto the full	
And since I am at this religious shrine,	
My good well-willers, give us leave awhile	230
To use some orisons, ourselves apart,	•
To all the holy company of heaven,	
That they will smile upon our purposes,	
And bring them to a fortunate event	
Salsbury We leave your Highness to your good intent	235
[Exeunt Lords of England]	00
Lewes Now, Viscount Meloun, what remains behind?	
Trust me, these traitors to their sovereign state	
Are not to be believ'd in any sort	
Meloun Indeed, my lord, they that infringe their oaths,	
And play the rebels 'gainst their native king,	240
Will, for as little cause, revolt from you,	-40
If ever opportunity incite them so	
For, onee forsworn, and never after sound,	
There's no affiance after perjury	
Lewes Well, Meloun, well, let's smooth with them awhile,	245
Until we have as much as they can do,	-73
And when their virtue is exhaled dry,	
I'll hang them for the guerdon of their help	
Meanwhile we'll use them as a precious poison	
To undertake the issue of our hope	250
French Lord 'Tis policy, my lord, to bait our hooks	230
With merry smiles, and promise of much weight,	
But when your Highness needeth them no more,	
'Tis good make sure work with them, lest indeed	
They prove to you as to their natural King	255
Meloun Trust me, my lord, right well have you advis'd	-33
Venom for use, but never for a sport,	
Is to be dalli'd with, lest it infect	
Were you install'd, as soon I hope you shall,	
Be free from traitors, and dispatch them all	260
Lewes. That so I mean, I swear before you all	200
On this same altar; and, by heaven's power,	
There's not an English traitor of them all,	
John once dispatcht, and I, fair England's King,	
Shall on his shoulders bear his head one day,	265
But I will crop it for their guilt's desert	203
Nor shall their heirs enjoy their signories,	
But perish by their parents' foul amiss	
This have I sworn, and this will I perform,	
If e'er I come unto the height I hope	270
Lay down your, hands, and swear the same with me	270
[The French Lords swear	
LINE TRENCH LURDS SWEET	

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	525
Why, so now call them in, and speak them fair, A smile of France will feed an English fool Bear them in hand as friends, for so they be,	272
But in the heart, like traitors, as they are	275
Enter the English Lords	
Now, famous followers, chieftains of the world,	
Have we solicited, with hearty prayer,	
The Heaven in favour of our high attempt	
Leave we this place, and march we with our power To rouse the tyrant from his chiefest hold	-9-
And when our labours have a prosp'rous end,	280
Each man shall reap the fruit of his desert,	
And so resolv'd, brave followers let us hence	283
Scene IV	
Enter King John, Bastard, Pandulph, and a many priests with them	
Thus, John, thou art absolv'd from all thy sins,	
And freed by order from our Father's curse	
Receive thy crown again, with this proviso,	
That thou remain true liegeman to the Pope,	
And carry arms in right of holy Rome	5
John I hold the same as tenant to the Pope,	
And thank your Holmess for your kindness shown Philip A proper jest, when kings must stoop to friars,	
Need hath no law, when friars must be kings	
Enter a Messenger	
Messenger Please it your Majesty, the Prince of Fraunce,	10
With all the nobles of your Grace's land,	10
Are marching hitherwards in good array	
Where'er they set their foot, all places yield,	
Thy land is theirs, and not a foot holds out	
But Dover Castle, which is hard besieg'd	15
Pandulph Fear not, King John, thy kingdom is the Pope's,	
And they shall know his Holiness hath power	
To beat them soon from whence he hath to do	
Drums and trumpets Enter Lewes, Meloun, Salisbury, Essex, Pem- Brooke, and all the Nobles from Fraunce and England	
Lewes Pandulph, as gave his Holiness in charge,	
So hath the <i>Dolphin</i> muster'd up his troops,	20
And won the greatest part of all this land	
But ill becomes your Grace, Lord Cardinal,	
Thus to converse with John that is accurst.	
Pandulph Lewes of France, victorious conqueror, Whose sword hath made this island quake for fear,	25
Thy forwardness to fight for holy Rome	25
Shall be remunerated to the full:	
But know, my lord, King John is now absolv'd;	
The Pope is pleas'd, the land is blest again,	
And thou hast brought each thing to good effect.	30
It resteth then that thou withdraw thy powers,	

And quietly return to Fraunce again		32
For all is done, the Pope would wish thee do		
Lewes But all's not done that Lewes came to do.		
Why, Pandulph, hath King Philip sent his son,		35
And been at such excessive charge in wars,		
To be dismist with words? King John shall know		
England is mine, and he usurps my right		
Pandulph Lewes, I charge thee and thy 'complices		
Upon the pain of Pandulph's holy curse		40
That thou withdraw thy powers to Fraunce again,		
And yield up London and the neighbour towns		
That thou hast ta'en in England by the sword		
Meloun Lord Cardinal, by Lewes' princely leave,		
It can be nought but usurpation		45
In thee, the Pope, and all the Church of Rome,		
Thus to insult on kings of Christendom,		
Now with a word to make them carry arms,		
Then with a word to make them leave their arms		
This must not be Prince Lewes, keep thine own,		50
Let Pope and Popelings curse their bellies full		•
Bastard. My lord of Meloun, What title had the Prince		
To England and the crown of Albion,		
But such a title as the Pope confirm'd?		
The Prelate now lets fall his feigned claim,		55
Lewes is but the agent for the Pope,		
Then must the Dauphin cease, sith he hath ceast.		
But cease or no, it greatly matters not,		
If you, my lords and barons of the land,		
Will leave the French, and cleave unto your king		60
For shame, ye peers of England, suffer not		
Yourselves, your honours, and your land to fall,		
But with resolved thoughts beat back the French,		
And free the land from yoke of servitude		
Salisbury Philip, not so, Lord Lewes is our King;		65
And we will follow him unto the death.		- 3
Pandulph Then, in the name of Innocent, the Pope,		
I curse the Prince and all that take his part,		
And excommunicate the rebel peers		
As traitors to the King and to the Pope		70
Lewes Pandulph, our swords shall bless ourselves again		,-
Prepare thee, John Lords, follow me, your King.	[Exeunt.]	
K John Accursed John, the devil owes thee shame,		
Resisting Rome, or yielding to the Pope, all's one.		
The devil take the Pope, the peers, and Fraunce.		75
Shame be my share for yielding to the priest.		73
Pandulph. Comfort thyself, King John, the Cardinal goes,		
Upon his curse to make them leave their arms.	Exit.	
Bastard. Comfort, my lord, and curse the Cardinal,	[
Betake yourself to arms, My troops are prest		80
To answer Lewes with a lusty shock:		

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE		527
The English archers have their quivers full, Their bows are bent, the pikes are prest to push Good cheer, my lord, King Richard's fortune hangs		82
Upon the plume of warlike <i>Philip's</i> helm Then let them know, his brother and his son Are leaders of the Englishmen at arms K John Philip, I know not how to answer thee		85
But let us hence, to answer Lewes' pride	[Exeunt]	89
[Scene V]		
Excursions Enter Meloun with English Lords. Meloun O, I am slain, Nobles, Salsbury, Pembrooke, My soul is charg'd Hear me for what I say Concerns the peers of England, and their state Listen, brave lords, a fearful mourning tale		
To be deliver'd by a man of death Behold, these scars, the dole of bloody Mars,		5
Are harbingers from nature's common foe, Citing this trunk to <i>Tellus</i> ' prison house Life's charter, lordings, lasteth not an hour,		
And fearful thoughts, forerunners of my end, Bids me give physic to a sickly soul O peers of <i>England</i> , know you what you do? There's but a hair that sunders you from harm,		10
The hook is baited, and the train is made, And simply you run doing to your deaths. But lest I die, and leave my tale untold, With silence slaughtering so brave a crew,		15
This I aver if Lewes win the day, There's not an Englishman that lifts his hand Against King John, to plant the heir of Fraunce, But is already damn'd to cruel death I heard it vow'd, myself, amongst the rest,		20
Swore on the altar, aid to this edict Two causes, lords, makes me display this drift;— The greatest, for the freedom of my soul, That longs to leave this mansion free from guilt, The other, on a natural instinct,		25
For that my grandsire was an Englishman Misdoubt not, lords, the truth of my discourse; No frenzy, nor no brainsick idle fit; But well advis'd, and wotting what I say,		30
Pronounce I here, before the face of Heaven, That nothing is discover'd but a truth. 'Tis time to fly, submit yourselves to John, The smiles of Fraunce shade in the frowns of death; Lift up your swords, turn face against the French,		35
Expel the yoke that's framed for your necks. Back, warmen, back, embowel not the clime, Your seat, your nurse, your birthday's breathing-place,		39

That bred you, bears you, brought you up in arms		40
Ah be not so ingrate, to dig your mother's grave,		
Preserve your lambs, and beat away the wolf,		
My soul hath said, contrition's penitence		
Lays hold on man's redemption for my sin		
Farewell, my lords, witness my faith when we are met in heaven,		45
And, for my kindness, give me grave-room here		
My soul doth fleet, world's vanities, farewell		
Salsbury Now joy betide thy soul, well-meaning man		
How, now, my lords, what cooling card is this,		
A greater grief grows now, than erst hath been		50
What counsel give you, shall we stay and die?		30
Or shall we home, and kneel unto the King?		
Pembroke My heart misgave this sad accursed news		
What have we done, Fie, lords, what frenzy mov'd		
Our hearts to yield unto the pride of Fraunce?		~ ~
If we persever, we are sure to die,		55
If we desist, small hope again of life		
Salsbury Bear hence the body of this wretched man,		
That made us wretched with his dying tale,		
		60
And stand not wailing on our present harms,		00
As women wont, but seek our harm's redress		
As for myself, I will in haste be gone,		
And kneel for pardon to our sovereign John		
Pembroke Ay, there's the way, let's rather kneel to him,		
Than to the French that would confound us all	[Exeunt	65
[Scene VI]		
Enter King John, carried between 2 Lords		
John Set down, set down the load not worth your pain		
Fordone I am with deadly wounding grief		
Sickly and succourless, hopeless of any good,		
The world hath weari'd me, and I have weari'd it		
It loathes I live, I live, and loathe myself		5
Who pities me? To whom have I been kind?		
But to a few a few will pity me.		
Why die I not? Death scorns so vilde a prey.		
Why live I not? Life hates so sad a prize		
I sue to both, to be retain'd of either,		10
But both are deaf, I can be heard of neither		
Nor death nor life, yet life, and ne'er the near';		
Ymixt with death, biding I wot not where		
Philip How fares my lord, that he is carri'd thus?		
Not all the awkward fortunes yet befall'n		15
Made such impression of lament in me;		*3
Nor ever did my eye attaint my heart		
With any object moving more remorse,		
Than now beholding of a mighty king		
Borne by his lords in such distressed state.		20
John What news with thee, if bad, report it straight.		20

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	529
If good, be mute, it doth but flatter me	22
Philip Such as it is, and heavy though it be	
To glut the world with tragic elegies,	
Once will I breathe, to aggravate the rest,	25
Another moan, to make the measure full	
The bravest bowman had not yet sent forth	
Two arrows from the quiver at his side,	
But that a rumour went throughout our camp,	
That John was fled, the King had left the field	30
At last the rumour scal'd these ears of mine, Who rather chose, as sacrifice for <i>Mars</i> ,	
Than ignominious scandal by retire	
I cheer'd the troops, as did the prince of <i>Troy</i>	
His weary followers 'gainst the Myrmidons,	
Crying aloud, "Saint George, the day is ours"	35
But fear had captivated courage quite,	
And, like the lamb before the greedy wolf,	
So heartless fled our warmen from the field	
Short tale to make, myself amongst the rest,	40
Was fain to fly before the eager foe	40
By this time, night had shadow'd all the earth,	
With sable curtains of the blackest hue,	
And fenced us from the fury of the French,	
As Io from the jealous Juno's eye	45
When in the morning our troops did gather head,	
Passing the Washes with our carriages,	
The impartial tide, deadly and inexorable,	
Came raging in, with billows threat'ning death,	
And swallow'd up the most of all our men	50
Myself, upon a Galloway right free, well pac'd,	
Outstript the floods that follow'd, wave by wave,	
I so escaped, to tell this tragic tale	
K John Grief upon grief, yet none so great a grief	
To end this life, and thereby rid my grief	55
Was ever any so unfortunate,	
The right idea of a cursed man,	
As I, poor I, a triumph for despite,	
My fever grows. what ague shakes me so?	٤.
How far to Swinsteed, tell me, do you know,	60
Present unto the Abbot, word of my repair	
My sickness rages, to tyrannize upon me I cannot live unless this fever leave me.	
Philip Good cheer, my lord, the Abbey is at hand	
Behold, my lord, the churchmen come to meet you	65
behold, my lord, the chareman come to meet you	٠3
Enter the Abbot and certain Monks.	
Abbot. All health and happiness to our sovereign lord the King,	
John. Nor health nor happiness hath John at all.	
Say, Abbot, am I welcome to thy house.	
Abbot. Such welcome as our Abbey can afford,	69
34	

Your Majesty shall be assured of Philip The King, thou see'st, is weak, and very faint.	70
What victuals hast thou, to refresh his Grace Abbot Good store, my lord of that you need not fear,	
For Lincolnshire, and these our Abbey grounds,	
Were never fatter, nor in better plight	75
John Philip, thou never need'st to doubt of cates,	13
Nor King nor lord is seated half so well	
As are the Abbeys throughout all the land	
If any plot of ground do pass another,	
The friars fasten on it straight	80
But let us in, to taste of their repast	
It goes against my heart to feed with them,	
Or be beholden to such Abbey grooms	[Exeunt.
	•
Manet the Monk	
Monk Is this the King that never lov'd a friar?	
Is this the man that doth contemn the Pope?	85
Is this the man that robb'd the holy Church,	
And yet will fly unto a friary?	
Is this the King that aims at Abbeys' lands?	
Is this the man whom all the world abhors,	
And yet will fly unto a friary?	90
Accurst be Swinsteed Abbey, Abbot, friars,	
Monks, nuns, and clerks, and all that dwells therein,	
If wicked John escape alive away	
Now, if that thou wilt look to ment heaven,	
And be canoniz'd for a holy saint, To please the world with a deserving work,	95
Be thou the man to set thy country free,	
And murder him that seeks to murder thee	
And indides min that seeks to indides thee	
[Enter the Abbot]	
Abbot Why are you not within, to cheer the King?	
He now begins to mend, and will to meat	100
Monk What if I say to strangle him in his sleep?	
Abbot What, at thy mumpsimus? Away,	
And seek some means for to pastime the King	
Monk I'll set a dudgeon dagger at his heart,	
And with a mallet knock him on the head	105
Abbot. Alas, what means this monk to murder me?	
'Dare lay my life he'll kill me for my place.	
Monk. I'll poison him, and it shall ne'er be known;	
And then shall I be chiefest of my house	
Abbat If I were dead, indeed he is the next,	110
But I'll away, for why, the monk is mad,	
And in his madness he will murder me Monk. My lord,	
I cry your lordship mercy, I saw you not	
Abbat. Alas, good Thomas, do not murder me.	
And thou shalt have my place, with thousand thanks.	115
mare passe mave my prace, with monsain manks.	

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	531
Monk I murder you, God shield from such a thought	117
Abbot If thou wilt needs, yet let me say my prayers	,
Monk I will not hurt your lordship, good my lord,	
But, if you please, I will impart a thing	120
That shall be beneficial to us all	
Abbot Wilt thou not hurt me, holy monk, say on	
Monk You know, my lord, the King is in our house	
Abbot True	
Monk You know likewise, the King abhors a friar	125
Abbot True	
Monk And he that loves not a friar is our enemy	
Abbot Thou say'st true	
Monk Then the King is our enemy	
Abbot True	130
Monk Why then should we not kill our enemy And the King	
being our enemy, why then should we not kill the King	
Abbot O blessed monk, I see God moves thy mind	
To free this land from tyrant's slavery	
But who dare venture for to do this deed?	135
Monk Who dare? Why, I, my lord, dare do the deed	
I'll free my country and the Church from foes,	
And merit Heaven, by killing of a king	
Abbot Thomas, kneel down, and if thou art resolv'd,	
I will absolve thee here from all thy sins,	140
For why, the deed is mentonous	
Forward, and fear not, man, for every month,	
Our friars shall sing a mass for Thomas' soul.	
Monk God and Saint Francis prosper my attempt	
For now, my lord, I go about my work. [Exeunt	145
[SCENE VII]	
Enter Lewes and his Army	
Lewes. Thus victory, in bloody laurel clad,	
Follows the fortune of young Lodowick	
The Englishmen, as daunted at our sight,	
Fall as the fowl before the eagle's eyes	
Only two crosses of contrary change	5
Do nip my heart, and vex me with unrest	
Lord Melon's death, the one part of my soul,	
A braver man did never live in Fraunce	
The other grief, ay, that's a gall indeed,	
To think that Dover Castle should hold out	10
'Gainst all assaults, and rest impregnable	
Ye warlike race of Francus Hector's son,	
Triumph in conquest of that tyrant John,	
The better half of England is our own,	
And towards the conquest of the other part,	15
We have the face of all the English lords	
What then remains, but overrun the land?	18
Be resolute, my warlike followers,	70

And if good fortune serve as she begins, The poorest peasant of the realm of Fraunce Shall be a master o'er an English lord	20
Enter a Messenger	
Lewes Fellow, what news	
Messenger Pleaseth your Grace, the Earl of Salsbury,	
Penbrooke, Essex, Clare, and Arundel, with all the barons that did figh	t for
thee, are, on a sudden, fled with all their powers, to join with John, to o	.1
thee back again	arive 25
Enter another Messenger	
Messenger Lewes, my lord why stand'st thou in a maze,	
Gather thy troops, hope not of help from Fraunce,	
For all thy forces, being fifty sail,	
Containing twenty thousand soldiers,	
With victual and munition for the war	30
Putting from Callis in unlucky time,	
Did cross the seas, and on the Goodwin Sands	
The state of the s	241
	Exit]
Enter another Messenger	
Lewes More news? Say on	35
Messenger John, my lord, with all his scatter'd troops,	
Flying the fury of your conquering sword,	
As Pharaoh erst within the bloody sea,	
So he and his, environ'd with the tide,	
On Lincoln Washes all were overwhelm'd,	40
The barons fled, our forces cast away	
Lewes Was ever heard such unexpected news?	
Messenger Yet, Lodowick, revive thy dying heart,	
King John and all his forces are consum'd	
The less thou need'st the aid of English Earls,	45
The less thou need'st to grieve thy navy's wrack,	
And follow time's advantage with success	
Lewes. Brave Frenchmen, arm'd with magnanimity,	
March after Lewes, who will lead you on	
To chase the barons' power that wants a head,	50
For John is drown'd, and I am England's king.	
Though our munitions and our men be lost,	
Philip of Fraunce will send us fresh supplies [Exe	eunt 53
f0 ********	
[Scene VIII]	
Enter two Friars laying a Cloth.	
Friar Dispatch, dispatch, the King desires to eat. Would a m	ught
eat his last, for the love he bears to Churchmen.	
Frar I am of thy mind too, and so it should be, and we might be	our
own carvers. I marvel why they dine here in the orchard.	
Friar. I know not, nor I care not. The King comes	5
John Come on, Lord Abbot, shall we sit together?	_
Abbot. Pleaseth your Grace, sit down	
John. Take your places, sirs, no norm in penume all become	and Q

riends may come Where necessity keeps the house, curtesy is barr'd	
the table Sit down, Philip!	10
Bastard My lord, I am loth to allude so much to the proverb, Honors	
change manners a king is a king, though Fortune do her worst, and we as	
dutiful, in despite of her frown, as if your Highness were now in the highest	
type of dignity	
John Come, no more ado, and you tell me much of dignity, you'll mar	15
my appetite in a surfeit of sorrow What cheer, Lord Abbot, methinks	-3
you frown like an host that knows his guest hath no money to pay the	
reckoning?	
Abbot No, my hege, if I frown at all, it is for I fear this cheer too	
homely to entertain so mighty a guest as your Majesty	20
Bastard I think rather, my Lord Abbot, you remember my last being	20
here, when I went in progress for pouches and the rancor of his heart	
breaks out in his countenance, to show he hath not forgot me	
Abbot Not so, my lord, you, and the meanest follower of his Majesty,	
are heartily welcome to me	25
Monk Wassail, my liege, and, as a poor monk may say Welcome to	
Swinsted	
John Begin, monk, and report hereafter thou wast taster to a king	
Monk As much health to your Highness as to my own heart	
John I pledge thee, kind monk	30
Monk The merriest draught that ever was drunk in England Am I	
not too bold with your Highness?	
John Not a whit, all friends and fellows for a time	
Monk If the inwards of a toad be a compound of any proof. why, so .	
t works	35
John Stay, Philip, where's the monk?	
Bastard He is dead, my lord	
John Then drink not, Philip, for a world of wealth	
Bastard What cheer, my hege, your colour 'gins to change.	
John So doth my life O, Philip, I am poison'd.	40
The monk, the devil, the poison 'gins to rage,	
It will depose myself, a king, from reign	
Bastard This Abbot hath an interest in this act.	
At all adventures take thou that from me,	
There lie thee, Abbot, Abbey-lubber, devil	45
March with the monk unto the gates of hell	
How fares my lord?	
Iohn Philip, some drink! Oh, for the frozen Alps,	
To tumble on and cool this inward heat,	
That rageth as the furnace sevenfold hot	50
To burn the holy three in Babylon,	•
Power after Power forsake their proper power;	
Only the heart impugns with faint resist	
The fierce invade of him that conquers kings.	
Help, God, O, pain, Die, John, O, plague	55
Inflicted on thee for thy grievous suns	-
Philip, a chair, and by and by a grave.	
My legs disdain the carriage of a king	58
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Bastara An, good my nege, with patience conquer giver,	
And bear this pain with kingly fortitude	бо
John Methinks I see a catalogue of sin	
Wrote by a fiend in marble characters,	
The least enough to lose my part in heaven	
Methinks the devil whispers in mine ears,	
And tells me, 'tis in vain to hope for grace	65
I must be damn'd for Arthur's sudden death	
I see, I see a thousand thousand men	
Come to accuse me for my wrong on earth,	
And there is none so merciful a God	
That will forgive the number of my sins	70
How have I liv'd, but by another's loss?	
What have I lov'd, but wrack of others' weal?	
When have I vow'd and not infring'd mine oath?	
Where have I done a deed deserving well?	
How, what, when, and where, have I bestow'd a day	75
That tended not to some notorious ill.	
My life, replete with rage and tyranny,	
Craves little pity for so strange a death	
Or who will say that John deceast too soon?	
Who will not say he rather liv'd too long?	80
Dishonor did attaint me in my life,	
And shame attendeth John unto his death	
Why did I 'scape the fury of the French,	
And di'd not by the temper of their swords?	
Shameless my life, and shamefully it ends,	85
Scorn'd by my foes, disdained of my friends	-
Bastard Forgive the world and all your earthly foes,	
And call on Christ, who is your latest friend	
John My tongue doth falter Philip, I tell thee, man,	
Since John did yield unto the Priest of Rome,	90
Nor he nor his have prosp'red on the earth	
Curst are his blessings, and his curse is bliss	
But in the spirit I cry unto my God,	
As did the kingly prophet David cry,	
(Whose hands, as mine, with murder were attaint)	95
I am not he shall build the Lord a house,	
Or root these locusts from the face of earth,	
But if my dying heart deceive me not,	
From out these loins shall spring a kingly branch,	
Whose arms shall reach unto the gates of Rome,	100
And with his feet tread down the strumpet's pride	
That sits upon the chair of Babylon.	
Philip, my heart-strings break; the poison's flame	
Hath overcome in me weak Nature's power;	
And in the faith of Jesu, John doth die	105
Bastard. See how he strives for life, unhappy lord,	
Whose bowels are divided in themselves.	
This is the fruit of Popery, when true kings	108

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	535
Are slain and shoulder'd out by monks and friars	
Enter a Messenger Messenger Please it your Grace, the barons of the land, Which all this while bare arms against the King,	110
Conducted by the Legate of the Pope, Together with the Prince, his Highness' son, Do crave to be admitted to the presence of the King Bastard Your son, my lord, young Henry, craves to see Your Majesty, and brings with him, beside, The barons that revolted from your Grace O piercing sight, he fumbleth in the mouth, His speech doth fail Lift up yourself, my lord, And see the Prince, to comfort you in death	115
Enter PANDULPH, Young HENRY, the BARONS with daggers in their hands.	
Prince Oh, let me see my father ere he die O uncle, were you here, and suffer'd him To be thus poison'd by a damned monk? Ah, he is dead, Father, sweet father, speak Bastard His speech doth fail, he hasteth to his end Pandulph Lords, give me leave to joy the dying King With sight of these, his nobles, kneeling here With daggers in their hands, who offer up	125
Their lives for ransom of their foul offence Then, good my lord, if you forgive them all,	
Lift up your hand, in token you forgive	130
Salisbury. We humbly thank your royal Majesty, And vow to fight for England and her King And in the sight of John, our sovereign lord, In spite of Lewes and the power of Fraunce, Who hitherward are marching in all haste, We crown young Henry in his father's stead Henry Help, help, he dies. Ah, father, look on me	135
Legate King John, farewell, in token of thy faith, Lift up thy hand, that we may witness here	140
Thou died'st the servant of our Saviour Christ Now joy betide thy soul What noise is this	140
Enter a Messenger Messenger. Help, lords, the Dolphin maketh hitherward	
With ensigns of defiance in the wind,	
And all our army standeth at a gaze, Expecting what their leaders will command Bastard Let's arm ourselves in young King Henry's right,	145
And beat the power of France to sea again	
Legate. Philip, not so, but I will to the Prince, And bring him face to face to parle with you. Bastard. Lord Salsbury, yourself shall march with me; So shall we bring these troubles to an end.	150
King Sweet uncle, if thou love thy sovereign,	
Let not a stone of Swinsted Abbey stand,	154

536

But pull the house about the friars' ears,	155
For they have kill'd my father and my king	[Exeunt
[Scene IX]	
A parie sounded, Lewes, Pandulph, Salsbury, etc	
Pandulph Lewes of Fraunce, young Henry, England's king,	
Requires to know the reason of the claim	
That thou canst make to anything of his	
King John, that did offend, is dead and gone,	
See where his breathless trunk in presence lies,	5
And he, as heir apparent to the crown,	3
Is now succeeded in his father's room	
Henry Lewes, what law of arms doth lead thee thus	
To keep possession of my lawful right?	
Answer in fine, if thou wilt take a peace,	10
And make surrender of my right again,	
Or try thy title with the dint of sword	
I tell thee, Dolphin, Henry fears thee not,	
For now the barons cleave unto their king,	
And what thou hast in England, they did get	15
Lewis Henry of England, now that John is dead,	•
That was the chiefest enemy to Fraunce,	
I may the rather be induc'd to peace	
But Salsbury, and you barons of the realm,	
This strange revolt agrees not with the oath	20
That you on Bury altar lately sware	
Salsbury Nor did the oath your Highness there did take,	
Agree with honour of the Prince of Fraunce	
Bastard My lord, what answer make you to the King?	
Dolphin Faith, Philip, this I say it boots not me,	25
Nor any prince, nor power of Christendom,	
To seek to win this island Albion,	
Unless he have a party in the realm	
By treason for to help in his wars	
The peers which were the party on my side,	30
Are fled from me; then boots not me to fight,	
But on conditions, as mine honour wills,	
I am contented so depart the realm	
Henry. On what conditions will your Highness yield?	
Lewis. That shall we think upon by more advice	35
Bastard Then, kings and princes, let these broils have end,	
And at more lessure talk upon the league	
Meanwhile to Worster let us bear the King,	
And there inter his body, as beseems	
But first, in sight of Lewes, heir of France,	40
Lords, take the crown, and set it on his head,	
That by succession is our lawful king, [They crown young	HENRY.
Thus England's peace begins in Henry's reign,	
And bloody wars are clos'd with happy league	
Let England live but true within itself,	45

THE TROUBLESOME RAIGNE	537
And all the world can never wrong her state Lewes, thou shalt be bravely shipt to Fraunce, For never Frenchman got of English ground	46
The twentieth part that thou hast conquered Dolphin, thy hand, to Worster we will march, Lords all, lay hands to bear your sovereign With obsequies of honour to his grave	50
If England's peers and people join in one, Not Pope, nor Fraunce, nor Spain can do them wrong	54

FINIS

CIBBER'S PAPAL TYRANNY IN THE REIGN OF KING JOHN

DAVIES (Dramatic Miscell, 1, 2) Colley Cibber's Papal Tyranny he pretends was written to supply Shakespeare's deficiencies, but more especially the want of just resentment in a king of England when insulted by a pope's nuncio, and, his play being acted in 1744, when the nation was alarmed with the threats of an invasion by a popish pretender, the popular sentiments against the encroachments of papal influence met with applause Colley's vanity so far transported him that. in his Dedication, he told Lord Chesterfield he had endeavoured to make his play more like one 'than what he found it in Shakespeare' But Cibber lived long enough to see his Papal Tyranny entirely neglected, and, what must have been more mortifying to a man of his extreme vanity, the original play acted with great suc-His bouncing, though well-meant, declamation against the insolent pretensions of papal power could not make amends for his mutilations of Shakespeare, and especially for his murdering two characters of our inimitable Poet, not inferior perhaps to any which came from his pen-Lady Constance and the Bastard Falconbridge. However, it is to Cibber, I believe, we owe the revival of this tragedy. which had lain dormant from the days of Shakespeare till 1736 The Papal Tyranny had been offered to Mr Fleetwood, the manager of Drury Lane Theatre, about nine or ten years before it was acted This was no sooner known to the public than Cibber was most severely attacked by the critics in the newspapers, Fielding wrote a farce upon the subject, which was played at the little theatre in the Haymarket, though I do not believe it is printed amongst his works However. the parts in the Papal Tyranny were distributed, and a time fixed for its performance, but the clamour against the author, whose presumption was highly censured for daring to alter Shakespeare, increased to such a height that Colley, who had smarted more than once for dabbling in tragedy, went to the playhouse, and, without saying a word to anybody, took the play from the prompter's desk and marched off with it in his pocket Pope, in his new edition of the Dunciad, which he had taken the pains to alter, in order to dethrone Theobald and place Cibber in his room, in the following line hints at the cautious conduct of the poet-laureate

'King John in silence modestly expires.'-Dunc, Book 1

[The satural piece by Fielding to which Davies refers is evidently that noticed by Genest (ni, p 157), as follows]

The Historical Register for 1736—this piece, in 3 acts, was written by Fielding—it contains some very good political and theatrical strokes—Quidam was meant for Sir Robert Walpole—the scene lies in the playhouse—at the close of the 2d act Fielding alludes to the contention between Mrs Cibber and Mrs Chve for the part of Polly

Enter Pistol (Theophilus Cibber) and Mob

After a preface of about 14 lines he asks the mob if they wish his wife to play Polly.

(Mob hiss)

'Thanks to the Town, that Hiss speaks their assent: Such was the Hiss that spoke the great applause Our mighty Father met with, when he brought His Riddle on the stage, such was the Hiss Welcom'd his Cæsar to the Ægyptian shore, Such was the Hiss, in which great John should have expir'd But wherefore do I strive in vain to number Those glorious Hisses, which from age to age Our family has borne triumphant from the stage?'

In the next act Apollo is discovered—on which Medley, the Author, who seems to speak Fielding's own sentiments, says, 'You must know this is a Bastard of Apollo begotten on that beautiful Nymph Moria, who sold Oranges to Thespis' company, or rather cart-load of Comedians, and being a great Favourite of his Father's, the old Gentleman settled upon him the entire direction of all our Playhouses and poetical performances whatever'

Apollo Prompter

Prompter Sir

Apollo Is there anything to be done?

Prompter Yes Sir, this play to be cast

Apollo. Give it to me, the life and death of King John written by Shakespeare Who can act the King?

Prompter Pistol, Sir, he loves to act it behind the scenes

Apollo Here are a parcel of English Lords

Prompter Their parts are but of little consequence, I will take care to cast them

Apollo Do, but be sure to give them to actors who will mind their cues

Enter Ground-Ivy. (Colly Cibber)

Ground. What are you doing here?

Apollo I am casting the parts in the tragedy of King John

Ground Then you are casting the parts in a Tragedy that will not do

Apollo How Sir. Was it not written by Shakespeare, one of the greatest Genius's that ever lived?

Ground No Sir, Shakespeare was a pretty fellow, and said some things which only want a little of my licking to do well enough. King John, as now writ, will not do But a word in your ear, I will make him do

Apollo How?

Ground By alteration, Sir, it was a maxim of mine, when I was at the head of theatrical affairs, that no play, tho' ever so good, would do without alteration

Sowrwit, a Critic, ridicules the idea of Ground-Ivy's altering Shakespeare, to which Medley makes the following admirable reply. 'As Shakespeare is already good enough for people of taste, he must be altered to the palates of those who have none, and if you will grant that, who can be properer to alter him for the worse'

Sowrwit. I hope, sir, your Pistol is not intended to burlesque Shakespeare

Medley No, Sir, I have too great an honour for Shakespeare to think of burlesquing him, and to be sure of not burlesquing him I will never attempt to alter him, for fear of burlesquing him by accident, as perhaps some others have done.

Sowrwit. To what purpose was Mr Pistol introduced?

Medley. To no purpose at all, Sir, it's all in character, Sir, and plainly shows of what mighty consequence he is. And there ends my Article from the Theatre.

The whole concludes with, 'and you ladies, whether you be Shakespeare's Ladies, or Beaumont and Fletcher Ladies,' &c -- about this time some Ladies formed them-

selves into a society to support Shakespeare—others seem to have done the same by Fletcher, to this Fielding alludes The Prologue to the *Independent Patriot* alludes to the Ladies' Subscription for the revival of Shakespeare's plays

KILBOURNE (p 93) Cibber apparently did not know that he was returning to the harsh anti-Romish spirit that characterized the old play that Shakespeare recast, and which, in recasting, he rejected So badly is the play mangled that it may be said to be practically written afresh Among the numerous changes, two stand out, the virtual disappearance of Faulconbridge and the enlargement of the character of Constance By the former, one of Shakespeare's most individual and skilfully portrayed characters, whose words and actions constitute one of the best features of the play, is lost to us To compensate for this deprivation, the latter change is made, which the author doubtless thought would be very acceptable to his audience Probably this is one of the principal things that to Cibber's mind made the history 'more like a play' That the woman element must be made an important one was, as we have seen, an article of the dramatic faith of the time It may be noted in passing that our Author, in making Constance more prominent. has represented her as doing several things for which history affords no warrant, a practice which he adopts in many other cases Anyone who reads this play will not long be uncertain as to the comparative excellence of Shakespeare and Cibber as playwrights and poets But nothing will be gained by a further discussion of this mangling process It is much worse than in the version of Richard III, and it is no wonder that the play quickly succumbed when brought into comparison with the original at a rival theatre. It is interesting and amusing to learn that the proprietor of Drury Lane Theatre advertised that he had put off the requested revival of Shakespeare's King John because Cibber had insinuated that this was hkely to damage him, but that, 'finding from the bills that Papal Tyranny was not an alteration of King John, but a new tragedy on the same plan,' he would not delay the exhibition

[Papal Tyranny is easily accessible in any edition of Cibber's Works A reprint of it is therefore omitted here, but no apology is needed, I think, for giving at full length the following Letter, issued anonymously, shortly after the publication of Cibber's Tragedy Apart from its trenchant criticism of Cibber's work, its great rarity makes a modern reprint of it interesting Miss Charlotte Porter (Folio Edition) has given a number of extracts from this Letter, without comment—ED] A | LETTER | TO | Colley Cibber, Esq. | On his | TRANSFORMATION | OF | KING JOHN | O thou Head of the Wrongheads! | PROVOK'D HUSBAND | [Device] | LONDON. | Printed for M COOPER, in Pater-Noster-Row | M.DCC XLV. Dear Colley,

I should not have delay'd so long making you my acknowledgements for the great Pleasure I have receiv'd from your Transformation of King John, but that I was willing to see the Copy, that I might at the same time point out the particular passages that have afforded me, amongst the rest of his Majesty's good subjects, so much wonder and delight. I now have it, and in return for the pleasure it gave me, take the liberty to present you with my small fare, desireing you most earnestly to fall to—with what appetite you may. I am wonderfully delighted with the reasons you give the noble Earl, you dedicate your play to, for taking Shakespeare's King John in hand. Your words are, 'In all the Historical plays of Shakespeare there is scarce any fact that might better have employ'd his (Shakespeare's)

genius than the flaming contest between his insolent Holiness and King John This is so remarkable a passage in our histories that it seems surprizing our Shake-speare should have taken no more fire at it. It was this Coldness then, my Lord, that first incited me to inspirit his King John, '&c. Now, dear Colley, as I think it impossible you should have read Shakespeare's King John with any attention (perhaps from being so entirely employ'd with your own), I shall take the liberty, for your information, to transcribe some speeches of that king, which I believe will set the matter out of all doubt, and convince you of your mistake in charging King John with coldness

'K John What earthly name, to interrogatories can task the free breath of a sacred king?

Thou canst not, Cardinal, devise a name
So slight, unworthy, and ridiculous
To charge me to an answer, as the Pope
Tell him this tale, and from the mouth of England
Add thus much more, that no Italian Priest
Shall tithe or toll in our dominions
But as we under heav'n are supreme head,
So under it, that great supremacy
Where we do reign we will alone uphold,
Without th' assistance of a mortal hand
So tell the Pope, a l rev'rence set apart
To him and his usurped authority'

'K John Tho' you, and all the kings of Christendom Are led so grosly by this meddling priest,
Dreading the curse that money may buy out,
And by the merit of vile gold, dross, dust,
Purchase corrupted pardon of a man,
Who in that sale, sells pardon from himself
Tho' you and all the rest so grossly led,
This juggling witch-craft with revenue cherish,
Yet I alone, alone do me oppose
Against the Pope, and count his friends my foes.'

'K. John France, thou shalt rue this hour within this hour'

'K John Cousin, go draw our puissance together' (Exit Bast France, I am burn'd up with inflaming wrath,
A rage, whose heat hath this condition,
That nothing can allay, nothing but blood,
The blood, and dearest-valu'd blood of France.'

Shakespeare, indeed, did not make a whole play upon this single incident of John's quarrel with the Pope any more than he did upon that of Hotspur's Rage in his Harry the Fourth. He had too great Matters in hand to dwell longer or stronger upon either of these incidents. That great Work he has left you, and cruel in you it is to rate him for it. As to your endeavor to make it more a play than what you found it in Shakespeare, I heartly wish it may be thought you have done so by your noble patron, whose judgement, more candid than his taste, I am persuaded, will make the proper distinction But of all Shakespeare's plays this is

that which sins most against the three Grand Unities of the Stage-Time, Place and Action—and is, on that account, the less reducible to Rule And if, dear Colley, the height of your ambition is to have done this, or something like this, your ambition rises no higher than your judgement. Lord have mercy upon both! I come now to point out the Particulars of your transformation, in which you have shewn the most surprising genius at alteration that any of that great poet's amenders ever yet produced The editor of Shakespeare, in the character he gives him as a writer, says very justly 'The genius that gives us the highest pleasure sometimes stands in need of our indulgence 'Whenever this happens with regard to Shakespeare I would willingly impute it to a Vice of his Times, we see complaisance enough in our own days paid to a bad taste. His clinches, false wit, and descending beneath himself seem to be a deference paid to reigning Barbarism There is scarce a play of this great man in which he does not descend beneath himself, and pay this deference to the reigning barbarism of his times. In his gravest pieces, where he displays his most exalted genius, he as constantly throws in a vein of low humour, in complaisance to the low capacities of the coarse laughers of his days, whom, perhaps, it was as much his interest to keep in temper, by dividing himself to all tastes, as it is now of modern poets, who would succeed But the case is widely different with his amenders, and he who attempts to reform Shakespeare has not the same Tye on him, and may act without this complaisance Instead therefore of torturing Shakespeare into Rule and dramatick law, or making his plays more of plays than he made them, let his clinches, false wit, &c, be the objects of amendment, where a fine scene of Nature is interrupted by a low vem of humour, which by inciting the yulgar to laugh, draws off the attention of the sensible, let the shears be apply'd without mercy, where likewise a character has not been rais'd to the height it might reach by the poet's applying himself to some more favourite character in the play, let the alterer bend his care, and the success will be answerable, if his genius be equal to the task. An instance of improving or heightening a character we have in Edgar (in King Lear) as well as in Cordelia, between whom a Love Episode is not ill woven. Another yet stronger is in Catherine (in Harry the Fifth) whose character in Shakespeare is abominably low and obscene. The improvement of her's has naturally rais'd that of Harry. Other instances might be produced to shew where Shakespeare might admit, with great beauty and propriety, of strong alterations, nay, amendments But, dear Colley, what have you done of all this? You have indeed purg'd Shakespeare of his low stuff, but have you not fill'd the place up with Flat? You have altered Characters, but have you amended one? That will presently be seen in the Examen of those of Falconbridge, Constance, Arthur, and King John! There is a wild greatness in some of Shakespeare's characters above the reach of common readers, of which one can better form to one's self an idea than convey description to another. Of this kind is the character of Falconbridge, never was character (for what it is) better drawn or stronger kept up to the last. Shakespeare seems to have taken as much pains in forming (as he calls him) this Mis-begotten Devil, as he did his and ev'ry Body's favourite Falstaff His character, tho' an humourous one, has a certain dignity in it that well becomes the greatness of mind he discovers in his graver walk—as the following quotations will evidently shew:

> 'Bast Ha! Majesty, how high thy glory towers, When the rich blood of kings is set on fire! Oh, now death line his dead chaps with steel;

The swords of soldiers are his teeth, his phangs, And now he feasts, mouthing the flesh of men In undetermin'd diff'rences of Kings Why stand these royal fronts amazed thus? Cry havock, Kings, back to the stained field You equal potents, fiery-kindled spirits! Then let confusion of one part confirm The other's peace, till then, blows, blood, and death ⁵

'Bast By heav'n, these scroyles of Angiers flout you kings. And stand securely on their battlements As in a theatre, whence they gape and point At your industrious scenes and acts of death You royal presences be ruled by me. Do like the mutines of Jerusalem, Be friends a while, and both conjointly bend Your sharpest deeds of malice on this town. By east and west let France and England mount Their batt'ring cannon charged to the mouths, Till their soul-fearing clamours have brawl'd down The flinty ribs of this contemptuous city I'd play incessantly upon these rades. Even till unfenced desolation Leave them as naked as the vulgar air That done, dissever your united strengths. And part our mingled colours once again, Turn face to face, and bloody point to point. Then in a moment fortune shall cull forth, Out of one side her happy minion, To whom in favour she shall give the day. And kiss him with a glorious Victory How like you this wild counsel, mighty states?'

'Bast. And if thou hast the mettle of a King, Being wrong'd as we are by this peevish town, Turn thou the mouth of thy artillery, As we will ours, against these fawcy walls, And when that we have dash'd them to the ground, Why then defie each other, and pell-mell Make work upon ourselves for heav'n or hell'

'Bast. Here's a stay,
That shakes the rotten carcas of old death,
Out of his rags Here's a large mouth indeed,
That spits forth death, and mountains, rocks, and seas,
Talks as familiarly of roaring Lions,
As maids of thirteen do of puppy-dogs.
What cannoneer begot this lusty blood?
He speaks plain cannon-fire, and smoak and bounce,
He gives the bastinado with his tongue
Our ears are cudgel'd, not a word of his

But buffets better than a fist of France, Zounds, I was never so bethumpt with words Since I first call'd my brother's father dad'

Mad world, mad kings, mad composition? John to stop Arthur's title in the whole, Hath willingly departed with a part And France, whose armour conscience buckled on, Whom zeal and charity brought to the field, As God's own soldier, rounded in the ear With that same purpose-changer, that sly-devil, That broker, that still breaks the pate of faith, That daily break-vow, he that wins of all, Of kings, of beggars, old men, young men, maids, Who having no external thing to lose But the word maid, cheats the poor maid of that, That smooth'd fac'd gentleman, tickling commodity Commodity, the bias of the world, The world, which of it self is poised well, Made to run even, upon even ground, Till this advantage, this vile-drawing bias, This sway of motion, this commodity, Makes it take head from all indifferency. From all direction, purpose, course, intent And this same bias, this commodity, This bawd, this broker, this all-changing word, Clapt on the outward eve of fickle France. Hath drawn him from his own determin'd aid, From a resolv'd and honourable war, To a most base and vile concluded peace And why rail I on this commodity? But for because he hath not woo'd me yet Not that I have the power to clutch my hand, When his fair angels would salute my palm. But that my hand, as unattempted vet. Like a poor beggar, raileth on the rich. Well, while I am a beggar, I will rail. And say there is no sin but to be rich And being rich, my virtue then shall be. To say there is no vice, but beggary. Since kings break faith upon commodity. Gain be my lord, for I will worship thee.'

This character, dear Colley, was quite above your Reach, I am afraid you have, for that reason (excuse the expression, but you are not nice yourself in the use of words), gutted; preserving only to your Falconbridge the Name and Office of a Messenger and Letter-Carrier, without one single speech throughout the whole above the height of modern Common-place Tragedy. The only time that he makes any figure is in his Embassy to the Cardinal, and there the height of his wisdom goes no further than to suggest to the Cardinal that it was the mutual interest of the people and King John to agree upon Terms But to quit this dis-

membring of character, and to proceed to downright murder What in the name of wonder could induce you to treat Constance with so much barbarity? There is, dear Colley, in that Princess a stamp of heroism mixt with an immitable sensibility of grief that would sit very ill in any mere representer of grief, however pathetick. For grief (which possibly you may not know) is but an accident, and not a constituent of character, and takes its colour from the natural frame of mind of the person, and according as such person is from temper, either shews itself outrageous and violent, or soft and pathetick. To prove that Constance is a character design'd to be outrageous and violent in grief, when Salisbury brings her the news of the peace concluded by the means of Lady Blanch between the Kings of England and France, she says

'Const O, if thou teach me to believe this sorrow, Teach thou this sorrow how to make me die, And let belief and life encounter so, As doth the fury of two desp'rate men, Which in the very meeting, fall and die Lewis wed Blanch! O boy, then where art thou? France friend with England! what becomes of me? Fellow begone, I cannot brook thy sight'

The idea convey'd to the spectator by the most beautiful comparison of the fury of two desperate men, which in their very meeting fall and die, is a frame of mind in Constance that should make her burst the moment she believes the truth, and not pathetically whine under it When young Arthur, who is mild in temperament, attempts to calm her,

'Arth I do beseech you, mother, be content'

she replies with wonderful propriety of Character

'Const. If thou that bidst me be content, wert grim, Ugly, and slanderous to thy mother's womb, Full of unpleasing blots, and sightless stams, Lame, foolish, crooked, swart, prodigious, Patch'd with foul moles, and eye-offending marks, I would not care, I then would be content For then I should not love thee. no, nor thou Become thy great birth, nor deserve a crown'

Here breaks out all the pathos of the mother's tenderness, but still with the same greatness of passion, above the wet eye or broken voice—

'But thou art fair, and at thy birth, dear boy! Nature and Fortune join'd to make thee great Of nature's gift thou may'st with liles boast, And with the half-blown rose'

Now rage again, Constance, still in all the turnings of thy temper great, outrageous, and violent

'But fortune, oh! She is corrupted, chang'd, and won from thee, She adulterates hourly with thine uncle Iqhn And with her golden hand hath pluckt on France To tread down fair respect of sovereignty, And made his majesty the bawd to theirs, France is a bawd to fortune, and to John, That strumpet Fortune, that usurping John! Tell me, thou fellow, is not France forsworn?'

Not satisfy'd herself with railing, she goes on,

'Envenom him with words, or get thee gone, And leave these woes alone, which I alone Am bound to under-bear'

In the next speech, agitated to a degree of frantick sorrow, she throws herself upon the ground, and on King Philip's saying that day should be kept as a holy day, she starts up in a fury,

'Const A wicked day and not a holy day
What hath this day deserv'd? what hath it done,
That it in golden letters should be set
Among the high tides in the kalendar?
Nay, rather turn this day out of the week,
This day of shame, oppression, perjury
Or if it must stand still, let wives with child
Pray that their burthens may not fall this day,
Lest that their hopes prodigiously be crost
Except this day, let seamen fear no wrack
No bargains break, that are not this day made,
This day all things begun came to ill end,
Yea, faith itself to hollow falsehood chang'd'

'Const Arm, arm, ye heav'ns, against these periur'd Kings A widow cries, be husband to me, heav'n! Let not the hours of this ungodly day Wear out the day in peace, but ere sun-set. Set armed discord 'twixt these perjur'd Kings Hear me, oh hear me! Aust. Lady Constance, peace Const. War, war, no peace, peace is to me a war O Lymoges! O Austria! thou dost shame That bloody spoil Thou slave, thou wretch, thou coward. Thou little valiant, great in villany Thou ever strong upon the stronger side, Thou fortune's champion, that dost never fight But when her humorous Ladyship is by To teach thee safety, thou art perjur'd too, And sooth'st up greatness What a fool art thou, A ramping fool, to brag, to stamp, and swear, Upon my party; thou cold-blooded slave, Hast thou not spoke like thunder on my side, Been sworn my soldier, bidding me depend Upon thy stars, thy fortune, and thy strength?

And dost thou now fall over to my foes? Thou wear a Lion's hide? doff it for shame, And hang a calve's-skin on those recreant limbs'

After her son Arthur is taken prisoner she grows quite frantick in her grief, and utters such forcible passion that nothing but Shakespeare's genius could express it (or Mrs Cibber's act it)

'Const No, I defie all counsel, all redress,
But that which ends all counsel, true redress,
Death, death, oh, amiable, lovely death!
Arise forth from thy couch of lasting night,
Thou hate and terror to prosperity,
And I will kiss thy detestable bones,
And put my eye-balls in thy vaulty brows,
And ring these fingers with thy household worms,
And stop this gap of breath with fulsom dust,
And be a carrion monster like thy self,
Come grin on me, and I will think thou smil'st,
And kiss thee as thy wife thou Love of misery!
O come to me'

When the Cardinal tells her she utters Madness and not sorrow, with how much energy does she convince everybody she is not mad, and make everybody wish for her own sake she was! Hear her words

'Const Thou art not holy to belie me so. I am not mad, this hair I tear is mine, My name is Constance, I was Geffrey's wife. Young Arthur is my son, and he is lost I am not mad, I would to heaven I were For then, 'tis like, I should forget myself O if I could, what grief should I forget! I am not mad, too well, too well I feel The different plague of each calamity Oh father Cardinal, I have heard you say That we shall see and know our friends in heav'n! If that be so, I shall see my boy again For since the birth of Cain, the first male child, To him that did but Yesterday suspire. There was not such a gracious creature born But now will canker sorrow eat my bud, And chase the native beauty from his cheek, And he will look as hollow as a ghost, As dim and meagre as an ague's fit. And so he'll die, and rising so again, When I shall meet him in the court of heav'n I shall not know him; therefore, never, never Must I behold my pretty Arthur more'

Again, when he tells her she holds too heinous a respect of grief, how beautiful is her reply:

'Const He talks to me, that never had a son.'

But what closes all, and rends the Heartstrings, is what follows

'Const Grief fills the room up of my absent child,
Lies in his bed, walks up and down with me,
Puts on his pretty looks, repeats his words,
Remembers me of all his gracious parts,
Stuffs out his vacant garments with his form,
Then have I reason to be fond of grief
Fare you well, had you such a loss as I,
I could give better comfort than you do
I will not keep this form upon my head,
(Tearing off her head-cloaths

When there is such disorder in my wit O Lord! my boy, my Arthur, my fair son! My life, my joy, my food, my all the world, My-widow, comfort, and my sorrow's cure!'

It is plain then from these quotations that Constance is a character of fire throughout! Great and impetuous in ev'ry thing! and masterly drawn! What reason, dear Colley, to alter this character? Was it above proof, that you was forc'd to lower it? Is it more palatable now you have? I cou'd almost be tempted in this place to pursue your Constance thro' the play in the same manner I have done Shakespeare's, but the quotations I shou'd be oblig'd to make wou'd not excuse me to the reader. It will therefore be sufficient for my purpose to take a sketch of her here and there as I find her in the play. In the first act she comes in with Philip for parade, but as soon as ever she hears of John's approach, desires leave to go out—because, forsooth,

'The sight of Royal treatment pay'd her mortal Foe, Is more than her afflicted Heart can bear!'

Pretty dear! well! King Philip very politely sends the Dauphin to squire her to his tent—King John and he parley and quarrel—Exeunt French and English severally, Trumpets sound to horse In comes Constance again, telling us with wond'rous Penetration that the crown of England now hangs upon a Moment, viz, while Battle is fighting, for

'The wasting winds in audible perception Set all the terrors of the field before her'

Then, Prophet like, the alarms ceasing, says,

'Now is our cause successful or abandon'd'

At last she bethinks herself of her Boy, and in he comes most opportunely for her, and they prattle most sweetly—

'Oh say, my Boy! how could thy tender limbs
Support the onsets of this dreadul day?

Arth O'twas a gallant horse I rode! train'd up
To war! had I known fear, he would have sham'd me!
He curl'd his crest, and proudly paw'd the ground,
And from his vocal nostrils neigh'd such fire,
To mount him seem'd the transport of a throne

Const. My little soldier, how thy spirit charms me!

But the two kings approaching, she wants to be gone again, and sigh her grief to heav'n However, she is overpersuaded, and stays, what for? why to give a Soldier's advice, which in Shakespeare a soldier indeed gives The Abbot, however (luckly for Angiers), turns the council of War by the happy simile of Solomon's judgement, John thinks the inference asks attention, and Constance gives up her plea

'Hence to some lonely Cell (says the good soul) I will retire And meditate RESIGN'D the Ills that wait me'

Away she goes, like

'The trembling turtle with her only young— Shrinks in her Nest, and dreads impending wrong'

In the second act we have her again, but so trick'd, so adom'd, dear Colley, so full of points and antitheses, so witty in her sorrow, so polite in her reproaches, that I shou'd wrong you if I did not let her speak for herself

'Const A peace with England, and by France concluded! Affianc'd too! Blanch to the Dauphin married! And Arthur's ruin made her pompous dowry! Thou dost abuse my ear, it cannot be! I have a Monarch's oath to right my cause, And 'twere to wrong thy master, to believe thee!'

'Const My hopes! bid the lost wretch with broken limbs, Extended on the wheel, to hope for mercy! Hopes I have none!—'

'Const Content' to thy vile wrongs be patient' no. Were thou, in temper wayward, foul in feature, Deform'd, that ev'n thy birth disgrac'd thy mother! Yet, as my child, my heart would feel my usage! But as thou art the pride and triumph of my bed, As thou art fair, and at thy birth, dear boy, Nature and fortune both conspir'd to grace thee, For not the rose or hly, from the hand of nature, Can boast their beauties more compleat or fair! Nor has, among the realms of Europe, fortune Bequath'd a crown to blood or birth superior! As such when I behold thee, and behold Thee wrong'd, betray'd, abandon'd to the world, Then, then, to be content were criminal! And indolence that virtue would disclaim, No, no, my child! cruel, obdurate souls, They only, who could do these wrongs, might bear them But human hearts, a helpless mother's heart, Must yield to nature, and deplore thy fortune!'

'Const. You must! you dare! you shall! I will not go! Tell them, they've taught my sorrow to be proud There is a dignity in suff'ring wrong, Which mean-soul'd perfidy can never reach! Here, on this humble earth, build we our throne, Here shall Calamity in judgement sit, And call oppression to her sad tribunal Now let injurious France and England see, How we are rais'd in majesty above them! This is the throne, to which, or first or last, The greatest kings must bow—Philip, I thank thee; These are thy favours!—Such the faith of Princes!

The erecting a tribunal for Calamity, and calling Oppression for judgement, are Colleycisms of the most sublime nature, Figures beyond any feeling of the heart Constance's Court of Justice here is a Coup de Maître—a Ne plus ultra for a torn heart! We hear nothing more of her till the third act, when in she comes, but so defac'd, so mangled by thy barbarous hand' so inconsistent with herself' so very good natur'd in the first part of the scene that, seeing King Philip a little concerned for her, she tells him,

'I came to triumph o'er thy fate, But my reproach, suppress'd by thy contrition, Blends with my own, a sigh to thy misfortune'

And so very angry and passionate in the latter that the Cardinal tells her she is mad—and in good faith I think so too, for I defy anybody to find out a reason why she should exclaim so much in the latter end of the scene and be so calm in the beginning. One would have imagin'd her son's being taken prisoner would have been uppermost in her thoughts or, rather, have left her no room for any else However she cools again, and goes off with a wondrous pretty Comparison so very descriptive that that heart must be quite at ease that is capable of making it

'So when her fawn the hunter's toils have snar'd, The bounding doe forsakes the safer herd, Wild o'er the fields to his vain help she flies, And press'd by fear on pointed javelins dies'

In the fifth act behold her attending her son's funeral, talking of rolling suns, darkness and eternal shades, and hateful beams, of blooming springs and autumn fruits, and dead flowers, and a thousand tropes and figures cull'd from the richest images of the most luxuriant fancy, with here and there (as in the former scene) a word retain'd of Shakespeare's, or new daub'd by thy plast'ring hand! At length the Abbot brings her to a little temper

'Thy holy counsels, Father, have reliev'd me, Misfortunes, now familiar to my sense, Abate the terror—Now my peaceful heart, With tearless eyes, shall wait him to the grave.'

But on Falconbridge's coming in with an account of King John's being poison'd and calling for a Confessor, she has another fling at him, but Falconbridge soon pacifies her by telling her that Hubert dying disavow'd the deed, and she has freedom of mind enough, in her grief, to distinguish between oppression and murder. King John dying penitent, she, like a good Christian, forgives him, and desiring them to forbear a while the obsequies of Arthur, promises in a few hours to overtake him, and so goes off

And now, dear Colley,
Look here upon this picture, and on this—
The counterfeit presentment of two Constances!
See what grace is seated on this pattern! Have you eyes?
Could you on this fair mourner leave to gaze,
To look upon this whimp'rer! ha! Have you eyes?
You cannot call it fancy—At your age,
The heyday in the Muse is tame, it's humble,
And trails upon the judgement—And what judgement
(Thine, Colley, be alone in this excepted)
Wou'd step from this to this? what devil was't, &c

But to proceed to other characters I could have wish'd, dear Colley, you would have made Arthur one thing or t'other, a man or a boy, that we might have pity'd him as a young unfortunate hero, or wept for him as a helpless child But this unaccountable mixture of man and boy, however beautiful in the conceit and fancy of it, nobody can bear! Hear him only, dear Colley, and then tell me if any child can talk such language

'Art O godlike Philip! now my more than father! That I have life, was nature's gift (indeed!) from you A greater, nobler blessing I receive,
That life with princely dignity supported!
But, if hereafter gracious heaven ordain
Your arms shall seat me on fair England's throne,
Then shall my thanks be worthy your acceptance,
An annual tribute shall confess the tenure!'

This speaker, dear Colley, is a man, a palpable man! but here is another curst mistake in the first setting out. How could you hope to recommend an English Prince to an English audience by making him become tributary to France? The very King of France is asham'd of it, and tells him,

'King Phil Alas! thy youthful heart melts to concessions, Which tho' 'twere laudable in thee to form, Becomes not elder honours to receive'

But he is not only a man, but a polite, well-bred one Hear him and the Dauphin compliment a little

'Dauph Thus with a brother's love my breast receives him Art. So sweet a master, sir, will make me learn The hardest task of danger with delight!

Dauph Young Prince, if you advance as fast in war As you are forward in your school of honour,

I sooner shall be found your pupil than your tutor!'

Mr Bayes has a scene like this between King Phyz and King Ush

'r King. You must begin, ma foy.
2 King Sweet Sir, pardonez moy.'

Like little Bayes you bring in none but well-bred persons, egad, but King Philip, tho' a Frenchman, thought there was enough of it.

'King Phil Here break we off the greetings of our love'

But you have made him not only a man in years and good breeding, but a man of council, capable of giving advice, for when King Philip sends his son off with Constance, he retains Arthur,

'King Phil Prince Dauphin, you conduct the lady Constance To our payilion—Arthur may assist us'

Here again by an unaccountable forgetfulness (which, however, all great genius's are liable to) you don't make him say a word during the conference, tho' King Philip kept him for no other earthly purpose After the battle, indeed, you bring him in to talk of his horse's vocal nosirils neighing fire, and all that, and to tell his Mamma what a hairbreadth 'scape he had

In the second act you begin to prepare the audience for that most surprizing return of Arthur into *childhood!* a change beyond what any pantomime ever yet exhibited, and that will make you famous to latest posterity. Arthur, in this act, at once forgets his youthful but manly ardour, his great pretensions, for the accomplishment of which he offered Philip to become tributary to him, his spirit shewn in battle

('Con My little soldier' how this spirit charms me!')

and like a young lad, well tutor'd by his Mamma, and taught to submit always to the will of heav'n, says,

'Arth Since, 'tis the will of heav'n, I do beseech you, madam—be content'

But this mistake you was led into, dear Colley, by not understanding Shakespeare's idiom. Young Arthur there indeed says,

'I do beseech you, mother, be content'

But he does not mean (as yours does) to bid her give up all her hopes and be content, but the poor child, seeing his mother in a great passion, is frightened at it, and desires her to be content, i e, be calm, be pacify'd. But now comes the great, the wondrous event, when Hubert asks him for his golden tablets, the poor boy, frightened (for now the change is wrought) out of his wits, and thinking to appease him, cries out,

'Arth Here, here;
O Hubert! I have a diamond on my finger too.
Take that; within I've other gems of value
My little pray'r book is with precious stones
Beset, and clasp'd with gold—I'll give thee all.'

But if you won't take his own words for it, believe Hubert's,

'Hub. Think'st thou I came to rob thee of thy toys?'

Toys! for whom? a man or a boy? That is the question, for in the close of the same speech he is a man again, and treats very sensibly for his ransom-

'Nay more—my wretched mother (give me time To write) will starve her state to save me Let me but live, &c, &c' But man, or boy, no matter, Hubert is moved after another speech or two 'Hub I hear him talk too much, I must be speedy, Down foolish qualm, &c'

Foolish indeed! for hang me, dear Colley, if he has uttered a Syllable hitherto that could move anybody (Hubert and yourself excepted) Well, Arthur's prayer at least finishes the Contest, Hubert is overcome, away go the daggers Hubert and Arthur, whom we find again in the fifth act, doing what? Fathoming the mote with a line

'Arth The mote beneath I've fathom'd with a line, And find its depth proportion'd to my stature'

But as you have not ascertain'd the depth otherwise than by his stature, and have left us in the dark as to either, we can draw no positive inference as to his manhood or childhood from this circumstance, nor is it quite clear from his story whether he was a man grown or a boy Shakespeare has a little rashly determined him the latter, but you, dear Colley, are for the safer side of the question, and have. therefore, widely made him both one and t'other I should now, dear Colley. cast my eye upon King John, and observe in the same candid manner I have all along proceeded, wherein you have inspirited him, for as this was the first motive that induced you to meddle at all with him, so I don't question but this has been the hic Labor, the hoc Opus, with you But I have looked into the scenes between him and King Philip, and between him and Pandulph, where this inspiriting quality ought to have been, according to your declaration, infused with a lavish hand, and can find nothing of it I observe, indeed, you have considerably lengthened the scene, spun out the dialogue, made John declaim, argue, confute, puzzle the Cardinal himself with doctrine, but what of all that? where is the inspiriting? You have (to use a figure that may make me better understood) cut many different channels for the torrent of John's wrath to flow thro', but then, unfortunately, by this very act, as it happens in Nature, you have lost the torrent, you have the same quantity of anger, but the quality is gone. Instead of collecting the rays to a point in order to burn, you spread them so they become quite lambent. You forget that by making your bottom too broad you make it flat. In short, dear Colley (for I know you love quaint Expressions), give me a dram of Shakespeare's Spirit by itself, and deal about, as largely as you please, of your own mixture. People's tastes will distinguish sufficiently between I shall therefore forbear hunting any longer to find out this inspiriting Force you kindly intended to give King John, and shew him as you have painted him in a particular scene or two, wherein you have vary'd wonderfully, for the reasons better known to yourself, from the conduct of Shakespeare. Shakespeare's blunt, downright method of never formally preparing an audience for his most capital scenes is a kind of insolence that ought to be resented To come full upon one, in this manner, and not give one time to resist him! To make one's heart, head, eyes tremble and shake with horror, agony, tenderness or whatever passion it is he pours upon our faculties is like ordering one to immediate execution without notice! One feels before one knows one is to feel! The effect almost precedes the act, at least keeps pace with it. Instances of this are frequent in this curst play of his Constance plagues us in this manner, at every entrance. John does the same He no sooner takes Arthur prisoner, and sends his cousin to England upon business of moment, but, in the very Field of Battle, on the spot, attacks Hubert at once, gives him no time to pause, works him to his bloody purpose, and speeds for England for fresh business. This, dear Colley, you have wholly reform'd, you give us long notice beforehand of John's purpose and Hubert's fitness for it

'K John If features err not, Hubert is the man 'Tis true, he's slow, has not the courtier's quickness, Or half the hints we gave had fir'd his brain T' have done the deed, we tremble but to name! Some fitter time shall mould him to our purpose Now actions, open to the day, demand us'

And when you come to the scene itself you craftily qualify it in such a manner that if it was not for a few lines here and there of Shakespeare retain'd we shou'd see the whole scene without any great pain or terror. Nay, we might be tempted to smile almost at John's delicacy of not speaking by daylight, and at Hubert's complaisance in shutting the windows. It might put one in mind of that unaccountable modesty, so natural to a young bashful wench, who would do anything in the dark, but is afraid of the daylight! Yet methinks Hubert speaks plain enough where he says.

'Hub Then, sir, to ease your heart, I will be plain, I guess the secret that distresses you, Fear not to trust me, sir, I'll do the deed'

Tho' he seems afterwards to be a little arch, and to have a mind to make John speak the thing plain.

'John Must I then speak it?

Hub Or how shall I be sure that I obey you?'

The shutting of the windows is quite your own invention, and wond'rous is the effect thereof You took the hint no doubt from these words

'The sun is in heav'n, and the proud day. Attended with the pleasures of the world. Is all too wanton, and too full of gawds To give me audience If the midnight bell Did with his iron tongue and brazen mouth Sound on into the drowsy race of night: If this same were a church-yard where we stand, And thou possessed with a thousand wrongs, Or if that surly spirit, melancholy, Had bak'd thy blood and made it heavy thick. Which else runs tickling up and down the veins, Making the idiot, laughter, keep men's eyes, And strain their cheeks to idle merriment. (A passion hateful to my purposes) Or if that thou could'st see me without eves. Hear me without thme ears, and make reply Without a tongue, using conceit alone,

Without eyes, ears, and harmful sounds of words, Then in despight of broad-eyed watchful day, I would into thy bosom pour my thoughts,'

improving by this surprising act, of shutting the windows upon King John's horror and gloom of mind, so finely described by Shakespeare. In the next scene between John and Hubert, on the Barons falling off and the distress brought on John by the supposed death of Arthur, you have observed pretty near the same method, and softening all the parts that were too strong in Shakespeare, and pouring in a good deal of cool descriptive declamation, have made the scene tolerable, which in Shakespeare had too great an effect. I must not take leave of John, for I cannot bear to see him on his death-bed, wishing

'To range and roll him in eternal snow, With crowns of Icicles to cool his brain'

However, I heartily thank you for making him penitential, and die with mercy and heaven in his mouth. A stave or two, or one of Pandulph's Requiems sung in his Pontificalibus, and set to soft musick, had graced his exit finely, and would have been new. I see nothing in the Cardinal's Character to take up your time with any longer, I can't help smiling though to see how Falconbridge and his eminence smoke each other at first sight, before they begin to treat

'Pandulph The humble bearing of this minister, At length I see, bespeak an humble master Fal This temper of his eminence, this form, Of stately charity, foretells success He read from my humility, my errand, And darted from his eyes a conscious triumph'

These are the things, dear Colley, that speak the genius! that stamp the poet! this is the indelible mark! By this you have acquired the laurel that adorns your brows By this you continue to deserve it. This will make it flourish with everlasting Green! This will preserve your memory dear to all Lovers of our immortal Shakespeare! This will inspire future amenders of that poet, and be as a Landmark to them to escape the perils that wait upon such hardy bold attempts! This, in one word, dear Colley, sums up the whole of your poetical life, and you may now retire from the stage full of honours, as the famous Broughton has from another, and with as good a Hic cæstus artemque repono I have thus, dear Colley, made good my promise to you, in the beginning of this letter, and in return only request the favour of you to give it a reading Among the many good qualities you have (which without a sneer, I frankly allow you) I know one is to laugh heartily at your being laugh'd at, and to own the hit. Like your worthy patron's playful muse, yours can also praise one who makes a flight that comes near you, and if, in keeping you so much in my eye. I have in the least excelled, I am sure of your commendation I am, dear Colley, an admirer of your real ment, but no flatterer of your faults.

VALPY'S KING JOHN

In the Advertisement to his edition of King John R Valpy says. 'When the editor formed the design of introducing the Play of King John on his classical stage he procured Cibber's Papal Tyranny, with a view of adopting some part of his plan and style On the perusal he found two great obstacles to his wishes Cibber's object, during the rebellion in 1745, was to paint the character of the Pope's Legate in the blackest colours, and to darken the principles of the Romish Church with circumstances of horror, which might increase the indignation of the people of England against them In the present times, when the situation of the Pope had become a subject of commiseration to the Christian world, the aim of the Editor was to soften the features of Papal Tyranny as far as historical evidence would permit him He also wished to preserve all the fine passages of Shakespeare Cibber had scarcely retained a line of the great original The more he compared King John with Papal Tyranny, the less he found himself inclined to depart from Shakespeare and to adhere to Cibber He wished to correct and modernise the versification of the former whenever he could do it without offending the ears or the taste of his admirers, the latter had adopted his worst metrical irregularities. without the compensation of those noble flights of genius which soar above the rules of common criticism Cibber succeeded in his alteration of Richard III because he collected a cento of Shakespeare's expressions and speeches present alteration some few lines have been taken from Cibber's Papal Tyrannyl, but that part of the plan which is common to both, such as the omission of the First Act of the Original, was, in reality, determined before Cibber's play had been seen'

GENEST (vol vii, p 585) T R, Covent Garden, May 20, 1803, Mrs Litchfield's King John, altered from Shakespeare King John—Cooke, 1st time, Faulconbridge-H Johnston, 1st time, Hubert-Carles, 5th app, King of France-Cory, Dauphin-Brunton, Pandulph-Hull, Arthur-Miss Norton, 1st app at C G, Constance—Mrs. Litchfield, 1st time, Lady Blanch—Mrs Beverley alteration was Dr Valpy's, which was printed in 1800, he made it for the purpose of having it acted at his school at Reading-and so far all was well-but when he sent it forth to the public from the press it did him no credit. He has omitted Shakespeare's first act. To supply this deficiency he has made some additions of his own, and has stooped so low as to borrow from Papal Tyranny Dr Johnson says the character of the bastard contains the mixture of greatness and levity, which Shakespeare delighted to exhibit Dr Valpy, like Cibber, has thrown a damp on the spirit of Faulconbridge Like Davenant, &c, he has made many unnecessary changes in the diction He seems to have sitten down to correct Shakespeare as he would correct a boy's exercise, putting in and putting out as it suited his fancy He has, however, had the good sense not to tamper with the The allusion to the state of France in 1800, which he has thrown into Faulconbridge's speech, is contemptible Dr Valpy has preserved the appellation given to Prince Lewis by Shakespeare, but observes that the title of Dauphin was first given to Charles, eldest son of John, King of France, in 1350. He wonders that this circumstance has escaped the notice of the commentators, particularly of Steevens and Malone.

CHARACTER OF KING JOHN

Skottowe (1, 129) The same view is taken of John's character by Shakespeare and by the anonymous author. In prosperity he is bold and insolent and overbearing, in adversity, an abject coward—weak in judgement, precipitate in action. With no views beyond the exigency of the moment, he eagerly attempts the accomplishing of his desires, unrestrained by religious awe and unchecked by moral principle. Devoid of talent, he reaps not the benefit of his villainy, superior ability overreaches him, he succumbs to the power he insolently defies and affectedly despises, and he is at once the object of hatred and contempt. The old play makes John a usurper, and not, as represented by Holinshed, the legal possessor of the throne under the dying testament of his predecessor and brother, Richard. It was the object of both the dramatists to excite pity in favour of Arthur, and they, therefore, judiciously suppressed the facts recorded by Holinshed, that the nobility 'willingly took their oaths of obedience' to John, and that the pretensions of his nephew were at one time so little insisted upon that 'a peace was concluded upon betwixt King John and Duke Arthur'

ULRICI (11, 216) As in Corrolanus we have the antique state in conflict with its foundation, the family bond and its rights, so in King John the centre of the action lies in the struggle between the mediæval state and its one basis, the church. As the latter was or pretended to be the ideal side of political life, and thus, as it were. the ethos, that is, the conscience of the state, this struggle is first of all reflected in John's own life and character, we have it exhibited in the perpetual conflict between his better self, which was naturally disposed to manly dignity, independence, and quick and resolute action, and his tendency to arbitrary proceedings, love of dominion and pretension, to caprice and passionate recklessness Being in conflict with himself, his naturally discordant disposition degenerates into complete inconsistency and want of character Hence, although he has even resorted to murder, he cannot maintain his tottering throne either against Arthur's legitimate claims or against the interferences of France and of the Church His own unjust title to the crown, his violence, and his inconsistent and arbitrary actions, his dispute with the Church, and the intrigues of the latter become the motives of France's breach of faith, of the ever-recurring contests from without, as well as of the internal dissensions of the kingdom. The relation between Church and State is the pulse of the whole historical action, John's dilemmas, his degradation and his death are its work, and the only means that it employs are that it contrives cleverly to make use of the illegitimacy attached to his crown, the weakness of his own character, and the want of strength in the feudal community, which again was the result of John's despotic rule

Generations (p 357) As John appears at the commencement he is like a vigorous man prepared for everything, resolved with a strong hand to defend his possession of the throne against every assault. He is 'great in thought,' as Faulconbridge subsequently reminds him, referring to this early period, in the thought, he means, of maintaining with all his power against every pretension that English land which actually is on his side and has sworn allegiance to him, and of identifying the kingdom with his country as the straightforward Bastard ever does. He is not the image of a brutal tyrant, but only the type of a hard, manly nature, without any of the enamel of finer feelings, and without any other motives for action than those

arising from the instinct of this same inflexible nature and personal interest. Severe and earnest, an enemy to cheerfulness and merry laughter, conversant with dark thoughts, of a restless, excited temperament, he quickly rises to daring resolves. he is uncommunicative to his best advisers, laconic and reserved, he does not agree to the good design of his evil mother that he should satisfy Constance and her claims by an accommodation, it better pleases his warlike manly pride to bear arms against the threatened arms, in his campaigns against Constance and her allies the enemy himself feels that the 'hot taste,' managed with so much foresight, and the wise order in so wild a cause, are unexampled Thus 'lord of his presence,' and allied to the great interest of the country, he appears feared, but not loved and desired. and he presents in truth no amiable side. No childlike reverence draws him to his mother, but her political wisdom attracts him, no vein of kindred links him with Faulconbridge, but his usefulness is the bond with him, to Hubert he speaks of love when he requires him, and of abhorrence after his services have proved injurious, the property of the church loses sanctity for him in necessity, but this manner of consulting only his immediate advantage in all circumstances leads him by degrees even to betray the great possession of the state in another time of need to this same despised and crushed church, whose arrogant interference he had before withstood with scornful defiance. No higher principle sustains the man and his energetic designs in time of danger, the great idea at the outset of his career leaves him during its progress and at its end After his power, thus displayed against France, has risen even to the defiance of the Pope and the church, and to the inconsiderate design upon the life of a child whose temper was not to be feared and had not even been tried by him, it sinks down, struck by conscience, by curses. and by prophecies, by dangers without and within, he becomes anxious, mistrustful, superstitious, fearful to absolute weakness and to a degree of faint-heartedness, in which he sells his country as cheaply as once in his self-confidence he had held it dearly and had defended it boldly

HUDSON (Life, Art, & Character, 11, 24) The chief trouble with John in the play is that he conceives himself in a false position, and so becomes himself false to his position in the hope of thereby rendering it secure. He has indeed far better reasons for holding the throne than he is himself aware of, and the utter selfishness of his aims is what keeps him from seeing them. His soul is so bemired in personal regard that he cannot rise to any considerations of patriotism or public spirit The idea of wearing the crown as a sacred trust from the nation never once enters his head. And this all because he lacks the nobleness to rest his title on national grounds; or because he is himself too lawless of spirit to feel the majesty with which the national law has invested him As the interest and honour of England have no place in his thoughts, so he feels as if he had stolen the throne, and appropriated it to his own private use This consciousness of bad motives naturally fills him with dark suspicions and sinister designs. As he is without the inward strength of noble aims, so he does not feel outwardly strong, his bad motives put him upon using means as bad for securing himself, and he can think of no way to clinch his tenure but by meanness and wrong. Thus his sense of inherent baseness has the effect of casting him into disgraces and crimes, his very stings of self-reproach driving him on from bad to worse. If he had the manhood to trust his cause frankly with the nation, as rightly comprehending his trust, he would be strong in the nation's support; but this he is too mean to see. Nor is John less wanting in manly fortitude than in moral principle, he has not the courage even to be daringly and resolutely wicked, that is, there is no backbone of truth in him either for good or evil. Insolent, heart-swollen, defiant under success, he becomes utterly abject and cringing in disaster or reverse. 'Even so doth valour's show and valour's worth divide in storms of fortune.' When his wishes are crowned, he struts and talks big, but a slight whirl in the wind of chance at once twists him off his pins and lays him sprawling in the mud. That his seeming greatness is but the distention of gas appears in that the touch of pain or loss soon pricks him into an utter collapse. So that we may almost apply to him what Ulysses says of Achilles in Troilus and Cressida.

'Possess'd he is with greatness,
And speaks not to himself, but with a pride
That quarrels at self-breath imagin'd worth
Holds in his blood such swoln and hot discourse,
That 'twixt his mental and his active parts
Kingdom'd Achilles in commotion rages,
And batters down himself'

And as, in his craven-hearted selfishness, John cares nothing for England's honour, nor even for his own as king, but only to retain the spoil of his self-imputed trespass, so he will at any time trade that honour away, and will not mind eating dirt to the King of France or to the Pope, so he may keep his place

Dowden (Mind & Art, p 169) In King John the hour of utmost ebb in the national life of England is investigated by the imagination of the poet. The king reigns neither by warrant of a just title nor, like Bolingbroke, by warrant of the right of the strongest. He knows that his house is founded upon the sand, he knows that he has no justice of God and no virtue of man on which to rely. Therefore he assumes an air of authority and regal grandeur. But within all is rottenness and shame. Unlike the bold usurper Richard, John endeavors to turn away his eyes from facts of which he is yet aware, he dare not gaze into his own wretched and cowardly soul. When threatened by France with war, and now alone with his mother, John exclaims, making an effort to fortify his heart,—

'Our strong possession and our right for us.'

But Elmor, with a woman's courage and directness, forbids the unavailing self-deceit,—

'Your strong possession much more than your right,'
Or else it must go wrong with you and me'

King Richard, when he would make away with the young princes, summons Tyrrel to his presence, and enquires with cymical indifference to human sentiment,

'Dar'st thou resolve to kill a friend of mine?'

and when Tyrrel accepts the commission Richard, in a moment of undisguised exultation, breaks forth with 'Thou sing'st sweet music!' John would inspire Hubert with his murderous purpose rather like some vague influence than like a personal will, obscurely as some pale mist works which creeps across the fields, and leaves blight behind it in the sunshine. He trembles lest he should have said too much; he trembles lest he should not have said enough, at last the nearer fear prevails, and the words 'death,' 'a grave' form themselves upon his lips. Having

touched a spring which will produce assassination he furtively withdraws himself from the mechanism of crime It suits the king's interest afterwards that Arthur should be living, and John adds to his crime the baseness of a miserable attempt by chicanery and timorous sophisms to transfer the responsibility of murder from himself to his instrument and accomplice. He would fain darken the eyes of his conscience and of his understanding. The show of kingly strength and dignity in which John is clothed in the earlier scenes of the play must therefore be recognised (although Shakespere does not obtrude the fact) as no more than a poor pretence of true regal strength and honour The fact, only hinted in these earlier scenes, becomes afterwards all the more impressive, when the time comes to show this dastard king, who had been so great in the barter of territory, in the sale of cities, in the sacrifice of love and marriage-truth to policy, now changing from pale to red in the presence of his own nobles, now vainly trying to tread back the path of crime, now incapable of enduring the physical suffering of the hour of death Sensible that he is a king with no inward strength of justice or of virtue. John endeavors to buttress up his power with external supports, against the advice of his nobles he celebrates a second coronation, only forthwith to remove the crown from his head and place it in the hands of an Italian priest Pandulph 'of fair Millaine cardinal,' who possesses the astuteness and skill to direct the various conflicting forces of the time to his own advantage, Pandulph is the de facto master of England, and as he pleases makes peace or announces war The country, as in periods of doubt and danger, was 'possessed with rumours, full of idle dreams' Peter of Pomfret had announced that before Ascension day at noon the king should deliver up his crown John submits to the degradation demanded of him, and has the incredible baseness to be pleased that he has done so of his own free will

'Is this Ascension-day? did not the prophet
Say that before Ascension-day at noon
My crown I should give off? Even so I have
I did suppose it should be on constraint,
But, heaven be thank'd' it is voluntary'

After this we are not surprised that when the Bastard endeavors to rouse him to manliness and resolution,

'Away and glister like the god of war When he intendeth to become the field,'

John is not ashamed to announce the 'happy peace' which he has made with the Papal legate, on whom he relies for protection against the invaders of England Faulconbridge still urges the duty of an effort at self-defence for the sake of honour and of safety, and the King, incapable of accepting his own responsibilities and privileges, hands over the care of England to his illegitimate nephew, 'Have thou the ordering of this present time.'

Boas (Sh. & his Predecessors, p 239) It is evident from the first that John, though his situation bears some resemblance to that of Richard III, is designed on no similar scale of lonely grandeur in crime. He has usurped the throne belonging by right to his nephew Arthur, but he has been instigated to the step by his mother Elmor, who realizes far more energetically than John himself that what force has seized force alone can hold . . . Well may Chatillon speak of her as 'an Até, stirring him to blood and strife.' For

a time, indeed, John plays the part of a vigorous and able soldier. He crosses to France with a speed that disconcerts his enemies, and the fact that he is followed by a brave 'choice of dauntless spirits' shows that he can attract supporters to his cause. Shakespere himself, fully alive to the national dangers involved in the succession of a minor, is inclined to weigh in equal balance the claims of uncle and nephew. If John has an evil angel in his mother, so has Arthur, for the hysterical passion of Constance is as dangerous as Elinor's unscrupulous ambition, and her appeal to foreign aid in support of her son's rights estranges from her all national sympathies.

Miss C Porter (Introduction to Folio Sh, p xii) If interest neither centres in Tohn nor holds in any sense along with him against the ups and down of his fortunes, the result is, still, to characterize John By that very negation of the interest at first aroused in him at the opening of the play the confusion of John's fate in the sequel is made manifest. Even at first the supreme royalty of nature in Constance belittles John and every figure in the canvas beside. No one else but Faulconbridge is master enough of his soul to count truckling to 'Commoditie' beneath him Constance's scorn of it degrades John especially because they are the two opposites of the tragic action She serves to set John down at once at the low appraisal he must bear later with Pandulph in the bargaining for his crown at any cost of honour Despite the glamour of John's first bold successes, his mongrel coarseness, neither straightforward nor astute, traps him into crookedness His murderous suppression of Arthur is worse than an evil deed for John. as Shakespeare clearly shows It is bad policy. As such only John comes to lament it Woe for Arthur next belittles John in England Yet he never guesses, when it embroils him with the English nobles, that Pandulph has been watching the snare wherein he fell, and that he is the victim of a cleverer Roman Franco-English underhandedness than he would ever have the wit to indulge But whether belittled by the nobility of Constance, or the passion of pity for Arthur, the public identification of John with mediocrity is the fruit of the clash of the noble Constance, grief-possessed and justice-inspired with the ignoble John Shake-ized, and his career and its historic incidents are thus presented by means of the very scattering away of the supreme attention from himself to Constance and Arthur, to his 'discontented Peeres,' the invading Frenchmen, and the resentful clergy It is all skilfully adjusted to suit and show the muddy, unsettled interval between French and native English domination over England, whence, as out of sediment, and the grave of John, better things arose for the English people John's lack of distinction in the plot is typical of the curious inefficiency of his rule, the nugatory results of his first warlike deeds in France, and his first vigorous policy against Rome. In his ecclesiastical program the John of The Troublesome Raigne, unlike Shakespeare's John, enjoys, at least in the better figure he makes as a personality, the effect of his vigour He is forcible, vivid, and stirring Shakespeare cancelled all that He struck out, along with the grossness of the raids upon the abbeys, most of the tokens of personal power in John when he recast the earlier play. It is commonly supposed that regard for the Church, or for Church-people, influenced the change. It is quite possible, it is obvious, besides, that the omission offered him an easy short cut in his task of reducing a double play to a single play's length. It is worth noticing, however, that there is further room for a deeper reason. The omission harmonizes with the rest of his developments and changes

of character It contributes toward keeping the figure of John in dow rehef. Enough modelling for accent, for the proper introduction of the proruse of his kingship is provided liberally for John In the first flush of his accession to the throne, with the able and positive Queen-Mother, Elinor, backing her favorite. John spiritedly challenges France With Elinor to advise and inspire him, he carries the war swiftly into the enemy's country Before Angiers he speaks with impressive readiness. There, in the first heat, he draws strongly to himself the personal friendship of King Philip, and the loyal attachment for life of Hubert. Tohn, then, is neither stupid nor unmagnetic. Yet, by himself, he is found lacking in nerve, insight, initiative energy, and steering power. These his mother Elinor supplies him in the morning of his career Later, bold-eyed, showy, and personable animal as he is, his soul cannot hold out and make good The coarse and shallow nature is unveiled in these fundamental incapacities. Shakespeare has put in several passing touches to denote how dependent he was on his mother's fostering care Her death seemed to him an omen of his failure (IV, 11, 120, 121. 131, 180) This touch of nature redeems John Again at the close of his life his sufferings capture human pity, and the affection Hubert and Faulconbridge vield him influence human sympathy We remember, at his topmost moment of success, how timid and loath he was to feel his way through blind and groping words to the curt grim ones that put into Hubert's bosom his evil hankerings for Arthur's death We see, even at the last, how dull his consciousness is to any but the bodily pains of fever and poison We then gladly give his poor soul 'elbow roome' John is so much of a minor character stranded amid the persons and events of his wrecked life that Shakespeare has prompted everybody to talk less of him than several others Yet it has scarcely been realized that this qualifying of us all to ignore John in his own History is of itself a descriptive masterstroke in this portrait of 'confounded royalty' The King who was forced to give his nobles the Magna Charta, never mentioned by Shakespeare or the writer of The Troublesome Raigne, is represented by Shakespeare, however, as bargaining to please his 'discontented Peeres' and asking 'what you would have reform'd' Short of a representation more or less impossible in his day, of an abstract document of embryonic importance, the Poet has yet done much in choosing an unknown Englishman as the substantial hero of King John In the day wherein the King was found no better than the conditions ruling him, wanting in power to exalt or dignify or represent England, the honest rough and bluff English type, the unknown man whose passion of patriotism glowed above all trials is the requisite popular here Faulconbridge bears the honors of this Play away from its nominal incumbent in order to stamp upon John's reign the image it ought to wear-the image and superscription of the English people.

DEIGHTON (Introduction, p xxii). Here it seems to me that we have a nearer approach to nobility of nature than the play warrants; and, further, that Shake-speare would not be likely to invest with such firmness of backbone a character so soon to be shown as the very impersonation of weakness. For whatever John's behavior in the earlier scenes, from the time of his return to England we see in him nothing but meanness, the most piteous vacillation, grovelling humility, and utter absence of anything like courage in adversity. These may be the essential qualities of his nature which starring events have for a time obscured while brightening, or it may be that 'coward conscience' after the manner threatened by the ghosts in Richard the Third's dream, paralyses whatever activity of mind he once

possessed, whatever resolution he had in France nerved himself to display In order to strengthen his position with his own countrymen, he on his return goes through the farce of being crowned again (in reality for the fourth time), he yields, plainly out of fear, to the demand made by Pembroke for Arthur's liberation, he hypocritically laments Arthur's death when the news of it is brought to him, is terror stricken by the report of the Dauphin's invasion, with incredible meanness reproaches Hubert for the crime which had been his own suggestion, apologizes as unreservedly when told by Hubert that his order has not been carried out, yields up to Pandulph the crown which he had boastfully declared he would maintain 'without the assistance of a mortal hand', beseeches him in the very spirit of cringing servility to negotiate peace with the Dauphin, in absolute prostration of mind leaves it to the Bastard to make preparations for defence, is seen hastening from the battle-field to nurse his fever at Swinstead, and finally in his death agony parades his facility of quibbling out maudlin lamentations for himself

BROOKE (p. 233) The character of King John is perhaps nearer to historical truth than anything else in the play Only he is not quite so bad a man as he actually was 'Foul as hell is, hell is made more foul by the presence of John,' was the judgement of his contemporaries This tradition has so influenced the critics of this play, that they have made the John of Shakespeare much more wicked and vile than the dramatist represented him They have searched into every line for badness and have found it. But the king in Shakespeare's hands is no such unredeemed villain He is, as he really was, an able politician, a wise war-leader, a bold and ready pursuer of his aim He stands up for England, and when he does submit to the Legate (changing apparently his steadfast mind) it is not so much to bow to Rome, as to overthrow-as he does-the whole of the conspiracy of his foes against the English crown He gains his end, his revolting nobles are brought back to his dying bed, and the invaders are forced, raging, to leave the shores of England Nor is he represented as a coward, as some have said He is quite as physically though not so morally brave as Faulconbridge On the moral side Shakespeare joins with his accusers. On that side he is represented as he is, the ruthless politician, the murderer of Arthur But even that villainy does not turn Faulconbridge against him, Faulconbridge who stands for England against the whole world! John is a wicked king, but, wicked or no, he represents to Faulconbridge England and her fates As such he clings to him, supports him when the rest leave him, cheers him in his dismay, reports him to the French as the gallant and victorious king, denounces the revolting lords,—and indealising him thus as the embodiment of England,—comes even to love him, when he is ill fortuned, and finally to mourn his death.

'Art thou gone so? I do but stay behind
To do the office for thee of revenge
And then my soul shall wait on thee to heaven,
As it on earth hath been thy servant still'

And this double aspect of John—bad and good—under which the Bastard views his master is also Shakespeare's representation of him. It was not his cue, at a time when England stood alone against the envious Continent, to lower the Monarchy of England. The case of Richard III was different. To lower him was to exalt the Tudors, the true heirs of England in the eyes of an Elizabethan. Here King John was against France. He must not then be represented as infamous,

even though he slew the rightful heir. The King stands for England. Therefore John, except as the murderer of Arthur, is not completely blackened in this play. No one, not even Henry V, can speak more kingly, more concisely, than King John to the ambassador of France, nor did Henry V act as rapidly, more like a great commander-in-chief, than John against France. He is on Philip's back before Philip thinks he has left England. In war, John is pictured as prompt to act, subtle to plan, making victory a certainty, and when, out of a difficult position in which France and the Church are both against him, he has wrung victory, the French confess his genius in war and policy—

'Lew What he hath won that hath he fortified, So hot a speed with such advise disposed Such temperate order in so fierce a cause, Doth want example who hath read, or heard, Of any kindred action like to this?'

It is the description of a great general

Holinshed (11, 106) He was comelie of stature, but of looke and countenance displeasant and angrie, somewhat cruell of nature, as by the writers of his time he is noted, and not so hardie as doubtfull in time of perill and danger But this seemeth to be an enuious report vttered by thos that were given to speake no good of him whome they inwardle hated Howbeit some give this witnesse of him (as the author of the booke of Bernewell abbeie and other) that he was a great and mightie prince, but yet not verie fortunate, much like to Marius the noble Romane. tasting of fortune both waies, bountifull and liberall vnto strangers, but of his owne people (for their dailie treasons practised towards him) a great oppressour. so that he trusted more to forreners than to them, and therefore in the end he was of them vtterlie forsaken Verilie, whosoeuer shall consider the course of the historie written of this prince, he shall find, that he hath beene little beholden to the writers of that time in which he lived for scarselie can they afoord him a good word, except when the trueth inforceth them to come out with it as it were against The occasion whereof (as some thinke) was, for that he was no great freend to the clergie And yet vindoubtedlie his deeds shew he had a zeale to religion, as it was then acompted for he had founded the abbeie of Beauleau in the new forrest, as it were in recompense of certeine parish churches, which to inlarge the same forrest he caused to be throwne downe and runated He builded the monasterie of Farendon, and the abbeie of Hales in Shropshire, he repaired Godstow where his fathers concubine Rosamund laie interred, he was no small benefactor to the minister of Lichfield in Straffordshire, to the abbeie of Crokesden in the same shire, and to the chappell at Knaresburgh in Yorkshire So that (to say what I thinke) he was not so void of deuotion towards the church, as diverse of his enemies haue reported, who of meere malice conceale all his vertues, and hid none of his vices, but are plentifull inough in setting foorth the same to the vitermost, and interpret all his dooings and saiengs to the woorst, as may appeare to those that adusedle read the works of them that write the order of his life, which may seeme rather an inuective than a true historie neuerthelesse, sith we cannot come by the truth of things through the malice of writers, we must content ourselves with this vnfreendhe description of his time. Certeinhe it should seeme the man had a princelle heart in him, and wanted nothing but faithful subjects to have assisted him in reuenging such wrongs as were doone and offered by the French King and

Moreouer, the pride and pretended authoritie of the cleargie he could not well abide, when they went about to wrest out of his hands the prerogative of his princelle rule and gouernment True it is, that to mainteine his warres which he was forced to take in hand as well as in France as elsewhere, he was constreined to make all the shift he could deuse to recouer mone and bicause he pinched their purses, they conceived no small hatred against him, which when he perceived, and wanted peraduenture discretion to passe it ouer, he discouered now and then in his rage his immoderate displeasure, as one not able to bridle his affections, a thing verie hard in a stout stomach, and thereby missed now and then to compasse that which otherwise he might verie well have brought to passe. It is written, that he meant to have become feudarie (for maintenance sake against his owne disloiall subjects, and other his adverserances) vnto Miramumeline the great king of the Saracens but for the truth of this report I have little to saie, and therefore I leave the credit thereof to the authors It is reported likewise, that in time when the realme stood interdicted as he was abroad to hunt one day, it chanced that there was a great stag or hart killed, which when he came to be broken up, prooued to be verie fat and thicke of flesh, 'Oh (saith he) what a pleasant life this deere hath led, and yet in all his daies he neuer heard masse' To conclude, it may seeme, that in some respects he was not greatly superstitious, and yet not void of religious zeale towards the maintenance of the cleargie, as by his bountifull liberalitie bestowed in building of abbeies and churches (as before yee haue hard) it may partlie appeare

Sir R BAKER (Chronicle, p 83) He was of stature indifferent and tall, and something fat, of a sowre and angry countenance and concerning his conditions, it may be said, that his Nature and Fortune did not well agree For naturally he loved his ease, yet his fortune was to be ever in action He won more of his enemies by surprizes than by battels, which shews he had more of Lightening in him then of Thunder He was never so true of his word as when he threatened, because he meant alwayes as cruelly as he spake, not alwayes as graciously; and he that would have known what it was he never meant to perform, must have looked upon his promises He was neither fit for prosperity nor adversity for prosperity made him insolent, and adversity dejected, a mean fortune would have suited best with him. He was all that he was by fits Sometimes doing nothing without deliberation, and sometimes doing all upon a suddain, sometimes very religious, and sometimes scarce a Christian His unsatiablenesse of money was not so much. as that no man knew what he did with it, gotten with much noise, but spent in silence. He was but intemperate in his best temper, but when distempered with sickness most of all, as appeared at his last, when being in a feaver he would needs be eating of raw peaches, and drinking of sweet ale. If we look upon his works, we must needs think him a worthy prince, but if upon his actions, nothing lesse; for his works of Piety were very many, as hath been shewed before, but as for his actions, he neither came to the Crown by Justice, nor held it with honour, nor left it in peace. Yet having had many good parts in him, and especially having his royal posterity continued to this day, we can do no lesse but honour his memory.

HUME (i, 520). The character of this prince is nothing but a complication of vices equally mean and odious, ruinous to himself and destructive to his people. Cowardice, inactivity, folly, levity, licentiousness, ingratitude, treachery, tyranny, and cruelty—all these qualities appear too evidently in the several incidents of

his life to give us room to suspect that the disagreeable picture has been anywise overcharged by the prejudices of the ancient historians It is hard to say whether his conduct to his father, his brother, his nephew, or his subjects were most culpable, or whether his crimes in these respects were not even exceeded by the baseness which appeared in his transactions with the King of France, the pope, and the barons His European dominions when they devolved to him by the death of his brother, were more extensive than have ever, since his time, been ruled by an English monarch, but he first lost, by his misconduct, the flourishing provinces in France, the ancient patrimony of his family He subjected his kingdom to a shameful vassalage under the see of Rome He saw the prerogatives of his crown diminished by law, and still more reduced by faction, and he died at last when in danger of being totally expelled by a foreign power, and of either ending his life miserably in prison or seeking shelter, as a fugitive, from the pursuit of his enemies The prejudices against this prince were so violent that he was believed to have sent an embassy to the Miramoulin, or Emperor of Morocco, and to have offered to change his religion and become Mahometan in order to purchase the protection of that monarch But though this story is told us on plausible authority, by Matthew Paris, it is in itself utterly improbable, except that there is nothing so incredible but may be believed to proceed from the folly and wickedness of John

STUBBS (Walter of Coventry, Introduction, p xiv) John, as far as I can read his character from his acts, was a mean reproduction of all the vices and of the few pettinesses of his family, of their intellectual as he was of their physical conformation I say mean reproduction, because although his crimes were really greater, they are on a smaller scale, from smaller motives, significant of that more unbridled vice that checks at no obstacle and yields to the least temptation Like his father, he is a profligate, but his sins are complicated with outrage and ingratitude, like Richard, he is an extortioner, but, unlike him, he is meanly mercenary. parsimonious, unsuccessful Like Geoffry, he is faithless, but, unlike Geoffry, he is obstinate rather than impulsive. He never repents, even if it be only to sin again, he has no remorse, even for his failures He contemns both the spirit and the form of law, of religion he has none, scarcely sense enough of it to make him found a monastery, he neither fears God nor cares for the souls of his people, but he is amenable to superstitions that his father would have spurned. He is passionate, like the rest of the Conqueror's descendants, but it is not the life-like transport of Henry and Richard, he is savage, filthy, and blasphemous in his wrath, but he sulks where he dare not reply, and takes his revenge on the innocent and in the dark His ingratitude is not the common ingratitude of kings, to forget a benefactor when the benefit has grown cold, he heaps neglect on insult, and scatters scorn on the dead, whose chief fault has been that they have served him too well Unlike his father and brother, he makes no friends among his ministers, they are faithful to him, but his only friends are his own creatures, whom he has raised and whom he need not fear to sacrifice. In the neutral tints of common character his pettiness is not less apparent. The favourite son of Henry II. and the pupil of Glanville could hardly be without a taste for law, the instinct that in his father produced great legal reforms, in John works only to the multiplication of little methods of extortion, or the devising of new forms of torture; like him he sits in the judgment seat, but only for the wages of unrighteousness promptness and energy is in John undignified fussiness, the lofty self-assertion of conscious strength is represented in him by the mere yount that can plead no justification, his recklessness in running into danger is only equalled by the shamelessness with which he retreats before the evils that he has provoked. Of himself he does nothing great, and what is done for him by others he undoes by alienating or insulting them. Although the faults which come out in this form in him are faults so ingrained in the Angevin family that they can scarcely be regarded, except in the particular manifestation, as distinctive of John, somewhat of the result is no doubt to be attributed to his age and training

CHARACTER OF CONSTANCE

Mrs Jameson (11, 213) In the play of King John the three principal characters are the King, Falconbridge, and Lady Constance The first is drawn forcibly and accurately from history it reminds us of Titian's portrait of Cæsar Borgia, in which the hatefulness of the subject is redeemed by the masterly skill of the artist. -the truth, and power, and wonderful beauty of the execution Falconbridge is the spirited creation of the poet Constance is certainly an historical personage. but the form which, when we meet it on the record of history, appears like a pale. indistinct shadow, half melted into its obscure background, starts before us into strong relief and palpable breathing reality upon the page of Shakespeare Whenever we think of Constance, it is in her maternal character. All the interest which she excites in the drama turns upon her situation as the mother of Arthur Every circumstance in which she is placed, every sentiment she utters, has a reference to him, and she is represented through the whole of the scenes in which she is engaged, as alternately pleading for the rights, and trembling for the existence of her son But while we contemplate the character of Constance, she assumes before us an individuality perfectly distinct from the circumstances around her The action calls forth her maternal feelings, and places them in the most prominent point of view, but with Constance, as with a real human being, the maternal affections are a powerful instinct, modified by other faculties, sentiments, and impulses, making up the individual character We think of her as a mother, because, as a mother distracted for the loss of her son, she is immediately presented before us, and calls forth our sympathy and our tears, but we infer the rest of her character from what we see, as certainly and as completely as if we had known her whole course of life That which strikes us as the principal attribute of Constance is power-power of imagination, of will, passion, of affection, of pride the moral energy, that faculty which is principally exercised in self-control, and gives consistency to the rest, is deficient, or rather, to speak more correctly, the extraordinary development of sensibility and imagination, which lends to the character its rich poetical colouring, leaves the other qualities comparatively subordinate. Hence it is that the whole complexion of the character, notwithstanding its amazing grandeur, is so exquisitely feminine. The weakness of the woman, who by the very consciousness of that weakness is worked up to the desperation and defiance, the fluctuations of temper and the bursts of sublime passion, the terrors, the impatience, and the tears, are all most true to feminine nature. The energy of Constance not being based upon strength of character, rises and falls with the tide of passion. Her haughty spirit swells against resistance, and is excited into frenzy by sorrow and disappointment; while neither from her towering pride, nor strength of intellect, can she borrow patience to submit, or fortitude to endure. It is, therefore, with perfect truth of nature that Constance is first introduced as pleading peace.

'Stay for an answer to your embassy,
Lest unadvised you stain your swords with blood
My Lord Chattilon may from England bring
That right in peace, which here we urge in war,
And then we shall repent each drop of blood,
That hot, rash haste so indirectly shed'

And that the same woman, when all her passions are roused by the sense of injury, should afterwards exclaim,

'War! War! No peace! peace is to me a war!'

That she should be ambitious for her son, proud of his high birth and royal rights, and violent in defending them, is most natural, but I cannot agree with those who think that in the mind of Constance, ambition—that is the love of dominion for its own sake—is either a strong motive or a strong feeling—it could hardly be so where the natural impulses and the ideal power predominate in so high a degree. The vehemence with which she asserts the just and legal rights of her son is that of a fond mother and a proud-spirited woman, stung with the sense of injury, and herself a reigning sovereign,—by birth and right, if not in fact, yet when bereaved of her son, grief not only 'fills the room up of her absent child,' but seems to absorb every other faculty and feeling—even pride and anger—It is true that she exults over him as one whom nature and fortune has destined to be great, but in her distraction for his loss she thinks of him only as her 'Pretty Arthur'

'O lord! my boy, my Arthur, my fair son! My life, my joy, my food, my all the world! My widow-comfort, and my sorrows' cure!'

No other feeling can be traced through the whole of her frantic scene it is grief only, a mother's heart-rending, soul-absorbing grief, and nothing else indignation, or the desire of revenge, interfere with its soleness and intensity. An ambitious woman would hardly have thus addressed the cold, wily Cardinar.

'And, Father Cardinal, I have heard you say,
That we shall see and know our friends in heaven
If that be true, I shall see my boy again,
For since the birth of Cain, the first male child,
To him that did but yesterday suspire,
There was not such a gracious creature born
But now will canker sorrow eat my bud,
And chase the native beauty from his cheek,
And he will look as hollow as a ghost,
As dim and meagre as an ague's fit;
And so he'll die, and, rising so again,
When I shall meet him in the court of heaven
I shall not know him therefore never, never
Must I behold my pretty Arthur more!'

The bewildered pathos and poetry of this address could be natural in no woman who did not unite, like Constance, the most passionate sensibility with the most vivid imagination. It is true that Queen Elmor calls her on one occasion 'ambitious Constance,' but the epithet is rather the natural expression of Elmor's own

fear and hatred than really applicable. Elinor, in whom age had subdued all passions but ambition, dreaded the mother of Arthur as her rival in power, and for that reason only opposed the claims of the son, but I conceive, that in a woman yet in the prime of life, and endued with the peculiar disposition of Constance, the mere love of power would be too much modified by fancy and feeling to be called a passion. In fact, it is not pride, nor temper, nor ambition, nor even maternal affection which in Constance gives the prevailing tone to the whole character it is the predominance of imagination.

I do not mean in the conception of the dramatic portrait, but in the temperament of the woman herself. In the poetical, fanciful, excitable cast of her mind, in the excess of the ideal power, tingeing all her affections, exalting all her sentiments and thoughts, and animating the expression of both, Constance can only be compared to Juliet. In the first place, it is through the power of imagination that, when under the influence of excited temper, Constance is not a mere incensed woman, nor does she, in the style of Volumnia, 'lament in anger Juno-like,' but rather like a sybil in a fury. Her sarcasms come down like thunderbolts. In her famous address to Austria—

'O Lymoges! O Austria! thou dost shame That bloody spoil, thou slave! thou wretch! thou coward! &c'

it is as if she had concentrated the burning spirit of scorn, and dashed it in his face, every word seems to blister where it falls. In the scolding scene between her and queen Elinor the laconic insolence of the latter is completely overborne by the torrent of bitter contumely which bursts from the lips of Constance, clothed in the most energetic, and often in the most figurative expressions. And in a very opposite mood, when struggling with the consciousness of her own helpless situation, the same susceptible and excitable fancy still predominates.

'Thou shalt be punish'd for thus frighting me, For I am sick, and capable of fears'

It is the power of imagination which gives so peculiar a tinge to the maternal tenderness of Constance—she not only loves her son with the fond instinct of a mother's affection, but she loves him with her poetical imagination, exults in his beauty and his royal birth, hangs over him with idolatry, and sees his infant brow already encircled with the diadem—Her proud spirit, her ardent enthusiastic fancy, and her energetic self-will, all combine with her maternal love to give it that tone and character which belongs to her only—hence that most beautiful address to her son, which, coming from the lips of Constance, is as full of nature and truth as of pathos and poetry, and which we could hardly sympathise with in any other

'But thou art fair, and at thy birth, dear boy!

Nature and fortune joined to make thee great

Of Nature's gifts thou mayst with lilies boast,

And with the half-blown rose but fortune, O!

She is corrupted, chang'd, and won from thee,

She adulterates hourly with thine Uncle John,

And with her golden hand hath pluck'd on France

To tread down fair respect of sovereignty.'

It is this exceeding vivacity of imagination which in the end turns sorrow to frenzy Constance is not only a bereaved and doating mother, but a generous woman,

betrayed by her own rash confidence, in whose mind the sense of injury mingling with the sense of grief, and her impetuous temper conflicting with her pride, combine to overset her reason, yet she is not mad, and how admirably, how forcibly, she herself draws the distinction between the frantic violence of uncontrolled feeling and actual madness! Not only has Constance words at will, and fast as the passionate feelings rise in her mind they are poured forth with vivid, overpowering eloquence, but, like Juliet, she may be said to speak in pictures. For instance—

'Why holds thine eye that lamentable rheum? Like a proud river peering o'er its bounds'

And throughout the whole dialogue there is the same overflow of eloquence, the same splendour of diction, the same luxuriance of imagery, yet with an added grandeur, arising from habits of command, from the age, the rank, and the matronly character of Constance Thus Juliet pours forth her love like a muse in a rapture, Constance raves in her sorrow like a Pythoness possessed with the spirit of pain The love of Juliet is deep and infinite as the boundless sea, and the grief of Constance is so great that nothing but the round world itself is able to sustain it

'I will instruct my sorrows to be proud,
For grief is proud and makes his owner stout
To me, and to the state of my great grief
Let kings assemble, for my grief's so great,
That no supporter but the huge firm earth
Can hold it up Here I and Sorrow sit,
Here is my throne,—bid kings come bow to 4t!'

An image more majestic, more wonderfully sublime was never presented to the fancy, yet almost equal as a flight of poetry is her apostrophe to the heavens

'Arm, arm, ye heavens, against these perjured kings, A widow calls'—be husband to me, heavens'

And death is welcomed as a bridegroom, she sees the visionary monster as Juliet saw 'the bloody Tybalt festering in his shroud,' and heaps one ghastly image upon another with all the wild luxuriance of a distempered fancy

'O amiable, lovely death!

Thou odoriferous stench! sound rottenness!'

Constance, who is a majestic being, is majestic in her very frenzy Majesty is also the characteristic of Hermione, but what a difference between her silent, lofty, uncomplaining despair and the eloquent grief of Constance, whose wild lamentations, which come bursting forth clothed in the grandest, the most poetical imagery, not only melt, but absolutely electrify us! On the whole, it may be said that pride and maternal affection form the basis of the character of Constance, as it is exhibited to us, but that these passions, in an equal degree common to many human beings, assume their peculiar and individual tinge from an extraordinary development of intellect and fancy. It is the energy of passion which lends the character its concentrated power, as it is the prevalence of imagination throughout which dilates it into magnificence

CAMPBELL (Life of Mrs Siddons, i, 211) Mrs Siddons has left me in her memoranda her own remarks on the character of Constance 'My idea of Constance,'

she says, 'is that of a lofty and proud spirit, associated with the most exquisite feelings of maternal tenderness, which is, in truth, the predominant feature of this interesting personage. The sentiments which she expresses, in the dialogue between herself, the King of France, and the Duke of Austria, at the commencement of the second Act of this tragedy, very strongly evince the amiable traits of a numane disposition, and of a grateful heart

"Oh! take his mother's thanks—a widow's thanks!

Till your strong hand shall help to give him strength

To make a more requital to your love"

Again, in reply to the King's bloody determination of subjugating the city of Angiers to the sovereignty of her son, she says,

"Stay for an answer to your embassy, Lest, unadvis'd, you stain your swords with blood"

The idea one naturally adopts of her qualities and appearance are, that she is noble in mind, and commanding in person and demeanour, that her countenance was capable of all the varieties of grand and tender expression, often agonized, though never distorted by the vehemence of her agitations Her voice, too, must have been "propertied like the tuned spheres," obedient to all the softest inflections of maternal love, to all the pathos of the most exquisite sensibility, to the sudden burst of heart-rending sorrow, and to the terrifying imprecations of indignant majesty, when writhing under the miseries inflicted on her by her dastardly oppressors and treacherous allies The actress should be richly endowed by nature for its various requirements, yet, even when thus fortunately gifted, much, very much remains to be effected by herself, for in the performance of the part of Constance great difficulties, both mental and physical, present themselves And perhaps the greatest of the former class is that of imperiously holding the mind remed-in to the immediate perception of those calamitous circumstances which take place during the course of her sadly eventful history. The necessity for this severe abstraction will sufficiently appear when we remember that all those calamitous events occur whilst she herself is absent from the stage, so that this power is indispensable for that reason alone, were there no other to be assigned for Because, if the representative of Constance shall ever forget, even behind the scenes, those disastrous events which impel her to break forth into the overwhelming effusions of wounded friendship, disappointed ambition, and maternal tenderness, upon the first moment of her appearance in the third act, when stunned with terrible surprise she exclaims.--

"Gone to be married—gone to swear a peace! False blood to false blood joined—gone to be friends!"—

if, I say, the mind of the actress for one moment wanders from these distressing events, she must inevitably fall short of that high and glorious colouring which is indispensable to the painting of this magnificent portrait. The quality of abstraction has always appeared to me so necessary in the art of acting that I shall probably in the course of these remarks be thought too frequently and pertinaciously to advert to it. I am now, however, going to give a proof of its usefulness in the character under our consideration, and I wish my opinion were of sufficient weight to impress the importance of this power on the minds of all candidates for dramatic fame. Here then is one example among many others which I could

adduce. Whenever I was called upon to personate the character of Constance. I never, from the beginning of the play to the end of my part in it, once suffered my dressing-room door to be closed, in order that my attention might be constantly fixed on those distressing events which, by this means, I could plainly hear going on upon the stage, the terrible effects of which progress were to be represented by me However, I never omitted to place myself, with Arthur in my hand, to hear the march, when, upon the reconciliation of England and France, they enter the gates of Angiers to ratify the contract of marriage between the Dauphin and the Lady Blanche, because the sickening sounds of that march would usually cause the bitter tears of rage, disappointment, betrayed confidence, baffled ambition. and, above all, the agonizing feelings of maternal affection to gush into my eves In short, the spirit of the whole drama took possession of my mind and frame by my attention being incessantly riveted to the passing scenes Thus did I avail myself of every possible assistance, for there was need of all in this most arduous effort, and I have no doubt that the observance of such circumstances, however irrelevant they may appear upon a cursory view, were powerfully aidant in the representations of those expressions of passion in the remainder of this scene, which have been only in part considered, and to the conclusion of which I now proceed Goaded and stung by the treachery of her faithless friends, and almost maddened by the injuries they have heaped upon her, she becomes desperate and ferocious as a hunted tigress in defence of her young, and it seems that existence itself must nearly issue forth with the utterance of that frantic and appalling exclamation-

"A wicked day, and not a holy day!

What hath this day deserved? what hath it done
That it in golden letters should be set
Among the high tides in the calendar?"

When King Philip says to her

"By heaven! Lady, you shall have no cause To curse the fair proceedings of this day, Have I not pawn'd to you my majesty—"

What countenance, what voice, what gesture, shall realize the scorn and indignation of her reply to the heartless King of France?

> "You have beguil'd me with a counterfeit Resembling majesty, with being touch'd and tried Proves valueless: you are forsworn—forsworn, You came in arms to spill mine enemies blood, But now in arms you strengthen it with yours, &c"

And then the awful, trembling solemnity, the utter halplessness of that soul-subduing, scriptural, and prophetic invocation—

"Arm, arm, ye heavens! against these perjur'd Kings!
A widow cries—Be husband to me, Heavens!"

If it ever were, or ever shall be portrayed with its appropriate and solemn energy, it must be then, and then only, when the power I have so much insisted on, cooperating also with a high degree of enthusiasm, shall have transfused the mind of the actress into the person and situation of the august and afflicted Constance. The difficulty, too, of representing with tempered rage and dignified contempt

the biting sarcasm of the following speeches to Austria may be more easily imagined than explained

"War! War! no peace—peace is to me a war—
O Lymoges! O Austria! thou dost shame
That bloody spoil—thou slave! thou wretch! thou coward!
Thou little valiant—great in villany—
Thou ever strong upon the stronger side!
Thou Fortune's champion—that dost never fight
But when her humorous Ladyship is by
To teach thee safety—thou art perjured too,
And sooth'st up greatness"

But, in truth, to beget, in these whirlwinds of the soul, such temperance as, according to the lesson of our inspired master, shall give them smoothness is a difficulty which those only can appreciate who have made the effort. I cannot indeed conceive, in the whole range of dramatic character, a greater difficulty than that of representing this grand creature Brought before the audience in the plenitude of her afflictions, oppression and falsehood having effected their destructive mark, the full storm of adversity, in short, having fallen upon her in the interval of their absence from her sight, the effort of pouring properly forth so much passion as past events have excited in her, without any visible previous progress towards her climax of desperation, seems almost to exceed the powers of imitation. Hers is an affliction of "so sudden floodgate and o'erbearing nature" that art despairs of realizing it, and the effort is almost life-exhausting. Therefore, whether the majestic, the passionate, the tender Constance has ever yet been, or ever will be, personated to the entire satisfaction of sound judgment and fine taste, I believe to be doubtful, for I believe it to be nearly impossible I now come to the concluding scene (III, v, 20-110), and I believe I shall not be thought singular when I assert that though she has been designated the ambitious Constance, she has been ambitious only for her son It was for him, and him alone, that she aspired to, and struggled for, hereditary sovereignty For example, you find that from that fatal moment when he is separtaed from her, not one regret for lost regal power or splendour ever escapes from her lips, no, not one idea does she from that instant utter which does not unanswerably prove that all other considerations are annihilated in the grievous recollections of motherly love Her gorgeous affliction, if such an expression is allowable, is of so sublime and so intense a character that the personation of its grandeur, with the utterance of its rapid and astonishing eloquence, almost overwhelms the mind that meditates its realization, and utterly exhausts the frame which endeavours to express its agitations'

KNIGHT (1, 35) If we may judge of Constance's character from the chroniclers, she was weak and selfish—deserting the bed of her second husband, and marrying the Lord Guy de Touars—at a time when the fortune, and perhaps the life of her son, by Geoffrey, depended upon the singleness of her affection for him. But it is exceedingly difficult to speak upon these points, and there is, at any rate, little doubt that her second husband treated her with neglect and cruelty. The surpassing beauty of the maternal love of the Constance of Shakespeare will, it is probable, destroy all other associations with the character of Constance. We have no record that Constance was not a most devoted mother to her eldest born; and in that age when divorces were as common amongst the royal and noble as

other breaches of faith, we are not entitled to believe that her third marriage was incompatible with her passionate love for the heir of so many hopes,—her heart-breaking devotion to her betrayed and forsaken son,—and her natural belief, that

'Since the birth of Cain, the first male Child, To him that did but yesterday suspire, There was not such a gracious creature boin'

The fate of Constance was not altogether inconsistent with Shakespeare's delineation of the heart-broken mother. She died in 1201 But Arthur was not then John's captive—although all his high hopes were limited to Brittany

FLETCHER (D 10) In her elaborate consideration of the character of The Ladv Constance Mrs Tameson falls somewhat into the error which has constantly, more or less, been committed in treating of Shakespeare's historical plays-that of failing to consider not only the composition of each drama on the whole, but the conception and development of every character in it, primarily and independently with relation to dramatic art, and without any regard whatever to real or alleged departures from the literal or even the substantial truth of history Unless this point of view be steadily maintained by the critic in forming his dramatic judgment, his opinions will, at every moment, be liable to fall into inconsistency and A very little reflection should have sufficed to shew any commentator the preposterousness of dragging Shakespeare, the dramatist—the dramatist transcendently and exclusively—to the bar of historical criticism—a kind of procedure which, in the following observations, we shall studiously avoid. So far from representing either Arthur or his mother as ambitious, the poet, in legitimate pursuit of his dramatic object, has studiously excluded from view every historical circumstance that could countenance the smallest impression of that nature He has not only reduced the prince's age to such tender years as would hardly admit of his harbouring a political sentiment, but, in direct opposition to the recorded facts, represents the boy as one of a peculiarly mild and quiet temper, devoid of all princely airs and all appetite for command-simple-hearted, meek, and affectionate He weeps at the violent scene produced by his mother's meeting with Queen Elinor, and exclaims,

'Good my mother, peace!
I would that I were low laid in my grave,
I am not worth this coil that's made for me'

Again, to his mother's violent grief at hearing of the accommodation between the two kings, he says,

'I do beseech you, madam, be content'

And again, in 'his innocent prate' to his keeper Hubert.

'So I were out of prison, and kept sheep, I should be merry as the day is long, &c'

Is it not plain that this very inoffensiveness is designed by the dramatist to place in the stronger light the clearness of Arthur's title, as the exclusive reason for his Uncle's hostility, at the same time that it deepens so wonderfully the pathos of the scene wherein he pleads for the preservation of his eyes? Another element of this pathos is the exceeding beauty which the poet has ascribed to the princely

maternal relation in which the drama constantly places her before us. That Constance, in the poet's conception, is of graceful as well as noble person we are not left to infer merely from the graces of her vigorous mind, nor from the rare loveliness of her child, and her extreme sensibility to it. We hear of her beauty more explicitly from the impression which it makes upon those around herespecially from the exclamations of King Philip on beholding her distress for Arthur's loss, the greater part of which we regret to find omitted in the present acting of the play—

'O, fair affliction, peace! . . .

Bind up those tresses Oh, what love I note In the fair multitude of those her hairs, &c'

But it is the moral and intellectual beauty, the logic and the poetry of the character, that is the most essential to consider And here we are called upon to dissent materially from the view of this matter which Mrs Jameson has exhibited at some length In commencing her essay on this character she numbers among the qualities which the Lady Constance of Shakespeare has in common with the mother of Coriolanus 'self-will and exceeding pride' In a following page she speaks again of 'her haughty spirit' and 'her towering pride' Again, of 'her proud spirit' and 'her energetic self-will', and 'her impetuous temper conflicting with her pride 'Once more-'on the whole it may be said, that pride and maternal affection form the basis of the character of Constance', and 'in all the state of her great grief, a grand impersonation of pride and passion. But the contrary of all this inherent pride and self-will which the critic alleges appears in the poet's delineation It is the mild language of gratitude and patience that we first hear from Constance, in the scene where she thanks the French King and the Austrian duke for their espousal to her dear son's cause, but entreats them to wait for John's where she encounters Elinor all the 'pride and self-will' are on the side of her enemies, the outraged right and feeling on her own To Elinor's

'Who is it thou dost call usurper, France?'

it is but natural that she should say,

'Let me make answer-thy usurping son'

And Elinor's atrocious imputation upon her, of adultery and of guilty ambition—

'Out insolent!—thy bastard shall be king,
That thou mayst be a queen, and check the world!—'

more than justifies all the keenness of retort that follows That she resents the insults thus added to the injuries of her foes, infers but little pride To have remained silent under them, would have been nothing less than meanness in any woman—most of all in a sovereign princess on so public an occasion Again, in all her exclamations on the betrayal of her cause by her selfish allies, we find, indeed, all the sensitive and intellectual widow and mother,

'Oppress'd with wrongs, and therefore full of fears,'

but where is the proud self-will? it seems extraordinary that Mrs Jameson and others should not have reflected that, had a particle of it been represented as belong-

ing originally and inherently to the character of Constance, it would have utterly marred the grand, the sublime effect of her concluding words in this majestic scene. It is simply because there is no pride in her nature—nothing but the indispensable self-respect of the woman, the mother, and the princess—and more especially because the whole previous tenour of this scene itself exhibits her as anything but 'an impersonation of pride'—

'A widow, husbandless, subject to fears, A woman, naturally born to fears—'

that the passage in question is so wonderfully impressive. It is not the proud, fierce, haughty woman, but the sensitive and apprehensive woman alone, lashed out of all of her usual habits of mind and temper, by direct injury and basest treachery, into intense resistance and resentment, to whom it can ever occur to say,—

'I will instruct my sorrows to be proud,
For grief is proud, and makes his owner stout.
To me, and to the state of my great grief,
Let kings assemble, for my grief's so great,
That no supporter but the huge firm earth
Can hold it up Here I and sorrow sit,
Here is my throne—bid kings come bow to it!'

Here is pride indeed! wrung, for the first time, from a noble tender nature by the awful climax of indignant sorrow, and placing the 'gentle Constance' on that towering eminence from whence, in the desolate majesty of afflicted right, she hurls the keen lightnings of her eloquence upon the mean-souled great ones around her. Theirs, indeed, is the gain, but hers is the triumph! So much have we deemed it necessary to say in vindication of the moral qualities wherewith Shakespeare has endowed his heroine. We must now say something for the guidance, it may be, both of the reader and the performer, in correction of some erroneous views, as we esteem them, to which the authoress above cited, and others, have given circulation, respecting the intellectual powers developed in this character The substance of Mrs Jameson's observations on this head is contained in the following sentence 'The moral energy, that faculty which is principally exercised in selfcontrol, and gives consistency to the rest, is deficient, or rather, to speak more correctly, the extraordinary development of sensibility and imagination, which lends to the character its rich poetical colouring, leaves the other qualities comparatively subordinate' Following out this view of the matter, Mrs Jameson speaks of the dramatic Constance as 'a generous woman, betrayed by her own rash confidence' Generous she is, but where is the rashness of her confidence? What better resource have she and her son than to trust in the solemn protestations which the potentates best able to assist them are made to deliver at the opening of the second act? What weakness of intellect is here implied? It is clearly her best policy to confide in them Again, Mrs Jameson desires us to observe that the herome cannot from her intellectual resources 'borrow patience to submit, or fortitude to endure' But, all feeling apart, what, we would ask, betrayed on every hand, and friendless, as she is, has she to gain by submitting and enduring? Constance herself understands her own position as clearly, as she feels it keenly, and states it, too, with her ever forcible and coherent logic. In answer to the legate's observation respecting the excommunication of King John-

'There's law and warrant, lady, for my curse-

most justly does she reply,-

'And for mine too, when law can do no right, Let it be lawful that law bar no wrong Law cannot give my child his kingdom here, For he that holds his kingdom holds the law Therefore, since law itself is perfect wrong, How can the law forbid my tongue to curse?'

Equally logical—more strikingly and terribly consequential than the cool reasonings of the Cardinal himself—are these sentences addressed to him in her despairing scene

'And, father cardinal, I have heard you say,
That we shall see and know our friends in heaven
If that be true, I shall see my boy again,
For, since the birth of Cain, the first male child,
To him that did but yesterday suspire,
There was not such a gracious creature born
But now will canker sorrow eat my bud,
And chase the native beauty from his cheek,
And he will look as hollow as a ghost,
As dim and meagre as an ague's fit,
And so he'll die, and, rising so again,
When I shall meet him in the court of heaven,
I shall not know him therefore never, never
Must I behold my pretty Arthur more!'

Here, indeed, her heart may be said to stimulate her intellect to a sort of preternatural activity, but she does not rave, she reasons herself into the climax of despair. Yet Mrs Jameson speaks of 'the bewildered pathos and poetry of this address', and in a subsequent page proceeds in the same strain—'It is this exceeding vivacity of imagination which in the end turns sorrow to frenzy,'—and calls the sublime effusions of her despair 'the frantic violence of uncontrolled feeling'. This is nothing less than using to the afflicted mother the language addressed to her by the cold-blooded papal diplomatist,

'Lady, you utter madness, and not sorrow'

and Constance's own answer to the Cardinal is a triumphant refutation of all such criticism

'Thou art not holy, to belie me so
I am not mad this hair I tear is mine,
My name is Constance, I was Geoffrey's wife,
Young Arthur is my son, and he is lost
I am not mad,—I would to heaven I were
For then, 'tis like, I should forget myself.
Oh, if I could, what grief should I forget!—
Preach some philosophy to make me mad,
And thou shalt be canoniz'd, cardinal,
For, being not mad, but sensible to grief,
My reasonable part produces reason
How I may be deliver'd of these woes,

And teaches me to kill or hang myself If I were mad, I should forget my son, Or madly think a babe of clouts were he I am not mad too well, too well I feel The different plague of each calamity!

But in spite of this convincing protest Mrs Jameson sees only, in the lady's invocation to Death, that she 'heaps one ghastly image upon another with all the wild luxuriance of a distempered fancy'—

'O amiable, lovely death!
Thou odoriferous stench! sound rottenness!
Arise forth from thy couch of lasting night,
Thou hate and terror to prosperity,
And I will kiss thy detestable bones,
And put my eye-brows in thy vaulty brows,
And ring these fingers with thy household worms,
And stop this gap of breath with fulsome dust,
And be a carrion monster like thyself!
Come, grin on me, and I will think thou smil'st,
And buss thee as thy wife! Misery's love,
Oh, come to me!'

For our own part we can only exclaim upon this, oh! tremendous and resistless logic of high and true passion! oh, 'lion sinews' lent to the intellect by the fearful pressure of despair upon the heart! We deem it requisite to dwell a little longer upon Mrs. Jameson's general view of this matter, because the error into which she seems to us to fall respecting it is an essential one, and pervades her criticism of Shakespeare's more poetical characters The two following passages from this same essay shall be our text. 'In fact, it is not pride, nor temper, nor ambition, nor even maternal affection which, in Constance, gives the prevailing tone to the whole character, it is the predominance of imagination. In the poetical, fanciful, excitable cast of her mind, in the excess of the ideal power, tingeing all her affections, exalting all her sentiments and thoughts, and animating the expression of both, Constance can only be compared to Juliet.' Again 'Some of the most splendid poetry to be met with in Shakespeare may be found in the parts of Juliet and Constance, the most splendid, perhaps, excepting only the parts of Lear and Othello, and for the same reason, that Lear and Othello as men, and Tuliet and Constance as women, are distinguished by the predominance of the same faculties—passion and imagination.' Here seems to us to lie a radical error, that of regarding the 'excess of the ideal power,' the predominance of passion and imagination, as productive of 'the most splendid poetry' For the very reason that Lear and Othello, Juliet and Constance are sublime poets, that is, possess the creative mental power in the highest degree, neither fancy nor passion, however vigorous in them, can be predominant, but must exist in due proportion to the strength of the reasoning faculty. Otherwise, the result would be, not poetry, but mere wild, incoherent raving, such as Mrs Jameson has mistakenly attributed to the most impassioned speeches of Constance herself But she herself protests she is not mad, and not being mad, her most impassioned are also her most logical passages; as is ever the case with a being like her in whom a noble nature has unfolded itself in harmonious vigour. Her glowing heart, indeed stirred by the deepest of all passions, a widowed mother's boundless and idolatrous love,

puts her rich and lively fancy into most active play, but only her bright, strong intellect could mould and elevate those crowding images into glorious and deathless imaginings. Whatever the actual princess might be, Shakespeare's Constance is a poetess of the first order, and so, in one sense, must the actress be who undertakes to personate her. Feeling, fancy, and reason, in her soul, must each be strong, and all harmoniously blended

C. C CLARKE (Sh's Characters, p 324) Constance is a sublime personification of the maternal character, lashed into frenzy by the potency of will, but impotence of power to right herself of the injustice with which she is surrounded. She is a lioness at bay, her resources failed, and her retreat cut off. In the blind desire to secure her child's birthright, and in her wrath at his oppression, she fatally loses sight of the great privilege of his existence. How true to nature all this, and how accurately do we trace the gradual subsiding of her spirit of fury and resentment into an outpouring of tenderness and deprecation, as all her hopes and prospects of success fade away. I know nothing in dramatic contrast surpassing in grandeur that of Constance with the other characters in this tragedy—open, direct, vehement action, with bravery, but without judgment, opposed to cunning, treachery, and cruelty, without courage—moral or physical

GERVINUS (p. 358) Ambition spurred by maternal love, maternal love goaded by ambition and womanly vanity, these form the distinguishing features of her character, features out of which, from the adversity of fate, that raging passion is developed which at last shatters the soul and body of the frail woman She is a woman whose weakness amounts to grandeur, and whose virtues sink into weakness, like John in his masculine sphere, she is without those mental and moral resources which could make her moderate in prosperity or calm in adversity. To the daring man misfortune is the stone against which he stumbles, to the passionate woman this stumbling-stone is prosperity From the transporting violence of her love and of her grief we may conclude how violent she could have been in hatred and arrogance Her coarse outbursts against Elmor, her contemptuous and sarcastic outbreaks against the Duke of Austria when she stands on the doubtful ground between success and misfortune, testify to the sanguine, womanly, and even womanish want of self-command, which makes her irritable from fear, and would have made her irritable in prosperity. Her biting speech is even too bitter for her child and too moderate for her friends Shakespeare has depicted in her the female counterpart to Richard II, who, imperious in prosperity, was speedily lost in adversity. Powerless to forward their own cause, the one from early self-abandonment, the other from the circumstances of her position and sex, both alike powerless in active defence and revenge, they both exhibit the exaggeration of a passion which rages within the man in smouldering heat, and within the woman in a bright blazing fire, they both present an exaggeration of the mind and the fancy manifesting itself in the most brilliant outpourings of eloquence and reflection, in the invectives of rage as well as in the outbursts of sorrow Just as in Richard there gushes forth in Constance a deeply poetic vem in all her misery, and like him her imagination revels in her grief, which she calls so great that 'no supporter but the huge firm earth can hold it up ' Like Richard, she delights in picturing to herself dark images of death and its desired horrors, like him she plays with her sorrow in witty words and similes, like him her pride and majesty rise with misfortune On the throne and state of her grief she feels herself more exalted than her false royal friends, and in the extreme of hopelessness she is seized with the frenzy which only threatened Richard

BUCKNILL (Mad Folk of Sh, p 268) Constance is delineated with Greek simplicity The grandeur of one great passion is weakened by no subordinate parts of character on which the mind can rest and feel relief All is simple and clear, like the one thrilling note of a trumpet, rising higher or falling lower, but never altering its tone The wondrous eloquence in which the passion clothes itself does but display its force. Its unity and directness of purpose remain unchanging and unchangeable Passion is not seen except when transformed into action Like a great wind, it would be voiceless except for opposition, it would be viewless except for its effects These may be a few tossed leaves, or a whirling cloud-rack, or the crash of forests The invisible force remains the same, measured most imperfectly by the casualties of resistance But this passion itself, single in its onward force. is not altogether so in its nature and origin. It wears the garb of maternal affection, of the strong love a widowed mother bears to her only child, but, as in Queen Margaret, the fury of ambition is added, ambition for herself as much as for her son, which Elmor perceives, and with wounding truth expresses

> 'Out, insolent! thy bastard shall be king, That thou mayst be a queen, and check the world!'

This fierce desire of power and place, which is but coldly expressed in the word ambition, is as undeniable in Constance as her mother's love Had she no child she would be ambitious for herself Having one, she is more vehemently ambitious for him, and indirectly for herself The tenderness of love alone would have led her to shun contention and to withdraw her child from danger, as Andromache sought to withhold her husband from the field of honour with unalloyed womanly apprehension But love influenced by ambition, and ambition stimulated by love. produced that compound passion which incurred all risks, braved all dangers. Combined passions are weak or strong, according to their perfection of union and singleness of purpose If concurrent desires are but half of one mind, they pull diverse ways, and give rise to the weakness of inconsistency, but if they are thoroughly of one accord, chemically combined as it were, the product acquires new and irresistible strength This force of compound emotion is finely developed in Constance, in contrast with the other female characters of the drama Ambitious without love, she would have possessed the hard vigour of Elinor, loving without ambition, she would have been tenderly devoted like Blanch Under the lash of the combined passion she is a fury, whom her boundless love and her deep woe barely suffice to redeem from our horror The first words of Constance are those of prudent advice, the suggestion of a strong vehement nature against the first move in the dread game of war They contrast well with the ready boasts of coward Austria and feeble France

> 'Stay for an answer to your embassy, Lest unadvised you stain your swords with blood.'

It is the only tranquil speech which the poor woman is permitted to utter The scolding match into which she immediately precipitates herself with Queen Elmor develops the irritability and vehemence of her temper. To Elmor's taunt of unchastity she replies with acrid tu quoque invective She fairly overwhelms the queen mother with vituperation, and does her best to merit the contemptuous entreaty of John, 'Bedlam, have done' and at length to earn the expostulations of her own friend.

'Elinor Thou unadvised scold, I can produce
A will that bars the title of thy son
Constance Ay, who doubts that? a will! a wicked will!
A woman's will, a canker'd grandam's will!
K Philip Peace, lady! pause, or be more temperate
It ill beseems this presence to cry aim
To these ill-tuned repetitions'

She has already incurred the remonstrance of her gentle son

'Arthur Good my mother, peace!
I would that I were low laid in my grave
I am not worth this coil that's made for me'

Her very tenderness to her child is fierce, like that of some she-beast of prey Had there been no motive in the mother's heart but that of love, this appeal might well have checked not only the unbridled use of speech, but the dangerous course of action into which Constance throws herself But at this period ambition is much stronger than love, and it would be hard to say to what extent ambition for herself was not mixed up with that for her son The scene affords clear insight into the natural character of Constance, as a proud ambitious woman, of irritable and ungoverned temper The flight of her imagination, like that of her passion, is vet comparatively low She roundly scolds her opponents indeed, but not until later is her unrivalled power of invective fully developed. In nothing is Shakespeare's master-hand more evident than in the manner in which he lays a true and consistent foundation for his characters To have built such a one as that of Constance on the basis of the common female virtues would have been monstrous whom fierce passion is not the result but the cause of madness, could only have been from the beginning what she is plainly shown to have been, a haughty irascible woman, whose tongue and temper were dreaded by friend and foe Constance even more than Lear establishes the fact that Shakespeare held the origin and nature of insanity to be emotional Until the last there is no delusion, scarcely a deviation from correct reasoning, and yet she is conducted through a tempest of emotional disturbance into the very midst of maniacal excitement. All the causes of her disease are purely emotional The predisposing cause is her fiercely passionate disposition. The exciting cause is grief The symptoms are the same as the causes. transformed into abnormal conditions of degree Disorder in the wit is felt, but scarcely exhibited Loss of control over the operations of the intellect is manifested in the last speech only, or perhaps also in the disconnected expression preceding, 'To England if you will' Nature is above art, as Lear says, and a truth now appreciated by science needs not the support of opinion even from so great an artist as Shakespeare But perfect art is founded upon science, the science of exact observation at least, and to such a test there can be little doubt that this character was submitted in the crucible of the poet's great brain before it was moulded into that form of fierce power and beauty in which it excites our admiration and awe. The wondrous eloquence of Constance is second to that of no other character except Lear. It would seem that Shakespeare revels in the free swing of fancy, in the repudiation of all mental restraint which madness justifies. He uses these characters as the motley favourites of old courts were often used, to speak bitter truth without fear or favour, without hesitation or retention, without prudential subtraction or self-seeking after thought The madmen of Shakespeare are his broadest exponents of humanity — In the development of the insanity of Constance the power of passion finds a potent ally in that of imagination — Imagination, that creative faculty which paints in the mind's eye those images which in health may be dismissed at will, but which in disease haunt the oppressed brain with their importunate presence — The faculty of forming sensational ideas without the intervention of the external senses is one which, if not kept in subjection to a sober judgment, is more perilous to mental health than aught else except unbridled passion — In actual insanity this function runs riot, and the world of reality is supplanted by that of fancy

Hudson (Life, Art, & Character, 11, 27) I suspect that the genius and art of Mrs Siddons caused the critics of her time and their immediate successors to set a higher estimate upon the delineation of Constance than is fully justified by the work itself. The part seems indeed to have been peculiarly suited to the powers of that remarkable actress, the wide range of moods and the tugging conflicts of passion, through which Constance passes, affording scope enough for the most versatile gifts of delivery. If I am right in my notion, Shakespeanian criticism has not even yet quite shaken off the spell thus cast upon it. At all events, I find the critics still pitching their praise of the part in a somewhat higher key than I can persuade my voice to sound. The abatement, however, which I would make refers not so much to the conception of the character as to the style of the execution, which, it seems to me, is far from displaying the Poet's full strength and inwardness with nature. There is in many of her speeches a redundancy of rhetoric and verbal ingenuity, giving them a too theatrical relish. The style thus falls under a reproof well expressed in this very play.

'When workmen strive to do better than well, They do confound their skill in covetousness'

In pursuance of the same thought, Bacon finely remarks the great practical difference between the love of excellence and the love of excelling. And so here we seem to have rather too much of that elaborate artificialness which springs more from ambition than from inspiration But the fault is among those which I have elsewhere noted as marking the workmanship of the Poet's earlier period The idea pervading the delineation is well stated by Hazlitt as 'the excess of maternal tenderness, rendered desperate by the fickleness of friends and the injustice of fortune, and made stronger in will, in proportion to the want of all other power' In the judgment of Gervinus, 'ambition spurred by maternal love, maternal love fired by ambition and womanly vanity, form the distinguishing features' of Constance: and he further describes her as 'a woman whose weakness amounts to grandeur, and whose virtues sink into weakness' I am not indeed greatly in love with this brilliant way of putting things, but Gervinus is apt to be substantially right in such matters My own tamer view is that the character, though drawn in the best of situations for its amiability to appear, is not a very amiable one Herein the play is perhaps the truer to history, as the chroniclers make Constance out rather selfish and weak; not so religious in motherhood but that she betrayed a somewhat unvenerable impatience of widowhood Nevertheless it must be owned that the soul of maternal grief and affection speaks from her lips with not a little majesty of pathos, and occasionally flows in strains of the most melting tenderness I know not how the voice of a mother's sorrow could discourse more eloquently than in these lines:

'Grief fills the room up of my absent child, Lies in his bed, walks up and down with me, Puts on his pretty looks, repeats his words, Remembers me of all his gracious parts, Stuffs out his vacant garments with his form Then, have I reason to be fond of grief'

Nor is there any overstraining of nature in the imagery here used, for the speaker's passion is of just the right kind and degree to kindle the imagination into the richest and finest utterance On the other hand, the general effect of her sorrow is marred by too great an infusion of anger, and she shows too much pride, selfwill, and volubility of scorn to have the full touch of our sympathies Thus. when Eleanor coarsely provokes her, she retorts in a strain of still coarser railing. and the bandying of taunts and slurs between them, each not caring what she says, so her speech bites the other, is about equally damaging to both, a storm of mutual abuse, in which there is neither modesty nor wit. It is true, she meets with very sore trials of patience, but these can hardly be said to open any springs of sweetness and beauty within her When she finds that her heart's dear cause is sacrificed to the schemes of politicians, when it turns out that the King of France and the Archduke of Austria are driving their own ends in her name, and only pretending pity for her and conscience of right, to cover their selfish projects, the heart-wringing disappointment inflames her into outbursts of sarcastic bitterness and scorn, her speech is stinging and spiteful, and sounds quite as much of the intemperate scold as of the sorrowing and disconsolate mother

Boas (Sh and His Predecessors, p 244) Constance is drawn with far more delicate insight than any of the women in Richard III, and is the most highly elaborated female figure in the historical plays She is another of that numerous company in Shakespeare's earlier dramas whose sensibilities are developed to an extravagant degree. Her instinct of maternal affection is not chastened by reason into a moral principle, but is inflamed by an imagination of hectic brilliance into an abnormal passion that swallows up every thought and energy. It is this exaggerated imagination, as Mrs Jameson has rightly insisted, that is the controlling force in the nature of Constance The impetuous ardour of her fancy gives a special quality to her maternal love The very attribute that is wont to be the source of all that is tenderest in womanhood breeds in her ambition, scorn, and hysterical passion, till at last it consumes her in its fires. But her imaginative sensibility, though the deepest element in her nature, is not made prominent at first In the quarrel scene between her and Elmor she figures as a genuine vixen, whose bitter rush of invective amply earns the abusive epithets of her foes, and even urritates her friends. Her imperious temper on this occasion augurs ill for her future bearing in the event of fortune favouring her cause, but the disappointment of her hopes turns her emotion into a more seductive, though no less vehement, course. When she hears from Salisbury that Philip has been false to his oath there sweeps over her the overwhelming sense of her powerlessness, shaking her as a reed before the wind

> 'For I am sick, and capable of fears, Oppressed with wrongs, and therefore full of fears, A widow, husbandless, subject to fears'

Yet out of this weakness is born a strange grandeur. The imagination of Con-

stance playing upon her misery wraps her, as it were, in a haze when she looms large upon our view The 'unadvised scold' of the earlier scene rises to well-nigh tragic stature as she flings herself on the ground and cries aloud

'To me, and to the state of my great grief, Let kings assemble, for my grief's so great That no supporter but the huge firm earth Can hold it up here I and sorrows sit Here is my throne, bid kings come bow to it'

In a similar vein, half-tragic, half-grandiose, is her appeal to the heavens to be the widow's husband, and to arm against the perjured kings. And when the heavens are deaf to her cries, with still more daring luxuriance of imagery she invokes 'amiable lovely Death' to be her mate, whom she may 'buss' as wife It is remarkable throughout these speeches how seldom the thoughts of Constance are turned directly towards Arthur, it is her own widowed lot which forms the centre of her exuberant riot of fancy This is in itself proof that her maternal impulse does not well up, pure and strong, from unfathomable depths in her being How largely it is fed from merely æsthetic sources is shown by her own declaration that had Arthur been ugly, 'slanderous' to her womb, she would not have loved him, or deemed him worthy of a crown And when the fortune of war tears him from her arms her grief at his loss is strangely mingled with the fantastic thought that sorrow will so despoil him of his beauty that she will not know him when they meet in heaven King Philip's rebuke, 'You are as fond of grief as of your child,' is well deserved, but Constance catches in self-defence at the implicit personification of sorrow and expands it, with pathetic rhetoric, into the picture of grief filling up the room of her absent child, and acting his every part. But the vehemence of her passion, powerless against others, reacts with deadly shock upon her frail nature, and the special bridegroom whom she has so passionately invoked, claims her as his own

BROOKE (p 245) Amid all this hurly-burly of wars, contending kings, selfish interests walks like a spirit the awful figure of Constance—worn and wasted mother-hood maddened by loss and grief, primeval mother-hood isolated from everything else in its own passion

'Look, who comes here! a grave unto a soul, Holding the eternal spirit, against her will, In the vile prison of afflicted breath'

When she is present, all the others recede into the background—are only scenery for her wild figure, with disordered garments and hair unbound, and the sound of death in her voice. The actress who should undertake her part is scarcely born in a century. It needs a majestic woman whose soul has lived in the depths, it needs a man's strength to keep up so continuous a frenzy of passion. It needs a self-control, most rarely found in any artist, to present the fury of the part, its total abandonment, from carrying away the actress beyond the self-mastery she must hold over her emotion, lest her execution of the part should break down in feebleness, into mere rant and shouting. Moreover, she must have a noble intellect as well as a pitiful heart to act the part adequately; and added to that a spirit of imagination to feel poetic passion in the speech of Constance. All she says, in her grief, is steeped in the waters of poetry, the penetrating pity of imagination pierces through

her words into the secret recesses of sorrow As to the intellect required, the part needs to be conceived on large and simple lines, so as not to lose its grandeur, and yet, within that simplicity, the part is so variously and finely conceived and wrought that she who acts it must have a hair-dividing, subtle intellect to wind in and out Constance is not mad, she is only frenzied with grief, and the among its changes frenzy seems sometimes to rise into insanity But she never loses the clear sequences of thought, and never (as a mad woman would do, as Ophelia does) gambols from the sense Even her wildest cry, when she apostrophises Death, when she gets nearest to madness, is in intellectual order! Instead of becoming (as a mad woman in excitement would certainly become) more incoherent, she becomes quieter and quieter to the end of the scene, more clear and simple The changes are as subtle. as delicate as the changes of a cloud, and their infinite interchange of feeling of thought needs a great intellect to conceive them, a passionate heart to follow their intricacy of emotion, and a great, grave, and self-mastering artist to represent them When we meet her first she has no grief, but eager wrath that her son is kept out of his heritage, and keen desire, because she loves him, that he should have it She does not care because he is her son and she his mother. It is her love that is the The motive of Elinor, her opponent, is love of power Even in their first quarrel these motives are plainly disclosed Elinor's violence is cool, her policy and not her heart speaks

Every word of Constance is charged with the physical passion of motherhood Motherhood, universal motherhood, the deep agony of the female in animals and in humanity, her defence of her young, her desire, her hope for them, her fury at their loss, her rapture at their recovery, motherhood unmodified by civilisation—it was that which rose into Shakespeare's soul and before his imagination when he pictured Constance Only here he did not picture the happy motherhood of Hermione, or the proud joy of Volumnia in her son, but the misery of a mother's loss, the tigress robbed of her whelps

CHARACTER OF FAULCONBRIDGE

HAZIITT (Characters of Sh, p 162) The character of the Bastard's comic humor is the same in essence as that of other comic characters of Shakespeare, they always run on with good things, and are never exhausted, they are always daring and successful. They have words at will and a flow of wit like a flow of animal spirits. The difference between Falconbridge and the others is that he is a soldier, and brings his wit to bear upon action, is courageous with his sword as well as tongue, and stimulates his gallantry by his jokes, his enemies feeling the sharpness of his blows and the sting of his sarcasms at the same time. Among his happiest sallies are his descanting on the composition of his own person, his invective against 'commodity, tickling commodity,' and his expression of contempt for the Archduke of Austria, who had killed his father, which begins in jest but ends in serious earnest. His conduct at the siege of Angiers shows that his resources were not confirmed to verbal retorts. The same exposure of the policy of courts and camps, of kings, nobles, priests, and cardinals, takes place here as in the other plays we have gone through, and we shall not go into a disgusting repetition.

VERPLANCK (Introduction, p 7). Whilst the dramatic chronicle was condensed into a dark tragedy of the sorrows of Constance, the harrowing wrongs of her engaging child, and the guilt of John, a false and selfish tyrant, whose sins are unredeemed by

any of the nobler qualities of talent and courage or wit that gild the crimes of Iago and Richard—the author also saw that this sad tale would receive deeper truth, and a more living reality, from frequent contrast and gay relief. This contrast he supplies from the constant flashes of high spirits and gay courage, which his own genius strikes out so abundantly from the original hard and rough character of Cœur-de-Lion's son. He is made the comic relief to the purely tragic portion of the action, being himself the secondary hero upon whom the audience's sympathy is to repose, he is in himself a tragi-comedy of the higher order, a compound of Hotspur and Mercutio, a character of which we sometimes meet the resemblance amongst young soldiers and sailors, and, if it had been drawn at a later period, I should say more Irish than English. But Shakespeare drew him from his own countrymen, and he belongs to a class rather than a nation. If the poet had any other model in his eye than living nature, it was the historical and legendary character of Richard himself, whose son hath 'the very spirit of Plantagenet'

LLOYD (Critical Essay, p 384) The character of the Bastard and its progress are most effectively realized, and contrast with successive phases of the spirit of John From the beginning to the end he is uniformly bluff and outspoken, but at first with a certain affectation of bluffness that smacks of the country and wears off without in any degree impairing his hearty sincerity, when he has seen more of the world, but never could be mistaken for bluster Though not embarrassed by delicacy he preserves a principle of conscientiousness, and when, transferred to court, he recognizes the genius of the place, it is in self-defense that he proposes to cope with it Interest and influence and power are the stakes on the board, the gamesters are unscrupulous, and he will cultivate the wit he does not lack to make sure he is not cheated In the scenes in France he is the brave soldier and little more, a looker-on in scenes of general treaty, and blurting out indignation with no cautious regard to his relative diplomatic position Only when a course is to be adopted in active management he frames a plan that, in his own words, 'smacks somewhat of the policy' After the unprincipled convention of the kings indeed he professes allegiance to gain in his personal capacity, but he does not graduate far; his ransacking the abbey chests is not noted as affected by private peculation and he rises by nobler means What we call in compliment to ourselves an English spirit—a spirit of independence, of fair play in hard fighting and of directness in negotiation, hatred of cruelty and meanness, and disgust at the pursuit of secular purposes under a religious pretext, especially in a foreign interest,—this is the spirit that animates the other English barons, but especially the Bastard, expressed casually and intermittently at first, but when the heart and health of John decline together he rises at once in consistency, dignity, and force. He gains in elevation and composure without relaxing one whit in energy, and sparing no exertion to keep the country together and place the quarrel on an open and healthy footing, he entrains the shrewd and only safe conviction that preparation for hard knocks will best support negotiation if unhappily too late to supersede it He presents a prototype of the loyalty of which our history furnishes so many examples, loyalty to ideal qualities that would best become the throne, and that it persists in assuming, such is the assistance of personal association, to sustain its enthusiasm and for the encouragement of the cause that should be in itself motive and stimulant enough. Thus there is still nothing slavish in his loyalty; the older dramatist allows him to appeal to the divine right

> 'I say 'tis shame and worthy of all reproof To wrest such petty wrongs in terms of right

Against a king anointed by the Lord Why, Salisbury admit the wrongs are true, Yet subjects may not take in hand revenge And rob the heavens of their proper powers Where sitteth he to whom revenge belongs?

But the Faulconbridge of Shakespeare directs his eye to a different point of right entirely, and loyalty is enjoined because patriotic, not patriotism on the ground of loyalty

> 'And you degenerate, you ingrate revolts, You bloody Neroes ripping up the womb Of your dear mother England, blush for shame'

REED (p 69) It was Shakespeare's arduous achievement to fire the sentiment of patriotism with the story of a reign that was tyrannical, oppressive, cowardly,—a period of usurpation and national degradation He has accomplished this chiefly by means of one character, which is almost altogether a creation of his mind from very slight historical materials. The fertile imagination of the poet, and his genial exuberance of happy and gentle feelings, seem to have craved something more than the poverty of the history he supplies, he wanted somebody better than a king, better than a worldly ecclesiastic, and better than the bold but fickle It is in the highest order of dramatic art, and especially in the historic drama, that Shakespeare, on no other historical basis than the mere existence of a natural son of Richard, has created the splendid and most attractive character of Philip Faulconbridge Besides playing an important part himself, he fulfils something like the function of the chorus of the ancient drama, for he seems to illustrate the purposes of the history, and to make the real personages more intelligible He is the embodiment, too, of the most genuine national feeling, and is truer to his country than king or noble With an abounding and overflowing humour, a dauntless courage, and a gentleness of spirit that characterizes true heroism, Faulconbridge carries a generous strength and a rude morality of his own amid the craft and cruelties and the feebleness of those who surround him character, imaginary as it is, has a historical value also in this, that it represents the bright side of a feudal loyalty Honoured by the king, Faulconbridge never deserts him in his hour of need and peril, when the nobles are flying off from their allegiance and a foreign enemy is at hand. It is no servile fidelity, but such genuine and generous loyalty that we look upon it as faithfulness to his country rather than adherence to the fortunes of the king He is, as it were, the man of the people in the play, and we hear him prompting brave actions and a generous policy-encouraging the feeble king to a truer kingly career; we see him withstanding the haughty barons, and still more indignant at papal aggression. He dwells in an atmosphere of heartlessness and villainy, but it pollutes him not, rather does his presence partially purify it. It is remarkable that we do not and cannot, I think, associate him injuriously with the character of King John, with whose fortunes he is identified, but from whose vices he is wholly aloof; and I am almost tempted to apply to him what has been said of a very different character

'His soul was like a star and dwelt apart.'

The character and position of Faulconbridge in the play seem to me finely to illustrate the workings of the principle of chivalry during this early feudal period of history—that principle of which Mr Burke wisely said that 'Without confound-

ing rank, it produced a noble equality, and handed it down through all the gradations of social life It was this opinion,' said that philosophic statesman, 'which mitigated kings into companions, and raised private men to be fellows with kings'

C C CLARKE (Sh's Characters, p 329) The grandest carrying out of the author's intention in displaying the 'Philosophy of war' is to be found in the character of Falconbridge. It forms throughout, as it were, a moral chorus to the tragedy, embodying Shakespeare's own sentiments as to the worthlessness of strife and contention, and proving the medium of forcing this conviction upon his audience. The way in which the character is first introduced is in correct costume and keeping throughout. We behold a young and fiery spirit grasping at the earliest chance of what he deems honour, even at the expense of the honour of his mother, wherein he eagerly seeks to derive his descent from Cœur-de-Lion—not so much as being King Richard, but as the warlike monarch, the renowned military leader, the byword and terror of the east, the plume in the helmet of Christian chivalry. He pants for distinction, come it how it may, and perceiving the cant of 'craft' to be the court fashion, he immediately announces his intention of adopting that course, adding—

'For he is but a bastard to the time,
That doth not smack of observation'

I have frequently recurred to Shakespeare's ingenuity in contrasting his characters in his dramas, great as this is, however, both this talent and effect are surpassed by the wonderful skill and ease with which he contrasts characters with themselves, as in this one of Falconbridge The graduated manner in which he contrives to make one master-passion supersede another, and each in turn to become the dominant, still retaining the integrity, and even plausibility of the original construction, is in itself a profound metaphysical study. One more remark upon this grand personation. Upon the question that the reign of King John was deficient in character fully answering the demand for the dramatic interest in the play, and that in consequence the instinct of our poet perceiving the necessity for a supply of representative characters to meet that demand, the fine character just dismissed,—certainly one of the very finest in the whole gallery of Shakespearian inventive portraits,-is precisely the 'representative' character required Thoroughly Gothic in features and proportions, and as thoroughly English in temper and spirit, his presence rays life and manliness into every part of the drama, where they would else be wanting

Gervinus (p 366) Among Shakespeare's humorous characters the Bastard Faulconbridge is one in which the poet does not separate the spirit of seriousness and mirth as in most of the others, giving to the latter usually the preponderance, but he exhibits them both in a close and well-balanced combination. His mode of expression throughout, even in the most elevated and most solemn passages, is that of a skeptic, habituated to wit and bitter sarcasm. But placed as he is by fate at the very outset in the busy political world, occupation and work leave him no time to indulge this merry vein, and his deep seriousness in action counterbalances his idle inclination to trifle and to jest. His course through the tragic events, offering so little food to comic humour, is the very reverse to that of King John. The latter begins with power and kingly thoughts and ends in weakness, the Bastard bounds light of heart into the wider sphere that opens before him,

and advances steadily in seriousness and strength even to a tragic greatness. In his first soliloguy he looks jestingly upon his new dignity, his merriment is changed to bitter irony in the second soliloguy (Act II, sc 11) after the sad experience of the French breach of faith with Constance, in the third soliloquy the gloomy course of events leads him to serious reflection, and at last, ever increasing in power and personal importance, he wholly assumes the direction of the great concerns of the State, and concludes with the tragic resolve, which Shakespeare, in an antique grandeur of sentiment has imputed to all his faithful servants, to Horatio and to Kent, and here also to Philip, to follow his deceased King The metal out of which this character is moulded is of a similar masculine character as in John play furnished the die for the character, Shakespeare fashioned it into a true work of art Even there he is depicted as a bold madcap, rude and daring, he is a wild intrepid warrior, whose defiance amounts to proud boasting, he has a straightforward and heart-sense for nature, he is coarse-grained in understanding and in morals. a contrast to crafty, considerate diplomatists, and faithless wranglers, to all custom and conventionality, 'a bastard to the time' which is regulated by such arts. just as he is a bastard by birth Shakespeare, in this character also, is occupied with the idea of show and reality, of genuine nature, conventionality, and prejudice Faulconbridge is in the rare position of being permitted, as it were, to choose between a legitimate birth from an indifferent father, or an illegitimate one from the famous Cœur-de-Lion. This first introduction at once develops his character. which clings rather to substantial honour than to conventional form

Hudson (Lafe, Art, & Character, 11, 31) The reign of King John furnishes no characters fully answering the conditions of high dramatic interest. To meet this want, therefore, there was need of one or more representative characters,—persons in whom should be centered and consolidated various elements of national character, which were in fact dispersed through many individuals, or a boiling down of the diffused old John Bull into an ideal specimen. And such is Falconbridge, with his fiery flood of Norman vigour bounding through his veins, his irrepressible dance of animal spirits, his athletic and frolicsome wit, his big, brave, manly heart, his biting sword, and his tongue equally biting, his soul proof-armoured against all fear save that of doing what were wrong or mean. The Troublesome Reign supplied the name, and also a slight hint towards the character.

'Next them a bastard of the King deceas'd, A hardy wild-head, rough and venturous'

But the delineation is thoroughly Shakespearian, is crammed brimful of the Poet's most peculiar mental life; so that the man is as different as can well be conceived from anything ever dreamed of in the older play. And, what is specially worth the noting, Shakespeare clearly embodies in him his own sentiment of nationality, pours his hearty, full-souled English spirit into him and through him, so that the character is, at least in the political sense, truly representative of the author—all this, however, without the slightest functure of egotism or self-obtrusion, the pure nationality of the man, extricated from all personal and partisan mixtures. So, to Falconbridge, both head and heart, the King, as before remarked, is truly the impersonation of the State; and he surrounds the throne with all those nobilities of thought and all those ideas of majesty and reverence, which are wanting in John himself. He thus regards the crown just as the wearer ought to regard it. Withal he is fully alive to the wrong-headedness and moral baseness of the King, but the office is to

him so sacred as the palladium of national unity and life that he will allow neither himself nor others in his presence to speak disrespectfully of the man Faulconbridge is strangely reckless of appearances. But his heart is evidently much better than his tongue from his speech you might suppose gain to be his God of gods, but a far truer language, which he uses without knowing it, tells you that gain is to him just no god at all he talks as if he cared for nothing but self-interest, while his works proclaim a spirit framed of disinterestedness, his action thus quietly giving the lie to his words. this too in such sort as establishes the more firmly his inward truth His course in this behalf springs partly from an impulse of antagonism to the prevailing spirit about him, where he sees great swollen pretences to virtue without a particle of the thing itself What he most of all abominates is the pursuit of selfish and sinister ends under the garb of religion, piety on the tongue with covetousness in the heart fills him with intense disgust, and his repugnance is so strong that it sets him spontaneously upon assuming a garb of selfishness to cover his real conscientiousness of mind and purpose So too, secretly, he is as generous as the Sun, but his generosity puts on an affection of rudeness or something worse he will storm at you, to bluff you off from seeing the kindness he is doing to you Of the same stripe is his hatred of cruelty and meanness while these things are rife about him, he never gets angry or makes any quarrel with them, on the contrary, he laughs and breaks sinewy jests over them, as if he thought them witty and smart, upon witnessing the heartless and unprincipled bargaining of the Kings, he passes it off jocosely as a freak of the 'mad world,' and verbally frames for himself a plan that 'smacks somewhat of the 'policy', then, instead of acting out what he thus seems to relish as a capital thing, he goes on to shame down, as far as may be, all such baseness by an example of straightforward nobleness and magnanimity Then too, with all his laughing roughness of speech and iron sternness of act, so blunt, bold, and downright, he is nevertheless full of humane and gentle feeling With what burning eloquence of indignation does he denounce the supposed murder of Arthur! though he has no thought of abetting his claims to the throne against the present occupant. He abhors the deed as a crime, but to his keen, honest eye it is also a stupendous blunder, and he deplores it as such, because its huge offensiveness to England's heart is what makes it a blunder, and because he is himself in full sympathy with the national conscience. which cannot but be shocked at its hideous criminality. So it may be doubted whether he more resents the wickedness or the stupidity of the act. And how much it imperils the state is revealed to him in the hard strain it makes on his own determined allegiance The Poet manages with great art that Faulconbridge may be held to John throughout the play by ties which he is too clear of head and too upright of heart to think of renouncing In the first place, he has been highly trusted and honoured by the king, and he cannot be ungrateful. Then again, in his clear-sighted and comprehensive public spirit, the diverse interests that split others into factions, and plunge them into deadly strife, are smoothly reconciled political regards work even more than personal gratitude to keep him steadfast to the king, and he is ready with tongue and sword to beat down whatsoever anywhere obstructs a broad and generous nationality. In the intercourse of state functionaries he, to be sure, pays little heed to the delicacies and refinement of political diplomacy; his plain, frank nature either scorns them or is insensible to them, but his patriotism is thoroughly sound and true, and knows no taste of fear, and whatever foreign assailants dare to touch England or England's honour, he is for pounding them straight out of the way, and will think of no alternative but to be pounded out of the way by them. As a representative character, he stands next to Falstaff. Is it strange that a nation which could grow such originals should have beaten all the rest of the world in everything useful and beautiful and great?

SNIDER (11, 294) In the other plays the Poet has introduced the Bastard, but has endowed him with a character altogether different. In Lear and in Much Ado About Nothing he is portrayed as the natural villain, in hostility with the whole Ethical World. Since both Family and State discown him, deride him, oppress him without any fault of his own, he turns against them both and tries to destroy them Such is the logical result of illegitimacy amid social institutions—their victim becomes their bitterest foe. But in the present play the Bastard is rescued by being elevated into a national existence, which is the more intense and vigorous because of his total separation from the domestic bond. He thus can have an institutional—and, hence, a truly national—life. Such is the meaning of his adoption into the Plantagenets—the royal family is national rather than domestic, but even in it he is still not legitimate.

WARNER (p. 50) The character of Philip Falconbridge, the natural son of Richard the Lion Heart, is looked upon as an ideal of the poet's brain, with no other foundation than the fact of the existence of such a person who was not at all conspicuous in history But Falconbridge seems to have been more than an ideal He did really exist, not as a faithful servant of King John, as in the play, but in hundreds and thousands of loyal steadfast men, citizens of England Not nobles, nor barons. nor degraded serfs, but men The forgotten men of most historic records The men who are ploughing and sowing, buying and selling, marrying and bringing up sons and daughters like themselves, paying the taxes of despotism and suffering the inconvenience of oppression, while doing their duty in that state of life to which it had pleased God to call them Men who faced the daily problems of life, and as God gave them strength sought to deal with them, not complaining over much Even giving their bodies to be set up as targets at the king's will, because he was the king, and they were loyal to him as sons of the soil Philip Falconbridge is an interesting study It would appear that Shakespeare intended to have him represent the sturdy heart of English manhood, which while often misused, humiliated, and beaten back, finally conquered and rose to its proper place in the making of later and nobler England, as the commons, not the legislatures So while Philip Falconbridge was an imaginary character he was not an imaginary force

Boas (Sh & his predecessors, p 247) From a purely dramatic point of view the figure of the Bastard, Faulconbridge, is scarcely necessary, but morally he is the very salt of the play At first that salt would seem to be of somewhat coarse savour. The scene in which he discusses the question of his legitimacy in the presence of John and the Queen-mother is undeniably pungent, though Shakespeare, with greater delicacy than the elder dramatist, excludes the lady whose honour is at stake. It is characteristic of Faulconbridge that he decides to reject legitimacy and the material advantages that go with it, in order that he may claim descent from the heroic Richard. He disdains a father who can only transmit 'a half-face' like his brother's instead of his own 'large composition,' even though it be derived 'something about, a little from the right'. Thus throughout he prefers what is substantial and genuine, even if it will not stand the most delicate scrutiny, to all that smacks of conventionality or artifice. His character is in entire accord with the origin to which he lays claim. His royal blood gives him his daring in battle, and his stern

fidelity to duty in the crisis of his country's fortunes, while from his mother's side he draws his hearty, practical common-sense, and his thoroughly popular turn of humour. The latter qualities are shown especially in his soliloquies, as when after being dubbed knight he moralizes on his unfitness for this new honour, because he doth not 'smack of observation' according to the prescribed standard of fashion, or when he rails against the 'mad kings,' John and Philip, with their 'mad composition' against Arthur's interests. It is here that he unfolds his practical political philosophy, in which he traces all errors in the well-poised world to the 'vile-drawing bias' the 'daily break-vow,' commodity. With bluff sincerity he admits that, with similar temptation, he would similarly fall, though we feel that in this he does himself a wrong. He would never compromise his honour, though his morality is far from thim-skinned. He proposes to the kings a combined assault upon Angiers, because its scroyles flout them, and he makes no scruple of carrying out John's behest to shake the bags of hoarding abbots. But that he has at bottom a tender heart is shown in his words to Hubert, as they stand together over Arthur's body.

'Knew you of this fair work? Beyond the infinite and boundless reach Of mercy, if thou didst this deed of death, Art thou damned, Hubert,'

This crowning catastrophe, and the revolt of the nobles for which it gives the signal. perplex the honest soldier This child of nature loses his way amidst the thorns and He is confronted by intricacies which demand an intellect dangers of the world more subtle than his He feels darkly that all is not well with John and the English cause, but he has too much patriotism to imitate the nobles and join his country's foes. Rather, the blacker the dangers that threaten, the higher does his spirit mount, till in the end he fills the rôle, vacated by John's poltroonery, of national leader and hero It is he who seeks to stir the recreant king to be 'great in act,' in face of the invader, and who bids him 'away and glister like the god of war' It is he who, giving voice to the popular instinct, pours contempt upon the 'inglorious league' which John makes with Pandulph, in order to buy off the assault of a beardless boy. And when the Dauphin refuses to be bound by the compact made over his head, it is the Bastard who, clothing the king in attributes borrowed from his own high-souled temper, describes him as an eagle towering o'er his aiery, 'to souse annoyance that comes near his nest' The 'pygmy arms' of the French he ridicules with much of the old saucy insolence, and for the revolted lords he has epithets of burning indignation. How far his sturdy patriotism transcends their disloyalty, springing though it does from a moral motive, is made palpable when on the field of battle the dving Count Melun reveals the treacherous doom with which the Dauphin purposes to reward their treacherous aid Under such sharp stimulus they rally again to the cause which the Bastard has so gallantly upheld, and, though John pays the last penalty of his misdeeds, the nation renews its youth The foreigner retreats; unity is re-established, and the accession of the young Prince Henry marks the dawn of a nobler era thrilled by the spirit of the Bastard's closing words, which strike the very keynote of the whole group of historical plays.

Brandes (1, 172). Faulconbridge is at first full of youthful insolence, the true mediæval nobleman, who despises the burgess class as such When the inhabitants of Anguers refuse to open their gates either to King John or to King Philip of France, who has espoused the cause of Arthur, the Bastard is so indignant at this peace-

loving circumspection that he urges the kings to join their forces against the unlucky town, and cry truce to their feud until the ramparts are levelled to the earth. But in the course of the action he ripens more and more, and displays ever greater and more estimable qualities—humanity, right-mindedness, and a fidelity to the King which does not interfere with generous freedom of speech towards him. His method of expression is always highly imaginative, more so than that of the other male characters in the play. Even the most abstract ideas he personifies. Thus he talks of—

'Old Time, the clock-setter, that bald sexton Time'

In the old play whole scenes are devoted to his execution of the task here allotted him of visiting the monasteries of England and lightening the abbots' bursting money-bags. Shakespeare has suppressed these ebullitions of an anti-Catholic fervour, which he did not share. On the other hand, he has endowed Faulconbridge with genuine moral superiority. At first he is only a cheery, fresh-natured, robust personality, who tramples upon all social conventions, phrases, and affectations, and, indeed, he preserves to the last something of that contempt for 'cockered silken wantons' which Shakespeare afterwards elaborates so magnificently in Henry Percy. But there is real greatness in his attitude when, at the close of the play, he addresses the vaciliating John in this manly strain

'Let not the world see fear, and sad distrust,
Govern the motion of a kingly eye
Be stirring as the time, be fire with fire,
Threaten the threatener, and outface the brow
Of bragging horror so shall inferior eyes,
That borrow their behaviors from the great,
Grow great by your example, and put on
The dauntless spirit of resolution'

Faulconbridge is in this play the spokesman of the patriotic spirit But we realise how strong was Shakespeare's determination to make this string sound at all hazards when we find that the first eulogy of England is placed in the mouth of England's enemy, Limoges, the slayer of Cœur-de-Lion, who speaks of—

'that pale, that white-fac'd shore,
Whose foot spurns back the ocean's roaring tides,
And coops from other lands her islanders,
. . . that England, hedg'd in with the main,
That water-walled bulwark, still secure
And confident from foreign purposes'

How slight is the difference between the eulogistic style of the two mortal enemies, when Faulconbridge, who has in the meantime killed Limoges, ends the play with a speech which is, however, only slightly adapted from the older text

'This England never did, nor never shall, Lie at the proud foot of a conqueror.

Come the three corners of the world in arms, And we shall shock them Naught shall make us rue, If England to itself do rest but true'

BROOKE (p 240) Faulconbridge is intended by Shakespeare to be, amidst a crowd of selfish kings, princes and nobles, all pressing to their own advantage. an incarnation of the honest Englishman who loves his country, abiures the foreigner, clings to his king at all hazards because the king represents England. is not indifferent to his own interests, is bluff, outspoken, and brave as a lion, yet has a clear eye to see beyond the follies of the world into the serious heart of affairs He can philosophise on the mad world because he really stands apart from all the Amid all the changes of politics, the quarrels which are knit and unknit around him, he is steadily consistent. The principles he lives by remain at the end what they were at the beginning, they change only by development Nor is he without natural and simple affection, the faithfulness of which is always to be relied upon He heartens and consoles the King when misfortune lies heavy upon him He is faithful to the last, even when he disapproves the King Yet when he sees the dead body of Arthur, and thinks that the King is guilty of the murder, his natural pity and indignation break out of his heart--'Sir Richard. what think you?' cries Salisbury, and Faulconbridge replies-

'It is a damned and a bloody work

The graceless action of a heavy hand'

This is the man who, when we first met him, has come to the court from the country to defend his claim to his father's property—denied to him because he is not his father's son, but a bastard got on his mother by Richard Cœur-de-Lion But he loved fame more than property, and when he is recognised by the King as the son of Richard, when Elinor asks him to follow her fortunes and receive knighthood, he flings away his claim, and will live to make his own fortune and his own fame He rejoices in his sonship to the great warrior, he tells his mother, whom his affection consoles, that she was justified in yielding to the conqueror of the lion, that he is forever grateful to her, and he leaves her happy and at ease Brave. ambitious, rough and frank, he has yet a kind heart, and a wise mind in affairs because his heart is kind Shakespeare lifts him in this scene out of the countryman into the courtier without lowering his character. No sooner is he in his natural element as the king's son, no sooner does he realise that here, in war and policy, he can fulfil all the dreams he must have had when lost in the solitude of the country, than he flings his old life away for ever with a laugh He sees the varied movement of the great world open before him like a fan, and his spirit burns to join the mêlée John and Elinor watch his soul rise to his eyes, they see the man emerge from the chrysalis and they knit him to their side. This is, cries Elinor,

'The very spirit of Plantagenet!

I am thy grandam, Richard, call me so'

Nevertheless, he is not carried away out of good sense by his new honours I am, he says, with his good-natured cynicism,

'A foot of honour better than I was, But many a many foot of land the worse.'

He laughs at the conventions of society which is chiefly made up of fools, but for that very reason suits a 'mounting spirit' like himself—one who observes, and will make of his observation means to grow—one who will soothe the world with deceit's sweet poison when it is necessary, yet will, on the whole, be true. This first of his

Shakespeare slowly develops soliloquies paints him as less noble than he becomes Faulconbridge into a great nobility of character Great affairs, in which he plays a serious part, lift him to greatness There is that in him—his honest truthfulness. his unbroken faith—which makes him equal to arduous events, and above them His large conception of England and of his duty to her and to the king as the image of England enlarges his mind, strengthens him in difficulty, opens his soul and sets him apart, in dignified separation, from all these kings and nobles who are struggling, without any high ideas of country and duty, for their own ends alone He grows steadily from the brave and self-seeking man of the first Act, from the vainglorious soldier of the third, to the serious patriot and the honourable statesman of the last Only one personal matter is at his heart. It is the avenging of his father on Austria, his enemy To give his anger full reason Shakespeare makes Austria guilty of Cœur-de-Lion's death, which he was not, and to enable his personal revenge, keeps Austria alive, who had really been dead for some years Faulconbridge mocks his enemy before the assembled princes, and in the battle slavs him When that is done he has no more personal aims He is for England only.

CRITICISMS

GILDON (p 338) As for the characters of this history, I think there are none of any figure but the Bastard and Constance, they, indeed, engage your attention whenever they enter. There is boldness, courage, self-reliance, haughtiness, and fidelity in whatever he says and does. But here is the misfortune of all the characters of Plays of this nature, that they are all directed to no end, and, therefore, are of little use, for the manners cannot be necessary, and by the consequence must lose more than half their beauty. The Violence, Grief, Rage, and Motherly Love and Despair of Constance produce not one incident, and are of no manner of use, whereas if there had been a just Design, a tragic limitation of some one grave action of just extent, both these characters being formed by the Poet, must have had their manners directed to that certain end, and the production of those incidents, which must beget that end

JOHNSON: The Tragedy of King John, though not written with the utmost power of Shakespeare, is varied with a very pleasing interchange of incidents and characters. The lady's grief is very affecting, and the character of the Bastard contains that mixture of greatness and levity which this author delighted to exhibit

F Gentleman (Dram Censor, 11, 167). In writing this play Shakespeare disclaimed every idea of regularity, and has huddled such a series of historical events on the back of one another as shame the utmost stretch of probability, his muse travels lightning-winged, being here, there, and everywhere in the space of a few minutes. We are by no means advocates for that pinching limitation which so disadvantageously fetters modern composition, imagination will indulge several trespasses of liberty, but must be offended when all the bounds of conception are arbitrarily trodden under foot. In point of characters King John is a very disagreeable picture of royalty, ambitious and cruel, not void of spirit in the field, yet irresolute and mean in adversity, covetous, overbearing, and impolitic, from what we can observe, totally unprincipled, strongly tainted with the opposite appellations which

often meet—fool and knave, during his life we have nothing to admire, at his fall nothing to pity. There is no capital character within our knowledge of more inequality, the greater part of what he has to say is a heavy yoke on the shoulders of an actor. His two scenes with Hubert are, indeed, masterly and do the author credit, like charity, they may serve to cover a multitude of sins, the dying scene is not favourable to action. The shameful irregularity of plot we have already remarked, in the characters there is variety. The Bastard is an original and pleasing oddity, though somewhat upon the extravaganza, the language is bold, flowing, and, where it ought to be, pathetic, yet in many places too figurative, obscure, and turgid. As to moral, there seems to be no other deduction but this that King John's crimes having merited his fate, the justice of providential dispensation is thereby vindicated. This play wants much alteration to make it quite agreeable on the stage, and is at present, we think, a better reading than acting piece.

HAZLITT (Characters of Sh, p 159) It gives a soreness to our feelings of indignation or sympathy when we know that in tracing the progress of sufferings and crimes we are treading upon real ground, and recollect that the poet's 'dream' denoted a foregone conclusion-irrevocable ills, not conjured up by fancy, but placed beyond the reach of poetical justice That the treachery of King John, the death of Arthur, the grief of Constance had a real truth in history sharpens the sense of pain, while it hangs a leaden weight on the heart and the imagination Something whispers us that we have no right to make a mock of calamities like these, or to turn the truth of things into a puppet and plaything of our fancies 'To consider thus' may be 'to consider too curiously', but still we think that the actual truth of the particular events, in proportion as we are conscious of it, is a drawback on the pleasure as well as the dignity of tragedy. King John has all the beauties of language and all the richness of the imagination to relieve the painfulness of the subject The character of King John himself is kept pretty much in the background, it isonly marked in by comparatively slight indications The crimes he is tempted to commit are such as are thrust upon him rather by circumstances and opportunity than of his own seeking, he is here represented as more cowardly than cruel, and as more contemptible than odious The play embraces only a part of his history. There are, however, a few characters on the stage that excite more disgust and loathing He has no intellectual grandeur or strength of character to shield him from the indignation which his immediate conduct provokes, he stands naked and defenceless, in that respect, to the worst we can think of him, and besides, we are impelled to put the very worst construction on his meanness and cruelty by the tender picture of the beauty and helplessness of the object of it, as well as by the frantic and heart-rending pleadings of maternal despair. We do not forgive him the death of Arthur because he had too late revoked his doom and tried to prevent it, and perhaps because he has himself repented of his black design our moral sense gains courage to hate him the more for it We take him at his word, and think his purposes must be odious, indeed, when he himself shrinks back from them. The scene in which King John suggests to Hubert the design of murdering his nephew is a masterpiece of dramatic skill, but it is still inferior, very inferior, to the scene between Hubert and Arthur, when the latter learns the orders to put out his eyes. If anything ever was penned heart-piercing, mixing the extremes of terror and pity, of that which shocks and that which soothes the mind, it is this scene. Arthur's death afterwards, when he throws himself from his prison walls, excites the utmost pity for his innocence and friendless situation, and well justifies the exaggerated

denunciations of Falconbridge to Hubert, whom he suspects wrongfully of the deed The excess of maternal tenderness, rendered desperate by the fickleness of friends and the injustice of fortune, and made stronger in will, in proportion to the want of all power, was never more finely expressed than in Constance The dignity of her answer to King Philip, when she refuses to accompany his messenger, 'To me and to the state of my great grief, let kings assemble,' her indignant reproach of Austria for deserting her cause, her invocation to death, 'that love of misery,' however fine and spirited, all yield to the beauty of the passage, where, her passion subsiding into tenderness, she addresses the Cardinal in these words

'O father Cardinal, I have heard you say That we shall see and know our friends in heav'n, If that be so, I shall see my boy again, For since the birth of Cain, the first male child. To him that did but yesterday suspire, There was not such a gracious creature born But now will canker-sorrow eat my bud. And chase the native beauty from his cheek, And he will look as hollow as a ghost, As dim and meagre as an ague's fit, And so he'll die, and rising so again, When I shall meet him in the court of heav'n, I shall not know him, therefore never, never Must I behold my pretty Arthur more K Philip You are as fond of grief as of your child Constance Grief fills the room up of my absent child Lies in his bed, walks up and down with me, Puts on his pretty looks, repeats his words, Remembers me of all his gracious parts, Stuffs out his vacant garments with his form, Thus have I reason to be fond of grief'

The contrast between the mild resignation of Queen Catherine to her own wrongs, and the wild, uncontrollable affliction of Constance for the wrongs which she sustains as a mother, is no less naturally conceived than it is ably sustained throughout these two wonderful characters. The accompaniment of the comic character of the Bastard was well chosen to relieve the poignant agony of suffering, and the cold, cowardly policy of behavior in the principal characters of this play. Its spirit, invention, volubility of tongue, and forwardness in action are unbounded 'Aliquando sufflaminandus erat,' says Ben Jonson of Shakespeare. But we should be sorry if Ben Jonson had been his licenser. We prefer the heedless magnanimity of his wits infinitely to all Jonson's laborious caution.

DRAKE (Sh & His Times, 11, 419). If King John, as a whole, be not entitled to class among the very first-rate compositions of our author, it can yet exhibit some scenes of superlative beauty and effect, and two characters supported with unfailing energy and consistency. The Bastard Faulconbridge, though not perhaps a very amiable personage, being somewhat too interested and worldly-minded in his conduct to excite much of our esteem, has, notwithstanding, so large a portion of the very spirit of Plantagenet in him, so much heroism, gaiety, and fire in his constitution, and in spite of his vowed accommodation to the times, such an open and undaunted

turn of mind, that we cannot refuse him our admiration, nor, on account of his fidelity to John, however ill-deserved, our occasional sympathy and attachment. The alacrity and intrepidity of his daring spirit are nobly supported to the very last, where we find him exerting every nerve to rouse and animate the conscience-striken soul of the tyrant. In the person of Lady Constance, Maternal Grief, the most interesting passion of the play, is developed in all its strength, the picture penetrates to the inmost heart, and seared must those feelings be which can withstand so powerful an appeal, for all the emotions of the fondest affection, and the wildest despair, all the rapid transitions of anguish, and approximating phrenzy, are wrought up into the scene with a truth of conception which rivals that of nature herself. The innocent and beauteous Arthur, rendered doubly attractive by the sweetness of his disposition and the severity of his fate, is thus described by his doating mother

'But thou, art fair, and at thy birth, dear boy! Nature and fortune join'd to make the great, Of Nature's gifts thou may'st with liles boast, And with the half-blown rose'

When he is captured, therefore, and imprisoned by John, and, consequently, sealed for destruction, who but Shakespeare could have done justice to the agonising sorrows of the parent? Her invocation to death and her address to Pandulph paint maternal despair with a force which no imagination can augment, and of which the tenderness and pathos have never been exceeded. Independent of the scenes which unfold the striking characters of Constance and Faulconbridge, there are two others in this play which may vie with anything that Shakespeare has produced, namely, the scene between John and Hubert and between Hubert and Arthur The former, where the usurper intimates to Hubert his bloody wishes, is conducted in so masterly a manner that we behold the dark and turbulent soul of John lying naked before us in all its deformity, and shrinking with fear even from the enunciation of its own vile purpose The scene with Hubert and the executioners, where the hapless Arthur supplicates for mercy, almost lacerates the heart itself, and is only rendered supportable by the tender and alleviating impression which the sweet innocence and artless eloquence of the poor child fix with indelible influence on the mind. Well may it be said, in the language of our Poet, that he who can behold this scene without the gushing tribute of a tear,

> 'Is fit for treasons, strategems, and spoils,— Let no such man be trusted'

As for the character of John, which, from its meanness and imbecility, seems not well calculated for dramatic representation, Shakespeare has contrived, towards the close of the drama, to excite in his behalf some degree of interest and commiseration, especially in the dying scene, where the fallen monarch, in answer to the enquiry of his son as to the state of his feelings, mournfully exclaims,

'Poison'd,-ill fare,-dead, forsook, cast off.'

OXBERRY (New English Drama, vol. vii) King John, though certainly not the best, is amongst the best, of Shakespeare's tragic dramas, there is in it a great variety of characters and all distinguished with most wonderful precision. The great defect is that the interest does not sufficiently centre in any one individual of the play, and the death of King John, the ultimate subject, is not obviously connected with the minor incidents, yet even this last censure must be admitted within cer-

tain limits, for a connexion does exist between the general events and the catastrophe, though not perhaps very strongly marked, the quarrel with France, respecting Arthur, leads to the invasion of that country, the invasion to a treaty. and from the breaking of this treaty, by the papal ban, arises the violent seizure of the property of the church, and this again induces the poisoning of the monarch by a revengeful monk In all this there seems to be no want of context, not a link in the chain is broken, the fact seems to be that the beginning and the end are too remote from each other, there are too many connecting links between the first and the last object, that when we have attained the one, we lose sight of the other The character of John, though drawn with great accuracy and vigour, is not precisely one of those which effect our sympathy or excite our admiration, vice, when accompanied by any splendid quality, whether it be wit, or mind, or courage, is sure to obtain our reluctant approbation, in the scale of depravity Richard is infinitely above King John, yet the giant iniquities of the former always delight, while the cold, weak, suspicious John lives without our pleasure and dies without our regret Not that we would infer that the character of John is less true to nature, far from it, but there are some virtues as well as vices which are too quiet to excite our sympathy Faulconbridge is one of those characters which Shakespeare apparently delighted to draw, in which he has never found a rival In Congreve and in the French Comedies all the witty characters seem to be wits by profession, their aim is ever to say smart, pointed things, and certainly these efforts are successful. but Shakespeare's Faulconbridge has no effort, he is humorous from the overflowing abundance of his fancy, and from animal spirits that are incapable of restraint. with him wit is a part of his nature, a quality which he can no more change than the height of his stature, with the French wit is an assumption, a thing of education or, rather, of habit The grief of Constance on the loss of her darling child is another proof of Shakespeare's admirable knowledge of the human heart, it is not only true to nature, but true to character, it is, indeed, royal grief The scene between Hubert and the child, though it has been much praised, has little deserved it, the wretched concerts put into the mouth of young Arthur are fatal to it, and neither on the stage nor in the closet does it procure the least effect. As a whole, though the plot is far from excellent, and the language with few exceptions is not of the first order, yet the variety and exquisite truth of the characters place it high in the scale of dramatic composition.

G. Daniel (Cumberland's British Theatre, vol iv) The plot of King John is from the English historians, on this foundation Shakespeare has raised a superstructure of great variety and beauty. If the towering majesty that distinguishes some of his grander productions be not always discernible in this, there are certain parts that bear full evidence of the master's hand, and terror and pity, two of the most powerful attributes of tragedy, are excited in no ordinary degree by the unrelenting cruelty of John and the maternal sorrows of Lady Constance. The portrait of King John is maintained with historical truth. He has all the ferocity of Richard without any of his bravery—cruel, fickle, and treacherous—irresolute, save in commission of evil—and then pursuing his dark purposes without pity or remorse; for, in the scene with Hubert, where he reproaches his minion with the death of young Arthur, and impatiently exclaims—

'It is the curse of kings, to be attended By slaves, that take their humours for a warrant To break within the bloody house of life' It is not compunction for the deed, but dread of the consequences that wring from him those passionate expressions. The incursions of France, with a powerful army into his dominions—the unexpected death of his mother—the desertion of his most attached courtiers—have broken down his spirit, added to these disasters, his superstitious fears are awakened by signs and wonders equally mysterious and alarming

'My lord, they say five moons were seen tonight Four fixed, and the fifth did whirl about The other, in wondrous motion . Old men and beldams in the streets Did prophesy upon it dangerously'

In the vain hope of appearing the wrath of man-and the still vainer one, of heaven -he becomes reconciled with the Romish church, and, if the authority of history may be relied on, falls by the treachery of one of that communion into whose arms he had thrown himself for pardon and protection. There is no character in the writings of Shakespeare that bears stronger evidence of his peculiar manner than the Bastard Faulconbridge He is a singular compound of heroism, levity. and—if his accommodating himself to the spirit of the times deserves so harsh a term-servility He is, in truth, a soldier of fortune, acknowledging no law but that of honour, which in a military sense has somewhat of an equivocal significa-He compromises his own interest and his mother's fame for the proud distinction of being esteemed the base-born son of the Lion-hearted Richard, and enlists himself under the banners of a tyrannical usurper for the vaunted display of personal prowess against the injured and unprotected Yet, with all these blemishes. Shakespeare has painted him in such bewitching colours—he has given him such nobleness of spirit—so much candour and frankness—such exquisite powers of wit and raillery—that his very errors are turned to good account, and, like the irregularities of Falstaff, form the most seductive parts of his character To reconcile such seeming incongruities is one of the many triumphs of Shakespeare He knew that character consists not of one but of various humours, and to blend them skilfully, without violating nature or probability, was an art that he left for the study and emulation of all future dramatists But the great charm of this play is the Lady Constance, a character conceived with Shakespeare's profoundest art and finished with his utmost skill Every feeling of her bosom-every emotion of joy or sorrow-have their origin in maternal tenderness. In that all powerful passion everything is centered her anxious solicitude—her bitter reproaches her phrenzy—her despair Can indignation and contempt borrow stronger terms than her reply to Austria.

'O Lymoges! O Austria! thou dost shame
That bloody spoil. Thou slave, thou wretch, thou coward
Thou little valiant, great in villainy!
Thou every strong upon the stronger side!'

Where is sorrow depicted with greater pathos than her distraction for the death of Arthur? and grief unutterable and past consolation never produced an image more solemn and majestic than the following

> 'To me, and to the state of my grief, Let kings assemble . . .

Here I and sorrow sit

Here is my throne—bid kings come bow to it'

The belief that those we have loved and have been beloved by on earth shall meet and recognise each other in a happier state of existence—a belief, glorious for the consolation that it affords, and perfectly consistent with our ideas of immortality—is thus pathetically alluded to by Lady Constance in her reply to Cardinal Pandulphus

'O, father cardinal, I have heard you say
That we shall see and know our friends in heaven
If that be true I shall see my boy again'

There are two scenes of superlative excellence in this play the one, where John discloses his dark purpose to Hubert, the other, where the horrible imaginings of Hubert are defeated by the artless innocence and pathetic entreaties of the unhappy Arthur Indeed, the latter is almost too powerful a trial for our sensibility. the effects are so truly distressing that to render them bearable is the strongest test of dramatic skill The language of this play is, for the most part, dignified and impressive All that belongs to Lady Constance is of the highest mood of sentiment and poetry The gaiety of Faulconbridge, though occasionally running into freedom and extravagance, is bold and characteristic, and might be allowable in an age when thoughts and words bore less palpable constructions. The incidents are deficient in connexion and continuity, and embrace a considerable portion of time, the scene is alternately laid in England and France The assumption of Lady Constance by Miss O'Neil taught us, by comparison, rightly to estimate the wonderful powers of Siddons To a just conception of the character Miss O'Neil added grace, dignity, and true feeling, but the eccentric fire that Mrs Siddons infused into the 'thoughts that breathe and words that burn' of Shakespeare. fairly drew the line betwixt superlative excellence and absolute perfection. The braggart Austria stood annihilated beneath her contemptuous reproaches, and, when she pleaded her wrongs and poured forth her sorrows every heart was bowed in subjection, and

'All was silence, sympathy, applause'

So great was Lord Byron's admiration of Mrs Siddons that he never could be persuaded to see Miss O'Neil, lest she should disturb his recollection of her, this was the homage of kindred genius. For ourselves, we must behold some effort far beyond anything that we ever conceived of acting to disturb our remembrance of Siddons.

COURTENAY (1, 32) The lamentations of Constance, when represented by a powerful actress, form a very attractive part of this play, but her language is not uniformly admirable; and, surely, the scenes between John and Hubert are those which, coldly read in the closet, are the most striking of all. And I must do Johnson the justice to say that, though he omits it in his recapitulation, he has commended the second of these conferences as exhibiting 'many touches of nature' And this is the better of the two—the former being somewhat disfigured by conceits and obscurities. The character of Constance, though founded upon reality, is none the less poetical. Nothwitstanding the command to put Arthur to death, the character of John is not brought out by the dramatist in the singularly odious

light in which all modern historians have taught us to view it, still there is nothing inconsistent either with nature or with history. Possibly a tradition from the revolting barons and the writing of ecclesiastics, who have the great advantage of recording the deeds of their enemy, may have exaggerated the faults of this unfortunate king. The merits of this play consist chiefly in the scenes, as distinguished from the plot, and the discrimination of character.

ULRICI (p. 361) As in Corrolanus the state appears in conflict with its principle —the ties and duties of the family—so King John exhibits the struggle between the Christian state and its foundation, which is the church This conflict is shown primarily in the conduct and character of John himself, which is nothing but an endless struggle between his bitter feelings on the one hand, and the arrogance and pretensions of his earthly sovereignty on the other His mind is never at peace within itself, and, naturally weak, he falls into the grossest inconsistencies and want of principle The defect in his title to the crown, and his own weakness, lead to the dissensions within, and perpetual aggressions from without In vain has he recourse to treachery and murder to hedge in his usurped majesty, and to suppress the growing demands of the commons and barons, or to resist the attacks of France and the But not the state alone, but the Church also, is corrupt and rotten at the core The political element is immoral, selfish, and encroaching, and, consequently, is loosened from its proper foundation, the ecclesiastical body seeks for nothing but external splendour, influence, and power, mistaking entirely its true and essential vocation, it degrades itself as low as the civil body by its intrigues and dissimulation Cardinal Pandulph is the most correct delineation of a corrupt priest that poet ever painted Accordingly, neither the Church nor the State gain by the quarrel, the whole benefit falls to the nobles and the people, who, comparatively speaking, are as yet morally and politically sound The representative of the latter is Faulconbridge, the bastard son of the Lion-hearted Richard. He is the most independent character throughout the whole piece, and this advantage he owes to his birth, which connects him at once with the royal family and with the people His motives are of the very purest patriotism and knightly honour, he therefore can dare to speak the truth with impunity, and he utters it with that overflowing fulness of humour which energetic and noble minds always have most at command He rescues England from the deadly consequences of civil strife, as well as from the fangs of France and the Pope The apparently inferior and subordinate power of knight and citizen rises superior to the influence of the mightiest potentates simply because it has on its side morality and manliness. This is the eternal lesson which the history of the world is ever teaching The final result of these entanglements and quarrels, amidst which, however, the grace of God manifests itself, is the independence of the English nation, established from within by the hard won bulwark of its rights, Magna Charta, and from without by its victory over France and the papal aggressions Thus does the Poet in King John exhibit modern history in that aspect of its relation between Church and State, which is as essential, as it is peculiar, to it Indeed, the fundamental idea of the whole piece seems to be conveyed in its closing lines, delivered by Faulconbridge

'This England never did, (nor never shall,)
Lie at the proud foot of a conqueror,
But when it first did help to wound itself.
Now these, her princes are come home again,

Come the three corners of the world in arms,
And we shall shock them Nought shall make us rue,
If England to itself do rest but true'

For this truth to herself, this concord, can only be preserved when the state is pervaded by the ecclesiastical, and the church by the political, spirit, i e, when both are animated by the pure spirit of Christian morality. The fortunes, the actions. and sufferings of all the subordinate personages are naturally affected and determined by the course of the principal events. If we have rightly interpreted this, we shall see its idea reflected in all the secondary characters The plans of the king of France, the Dauphin, and the Archduke of Austria fail because the corrupt and grasping policy on which they are founded are utterly destructive of the very notion of political society, and with them the hopes of Blanche are wrecked. The conduct of the English barons is naturally explained by their position relatively to the sovereign power in general, and to the usurped dignity of John in particular. Where the whole frame is sick, the separate members cannot well be sound. As to the fortunes of Constance and Arthur, although they are primarily but an episode in the life and character of John, yet it is with great significance that they appear to be thus interwoven with the history of the state. The instruction they furnish forms a pendant to the general lesson of the piece, for they teach us that nothing in history more invariably meets its due punishment than weakness and passion those hereditary failings of the female character Women ought not to interfere in history, for history demands action, and for that they are constitutionally disqualified. The haste and impatience with which Constance labours to establish her son's rights, who, however, from his very minority, is as yet unsuited for a crown. justly involves him as well as herself in ruin. Arthur, therefore, although preserved by the compassion of Hubert, must nevertheless perish. Had his mother but had the prudence to wait until he could himself have asserted his own rights by his own arm, and when alone he could have possessed a perfect title, he could have gained for himself and her what lawfully belonged to them No objection against the historical dramatist can justly be drawn from the facts that Robert Faulconbridge is no purely historical figure, but one that belongs rather to the popular legends of his country, or that the life of the Archduke of Austria is lengthened considerably beyond the truth, and mixed up with matters in which he really took no part, or from the political modification and colouring of many other minor parts of detail The dramatist is the court-poet, and not the court-servant of history, documentary accuracy is not his business, but that of the keeper of archives, with whom he has nothing in common. It were, indeed, a great mistake to require of the poet historical fidelity and diplomatic accuracy in all his details. Often, indeed, he cannot be historically true, except by being false in some things. There is a mass of little details and external circumstances which, humanly judging, might have been altogether different, without affecting their truth and significance (their fundamental idea), which is derived from the larger momenta of the historical development. Every great event, like every great character, has around him a number of satellites, the assistants and ministers of his plans, the selection of which depends on his arbitrary choice, and which, therefore, might well have been different from what they actually are All that the poet has to do is to give again the chief moments of history in their true import, and to illustrate the ground-idea in all the represented collection of deeds and events, and by the chief characteristic of the acting personages, with true historical fidelity, all besides must be left at the free disposal of his

artistic judgment The greater poet he is, the less need will he have to alter, and the more will his free creations be historical poetry, 1 e, the more strictly will they be composed in conformity and in character with the represented ground idea. It is only thus that he can elucidate historical truth, thus only can an historical event be made an object of art without infringing the restraints which the artistic form lavs upon the poet The preceding remarks apply more or less to all Shakespeare's historical dramas, and we now make them once for all The reign of King John, so important historically, and yet so weak and undignified in itself, required pre-eminently a free poetical handling The conflicting interests and disorganization of the political body, the fluctuations of fortune, and the vacillations of a selfish political prudence—the oscillations backwards and forwards of the course of history before it could assure its proper result—the multiplicity of actors and events, all required to be reduced and concentrated on certain, fixed, leading, and distinctly prominent Shakespeare, therefore, has necessarily made use of representatives the ardent chivalric enthusiasm of the thirteenth century is represented by Faulconbridge, whose opposite, the hollow, fair-spoken Archduke, represents the growing relation between the English and German nations, while both are equally necessary to represent the past history of the noble Richard Cœur-de-Lion The papacy has its representative in the person of Pandulph, the English nobility in Salisbury and Norfolk, and those useful, subordinate agents, who in such periods of confusion aggrandize themselves, have theirs in Hubert de Burgh, while the mediæval superstition—that caricature of the energetic faith of the church—is embodied in Peter of Pomfret The fall of the Austrian Archduke by the hand of Faulconbridge is a necessary consequence of the relative position of these two characters, poetico-historical justice demanded the punishment of the Archduke for his unjust imprisonment of Richard the First, and the son of the injured party was naturally the fitting instrument of such poetical retribution And here, also, the poet has but condensed into one prominent trait a multitude of circumstances which in the actual history are spread over a wide space

CAMPBELL (Introduction, p xl) There was an older English historical play than that of Shakespeare on the subject of King John, and it is curious to find that the former was almost an exact forerunner of the latter in point of incidences and personages I say personages and not characters, for Shakespeare has thrown more vivacity into the part of Faulconbridge than can be found in the prototype, more dignity into that of Constance, and more pathos into that of Arthur In the old piece there was no anticipation of Shakespeare's high painting. I am not sure, however, in his almost, though not entirely, copying the incidents of the old play, that Shakespeare has not omitted some which he could have turned from golden dross into pure gold I mean particularly that scene in the old play where Faulconbridge, in fulfilling King John's injunction to plunder the religious houses, finds a young smooth-skinned nun in a chest where the abbot's treasures were supposed to be deposited If ever romantic tragedy needed comic relief it was Shakespeare's King John, and this scene under his comic touches would have relieved it. It is remarkable that the Poet of England, and the most eloquent Poet who ever summed up the virtues of Brutus, should have dramatised the reign of King John without the most distant allusion to Magna Charta Was he afraid of offending Elizabeth? I think not, for he brought out Julius Casar in the reign of King James, whose petty mind was more jealous of popular principles than that of Elizabeth His main object was probably to recast, with all despatch, an old piece into a new one for the

I regret further that his mighty genius did not turn to poetical account another event in King John's reign, still more adapted to poetry, namely, the superstitious desolation of the English mind, which immediately followed the papal excommunication that was issued from Rome against England and her King The shutting up of the churches, the nation's sudden deprivation of all the exterior exercise of its religion, the altars despoiled of their ornaments, the cessation of Sabbath bells, and the celebration of mass with doors shut against the laity, all these circumstances have been wrought up by Hume into an historic picture that is worthy of Livy, and what would they have not been as materials for a poetical picture in the hands of Shakespeare? But let us be thankful for our Poet's King John, such as it is No doubt it sets the seal as to the question about the probability of good historical tragedies proceeding from the pen of the best poets, and a negative seal, for after Constance leaves the stage Shakespeare's King John is rather the execution of a criminal than an interesting tragedy There are scenes and passages, however, in our Poet's King John which may never be forgotten The pathos of Arthur's conference with Hubert is entirely Shakespeare's, and so is the whole of the part of Constance, his mother, as well as that most appealingly interesting of dialogues between King John and Hubert touching the murder of young Arthur In the old play Constance has a good deal of the virago in her portraiture, in Shakespeare she is the most interesting character in nature—a doating and a bereaved mother Those who find themselves, as I do, older than they could wish to be, may derive some consolation for their age in recollecting that they were born early enough to have seen Mrs Siddons perform the part of Constance

H Coleridge (11, 152) After the death of Arthur all interest is at an end, and Faulconbridge himself proves bad enough to be the legitimate son of a speech-making peer. Fine lines, fine sentences, fine orations may be quoted, but all hes dead, neither for John nor his opponents do we longer care. This protraction of business, after the interest has ceased, is a crying sin, and, in fact, the worst that Shakespeare is ever guilty of. Some other plays have it in a less degree, e.g., Henry VIII, where it is impossible to care about anybody after Wolsey and Queen Catharine are gone. Of the dying scene, where King John begs for cold comfort, I could never make up my judgment. It is either admirable or execrable, but, at any rate, it does not result from the foregoing passages of the play. Of the historic dramas, King John is perhaps the worst constructed, and King Richard II, which wants little to be a regular tragedy, is certainly the best.

Guizot (p. 302). The general style of King John is less firm and decided in color than that of several other tragedies by the same poet, the contexture of the work is also rather vague and feeble, but this is the result of the absence of one leading idea, which should continually direct all the parts of the drama toward the same centre. The only idea of this kind which can be discerned in King John is the hatred of foreign dominion gaining the victory over the hatred of tyrannical usurpation. In order for this idea to be salient, and constantly to occupy the mind of the spectator, it would be necessary for it to be reproduced in every direction, and for everything to contribute to give conspicuity to the misfortune of a conflict between the two feelings. But this plan, which would be rather vast for a dramatic work, was, moreoever, irreconcilable with the reserve which Shakespeare had imposed upon himself with regard to the character of the king, and thus a great part of the play is passed in discussions of but little interest, and in the remainder the events are not

well arranged, the lords change sides too lightly, first on account of the death of Arthur, and afterwards from motives of personal alarm, which does not present their return to the cause of England under a sufficiently honorable point of view. The poisoning of King John, moreover, is not prepared with that care which Shake-speare usually bestows upon the foundation and justification of the slightest circumstances in his dramas, and there is nothing to indicate the motive which could have led the monk to commit so desperate an action, as at that moment John was reconciled to Rome. The tradition from which Shakespeare has borrowed this apocryphal anecdote ascribes the monk's conduct to a desire to revenge an offensive epithet which the king had used regarding him. We cannot tell what could have induced Shakespeare to adopt this story, which he has turned to so little account, perhaps he desired to mingle with John's last moments something of infernal suffering without having recourse to remorse, which, in fact, would not have been in more accordance with the real character of this contemptible prince than with the modified delineation of it which the poet has supplied

LLOYD (Critical Essay, p. 386) The picture of Popish interference and power makes the play peculiarly the picture of an epoch The kingdoms of a modern Europe are still in the gristle, and the remains of ancient Roman civilization is potent among the irregular communities which are yet unprepared to make terms of compromise or boldly to assert independence. The degraded position of both John and Lewis successively, degrading and disastrous, provokes appeal to a national spirit which the centuries ripen Thus is stated the problem that is scarcely solvent at present, the harmony and identity of national sympathies with the true as distinguished from the counterfeit, cosmopolitan Pandulph, the legate, stands in group with the feudal princes like the representative of the adult fraud and heartlessness of priestcraft, the inheritor of high faculties cultivated to refined ill purposes from the old Roman pontifices, the root of evil living among the ashes of the empire and springing up amongst and poisoning the better and unsophisticated tendencies of the northern nations, apprentices in civilization, it is true, but also novices in deceit In his elaborate explaining away of perjury, his authorization by religious sanction of secret, treacherous murder and revolt, and in his coldblooded complacency as he speculates on the certain murder of Arthur if dexterously provoked and the advantages to result to Holy Church therefrom, we have most striking contrast to the spirit of honour, of hatred of cruelty, and of compassion for the weak and afflicted that characterizes the English barons The power of the natural affections over a rude nature is expressed more glowingly in the relenting of Hubert, but scarcely more touchingly than by the tears of Salisbury at the distress of Constance, or in his bitterness of heart at his false position as an enemy.

> 'Where honourable rescue and defence Calls out upon the name of Salisbury,'

and by the generous indignation of the barons his companions, and of Faulconbridge no less, at the jeopardy and murder of Arthur Formal religion is arrayed in the person of its official minister against the religion of humanity and sympathy, and the corruption of an artfully organized administration offends the spectator by assuming the honours and prerogatives of devotion and piety, when at war with all the feelings that by their essential qualities and in their own right properly devout, moral and pious, and hence neither in falling off from their allegiance nor

in returning to it do the barons admit the slightest weight, or even refer to the authority of Pandulph, as a sign of the future which is quite as significant as the hankering of the kings and nobles after ecclesiastical hoards, which seconded the popular movement so efficiently at last Magna Charta is omitted in the play. and the obtaining of it from the reluctant and speedily recusant John was, in fact. as regards the leading movement of the reign, an episode, and omitted of neces-The struggle that Magna Charta symbolizes awaited still its grandest manifestation when Shakespeare lived and wrote, and it was on the very day that he breathed his last at Stratford-upon-Avon that a chief person in the action which is still undramatized, Oliver Cromwell, at the threshold of manhood was entering his name as a student at Sidney Sussex College, Cambridge Still the genius of Magna Charta is infused into the play, and in the concession which John is forced to make the barons in the interest of humanity and conciliation of his subjects, we recognize the seal of the cause of justice against arbitrary administration. It is observable that after the legate, the excess of unscrupulousness and cruelty is the patrimony of the kings,—to some extent of Philip of France, though he is not utterly incapable of compunction, but chiefly of King John and his rival the dauphin The atmosphere of high place and isolated dignity hardens their hearts and deadens their nature so far as to render them entirely different to the nobles who otherwise are stern enough For the rest it is, of course, natural enough that a national poet should give a national advantage, and, accordingly, Shakespeare is not guilty of unduly ennobling the French Their interested desertion of Constance and Arthur, after holy and conscientious professions, is placed in contrast to the pity of Salisbury, and not even John himself, suborning Hubert not without conscious shame and agitation, is so hateful as Lewis entertaining and seconding the Macchiavellian prophecy of the Cardinal, even more degraded is the nature that appears in the misconception and mean consolation of the noble emotion of Salisbury

'Lift up thy brow, renowned Salisbury,
And with a great heart heave away this storm
Come, come, for thou shalt thrust thy hand as deep
Into the purse of rich prosperity
As Lewis himself'

BATHURST (p. 51) King John is a most remarkable instance of Shakespeare's making much use of a former play in the general matter, and rising totally above it in the style and merit Nothing that is good in Shakespeare's play is to be traced in the old one. He has written more freely and naturally than in most of his histories The character of this play is strong, sometimes rich. Where it is not at its best, it is heavy, not thin. Of course I speak of it as compared with his other early plays, not those which abound with thought and speculation. This play yet retains much of the character of the old haranguing tragedies. Yet none more shows the capability of the author to get out of it, and give truly dramatic scenes of character. activity, and spirit Unless I am fanciful, it does not manage those long political speeches with a turn of mind suited to them by system and practice, so much as in Henry IV. and V, but more unwillingly. This might lead us to fancy it to be earlier than they are. There is conceit, apt to be drawn out in a long pursuit of an idea, as sometimes in his poems, excursiveness; parenthesis. It flows, but not from simplicity and lightness, like Henry V, nor from softness, as Richard II. often does, but from a forward force of matter It is his second style of verse, admirably strong and free, but mostly, not always, unbroken The incomparable speech of Constance,

'If thou, that bid'st me be content.' etc.

and that in the same part,

'Grief fills the room up of my absent child,'

are partly in the enumerative style Though it be true that enumerative passages lead more naturally to unbroken versification as a consequence, yet, perhaps, it is also true that the turn for the enumerative way of writing goes naturally with that kind of taste, and forms part of it, which would also delight in the unbroken form of verse for its own sake, and where the matter is not enumerative. Shake-speare's taste, perhaps, changed somewhat in both these respects, and not in one of them only, in the course of his life. Double endings are not common. Alternate rhymes occur more than once. One weak ending. It is unnecessary to observe that to the broken style belongs the dialogue in single entire lines, as between one speaker and another. That John is as early as Richard II. no evidence, I think, would make me believe.

HALLIWELL (Introduction, p. 337) The plot of King John being chiefly founded on that of an earlier play with merely a few incidents suggested by a recollection of other sources, either the chronicles of Holinshed and others, or old historical ballads, it is obvious that any attempt to reconcile the narrative with the exact facts of History would be irrelevant. The tragedy is undoubtedly invested with additional interest from the circumstance of its characters belonging to a momentous period of English history, and some of its incidents being romantic pictures of real events, but it is to be judged, in its character as a work of art, essentially as if the whole were imaginary, it being, in fact, a production the merits of which do not depend on its connection with a particular era of the world's annals Shakespeare and other writers of this department have merely made use of historical materials for dramatic purposes, without any necessary reference to the exactitude of history so that an endeavor to exhibit the poet in the light of an historian, to correct with minuteness his numerous errors in dates, events, and even confusion of personages, or to reconcile the inconsistencies arising from his defiant neglect of chronology, is not required Shakespeare in delineating some of the chief personages introduced into his historical plays has, with marvelous genius, elaborated the salient points of their characters as known to the public through the chronicles, ballads, poems, dramas, and other works of the sixteenth century, but there can be little doubt that any coincidences, not thus to be traced between the results of diligent Historical inquiry and the views taken of secret political workings and traits of evident men, are accidental, or, at most, are to be referred merely to the power of the Author's genius in estimating the characters of men from the obscure vindications of them given in the sources above alluded to There is little of this, however, to be traced in the tragedy of King John, which partakes more than any of the other histories of the character of the romantic drama, both in the want of attention paid to the truth and the succession of historical events, and in the manner in which they are made subservient to the purposes of dramatic design

GERVINUS (p. 355): King John has outwardly no reference to the two historical tetralogies [Henry VI, parts 1, 2, 3, and Richard III, Richard II, Henry IV, parts

I and 2, and Henry V], but, with regard to the idea it contains, we shall see the poet in this play also working with the same political views which distinguish the cycle of ideas in the histories from that of the exact dramas. If we turn away from the historical subject, we might pronounce this piece to be a tragedy of the purest water, simply representing the idea of so many of the ancient tragedies, that 'There is no sure foundation set in blood, no certain life achieved by others' death.' But to this general idea the purport of the whole play does not pervadingly refer. A rich web of political actions, aiming at one central point, circles round Arthur's death, which forms, indeed, the main turning-point of John's fortune, though it is in nowise the sole cause of this reverse of fortune, any more than the guilt of the king alone is so, but from these political actions is developed, as in Richard II, an idea at once political and ethical, as special in character as the leading thoughts of all Shake-speare's real and strict historical plays

C & M COWDEN CLARKE The craft, with meanness, of King John, the craft, with insolence, of Pandulph, the craft, with spite, of Elmor, the vacillation of Philip Augustus, the French King, the youthful generosity of spirit in the Dauphin, the passion of Constance, the pathos of Arthur, the rugged exterior with touch of better nature in Hubert, down to the baronial independence of the Earls Pembroke and Salisbury, while even these two subordinate personages are distinguished the one from the other, by the superior refinement of the latter—all combine to make King John one of the Poet's most interestingly characterised plays among his dramatic histories.

HERAUD (p 159) The tragedy of King John is admirable in structure, and capable of being placed on the stage without alteration. It is indeed, almost a classic for its regularity, as it is for the genius displayed in it. We may judge from it not only the merits of Shakespeare, but those of the playwrights capable of assisting him. The art of historical tragedy, when that of The Troublesome Raigne was published, had far advanced. Shakespeare found the skeleton complete, he clothed it with flesh and blood, and added to it beauty. He quickened the body with a soul, and inspired it with an idea, but its mechanism had already been mastered by inferior minds. Our Poet was an artist among artists. He could measure himself by others. If among the giants of that elder time he seems a giant, we may judge more accurately of his natural stature than if we measured him by himself alone. But not only may he be compared with his predecessors and contemporaries advantageously, but in many points he presents a perfect contrast to them. It is, for instance, as a politician and philosopher that Shakespeare shines in this magnificent tragedy, as the latter, manifesting an equality with Bacon—in certain aspects, indeed, a superiority.

Hudson (Introduction, p. 350). As a work of art the play has, indeed, considerable, though by no means the highest, ment, but as a piece of historical portraiture its claims may easily be overstated. In such a work diplomatic or documentary exactness is not altogether possible, nor is it even desirable any further than may well consist with the laws of art, or with the conditions of the poetic and dramatic form. . . And the inferiority of King John, as an historical drama, lies in that, taking his other works in the same line as the standard, the facts of history are disregarded much beyond what the laws of art seem to require. For it need scarce be urged that in an historical drama literal truth is fairly entitled to give law whenever dramatic truth does not over-rule

The points where all the parts of King John centre and converge into one has been rightly stated to be the fate of Arthur That is the hinge whereon the whole action is made to turn—the heart whose pulsations are felt in every part of the structure The alleged right of Arthur to the throne draws on the wars between John and Philip, and finally the loss from the English Crown of the provinces of France And so far the drama is strictly true to historical fact. But, besides this, the real or reputed murder of Arthur by John is set forth as the chief if not the only cause of the troubles that distracted the latter part of his reign, and ended only with his life, the mainspring of that popular disaffection to his person and government, which let in upon him the assaults of papal arrogance, and gave free course to the wholesome violence of the nobles Which was by no means the case. For though, by the treatment of his nephew, John did greatly outrage the loyalty and humanity of the nation, still that was but one act in a lifelong course of cruelty, cowardice, lust, and perfidy which stamped him as a most base and wicked wretch, and finally drew down upon him the general hatred and execration of his subjects Had he not thus sinned away and lost the hearts of the people, he might perhaps have safely defied the papal interdict, for who can doubt that they would have braved the thunders of the Vatican for him, since they did not scruple afterwards to do so against him? But the fact or the mode of Arthur's death was not the chief, much less the only, cause of that loss So that here the drama involves in its central point such a breach of history, which it is not easy to see how the laws of the dramatic form should require, and which nothing less than such a requirement could fairly excuse, in other words, the rights of historical truth are sacrificed without sufficient cause

R SIMPSON (New Sh Soc Trans, 1874, p. 397) The alterations from the Chronicles in King John are many and considerable, and almost all taken from the old play (The Troublesome Raigne, 1591) But though the plot is borrowed, the political tendency of the old play is entirely suppressed. The clearly expressed design of the old play is to show the precursorship of John to the reforming Messiahship of Henry VIII John was, like David, unworthy to build the temple because his 'hands with murder were attaint' But a Solomon should succeed who should put down monks and their cells

'I am not he shall build the lord a house
Or root these locusts from the face of the earth;
But if my dying heart deceive me not,
From out these loins shall spring a kingly branch
Whose arms shall reach unto the gates of Rome,
And with his feet tread down the strumphet's pride
That sits upon the chair of Babylon'

This leading idea of the old play is utterly excluded from the new, where the points brought out are those connected with the tenure of the crown, whether it is held by hereditary right of the eldest branch, or the eldest male of the family, or by the accident of profession, fortified by the utility of the state, whether it is forfeited by crimes civil and ecclesiastical, whether such forfeiture is to be adjudged and executed by neighboring sovereigns, or by the state itself, its peers or its people, or by the Pope For Shakespeare's play is practically a discussion whether John shall remain King. The grounds of the doubt are not, as in the Chronicles, the general villainy of the King, his cruelty, debauchery, effeminacy, falsehood, extrava-

gance, exactions, and general insufficiency, but two points which do not seem to have weighed a scruple in the minds of John's barons—the defect of his title as against the son of his elder brother, and his supposed murder of that son — The historical quarrel against John as a tyrant is changed into a mythical one against him as a usurper, aggravated by his murder of the right heir — I will select eight points where Shakespeare deserts the Chronicles, without precisely following the old play, which in some particulars he corrects by the Chronicles, showing that his departures from history were retained with full knowledge and intention

In Shakespeare, John is told by his own mother that he must rely on his 'strong possession,' not on his right, and the suggestion of the old play that Arthur, being 'but young and yet unmeet to reign,' was therefore to be passed over, is thrown out 2 Elmor tells Constance that she can 'produce a will that bars the title' of Arthur 3 History is altered to heighten and refine the characters of Arthur and Constance 4 John's loss of his French possessions is accentuated by the exaggeration of the dowry given to Blanche. 5 The scenes where John first persuades Hubert to murder Arthur, and then reproaches him for it, are inventions of Shakespeare 6 The compression of John's four wars into two, though absolutely necessary for dramatic arrangement, is so managed as to have an Elizabethan bearing Of these two wars the poet makes the first to concern Arthur's title, without any religious or ecclesiastical motive The second he makes to be in revenge for Arthur's death, with an ecclesiastical motive added in John's excommunication This is wholly unhistorical No English lord interfered in behalf of Arthur, whose death raised no commotion in England, and was long passed and forgotten before the controversy with the Pope about Langton began The confederacy between the barons and Lewis was ten years after Arthur's death, with which it had nothing to do The Shakesperian representation of the troubles of John is that he had first to fight his own barons, who revolted from him because he had murdered the heir they acknowledged, and allied themselves with Lewis the Dauphin, who, now Arthur was dead, could claim, in right of his wife, the Spanish Blanche, the throne which John had forfeited by excommunication The facts of this excommunication are misrepresented in the play. Really, John's kingdom was first put under interdict, a year afterwards he was excommunicated, but he prevented the document from entering the realm, and his theologians maintained that it was void After four years Innocent absolved John's vassals from their oath of fealty, and exhorted all Christian knights to assist in de-a heretic, neither was secret assassination of him publicly recommended 7 Pandulph insunuates that it is his interest to abstain from interference till John's murder of his nephew should make interference profitable to himself 8 Melun's confession of Lewis's intended treachery to the barons is the occasion of their return to allegiance. Every one of these points, in which the poet deviates from the Chronicles, is so turned as to contain indirect references and allusions to contemporary politics, or to events which had a decisive influence on them Thus, r. It was not the legitimacy of John's title that was the real object of interest to Shakespeare or his audience. Hecuba was nought to them. Elizabeth's title, and the succession to her crown, were the great questions of the day Her father and brother were the only sovereigns since Richard II. whose titles had been undisputed 2 The title of Mary of Scotland had been barred by the will of Henry VIII. 3. The helplessness and wrongs of Constance and Arthur are so managed as to suggest parallels with Mary of Scotland, Catharine Grey, or Arabella Stuart 4. John Lackland's easy renunciation of all his French possessions (exaggerated by Shakespeare) must have suggested a

reference to the widely-blamed proceedings by which Calais was lost by Elizabeth's advisers Leicester is accused of having sold it to the French in 1559 (Leicester's Commonwealth, p 62) We may read George Sanders' ironical description of the French treatment of the Commissioners who went to demand either the money or the town in 1567 'Our gentlemen were but easily entreated there and are returned without either money or possession' (Historical MSS Commission) his tract against the Cecilian commonwealth in 1592, returns to this matter three several times, and Bacon in his reply touches it as lightly as possible 5 The scenes between John and Hubert are considered by Warburton and Malone [IV, 11, 218 and notes to be a covert attempt to flatter Elizabeth by throwing on Secretary Davison the blame of the Queen of Scot's death They did not notice that if Hubert is Davison, John is Elizabeth She cannot be flattered in the second of these scenes unless she is touched by the murderous suggestions of the first. In truth, both fit her completely (Act III, Sc 111, 1 22 to the end, Act IV, Sc 11, 1 213 to the end) and it is only wonderful that allusions so plain should have been tolerated 6 It was no doubt dramatically necessary to abridge and summarize John's wars But it was not necessary so to abridge them as to make them typify the troubles of Elizabeth The Shakesperian John has to maintain two quarrels One for his title, the second for his crown against the agents of the Pope So it was with Elizabeth Shakespeare altered the facts of John's interdict to make them fit the contemporary history of Elizabeth's excommunication After the execution of the Queen of Scots Elizabeth's situation was exactly parallel to that of John after the death of Arthur, as (unhistorically) represented by Shakespeare 7 The politic advice of Pandulph to Lewis to delay interfering till the murder of Arthur should leave Blanche the next claimant was acted on by Philip II, who prudently delayed his promised intervention in favour of the Oueen of Scots till her death had opened a prospect for the claims of his daughter, the Infanta Whether Father Parsons was his Pandulph in this counsel is not clear, but it is certain that Parsons was bitterly hostile to the school of Catholic politicians who would have come into power with the accession of Mary 8 The intended treachery of Lewis to his English allies is precisely parallel to that intended by Medina Sidonia to the English who might favour his landing. He declared 'That if he might once land in England, both Catholics and heretics that came in his way should be all one to him his sword could not discern them so he might make way for his master, all was one to him' (Wm Watson, Important Considerations, p 73). This declaration was naturally made into a great motive against 'Spaniolation,' as Shakespeare unhistorically makes Lewis's intended treacherv the motive for the return of the rebel peers to their allegiance One of these points involves a reconstruction of the facts, another a reconstruction of the motives of history To what end were these liberties taken with the Chronicles? All the changes seem made with a view to controversy on the title to the crown This was the standing trouble of Elizabeth's reign Her own title was controverted, first because she was illegitimate, next because she was excommunicate The choice of her successor was equally a difficulty And all the parties, those who opposed her. whether as illegitimate, or as excommunicated and tainted with the murder of the right heir,-those who maintained her, those who advocated the succession of the Scottish King, or Arabella, or the Infanta, or Derby, or Huntington, or Essex,-all appealed to foreign arbitration. The Queen of Scots relied first upon France, then upon Spain Her agents abroad perpetually intrigued on her behalf with foreign powers Those who defied Elizabeth as a heretic besieged the Pope, or Philip, or the Emperor, or the Italian Princes with their supplications and their plots. After

Mary Stuart's death her agents at Paris became agents for her son Amidst these seething anxieties, and before the youthful heirs of the very families on whom the foreigner counted, Shakespeare produced his King John—a king to whom, with Edward II and Richard II, Philopater and the malcontents were wont to liken the Queen And he made the example more apposite, and the allusions more telling, by altering history He showed the faction of Philip, men who thought he had commission

'From that supernal judge that stirs good thoughts In any bleast of strong authority To look into the blots and stains of right,'

that the motive of his interference was not love of right, but 'commodity.' which would make the prince traitor to the cause he pretended to protect, and lightly sacrifice the claimant he backed, on the first scent of gain. Then he showed the Papal faction, the men who invoked the Pope's arbitration as a divine intervention of indifferent justice, that the Pope is and must be indifferent to every cause but his own He cares not for legitimacy of the pretender, nor interferes with the usurper who leaves the church at liberty John may imprison and murder Arthur, and the Pope is quiescent But when John refuses to institute Stephen Langton, the Pope comes on the scene with a rival claimant not more legitimate than John, but likely to be more obedient, a more faithful vassal of the Church Arthur is too weak for the purpose, so his legitimate claims are disregarded, Lewis seems a fit instrument, and he is selected, and the English barons are commanded to support him Lewis thus acquired no title to the Pope's continued support He may be faithful as Pylades, and valuant as Hercules, John can at any moment cut away the ground from under him by doing penance An act of politic hypocrisy restores John, makes Lewis an unjust aggressor, and changes the barons from Crusaders and Paladins into insurgents and traitors, handed over to the tender mercies of a false and vindictive tyrant Such, the poet seems to say, being the result of foreign intervention, civil and ecclesiastical, it follows that home quarrels are to be settled at home, and British wrongs righted by British hands

'This England never did and never shall
Lie at the proud foot of a conqueror
But when it first did help to wound itself,
——Naught shall make us rue
If England to herself do rest but true'

The moral of the dramatist amounts to this. He seems to say to the malcontents of his day 'Whatever you think about the justice of your cause or the crimes of your opponents, whatever outrages you have to endure, whatever the merits of the losers or the demerits of the winners—settle your quarrels amongst yourselves, and above all things beware of inviting foreign intervention!' If this was Shakespeare's meaning, it was certainly a lesson eminently needed by, and exactly fitted for, his contemporaries.

RUMELIN (p. 129) I have not quite so high an opinion of the play of King John as the commentators would like to have us hold. The battle with France, the reconciliation, the renewed warfare, the changing position of the Papal Legate, the domestic uprisings following one another like foreign invasions, that, without any definite comprehension of their dependence on each other, we are forced to

allow these simply to pass by us — No one part comes to full and complete development, likewise the relation of the Papal Throne which the commentators wish to make the main point of the play, is not brought out clearly and vividly — The character of the King is neither sharply drawn nor convincing in any way whatever, the King of France, the Dauphin, the Arch-Duke are all also only half-formed figures — Fundamentally that which pleases lies merely in the incidents, the ornamentation, in Constance's maternal grief, in the scene of Hubert and Arthur, in the figure of the Bastard who from an audacious swaggerer changes into a patriot and hero

VON FRIESEN (11, 203) King John may be considered a thoroughly well-shaped and modulated work exhibiting superior application and great care. We may justify this last theory through the fact that the whole play has been patterned after another model, both in its outline and arrangement, while at the same time we are unable to detect one expression or one word which might have been taken from it It stands to reason that this result may only be obtained through great application and attention in creating a work of this caliber By carefully considering all its details we find plenty of points of support for this theory. In attempting to obtain a clear conception of Shakespeare's ideal, and in order to get oneself in a receptive frame of mind for this dramatic poetry we must beforehand absolve the author from all historical inaccuracies. The confusion of the viscount of Limoges with the Archduke of Austria, who had certainly behaved very ill towards Richard Cœur-de-Lion at the period where this play begins, but who had actually died many years before, hardly deserves to be mentioned, in fact, it had already become a tradition among Shakespeare's predecessors. More serious and significant possibly would be the objection that he has not made the remotest allusion to the contemporaneous origin of Magna Charta with religious and worldly events of the reign of King John The antecedents of the earlier dramatic productions could hardly serve as a satisfactory apology for this, if we were not led to the conclusion that Shakespeare seems to have taken a very indifferent attitude towards the internal national life of his country However, in such instance, we would have to ascribe a certain objective to Shakespeare, a thing which can hardly be looked for in those times I trust I have not wasted any time in giving a description of the state and the national life of England which prevailed in those times, in the field of the then existing religious and political upheaval. We may at the same time point out the remarkable accomplishment of the government, and the exalted state of the public mind which prevailed at that epoch. We may also realize how much the public mind became deflected by the vital problems whose solution had to be solved after the advent of Magna Charta Still the recollection of this document, as well as its importance, had to a great extent become relegated to the background We are struck by the fact that we never read of any appeal ever having been made on occasions where the queen had exhibited much intolerance in carrying out arbitrary acts against the liberty of some of her subjects, in cases which affected the interests of the nation. It does not matter what the existing conditions may have been, Shakespeare would be open to the blame of having failed to make use of the historical material which was at his disposal Assuming that such was the case, I dare say that there were some vital underlying reasons which influenced the author in excluding Magna Charta from this work The whole play is pregnant with the spirit of disregard for others' rights and of violence in the carrying out of unjust edicts Shakespeare did not appear to be

aware of any intention of portraying the actions of his personages in any other spirit This contrast against the law and order of the world seems here again to be paramount in the King's mind His doubtful claim to the crown is shown to be the primary source of all the complications The author undoubtedly required a complete composite picture in order to illustrate all the events in his poetical work Manifest hints are not lacking throughout the progress of the drama that John's tenure of the crown lay in the general voice and consent of the people. not to be regarded as following the claims of right or according to truth, but rather accepted as following for its governing principle his own interested self-seeking This becomes quite evident in the very beginning of the play, when the queen. addressing her son, calls his attention to the weakness of his claim to the succession The monologue of the Bastard, after the disgraceful compact between King John and King Philip, is a masterful apology for this mental condition. In fact, the King of France and his son the Dauphin are quite as faithless as King John himself Cardinal Pandulph's underhand defense of a case of perjured breach of faith is a masterly work of the highest order. It seems hardly possible that words with more artificial rhetoric or more subtle agility could be uttered in justification of repudiation of sacred obligations This happens to be one of the many parts of Shakespeare's pieces which is liable to embarrass us in deciding whether to grant him our admiration for his intuitive genius of for the penetrating conception of his subject. This play is also remarkable for its exquisite style, we also note the fact that its most minute composition of the severest antithesis is not applied according to a notion or whim, as we may generally observe in Shakespeare, but presents an objective which is coherent with the whole tableau. The guilt of the king in Arthur's death is advanced as the leading motive for the reversal in the King's fortunes. The peripetia of the whole drama is, to a great extent, based upon this occurrence. We are also met with the silent assent of the barons, particularly with Salisbury's, condoning this, the glaring wrong which the King had committed. followed later on by their highly treasonable desertion to the enemy of their coun-This stands no doubt in definite relation with the general tendency to disregard everything pertaining to right, duty, and loyalty On the one hand we are met with the treasonable deflection of the barons from country and King As so often happens in real life, and in accordance with fact, the wrong of the treacherous revolt of the Barons cannot be remedied by the more treacherous intentions of the Dauphin against them This description may be opposed by the claim that Faulconbridge, Constance, and principally Arthur, who is absolutely guiltless, by their inner influence stand without this universal atmosphere This, however, is not exactly so The Bastard figures as the most brilliant personality of the whole drama and his portrait has been drawn with conspicuous partiality. His peculiarities, his kindness of heart, and the frivolous behavior which induced him to break his family ties, is another evidence for which the general tendencies of the times are accountable Shakespeare has given us another evidence of his tact in the handling of this scene. It is somewhat repulsive and even aggravating to find the mother present during the argument of both the brothers, on the occasion of the presentation to the King of their claims to the right of inheritance Besides that, we are witnessing the exhibition which Philip makes of himself by obtruding himself upon the King and the Oueen as a natural son of Richard On this same occasion we see him resorting to the most cruel threats against his mother for no apparent reason whatsoever Thus Shakespeare was fully justified in altering the mise en scene to the extent of making both brothers appear alone before the King and by allowing the discovery of Philip's descent to proceed from the mother There is no denying the fact that the Bastard strikes us as being a unique exception of all the other types, through his steadfastness to the King and his devotion to his military calling However, we are uncertain whether to ascribe his actions to worthy motives or to a combination of selfishness and adventurous impulse His personality may possibly be considered as an anomaly when contrasted to the harmonious make-up of the other characters involved in the play, notwithstanding that we may look upon this genial and intimate creation as a most thorough rendition of a type of adventurer who appears to be gifted with the noblest qualities It is very likely that he has shaped this type according to one of the characters of that particular period We find Constance appearing in the first half of the Drama only, and she is almost invariably depicted as being on the side of right and justice However, in the description of her individuality too much stress seems to be placed on her passionate claiming of her rights and those of her son lead to the belief that the author considered her to have been an enthusiastic champion of justice and loyalty While the others may have been under the nefarious influence of the existing trend, she can hardly be considered as imbued by it. because she, and her son in particular, were themselves the victims of lawless schemes Both suffered most from the consequences of those tragic entanglements, and in considering their ruin we might ask the question, Where and how does the poetical sense of justice apply? Besides, would there be any justification in making the victims of disastrous complications assume a positive implication of guilt? Even when disregarding all this we may hardly reach such a conclusion when taking into account Constance's imaginary vagaries while swayed by her passionate impulses Such could not apply to Arthur's case. Their ending is nevertheless incontestably tragic, because it stands in direct connection with the antitheses, which form the tragic element of the whole and thus furnish the motive for the pitiful fate of the leading actor We must not overlook the importance of these two rôles which have been embellished with traits bearing the evidence of the master's hand There is no doubt but that Shakespeare had many reasons for trying to enlist our sympathy for the personality of the unfortunate Arthur If the poetical requirements obliged him to present the king's difficulties as the main motive for his death, this appeared unavoidable This protasis did not only lead to the creation of two masterful scenes, but, as a consequence of it, the complications of this incident, which was already given in the old play, were retained in the new. The scene in which King John is shown instigating Hubert to destroy the prince's sight or murdering him is well imagined and complete. The King seems to have avoided expressing his real purpose for two vital reasons, he appears to be thoroughly aware of his criminal intentions, but he lacks the proper energy to carry out his vicious designs. One particular feature seemingly penetrates the entire representation, and I shall refer to it again later It demonstrates King John's decided predisposition to injustice and malevolence and also his want of self-control and boldness in the execution of his vicious intentions. The following scene, where Hubert has made all preparations to destroy Arthur's eyesight, but is induced to desist from his purpose through the touching prayers of the young prince, is one of the most poetical productions which ever flowed from Shakespeare's pen, and this is so much more remarkable on account of his exact imitation of the situation found in the old play while depicting, at the same time, an entirely new personality According to the historical resources which are at our disposal the details of Arthur's death cannot be confirmed In considering the three different accounts of the same we find that the one advanced in the older as well as that given in the newer drama is the least harmful to the King's reputation, even if Shakespeare's endeavors are only restricted his complicity remains established to its setting. This exclusion of the complaints of the dying prince which are found in the older play are an evidence of his poetical tact. These show great poetical warmth, we may thus easily be inclined to credit them to R Greene, however, they could hardly have been uttered by a dying person. Their length does not harmonize with the situation This fact itself on the conviction that the feeling of sympathy has already been sufficiently satisfied did perhaps induce the author to abbreviate this particular situation. The intricacies of the play itself are so well adapted to the tragic requirements that they hardly call for any discussion As the threads controlling fate escape human guidance, when once the will to overpower the same with illicit means has begun to assert itself, it is frequently observed that their failure has been brought on by the materialization of an overpowering force This is an experience which is often met with in the ordinary course of human life as well as in that of the individual Thus we observe here the voluntary mode of death chosen by the unfortunate prince, also the lying report of Hubert concerning his ending, and finally the finding of his body by the barons. and besides that, the recanting of Hubert These complications can hardly be considered as ordinary chance happenings In spite of the deeply tragical significance of many particular details we may easily lose sight of the organic tragical relation of the ensemble The misfortune of the king stands in close relation with his misdeeds, and this condition prevails in the tragic conflict, but when observing the weakness of the human will and of his failure in combat due to his own shortcomings, we find that the combining of the tragic interest in one personality is not successful The actual pathos of the drama cannot be centred upon the king because his individuality leads either to a feeling of pity or fear. Thus his death, not being directly the cause of his misdeeds, does not possess the effective value which is indispensable in a tragedy I do not by any means consider that the poet deserves the reproach of having thus weakened his drama. I doubt that he had the intention of producing a tragedy within such narrow limits. The requirements of the author's poetical tendencies undoubtedly induced him in giving the whole the character of a history, accepting this expression with its specific meaning Thus his principal object must have been the illustration of the historical happenings in the form which would be most advantageous to his country and not from a purely tragical standpoint. I shall not support the opinion that this drama was to be used as a prologue for his histories of the times of the Lancasters and the Yorks and at a later date, as an epilogue for Henry the VIII, on account of the want of any proof to that effect On the other hand, we may rest assured that he did greatly value the material of which it is composed. This active work in the creation of the same and the setting of the drama with some of its most attractive situations is the best evidence of it. It appears self-evident that the triumph of England as an independent power over the assumptions of the Roman Curia, also the overpowering of an enemy in league with a treasonable rebellion in their own country, and last of all, the consequences of criminal acts of the king himself furnished enough attractive material for Shakespeare's poetical genius, in order to awaken his enthusiasm for this poetical production. I believe that Magna Charta would have been excluded from his work even if it had offered a proper and available material for his imagination, because the introduction of a new element might have impaired or complicated the smooth production of the whole At the same time

I find therein an explanation for the prominent personality of the Bastard The poet has typified, whether intentionally or otherwise, the true national character of the English people with all its advantages and weakness. His loyalty, his fearlessness, and his bravery are the factors which dictated the resolution of saving the country from the confusion and ruin in which it was plunged. It is also quite noteworthy to observe the profound intuition with which the character of the king is described, his ill will and his lack of energy have already been the subject of our attention. It would be leading us too far should we attempt to go into a closer description in order to prove the accuracy of the historical character of King John without, however, trying to set him up as an historical subject. I can see therein another evidence of the intimate acquaintance of Shakespeare with the history of his country or, to use a broader, more indefinite expression, he stood in the most intimate relation with its history and its nature

DOWDEN (Mind & Art, p. 172) There is little in the play of King John which strengthens or gladdens the heart. In the tug of selfish power, hither and thither, amid the struggle of kingly greeds, and priestly pride, amid the sales of cities, the loveless marriages of princes, the rumours and confusion of the people, a pathetic beauty illumines the boyish figure of Arthur, so gracious, so passive, untouched by the adult rapacities and crimes of the others

'Good, my mother, peace!

I would that I were low laid in my grave,

I am not worth this coil that's made for me'

The voice of maternal passion, a woman's voice impotent and shrill, among the unheeding male forces, goes up also from the play. There is the pity of stern, armed men for the ruin of a child's life. These, and the boisterous but genuine and hearty patriotism of Faulconbridge, are the only presences of human virtue or beauty which are to be perceived in the degenerate world depicted by Shakespeare. And the end, like what preceded it, is miserable. The King lies poisoned, overmastered by mere physical agony, agony which leaves little room for any pangs of conscience, were the palsied moral nature of the criminal capable of such nobler suffering

'I am a scribbled form, drawn with a pen Upon a parchment, and against this fire Do I shrink up'

SWINBURNE (Fortnightly Review, May, 1875) The one entire and perfect chrysolite of Othello is neither Othello nor Desdemona nor Iago, but each and all, the play of Hamlei is more than Hamlet himself, the poem even here is too great to be resumed in the person. But Constance is the jewel of King John, and Katherine the crowning blossom of King Henry VIII—a funeral flower as of 'mangolds on death-beds blowing,' an opal of as pure water as 'tears of perfect moan,' with fitful fire at its heart, ominous of evil and sorrow, set in a mourning band of jet on the forefront of the poem, that the brow so circled may, 'like to a title-leaf, fortell the nature of a tragic volume'. Not indeed that without these the ground would in either case be barren, but that in either field our eye rests rather on these and separate ears of wheat that overtop the ranks, than on the waving width of the whole harvest at once. In the one play our memory turns next to the figures of Arthur and the Bastard, in

the other to those of Wolsey and his king, the residue in either case is made up of outlines more lightly and slightly drawn In two scenes the figure of King John rises indeed to the highest height even of Shakespearian tragedy, for the rest of the play the lines of his character are cut no deeper, the features of his personality stand out in no sharper relief, than those of Elmor or the French king, but the scene in which he tempts Hubert to the edge of the pit of hell sounds a deeper note and touches a subtler string in the tragic nature of man than had been struck by any poet save Dante alone, since the reign of the Greek tragedians The cunning and profound simplicity of the few last weighty words which drop like flakes of poison that blister where they fall from the deadly lips of the king is a new quality in our tragic verse, there was no foretaste of such a thing in the passionate imagination which clothed itself in the mighty music of Marlowe's burning song master might indeed have written the magnificent speech which ushers in with gradual rhetoric and splendid reticence the black suggestion of a deed without a name, his hand might have woven with no less imperial skill the elaborate raiment of words and images which wraps up in fold upon fold, as with swaddling-bands of purple and golden embroidery, the shapeless and miscreated birth of a murderous purpose that labours into light even while it loathes the light and itself, but Shakespeare alone has given us the first sample of that more secret and terrible knowledge which reveals itself in the brief heavy whispers that seal the commission and sign the warrant of the king Webster alone of all our tragic poets has had strength to emulate in this darkest line of art the handiwork of his master. We find nowhere such an echo or reflection of the spirit of this scene as in the last tremendous dialogue of Bosola with Ferdinand in the house of murder and madness, while their spotted souls yet flutter between sonscience and distraction, hovering for an hour as with broken wings on the confines of either province of hell One pupil at least could put to this awful profit the study of so great a model, but with the single and sublime exception of that other design from the same great hand, which bares before us the mortal anguish of Bracciano, no copy or imitation of the scene in which John dies by poison has ever come near enough to evade the sentence it provokes. The shrill tremulous agony of Fletcher's Valentinian is to the sullen and slow deathpangs of Shakespeare's tyrant as the babble of a suckling to the accents of a man As far beyond the reach of any but his maker's hand is the pattern of a perfect English warrior, set once for all before the eyes of all ages in the figure of the noble The national side of Shakespeare's genius, the heroic vein of patriotism that runs like a thread of living fire through the world-wide range of his omnipresent spirit, has never, to my thinking, found vent or expression to such glorious purpose as here Not even in Hotspur or Prince Hal has he mixed with more godlike sleight of hand all the lighter and graver good qualities of the national character, or compounded of them all so lovable a nature as this. In those others we admire and enjoy the same bright fiery temper of soul, the same buoyant and fearless mastery of fate or fortune, the same gladness and glory of life made lovely with all the labour and laughter of its full fresh days, but no quality of theirs binds our hearts to them as they are bound to Philip-not by his loyal valour, his keen young wit, his kindliness, constancy, readiness of service, as swift and sure in the day of his master's bitterest shame and shamefullest trouble as in the blithest hour of battle and that first good fight which won back his father's spoils from his father's slayer, but more than all these, for that lightening of divine rage and pity, of tenderness that speaks in thunder and indignation that makes fire of its tears, in the horror of great compassion which falls on him, the tempest and storm of a beautiful and godlike anger which shakes his strength of spirit and bows his high heart down at sight of Arthur dead Being thus, as he is, the English masterwork of Shakespeare's hand, we may accept him as the best man known to us that England ever made, the hero that Nelson must have been had he never come too near Naples

I am not minded to say much of Shakespeare's Arthur, there are one or two figures in the world of his work of which there are no words that would be fit or good to say Another of these is Cordelia The place they have in our lives and thoughts is not one for talk, the niche set apart for them to inhabit in our secret hearts is not penetrable by the lights and noises of common day There are chapels in the cathedral of man's highest art as in that of his inmost life, not made to be set open to the eyes and feet of the world Love and death and memory keep charge for us in silence of some beloved names It is the crowning glory of genius, the final miracle and transcendent gift of poetry, that it can add to the number of these and engrave on the very heart of our remembrance fresh names and memories of its own creation. There is one younger child in the heavenly family of Shakespeare's who sits side by side with Arthur in the secret places of our thought, there are but two or three that I remember among the children of other poets who may be named in the same year with them as Fletcher's Hengo, Webster's Giovanni, and Landor's Cæsarion Of this princely trinity of the boys the 'bud of Britain' is as yet the most famous flower, yet even in the broken words of childish heroism that falter on his dying lips there is nothing of more poignant pathos, more 'dearly sweet and bitter,' than Giovanni's talk of his dead mother and all her sleepless nights now ended for ever in a sleep beyond tears or dreams

In Henry VIII even more than in King John the Poet's hands were hampered by a difficulty inherent in the subject To an English and Protestant audience, fresh from the passions and perils of reformation and reaction, he had to present an English King at war with the papacy, in whom the assertion of national independence was incarnate, and to the sympathies of such an audience it was a matter of mere necessity for him to commend the representative champion of their cause by all means which he could compel into the service of his aim. Yet this object was in both instances all but incompatible with the natural and necessary interest of the plot It was inevitable that this interest should, in the main, be concentrated upon the victims of the personal or national policy of either King, upon Constance and Arthur, upon Katherine and Wolsey Where these are not either apparent in person on the stage, or felt in their influence upon the speech and the action of the characters present, the pulse of the poem beats fainter and its force begins to flag In King John this difficulty was met and mastered, these double claims of the subject of the poem and the object of the poet were satisfied and harmonized, by the effacement of John and the substitution of Philip as the champion of the national cause and the protagonist of the dramatic action Considering this play in its double aspect to tragedy and history, we might say that the English hero becomes the central figure of the poem as seen from the historic side, while John remains the central figure of the poem as seen from the tragic side, the personal interest that depends on personal crime and retribution is concentrated on the agony of the king, the national interest which, though the eponymous hero of the poem, he was alike inadequate as a craven and improper as a villian to sustain and represent in the eyes of the spectators was happily and easily transferred to the one person of the play who could properly express within the compass of its closing act at once the protest against papal pretension, the defiance of foreign invasion, and the prophetic assurance of self-dependent life and self-sufficing strength inherent in the nation then fresh from a fiercer trial of its quality, which an audience of the days of Queen Elizabeth would justly expect from the poet who undertook to set before them in action the history of the days of King John

SNIDER (11, 286) King John strikes the keynote of the whole series of English Historical plays, namely, nationality Its very beginning utters defiance against France, the hereditary foe of England The glory and supremacy of Fatherland constitute the theme, there is a glow of patriotic exultation, which makes many verses shine like diamonds, while the spirit of the whole work is one grand outburst of the love of country There is in it the intense consciousness of English greatness, English freedom, English manhood The style, though varied, is always an exalted reflection of its thought and feeling, the poetic ferver rises at times to a sort of national ecstasy Other strong passions of the human soul are portraved in the play, but they are all subordinated to supreme devotion to country Such is the atmosphere which we here breathe, and which nerves the spirit with a new inspiration. Indeed, there is a special character introduced as the representative of nationality—a character which gives tone to the entire drama. It is Falconbridge whose story is the golden thread which both illumines and holds together the other parts of the action Following his career, we are perpetually reminded of the theme which furnishes life and unity to the work In reading King John the chief disappointment seems to arise from the fact that nothing is said of the Great Charter It would appear almost necessary that the great Dramatic Epochs of English History should begin with the struggle from which England dates her liberties, and to which she points as the origin of her first and most important constitutional document Thus the rise and growth of the English constitution would be the subject of the English Historical plays But in King John the Great Charter is not even mentioned, and the nobles who revolt proceed on grounds very different from those recited in the famous instrument. It is clear that Shakespeare did not attach as much importance to the covenant at Runnymede as we do, if, indeed, he knew of its contents at all, the discussions and conflicts of a succeeding age first disturbed the dust on the venerable parchment The struggle for individual liberty, which the Great Charter was supposed to guarantee, had not yet arisen, though its mutterings were plainly heard by the last of the Tudors Under the Stuarts it broke forth and resulted in the great Civil War. Then the origin of rights became the theme of warm discussion and diligent investigation, they were traced back to ancient grants and charters with that peculiar reverence for precedent in every Anglo-Saxon bosom—a reverence which will never accept a new idea unless dressed up in old, worn-out garments Personal liberty, in its universal sense, was certainly not the essential point in the conflict between King John and his barons, that conflict arose between the rights of the nobility and the rights of the crown The people, as such, occupy no prominent place in the Great Charter But in the time of the Stuarts the struggle lay between the people on the one side, and the crown and nobility on the other Had the Poet lived earlier or later, he might have taken one or the other form of this collision, as the case stands, he takes neither. The age of Elizabeth was not a struggle between the throne and the barons, nor between these united and the people. The elements of the nation were in harmony, hence it was a period of internal peace and national development But there was a dynastic conflict with a foreign state, and a religious conflict with a foreign Church The consciousness arising from this condition of affairs is precisely the foundation of the present drama, hence its theme is, primarily. the Right of Succession to the crown Must the title rest absolutely in the eldest of the line? Is it necessary or just that the heir should always be monarch? Here the answer will be given by Shakespeare Secondary, but important, is the conflict with the See of Rome The Poet cannot live out of his own time, in any true sense of the term, he writes his play, though it be historical, from the standpoint of his age The action will show the nation upholding the king, both against the legal heir of the throne and against the Church, as long as that king, in so doing, maintains the right and supremacy of the State It will show the nation falling off from the sovereign when the latter abandons his national principle and seeks to support his authority by violence and by external power. Thus there will be a transition from the true monarch of the people to the unfit occupant of the throne. The consciousness which underlies the whole fabric is, that the right of a nation to a ruler is superior to the right of an heir to the crown A kingdom is not a mere piece of personal property, subject to the laws of inheritance, or even of possession. Such is the conflict, plainly indicated, it is the universal right of the state against the individual right of the heir or of the possessor The drama has two wellmarked movements—the one portraying the external struggle of the nation, the other portraying its internal struggle Each movement has also two threadsthe English and the foreign-and upon these threads the action takes its course The first movement shows the king in conflict with the two extraneous powers-France and the Church—the political and the religious enemy Both unite against England—the one supporting the right of Arthur as the legal heir to the throne. the other asserting the claim of Papal domination King John steps forth as the defender of imperiled nationality, the people support him, he wins a complete victory over his combined enemies This victory is brought about chiefly by Falconbridge, the type of the English national hero Such is the first movement, the nation supports the king against the heir and against the Pope The second movement now begins, it will show the change of the character in the monarch, and the consequent disruption of the country internally. As long as John maintained the honor of England abroad, and took nationality as his guiding principle, he retained the unswerving allegiance of the English people But he has the misfortune to capture the true heir, and at once he plots the young prince's murder to secure his throne Thus, by his own act, he makes title of supreme importance, and, as he has not the legal title in himself, he logically destroys his own cause. He abandons his national principle for the principle of inheritance, which he had himself previously nullified. His title is now questioned, since it is his own deed which calls attention to its defeat Revolt of the nobles follows, disaffection of the people shows itself in dark forebodings. Then comes foreign invasion added to domestic strife, and, finally, an ignoble submission to the Church—that is, the the true ruler, though he may now be the true heir after the death of Arthur, the nation is assailed from within and from without, and seems on the point of succumbing to the foreign political and to the foreign religious power-to France and to Rome Nothing now remains to the king-who has sacrificed his most glorious national attribute, namely, the maintenance of the Independence of England against all foes, internal and external—but death Still, the nation cannot perish with him, the national hero, Falconbridge, again comes to the rescue of the drooping country, the enemy is worsted and retires, the nobles return to loyalty, a new king is crowned, and England is once more free from dissension and war. The very last speech of the play echoes the spirit of the whole, it is the exultant declaration of this same Falconbridge, the embodiment of English nationality, wherein he utters a parting shout of triumph and defiance

'This England never did, nor never shall,
Lie at the proud foot of a conqueror,
But when it first did help to wound itself,
Now, these her princes are come home again,
Come the three corners of the world in arms,
And we shall shock them Naught shall make us rue
If England to itself do rest but true'

E Rose (Macmillan's Maga, Nov, 1878) What is it that has neutralised Shakespeare's efforts to make of King John a stage-play as successful and enduringly popular as, for example, Richard III? It must be either the subject itself, or the way in which it has been dealt with in the original piece—which, in its broad outlines, he has not attempted to alter The subject is perhaps not altogether a good The king's great crime is so dastardly, the leading cause of his misfortunes (his quarrel with Rome about Stephen Langton) is so undramatic, and his nature breaks down so entirely at the end-when even a villain like Richard III fights nobly and forces some sort of respect from the audience—that it may be that no poet could have made a strong play of the story of his life As it is in Acts I and II he is a non-entity Falconbridge filling the first act and nobody being very important in the second, in the third act Constance is supreme, and in the fourth Arthur, while even in the fifth the king is not of very great importance, his death scene being much weakened in effect (however it may gain in refinement) by the removal of his violently remorseful and Protestant speeches Indeed, it must be confessed that the omission from the play of the constant attacks on Poperv. though an improvement from a purely literary point of view, destroys to a certain extent its raison d'etre, the spirit that helped to animate its old straggling mass, and, as has been pointed out, the motive of its denouement. The effort, too, to give the piece a hero in Falconbridge is a failure, because, as long experience teaches, you cannot force a character out of the position he would naturally occupy in a play Falconbridge is properly little more than a chorus, a cynical critic of a wicked age-he might be entirely omitted without in the least degree altering the substance of the plot-and it is therefore impossible to make the story centre in him, as should every story in some one figure, or inseparably-connected group of figures Shakespeare has no doubt kept so closely to the lines of the older play because it was a favourite with his audience and they had grown to accept its history as absolute fact, but one can hardly help thinking that had he boldly thrown aside these trammels and taken John as his hero, his great central figure, had he analysed and built up before us the mass of power, craft, passion, and deviltry which made up the worst of the Plantagenets, had he dramatised the grand scene of the signing of the Charter, and shown vividly the gloom and horror which overhung the excommunicated, had he painted John's last despairing struggle against rebels and invaders, as he has given us the fiery end of Macbeth's life-we might have had another Macbeth, another Richard, who would by his terrible personality have welded the play together, and carried us along breathless through his scenes of successive victory and defeat. That by this means something would be lost is true-Falconbridge, for example, would certainly be lessened-but the worth of a real work of art is greater than the worth of any part of it, and Constance and Hubert probably need not suffer, while the influence of the death of Arthur might very likely be made to penetrate more thoroughly the entire play In Macbeth, Henry V, Richard III, Corrolanus everything is subordinated to the centre, the mainspring of the plot, in King John each act has a different hero. What could be more fatal to the interest of the whole? To some it may seem presumptuous thus to criticise Shakespeare, but is it not indeed the only way to make sure that one really appreciates him? Of such appreciation I wish my unsparing criticism of his work to be a proof, it is a poor faith that dares not listen to and seek out every accusation against its idol

Corson (p 162) The fierce partisan spirit of the old play has no place in Shakespeare's Shakespeare's play is filled throughout with the spirit of Elizabethan England's defiance to the foreigner and the Pope-but to the Pope as a foreign power, rather than on religious grounds That's the point to be observed It is a national, patriotic, not a religious spirit, or rather not a religious spirit which informs his play He understands too well the true function of dramatic art to make religion, whether Roman Catholic or Protestant, or any other, the informing spirit of Commentators have gone to King John for proof that Shakespeare was a Protestant It might be shown, by other plays with as much certainty, that he was a good Catholic But it cannot be shown that he was either one or the other He was too great an artist to obtrude his own personal religious belief One thing is quite evident, namely, that he was in spirit a true Christian—so true a Christian that he was perfectly tolerant Shakespeare went to The Troublesome Raigne for his history in the composition of King John, and not to Holinshed's 'Chronicles' His play turns on what is entirely unhistorical, or, if not entirely unhistorical, on what went for nothing with John's barons, namely, the defect of his title to the crown, and the exclusion of the rightful herr, his elder brother Geoffrey's son, Arthur, and the supposed murder of that son, in order to maintain usurped power

Feis (p 32) If Shakespeare's King John is compared with the old play, The Troublesome Raigne, and with the chronicles from which (but more especially from the former piece) the poet has drawn the plan of his dramatic action, it will be seen that very definite political tendencies of what he had before him were suppressed New ones are put in their place. Shakespeare makes his King John go through two different, wholly unhistorical struggles one against a foe at home, who contests the King's legitimate right, the other against Romanists who think it a sacred duty to overthrow the heretic. These were not the feuds with which the King John of history had to contend. But the daughter from the unhappy marriage of Henry VIII and the faithless Anne Boleyn—Queen Elizabeth—had, during her whole lifetime, to contend against rebels who held Mary Stuart to be the legitimate successor, and it was Queen Elizabeth who had always to remain armed against a confederacy of enemies who, encouraged by the Pope, made war upon the 'heretic' on the throne of England. Thus, in the Globe Theatre, questions of the State were discussed, and the politics had their distinct place there

WENDELL (p 137) Less careful, less constantly sustained than Richard II, King John often impresses one as queerer, more archaic, more puzzling than any other of Shakespeare's chronicle-histories. This impression, of course, may be chiefly due to the accident that in most editions of the series it is printed first, and so that

one is apt to read it with no preparation for its conventions. As we shall see, however, there are reasons enough in the play as it stands to make it seem at first sight more strange than what we have already considered, and yet, on inspection, to prove it a distinct step forward in the development of chronicle-history One cause for its oddity of effect lies in its origin. Instead of translating directly from the chronicles. Shakespeare clearly did not trouble himself about them at all. but only adapted a clumsy old play to the improving conditions of the stage. At the time the subject of this play was accidentally popular Though tradition generally confirms history in declaring John to have been the worst king England ever had, tradition and history equally agree in preserving a suspicion that he came to his end by poison, administered by an ecclesiastic who had been enraged beyond measure by John's attacks on the vested property of the Church. When England broke away from the church of Rome, then John, by an obvious distortion of tradition, became something like a Protestant hero In the early editions of Foxe's Book of Martyrs there is a full page of illustrations, showing how the wicked monk. duly absolved to begin with, took the poison from a toad, put it in the king's winecup, tasted the liquor to disarm suspicion, died at the same time with the king, and had masses regularly said for his traitorous, murderous soul. This view of things was presented, among others, in The Troublesome Raigne The old play, thus for the moment popular, was in two parts. In adapting it, Shakespeare reduced it to the limits of a single performance However he may have improved it in many ways, he managed in one way to make it decidedly less intelligible than before In The Troublesome Raigne there are a number of ribald scenes where the Bastard sacks religious houses, and incidentally discovers there a state of morals agreeable at once to the principles of Elizabethan Protestants and to the taste of Elizabethan audiences This proceeding so excites the clergy that they compass the king's death. In Shakespeare's play this whole matter is compressed into two short passages. The poisoning of the King, then, comes without very obvious cause. In this respect, the old play is the better. Nor is this the only instance in which Shakespeare did not improve things Shakespeare's Constance, in general, however her rhetoric may be admired, certainly rants, like so many passages in the earlier chroniclehistories, her long speeches belong rather to a grand opera than to tragedy proper The Constance of The Troublesome Raigne, on the other hand, though less eloquent, is more human Compare, for example, the last appearance of Constance in the two plays it is when her heart has been broken by the capture of Arthur is her last speech in The Troublesome Raigne

> 'Lewes Have patience, Madame, this is chaunce of warre He may be ransomde, we revenge his wrong Constance Be it ner so soone, I shall not live so long'

In King John this pathetic utterance is expanded into five speeches, which comprise aboue fifty lines of tremendous declaration, beginning

'No, no, I will not, having breath to cry'.

O, that my tongue were in the thunder's mouth!

Then with a passion would I shake the world;

And rouse from sleep that fell anatomy

Which cannot hear a lady's feeble voice,' etc.

Whatever Shakespeare's Constance may be at heart, she is not always so human in expression as the Constance of *The Troublesome Raigne* In general, however,

Shakespeare's play is by far the better To find such instances as we have just glanced at one must seek Taking the two plays as a spectator or a hasty reader would take them, they differ in effect much as Romeo and Juliet differs from Titus And onicus The old play has so little vitality of imagination that it is hardly ever plausible, King John, on the other hand, is full of touches, when we once accept the old conventions, waken characters and scenes alike into something far nearer real life than we have yet found in chronicle-history Character after character emerges into consistent individuality Best of all, of course, is the Bastard, who from a rather lifeless comic personage becomes one of Shakespeare's own living men Arthur, whose situation and fate recall those of the young princes in Richard III. is at once so human and so pathetic that many modern critics are set to wondering whether the tender sense of boyish charm and parental bereavement hereby reyealed may not have been awakened by the illness and death in 1506 of Shakespeare's only son Elinor is thoroughly alive, too, so is the intriguing Cardinal Pandulph. so is Hubert, whose scenes with the King and with Arthur remain dramatically effective, so is King John himself, and so often, in spite of her rant, is Constance In no earlier chronicle-history, for example, is there anything like so human a touch as in the scene where Elinor tries to entice Arthur from Constance

'Eli Come to thy grandam, child.

Const Do, child, go to it grandam, child,
Give grandam kingdom, and it grandam will
Give it a plum, a cherry, and a fig,
There's a good grandam'

In The Troublesome Raigne there is no hint of these speeches They are all Shake-speare's As concrete an example as any of what Shakespeare has done in King John may be found in the very opening line The Troublesome Raigne opens with a formal speech by Elinor.

'Barons of England, and my noble Lords, Though God and fortune have bereft from us Victorious Richard scourge of infidels,' etc

In general manner this is very much like the opening of Richard II

'Old John of Gaunt, time-honour'd Lancaster,' etc

Shakespeare's King John, on the other hand, opens with an improved version of the forty-first line of The Troublesome Raigne, the line with which the action begins

'Now say, Chatillon, what would France with us?'

By the eighth line the passionate temperaments of John and Elinor have been revealed by the two characteristic outbursts for which *The Troublesome Raigne* affords no suggestion. The example is sufficient what has happened in *King John* is what happened in *Romeo and Juliet*. Creative imagination, to all appearances spontaneous, has made real, living people out of what had previously been stage types. In this very fact lies the reason why *King John* generally impresses one as more archaic, or at least as more queer, than *Ruchard II*. Such a phrase as Richard's.

'Old John of Gaunt, time-honour'd Lancaster,'

could never have been uttered by any real man, such a phrase as John's, 'Now say, Chatillon, what would France with us?'

might be uttered by anybody still In Richard II, then, the consistent conventionality of everything makes us accept the whole play if we accept any part of it In King John the continual confusion of human vitality with the old quasioperatic conventions combines with the general carelessness of construction to make each kind of thing seem more out of place than it would seem by itself Like any other transitional incongruity, King John is often harder to accept than the consistent conventions from which it departs. Its very excellences emphasize its faults and its oddities In King John, then, we find Shakespeare's creative energy awake, much as we found it in Romeo and Juliet, and somewhat as we found it in the Midsummer Night's Dream, in Richard III, and in Richard II From the fact that King John, while in some respects as vital as any of these, is less careful, we may infer that this creative energy was growing more spontaneously strong Clearly, though, it has not here produced a work which for ripeness of development can compare with the comedy or the tragedy already before us If our chronology be right, King John belongs to the same period as the Merchant of Venuce

Brandes (1, 169) Despite its great dramatic advantages over Richard II, the play suffers from the same radical weakness, and in an even greater degree the figure of the King is too unsympathetic to serve as the centre point of a drama His despicable infirmity of purpose, which makes him kneel to receive his crown at the hands of the same Papal legate whom he has shortly before defied in blusterous terms, his infamous scheme to assassinate an innocent child, and his repentance when he sees that its supposed execution has alienated the chief supporters of his throne—all this hideous baseness, unredeemed by any higher characteristics, leads the spectator rather to attach his interest to the subordinate characters, and thus the action is frittered away before his eyes. It lacks unity, because the king is powerless to hold it together In this play, as in almost all the works of Shakespeare's younger years, the reader is perpetually amazed to find the finest poetical and rhetorical passages side by side with the most intolerable euphuistic affectations And we cannot allege the excuse that these are legacies from the older play. On the contrary, there is nothing of the kind to be found in it, they are added by Shakespeare, evidently with the express purpose of displaying delicacy and profundity of thought In the scenes before the walls of Angiers he has on the whole kept close to the old drama, and has even followed faithfully the sense of all the more important speeches For example, it is a citizen on the ramparts who, in the old play, suggests the marriage between Blanch and the Dauphin, Shakespeare merely rewrites his speech, introducing into it these beautiful lines (II, ii)

'If lusty love should go in quest of beauty,
Where should he find it fairer than in Blanch?
If zealous love should go in search of virtue,
Where should he find it purer than in Blanch?
If love ambitious sought a match of birth,
Whose veins bound richer blood than Lady Blanch?'

The surprising thing is that the same hand which has just written these verses should forthwith lose itself in a tasteless tangle of affectations like this:

'Such as she is, in beauty, virtue, birth, Is the young Dauphin every way complete If not complete of, say, he is not she, And she again wants nothing, to name want, If want it be not, that she is not he,'

and this profound thought is further spun out with a profusion of images. Can we wonder that Voltaire and the French critics of the eighteenth century were offended by a style like this, even to the point of letting it blind them to the wealth of genius elsewhere manifested? Even the touching scene between Arthur and Hubert is disfigured by false cleverness of this sort. The little boy, kneeling to the man who threatens to sear out his eyes, introduces, in the midst of the most moving appeals, such far-fetched and contorted phrases as this

'The iron of itself, though heat red-hot,
Approaching near these eyes, would drink my tears,
And quench this fiery indignation
Even in the matter of mine innocence,
Nay, after that, consume away in rust,
But for containing fire to harm mine eye'—(IV, 1, 69-74)

[See also note by Brandes, IV, 1, 116-127] As regards their ethical point of view, there is no essential difference between the old play and Shakespeare's. The King's defeat and painful death is in both a punishment for his wrong-doing. There has only been, as already mentioned, a certain displacement of the centre of gravity. In the old play the dying John stammers out an explicit confession that from the moment he surrendered to the Roman priest he has had no more happiness on earth, for the Pope's curse is a blessing, and his blessing a curse. In Shakespeare the emphasis is laid not upon the king's weakness in the religiopolitical struggle, but upon the wrong to Arthur Faulconbridge gives utterance to the fundamental idea of the play when he says (IV, III)

'From forth this morsel of dead royalty,
The life, the right, and truth of all this realm
Is fled to heaven.'

Shakespeare's political standpoint is precisely that of the earlier writer, and indeed, we may add, of his whole age. The most important contrasts and events of the period he seeks to represent do not exist for him. He naïvely accepts the first kings of the House of Plantagenet, and the Norman princes in general, as English heroes, and has evidently no suspicion of the deep gulf that separated the Normans from the Anglo-Saxons down to this very reign, when the two hostile races, equally oppressed by the King's tyranny, began to fuse into one people What would Shakespeare have thought had he known that Richard Cœur-de-Lion's favourite formula of denial was 'Do you take me for an Englishman?' while his pet oath, and that of his Norman followers, was 'May I become an Englishmen if-,' etc? Nor does a single phrase, a single syllable in the whole play refer to the event which, for all after times, is inseparably associated with the memory of King John -the signing of the Magna Charta The reason of this is evidently, in the first place, that Shakespeare kept close to the earlier drama, and, in the second place, that he did not attribute to the event the importance it really possessed, did not understand that the Magna Charta laid the foundation of popular liberty, by calling into existence a middle class which supported even the House of Tudor in its struggle with an overweening oligarchy But the chief reason why the Magna

Charta is not mentioned was, no doubt, that Elizabeth did not care to be reminded of it. She was not fond of any limitations of her royal prerogative, and did not care to recall the defeats suffered by her predecessors in their struggles with warlike and independent vassals. And the nation was willing enough to humour her in this respect. People felt that they had to thank her government for a great national revival, and therefore showed no eagerness either to vindicate popular rights against her, or to see them vindicated in stage-history. It was not until long after, under the Stuarts, that the English people began to cultivate its constitution. The chronicle writers of the period touch very lightly upon the barons' victory over King John in the struggle for the Great Charter, and Shakespeare thus followed at once his own personal bias with regard to history and the current of his age

VISCHER (Vortrage, 1v, 57) All things considered, this drama is not a masterpiece Shakespeare treated the material because it gave him an opportunity to portray certain beautiful episodes with the power of his talent. It is difficult to retain in one's mind the entire contents
The obscure change of the course of events does not admit any clear review Again and again I lose the threads, and must each time begin anew. The drama is not altogether unskilfully composed, but it lacks any real unity, the arrangement of its parts is too lax. It throws us into a realm where interest is pitted against interest, into an intricate wilderness of Comprehension is at once bewildered by the to-and-fro exceptional egotism fortune of war, by the compacts and agreements made and cast aside The fault lies even deeper, its chief cause is in the fact that there is no clear right shown on either side Thus in the struggle between France and England, Richard's will is doubtful The English poet naturally leans towards John, because France is on the side of Arthur Up to his Victory at Angiers we can quite justify John in the course which he follows in both attacks. Only from this point on he misuses the advantage which his determination created for him Yet how? Has John no right on his side against the church? Yes, but it is not clearly shown that he had any right to despoil the church of its treasure. The only interest which thus predominates is one of gain, and this forms but an ill continuity for a drama Resolutions soon change on account of interest, soon on account of weakness central point of interest lacks a principal character Such a one, even though entirely governed by love of power and self-interest, would preserve the unity But a general instability predominates Each and all act from a vehement desire or from fear, and no nobler motive is the active agent. Hence his conscience is awakened, not from a pure impulse of his inner self, but rather from an exterior opposition He submits to the church insolently since he is quite as much interested and fearful as determined, we find him at times hard, at other times yielding, to-day strong, tomorrow weak, and even inconsistent in his wickedness and vacillation Such a one we despise, for even a persistence in wickedness always extorts from us the avowal he is a man, since he is consistent. John sinks from bold deeds into faint-heartedness, even in crime he is only murderous through anxiety. He is rejoiced that his murderous behest is not carried out. But that which Hubert fails to do accident accomplishes, and the accidental death of the boy strikes the conscience of the King, who is entirely responsible for it. So he forms only that passive point at which circumstance and cunning encounter each other Philip and the Dauphin are mighty talkers and vacillate more than once The English Peers become abandoned wretches, disloyal to their native country through a noble motive, yet quietly return to their allegiance Hubert likewise vacillates,

vet. even as the Peers, from a good motive The child Arthur is the only pure thing amongst these people—this tender blossom alone is to be trodden under foot by fate and disappears Thus there remains but Pandulph, a veritable cleric The King has no servant who has even so much steadfastness Pandulph always knows. and always is, just what he wishes to be But we have likewise seen that this cleric represents the lust for power of the church, and concedes as allowable every means to his end And thereby all greatness in him is lacking. In this world of fragments there stands forth bright and sympathetic one figure and thus it gains the importance of a supplement the Bastard Faulconbridge He is significant as a principal attribute of the genius of Shakespeare and makes the most efficient check on the dark and turgid politics of King John, the most important supplement to his questionable right to the crown Shakespeare has endowed him with a brilliancy which far outshines that of his namesake in the older piece. He is the arch-type of the fiery, inconsiderate English youth, such as the French ambassador describes, through and through manly, good humoured, not over-scrupulous, inclined, in those times replete with utter self-interest, to be like the times, yet throughout bearing all with humour, and, above all, brave Rises more in the spirit of honor, speaks the truth bluntly both to the King and the peers, and will make no alliance with France His word is strength. He is a fire-eater and thus like a foreshadowing of Hotspur, whom we find later in Henry IV He has at least one energetic, positive attribute, that is, patriotism. How this deep feeling beats and flames in his fierce taunts! His native land, ves, that is the idea which binds But it stands not as the central point, therefore this character can have only a relative retaining power for the effectiveness of the drama. And now the women! Eleanor has a disposition like to Margaret's, but remains in the background and dies Constance is beautiful in her maternal love, but at the same time wild and hateful in her passionate rage Blanch, drawn with but few features, is yet strikingly Her delicacy in behavior, on the proposal of marriage to the Dauphin for purely political reasons should, by its beauty, have saved her Thus we see shining points of light in this dark beclouded world, solitary figures that rise more brilliant for the black shadows

Moulton (Moral System, etc., p 278) To the modern reader Shakespeare's dramatisation of the reign of King John comes as a surprise. There is not a hint of what we are accustomed to consider as the characteristic of that reign, making it the most critical period of English History; on the other hand, what would seem matter of inferior moment is treated with fine workmanship and dramatic vigour. The explanation is easy, if this play is to stand as prologue to the succession of histories, and if the spirit of history, as conceived by Elizabethan dramatists, consisted in the pendulum-like alternation of fortune. Nowhere else do we find the rival interests so evenly balanced, nor the balance so constantly emphasised, nowhere else do we see such sharp turns in events and such great mutations realised in such brief intervals. Moreover, the whole of this manifold alternation is within the limits of a single play, and centres around the single personality of King John.

HERFORD (Eversley ed, Introduction, p 6) King John is probably, of all Shake-speare's Histories, the most distantly related to History Theological fanaticism, that potent myth-maker, had, since the middle of the century, laid a powerful grasp upon the tradition, already not without its mythic elements, recorded in the Chronicles, and the wonderful transformation which this legend underwent in

Shakespeare's hands was certainly not undertaken in the interest of historical truth. Indeed, his most striking alterations only serve to detach it more completely from the Chronicles, and to draw it more explicitly into the sphere of irresponsible poetry What manner of legend it was that underwent this apotheosis may be gathered from two dramas, one of them certainly unknown to Shakespeare, the other the immediate basis of his work The English Reformers saw in the worst of the Plantag. enets an early Protestant-an unsuccessful precursor of Henry VIII, and in Bale's incoherent Kyng Johan (c 1545) the lineaments of the historic John wholly disappear in a single trait enforced with almost frenzied emphasis his defiance of the Roman 'Antichrist' Doctrinal theology played little part in shaping the Elizabethan drama, but the 'Protestantism of the Protestant religion' flourished as bravely in the playhouse as in the conventicle, and the events of 1588, which thrilled every fibre of the national self-consciousness, threw a heightened passion and inspiration, with which religion had very little to do, into the national protest against Rome Nearly at the same moment the genius of Marlowe revealed the dramatic potency of protest, and filled the stage with imitations of the Titanism of Tamburlaine and Faustus Both influences had told strongly upon the anonymous author of The Troublesome Raigne of King John Shakespeare has followed his original almost scene for scene, retaining the outer mechanism of the plot unchanged, or at most dismissing into the background events which the earlier dramatist exhibited with genial prolixity on the stage. But he has essentially altered the significance of the action, and immensely strengthened and vitalised what he retained We may say, generally, that, while The Troublesome Raigne is patriotic, Protestant, and Marlowesque, King John is the work of a man whose patriotism was more fervent, whose Protestantism was less fanatical, and who had definitely broken through the charmed circle of Marlowe Shakespeare entirely adopts the bold device of his predecessor for saving the unpatriotic surrender of John The Bastard plays an even more imposing part, and his energy pervades and animates the whole drama As a character he belongs altogether to Shake-The earlier Faulconbridge's alternate accesses of mysticism and horseplay disappear in the brimming vitality of this frank and burly Plantagenet. Shakepeare's bastard discovers his father not from rustling leaves, but by the contrast between his own giant frame and that of his mannikin brother, slavs Austria without invoking his father's shade, and does battle without the ægis of his father's fortune The grounds of his animosity to Austria are indeed rather hinted than explained. And with these mystic touches disappears the horseplay of the scene in the monastery. But the character of Faulconbridge is put to uses of which the earlier writer did not dream His prototype is indeed already in some sense the mouthpiece of England, and rudely anticipates the magnificent closing assurance that

> 'This England never did, nor never shall, Lie at the proud foot of a conqueror, But when it first did help to wound itself'

Shakespeare's Faulconbridge, however, stands not merely for the cause of England, but for English character, for bluff, straightforward manliness against subtle shifts and unmeaning phrase, he has his jest at the rhetoric of the Angiers citizen who

'Talks as familiarly of roaring lions
As maids of thirteen do of puppy-dogs',

and the subtle diplomatic chicaneries of Pandulph are thrown into relief with

caustic effect by the trenchant humour of the Bastard's famous exposure of 'Commodity' Notwithstanding the jocose profession which closes that speech, private ends have little to do with his action, and with great judgment Shakespeare exercised the earlier playwright's explanation of his indignation at the match between Rlanch and Lewis as arising from a previous betrothal of Blanch to himself But while King John is informed with a yet keener patriotism, it is less aggressively Protestant than The Troublesome Raigne The gross burlesque of Faulconbridge's raid upon the 'fat Franciscans' is altogether excised John's relations with Rome remain unchanged, but it is no longer here that the principal ethical purport of the play is to be found In the eyes of the earlier writer John's surrender of his birthright to Philip, his surrender of his crown to Pandulph, and his betrayal of Arthur seem co-ordinate causes of his fall Shakespeare exposes his errors with at least equal trenchancy, but makes clear that the more deadly step is not the surrender but the crime It is this which alienates his subjects, and gives the French invasion its sole chance of success The thunders of Pandulph on either side do not affect the issue The earlier dramatist treats the crafty legate with malignant hatred, as a 'curse' happily evaded, the later manages him with fine irony, as the wielder of an imposing but not really formidable authority, easily rendered innocuous, incapable of injuring a people true to themselves And though John still meets his death at the hands of a monk, the act is dismissed with a studiously casual allusion, so that the 'resolved villain' seems merely the executant of Whereas in The Troublesome Raigne he dies to satisfy the vengeance of an incensed ecclesiastic, who has vowed never to let escape 'the king that never loved a friar, the man that did contemn the pope' Naturally, Shakespeare ignores the 'moral' which this suggests to the bastard.

> 'This is the fruit of Poperie, when true kings Are slain and shouldered out by monks and friars'

Nor does his John indulge any vision of a more fortunate Protestant successor The Shakespearian Pandulph, finally, would suffice to show that Shakespeare was no longer under the spell of the fiery but nowise subtle intellect of Marlowe If Marlowe was the first English dramatist who commanded the language of impetuous passion, Shakespeare was the first master of the language of polished and astute debate, of high-bred conversation, of courtly ceremony. The earlier John retains not a little of the lofty insolence of Tamburlaine, how kingly, on the other hand, is the later John's dismissal of Chatillon dignified defiance, injunction, valiant forecast, courteous attention and farewell, all concentrated in eight lines (I 1) The two great creations, Constance and Arthur, also are touched with an intensity of pathos still strange to the Shakespeare of Henry VI. and Richard III situation of Margaret after Tewkesbury, of Elizabeth after the murders in the tower, resemble that of Constance, but Margaret utters her passion for vengeance more poignantly than the agony of her loss, and Elizabeth's outraged motherhood finds expression merely in sullen resentment Constance is the Juliet of maternal love. Love for Arthur dominates her whole being, and the agony of bereavement finds utterance through phrases that burn in the fire of an imagination familiar with wild grief. Arthur's situation similarly recalls that of the young princes The Arthur of The Troublesome Raigne does, in fact, bear himself like the young Duke of York in Richard III, boldly bearding his dangerous Uncle, and incurring reproof from the Elmor for his vehemence ('Peace, Arthur, peace,' etc., Troublesome Raigne, pt 1, ii, 107) Shakespeare has endowed his Arthur not with the charm of precocious talent, but with the pathos and shrinking tenderness of childhood 'I am not worth' this coil that's made for me', and, instead of incurring reproof, it is he who, almost in Elinor's words, appeals to his own fiery advocate to cease pleading 'Good, my mother, peace!' Of the death of the princes we have in the earlier play no more than a brief though exquisite picture, but Arthur's perilous captivity is displayed in the most tender and sympathetic dramatic detail, and the pathos of the scene is derived not from an accumulation of harrowing details, as to some extent it is in the grim finale of *Edward II*, but from the ideal loveliness of childlike character which unfolds itself under the stress of Hubert's threat

Luce (p 183) The Troublesome Raigne is poor enough certainly, but I think it has lost something by inevitable comparison with Shakespeare, and, in fairness, I will mention its dying words of Arthur, which Shakespeare has rejected, yet rightly, for although the speech I refer to is the best thing in the old play, it might have been bettered by omission of the first eight lines. Indeed, the genius of Shakespeare is displayed most strikingly where it deals with tender years and helpless innocence. This may be seen in Richard III (IV, 11, 98–104, and IV, 1, 23), so here in King John, where Arthur pleads with Hubert, and, lastly, to do full justice to this genius of Shakespeare, I will quote once more from the older play

'Arthur . let the black tormentors of deep Tartary Upbraid them with his damned enterprise, Inflicting change of tortures on their souls Delay not, Hubert, my orisons are ended'

Here (and there is more of like import) is indeed a travesty of tender years and helpless innocence, Shakespeare rightly puts some such vituperation in the mouth of the bastard (IV, iii, 124–169) and not of 'that child' (line 166) Moreover, partly from his finer taste, partly from the large heart that would neither be bound by any creed nor condemn it, he omits a coarse scene in the old play that holds up the monastic system to contempt and ridicule. But there is no reference in The Troublesome Raigne to Magna Charta, and things thereto appertaining, the author was an artist, not a historian, and had at least some skill in choosing his incidents—his dramatic ground, but nothing grew there. It was left to Shakespeare a bare and barren patch, and from it sprang the immortal flowers of drama, Constance and Arthur

G P. Baker (p. 154) In King John, though Shakespeare gains decidedly in dramatic skill, some of the old weakness persists. Again we face in John a weakling who can only slightly command our sympathy and whose death is far less touching than it would be had he in the earlier scenes been of larger mould. There can be no question that Faulconbridge is the strength of the play as a play. As any reader knows who has compared Shakespeare's John with the earlier play in two parts, from which he skilfully condensed it, The Troublesome Rangue of King John, and with the historical material in Holinshed, Faulconbridge is Shakespeare's creation from vague and inadequate suggestions. But it is not merely the courage, resourcefulness, and wit of Faulconbridge—in a word, his characterization—which make him memorable it is he who passes straight through the play, carrying our sympathies and affection with him and giving to it a kind of unity. But he cannot give it that essential unity which would come from a compelling central

figure indispensable to all the important scenes, without whom the play could have no being Particularly noticeable is the development of the comic in this play. Part I of Henry VI showed only touches, and those coarse, Part III lacked it. and in Part II Cade's followers provided comic relief. Richard II lacks it, and in Richard III its place is taken by the sardonic irony of the king himself In Henry V, as it stands, the comic alternates with the graver scenes Thus far, then. the really comic has come almost entirely, if present at all, from people not closely involved with the main plot In King John it is Faulconbridge himself, an important person in nearly all the main scenes, who brings the comic relief This recognition that the comic is desirable for contrast and that it may relax tense motion till a hearer may again be wrought upon with effect. Shakespeare, in part, owes the author of the Troublesome Raigne, but a few years later in the Merchant of Venuce he will show us in the trial scene that the comic and the tragic depend not upon the person who is looked at, but the sympathies of the person who looks at him Growing maturity is seen also in King John in the scene of Arthur and Hubert, by the subordination of mere physical horror to working upon us through sympathies with the lad himself There are, too, repeated instances which show increasing sureness of theatrical knowledge. In the original of the Hubert-Arthur scene, the murderers enter shortly after Hubert begins to speak with the lad and seize upon the boy Shakespeare holds them back till just as Hubert is beginning Their coming fills an audience with dread lest it strengthen Hubert's weakening purpose Our eager watching of Hubert relaxes only when he orders out the murderers, for then we know that he will yield The earlier dramatists seem not to have understood how to make an entrance or an exit dramatically effective Here Shakespeare proves that he knows how to make both significant for their scene In this play, too, Shakespeare shows marked alertness to motivate the details of his story, for example, when Philip breaks his bond with In the original Philip breaks it promptly and with no conscience, in Shakespeare he yields only after appeals to him from all his friends and followers This care for motivation in characters other than the title part is noteworthy because unusual in the preceding work both of Shakespeare and his contemporaries In brief, King John, except in not providing for the title part a person who holds us to the end thoroughly sympathetic or fascinated by his evil doing, and in the momentary abeyance of rich poetic expression, shows dramatic gain by Shakespeare

JUSSERAND (Let History, ni, 190) In King John Shakespeare once more remodels an old play which had met with success He adds some marvelous touches, revealing his growing genius, for example, the scene where John, without at first discovering himself, speaks so as to test and better secure faithful Hubert's devotion to him, then lets him understand that he is in trouble, a trouble to be guessed, not told

.. If thou could'st see me without eyes, Hear me without thine ears'

Then, sure of his ground, he plainly speaks out the grave for that young boy, 'a very serpent in my way' The poet, however, does not scruple to follow, here again, the old play very closely It is a case of the eagle donning the jackdaw's feathers He sometimes transcribes his model's lines without any change, preserving the historical errors, which are innumerable, making of King John a kind of

Henry VIII who defies Rome, laughs at indulgences, and is 'under Heaven, supreme Head,' and suddenly modifying, as in the old play, the character of his hero, who seems to turn on a pivot, now a proud and high-spirited monarch, now a paltry weakling. The brag, merriment, coarseness, valiant deeds of the bastard Faul-conbridge, the violent contrasts between the pretty little ways of a boyish victim and the ferocity of his tormentors, the ravings of a princess on the verge of madness, word plays, conceits and puns, constant appeals to a patriotism of the crudest sort, are the chief elements of success. The French are again treacherous, ungrateful, ignoble, they are fit to 'hug with swine,' they quake at the crowing of their own cock,

'Thinking his voice an armed Englishman'

The Dauphin wins a battle which he would have lost without the help of some English lords, but it turns out that, traitor and ingrate, he intends 'cutting off their heads' as soon as his power is secure, he has sworn it on the same altar where he had promised them 'everlasting love' All this enraptured the hearers, fed their passions, and ensured the success of the play, all this was, to be sure, very human, it was not superhuman

ROBERTSON (p 260) It is perhaps unnecessary to ask whether Shakespeare would have consented to publish as his the vision scene in Cymbeline, now given up by most editors, though some critics are still capable, with Mr Lowell, of ascribing it to him on the strength of such a line as 'the all-dreaded thunderstone' But when we realise, as we soon can, that such sononties of phrase were within the power of a dozen Elizabethans, and that we have noted at least thirteen plays -more than a third of the thirty-seven-in which some alien matter has been retained or added, we shall see cause to admit not only that a writer very far from being a precisian would in Shakespeare's place have scrupled to publish the existing mass of plays as his own, but that in regard to yet other plays, such as the early Comedy of Errors and King John, we have at least no right to set down the whole as unquestionably Shakespeare's I will not labour that point in this connection, but will merely transcribe a few speeches from King John ('Act II, Scene u.') as it stands, and ask the reader to compare them with a few sample harangues from Greene and Peele It is one of the bewilderments of criticism that an instructed reader should profess to find the true Shakespearian ring in such forciblefeeble declamations as these

'French Herald

You men of Angiers, open wide your gates,
And let young Arthur, Duke of Bretagne, in,
Who by the hand of France this day hath made
Much work for tears in many an English mother,
Whose sons lie scattered on the bleeding ground;
Many a widow's husband grovelling lies,
Coldly embracing the discolour'd earth,
And Victory, with little loss, doth play
Upon the dancing banners of the French,
Who are at hand, triumphantly display'd,
To enter conquerors and to proclaim
Arthur of Bretagne—England's king and yours.'

'English Herald

Rejoice, you men of Angiers, ring your bells,
King John, your king and England's, doth approach
Commander of this hot malicious day,
Their armours, that march'd hence so silver bright
Hither return all gilt with Frenchmen's blood,
There stuck no plume in any English crest
That is removed by a staff of France,
Our colors do return in those same hands
That did display them when we first march'd forth,
And, like a jolly troop of huntsmen, come
Our lusty English, all with purpled hands,
Dyed in the dying slaughter of their foes
Open your gates nad give the victors way

'King John

France, hast thou yet more blood to cast away? Say, shall the current of our right run on? Whose passage, vexed with thy impediment, Shall leave his native channel and o'erswell With course disturb'd even thy confining shores, Unless thou let his silver water keep A peaceful progress to the ocean'

Whatever be thought of their genuineness, as compared with many of the surrendered passages in the Henry VI plays, I have no hesitation in saying that they are easily within the scope of the men who wrote the following

'The fairest flower that glorifies Africa,
Whose beauty Phoebus dares not dash with showers,
Over whose climate never hung a cloud,
But smiling Titan lights the horizon,—
Egypt is mine, and there I hold my state
Seated in Cairo and in Babylon
From thence the beauty of Angelica
Whose hue's as bright as are those silver doves
That wanton Venus mann'th upon her fist,
Forc'd me to cross and cut th' Atlantic seas
To oversearch the fearful ocean'
—Greene's Orlando Furioso (beginning)

'Meanwhile we'll richly rig up all our fleet
More brave than was that gallant Grecian keel
That brought away the Colchian fleece of gold,
Our sails of sendal spread into the wind,
Our ropes and tacklings all of finest silk,
Fetch'd from the native looms of labouring worms,
The pride of Barbary, and the glorious wealth
That is transported by the western bounds,
Our stems cut out of gleaming ivory,
Our planks and sides fram'd out of cypress-wood
That bears the name of Cyparissus' change,

To burst the billows of the ocean-sea. Where Phœbus dips his amber-tresses oft, And kisses Thetis in the day's decline, That Neptune proud shall call his Tritons forth To cover all the ocean with a calm So rich shall be the rubbish of our barks Ta'en here for ballast to the ports of France, That Charles himself shall wonder at the sight, Thus, lordings, when our banquetings be done And Orlando espoused to Angelica We'll furrow through the moving ocean And cheerly frolic with great Charlemagne' -Greene's Orlando Furioso (end)

I do not argue that there is any close likeness, save here and there, between King John speeches and these last, what I urge is that as Shakespeare wrote the whole of King John about 1596 he was half the time doing no better work than had been done by Greene and by Peele in 1504 Had we found in King John such lines as the following, none of us, I think, would have pronounced them inferior to those above copied from the Shakespearian play

> 'Now hath the sun display'd his golden beams And, dusky clouds dispers'd, the welkin clears, Wherein the twenty-colour'd rainbow shows'

'O deadly wound that passeth by mine eye, The fatal poison of my swelling heart! O fortune constant in unconstancy! Fight, earthquakes, in the entrails of the earth, And the eastern whirlwinds in the hellish shades! Some foul contagion of th' infected heaven Blast all the trees, and in their cursed tops The dismal night-raven and tragic owl Breed, and become foretellers of my fall. The fatal ruin of my name and me!'

-Peele's Battle of Alcazar, Act I, scs i and 11

Even the versification here is better than much of what the idolaters are willing to call Shakespeare's Let the open-minded reader, then, judge for himself whether Shakespeare's greatness is the better affirmed by the course of clinging as long as possible to every shred of the matter that has been preserved under his name, or by the methods of comparative analysis and inference from the accepted evidence, which lead us to pronounce much of the plays as ungenuine as it is unworthy of him, leaving untouched by doubt precisely those portions which set him so far above all rivalry

MASEFIELD (p 76) Like the best Shakespearian tragedies, King John is an intellectual form in which a number of people with obsessions illustrate the idea of treachery The illustrations are very various Perhaps the most interesting of them are those subtle ones that illustrate treachery to type, or want of conformity to a standard imagined or established. In the historical plays Shakespeare's mind broods on the idea that our tragical kings failed because they did not conform to a type lower than themselves. Henry V. conforms to type He has the qualities that impress the bourgeoisie. He is a success. Henry VI does not conform to type He has the qualities of the Christian mystic He is stabbed in the Tower Edward IV conforms to type He has the qualities that impress the rabble He is a success Richard II does not conform to type He is a man of ideas He is done to death at Pomfret King John does not conform to type His intellect is bigger than his capacity for affairs. He is poisoned by a monk at Swinstead King John presents that most subtle of all the images of treachery, a man who cannot conform to the standard of his own ideas as a king because his intellect prompts him to attempt what is really beyond the powers of his nature to perform By his side, with an irony that is seldom praised, Shakespeare places the figure of the Bastard, the man who ought to have been king, the man fitted by nature to rule the English, the man without intellect but with a rough capacity, the man whom we meet again, as a successful king, in the play of Henry V King John is placed throughout the play in treacherous relations with life He is a traitor to his brother's son, to his own ideas, to the English idea, and to his oath of kingship He has a bigger intellect than anyone about him His brain is full of gusts and flaws that blow him beyond his age, and then let him sink below it Persistence in any one course of treachery would give him the greatness of all well-defined things He remains a chaos shooting out occasional fire The play opens with a scene that displays some of the human results of treachery John's mother, Elmor, has been treacherous to one of her sons John has usurped his brother's right, and, in following his own counsel, has been treacherous to his These acts of treachery have betrayed England into bloody and unjust The picture is turned suddenly Another of the results of human treachery appears in the person of the Bastard, whose mother confesses that she was seduced by the 'long and vehoment suit' of Cœur-de-Lion The Bastard's half-brother, another domestic traitor, does not scruple to accuse his mother of adultery in hope that by doing so he may obtain the Bastard's hentage. The same breaking of faith for advantage gives points to the second act, where the French and English kings turn from their pledged intention to effect a base alliance They arrange to marry the Dauphin to Elinor's niece, Blanch of Castile In the third act, before the fury of the constant has died down upon this treachery, the French King adds another falseness He breaks away from the newly-made alliance at the bidding of the Pope's legate The newly-married Dauphin treacherously breaks with his wife's party In the welter of war that follows the constant, human, and beautiful figures come to heartbreak and death The common people of England begin to betray their genius for obedience by preparing to rise against the man in power The fourth act begins with the famous scene in which Hubert fails to blind Prince Arthur Even in the act of mercy he is treacherous He breaks faith with King John, to whom he has vowed to kill the Prince Later in the act King John, thinking that the murder has been done, breaks faith with Hubert by driving him from his presence In the last act the English nobles, who have been treacherous to John, betray their new master, the French King King John is a broken man, unable to make head against misfortune He betrays his great kingly idea that the Pope shall not rule here by begging the legate to make peace At this point death sets a term to treachery A monk treacherously poisons John at a moment when his affairs look brighter. The play ends with the Bastard's wellknown brag about England-

> 'Naught shall make us rue If England to itself do rest but true

This thought is one among many thoughts taken by Shakespeare from the play of The Troublesome Raigne and taken by the author of that play direct from Holinshed's Chronicle Comedy deals with character and accident, tragedy with passionate moods of the soul in conflict with fate In this play as in nearly all poetical plays, the characters that are most minutely articulated are those commoner. more earthly characters, perceived by the daily mind, not uplifted, by brooding. into the rare state of passionate intellectual vision. These characters are triumphant creations, but they come from the commoner qualities in Shakespeare's mind He did them easily, with his daily nature What he did on his knees. with contest and bloody sweat, are his great things. The great scheme of the play is the great achievement, not the buxom boor who flouts the Duke of Austria. and takes the national view of his mother's dishonour Shakespeare, like other sensitive, intelligent men, saw that our distinctive products, the characters that we set most store by, are very strange. That beautiful kindness, high courage. and devoted service should go so often with real animal boorishness and the incapacity to see more than one thing at a time (mistaken for stupidity by stupid people) puzzled him, as it puzzles the un-English mind to-day that in the figure of the Bastard he set down what he found most significant in the common English character. With the exceptions of Sir Toby Belch and Justice Shallow, the Bastard is the most English figure in the plays He is the Englishman neither at his best nor at his worst, but at his commonest Englishman was never so seen before nor since An entirely honest, robust, hearty person, contemptuous of the weak, glad to be a king's bastard, making friends with women (his own mother one of them), with a trusty, good-humoured frankness. fond of fighting, extremely able when told what to do, fond of plain measures the plainer the better-an honest servant, easily impressed by intellect when found in high place on his own side, but utterly incapable of perceiving intellect in a foreigner, fond of those sorts of humour which generally lead to blows, extremely just, very kind when not fighting, fond of the words 'fair play,' and nobly and exquisitely moved to deep, true poetical feeling by a cruel act done to something helpless and little The completeness of the portrait is best seen in the suggestion of the man's wisdom in affairs The Bastard is trying to find out whether Hubert killed Arthur, whose little body lies close beside them He says that he suspects Hubert 'very grievously' Hubert protests The Bastard tests the protest with one sentence 'Go bear him in thine arms.' He utters the commonplace lines-

> 'I am amaz'd, methinks, and lose my way Among the thorns and dangers of the world'—

while he watches Hubert's face. Hubert stands the test (the emotional test that none but an Englishman would apply), he picks up the body Instantly the Bastard is touched to a tenderness that lifts Hubert to a spiritual comradeship with him

'How easy dost thou take all England up'

This tragedy of the death of a child causes nearly all that is nobly poetical in the play—All the passionately-felt scenes are about Arthur or his mother—Some have thought that Shakespeare wrote the play in 1596, shortly after the death of his little son Hamnet, aged eleven—The supposition accuses Shakespeare of a want of heart, of a want of imagination, or of both wants together—He wrote like every other writer, from his sense of what was fitting in an imagined situation. It was no more necessary for him to delay the writing of Prince Arthur till his son

had died than it was for Dickens to wait till he had killed a real Little Dorrit by slow poison. There is a great change in the manner of the poetical passages. The poetry of the $Henry\ VI$ plays is mostly in bright, sweetly running groups of rhetorical lines. In $King\ John$ it is either built up elaborately into an effect of harmony several lines long, or it is put into a single line or couplet. The rhetoric is compressed

'That shakes the rotten carcase of old Death,' and 'O death, made proud with pure and princely beauty,' and 'Old Time the clock-setter, that bald sexton Time'

The finest poetry is intensely compressed-

'I will instruct my sorrows to be proud, For grief is proud,' and 'I have heard you say,

That we shall see and know our friends in heaven.

If that be true, I shall see my boy again,' and

'When I shall meet him in the court of heaven

I shall not know him'

The characters in this truly noble play daunt the reader with a sense of their creator's power. It is difficult to know intimately any human soul, even with love as a lamp. Shakespeare's mind goes nobly into these souls, bearing his great light. It is very wonderful that the mind who saw man clearest should see him with such exaltation.

MATTHEWS (Sh as Playwright, p 96) Plot and artistically constructed incidents had been lacking in most of the chronicle plays which Shakespeare was following, and yet these earlier pieces had often a forward movement absent from King John because Shakespeare fails to provide any single character to focus our interest A chronicle play it is, with all the looseness of that easy form, but a chronicle play is only a kaleidoscope of battle, murder, and sudden death unless it has a central figure, like Richard III or Henry V to compel our interest. In King John the action is wandering and uncertain, it is even more fragmentary than that of Richard II; and is wholly without the huddled swiftness of Richard III Furthermore. King John himself, although not so absolutely unfit to be the leading personage of a play as Ruchard II, is not so presented as to grip our sympathy, and Faulconbridge, the valuant braggart, who is set before us with assured mastery, is external to the story, such as it is . . . King John is curiously incongruous in the carelessness of its composition It is in the main a drum-and-trumpet history with the flourishes of heralds, the challenges to instant battle and the sudden settling of a war by the unexpected betrothal of a prince and a princess who had never before met-a betrothal impertmently proposed by a private citizen and incontinently accepted by the warring kings. Then the fight breaks out again, when the Cardinal most unexpectedly intervenes, the French invade England with the aid of the English nobles, who suddenly turn against them when they are told that the Dauphin has inexplicably planned their needless assassination The death of King John by poison is casual, it has not been prepared for by the dramatist, and it is therefore feeble in dramatic effect. The railings and the ravings of Queen Elmor and the Queen Constance are unseemly; they are unqueenly, if not unwomanly The characters, however overdrawn they may be and however external to the action, in so

far as there is any action, are admirably depicted. They are living men and women, they are no longer merely parts, sketched in outline, to be colored by the personality of the performer, they are truly characters, standing on their own feet and speaking out of their own mouths. The gift of endowing his creations with life itself, of which Shakespeare gave little sign in his earliest plays, is now at last displayed. Equally undenable is his gift of handling a pathetic situation with a full understanding of its possibilities. Nothing that he had done in any earlier piece foretold the psychologic subtlety of the scene in which King John suggests to Hubert the murder of Arthur or the compassionate handling of the scene in which Hubert undertakes to put out Arthur's eyes and is overcome by the little prince's irresistibly moving plea for mercy

SHAKESPEARE AND ROMAN CATHOLICISM

KNIGHT (Biography, p 37) It must be borne in mind that the parents of William Shakespeare passed through the great changes of religious opinion, as the greater portion of the people passed, without any violent corresponding change in their habits derived from their forefathers In the time of Henry VIII the great contest of opinion was confined to the supremacy of the Pope, the great practical state measure was the suppression of the religious houses Under Edward VI there was a very careful compromise of all those opinions and practices in which the laity were participant. In the short reign of Mary the persecution of the Reformers must have been offensive even to those who clung fastest to the ancient institutions and modes of belief, and even when the Reformation was fully established under Elizabeth, the habits of the people were still very slightly interfered with The astounding majority of the conforming clergy is a convincing proof how little the opinions of the laity must have been disturbed. They would naturally go along with their old teachers We have to imagine, then, that the father of William Shakespeare, and his mother, were, at the time of his birth, of the religion established by law His father, by holding a high municipal office after the accession of Elizabeth, had solemnly declared his adherence to the great principle of Protestantism—the acknowledgement of the civil sovereign as head of the Church speculative opinions in which the child was brought up would naturally shape themselves to the creed which his father must have professed in his capacity of magistrate, but, according to some opinions, this profession was a disguise on the part of his father The young Shakespeare was brought up in the Roman persuasion, according to these notions, because he intimates an acquaintance with the practices of the Roman Church, and mentions purgatory, shrift, confession in his Surely the poet might exhibit this familiarity with the ancient language of all Christendom without thus speaking 'from the overthrow of Roman Catholic Zeal' Was it 'Roman Catholic Zeal' which induced him to write those strong lines in King John against the 'Italian priest,' and against those who

'Purchase corrupted pardon of a man'?

Was it 'Roman Catholic Zeal' which made him introduce these words into the famous prophecy of the glory and happiness of the reign of Elizabeth—

'God shall be truly known'?

He was brought up, without doubt, in the opinions which his father publicly professed, in holding office subject to his most solemn affirmation of those opinions.

The distinctions between the Protestant and the Popish recusant were then not so numerous or speculative as they afterwards became But, such as they were, we may be sure that William Shakespeare learnt his catechism from his mother in all sincerity, that he frequented the church in which he and his brothers and sisters were baptized, that he was prepared for the discipline of the school in which religious instruction by a minister of the church was regularly afforded as the end of the other knowledge there taught. He became tolerant, according to the manifestation of his after-writings, through nature and the habits and friendships of his early life. But that tolerance does not presume insincerity in himself or his family The 'Confession of Faith' found in the roof of his father's house two hundred years after he was born would argue the extreme of religious zeal, even to the defiance of all law and authority, on the part of a man who had by the acceptance of office professed his adherence to the established national faith. If that paper were to be believed, we must be driven to a conclusion that John Shakespeare was an unconscientious hypocrite for one part of his life, and a furious bigot for the other part. It is much easier to believe that the Reformation fell lightly upon John Shakespeare, as it did upon the bulk of the laity, that he and his wife, without any offence to their consciences, saw the common prayer take the place of the Massbook, and acknowledged the temporal sovereign to be head of the church, that in the education of their children they dispensed with auricular confession and penance, but that they, in common with their neighbors, tolerated, and perhaps delighted in, many of the festivals and imaginative forms of the old religion, and even looked up for heavenly aid through intercession, without fancying that they were yielding to an idolatrous superstition, such as Puritanism came subsequently to denounce The transition from the old worship to the new was not an ungentle The early reformers were too wise to attempt to root up habitsone for the lasty those deep-sunk foundations of the past which break the ploughshares of legislation when it strives to work an inch below the earth's surface

Genulous (p 354) Shakespeare delineates his Faulconbridge (and himself in him) rigidly and bitterly enough as a good Protestant in the base treatment of Popish arrogance. In suitable passages he gives full vent to the indignation of the English at Popish rule and intrigue, enroachment and oppression, which at that time was readily listened to in London. But he did not go so far as to make a farce of Faulconbridge's extortions from the clergy, the old piece offered him here a scene in which merry nuns and brothers burst forth from the opened coffers of the 'hoarding abbots,' a scene certainly very amusing to the fresh Protestant feelings of the time, but to our poet's impartial mind the dignity of the clergy, nay even the contemplativeness of cloister-life, was a matter too sacred for him to introduce it in a ridiculous form into the seriousness of history

FULLOM (p 60) We may leave controversialists to decide whether the poet's father was brought up in the tenets of the old Church, but as he must have been born after the secession of England in the reign of Henry the Eighth, and grew up in that of Edward the Sixth, the probability is that he was reared in the doctrines of the Reformation. At any rate, he was a zealous adherent of the Protestant faith under Elizabeth. One of the first acts of the new reign required all municipal authorities to take the oath of supremacy, and this was done by John Shakespeare, as he was appointed successively to the offices of constable, chamberlain, alderman, and bailiff of Stratford. There is even proof that he was something of a

fanatic, for in 1564 the chamberlain's accounts record that he paid two shillings for the defacement of 'an image in the chapel' But, after all, it is not with the creed of John, but with that of William Shakespeare that we are concerned Of him we know he was born under a Protestant sovereign, baptized in a Protestant church, and educated in a Protestant school It is true that he shows himself versed in the Roman Catholic divinity, and the fact of his making a Catholic discourse of penance and absolution, unction and purgatory, exactly as a Roman Catholic should, is alleged as a proof that these doctrines were his own We might as well infer that he was a believer in Jove, because his pagan characters profess paganism Evidence against him is found in his very charity, and it is thought conclusive that he was of the old faith, because he not only abstains from reviling Catholics on account of their religion, but even presents monks and priests in the garb of humanity, when it was the fashion of the day to regard them as monsters But, though free from religious bigotry, and untainted with sectarian bitterness. Shakespeare never leaves us in doubt as to his religious predilections These are not to be gleaned from isolated sentiments, but from the whole development of the characters he presents—characters so complete that, like persons in real life, they pass before us again and again ere their points are apparent, as if they were endued with the power of keeping them out of sight The monk's cowl is even worn so close that it hides his nature from himself as well as others, so that he is not conscious of the little traits that creep out The delicate touch is spread through the action, as in real life, and scrutiny is required to see that what has captivated us by a general resemblance is natural in every lineament. Such is the character of Friar Laurence, who wins our respect by his benevolence, and our love by his gentleness, but who, on closer acquaintance, will be found wanting as a Christian priest Not only is God not in all his thoughts, He is not in his thoughts at all Thus he is angry with Romeo for his threat of suicide, but instead of pointing out the wickedness of such a design, and reminding him of the Christian duty of endurance, he speaks to him as a man of the world, seeking to reconcile him to life by the advantages it has given him, and by making light of his misfortunes On another occasion he promises to give him 'armour' to resist his trials, and we might suppose him to have in view 'the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the spirit, which is the Word of God'-'God's word,' as Shakespeare has it But all he proffers is

'Adversity's sweet milk, philosophy,

To comfort thee when thou art banished'

Well may Romeo exclaim 'Hang up philosophy!' While Shakespeare marks the Christian character so faintly in his model friar, he presents no trace of it in his Romish prelates, those wondrous creations which we may call the hierarchy of the drama. The sleek insolence of Pandulph, the restless treason of Scroop, the 'monstrous life' of Beaufort, who 'dies and makes no sign,' all attest his antagonism to the old church. Even his favourite Wolsey, a butcher's son like himself, does not remember his holy calling till his fall, and then but to tell how he had neglected it—

'Oh Cromwell! Cromwell! Had I but served my God with half the zeal I served my King, he would not in mine age Have left me naked to mine enemies'

But he is careful to throw the halo of sanctity over Wolsey's end-

'—To add greater honour to his age
Than man could give him, he dies fearing God'

In contrast with types of the old priesthood, Cranmer, accused by the fierce Gardiner of filling the realm with 'new opinions,' is portrayed as a saint, and vested with the attributes of a prophet. No provocation exhausts his patience, and he preserves his meekness and humility under every affront. In the darkest peril he trusts for deliverance from his enemies to 'truth and honesty,' and, above all, to God. As we mark his demeanour, as we hear his words, the inspiration is obvious, and testifies as much to Shakespeare's creed as his own. But, in truth, Shakespeare needs no witness he speaks for himself. On the great dogmas of the ancient church he has unmistakably pronounced. Absolution he utterly rejects, dending those who

'Purchase corrupted pardon of a man Who in that sale, sells pardon from himself'

He denies the supremacy of the Pope, and contemns his spiritual powers. He ridicules the notion that there is miraculous virtue in the shrines of saints, and brings forward. Saunder Simpcox to show what tricks were practised at those resorts to keep up their odour and repute. The varying emotions of King Henry in this scene form, indeed, a sermon of themselves, breathing such exquisite piety, such confiding faith, such fervent adoration. Even in delivering rebukes Shakespeare does not lay aside his excellent gift of charity. The childlike credulity of the gentle king, which disposes him to believe the miracle before he hears the report of it, is wrapped in the beautiful mantle of devotion—

'Good fellow, tell us here the circumstance, That we for thee may glorify the Lord'

And we are taught that holiness may exist in every creed by his burst of heart-felt worship, in which all sects may join—

'Now God be praised that, to believing souls, Gives light in darkness, comfort in despair'

Nor will the poet allow the exposure of the trick to bring any humiliation on the king, but, by a master-stroke of art, makes it draw out his piety and turn to the glory of Heaven—

'O God! see'st thou this, and bear'st so long!'

The old Church sealed up the Bible, Shakespeare is for having it open, declaring that where it is read 'God shall be truly known' He thought no evidence so strong as 'proof of Holy Writ' His sentiments, his imagery, his very language prove that he searched the scriptures Cranmer's prophecy over Elizabeth is a paraphrase of the prophetic vision of Balaam The noble words of the sacred Historian lose none of their effect in the version of Shakespeare—

'In her days every man shall eat in safety Under his own vine, what he plants'

Nor is the sublime exclamation of Isaiah, 'how art thou fallen from Heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning,' unworthily rendered by Wolsey—

'And when he falls, he falls like Lucifer, Never to hope again.' The Psalms lend their poet the noblest passage—'Who maketh the clouds his chariot, and walketh upon the wings of the wind,' which is put into the mouth of Romeo—

'When he bestrides the lazy-pacing clouds, And sails upon the bosom of the air'

But we will not multiply examples, the works of Shakespeare abound with such passages, and indeed no poet has borrowed from the Scriptures to the same extent. as none could borrow with the same effect. It is true that the blemish common to our literary partriarchs attaches to him, in his too familiar mention of the Deity The practice had become conventional with our poets from the time of Gower and Chaucer, and this association of the holiest of names with the grossest ribaldry not only failed to shock the ear, but was looked upon as a natural mode of speech Its prevalence would not excuse Shakespeare if he had merely yielded to the fashion of the time But he aimed to represent nature as it stood, in all its aspects, even. as he says, in its deformity, that, in the mirror which he held up, vice might be frightened by its own features He attained his object, but the means, judged by modern ideas, are not sanctified by the end, and it is only when we recall the license around him—the general corruption which he so loudly condemns—that his comparative purity can be appreciated The most thoughtless must be struck with Shakespeare's religious consistency—his true catholic views of Christian duty and practice, maintained through so many changes and so many temptations, for he had not only to rise superior to the sectarian spirit of the time, but to pass through lights and shades seductive to a poetic and perilous to a speculative mind. In his boyhood, the monasteries and convents that had covered the land were but just broken up, as yet their walls were memorials, not ruins, and the country round preserved the vestiges of their rule The eye might still rest on old abbeys, where the finger of monkish art was traceable in delicate stone-work Shakespeare heard of the shows and processions, the festivals and holidays, which had overgrown the ancient church like ivy, and what looked rank when close, he might think picturesque in the distance His imagination recalled the trains of pilgrims who visited the famous shrines of Canterbury and St Albans, and Chaucer helped him to throw over them the hues of romance A period more recent, almost within his own life. inspired more serious and deeper feelings From his mother's lips he heard her experiences of the reign of Mary, and in the speech of Glendower seems to allude to the luminous appearances in the sky, which the people had supposed to be a supernatural reflection of the martyr fires-

> 'The front of heaven was full of fiery shapes, Of burning cressets'

What a rebuke to the fanatics of all sects in the retort of Paulina to Leontes

'It is an heretic that makes the fire, Not she which burns in 't'

Even Shylock is made to reprove the intolerance of the age 'Hath not a Jew eyes? Hath not a Jew hands, organs, dimensions, senses, affections, passions; fed with the same food, hurt with the same weapons, subject to the same diseases, healed by the same means, warmed and cooled by the same winter and summer as a Christian is?' In Shakespeare's eyes, religion was too sacred a thing to be made an object of contention. The strife of creeds swept away, indeed, the noblest spirits of the age on

both sides, making them forget that the first injunction of Christianity is for men to love one another, but while the English people were kept in a ferment by a succession of religious convulsions, such as the struggle between the Huguenots and Roman Catholics in France, the massacre of St Bartholomew, the heroic stand of the Reformers in Holland, the persecution of the Protestants in Germany, the attempted invasion of England, and, lastly, the Gunpowder Plot, the poet, whose life was hedged in by all these events, still preached kindness to all men. Self-denial, forgiveness of injuries, integrity, forebearance, purity of life, and practical piety are the doctrines he always calculates

'Love thyself last, cherish those hearts that hate thee, Corruption wins not more than honesty, Still in thy right hand carry gentle peace To silence envious tongues Be just, and fear not, Let all the ends thou aim'st at be Thy God's and truth's '

The works of Shakespeare keep perpetually before us a sense of God's presence—almost as much as the works of nature, of which they are the reflection—The appeals for His protection, the testimonies to His mercy, and the recognitions of His providence, occur, indeed, so frequently, that they must have been a leading thought in the poet's mind in the musings of his study. It would seem as if he looked out from this little chamber, as from an observatory, in the silence of the night, on the world, the heavens, and the universe, and learnt from them the littleness of man, the greatness of God. These are the images he presents to us, impresses upon us, and takes as the text of all his pleadings. They are not used to excite terror, but to humble, admonish, and melt us. He speaks to us as 'little children', for, in the illumination of his mind, he retains his child's heart, as natural, as genuine, and as innocent in his latter as his early life. And the whole burden of his doctrine is to be charitable, to be forgiving, and to meekly follow the steps of our Great Examplar.

'Alas; alas!

Why all the souls that were, were forfest once, And he that might the 'vantage best have took, Found out the remedy how would you be If He which is the top of judgment, should But judge as you are? Oh, think on that, And mercy then will breathe within your lips, Like man new made'

SNIDER (11, 306) The old play of King John, which Shakespeare probably took as the foundation of the present work, is full of Protestant rancor and one-sidedness. But here each element is given in its validity as well as in its adequacy. The result is curious Shakespeare has been claimed to be both a Catholic and a Protestant, but he is neither, he is the Poet who sees in every great struggle two conflicting principles, each of which has its truth and its error, its right and wrong, yet one of which is supreme. His oath to his genius is I shall show both sides as they are, by the eternal Gods. No doubt here is witnessed the same contest logically which is known in History as the Reformation. That movement was a protest of Conscience, Family, and State against the crushing formalism of the Church. The result of it was that it established, in a part of Europe, at least, the subordination

of Church to state, it justified the family by abolishing cellbacy, it generally upheld the right of private judgment in regard to matters of conscience. But, on the other hand, the Church is not without its serious weakness. The Poet has taken the precaution to throw the struggle into a period long antecedent to the Reformation, and thus exhibit purely the principles at issue, without exciting the blinding passions of theological controversy which the real event would awaken. Nor are the principles confined to Englishmen. King Philip of France is a Protestant, uttering the protest of Conscience in a far higher sense than King John.

WILKES (p. 50) The first of the quotations by Knight looks very formidable. and when I read the above artificial presentation of it I fancied I had run against an insurmountable obstacle to the theory that Shakespeare was a Roman Catholic But turning to the fountain of the phrase in the body of the text, I found that the quotation had been warped from its true meaning by the critic, and made, by a few accompanying words, to present a proposition which was not the author's. No one could read Knight's presentation of the quotation, along with his unwarranted words, without supposing it was launched not only against the one person addressed. but against all 'those who purchased "Corrupted" pardon of a man,' or without coming to the conclusion that Shakespeare meant to dende and reject the sanctity of that vital principle of the Roman Catholic Church, the rite of confession-and the consequent prerogatives of punishment and absolution. And I really admit that no Roman Catholic writer could ever have permitted himself to do this under any pressure of poetical necessity But William Shakespeare never did it-never in the plays ascribed to him, at least. The line above quoted by Knight against Shakespeare's Catholicity is addressed by King John to King Philip Augustus of France, and applies to Pandulph, the Legate of the Pope, who had then recently been despatched from Rome to England to demand of King John the immediate appointment of Stephen Langton, the Pope's nominee, to the archbishopric of Canterbury on pain of excommunication, and also to interrogate him (King Tohn) why he had thus far been contumacious to the supreme orders of his Holiness in this respect Pandulph, in pursuance of this insolent commission, finds John in France, at the head of an English army of invasion, confronting a like array of the French legions under the command of Philip. Seizing the opportunity thus afforded him of making his insolence the more conspicuous, Pandulph, in the presence of the two kings, surrounded by their respective nobles, delivers his arrogant message. The English King is naturally aroused to anger and resistance by this insult, whereupon Shakespeare, through the mouth of John, treats the prelate in the political attitude he had assumed, and makes John speak with the spirit and dignity which became an English king The practice of 'fitting' his characters is invariable with our poet, and is also in full accordance with dramatic rules and common sense. It is in agreement, likewise, with the practice of other Roman Catholic writers. as may be seen in the treatment given by Dumas to the Cardinals Mazarin and Richelieu. When the churchman sinks his profession in the character of an ambassador he is dealt with as a politician, and when a King (whom, as a king, Shakespeare always worships upon bended knees) abandons himself to crime and despotism he is always, as in the case of Richard III and of John also, treated as a tyrant and a murderer In these crimes the assassin sinks the king, as the primate, by his ambition, veils the priest. It was the only method by which the poet could protect his faith from the necessities of history, and consequently the epithets he uses through the mouths of his incensed characters, as 'false priest' and 'meddling

priest,' are only such as are irresistible to anger under any and all circumstances Shakespeare was too well versed in human nature not to know that an inflamed mind will always assail its enemy where he is most false, and consequently where he is most weak-always preferring an accusation of hypocrisy to any other But here I prefer to let the text speak to the reader for itself In the light of these quotations it becomes obvious that Knight's presentation of the first italicized line, with its inferential words, had the object of making it appear that Shakespeare was deriding and mocking at the sanctity of the rite of confession, and this plain perversion of the author's meaning was, consequently, not only an abuse of the truth, but an insult. by Mr Knight, to the understanding of his readers The whole scene represents no independent sentiment of Shakespeare as a writer any more than does the language of John, when he orders Hubert to commit murder upon Arthur, represent Shakespeare's sentiments, or than the words of Richard III represent the poet's principles, when Richard directs the assassination of the Princes in the Tower. But we can perceive by the course of the play of King John, where the poet does step in and takes sides; and, when he does make his individual inclinations thus seen, he decides most signally in favour of the Prelate and the Church He shows that John, on the contrary, cannot withstand its power, but surrenders to it, humbles himself abjectly before the legate, and is finally consigned to an ignominious death In the scene immediately following the above we find King John, while still in the height of his resentment, giving an order to his creature, Faulconbridge, to hasten to England, and ransack and plunder the monasteries At the opening of Act V we find that King John, unable to contend any longer, even in his own dominions, against the power of the Pope, makes absolute submission and resigns his crown, in order that he may undergo the humiliation of receiving it back from his haughty hands and of holding it subject to his breath Here the Pope's Legate finishes with John. Now let us see what luck the poet assigns Pandulph, in his assumptions of Papal supremacy over the King of France Carrying out his contract with King John, Pandulph next appears before the French forces, which, under the charge of Lewis the Dauphin, have invaded England, and are lying in camp near St. Edmunds-The Legate then curses the other side, whereupon the fight takes place, and the French, as becomes them, under the effect of Pandulph's new anathema, get the worst of it, but King John is led from the field sick during the middle of the mêlée and retires to Swinstead Abbey in the neighborhood. In the following scene his approaching death is described, and the lines—'The king I fear is poisoned by a monk' and 'A monk, I tell you, a resolved villain'-are those which the Protestant biographers stoutly rely upon to show that Shakespeare could not have been a Roman Catholic The monk who did this deed had evidently prepared himself to carry out Pandulph's curse of excommunication, and also to revenge John's sacrilegious plunder of the monastenes In those days of the absence of newspapers, this monk doubtless had not been informed of the very recent pardon of John by Pandulph, and therefore, instead of being regarded as 'a resolved villain,' as Hubert, King John's minion, naturally terms him, he would be esteemed by the faithful for this brave devotion of himself, as being worthy rather of 'canonization' (which, indeed, was promised by Pandulph) and a high place 'among the glorious company of the apostles' than of harsh terms, or any form of condemnation whatsoever. That the monk had long been 'resolved' in his purpose of poisoning the King, and to that extent was 'a resolved villain,' is evident from the fact that it must have cost him much time and considerable court influence to become 'taster' to his Majesty, as a preliminary to the glorious canonization which he expected, for carrying out the orders of the Legate, at the expense of his own life

BOWDEN (p 120) The Troublesome Raigne of King John, the original of Shakespeare's play, was composed like that of Bale, to glorify Protestantism and vilify the ancient faith Shakespeare, in adapting it, had only to leave untouched its virulent bigotry and its ribald stones of friars and nuns to secure its popularity. vet as a fact he carefully excludes the anti-catholic passages and allusions, and acts throughout as a rigid censor on behalf of the church This we proceed to show. First, then, in the defiant speeches above quoted he omits the Tudor claim of spiritual and temporal supremacy, and the gruesome threat of chopping heads off after the manner of Henry VIII 'As I am king so will I reign next under God Supreme head over both Spiritual and Temporal And he that contradicts me in this, I'll make him hop headless' Again, he suppresses John's contemptuous reply to the excommunication 'So Sir, the more the fox is curst the better it fares, if God bless me and my land, let the Pope and his shavelings curse and spare not', and also his declared purpose of despoiling the monasteries, 'rousing the lazy lubbers (the monks) from their cells,' and sending them as prisoners to the Pope In Shakespeare's play King John makes no reply to the prelate after the excommunication is pronounced, and is singularly silent till he threatens Philip at the close of the scene The excommunication itself, however, is taken by Hunter and others as conclusive proof of Shakespeare's Protestantism It runs thus

> 'And blessed shall he be that doth revolt From his allegiance to an heretic, And meritorious shall that hand be called, Canonised and worshipped as a saint, That takes away by an secret course Thy hateful life'—(III, 1)

These words, we admit, at first sight seem difficult to reconcile with the theory of Shakespeare's religious opinions which we are defending For here is Pandulph, the Legate himself, who is giving utterance to the very doctrines attributed to the Church by its enemies. Nor is it any answer to say that the speech was in substance in the old play, for our point has been that Shakespeare, in so far as he follows the original piece, uniformly expurgates it of any anti-Catholic virus Why then, while rejecting so much which was particularly agreeable to the Protestant audiences of the time, did he allow this one passage to remain? First, then, it might, we think, be urged that a regard to his personal safety prompted the inclusion of the speech in question His play of Richard II. had already, as we have seen, been condemned as treasonable, and though Hayward was in that instance the victim, might not Shakespeare himself be the next victim, if he left no Protestant sentiment to satisfy the royal sensitiveness? Such a motive is, indeed, unworthy of a bold and fearless champion of the Faith, but we have neither regarded nor represented Shakespeare in such a light, but rather as one who, whatever his convictions, was desirous, as far as possible, of avoiding any suspicion of recusancy That he did flatter Elizabeth at times there seems no doubt. The imperial votaress who eludes Cupid's arrow and

> 'Passes on In maiden meditation, fancy free,'

is universally understood of her if the comma be omitted the line might bear, as Simpson suggests, the very different sense of a mind free alike from maiden meditation or thoughts of honourable marriage. In any case, that Shakespeare's conscience reproached him at times with being guilty of flattery and falsehood appears from his confession—

'I have sworn thee fair, and thought thee bright, Who art as black as Hell, as dark as night '—Sonnet cxlvii

But yet another motive for the insertion of Pandulph's speech suggests itself Might not his words represent Shakespeare's own feeling with regard to Elizabeth? The lawfulness of tyrannicide was advocated in the sixteenth century by individuals of every creed, and, though on entirely different grounds, by Protestants of every shade, as well as by some Catholics Melanchthon, the German Reformer, advocated it in the case of Henry VIII, Goodman, the Puritan Divine, in case of Mary Tudor, and John Kanus, the Calvanist apostle, in that of that 'Jezebel' Mary Stuart Some Catholics, as Catesby, Gresham, Digby, Fawkes, the perpetrator of the Gunpowder Plot, were of a similar opinion in the case of Tames What then was Elizabeth in Shakespeare's judgment? In the eyes of his kinsfolk, friends, and associates she was illegitimate, excommunicate, an usurping, cruel tyrant Nor would his reiterated condemnation of rebellion in theory, as fatal to its perpetrators and disastrous in its results, hinder his having the warmest sympathy with those who pursued such a line of action Before the poet's mind, at the thought of Elizabeth, would have arisen a vision of victims more numerous than the spectres which haunted the last moments of Richard III Arden and Somerville, his connections, Francis Throckmorton, so cruelly tortured, Babington and Tichborne, his friends and associates, Mary Stuart, whose shameful death is, according to Simpson, represented in that of Arthur in this very play, Essex, his leader, all these and many others would arise and cry for vengeance Did he hear their voice? We know not But it is significant that it is a 'Blessed spirit' from the other world who lays upon Hamlet the command to put to death the incestuous, usurping king, as a solemn judicial act of retributive justice, and Brutus, the slayer of Cæsar, is admittedly the noblest character in that play May not Richmond's description of Richard III be really Shakespeare's judgment on the 'virgin queen'?

'A bloody tyrant and a homicide,
One rais'd in blood, and one in blood establish'd,
One that made means to come by what he hath,
And slaughtered those that were the means to help him.
A base foul stone, made precious by the foil
Of England's chair, where he is falsely set,
One that hath ever been God's enemy
Then if you fight against God's enemy,
God will in justice, ward you as His soldiers,
If you do sweat to put a tyrant down,
You sleep in peace, the tyrant being slain'

If these were the poet's own feelings with respect to Elizabeth, they would gain weight by being spoken by a prelate whom Shakespeare portrays as a man of dignity and worth. In any case, the two interpretations suggested may have had the double purpose of securing the poet's personal safety, and of expressing to those who knew him his own personal condemnation of the Tudor queen. In the same

scene Pandulph calls on King Philip to break with John, and declares the alliance sworn with him void, but not, as in the old play, because 'the oath was made with a heretic' This popular calumny against Catholic doctrine Shakespeare utterly repudiates, and instead he substitutes a careful, accurate, and detailed disquisition on the obligations of an oath, drawn out according to the Church's teaching An oath is invalid. Pandulph says, when it is contrary to a former oath, or to a prior After his victory over the French, John in the old play pours moral obligation a flood of jeers and invectives over the 'mischievous Priest in Italy who calls himself Christ's Vicar' and is now hard at work with Dirges, Masses, Octaves. and Requiems, to assuage the flames of Purgatory for those who have fallen in To this succeeds a round of abuse of those princes who 'formerly bore the yoke of the servile priest,' and in foolish piety submitted to the See of Rome Shakespeare simply cuts out all this Again he turns with disgust from the filthy closster scenes, and the finding of the nun Alice in the Abbot's treasure-chest. though all this was, as Gervinus says, 'certainly very amusing to the fresh Protestant feelings of the time' The old play makes Pandulph a hypocrite and a Macchiavellian simply because he is a Catholic prelate In Shakespeare he appears as an experienced, far-sighted statesman, but also as a ghostly Father, full of sympathy for the afflicted In his speech to the Dauphin the Cardinal shows his political foresight, and his knowledge of the ways of Providence in the conduct of human affairs The lost battle and Arthur's imprisonment do not deceive him. He knows 'that while warm life plays in that infant's veins' John cannot enjoy a peaceful moment The Church's curse was believed in the Middle Ages to be no idle threat The Divine vengeance might be delayed, and when it came it might be accomplished not by any direct supernatural intervention, but by what seemed natural means, still its fulfilment was none the less certain. Shakespeare knew this-

'It is not so with Him that all things knows,
As 'tis with us that spare our guess by shows.
But most it is presumption in us, when
The help of heaven we count the act of men'
—All's Well that Ends Well, II, i

The prophecy of the Five Moons is stripped of its anti-Papal interpretation, and again, when John seeks reconciliation with the Pope, he addresses the Legate in variance with the old play, without prejudice to his kingly dignity

'Thus have I yielded up into your hand The circle of my glory'

Whereupon Pandulph gives him back the crown, with these words

'Take again this my band, as boldin

From this my hand, as holding of the Pope, Your sovereign greatness and authority'

It is no less instructive to remark the poet's representation of Faulconbridge In the older play he rails at the Pope and the Legate, he discovers the scandals and ludicrous scenes in the monasteries, and is never wearied of declaiming against the arrogance and greed of Rome In Shakespeare he is represented, indeed, as ready to levy contributions on the monasteries

'Bell, book, and candle shall not drive me back When gold and silver beck me to come on ' He is a reckless, careless soldier, but he is not a Protestant bigot. On the contrary, instead of expressing indignant contempt—as he does in the old play—at John's submission to the Legate, by which 'finars are made kings, and kings friars,' Faulconbridge looks upon Pandulph as the friend of England and an honourable peacemaker. The death of John marks the final contrast between the two plays. In the older piece the monk obtains the Abbot's blessing for murdering the king John dies ascribing all his miseries to his submission to the Pope, and the Bastard stabs the Abbot. In Shakespeare's play the murderer, 'the resolved villain,' is alluded to in one line, and the Bastard, instead of expressing indignation at the crime, seems rather to see it in the punishment of a just God, and prays—

'Withhold thine indignation, mighty Heaven, And tempt us not to bear above our power!'

Finally, John dies, not a defiant prophet cursing Rome, but desolate and despairing, his torments intensified by the impotent sympathy of his friends. In the Epilogue Shakespeare suppresses a final hit at the Pope, which concludes the old play, and terminates with the stirring words of the true patriot Faulconbridge—

'Naught shall make us rue If England to itself do rest but true!'

Having now compared the two plays, we can judge of their respective application. The moral of the old play was, that as David was the forerunner of Solomon, so John began the noble work which was to be fully accomplished by the more worthy hands of his descendent Henry VIII,

'Whose arms shall reach unto the Gates of Rome And with his feet tread down the strumphet's pride, That sits upon the Chair of Babylon'

And the play was intended to keep alive the burning hatred of Popery, as was the account of the same transactions in the 'Homilies' With Shakespeare all this disappears, in his hands the play becomes a moral and political essay on the events and questions of his time The slaying of Arthur is closely parallel to that of Mary, Queen of Scots, John, like Elizabeth, first suggests, then commands the deed, afterwards feigns horror at its accomplishment and repudiates the perpetrators. John disowned Hubert as Elizabeth did Davison, though in both cases the order for the murder was given under the royal hand and seal In fact, Sir Amyas Paulett, the governor of Fotheringay, knowing his mistress's way, refused to carry out Mary's execution till he had Elizabeth's warrant for the same, which angered her much and she complained of him as a 'dainty precise fellow' for his insistence Again, Philip's disinclination after the loss of Angiers to prosecute the war till the prospect of Arthur's death opens his son's claim to the English crown, resembles the delay of Philip II of Spain to make any senous attack on England till Mary Stuart's death made the Infanta or Duke of Parma possible claimants for the English throne. Louis's intended slaughter of his allies, the English rebel nobles, finds a parallel in the reported intention of the Duke of Medina Sidonia, Commander of the Armada, who declared that, once landed in England, all Catholics and heretics should be one to him, his sword would not discern them! so that he might make way for his master. But Shakespeare's King John extends beyond historical parallels and discusses principles In the case of an usurping ruler, who is to decide between him and the nation what power has commission,

'From the supernal Judge, that stirs good thoughts In any breast of strong authority To look into the blots and stains of right'? (II, 1)

And the answer is found not in the alliance of princes which dissolve when

'That smooth-faced gentleman, tickling Commodity, Commodity, the bias of the world,'

insinuates the prospect of gain to any of the contracting parties—but as we think with Raich, in the action of the Legate Here we disagree with Mr Simpson, who thinks the play teaches, among other lessons, the futility of Papal interference in national disputes We know that Pandulph is regarded generally as being also a slave to commodity, and of changing sides merely as suited the interests of the church No doubt those interests were first with him, but with them were bound up the claims of justice and right and the liberties of the people. He is allied with France to enforce John to submit, but on John's submission he orders, as he was bound, the Dauphin to withdraw his invading force. His mission is completely successful England is reconciled to the Church, France and England are friends again, the rebel nobles are pardoned, the rightful heir to the English throne, and all this is effected by the offices of the Legate and the action of Faulconbridge, the typical Englishman, of whom the poet is so fond. Shakespeare, then, on our view appears to have thought that the appeal to an international tribunal in the person of the Pope was not without its advantages, that the disputes between people and rulers, or between rival sovereigns, found safer, speedier, and more equitable adjustment when settled by a recognised arbitrator, himself the common head of Christendom, than when decided between the contending parties themselves by rebellion or war

H G BEECHING (Bullen's Stratford Sh, vol x, p 346) Shakespeare omits all the ribald abuse of monks and nuns, which is a prominent feature of the earlier play. he omits also such fine Tudor sentiments as this 'As I am King so will I reign next unto God, Supreme Head both over Spiritual and Temporal, and he that contradicts me in this, I will make him hop headless? But while Shakespeare refuses to make of his play a mere Protestant tract, can it be said that he reveals it in any sympathy with the papal cause? If the character of the Legate Pandulph is made less of a caricature, is it made any more attractive? Prof Herford seems to hit the mark exactly when he says of the two plays 'The earlier dramatist treats the crafty legate with malignant hatred, as a curse happily evaded, the latter manages him with fine irony as the wielder of an imposing but not really formidable authority. easily rendered innocuous, incapable of injuring a people true to themselves' Shakespeare had enough respect for historical versimilitude not to antedate by some three centuries the English nobles, even Faulconbridge, as disrespectful to a Cardinal legate But is it credible that any Roman Catholic dramatist would have allowed Pandulph to play so ignominious a part as Shakespeare has assigned him? After John has made his submission, Pandulph says (V, 1, 20)

> 'It was my breath that blew this tempest up, Upon your stubborn usage of the Pope But, since you are a gentle convertite, My tongue shall hush again this storm of war, And make fair weather in your blustering land.

On this Ascension-day, remember well, Upon your oath of service to the Pope, Go I to make the French lay down their arms'

But the next scene shows him quite powerless to fulfil his boast Earlier in the play we have John's defiance of the Pope, which contains the lines—

'And from the mouth of England Add this much more,—that no Italian priest Shall tithe or toll in our dominions',

and following on this the solemn excommunication

'Then by the lawful power that I have'

'Thou shalt stand cursed and excommunicate, And blessed shall he be that doth revolt From his allegiance to a heretic And mentorious shall that hand be called, Canonized and worshipped as a saint, That takes away by any secret course Thy hateful life'

As to the second of these passages Mr Bowden urges that it represents the poet's own feelings toward Elizabeth, in which case it must be reckoned a very undramatic expression of them, for the speech would have aroused no sympathy in the audience. who still had occasion to remember Pius V's bull of depotism. But there is no evidence at all that Shakespeare detested the Oueen, while Sonnet 124 is evidence that he detested the ultramontane policy The first passage Mr Bowden considers a concession to protestant sentiment, prompted by the dramatist's concern for his own safety But in that case, one asks why he should have meddled with the subject of King John at all, which obliged him to please his audience by affronting his own conscience Alternatively, Mr Bowden argues that as King John was a villain, he must not be held to express the sentiments of the dramatist But Shakespeare is always careful to make it plain to the audience when he does not agree with his villains. Has the audience any doubt as to the dramatist's opinion about John's treatment of Arthur? Hubert's conduct and the Barons' revolt are commentary But when John defies Pandulph, he does so 'from the mouth of England,' and no English voice is roused in protest at the time or afterwards These are very elementary considerations, but Mr Bowden's special pleading recognises no principle of criticism, even the most elementary

STAGE HISTORY

From 1598, when King John is mentioned by Meres, down to the closing of the theatres in 1642, we have no record of a stage performance of Shakespeare's tragedy, even after the restoration it is not until 1737 that we find any account of its revival. At that time Cibber offered his adaptation of the play to the managers of Drury Lane Theatre, in reference to this Davies (Dramatic Miscell, 1, 5) says. 'So much was said, and with propnety, by the critics who wrote against Cibber in the public prints, in commendation of Shakespeare's K. John, that Mr Rich very wisely de-

termined to take the hint, and resolved to revive the long-forgotten tragedy The principal parts, if I can trust my memory, were thus divided King John, Mr Delane, the Bastard, Tom Walker (the original Macheath), Hale acted the King of France, and Ryan Cardinal Pandulph, Lady Constance by Mrs Hallam King John was acted several nights with great applause, but the king was not remarkably well represented by Delane, he could not easily assume the turbulent and gloomy passions of the character '—[Malone and Genest give the time and place of this performance Covent Garden Theatre, February 26, 1737—ED]

FLEAY (Introduction, p 10) Unless we are several years wrong in the date, this play must have been produced at the Curtain Theatre in Shoreditch, where Romeo and Juliet was also performed in 1596 The following actors were playing in the Chamberlain's Company in 1594–98 1. John Duke, 2 Christopher Beeston, 3. John Sinkler, 4 Thomas Pope, 5 Augustine Phillips, 6 Richard Burbadge, 10 John Hemings, 11 Robert Cough, 12 Richard Pallant, 13 William Shakespeare I shall not attempt to cast their parts, but believe that anyone with experience in stage representations could do it without much difficulty, and with considerable approximation to the truth

[The following list of performances is taken from Genest.—ED]

```
Covent Garden
                     May 27, 1737 (Tenth time)
   "
           "
                     Sept
                            16, 1737 (Opening night of season.)
   "
           "
                     March 2, 1738
   "
           ٠.
                     Nov
                            29, 1738
           "
                     March 8, 1739
   "
           "
                     Oct
                            22, 1730
   "
                     Aprıl
                             2, 1741
Drury Lane
                     Feb
                            20, 1745, King John Garrick (first time), Bastard
                                       Delane, Hubert Berry, Pandulph
                                       Macklin; Constance Mrs Cibber
  ec
         "
                     Feb
                            22, 1745
  "
         "
                     March 2, 1745 (Eighth time)
Covent Garden
                     Feb
                            23, 1750, King John Quin, Faulconbridge Barry
                                       (first time), Constance Mrs Cibber.
                     April 25, 1751, Constance Mrs Woffington
Drury Lane
                     March 16, 1754
Covent Garden
                     April 17, 1758, King John Sparks, Faulconbridge Barry,
                                       Constance Mrs Bellamy (first time)
                     Dec
                             9, 1760, King John Sparks, Bastard Smith, Con-
                                       stance Mrs Ward
Drury Lane
                     Dec
                            17, 1760, King John T. Sheridan; Bastard Gar-
                                       rick, Constance . Mrs Yates (first time)
  "
         c٤
                     Dec
                            23, 1760 (By his Majesty's command)
  66
         46
                     Aprıl
                             2. 1761 (Same cast as on Dec. 17, 1760)
Covent Garden.
                     Dec.
                            21, 1764, King John Ross; Bastard Smith; Con-
                                       stance .. Mrs Bellamy.
Drury Lane.
                     March 20, 1766, King John. Powell (first time); Bastard
                                       Holland (first time), Constance Mrs
                                       Yates
Covent Garden
                     May
                             6, 1766, King John Ross, Bastard Smith, Con-
                                       stance...Mrs Bellamy.
```

Covent Garden	Oct	31, 1766,	Pandulph Walker.
Drury Lane.	Feb	7, 1767	
Covent Garden	May	28, 1767	
"	Sept	23, 1767	
"	May	3, 1769	
Theatre Royal, Liverpool	July	19, 1773,	King John Wroughton, Bastard Pal- mar, Constance Mrs Mattocks
Covent Garden	Dec	T. T775.	King John T Sheridan, Constance Mrs
		+, +//3,	Barry
" "	Dec	15, 1775	
Theatre Royal, Bath	Nov	14, 1776,	King John Henderson, Bastard Dimond, Constance Miss Mansell
Drury Lane	Nov	29, 1777,	King John Henderson
Covent Garden	March		King John Henderson
Drury Lane	Dec	10, 1783	King John Kemble, Constance Mrs Siddons (first time)
u u	Dec	16, 1783	(Same cast)
Covent Garden	Jan	16, 1784	
Drury Lane	March		King John Kemble, Constance Mrs Sid-
•			dons
"	Feb	12, 1793	
Theatre Royal, Bath	July	1, 1793,	Prince Arthur Miss Murray (her first ap-
			pearance on any stage).
Drury Lane	March		(Same cast as March 1, 1792)
"	Nov	20, 1800,	King John Kemble, Faulconbridge C
			Kemble, Constance .Mrs Powell
66 66	May	13, 1801,	Constance Mrs Siddons (last time of her
			performing this season—she had acted
			Constance about six times)
Theatre Royal, Bath.	Feb	10, 1801	
	Aprıl		Constance Mrs Siddons
Drury Lane	Jan	r and 4,	Constance Mrs Siddons
Covent Garden	Feb.	14, 1804,	King John Kemble, Faulconbridge C
" "	3.5	•	Kemble, Constance Mrs Siddons.
" "	May		(Same cast as Feb 14, 1804)
" "	June	25, 1810	
" "	Oct	27, 1810	Constance Mrs Siddons
" "	Sept	25, 1011,	Constance Mrs Siddons
"	Aprıl	10, 1012,	Constance Mrs Siddons (being her final
	June	0, 1012,	appearance in that character. She re-
			tired from the stage on the 29th)
Theatre Royal, Bath	Dec	T T8T0	King John Kemble, Constance Mrs Wes-
Incane Royal, Dam	Dec	1, 1012,	ton
Covent Garden.	Dec	8. T8TA.	King John Kemble, Constance Mrs Fau-
oovene danden.	200	0, 2014,	cit
" "	Dec. 2	. 5, 7, 12.	King John .Kemble, Faulconbridge .C
		, 3, ,,, 1816.	Kemble; Constance .Miss O'Neill (first
			time)
*"	Aprıl	22, 1817.	King John, .Kemble
	-		

Covent Garden	June	14, 1817, King John Kemble (his final appearance in that character He retired from the stage on the 23d)
cc 66	Dec	17, 1817, King John Young, Constance Miss O'Neill
Drury Lane	June	1, 1818, King John Kean, Faulconbridge Wal- lack, Constance Miss Macauley
Theatre Royal, Bath	Aprıl Jan	17, 1819, King John Young, Constance Mrs Yates 14, 1822
Covent Garden	May	21, 1822, King John Young, Faulconbridge C. Kemble, Hubert Macready, Constance Mrs Faucit
ec es	March	3, 1823, King John Macready (first time), Faul- conbridge C Kemble, Constance. Mrs Faucit
"	Dec	13, 1824, Constance Mrs Bartley
Drury Lane.	Dec	6, 1825, King John Macready, Faulconbridge. Wallack, Constance Mrs Bunn (first time), Blanch Miss Smithson
Covent Garden.	Jan.	15, 1827, King John Young, Faulconbridge C. Kemble.
uu	Jan	22, 1827 (Same cast as on the 15th)
u u	Aprıl	30, 1827.
" "	Oct	26, 1827
" "	Apnl	30, 1829, King John Warde.

[Genest's record ends here, the following additional record is compiled from the daily theatrical announcements in *The Tattler*, edited by Leigh Hunt, Jan. 1 to Dec 31, 1831—ED]

Covent (Garden.	March 21, 1831, Faulconbridge C Kemble; Constance
		Miss F Kemble
"	"	March 23 and 24, 1831 (Same cast as on March 21st)
"	""	April 13 and 18, 1831 (Same cast as on March 21st.)
44	"	Nov 16, 1831 (Same cast as on March 21st)
Drury L	ane	Oct. 24, 1831, King John Macready

[The following are extracted from Miss Phelps's and Forbes-Robertson's Life of Samuel Phelps and Winter's Shakespeare on the Stage, 3d series —ED]

Drury Lane	Oct	24, 1842, King John Macready, Faulconbridge S
		Phelps, Constance. Miss Faucit [Presented twenty-six times during season]
Sadler's Wells	Sept.	30, 1844, King John S Phelps, Faulconbridge H
		Marston, Constance Mrs Warner [Presented eighteen times during season]
Theatre Royal,	Nov.	8, 1847, King John G. V. Brooke; Constance
Manchester.		Miss Glyn (her first appearance on any stage)
Sadler's Wells.	Feb.	27, 1851, King John . S Phelps, Faulconbridge H. Marston, Constance. Miss Glyn

Princess' Theatre.	Feb	9, 185	2, King John C Kean, Constance Mrs Kean		
u u	Oct	17. 185	8 (Same cast as on Feb 9th)		
Drury Lane	Nov		55, King John S Phelps, Faulconbridge J Anderson, Constance Miss Atkinson [From opening of season to Christmas]		
u u	Sept.	24, 186	56, King John S Phelps, Faulconbridge B Sullivan, Constance Mrs Vezin [From opening of season until middle of Oc- tober]		
Crystal Palace	Sept		89, King John H B Tree, Faulconbridge F Macklin, Constance Miss Roselle		
Her Majesty's Theatre	e Sept	20, 189	99, King John H B Tree, Faulconbridge L Waller, Constance Julia Neilson		
[The following list of American performances is taken from Seilhamer, Ireland, T A Brown, and Winter—ED]					
			AMERICA		
Southwark Theatre, I	Phila.	Dec	12, 1768, King John Douglass [First American production]		
John St Theatre, Ne	w York.	Jan April	16, 1769 27, 1769		
Southwark Theatre,	Phila.	Jan	2, 1770, King John Douglass		
New York Theatre		Aprıl			
Baltimore Theatre.		Dec	10, 1782, King John Heard, Faulconbridge		
Danimore inducte.		200	Shakespeare, Constance Mrs Wall		
Park Theatre.		March	1 2, 1798, King John Cooper, Faulconbridge . Hodgkinson, Constance Mrs Melmoth		
<i> </i>		Sept	9, 1811, King John G F Cooke, Faulcon- bridge Cooper		
"		\mathbf{Feb}	-, 1827, King John Macready		
"		Tuly	26, 1831, Constance Mrs Gilfert		
<i>u u</i>		Oct	1, 1832, King John Kemble, Constance		
		000	Miss Kemble		
Bowery Theatre.		Aprıl	30, 1834, King John J B Booth, Faulcon- bridge Hamblin, Constance Mrs McClure		
Park Theatre, New	York.	Nov.	16, 1846, King John C Kean, Constance Mrs Kean [Presented eighteen times]		
Bowery Theatre, No	w York	. May			
¢¢ ¢¢	"	Dec.	29, 1856, King John E L Davenport, Con- stance Mrs Davenport		
Broadway Theatre.		May	3, 1865, King John. C. Kean; Constance Mrs Kean		
Booth's Theatre.		May	25, 1874, King John J. B Booth, Faulcon- bridge .J. McCullough, Constance		
•			. Agnes Booth		

Grand Opera House, Chicago Nov 18, 1907, King John R B Mantell, Constance Marie B Russell

New Amsterdam Theatre, March 8, 1908, King John Mantell, Constance

N. Y M Russell

WINTER (Sh on the Stage, 3d series, p 504) No positive, detailed information of any value is obtainable relative to the manner in which the play of King John was acted on the early American stage Douglass no doubt gave an acceptable performance of the King Cooper was only twenty-two when he first played that part, but he had then been six years on the stage, he had performed the more exacting characters, among others, of Hamlet and Macbeth, and it is probable that he gave a creditable personation, he did not, however, retain King John in his ample repertory (264 parts), and a careful search of many old records has not discovered a specific account of his acting of it He was specially admired for his Faulconbridge Thomas Barry was one of those industrious, patient actors whom Fate ordains to do all things well and nothing greatly, to be faithful to every duty, through a long and toilsome life, and always to remain respected, and poorly rewarded and Barry was long the stage-manager of the old Park Theatre, undistinguished and when Macready at that house played King John, 1827, he was the Faulconbridge The elder Booth is not extolled for his personation of King John in any of the various records of his remarkable career, but the actor who could portray, as he did, the remorse of Sir Edward Mortimer, and the subtle malignity and demoniac fury of Sir Giles Overreach could not have failed to incarnate the baleful personality and fierce, contending passions of King John Hamblin, better fitted for characters of light calibre, such as Duke Aranza [Tobin's, The Honeymoon] and Petruchio, than for those which require portraval of tragic conflict and poignant suffering, proved unequal to King John, nor could the Constance of his associate, that powerful actress Mrs Shaw, redeem Brougham's production of the play from precipitate E. L. Davenport, one of the best of actors (so versatile that he charmed the public in parts as various as Hamlet, St Marc, Sir Giles, Damon, and the sailorboy William), must surely have excelled in King John His Sir Giles was second to that of only the elder Booth J B Booth, Jr, though heavy and uninteresting as the King, was technically correct I recall that his presentment of the tragedy was vitalized and made impressive by the dignity, tenderness, and fine art with which Agnes Booth played Constance, and by the manly, humorous, brilliant acting of John McCullough as Faulconbridge Merriment tinctured with scorn glimmered like sunshine over this latter personation, the manner of it was bluff, the spirit of it was chivalrous, and at moments, with Hubert and with the dying king, it was rightly diffused with deep feeling Madame Modjeska, who acted Constance, though not often and never in New York, honored me by asking my counsel, before she reviewed King John, as to the feasibility of condensing the tragedy. She wished to revive the play solely for the sake of Constance, and, merely to expedite her work and fulfil her desire, as an act of friendship, I made a version of it for her use, omitting the First Act and curtailing the other four. That version, with some modification, she used, but, as it did not remotely indicate what I deem proper treatment of the tragical history, without mention of me as accessory to the barbarous dismemberment Her object was to concentrate attention on Constance, and that object she accomplished Her royal demeanour, mournful beauty, and great tenderness of feeling, combined with her beautiful art, made her performance distinguished, lovely, and pathetic She seems to me to have been better fitted to the part than any other actress who has appeared since the time of Riston Her presentation of the garbled tragedy, however, was foredoomed to practical failure Constance is not the central figure of the play and cannot be made so

COSTUME

BOADEN (11, 62) I preserve the dresses of Mrs Siddons where I find a note of them in my papers in Constance she wore a black body and train of satin, and a petticoat of white, disposed in certainly the most tasteful forms of the day. The true actress is in everything an artist, the genius before us dishevelled her hair with graceful wildness.

C. KEAN (Acting Edition)

King John —First dress Crimson damask gown, with rich jewelled belt, cloth of gold undergown, cloth of gold robe, with jewelled border, lined with green silk, scarlet stockings, black and gold shoes, white jewelled gloves, gold crown richly jewelled, beard and moustache Second dress Chain armour, arms, legs, and hood, crimson velvet surcoat, showered with gold, gold waist-belt and sword, plain gold crown, gold spurs, crimson shield, with three gold lions.

Prince Henry —Scarlet cloth gown, white undergown, blue robe, crimson cap, waist belt

Arthur—Light blue velvet gown, showered with gold, cap ditto Second dress-Tight blue jacket, open in front, showing a white shirt, full breeches, tied below the knee, blue stockings and russet shoes, being the disguise of the sailor boy of the 13th century

Earl of Salisbury —Fawn colored gown, tight sleeves, rich waist belt hanging in front, blue cloth robe, red stockings, black pointed-toed shoes, embroidered with gold, white gloves with gold embroidery, red velvet cap with gold, sword

Other English Nobles —Same fashion as Salisbury's, varying the colours. All wear beards Second dress All in chain armour, with various surcoats and weapons, with their respective arms on their shields

Philip Faulconbridge—Buff gown, below the knee edged with blue, with blue hood thrown back, buff stockings, and black pointed shoes. Second dress Chain mail with yellow surcoat

 $Robert\ Faul conbridge$ —Same as first dress of Philip Faul conbridge, but of other colours

James Gurney —Dark coloured cloth shirt, with hood thrown back, dark stockings and russet shoes

Peter of Pomfret —Long drab shirt, with a dark cloak or scarf, flesh coloured legs and rude sandals, long white hair and beard

English Herald —Part-coloured gown of red and blue, with a bag on the right hip, with the three lions of England emblazoned, one stocking red, and the other blue, red hood thrown back, and white wand. Two attendants dressed in a similar manner without wand.

Sheriff of Northamptonshire —Dark gown with hood, with a gold collar and dagger

Philip of France -Rich surcoat.

Chatillon and Attendants —In first scene dressed in long gowns and cloaks, very richly embroidered, with Phrygian caps, change to armour at close of 3d act

French Nobles -In surcoats of various colours, each carrying his arms on shield.

Austria — A suit of mail armour, with a lion's skin on his back

French Herald and Attendant —Same fashion as English, only blue and white Cardinal Pandulph —Scarlet robe

Queen Elinor —White long gown, figured with gold, richly jewelled belt, embroidered crimson robe, crown, and cowl

Constance -Black velvet dress, embroidered

Blanch —Blue long gown flowered with gold, jewelled waist belt, and white veil Lady Faulconbridge —Grey cloth long gown, trimmed with black and gold, black velvet robe, and cowl

Knight (Introduction, p 8) The effigy of King John in Worcester cathedral. which, by the examination of the body of the monarch, was proved to present a facsimile of the royal robes in which he was interred, affords us a fine specimen of the royal costume of the period A full robe or supertunic of crimson damask, embroidered with gold, and descending to the mid leg, is girdled around the waist with a golden belt studded with jewels, having a long end pendent in front. An under tunic of cloth of gold descends to the ankles, and a mantle of the same magnificent stuff, lined with green silk, depends from his shoulders, the hose are red, the shoes black, over which are fastened gilt spurs by straps of silk, or cloth, of a light blue colour, striped with green and yellow or gold The collar and sleeves of the supertunic have borders of gold studded with jewels. The backs of the gloves were A kneeling effigy of Philip Augustus, engraved in Montfaucon. shows the similarity of fashion existing at the same time in France and England. The nobles, when unarmed, appeared to have been attired in the same manner, viz. in the tunic, supertunic, and mantle, with hose, short boots, or shoes, of materials more or less rich according to the means or fancy of the wearer Cloth, silk, velvet. and gold, and silver tissues, with occasionally furs of considerable value, are mentioned in various documents of the period A garment called a bliaus (from whence probably the modern French blouse) appears to have been a sort of supertunic or surcoat in vogue about this time, and in winter it is said to have been lined with fur. The common Norman mantle used for travelling, or out-of-door exercise, had a capuchon to it, and was called the capa A curious mistake has been made by Mr Strutt respecting this garment In his Horda Angel Cynan, vol 11, p 67, he states that 'when King John made Thomas Sturmey a knight, he sent a mandamus before to his Sheriffs at Hantshire to make the following preparations "A scarlet robe, certain close garments of fine linen, and another robe of green, or burnet, with a cap and plume of feathers, &c." The words in the mandamus are "capa ad pluua," a capa, or cloak, for rainy weather ' (Vide Excerota Historica, London, Bentley, 1883, p. 303) The capuchon, or hood, with which this garment was furnished, appears to have been the usual covering for the head, but hats and caps, the former of the shape of the classical Petasus, and the latter sometimes of the Phrygian form, and sometimes flat and round like the Scotch bonnet, are occasionally met with in the twelfth century. The beaux, however, during John's reign, curled and crisped their hair with irons, and bound only a slight fillet round the head, seldom wearing caps, in order that their locks might be seen and admired The beard was closely shaven, but John and the nobles of his party are said to have worn both beard and moustache out of contempt for the discontented Barons. The fashion of gartering up the long hose, or Norman chausses, sandal-wise prevailed among all classes, and when, on the legs of persons of rank, these bandages are seen of gold stuff, the effect is very gorgeous and picturesque The dress of the ladies may best be understood from an

examination of the effigies of Elinor, Queen of Henry II, and of Isabella, Queen of King John, and the figure of Blanch of Castile on her great seal Although these personages are represented in what may be called royal costume, the general dress differed nothing in form, however, it might in material It consisted of one long full robe or gown, girdled round the waist, and high in the neck, with long tight sleeves to the wrist (in the Sloane MS above mentioned the hanging cuffs in fashion about forty years earlier appear on one figure), the collar sometimes fastened with a brooch, the head bound by a band or fillet of jewels, and covered with a wimpel or veil To the girdle was appended, occasionally, a small pouch or aulmoniere The capa was used in travelling, and in winter pelisses (Pelices, pelisons) richly furred (whence the name) were worn under it King John orders a grey pelisson with nine bars of fur to be made for the Queen Short boots, as well as shoes, were worn by The King orders four pair of women's boots, one of them to be fretatus de giris (embroidered with circles), but the robe, or gown, was worn so long that little more than the tips of the shoes are seen in illuminations or effigies of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, and the colour is generally black, though there can be no doubt that they were occasionally of cloth of gold or silver richly em-Gloves do not appear to have been generally worn by the females, but, as marks of nobility, when they were worn they were jewelled on the back The mantle and robe or tunic, of the effigy of Queen Elinor, are embroidered all over with golden crescents This may have been some family badge, as the crescent and star are seen on the great seal of Richard I, and that monarch is said to have possessed a mantle nearly covered with half moons and orbs of shining The armour of the time consisted of a hauberk and chausses made of leather, covered with iron rings set up edgewise in regular rows, and firmly stitched upon it, or with small overlapping scales of metal like the Lorica squamata of the Romans The hauberk had a capychon attached to it, which could be pulled over the head or thrown back at pleasure Under this was sometimes worn a close iron skull-cap, and at others the hood itself was surmounted by a 'chapel de fer,' or a large cylindrical helmet, flattened at the top, the face being defended by a perforated plate or grating, called the 'aventaile' (Avant taille), fastened by screws or hinges to the helmet A variety of specimens of this early vizored head-piece may be seen on the seals of the counts of Flanders in Olivarius Vredius' History, and the seal of Prince Louis of France (one of the personages of this play) exhibits a large and most clumsy helmet of this description The seal of King John presents us with a figure of the monarch wearing over his armour the military surcoat as yet undistinguished by blazonry. On his head is either a cylindrical helmet, without the aventaile, or a cap of cloth It is difficult, from the state of the impressions, to decide which He bears the knightly shield, assuming at this period the triangular or heart shape, but exceedingly curved or embowed, and emblazoned with the three hons, or leopards, passant regardant, in pale, which are first seen on the shield of his brother, Richard I. The spur worn at this period was the goad or pryck spur, without a rowel principal weapons of the knights were the lance, the sword, and the battle-axe The shape of the sword may be best ascertained from the effigy of King John, who holds one in his hand, the pommel is diamond shaped, and has an oval cavity in the centre for a jewel. The common soldiery fought with bills, long and crossbows, slings, clubs, and a variety of rude but terrific weapons, such as scythes fastened to poles (the falcastrum), and a sort of a spear, with a hook on one side, called the guisarme. The arbalast, or cross-bow, is said to have been invented in the previous reign, but Wace mentions it as having been known to the Normans before the conquest Engines of war, called the mangonell and the petrana, for throwing heavy stones, are mentioned by Guliel. Britto in his *Philippus*, 1, 7

'Interea prossos petraria mittit ab intus Assidue lapides mangonellusque minores'

And in the close rolls of John is an order, dated 2d April, 1208, to the Bailiff of Porchester, to cause machines for flinging stones, called petrariae and mangonelli, to be made for the King's service, and to let Drogo de Dieppe and his companions have iron and other things necessary for making of them—Philip sent to his son Louis a military engine, called the malvoisine (bad neighbour), to batter the walls of Dover Castle

PLANCHE (Dramatic Costume, pt 1) 'What the habits, both civil and military. were in the reign of King John, Henry III, and the succeeding ages,' says Camden. 'may better appear by their monuments, old glass windows, and ancient arras, than be found in writers of those times ' 'Silk,' however, he informs us, 'was first brought into use in the reign of Henry II I mean bombacyna, made by silk worms, which came out of Greece into Sicile, and then into other parts of Christendom, for sericum, which was a doune kembed off from trees among the seres in East India, as byssus was a plant or kind of silk grass, as they now call it, were unknown was also a costly stuff at those times here in England, called in Latin aurifrisium. what it was named in English, I know not, neither do imagine it was auriphrygium. and to signify embroidery with gold as opera phrygia were embroideries Whatsoever it was, much desired it was by the Popes and highly esteemed in Italy 'Strutt tells us that the mantels worn by the Norman monarchs and the principal nobility were made of silk, linen, and of the finest cloths that could be procured, embroidered often with silver and gold, and lined with the most costly furs, they were also in many instances ornamented with fringes and decorated with pearls. We may form some idea of the richness of the mantles in the 12th century from the following extract 'Robert Black, second Bishop of Lincoln, made a present to Henry Ist of a mantle of exquisitely fine cloth, line with Black sables with white spots, which cost £100 of the money of that time' (equal in value to £1500 of the present money); 'and Richard the Ist. possessed a mantle still more splendid, and probably more expensive, which is said to have been striped in strait lines, adorned with half moons of solid silver, and nearly covered with shining orbs in imitation of the system of the heavenly bodies,' Strutt's Dress and Habits, vol. 1. The armour worn during the reign of King John consisted of a cylindrical helmet, a skull cap, and hawberk, or complete suit of mail, and a surcoat of arms, the use of the latter originated, it is believed, with the crusaders, both for the distinguishing of the many different nations serving under the banners of the cross, and to throw a veil over the iron armour, so apt to heat excessively when exposed to the direct rays of the sun The first instance of an emblazoned surcoat is in the lives of the two Offas, by the hand of Mathew Paris, which cannot be much earlier than 1250, the fashion was not generally prevalent till the commencement of the 14th century; those painted on the monumental effigies of Robert of Normandy and William Longespee appear to have been done long after the tombs were constructed. 'The Queen and other great ladies were cloathed in modest elegant habits, consisting of a loose gown girded around the waist, which reached to the ground, and a vail over their heads chief distinction between the married and unmarried ladies appears to be an additional robe over the gown, which hangs down before, not unlike the sacerdotal habit of a priest ' 'The chief dress of the common people was a short jacket, barely reaching to the knees, girt round the loins. Their heads they covered with a kind of hood ' 'The Normans constantly shaved their beards all over, and did not leave the upper lip unshorn, as the English in the time of the Confessor used to do, therefore, say the old historians, when Harold sent spies to watch motions, and discover the number of Normans, they returned and declared that they had not seen any soldiers, but an army of priests, the priests always holding it indecent to wear beards, and again Mathew Paris tells us that William, the seditious Londoner, in the reign of Richard the First, had the surname cum barba, from his obstinately wearing the beard, in despite of the custom of the Normans, which was to be close shaven'—Strutt's Antiquities King John and the nobles of his party were, however, exceptions to this general rule

King John—First dress Authority His effigy, in the choir of Worcester Cathedral

'This statue is five feet, one inch long, it is carved in Purbeck marble, and was probably made immediately after the Monarch's decease, since as a work of art it is less admirable than the better kind of sculpture, executed later in the r3th century. He is habited in a vest, thickly plaited, which appears to have been formerly (perhaps originally) coloured crimson gold. The coronet, sword, boots, and the lion at his feet, have been all gilt. The coronet, which was inscribed, "Johannes Rex Angliæ," the collar, the back of the gloves, the bordure at the bottom of the sleeves, the handle of the hilt sword, contain shallow concavities, of an elliptical form, in which jewels, or other ornaments, were originally placed, &c.' Wild's Illustrations of the architecture and sculpture of the Cathedral Church of Worcester.

King John—Second dress Authorities His great seal, and the impression of a seal used before he ascended the throne, and affixed to one grant in Sir John Cotton's library, and to two in the chamber of the Duchy of Lancaster, in the latter of which the arms are two hons passant, but, 'when he came to be king' (says Sandford, in his Genealogical History) 'he did bear the arms of his brother, King Richard, viz, Gules, three lions passant gardant, Or, for which vide his great seal'

King John is represented on both seals in a hawberk, with sleeves, and wearing a surcoat—the first instance of that garment being worn by a King of England. In his great seal, the armour is not continued on the legs, as in the one made before his accession, and on the authority of which I have represented it here. The armour on both seals, in Sandford, seems to be composed of small scales, but, in the new edition of the Foedera, that on his great seal (the only one there given) has more the appearance of rings placed edgewise, a kind of armour which came into general use about the close of King John's reign, and continued to be partially worn till that of Edward I. Either may be worn with propriety, and the minor details are unimportant to the general effect. The conical helmet of the first, and the cylindrical one of the second seal, have been abandoned for the crown and coif des mailles, the latter being equally correct, and far better adapted for representation on the stage or on canvas.

PRINCE HENRY—Authority An Illumination in a MS in the Cotton Lib B. M. marked Vitellius, A, 13, representing the coronation of Henry III, then but nine years old He is most plainly attired for such a ceremony, and upon comparing his habit with those usually worn by the French princes, and noble youths of the same

age and period, I find little difference, except in the length of the tunic, which I have shortened accordingly

ELINOR, WIDOW OF HENRY II —Authority The queen's effigy, in the Abbey of Fonteveraud

Montfaucon says, 'Son Manteau est d'un azur fonce, semé de fleurs d'or, sa tunique est blanche, semé de fleurs rouge et d'azur '

BLANCH OF CASTILE—There are two engravings of this lady in Montfaucon, vol 2, pl 17, but they both represent her as Queen of Lewis VIII Vide Gough's Sep Mon, vol 1

AN ENGLISH NOBLEMAN OF THE 13TH CENTURY IN his state dress—Authority MS in Bodleian Lib 86, Arch B

This costume may be worn by Geoffrey Fitz Peter, Earl of Essex, and all the English nobles in the first scene of this play, the colours being varied at the fancy of the wearer

WILLIAM, SURNAMED LONGESPEE, OR LONGSWORD, EARL OF SALISBURY—Authorities Effigy of the earl, on the south side of the nave of Salisbury Cathedral. The jewelled fillet denoting his rank from the effigy of Alveric de Vere, second Earl of Oxford, a nobleman much favoured by King John—Vide Gough's Sepulchral Monuments, vol I The banner in the background quarterly, Gules and Or, in the first a mullett of five points, Argent, for Aubrey or Alveric de Vere, second Earl of Oxford, above mentioned—Vide Vincent, Yorke, &c

This William Longespee, so called from a long sword which he usually wore, was the base son of King Henry II by Rosamond Clifford, commonly called Fair Rosamond He departed this life in the nones of March, anno 1226, having been poisoned (as it is reported) by Hubert de Burgo, Earl of Kent He bore the arms of his father-in-law, William Fitz-Patrick, Earl of Salisbury, viz., Azure, six lions rampant, Or, 3, 2, and I—Vide Sanford's Geneal Hist

WILLIAM MARESCHAL, EARL OF PEMBROKE—Authorities Effigies of the earl, in the Temple Church, London,* with additions from the monument of the Earl of Oxford before mentioned

The beard on the upper lip signifies his adherence to the king, who, it is reported, wore a beard in contempt of the barons 'This William's father did beare for his armses Gueuilles a la bande fizelle d'Or; as his seal doth witnesse. But after hee and his sonnes came to be marshals, they used for their armses d'Or, party de Vert, un lyon rampant de Gueulles, sur le tout, arme et lampasse d'Azur.'—Vincent's Discoverie of Brooke's Errors.

PHILIP FAULCONBRIDGE—First dress Authorities Strutt's *Dress and Habits*, and the enamelled figures on King John's silver cup, in the possession of the Corporation of King's Lynn, Norfolk

* It is necessary to observe that the effigy of the Earl of Pembroke, in the Temple Church, is certainly not much older than the time of Edward I, judging from the formation of the coif des mailles, which is considerably rounded, like all those of the latter end of the r3th century I have presented him, therefore, with a skull cap, like that of the Earl of Salisbury, and as it appears in all authentic specimens of the reign of King John

A habit of the same description but of different colours may be worn by Robert Faulconbridge

PHILIP FAULCONBRIDGE—Second dress—and Hubert De Burgh—Authorities Effigy of a knight of the 13th century in Malvern Church, Worcestershire The spear added from a MS in the Cotton Library—B M

ENGLISH SOLDIERS OF THE 13TH CENTURY—Authority A Transcript of Matthew Paris, in Bennet College Library, Cambridge, marked C V XVI

Much difficulty exists in ascertaining the particular description of armour intended to be represented in old illuminations. We know, however, that scale armour, and single ring mail, were worn before and at this period, and that the scale armour was succeeded by that made of little square plates, covering one another in the manner of tiles, from which circumstance, Doctor Meyrick denominates it tegulated —Vide his letter on the Body Armour anciently worn in England, Archaeologia, vol. 19

ENGLISH HERALD, 13TH CENTURY—Authority Strutt's Dress and Habits

'The herald,' says Strutt, 'whose office anciently was that of special messenger, when he appeared in his official capacity, has his Lord's badge or cognizance, attached to some part of his habit The earliest representation that I have met with of a herald is in a manuscript, said to have been written at the commencement of the 13th century He is there delineated kneeling, and holding a charter, or some such kind of an instrument, with a seal hanging from it, his head is covered with a white cap or coife, which is fastened under his chin, and the badge of his office in the form of a shield fastened upon his left side, and apparently to his girdle ' From the plate appertaining to this description it appears that a herald wore the common habit of a retainer, or domestic of the period, his only distinction being the badge above mentioned I have copied the figure faithfully, as far as regards costume, with the exception of the white coife, which being fastened under the chin, would be anything but picturesque upon the stage, and coverings for the head were so seldom worn those days, except in bad weather, that the absence of it is of little consequence. Robert de Suzane, Roy d'Armes, who died 1260, is represented in Montfaucon, in a complete suit of mail, with a hood of mail covering his head, a plain surcoat without sleeves, a sword and a shield, the latter emblazoned with his arms, 'trois chevrons doublez', and, therefore, habited in every respect like a knight of that period

PHILIP, SURNAMED AGUSTUS, KING OF FRANCE-Authority Montfaucon

PRINCE LEWIS—Authority Montfaucon

The only effigies of Lewis in Montfaucon are those which represent him as king I have armed him, therefore, with the exception of the helmet and shield, from an engraving of his half-brother, Philippe Comte de Boulogne, son of Philip Augustus and Agnes de Meranie, his third wife, born in 1200, and who was killed at a tournament in 1233

ARTHUR, DUKE OF BRETAGNE —Designed from costumes of the period.—Vide Montfaucon

In compliance with established usage, I have represented Arthur as a mere child, but according to History he was at this period 'rising to man's estate', and

before he fell into the power of John was knighted, married, and commanded an army Arthur is said to have borne the arms assigned to his father, Earl of Geoffrey, which according to modern genealogists, were Gules, three lions passant gardant, or, a label of five points Argent, as displayed on the shield —Vide Sandford's Geneal History.

CONSTANCE, MOTHER OF ARTHUR Vide Montfaucon

FRENCH KNIGHT OF THE 13TH CENTURY—Authority Montfaucon
Costume for Melun and the Nobles of the French party The distinguishing
mark of a French knight was the shield hanging on his left thigh, the guige, or
belt, passing over his right hip

CARDINAL PANDULPH. Picart informs us that 'cardinals were only the common vestments of priests, which were like the monkish habit till the time of Innocent IV.' (A D 1243), who gave them the red hat, in the council of Lyons, but they first used it, according to De Curbio, the year after the council, that is, 1246, on occasion of an interview between the Pope and Lewis IX of France 'That the Cardinals were allowed,' however, 'to wear red shoes and red garments, in the time of Innocent III. raised the see, A D 1198, appears from several writers who flourished at that time, but by what pope that privilege was granted them is uncertain' Vide Picart's Religious Ceremonies, Bower's Lives of the Popes, &c

LEOPOLD, DUKE OF AUSTRIA The introduction of Leopold, Duke of Austria, in this play, Constance's addressing him by the title of Limoges, and the repeated allusions to his having killed Richard Cœur de Lion, &c, are errors sufficiently notorious. I have not been able to obtain any positive authority for the costume of this character further than the general representations and descriptions of temporary warniors and Crusaders. A complete suit of mail, with a surcoat nearly reaching to the heels, appears to have been the universal European war dress of the nobility at the commencement of the 13th century. Shakespeare, however, has made the lion's hide too particular an object to be dispensed with, and as the duke has been so unceremoniously compelled to 'burst his cerements,' and 'revisit thus the glimpses of the moon,' we will not quarrel with him for wearing an extra skin on so peculiar an expedition. The battle-axe in his hand is from a drawing of one kept in the Belfort tower, at Ghent, weighing about eighteen pounds, and said to have belonged to Baldwin Bras de Fer, Earl of Flanders. Richard I. is reported to have wielded one very similar.

'This King Richard, I understond,
Yer he went out of Englond,
Let make an axe for the nones,
Therewith to cleave the Saracen's nobes,
The head in south was wrought full weele,
Thereon were twenty pounds of steele,
And when he came to Cyprus lond,
This ilkon axe he took in hond'

Leopold VII. second Duke of Austria, bore originally, Azure, six larks, Or, but in consequence of his surcoat, which was cloth of silver, being covered with blood, with the exception of the belt, at the siege of Ptolemais (Acre), he assumed the de-

vice displayed on his shield, viz, Gules, a Fess, Argent He died A D 1194—Vide Henninges, Theatrum Genealogicum, vol 3, Camden's Remains, Nisbett's System of Heraldry, &c [The following standard works on Costume may also be consulted Paul Lacroix Manners, Customs and Dress During the Middle Ages; J R Planche Cyclopædia of Costume, D C Calthrop English Costume, vol 1, Kretschmer & Rohrbach Costumes of All Nations, J Strutt Dress and Habits of the People of England, Ibid Regal Antiquities—Ed]

ACTORS' INTERPRETATIONS

F GENTLEMAN (Dram Censor, 11, 167) Mr Quin was the first we remember to see figure away in royal John, and, as in most of his tragedy undertakings, he lumbered through the part in a painful manner, growled some passages, bellowed others, and chaunted the rest Mr Churchill has sneered at Mr Mossop for browbeating the French king, had he seen and remembered the gentleman under consideration, he would have thought the poor tame monarch in danger of being swallowed up alive by his voracious brother of England Mr [Thomas] Sheridan has. no doubt, impaired as his faculties are at present, very striking merit, where he is working Hubert to the murder of the prince his utterance and attendant looks are highly picturesque. We allow him to be also deserving of praise where he upbraids Hubert with so readily obeying his bloody orders, but in other scenes of the four first acts, low as they are, he sinks beneath them, in dying, he overacts to a degree of particular offence Mr Mossop, whom we have been obliged to find fault with upon several occasions, here deserves our warmest praise, and we are happy to give That stiffness and premeditated method which, in other characters, took off from his great powers and good conception, being less visible in his King The rays of glowing ment here broke upon us unclouded and dazzling, where the author's genius soared aloft, he kept pace with equal wing, where Shakespeare flagged, he bore him up, wherefore, we are venturous to affirm that no performer ever made more of good and bad materials mingled together than Mr Mossop did in this play Mr Powell was too boyish, he wanted weight and depth of expression to excel in John Of the chip-in-pottage French king we shall say nothing, as no actor can make anything of him, nor can his son, for the like reason, deserve much notice However, we remember two performers that are worth mention, one Mr Lacy, who did more in the Dauphin than criticism had any right to expect, and Mr The Cibber, who was undoubtedly the venest bantam-cock of tragedy that ever crowed, strutted, and flapped its wings on a stage The Cardinal is a very well drawn churchman of those times, subtle, proud, irascible, rather prone to promote than prevent public calamities, where his master's interest seems concerned, a mere politician, not incumbered with delicacy of principle, or the feelings of humanity, he is not in favour of the actor, yet appeared very respectable in Mr Havard's performance of him, no other person strikes our recollection The Bastard is a character of great peculiarity, bold, spirited, free—indeed, too free spoken, he utters many noble sentiments, and performs brave actions, but in several places descends to keep attention from drowsing, at the expense of all due decorum, and what is very disgraceful to furious composition, causes the weaker part of an audience to laugh at some very weak, punning concerts Mr Ryan had some merit in this part, by no means equal to what he showed in many others The unhappy impediment of his utterance being more conspicuous in it than usual. Mr Sheridan

has apologized for it, but from what we have already said concerning his executive abilities, the reader may easily judge how very unlike the character he must be Mr Holland was too stiff, and made too much use of his strong lungs Mr Smith is pretty and spirited, but wants weight and bluntness We have seen one Mr Fleetwood appear in it this season, at the Haymarket, with every fault of Mr Holland improved, and all his strokes of merit diminished. If ever Mr Garrick's figure made against him, it was in this part, he struck out some lights and beauties which we never discovered in the performance of any other person, but there was a certain petiteness which rather shrunk the character, and cut short the usual excellence of this truly great actor Upon the whole, we are obliged to declare that our idea of the Bastard and Shakespeare's meaning, to our knowledge, has never been properly filled Mr Barry, for external appearance and general execution, comes nearest This remark may serve to show that though we greatly admire, and have hitherto warmly praised our English Roscius, we are not so idolatrously fond of his extensive merit as to think him always foremost in the race of fame. Hubert. though upon the whole an agreeable agent, is by no means an estimable personage. he appears in a very recommendatory light, and favours representation where there are any tolerable feelings Messrs Sparks and Berry did him very considerable justice, and Mr Bensley has exhibited him with deserved approbation, we cannot say so much for Mr Gibson At the Haymarket, Mr Gentleman has passed muster, as not having conceived or ill expressed the part, but we cannot, as a public performer, congratulate him much on the happiness of his figure or features Prince Arthur is a very amiable and interesting character of the drama, we have seen it done affectingly by several children, whose names we forgot, however, recollect being particularly pleased with Miss Reynolds, now Mrs Saunders, some twenty years since Who did the revolting lords has entirely escaped our memory, except at Mr Foote's, this summer, and those gentlemen who personated them there may wish to be forgot also. Every one of the female characters are too contemptible for notice except Constance, she, indeed, seems to have been an object of great concern with the author, and very seldom fails to make a deep impression upon the audience, her circumstances are peculiarly calculated to strike the feeling heart, dull, very dull must that sensation be which is not affected with the distress of a tender parent, expressed in such pathetic, forcible terms, even Mrs Woffington, who, from dissonance of tones might be called the screech-owl of tragedy, drew many tears in this part, to which her elegant figure and adequate deportment did not a little contribute. A fine woman robed with grief is a leading object of pity Mrs Cibber, in the whole scope of her great excellence, never showed her tragic feelings and expression to more advantage than in Constance, there was a natural tendency to melancholy in her features, which heightened in action, and became so true an index of a woe-fraught mind, that with the assistance of her nightingale voice, she became irresistible, and almost obliged us to forget every other character in raptured contemplation of her ment. Mrs Bellamy fell far, very far short of the forementioned lady, and cathedralized the unhappy princess offensively Mrs Yates and Mrs Barry have both powerful capabilities for the part, but can never justly hope to equal their great predecessor, Mrs Cibber, who must be always remembered with pleasure and regret by all persons of taste, who had the happiness to shed the sacrifice of tears at the shrine of her melting powers

DAVIES (Life of Garrick, i, 332) Shakespeare's King John was played with great success at Drury Lane (1744) The King was personated by Mr Garrick with

very great skill, and unusual energy of action, but it must be confessed that Mrs Cibber, by an uncommon pathetic ardour in speaking, and a surprising dignity of action and deportment, threw every actor in the play at a great distance. This had a greater effect, from her having never before attempted characters where power of voice and action were so greatly requisite to express the passions of rage. anguish, and despair. This tragedy had, on Mrs Cibber's engagement at Covent Garden, been discontinued for several years at Drury Lane, but, soon after she returned to that theatre, Mr Garrick revived it in 1755 He then took the part of the Bastard, and gave the King to Mr Mossop When the two principal characters of this tragedy were divided between Mr Garrick and Mr Sheridan, the former chose the King, and he actually consented that the Bastard should be Mr Sheridan's part Secretly he was determined to the contrary, and after making some apology to Mr Sheridan, he endeavoured to persuade him to exchange parts, to which he was extremely averse, indeed, I know not for what reason, for though he well understood the sense of the part, yet there is in the Bastard Falconbridge an exuberant wantonness of humour and an excessively romantic spirit of gallantry which Mr Sheridan could not assume Nor could Mr Garrick, with all his spirit and art, attain perfectly to the full exhibition of the character, he was so defective in the mechanical part of it, I mean height, look, and sinew, that he was obliged to search carefully for a proper actor to play his half-brother, one with a consumptive look and a meagre form, to contrast and set off his own person, and though in this he met with tolerable success, yet still there was an apparent deficiency, nor did the speeches which related to the Bastard's manly form produce the expected effect It is but justice to the memory of Walker, who was the original actor of Macheath. to say that he performed Shakespeare's Bastard in King John with such native humour, spirited action, and vigorous deportment that, I think, no actor has, since his time, given an equal idea of the part Mr Sheridan was, by continual solicitation of the manager, prevailed upon at last to take the part of King John, and in this compliance, I think, he gained great advantage to himself the deep tones of his voice, and the vehemence of his action, were well adapted to the turbulent and gloomy passions of John In the scene with Hubert in the third act his representation of the anxiety and distress of a mind which labours to disclose and is afraid to discover a secret big with death and horror was expressed with the feelings of one who is a master of the human passions. That accurate observer of the players' deficiencies, Churchill, could not withhold his approbation of Sheridan's action in King John, though in his panegyric he threw some ludicrous strokes on his excesses in look and action The play was acted several nights, and was honoured with the King's command. Sheridan's success in King John heightened Garrick's jealousy, especially when he was informed by a very intimate acquaintance that the King was uncommonly pleased with that actor's representation of the part
This was a bitter cup, and, to make the draught still more unpalatable, upon his asking whether His Majesty approved his playing the Bastard, he was told, without the least compliment to his action, it was imagined that the king thought the character was rather too bold in the drawing, and that the colouring was overcharged and glaring. Mr Garrick, who had been so accustomed to applause, and who of all men living most sensibly felt the neglect of it, was greatly struck with a preference given to another, and which left him out of all consideration, and though the boxes were taken for King John several nights successively, he would never after permit the play to be acted.

C NEUMANN

BIELSCHOWSKY (Life of Goethe, 11, 96) Among the five members whom the Weimar Court Theatre retained from Bellomo's troupe was Christiane Neumann, scarcely thirteen years old, but mature far beyond her years, an unusually talented and charming girl, who had been a favourite with the public ever since her first appearance upon the stage, at the age of ten—Goethe took it upon himself to prepare her for the highest performances, and his efforts were crowned with glorious success—Unfortunately this early blossom withered quickly—Married at the age of fifteen, she died in September, 1797, before she had reached the age of nineteen—Upon her grave Goethe placed as an unfading wreath of laurel the elegy Euphrosyne—In this elegy he has her describe how he, as her 'teacher, friend, and father,' taught her the first important rôle, that of Arthur in Shakespeare's King John (performed on the 29th of November, 1791)

Can'st thou the hour still recall, when thou on the stage at rehearsal Taughts me of tragical art all the more serious steps? I was a boy, and an innocent child, thou calledst me Arthur, And in me didst fulfil Shakespeare's poetical dream, Threaten'dst with red-glowing irons to burn out my sight, then turnedst, Deeply affected, away, hiding thy tear-streaming eyes Ah! thy heart was so tender, thou sparedst the life full of sorrow, Which an adventurous leap finally brought to a close Tenderly lifting my shattered form, from thence thou didst bear me Folded so close to thy breast, long did I feign I was dead When I my eyes at length opened, I saw thee tenderly gazing. Earnest and still and sad, over thy favourite bowed Childlike I raised up my head, and, thy hands in gratitude kissing. Offered thee as a reward innocent kiss on my lips, Ouestioned thee 'Wherefore, my father, so serious? If 'twas a failure Oh! then show me, I pray, how I may better succeed Nought that for thee I attempt doth annoy me, every least detail Oft will I gladly repeat, taught and guided by thee' Thou didst clasp me with might and caress me with passionate fondness. But my heart at the thought shuddered deep in my breast 'No, my lovely one,' thou didst exclaim, 'in every least detail Play for the folk on the morn just as to-day thou hast played Touch their emotions as mine thou hast touched, and, applauding thy playing, Glorious tears shall run down e'en from the dryest of eyes But 'tis thy friend, who embraceth thee, thou hast most deeply affected, Likeness of premature death causing him deepest dismay

MRS SIDDONS

FLETCHER (p 22). The remarks extracted from Mrs Siddons's memoranda on the character of Constance, whom she designates as 'the majestic, the passionate, the tender,' show that she felt and appreciated the essential tenderness of the character more fully and justly than the literary critic of her own sex, [Mrs Jameson] Still we find, from a careful perusal of the great actress's observations, that the ideas of pride and majesty and command unduly predominate in her conception of the 'gentle Constance' Our source of this error it is important to point out. The first mention of Constance in the play speaks of her as 'that am-

bitious Constance', and we affirm most confidently that there is not another syllable in the piece from which it is possible to infer ambition on her part. It is quite plain that the indolence or carelessness of most readers—a carelessness or indolence of which we might cite many similar examples—has caused this description of Constance to pass with them as the dramatist's own view of the character But what is the fact? That these words come from the lips of Constance's deadly enemy and rival, Queen Elinor, who almost in the same breath confesses to us the fact of her and her son John's usurpation This same essential fact, attested by their own words, leaves not the smallest scope for ambition in Constance, even supposing that the poet had, which he has not, represented her as loving power for its own sake Surely it is no more a proof of ambition, that she desires to see her son possessed of a crown which is his birthright, than it is of covetousness for a man to desire the payment of a debt which is justly due to him. Yet we find even the acute perception of Mrs Siddons to have been misled by the prevailing prepossession. though, abandoning the most absurd form of it, she says, 'I believe I shall not be thought singular when I assert, that though she has been designated the ambitious Constance, she has been ambitious only for her son It was for him, and him alone. that she aspired to and struggled for hereditary sovereignty' The same mistaken impression leads the great performer to speak repeatedly of 'disappointed ambition.' 'baffled ambition,' as among the indignant feelings of Constance at the treachery of her allies To the same source it must surely be attributed that this interesting critic tells us at the very outset of her observations 'Mv idea of Constance is that of a lofty and proud spirit, associated with the most exquisite feelings of maternal tenderness' This mistake of regarding her, in the grand scene with her treacherous protectors, as possessed by a pride inherent and personal, instead of seeing that her sublime scorn and indignation spring exclusively from her deep, keen sense of violated friendship, now added with lightning suddenness to outraged right and feeling and affection, lent, we suspect, a colouring not quite appropriate, a too predominant bitterness and asperity of tone, to Mrs Siddons's acting of this scene, majestic and wonderful as it must have been The sarcasms, we fear, were uttered too much in the manner of a woman habitually sarcastic, and she seems to have fallen somewhat into the same error which we have pointed out in Mrs Jameson's criticism, of confounding with mere frenzy the awful poetry that bursts from the tortured heart of the herome 'Goaded and stung,' she stays, 'by the treachery of her faithless friends, and almost maddened by the injuries they have heaped upon her, she becomes desperate and ferocious as a hunted tigress in defence of her young, and it seems that existence itself must surely issue forth with the utterance of that frantic and appalling exclamation-

"A wicked day, and not a holy day! &c "'

Yet Constance might more justly be likened to a hunted hind than a hunted tigress; nor should her exclamations on this occasion, however appalling, be termed frantic. In all this the poet, ever true to nature, has observed a due gradation. Here, indeed, is grief at its utmost, its proudest intensity, but here is no despair—she is not even on the way to frenzy, as we find her to be in the scene which follows the capture of her son

EDMUND KEAN

HAWKINS (11, 50). Douglas was succeeded by King John on the 1st of June Miss Macauley had exceeded the tragedian's expectations in Lady Randolph, and he

gladly assigned her the part of Constance for the occasion His King John, without disturbing the impression which John Kemble had created by his performance of the character, was nobly represented The absolute triumph was won, as might be expected, in the scene where he darkly intimated to Hubert his desire for Arthur's death Churchill's lines on Sheridan possessed the full extent of their application here

'Behold him sound the depths of Hubert's soul, Whilst in his own contending passions roll, View the whole scene, with critic judgement scan, And then deny him merit if you can'

In this and the subsequent scene where his remorseful fear prompted to overwhelm the supposed murderer with indignation, his characteristic fertility of expedient and quickness of invention were brought into conspicuous play The wily, circuitous. and serpent-like approaches in the former derived a vivid and appropriate colouring from his action, voice, and force of feeling, from which they all drew the impulse of 'dire and fatal persuasion', and the latter comprehended everything that could be wished for, no less than it exhibited a fine combination of energy and skill His ardent display of fire in his passionate reply to the Cardinal's denunciation, and the qualms of conscience which he suffered when Hubert constantly recurred to the supposed murder of Arthur, were finely drawn, vigorous, and impressive pictures The natural truth which pervaded the death scene elevated him in that part to a proud superiority over his predecessors. He did not destroy the reality by the exhibition of more energy than belongs to the exhausted powers of a dying man, he did not caricature and posturize in the representation of this awful close of human life No, his delineation here stood in the place of nature. In the other scenes, where studied dignity predominates in the place of passion, he appeared to considerable disadvantage, neither being seconded by that premeditated regularity of art which, indispensable to the due effect of the character in the parts referred to, conformed so well with the statuesque inflexibility of Kemble as to have rendered the King John of the latter one of the most admired and successful of his impersonations

I P KEMBLE

HAZLITT (View of English Stage, p 271) (King John was revived at Covent Garden, Dec 3, 1816.) We went to see Mr Kemble's King John, and he became the part so well, in costume, look, and gesture, that if left to ourselves, we could have gone to sleep over it, and dreamt that it was fine, and 'when we waked, have cried to dream again ' But we were really told that it was fine, as fine as Garrick, as fine as Mrs Siddons, as fine as Shakespeare, so we rubbed our eyes and kept a sharp lookout, but we saw nothing but a deliberate intention on the part of Mr Kemble to act the part finely And so he did in a certain sense, but not by any means as Shakespeare wrote it, nor as it might be played He did not harrow up the feelings, he did not electrify the sense. he did not enter into the nature of the part himself, nor consequently move others with terror or pity The introduction to the scene with Hubert was certainly excellent. you saw instantly, and before a syllable was uttered, partly from the change of countenance, and partly from the arrangement of the scene, the purpose which had entered his mind to murder the young prince. But the remainder of this trying scene, though the execution was elaborate—painfully elaborate—and the outline well conceived, wanted the filling up, the true and master touches, the deep piercing heartfelt tones of nature. It was done well and skilfully, according to the book of arithmetic, but no more Mr Kemble, when he approaches Hubert to sound his disposition, puts on an insid-10us. insinuating, fawning aspect, and so he ought, but we think it should not be. though it was, that kind of wheedling smile, as if he was going to persuade him that the business he wished him to undertake was a mere jest, and his natural repugnance to it an idle prejudice, that might be carried off by a certain pleasant drollery of Mr Kemble's look, to our apprehension, was exactly as if he had eve and manner just caught the eye of some person of his acquaintance in the boxes, and was trying to suppress a rising smile at the metamorphosis he had undergone since dinner Again, he changes his voice three several times in repeating the name of Hubert. and the changes might be fine, but they did not vibrate our feelings, so we cannot They appeared to us like a tragic voluntary Through almost the whole scene this celebrated actor did not seem to feel the part itself as it was set down for him. but to be considering how he ought to feel it, or how he should express by rule and method what he did not feel He was sometimes slow and sometimes hurried. sometimes familiar and sometimes solemn, but always with an evident design and determination to be so The varying tide of passion did not appear to burst from the source of nature in his breast, but to be drawn from a theatrical leaden cistern, and then directed through certain conduit-pipes and artificial channels, to fill the audience with well regulated and harmless sympathy We are afraid, judging from the effects of this representation, that 'man delights not us, nor woman either.' for we did not like Miss O'Neill's Constance better, nor so well as Mr Kemble's This character, more than any other of Shakespeare's females, treads King John perhaps upon the verge of extravagance, the impatience of grief, combined with the violence of her temper, borders on insanity, her imagination grows light-headed. But still the boundary between poetry and frenzy is not passed, she is neither a virago nor mad Miss O'Neill gave more of the vulgar than the poetical side of the She generally does so of late Mr Charles Kemble, in the Bastard, had the 'bulk, the thews, the sinews' of Faulconbridge, would that he had had 'the There was one speech which he gave well-'Could Sir Robert make this leg?' And suiting the action to the word, as well he might, it had a great effect upon the house

Frances Anne Kemble

(Records of a Girlhood, p 359)

Mar 13, 1831

My dear H——, shut your eyes while you read this, because if you don't, they'll never shut again Constance is what I am to play for my benefit I am horribly frightened, it is a cruel weight to lay upon my shoulders, however, there is nothing for it but doing my best, and leave the rest to fate I almost think now I could do Lady Macbeth better. I am like poor little Arthur, who begged to have his tongue cut off rather than have his eyes put out, that last scene of Constance—think what an actress one should be to do it justice! Pray for me I have been sobbing my heart out over Constance this morning, and act Fazio to-night, which is hard work.

Your affectionate

F

Dear H——, this is Wednesday, the 23rd, Monday and King John and my Constance are all over, but I am at this moment still so deaf with nervousness as not to hear the ticking of my watch when held to one of my ears, the other side of my head is not deaf any longer now, but on Monday night I hardly heard one word I uttered through the whole play. It is rather hard that having endeavoured (and

succeeded wonderfully, too) in possessing my soul in peace during that trial of my courage, my nervous system should give way in this fashion. I had a knife of pain sticking in my side all through the play and all day long Monday, as I did not hear myself speak, I cannot tell you anything of my performance My dress was of the finest pale-blue merino, all folds and drapery like my Grecian Daughter costume, with an immense crimson mantle hung on my shoulders which I could My head-dress was exactly copied from one of my Aunt's, and you cannot imagine how curiously like her I looked My mother says, 'You have done it better than I believe any other girl of your age would do it ' But of course that is not a representation of Constance to satisfy her or any one else, indeed know, dear H---, what my own feeling has been about this, and how utterly incapable I knew myself for such an undertaking, but you did not, nor could anyone. know how dreadfully I suffered from the apprehension of failure which my reason told me was well founded I assure you that when I came on the stage I felt like some hunted creature driven to bay, I was really half wild with terror The play went off admirably, but I lay, when my part was over, for an hour on my dressingroom floor, with only strength enough left to cry Your letter to A-revived me. and just brought me enough to life again to eat my supper, which I had not felt able to touch, in spite of my exhaustion and great need of it, when, however, I once began, my appetite justified the French proverb and took the turn of voracity, and I devoured like a Homeric hero We are going to a party at Devonshire house tonight Here I am called away to receive some visitors Pray write soon to your affectionate

Fanny.

LEIGH HUNT (The Tatler, March 25, 1831) Miss Fanny Kemble repeated last night the part of Constance in King John, which she played for her benefit Monday It is not one of her best performances, especially in the eyes of those who recollect her aunt in the character. It wants movement and effect. It wants passion. We do not mean vehemence, of which it has rather too much, but suffering and impulse. Finally, it wants dignity. There is now and then, in this as in other performances of Miss Kemble, a passing shade of family likeness to Mrs Siddons. Her head-dress last night assisted it. But to institute a direct comparison with her is surely unfortunate. The Constance of Mrs Siddons was one of the most natural, passionate, yet dignified of her performances. The passage in which Constance wildly seats herself upon the ground and exclaims—

'Here I and sorrow sit let the kings come bow to me,'

produced no effect last night All who remember Mrs Siddons must remember its electrical effect, and how marvellously she reconciled the mad impulse of it with habitual dignity Miss Kemble was almost always stationary in her grief Mrs Siddons used to pace up and down, as the eddying gust of her impatience drove her, and all her despairing and bitter words came with double force from her in the career And then what a person she had! and how regal she used to look! hardly more so as Queen Constance than as Mrs Siddons herself! lofty tones and conscious modulations seemed natural in her mouth, as expressing the beauty of all that was ideal both in her theatrical and personal character. In Miss Kemble (without meaning to imply that she is not otherwise quite as estimable a person in every respect) they always carry with them an air of elaboration and assumption—we mean assumption in the literal sense—something taken up for the purpose of the moment, and foreign to her in the abstract. Her best passage last night was

the quiet and exhausted manner, the momentary patience into which she fell from her general vehemence, just before she resumed it and tore off her diadem. But the performance upon the whole was flat, and thought to be so. Miss Kemble never does anything without showing great occasional cleverness in some characters, as in the Fair Penitent, she does more, but Constance is certainly not one in which any of her powers is elicited to advantage, not even in the sarcasms directed against Austria, which seemed rather the effusions of quiet spite, than of uncontrollable contempt. We doubt whether she will be tempted to repeat the character often. To mention Mr Charles Kemble's Faulconbridge is to praise it, for everybody knows how excellent it is

W. C MACREADY

(Reminiscences, 1823, p 201) King John was the next play of Shakespeare's that added another character to my list. Kemble's reputation in this part had reference chiefly, if not exclusively, to the grand scene of John's temptation of Hubert. On this I bestowed, of course, my utmost pains, but brought also into strong relief that in which the coward monarch endeavors to shift his own criminality on Hubert, a scene to which Kemble, in his impressive representation of the part, had neglected to give prominence. It was in this play that Charles Kemble appeared to very great advantage. His handsome person answered to the heroic idea of Faulconbridge, and his performance of the character was most masterly

(Diaries, April 19, 1836, Oct 16, 1836) Acted King John in a way that assured me that I could play it excellently, it seemed to make an impression on the house, but I had not made it sure, finished, and perfectly individualized. Some fools set up a monstrous hubbub at the passage of defiance to the Pope, and Mr Charles Dance told me afterwards in the green-room that the Catholics would 'cut our throats'. It is a sin—or ought it not to be—to have the faculty of reason and power of cultivating it by examination, and yet remain so low in the intellectual scale. Mrs Sharpe was very ineffective in the effective part of Constance. What a character! But it is because every line is so effective that common minds cannot arise from one level, and have not the skill by contrast and variety to give relish and effect without great effort.

C COWDEN CLARKE (Sh Characters, p 339) gives the following account of Macready's performance of King John at Drury Lane in 1842 'In the first place, the difficulty of representing the skirmishes and alarms of battle on the stage—till then historically and proverbially ludicrous-was on that occasion triumphantly over-The siege of Angiers was a serious event. Also, the whole department which is technically styled the "getting up," the scenery, and the costume, were absolutely perfect, it was a gorgeous pictorial illustration of a great dramatic poet. But what I would principally distinguish as the crowning talent displayed in that very fine revival was the conception of the character of King John himself It was the more artistical, masmuch as the pecuhar moral features of that bad king are rather to be suggested to the imagination than palpably and broadly developed. The stealthy watchfulness, the crafty caution, and the want of faith in human goodness, are all features that demand acute discrimination to perceive, and refined and delicate touches to embody It requires subdued deportment, self-mistrust, or rather the want of self-confidence-nice points of character to study, and all which few actors dare to personate with fidelity, because, unless they be understood and appreciated by an audience, it is frequently thought to be tame or under acting. The whole character and bearing of John, in the version of Shakespeare, form a striking contrast to those of Henry V. The one is ardent, brave, confident in the love and support of his people—the true English king, the other, wily, artful, making every movement by a stratagem, and feeling that he holds his subjects by no other tenure than the right of might, and an appeal to the baser passions of their nature. They who call to mind those two brilliant and impetuous speeches—those rousing appeals to the zeal of his people in Henry V.—beginning "Once more unto the breach, dear friends," in the 3rd Act, and, "What's he that wishes so? my cousin Westmoreland?" the celebrated speech upon the eve of the Agincourt fight, and then draw a parallel between them and the speech that King John makes to the citizens of Angiers in the 2d Act—

"These flags of France that are advanced here, Before the eye and prospect of your town,"

sneaking his way, as it were, and feeling the pulse, as he proceeds, of those whom he is addressing—they, I repeat, who institute a comparison between these speeches in the two plays, will perceive my meaning. These words may be taken as keys to the two characters. In John we have no confiding appeals, no "dear friends", but the extortionate tyrant to his people appears in such phrases as—

"Ere out coming, see thou shake the bags Of hoarding abbots, imprison'd angels Set at liberty the fat ribs of peace Must by the hungry now be fed upon Use our commission in its utmost force"

Compare this with Harry Monmouth's courageous and magnanimous reflection

"There is some soul of goodness in things evil, Would men observingly distil it out, For our bad neighbour makes us early stirrers, Which is both healthful and good husbandry Besides, they are our outward consciences, And preachers to us all, admonishing, That we should dress us fairly for our end Thus may we gather honey from the weed, And make a moral of the devil himself"

And then note his playful intercourse with his soldiers, and those sprightly exclamations to his faithful old adherent, Sir Thomas Erpingham, "God' amercy, old heart, thou speakest cheerfully" Compare his confident reliance on his English bosoms with John's misgivings and doubts, as of a man conscious and feeling that he has no right to the love of his subjects in the scene of his recoronation "And looked upon, I hope, with cheerful eyes" And again, "I have a way to win their loves again", as well as his storm of reproach and remorse, and base endeavour to shift the ponderous load of his guilt on to the shoulders of his instrument, Hubert. They who fortunately witnessed the performance will not forget the manner in which Mr Macready impersonated the King, and the artistical way in which he demonstrated the unhappiness of wickedness throughout, the gradual and constant declension of his spirit, its tide being always at the ebb, his small amount of confidence, his suggested consciousness of meanness, guilt, and the loss of all respect; his bearing latterly as that of a man who felt that indignant eyes were flashing on

him, and his gait as if surrounded by pitfalls, in short, the general substratum of wretchedness which pervades the whole character, and yet is only known and felt, not blazoned, all this unprotruded demeanour, and which the million do not appreciate, greatly surpassed in ment the conception even of his dying scene, terrifically real as that was Alexander placed the poems of Homer in a jewelled casket of inestimable price, the shrine being an emblem only of the offering, and the late theoretical regenerator presented the public with illuminated editions of the world's poet, superb, indeed, and wholly worthy of the text, were it only by reason of the zeal with which they were executed'

FLETCHER (p 27) What strikes us first of all in Miss Helen Faucit's personation [of Constance] is her clear and perfect conception that feeling, not pride. is the mainspring of the character, that the dignity of bearing natural to and inseparable from it, and which the advantage of a tall, graceful figure enables this actress to maintain with little effort, is at the same time an easy, unconscious dignity, quite different from that air of self-importance, that acting of majesty, which has been mistakenly ascribed to it by those who have attributed to the heroine an ambitious nature She makes us feel throughout not only the depth, the tenderness, and the poetry of the maternal affection dwelling in a vivid fancy and a glowing heart, but is ever true to that 'constant, loving, noble nature,' which is not more sensitive to insult from her foes and falsehood from her friends than it is ever ready to welcome with fresh gratitude and confidence the return of better feelings in any who have injured her. That intimate association, in short, of gracefulness with force, and of tenderness with dignity, which this lady has so happily displayed in other leading characters of Shakespeare, in her especial qualification for this arduous part—the most arduous, we believe, of all the Shakespearian female characters-for this plain reason, that while it is one of those exhibiting the highest order of powers, the range of emotions included in it is the wildest, and the alternations, the fluctuations, between the height of virtuous indignation and contempt, and the softest depth of tenderness, are the most sudden and the most extreme The principle of contrast, in fact—that great element of the romantic drama, as of all romantic art-which Shakespeare delighted to employ not only in opposing one character to another, but in developing each character individually, is carried out to the highest pitch by the trials to which the course of the dramatic incident subjects the sensitive, passionate, and poetic,—the noble and vigorous nature of Constance it one of the most notable ments in the representation of the part by the lady who now personates it, that so far from letting the indignant excitement cast for one moment the slightest shade upon her brow or harshness into her tone when turning to the boy, she follows undeviatingly the poet's indication, and, in like manner as he has made the first effusion poured out by Constance, on hearing her abandonment, one of maternal grief and tenderness only, so amidst her subsequent bursts of indignant reproach and fiery denunciation, in every look and word which the present actress addressed to Arthur, the afflicted mother seems to find relief from those effusions of bitterness, as repugnant to her nature as they are withering in their power, by melting into double tenderness over the beauties and misfortunes of her child. This, we repeat, seems to us to be one of the very happiest features in Miss Faucit's personation of the Lady Constance Thus it is, for example, that in the first scene with Elinor she renders with such perfect truth and beauty the exquisitely characteristic passage

'His grandam's wrongs, and not his mother's shames, Draw those heaven-moving pearls from his poor eyes, Which heaven shall take in nature of a fee Ay, with these crystal beads heaven shall be brib'd To do him justice, and revenge on you'

Again, in her scene with Salisbury, where Constance is informed of the peace made between the two kings, and where the emotions that agitate her are deeper and more conflicting, we can conceive nothing in acting, or in reality, more exquisitely touching than the expression which she gives to the passage,

'But thou art fair, and at thy birth, dear boy, &c'

The faltering pauses, more eloquent than the finest declamation, must have gone directly not only to every mother's heart, but to every heart present alive to any touch of sympathy Indescribably sweet, too, in her utterance, are the words—

'Of Nature's gifts thou mayst with lilies boast, And with the half-blown rose'

In those brief accents she breathes to us all the inmost soul of Constance, the idolizing mother, delicately sensitive and richly imaginative. Nor can anything be more beautiful in itself, or more true to nature and to the poet, than the graceful fondness with which, after throwing herself on the ground in the climax of her grief, she looks up, and raises her hand to play with the ringlets of her boy as he stands drooping over her We must speak rather more at large of Miss Faucit's acting in the following scene, the most difficult of all in so difficult a part Undoubtedly, the dramatist conceived of his heroine as of one endowed with the most vigorous as well as exquisite powers Only such a person could rise to the adequate expression of that towering sublimity of virtuous invective and religious invocation which was indispensable to this part of his dramatic purpose Equally certain it seems to be that these solemnly appealing and withering scornful passages. demanding above all things the display of what is commonly meant by tragic force, were the most successful parts of Mrs Siddons's personation of the Lady Constance Not having had the advantage of witnessing those majestic efforts of the great actress, we are not enabled to compare the force of delivery shown in those particular sentences by Mrs Siddons and by the present actress respectively But we have the means of comparing the force of execution in the present performer with what we conceive that the part itself demands, and in that view we find her personation adequate The force which Shakespeare exhibits in the eloquence of Constance is not the hard force of an arrogant, imperious termagant, such as we see in his Queen Elinor, but the elastic force that springs from a mind and person having all the vigour of a character at once so intellectual, so poetical, and so essentially feminine as that of Constance To the expression of this highest and most genuine tragic force we repeat that Miss Faucit shows her powers to be not only fully equal, but peculiarly adapted She has that truest histrionic strength, which consists in an ample share of physical power in the ordinary sense, combined with exquisite modulation of tone and flexibility of feature—by turns the firm and the varying expressiveness of figure, voice, and eye We say this after much attentive study of her acting, especially in her Shakespearian parts, and as regards the performance of the Lady Constance in particular, how perfect soever Mrs Siddons may have been in certain other Shakespearian characters, yet, considering her decided deficiency in tenderness, we cannot hesitate to regard the present personation of the

herome of King John as truer to that spirit of bold and beautiful contrast which we have already observed, is in the very essence of the part, as it is in that of the whole Shakespearian drama. Thus it is that the caressing of her boy, while seated on the ground, according to the true Shakespearian conception, at once deepens the impression of the preceding words and action which make that sublime enthronement of her grief, and gives bolder effect to her majestically indignant contradiction of the French King's speech in glorification of that 'blessed day,'

'A wicked day, and not a holy day! &c'

and yet more to the personal invective against Philip,

'You have beguil'd me with a counterfeit Resembling majesty, &c'

And in like manner, her action and tone, in bending down to clasp her son, with the words—

'And our oppression hath made up this league!'-

while they speak all the beautiful nature of Constance, make us the more strikingly and sublimely feel its energy when, as if drawing from her child's embrace the strongest stimulus of which the wronged and sorrowing mother is susceptible, she rises, as it were, to more than the natural height of her noble figure, and lifts high her hands to heaven in the majestic appeal—

'Arm, arm, you heavens, against these perju'd kings, &c'

It is this exclamation of the figure—this aspiring heavenward of the whole look and tone, and gesture—that gives, and can alone give, adequate effect to the flashes of scorn that burst, in her glances and her accents, upon the despicable and devoted head of Austria, when he interrupts her invocation, in its highest fervor, with those very characteristic words of his, 'Lady Constance, peace!' This it is, as given by the present actress, that makes her piercing and scorching reproaches seem to be drawn down like the forked lightnings from above, searing and blasting where they strike, and sharpened to their utmost keenness by the practical sarcasm which she finds in the bodily aspect worn by the object of her indignation—in the 'hon's hide' upon 'those recreant limbs' This, in all the part, is the passage most requiring the display of physical energy richly and variously modulated, as remote as possible from monotonous loudness and vehemence Miss Faucit, in her whole manner of rendering this passage, shows how well she comprehends this distinction By the fluctuating look and intonation,-by the hesitating pauses, at a loss for expressions adequate to the intensity of her unwonted bitterness, and giving keener force to the expressions when they come,—she makes us exquisitely feel the stung spirit of injured, betrayed, and insulted confidence and tenderness, more terrible and blighting far than that of mere exasperated pride And after this chimax of her indignation, when the legate appears, as if sent from heaven in answer to her call, most affectingly and impressively beautiful, to our mind, is the expression of the noble nature of the herome, which her representative gives to the kneeling appeals which Constance makes to the virtuous and religious feelings of the Dauphin. Already, in speaking of Mrs Siddons's acting of the part, we have fully expressed our opinion as to the true reading of this important passage We have here only to add that Miss Faucit gives that reading, as it seems to us, with admirable effect, delivering especially, with all that noble and generous fervour which, we conceive, belongs to it, the unanswerable answer to Blanch—

'That which upholdeth him that thee upholds, His honour, oh, thine honour, Lewis, thine honour!'

It is to be regretted that owing to the suppression, in the acting play, of that part of the dialogue which immediately follows, the last words of Constance in this scene—

'Oh fair return of banish'd majesty!'-

the crowning expression of her trusting, grateful, forgiving spirit—are nearly drowned in their delivery by the too hasty noise and bustle on the stage of breaking up the royal conference. We shall not attempt to speak in detail of this lady's acting in the terrible despairing scene. She renders its anguish-born poetry with a delicacy of expression yet more overpowering than its force. The looks, and tones, and gestures of a performance like this are not things to be described, but to be seen and heard, felt, and wept over. For our own part, long shall we be haunted by those accents, now piercingly, now softly thrilling—now enamoured of Death, now rushing back to the sweet and agonizing remembrance of her child, now hurrying forward to anticipate the chasing of 'the native beauty from his cheek'—till her last lingering ray of hope expires, and reason totters on the verge of frenzy. All these emotions are rendered to us by the actress, in all their varied beauty and their trembling intensity. In the concluding exclamation—

'O Lord' my boy! my Arthur! my fair son! My life! my joy! my food! my all the world! My widow-comfort, and my sorrow's cure!'—

her voice, it is true, rises almost into a scream, what, however, we would ask, are the whole three lines in themselves, but one long scream of intensest agony? The immediate effect upon the feelings of the auditor is doubtless painful, as the shrieking accents are to his ear, yet both are necessary to the full dramatic force and beauty of the passage The woes of Constance and her son are to be visited in retributive justice on their oppressors, and to sustain our interest vividly through that subsequent portion of the drama it was requisite that the affliction of the bereaved mother should be brought home to us in its darkest and most heart-rending extreme The poet, therefore, conducts her through every stage of desperate grief-the yearning for death—the longing for madness—the constant craving for the presence of the boy whose image 'walks up and down with her'-till this last fixed idea. finally seizes, burningly and burstingly, on her brain, and consigns her not to insanity, which, as she says, might have made her 'forget her son,' but to a torturing frenzy, hopeless and mortal Of this her final state on earth Shakespeare gives us one awful glimpse, one harrowing strain, then mercifully hurries her from our sight and hearing An exclamation like this, then, let us repeat, in justice to the actress, can only have its due effect from being delivered, not with the harmonious modulation of tone appropriate to even the most impassioned words of Constance while her self-possession yet remains to her, but rather like the death-shriek of a spirit violently parting Among the other omissions in the acting, we have to regret that of the lines spoken by King Philip in the middle of this scene-

> 'Oh, what love I note In the fair multitude of those her hairs! Where but by chance a silver drop hath fallen,

Even to that drop ten thousand wiry friends Do glue themselves in sociable grief, Like true, inseparable, faithful loves, Sticking together in calamity!

These are wanted not only for the purpose to which Shakespeare ever so diligently attended—to relieve the feelings and attention of the auditor, by breaking the continuity of the heroine's effusions of despair—but also to give double effect to those effusions, by the impression which the exquisite poetry of this passage shows to be made by her cureless affliction, even upon the not over-feeling personages about her. The dry, cold words which are left in Philip's mouth,

'Bind up your tresses,'

are a grievous falling-off
The suppression is an injury to the actress no less than to the heroine

CHARLES KEAN

Cole (1, 344) In the year 1846 Charles Kean ventured on an experiment never before hazarded in America—the production of two historical tragedies of King John and Richard the Third on a scale of splendour which no theatre in London or Paris could have surpassed. The scenery, the decorations, the banners, armonal bearings, heraldic blazonry, groupings, weapons of war, costumes, furniture, and all the minor details were so correctly studied that the most scrutinizing reader of Montfaucon or Meyrick would have been puzzled to detect an error. But our brethren of the stars and stripes are utilitarians rather than antiquaries, more inclined to look in advance than to turn over pages of the past, or to pore into ancient chronicles. They appeared not to understand or enjoy with a perfect zest the pomp of feudal royalty, and the solemn display of baronial privileges. The upshot of all was that the expenditure far exceeded the return, and the produce of the second year bore no comparison with that of the first

COLE (11, p 26) [Under the management of Charles Kean, at the Princess's Theatre. London The Merry Wives of Windsor ran for twenty-five nights, and then made way for King John, produced on the 9th of February, 1852 This may be considered the new manager's first great attempt on the plan he has since carried out with such indomitable success. He had long felt that, even by his most eminent predecessors, Shakespeare in many respects had been imperfectly illustrated He had seen what earlier actors had accomplished He felt that steps had been taken in the right direction, and longed ardently to press farther on in the same path, to a more complete end No longer fettered by restraining influences, and confident in the result, although previous experiments were attended by failure, he entered boldly on the enterprise The result is before the public. It has worked a complete revolution in the dramatic system by the establishment of new theories and the subversion of old ones The time had at length arrived when a total purification of Shakespeare, with every accompaniment that refined knowledge, diligent research, and chronological accuracy could supply, was suited to the taste and temper of the age, which had become eminently pictorial and exacting beyond all former precedent. The days had long passed when audiences could believe themselves transported from Italy to Athens by the power of poetical enchantment without the aid of scenic appliances In addition to the managerial credit which Mr Charles Kean established by this early effort, and the still higher expectations he gave birth to from the manner in which King John was placed baefore the public, he made an important step in his reputation as an actor of the first class by a very complete and well-studied embodiment of the principal characterone of the most difficult, and perhaps altogether the most repulsive on the stage There is nothing to assist the representative—no taking qualities, no commanding energy, no brilliancy, even in crime All is sordid, contemptible, gloomy, ferocious Yet there is dramatic strength in this craven monarch, as Shakespeare has drawn him, which has commanded the attention of the greatest tragedians Old stage records tell us how the 'shining' lights of the other days acquitted themselves in this arduous part John Kemble's performance of the King was considered faultless. Young, following in the track of Kemble, played it with almost equal effect Many estimated it as Macready's best Shakespearian attempt, and in Charles Kean's list it may perhaps take the fifth place, giving precedence to his Hamlet, Lear, Wolsey, and Shylock In the Lady Constance Mrs C Kean stepped out of the line peculiarly recognized as her own, and assumed a character of matronly dignity and agonizing passion, which had been supposed to tax their utmost the surpassing energies of her greatest predecessor, Mrs Siddons She had performed the part with universal approbation in New York, but had not yet ventured it in London It was a hazardous undertaking, with the reminiscences attached to it The result completely took the public by surprise Never was a character represented with more true feeling and natural pathos, with more convincing evidence of careful study, or a more complete demonstration of having thoroughly caught up the spirit with superior awe, Mrs C Kean drew more largely upon their tears Campbell says, in his Life of Mrs Siddons, that it was not unusual for spectators to leave the house when her part in the tragedy was over, as if they could no longer enjoy Shakespeare himself when she ceased to be his interpreter This sounds very much like a poet's hallu-The sentence reads with an imposing air, but we have never heard it corroborated

H Morley (p 30-February 14, 1852) There is not a play of Shakespeare's which more admits or justifies a magnificent arrangement of scene than the chronicle-play of King John Its worthy presentation in an English theatre was one of the triumphs of Mr Macready's direction of Drury Lane ten years ago, and Mr Charles Kean now follows that example in his revival of the play as well as a lavish expenditure of scenic resource which is entitled to the highest praise So mounted, we see in this play—what the great Marlborough saw nowhere else so satisfactorily -a solid fragment of our English history We see revived the rude chivalric grandeur of the Middle Ages, the woes and wars of a half-barbarious time, in all its reckless splendour, selfish cruelty, and gloomy suffering. Mr Kean plays John with an earnest resolve to make apparent to the audience his mean and vacillating nature, his allegiance to 'that smooth-faced gentleman, tickling Commodity, Commodity, the Bias of the World,' and the absence of dignity in his suffering Mrs Kean throws all her energy, and much true emotion, into Constance, and in Faulconbridge Mr Wigan makes a more sensible advance than we have yet had to record into the higher region of chivalric comedy There is a clever child, too, in Arthur, a (Miss Terry), and the minor parts are effectively presented

H B TREE

(Saturday Review, Sept 21, 1889, p 328) Any lover of Shakespeare who went on Thursday to the Crystal Palace eager to see one of the finest of the historical

plays, and one which has not been given in London for several years, might well be ready to overlook many shortcomings due to hasty preparation for a single performance, to make allowances for defects of stage management, and to be duly grateful if only he might hear a fair rendering of the play as a whole Such a playgoer would have been most agreeably disappointed. The performance went without a hitch, the voice of the prompter was almost unheard, and, considering the small size of the stage, which could not but mar the effect of such scenes as those before Angiers, the stage management was excellent throughout Indeed, the only fault to be found with the mounting of the play is that the arms of England on the banners were those of the present day We have heard it rumoured that the preparations for producing the play occupied little more than a week, and if this be the case the degree of perfection attained is indeed remarkable. To the majority of the audience probably the chief point of interest was the assumption of the part of King John by Mr Tree, whose presentation of a very different Shakespearian character is fresh in all memories Tall and gaunt, with a rather colourless face, thin light beard, and wandering eyes, he represented well the anxious claimant of a crown not his by right Perhaps he made rather too much of this aspect of the character, a little more kingly dignity would not have been amiss in the scene, for instance, with the nobles in the fourth act. Mr Tree was at his best in the two great scenes with Hubert-that in Act III, where he first broaches to him the death of Arthur, and again when he reproaches him with murder The former scene was led up to by a well-conceived piece of 'business' The King, weary from battle, sets down his helmet encircled by the crown Arthur takes it up and puts it on his John, seeing him, snatches the crown away, and his hints to Hubert arise quite naturally from this incident and the thoughts which it suggests speech itself was admirably given The guilty look and the broken utterance, the nervous repetition of the words 'I had a thing to say,' were as good as they possibly The conclusion of the scene lost something of its impressiveness by the omission, whether intentional or accidental, of the significant interchange of words which follows Hubert's promise so to keep Arthur 'that he shall not offend your Majesty' The text runs thus

'K John Death
Hub My Lord?
K John A Grave.
Hub He shall not live'

Hubert's impassive bearing through the scene makes John uncertain whether his meaning has really been understood, and this brief explanation is necessary and most forcible. But for some excessive clutching at Hubert's dress Mr Tree's gesture in this scene was as good as his speech, and he made a most effective exit. Equally good in a different way was his defiance of the power of Romewhich, by the way, ought to have produced more effect than it did on the throng of soldiers and courtiers in whose presence it was uttered. Such a speech in those times would have made all around shrink in horror from him who made it. Mr Tree was well supported in his best scenes by Mr Fernandez as Hubert. Next in interest to Mr Tree's King John is undoubtedly the Arthur of Miss Norrey's, whose success was complete. She looked the part to perfection, and spoke her lines admirably. In her scene with Hubert she showed true pathetic power, and produced great effect upon her audience. Her fall from the castle window, by the way, was not well managed, and came dangerously near to provoking mirth. If Arthur

must roll through a bush upon the stage, care should be taken that his tumble may not recall memories of last season's pantomime Mr Macklin as Philip, the bastard. deserves to be spoken of with respect, if without enthusiasm. He has a manly presence and a soldier-like bearing, and speaks his lines with vigour and distinctness. but his emphasis appears to us to be a little mechanical, and to be placed sometimes with regard rather for sound than for sense Moreover, he does not quite succeed in hitting off the humorous side of the character The part is one which makes great demands, physical and other, upon the actor The Bastard is at once adventurer, humourist, and resourceful man of action, and he has, moreover, to perform to some extent the functions of a Greek Chorus Few actors could do all that the part requires, Mr Macklin does a great deal Miss Amy Roselle, too, deserves praise, but not unreserved praise, for her performance of Constance She has force and passion, but she reminds one rather too frequently of the injured heroine of modern melodrama, and has certain tricks of style and gesture which iar on the spectator more in Shakespeare than in a modern play. Her earlier scenes were marred by a gasping utterance, which almost disappeared in the great scene of all, after Arthur's capture, with the King of France and the Cardinal Here Miss Roselle was at her best Her delivery of the speech to Pandulph affected the audience as nothing else in the whole play did, but she has not fully mastered the difficult art of speaking blank verse With regard to the remaining characters, it is only necessary to mention the admirable elocution and dignified bearing of Mr Kemble as Pandulph, and Mr Brookfield's clever little character sketch of Robert Faulconbridge His make-up was excellent, and his stolid stare, awkward gait, and stooping shoulders represented the loutish squire to the life There was some defective elocution among the minor characters, one or two of whom were at times almost unintelligible, but the acoustic properties of the Crystal Palace Theatre probably leave something to be desired, and if Mr Tree ever finds it advisable, as we hope he may, to produce King John at the Haymarket, these little shortcomings will. no doubt, be remedied

G B Shaw (Saturday Review, Sept 30, 1899, p 420) In a nobly vaulted chamber of Northampton Castle are set the thrones of the king and the queen mother The portly chamberlain, wand bearing, red-robed, stands waiting on one of the topmost steps of the great staircase. An organ sounds, and he stalks majestically After him skips a little jester A long sombre procession of bowed heads and folded arms, the monks come, chanting a Mass After them walk the courtiers The monks pass away through the arches The courtiers range themselves around A blast of trumpets heralds the king and the queen mother, who presently seat themselves upon the throne In the brief parley with Chatillon-'new diplomacy,' with a vengeance'-one feels that not the king, but the sinister and terrible old figure beside him, is the true power, ever watching, prompting, enforcing Chatillon flings his master's defiance and is escorted from the presence chamber. The ill-matched brothers are ushered in; the straight limbed elder, splendidly confident and insolent, the younger, lantern-jawed and cringing, grinning with fear At the foot of the throne the younger whines his cause with quick, wretched gestures. The king suppresses a smile His eyes wander to the bastard, finding in him 'perfect Richard.' 'Man and no-man' are here—and elemental situation Sped by a blow of the jester's bladder, 'no-man' scurries out of the chamber, happy in the acquisition of his gold. The bastard is left exulting in his manhood and the glory it has brought him. . . . Under the walls of Angiers Philip of France parleys with his enemy The queen mother holds out her arms to little Arthur, and Constance reads in her eyes all that would befall him in England The citizens open their gates, and on a cushion the keys of the city are presented to the two kings, who, hand in hand, pass in to hold revelry Pandulpho, tremendous embodiment of the Pope's authority, comes to the two kings Tohn, strong in his mother's presence, receives the curse Philip snatches his hand away from the clasp of his ally Torn with conflicting fears, he submits himself to Rome see the two armies 'face to face, and bloody point to point' In a corner of a dark field, fitfully lit by the flames of a distant village, you see the victorious Bastard fell his arch foe and snatch from his shoulders the lion skin of Richard glade of slim beeches John communes with the faithful, grim Hubert soldier stands immovable while his master whispers in his ear Beyond stands the queen mother, watching with her eyes of ill omen. Little Arthur is plucking The king smiles down at him as he passes, and the child starts away There are some daisies growing near the spot where the king has been whispering Lightly, he cuts the heads of them with his sword . . In the crypt there is no light but from the cresset where the irons will be heated Arthur runs in, carrying a cross-bow on his shoulders 'Good morrow, Hubert' 'Good morrow, little prince . .' All the vassals have left their king The lester who watched the scene from a gallery has fled too The king takes up the sword and the sceptre, sits haggard upon his throne Hubert comes in, and the sound of the footstep causes the king to shudder and cry out like a child But Arthur still lives. Nothing but his death-warrant remains against the king While the king burns this parchment on the cresset, the monks file into their mass Up the stairs they go, chanting The king smiles, and then, still leaning by the cresset, folds his hands in prayer He walks, with bowed head, up the stairs, abases himself at the altar . . It is the dusk of dawn in the orchard of Swinstead Abbey, and through the apple-trees the monks hurry noiselessly to the chapel The dying king is borne out in a chair He is murmuring snatches of a song The chair is set down and with weak hands he motions away his bearers 'Ay marry,' he gasps, 'now my soul hath elbow-room; it would not out at windows nor at doors
There is so hot a summer in my bosom, that all my bowels crumble up to dust . . And none of you will bid the winter come, to thrust his icy fingers in my maw? The bastard comes in hot haste, and the king, to receive his tidings, sits upright, and is crowned for the last time He makes no answer to the tidings. One of the courtiers touches him, ever so lightly, on the shoulder and he falls back. The crown is taken from his head and laid on the head of the child who is now king
The bastard rings out those words in which the poetry of patriotism finds the noblest expression it can ever find. . . I have written down these disjointed sentences less in order to enable my readers to imagine the production at Her Majesty's Theatre than to preserve and accentuate for my own pleasure my own impressions Probably I have omitted many of the important points in the play and in the show I have merely recorded the things which an errant memory has kept clearest Most of the points I have alluded to are, as you will have observed, points of 'business' and the stage management For this I make no apology. I have never seen the play acted before, and I must confess that, reading it, I have found it insufferably tedious I had found many beautiful pieces of poetry in it, but drama had seemed to be absolutely lacking That was because I have not much imagination blank verse, with a few bald directions—'enter A, exeunt B and D, dies; alarums and excursions'—are not enough to make me see a thing (And, I take it, this is the case with most of my fellow-creatures) Therefore, when I go to a theatre and find that what bored me very much in the reading of it is a really fine play, I feel that I owe a great debt of gratitude to the management which has brought out the latent possibilities. I can imagine that a bad production of King John would be infinitely worse than a private reading of it. A bad production would make the play's faults the more glaring. But a good production, as at Her Majesty's, makes one forget what is bad in sheer surprise at finding so much that is good. I can say without partiality, and with complete sincerity, that I have never seen a production in which the note of beauty was so surely and incessantly struck as in this production of King John. As for the actual performance, there are many interesting points which, unfortunately, I cannot discuss this week. I shall write about the performance as soon as there are not so many other plays clamouring to be noticed.

(The Speaker, Sept 30, 1899, p 346) The King John Revival at her Majesty's is an excellent piece of work. To the present generation the play is virtually unknown—for few people, it is to be presumed, read chronicle plays for their own amusement, and there was only a sparse audience on that afternoon a dozen years ago or more when Mr Tree gave a scratch performance of King John at the Crystal Palace I was present on that occasion, but as I remember nothing save the peculiar slippermess of the cane-chairs in the Palace Theatre, it is plain that Mr Tree's acting then produced no sort of impression on my mind I should not call his acting 'impressive' to-day-Mr Tree is not an impressive actor and, for that matter, King John is not an impressive part—but it is plausible, well-considered acting And Mr Tree is always lively, he gives you the notion that there is something up, that he is taking a hand in the game. I do not mean that he lacks dignity Indeed, his John is 'every inch a king'—even in that scene of the tempting of Hubert to Arthur's murder, wherein both John Kemble (according to Hazlitt) and Charles Kean (according to G H Lewes) fell to the ordinary level of melodrama His death, too, has a certain grandeur (Charles Kean's reminded Lewes of 'the agonies of a Jew with the colic'), though one doubts whether—as with a good many other stage-deaths from poison—the manner of it would be approved by experts in toxicology John's sardonic humour and Mephistophelean cunning are the points he seems chiefly to desire to bring out—as in the scene with Hubert after the murder is supposed to have been done, and in the effective little piece of dumb-show behind Pandulph's back after John's pretense of becoming 'a gentle convertite' His appearance, whether he is in flowing white robes of white silk or in close-fitting chain armour, is always picturesque, and I was devoutly thankful to find that his make-up owes nothing to a certain portrait of Macready in the part, which is a thing of positively appalling hideousness These are the chief points that strike me as a playgoer Earnest students, fresh from the perusal of Green's Short History, it may be, will want to know more. As, What is Mr Tree's conception of John's character? and How does he help us to a better knowledge of the true John? The answer is that plays are not played to answer such questions, and that we are not to trouble ourselves about matters which certainly never troubled Shakespeare, and, I should hope, have not greatly troubled Mr Tree, even though he has not had Shakespeare's luck in escaping the age of historical research. The measure of Shakespeare's achievement is well given by Mr Pater (Appreciations, p 194), who says the dramatist allows John 'a kind of greatness, making the development of the play centre in the counteraction of his natural gifts—that something of heroic force about him-by

a madness which takes the shape of reckless impiety, forced especially on men's attention by the terrible circumstances of his end, in the delineation of which Shakespeare triumphs, setting, with true poetic tact, this incident of the King's death, in all the horror of a violent one, amid a scene delicately suggestive of what is perennially peaceful and genial in the outward world' This is Shakespeare's plan Mr Tree 'goes and does it' Et voila! But if John is the protagonist of the play, Faulconbridge is, of course, its popular hero He starts with the immense advantage of bastardy—an advantage, indeed, on which he insists with somewhat embarrassing plainness A hero who is at once a fils naturel (the younger Dumas knew all about that), 'one of nature's noblemen,' and at the same time 'kep' out of his rights' (like Arthur Orton), presents an irresistible combination Faulconbridge has it all his own way, from his knighthood before he has been five minutes at court to the close of the play, when he preactically 'runs the show' 'Have thou the ordering of this present time,' says John to him, and he has This is just the part for Mr Lewis Waller, who 'bullyrags' Austria with great gusto, rails at 'commodity' as heartily as though he supposed his admirers in the pit were familiar with the Shakespearian use of that word, and delivers the final patriotic 'tag'-

'Come the three corners of the world in arms
And we shall shock them Nought shall make us rue,
If England to itself do rest but true'—

as though he were defying Oom Paul On the first night men's heads instinctively turned to the stage-box occupied by Mr Chamberlain, who sat tight The hysterical grief of Miss Julia Neilson's Constance seems overdone But it is of the essence of hysteria to seem overdone, is it not? After all, it is a part to tear a cat in, and (if my suspicions are correct) gives us an uncomfortable glimpse into Shakespeare's domestic experiences Mrs Siddons used to shed real tears as Constance—at least, so she said, but that was in the sentimental age, and Miss Neilson's eyes are dry. Anyhow, if she cannot act like Mrs Siddons (I do not add 'Thank goodness' though I sometimes think Mrs Siddons must have been what the Americans call 'a holy terror'), she is a much more beautiful woman. Master Charles Sefton, who astonished the town so much in The Heather Field, astonishes it still more as Arthur. He is a wonderful boy, seeming to live his part rather than to act it. If he is not spoiled by early success—and he has the air of being a modest, unaffected lad—he probably has a fine future before him. Miss Lettice Fairfax's Blanche is a 'dainty rogue in porcelain,' and Miss Bateman's Queen Elinor a grante monolith The Hubert of Mr McLeay, the Austria of Mr McKinnel, Mr Gerald Lawrence's Dauphin, and Mr Louis Calvert's Pandulph are all good The stage-spectacle is superb—giving the impression of tumultuous life essential to a chronicle play, which was in some sort a promotion of the kinematograph And, as it is also of the essence of a chronicle play to be loosely constructed, tied down as it is by material limitations of the stage rather than by any rigid unity of treatment, I see no objection to the interpolated tableau of The Granting of Magna Charta
It is only a case of putting another slide into the lantern, not of tampering with the text-and, for that matter, if Shakespeare had known as much of Magna Charta as our Modern Board scholars, we may be sure he would have had something to say about it. The great thing, after all, in these Shakespearian revivals is to see that they are something more than mere survivals—to put new life into them, in short. Mr Tree puts new life into King John

R B MANTELL

W. WINTER (New York Tribune, 9th March, 1909) The most important dramatic event of the year occurred last night, when Robert Mantell, appearing at the New Amsterdam Theatre, impersonated King John in Shakespeare's historical tragedy relative to that Monarch, and by a great performance gave conclusive proof that he is a great actor The character of King John, although not one of the greatest of Shakespeare's creations, is, of all his characters, one of the most difficult of authoritative, enthralling representation,-for the double reason that, while it is not uniformly and explicitly drawn, it is embedded in a tumultuous and somewhat distracting profusion of military exploits. Almost all of the first half of the play is devoted to a development of the principal persons concerned in it, and to preparation, by means of debate and the clangor of martial combat, for the portrayal of those persons, in a web of movement essentially dramatic, and during that preliminary period the character of the king is, in a considerable degree. reserved from full disclosure—for he appears as an intrepid, resolute, expeditious warrior, not openly exhibiting either malevolence, weakness, or guile When, therefore, after the capture of Prince Arthur, he suddenly reveals himself as a subtle. crafty, treacherous, sinister villain, prompting the perpetration of a dastardly murder, of which he scarcely has the courage to speak, the author's revelation of him in this new light tends to bring with it a sense of discord, and to make the character seem anomalous Formation of a clear, consistent, definite, practical idea of King John, accordingly, requires keen discernment in a comprehensive survey of the tragedy as a whole, while the effective impartment of that ideal to a theatrical audience exacts the exercise of a consummate faculty of insinuation and extraordinary skill of embodiment The crowning excellence of Mr Mantell's performance is his interfusion, from the beginning, of malignity with royal arrogance, duplicity with irascible valor, and a lurking incertitude beneath an outside show of power this respect his acting excels that of Charles Kean, the best and most renowned representative of King John who has been seen here, within a long rememberance of our stage That interfusion is not accomplished by any expedients of extravagant demeanor, nor by any exacerbations of the traditional Plantagenet temper (John, it is recorded, habitually swore 'by God's teeth!'), but by aspect, movement, facial play, modulations of the voice, and such other close denotements of the personality as, while they cannot perhaps be precisely defined, are intuitively comprehended The actor who is a scholar will, of course, avail himself of whatever biographical information he is able to obtain, relative to peculiarities of appearance and manner known to have been characteristic of any historic person whom he is desirous to represent, but the actor is not justified in going behind the poet's fiction in order to derive an ideal from the historian's alleged fact. The character of King John, as represented by History, is far from being identical with the character of King John as represented by Shakespeare The actual man appears to have been a ruffian, and, though possessed of redeeming qualities (such as promptitude of will, inherent authority and sporadic, bulldog courage), hideously cruel, monstrously licentious, a savage tyrant, perfidious, ruthless, intrinsically wicked such a man as, being practically almost a barbarian, could not, if literally drawn, be made interesting in a work of art It should be remembered that the age of King John was one of violence; that, for the most part, the chronicles of his reign proceed from monkish writers, unlikely to be tender of the reputation of a prince who defied the Pope of Rome, and that, whatever may have been his vices and crimes, his sovereignty of England lasted for eighteen years, and was terminated, not by his disposition but

by his natural death The purpose of art. in treating of such a person,—whether that art be of drama or romance.—could only be served, as it has been in Shakespeare's play of King John and in Scott's novel of Ivanhoe, 'through a judicious consideration of those facts, and through the conception of a character not compact of merely monotonous brutality, but commingled of many attributes, susceptible of artistic treatment and of more or less sympathetic exhibition ' A savage, occupied in the industry of ordinary crime, is practically useless, whether in a play or in a novel Character, in order that it may be interesting, must be diversified Shakespeare, in delineating King John, has largely ignored the testimony of such records as were accessible to him, and—closely following, as to plot and as to the ground plan of the several prominent persons, an old play, of which the authorship is unknown, but with which Shakesperian scholars are familiar,—has drawn a man and not a brute Beneath the magic touch of the poet a burly barbarian is transfigured. so that he becomes a creature of imagination. a being capable of inspiring friendship as well as animosity, a being prone to frightful wickedness, but not immune from equally frightful remorse The historian Macaulay designates King John as a trifler and a coward Shakespeare has depicted him as an incarnation of valor, policy, and depravity—valor that is defeated by rashness and misfortune, policy that is thwarted by remorse and superstitious fear, and depravity that is punished by the defection of his barons and the protracted tortures of an agonizing death In that way Mr Mantell has apprehended and represented the character, manifesting a broad comprehension of the whole subject, and enriching the stage with a Shakespearian figure not less magnificent than true The dramatic thread of the tragedy is the opposition of King John to Prince Arthur, in a contest for the crown of England, the title to which is lawfully vested in the prince while the possession of it is unlawfully vested in the king. Behind the prince stands his mother, the passionate, picturesque Constance, clamorous for his royal birthright, and frantic in dolorous lamentation when that birthright is bartered. Behind the king stands the arrogant Queen-mother. Elmor, inspiring her son to hold, by the strong hand, that sovereignty to which she knows he is not entitled and cannot otherwise maintain, and behind him also stands the gay, martial, buoyant, truculent, honest Faulconbridge, whom no peril can daunt and no obstacle impede Sometimes in alliance and sometimes in opposition, the scheming potent Philip, King of France, whether as friend or foe, is a continual menace to the English usurper Behind all, -the spring and impulse of the action-stands Cardinal Pandulph, legate of the Pope, prompting to war or peace, as best befits his political purpose to augment the Papal power Viewed even as a financial epitome of old English History-while allowing for its compression of events and its proved errors of alleged fact-the play is exceptionally luminous and vitally interesting. Viewed as a study of human nature it is precious for its substance of truth and marvellous for its beauty of expression Maternal love and grief are nowhere else put into such superlative words as those of Constance The exquisite scene in which Arthur pleads and Hubert relents is, of its pathetic order, unmatched and unmatchable The consistent preservation of poetic tone is not less absolute than the sustainment of perfect fidelity to nature and essential fact. King John, in reality, was as contemptuous of the 'bell, book, and candle' of the church as Faulconbridge is in the play. His surrender to Rome, like his surrender to the Barons when he signed the Great Charter, was an act conceived in policy and performed under compulsion-for he well knew that what was demanded would soon be extorted if it were not then given. In the tragedy he is shown-after the death of his formidable mother, and lacking

her counsel and support—to be gradually but surely breaking beneath the affliction of a haunting doubt and a secret terror Disasters thicken around him Omens affright him The fever that is heavy on him has troubled him for a long time His The death of Arthur, for which he knows himself responsible, is a burden upon his guilty mind He feels that his friends are falling away He dreads the power of Rome He dreads the power of France Above all things else, he dreads the nameless horror of an inscrutable, retributive Fate From the moment when King John incites and enjoins Hubert to murder Prince Arthur the atmosphere of the tragedy is tremulous with a fearful apprehension of mysterious, impending From that moment the monarch, though he walks in sunlight, is conscious of the ever-darkening shadow As far as possible in his treatment of the play, and entirely and decisively in his performance of the King, Mr Mantell has preserved the atmosphere He endues the miserable sovereign at once with a dangerous personality, a nervous temperament, a disquieted mind, a sinister look, and an impetuous, trascible demeanor-making him a man who, while bold in pretention and expeditious in movement, is, furtively, ill at ease, continually rancorous and capable of evil, and yet at vital moments weakly irresolute His impersonation, accordingly, is all of one piece, so that, when he reaches the King's temptation of Hubert to do a murder, he only fully reveals a nature that he has already indicated That terrible speech of King John to Hubert—'I had a thing to say'—he speaks in a hollow undertone, placing, however, a distinct, blood-curdling emphasis on the conclusive phrases—'Death'—'A Grave!'—and enforcing them with gesture and glance so baleful, and of such fatal meaning, that the observer shudders with horror The sudden change to grisly exultation, with the words 'I could be merry now!' intensifies that impartment of dread. Indeed, the whole treatment of the temptation scene is admirable for its investiture of wickedness with plausibility, and for its subtle transparency—the suggestion of treachery, cruelty, and hideous crime being made in such a way that Hubert's acceptance of it and compliance with it seemed unconstrained and natural The King's convulsive, clinging grasp of the hand of Philip when the Cardinal threatens the curse of Rome, is a significant forerunner of that submission which his shifting, irresolute mind will, in all its subsequent access of infirmity, make to his spiritual lord, and it is all the more felicitous, as a touch of art, because it follows a splendid burst of passion, in the defiance of the imperious priest But while Mr Mantell does not in any scene act for 'points,' his finest effects are obtained in the scenes with Hubert and in the death scene. His shrill and querulous denunciation of Hubert, after the defection of the distempered Barons, in the telling words, 'I had mighty cause to wish him dead, but thou hadst none to kill him,' is exactly in the fitting tone of irrational, panic-stricken tremor and self-pity, while the frantic revulsion of feeling, when Hubert exclaims 'young Arthur is alive,' is rightly and most effectively made to express itself in hysterical clamor of relief A singularly fortunate make-up intensifies every effect of the actor's part Mr Mantell's King John, when he is first seen, is seen to be a sick man, feverish in body and distressed in mind The aspect is singular, menacing, almost repulsive, and yet it is attractive-possessing the reptile fascination of the The face is blanched The gaze of the cruel blue eyes is sometimes concentrated, cold, and stony, sometimes wavering and shifting, as in the habit of self-conscious evil The lips are full, red, and sensual. The head is crowned with a shock of reddish hair The cheeks are covered, but not concealed, by a red, matted The body slightly stoops, and, while it indicates physical strength, it conveys a suggestion that the vital forces will not long prove adequate to sustain it.

The movements are quick and, at some moments, spasmodic A trick of plucking at the hair of the beard expressively denotes a nervous, splenetic temperament, overstrained and with difficulty held in check At first the voice of the king is clear, stern, and aggressive, later-especially in the scenes with Pembroke, Salisbury, and the other discontented lords, when he inquires 'Why do you bend such solemn brows on me?' and after he has been apprised of the death of Queen Elinor-it becomes thin, hoarse, and fretful No essential detail of the part has been forgotten, no illuminative characteristic of it has been omitted. Thought is manifested in every device of treatment, and prudent care of the voice is shown in an improved and fluent elocution, obedient to each ordainment of design Those facts possess a decisive significance Mr Mantell has brought to a task of uncommon magnitude a fine intuition, sedulous study, profound sincerity, and a rare faculty of impersonation, and so the large result of great talents and many years of experience is shown in a noble achievement Mr Mantell's version of King John is not a new version, but the old version authorized by Charles Kean, a little varied, and divided by a larger number of curtains than hitherto used The more notable of the old actors who, on the American stage, appeared as King John were Douglass, Cooper, Barry, two of the Booths, Charles Kean, Hamblin, and E L Davenport. Edwin Booth never acted King John, but his father, J B Booth, acted it, and so did his elder brother, J B Booth, Jr, with John McCullough as Faulconbridge and Agnes Booth as Constance In England the part has been less neglected than in our country Mr Benson has been seen in it, and it was performed at Her Majesty's Theatre by Mr Beerbohm Tree The scenery used by Mr Mantell is appropriate, handsome, and effective, but like most of the scenery that is provided on such occasions as this, it is obviously new, lacking the depth of mellow color, and tinge of antiquity which would make it impressive The discreet use of a 'pounce bag' would be beneficial The closing scene of Shakespeare's King John-in its clear suggestion of picturesque, impressive investiture, in its marvellous fidelity (poetic, and not for even one instant degenerating toward realism) to the afflicting fact of a miserable death, and in the exceeding beauty of its language-beggars description. In that scene Mr Mantell is at his best, a somewhat rare felicity! for it is not always that a dramatic performance, even when it is of a high order, continues to be evenly, potently, and splendidly sustained until its very end The situation is a simple one, and all the more exacting for that reason. The King is dying-poisoned by a 'The life of all his blood is touched corruptibly 'His agony has been ter-He has been delirious, making 'idle comment' and pathetically breaking He momentarily recovers his reason at the last. He will not die within four walls or beneath a roof. His soul must have 'elbow room' 'It would not out at windows nor at doors' He is brought into the orchard of the Abbey. The time is night A wavering, golden light streams over the form of the dying man, and over the stalwart knights and courtiers who are grouped around himsome of them in full armour, others in the sumptuous colored raiment that John, like all the Plantagenets, liked to see The body of the King, convulsed with pain, is shrunken and withered His hair and beard are dishevelled His face is ghastly, and, as seen in the flickering light, it gleams with the gathering dew of death He has thrown aside his rich attire, and is clad in black trunks and long black hose, with a white shirt, torn open at the throat; around his shoulders there is a loose robe. A more piteous spectacle-made awful with mysterious, grim, and weird environment—has not been seen, and Mr Mantell makes the illusion so complete that the theatre is forgotten. The threadlike, gasping, whispering, despairing voice in which he utters the dying speeches of King John—the abject, pitiful supplication that his kingdom's rivers may be allowed to take their course through his burnt bosom—can only be heard with tears—If pity and terror be the legitimate object of tragedy—touching the heart and thrilling and exalting the mind—Mr Mantell, assuredly, has accomplished its object—Wonderful death scenes have, at long intervals, been shown upon our stage—those, for example, of Ristori in Queen Elizabeth, Davison in Othello, Edwin Booth in King Lear, Henry Irving in King Louis, Salvini in Conrade—The death scene of Robert Mantell's King John is worthy to rank with the best of them—The art of it is superb—The monition of it should sink deep into every heart—To each one of us the hour of death must come—the forlorn, abject isolation from humanity—the awful opening of that dread pathway which every human being must tread alone—the great mystery—the piteous solitude, when mortality breathes its last sigh and murmurs its last farewell

Cast of King John

Prince Henry Prince Arthur Earl of Pembroke Earl of Essex Earl of Salisbury The Lord Bigot Hubert de Burgh Robert Faulconbridge Philip Tames Gurney Peter of Pomfret Philip Lewis Duke of Austria Cardinal Pandulph Chatillon A Knight A Citizen of Angiers First Attendant Second Attendant

Oueen Elmor

Blanch of Spain

Lady Faulconbridge

Constance

King John

Robert Mantell Lorraine Frost Leila Frost George Turner Charles Keene William Bowen Tefft Johnson Ethelbert Hales Edward Lewers Fritz Leiber Otto Brower Walter Campbell Tames Brophy George Stilwell F. Dallas Carns Guv Lindslev L Rogers Lytton Olaf Skavlan Oscar Pfefferic Frederick Baldwin Edwin Lear Lillian Kingsbury Marie Booth Russell Edith Campbell Josephine McCallum

DRAMATIC AND POETICAL VERSIONS OF THE LIFE OF KING JOHN

BALE'S Kynge Johan

COLLIER (Camden Society reprint, Introduction) The name of Bale nowhere occurs, but there can be no doubt of his authorship, not only from a comparison with existing autographs, but from the fact that in his Scriptorum Illustrium majorus Brytannia, &c. Summarum, p. 702, he enumerates De Joanne Anglorum rege as one of his twenty-two dramatic works in idiomate materno The copy of the

Summarium, &c in the British Museum, which belonged to Bale, has many notes in the same handwriting as the MS from which this impression has been taken The drama is divided into two parts or plays, and in this respect it accords with the description given by Bale, in the work above cited, of his De Joanne Anglorum rege It has only recently been recovered from among some old papers, probably once belonging to the Corporation of Ipswich, and its existence was not known when I published The History of English Dramatic Poetry, &c. in 1831. From private hands it was transferred to the matchless dramatic collection of the Duke of Devonshire Bale was a native of Suffolk, but in what way his Kynge Johan came into the possession of the municipal body of Ipswich it would be vain to inquire, possibly it was written for it, and we may reasonably conjecture that it was performed by the guilds or trades of the town, in the same manner as the guilds or trades of Chester, Coventry, York, and other places, at an earlier as well as at a later period, were in the habit of lending their assistance in the representation of Scriptural Dramas. The date when Kynge Johan was originally written cannot be clearly ascertained, perhaps before Bale was made an Irish prelate by Edward VI in 1552, but this point may admit of dispute From the conclusion, it would appear that Elizabeth was on the throne, but I apprehend that both the Epilogue (if we may so call it) and some other passages were subsequent additions. The introduction of the name Darvell Gathyron, of course, establishes that the line was written after 1538, but the earliest times to the closing of the theatres, it was not unusual to make changes and substitutions, either to increase the interest, to improve the story, or to adapt it to the circumstances of the time Bale was originally a Roman Catholic, became a Protestant, was abroad during the reign of Mary, returned to England after the accession of Elizabeth, and was made a Prebendary of Canterbury about 1560 He never returned to his see in Ireland, and probably, therefore, derived no revenue from it He died in 1563 The design of the two plays of Kynge Johan was to promote and confirm the Reformation, of which, after his conversion, Bale was one of the most strenuous and unscrupulous supporters This design he executed in a manner until then, I apprehend, unknown He took some of the leading and popular events of the reign of King John, his dispute with the Pope, the suffering of his kingdom under the interdict, his subsequent submission to Rome, and his imputed death by poison from the hands of a monk of Swinstead Abbey, and applied them to the circumstances of the country in the latter part of the reign of Henry VIII., that monarch is spoken of as dead

> 'Tyll that duke Josue, whych was our late Kynge Henrye, Clerely brought us into the lande of mylke and honye'

Among his plays in idiomate materno, Bale inserts another, which, from its title, we may perhaps infer related also to some well known incidents in the life of Henry VIII it is super utroque regis conjugio. This early application of historical events is a singular circumstance, but it is the more remarkable when we recollect that we have no drama in our language of that date in which personages connected with and engaged in our public affairs are introduced. In Kynge Johan we have not only the monarch himself, who figures very prominently until his death, but Pope Innocent, Cardinal Pandulphus, Stephen Langton, Simon of Swynsett (or Swinstead), and a monk called Raymundus, besides abstract impersonations, such as England, who is stated to be a widow, Imperial Majesty, who is supposed to take the reins of Government after the death of King John, Nobility, Clergy, Civil Order, Treason,

Verity, and Sedition, who may be said to be the Vice or Jester of the piece we have many of the elements of historical plays, such as they were acted at our public theatres forty or fifty years afterwards, as well as some of the ordinary materials of the old moralities, which were gradually exploded by the introduction of real or imaginary characters on the scene Bale's play, therefore, occupies an intermediate place between moralities and historical plays, and it is the only known existing specimen of that species of composition of so early a date. The interlude. of which the characters are given in Mr Kemple's Loseley Manuscripis, p. 64, was evidently entirely allegorical, and the plays of Cambyses and Appus and Virginia are not English subjects, and belong to a later period of our drama. On this account, if on no other, Kynge Johan deserves the special attention of literary and poetical antiquaries It will be seen, however, that the play (taking the two dramas as one entire performance) possesses both interest and humour, making allowance for the style of writing and particular notions of the time, and for the introduction of polemical and doctrinal topics in the dialogue. The 'popetly playes' of the clergy, prior to the Reformation, are censured, and it will be recollected that the object of the writers of them was to give the people such an acquaintance with the Holy Writ as suited the purpose of the Romish Church, and would enforce the tenets peculiar to it (Vide Hist Engl Dram Poetr., II, 156) Bale's intention was directly the reverse, and instead of founding himself upon some portion of the Old or New Testaments, he resorted to the Chronicles, and thus endeavoured to give attractive novelty to his undertaking Nevertheless, he terms his play a 'Pageant,' which was the common designation of dramatic performances such as they had existed from the earliest period. He inserts an explanatory speech by a personage whom he calls 'the interpreter,' a course consistent with very ancient practice, and sometimes necessary for the development of the story, or the enforcement of the moral (Here also) we meet with the words Finit Actus Primus, but in no other part of the manuscript is there any trace of such artificial divisions. and no intimation is given of the separation of the scenes, excepting by the entrances or exits of the characters, many of which, as pointed out in the notes, are not marked At the end of the Manuscript we meet with these words, 'Thus endeth the 11 playes of Kynge Johan', but it is not possible now to ascertain precisely where the first play ended and the second began [There is] a defect in the manuscript, the probability being that one of the additions made by Bale, and intended by him to separate the two parts of the drama, has been irrecoverably lost This separation of the production into two plays is the earliest instance of the kind, although at a later date the practice became general whenever our dramatists treated historical subjects In the case before us, the drama would obviously be loo long for a single performance In another important respect Bale seems to have set an example in this interesting department of our literature neither observed the unity of time nor place. In the original manuscript the names of the different characters are inserted at length, but the spelling of them is often merely arbitrary, and it was thought that it would be a sufficient indication of a change of speakers to give their initials, as they are generally mentioned, with all necessary particularity in this respect, at the commencement of the scene, or on the entrance of each performer It will be remarked that in the portion of the play copied by Bale, in another handwriting, but corrected by him, England is usually spelt 'Ynglond,' but in that portion of the play which he penned himself, it is spelt 'England.' In the same way Bale's scribe susually spelt Civil Order with an S, and Bale himself with a C No list of the characters is given at the commencement or conclusion of the performance, hence we may conclude that the piece was performed by six principal actors, some of them quadrupling their parts Several of Bale's dramatic productions are in print, and are enumerated in the various accounts of his life. He possesses no peculiar claims as a poet, and though he could be severe as a moral censor, and violent as a polemic, he had little elevation and a limited fancy. His versification also is scarcely as good as that of some of his contemporaries, and the only variety he attempts is the abandonment of couplets in the shorter speeches for seven-line stanzas in the longer. On the whole, however, the 'two playes of Kynge Johan' have great merit for the time when they were written, and great curiosity for our own

WARD (i, 179) Possibly Kynge Johan was one of the publications against which Bishop Gardiner protested in a letter to the Protector Somerset written in the first year of Edward VI's reign, when the Visitation had begun which was almost literally to change the face of the land, and which, while received with very different feelings elsewhere, may be supposed to have found friends at Ipswich Cardinal Wolsey's birthplace had benefited by the abolition of some of the smaller monasteries in the reign of Henry VIII, and its grammar-school was to be endowed, probably from similar sources, by Queen Elizabeth Some of the charitable foundations of this borough or its vicinity, as was mentioned above, happened to date back to the reign of King John, whose name had, therefore, a good sound in this part of the country But the choice of theme might have naturally suggested itself on more general grounds and, indeed, a previous dramatic attempt on the subject seems to have been produced in the shape of 'an enterlude concerning King John,' acted 'at my Lorde of Canterbury's' (Cranmer's) on January 2nd, 1540 The play of Kynge Johan (for I must treat it as s single one) breathes the very spirit of the period of its composition—an emphatic defiance of the Pope and of Popery, thoroughly in consonance with the tendencies which animated the sway of Somerset and the Calvanistic reformers These were the men who made war upon the relics of Roman ritual and Church wealth spared by Henry VIII, against which the author of Kynge Johan inveighs with the utmost bitterness and vehemence At no other time in the Tudor period was so 'thorough' a view in the ascendant in the reforming circles as to the authority of the temporal sovereign in Church as well as State, and it is this view which the play enforces with reiterated energy The royal supremacy is repeatedly insisted upon in terms one may almost say of gusto, such as Cranmer would have heartily approved It is curious, by the by (and incidentally likewise points to an early date), and though the author vigorously denounces the absurdity of employing the Latin tongue in the services of the Church, he almost invariably makes his own quotations from Scripture (which are very copious) in Latin, as if that were the tongue, after all, most familiar to him as the language of the Bible.

[Ward's synoptical analysis of Bale's Kynge Johan, which here follows, renders unnecessary, I think, a complete reproduction of the entire text, which is now easily accessible in Farmer's series of Early English Dramatists (The Dramatic Writings of John Bale, London, 1907), and in Collier's reprint for the Camden Society, London, 1838—ED] The drama begins with a speech from King John himself, declaring his lineage and position, and announcing his intention to do his duty by the people To him enters 'Ynglond vidua'—a personification of the country as a widow, who at once beseeches the King to protect her from her oppressors 'Who are these?' inquires the King Her answer suggests the keynote to all that follows, in these plain-spoken words—

'Suche lubbers as hath dysgysed heads in their hoodes Whych in ydleness do lyve by other menns goodes, Monkes, chanons and nones in dyvers coloure and shappe, Bothe whyght blacke and pyed, God send their increase yll happe'

The conference is interrupted by Sedwyson (Sedition), who certainly proves deserving of the epithet of a 'lewde person' speedily applied to him by the King Sedition is, in fact, at once the main agent in the conduct of the play, and its solitary comic character. While, therefore, he represents the Vice of the moralities, he not only by his humorous (and ineffably coarse) sallies enlivens the progress of the action, but is the spirit of evil as well as the spirit of mockery. He makes very clear to King John the source of the mischief which is abroad in the realm, and in no measured terms exposes the iniquitous designs of the Pope, as well as the arts by which his emissaries have mastered the minds of the nobles, the clergy, and the lawyers, upon whom the King had imagined he could rely. Personifications representing these three orders of men—Nobilyte, the Clargy, and Syvill (Civil) Order—are then introduced to prove that Sedition has spoken the truth, but are constrained by the King to promise such obedience as he may demand from them. Hereupon the plot is hatched by Sedition and Dissumulation ('dan Davy Dyssymulacyon), who recognise one another as cousins

- 'S Knowest thou not the cosyn Sedycycon?
- D I have ever loved both the and thy condycyon
- S Thow must nedes, I trowe, for we cum of u bretherne If thou remember owr fathers were on mans chylderne Thou comyst of Falshed and I of Prevy Treson
- D. Then Infydelyte our grandfather vs by reson.
- S Mary, that ys trew and his begyner Antycrist, The great Pope of Rome, or fyrst veyne popysh prist '

After comparing their antecedents and principles, and finding them mutually satisfactory, these two worthes agree to summon to their aid Pryvat Welth and Usurpyd Power, who enter singing a canticle, and join in the conspiracy. The conspirators now severally assume the characters which are supposed to typify the qualities they represent, viz, Dissumulation becomes Raymundus, Sedition, Stephen Langton, Archbishop of Canterbury, Private Wealth, Cardinal Pandulphus, and Usurped Power, the Pope. They agree that an Interdict shall be issued, and the rule of Popery fully established. Thus ends the 'first act' after the 'Interpretour' has summed up the position in the following stanzas, which may be quoted, as they will render unnecessary any close account of the remainder of the play

'In thys present acte we have to yow declared, As in a mirrour, the begynnynge of Kynge Johan, How he was of God a magistrate appoynted To the governaunce of thys same noble regyon, To see mayntayned the true faythe and relygyon; But Satan the Devylle, which that time was at large, Had so great a swaye that he coulde it not discharge.

Upon a good zele he attempted very farre For welthe of thys realme to provyde reformacyon In the Church thereof, but they did him debarre Of that good purpose, for by excommunycacyon The space of vij yeares they interdyct thys nacyon These bloudsuppers thus of crueltie and spyght Subdued thys good Kynge for executynge ryght

In the second Acte wylle apeare more playne,
Wherein Pandulphus shall hym excommunycate
Within thys hys lande, and depose hym from hys reigne
All other princes they shall move hym to hate,
And to persecute after most cruell rate
They wyll hym poison in their malygnyte
And cause yll report of hym alwayes to be

This noble Kynge Johan, as a faythfull Moyses Withstode proude Pharao for hys poore Israel, Myndynge to brynge yt owt of the lande of darknesse, But the Egyptanes did against him so rebell, That hys poore people did styll in the desart dwell, Tyll that duke Josue, whych was our late Kynge Henrye, Clerely brought us out in to the lande of mylke and honye

As a strong David, at the voyce of verytie, Great Golye, the pope, he strake downe with hys slynge, Restorynge agayne to a Crysten lybertie Hys land and people, lyke a most vyctoryouse Kynge, To hir first bewtye intendynge the Churche to brynge From ceremonyes dead to the lyvynge wurde of the Lorde Thys the seconde acte wyll plenteously recorde'

The view of King John's motives indicated in the above pervades the play, in one passage of which he is called a 'Loller,' 1 e, Lollard Under the pressure of the Interdict, Nobility, Clergy, and Civil Order, in spite of remonstrances of the King. bend their knees before Langton and Pandulphus, then Commynalie, the personification of the suffering commons, who is blind as well as poor, and in whom, as the son of the widowed England, the King had placed his last trust, tremblingly submits to the arrogant Cardinal; the forsaken King receives news that enemies from abroad are threatening him on every side, and thus at last he gives way and delivers up his crown The rest of the play (which from this point is in Bale's handwriting) is far less dramatically effective, the real dramatic climax being past. Futher concessions are forced out of the King, whose enemies finally determine to make away with him by poison. Dissumulation, on being promised eternal bliss as his reward, assumes to himself the responsibility of the deed and its consequences To the King, who is athirst, he enters in the guise of a monk, bearing a cup in his hand and singing a wassail song, and after himself swallowing half the poisoned draught, persuades the King to drink the remainder. The treacherous monk hereupon goes to his death, comforted by the behef that he 'dies for the Church with S. Thomas of Canterbury', and then his royal victim dies (not on the stage), after forgiving his foes and uttering a farewell to England

> 'Farwell, swete Englonde, now last of all to the, I am ryght sorye I coulde do for the no more. Farwell ones agayne, yes, farwell for evermore.'

The whole of what follows may, in the irreverent language of the modern stage, be described as a tag Veryte (Venty) expatiates on the King's virtues and good deeds, and on the lies which partisan historians have uttered against his memory, and inculcates at great length the doctrine of absolute obedience to princes Nobility, Clergy, and Civil Order promise to amend their ways, and here at last the play might have come to a close, but the author could not forbear from bringing in, to wind up the action, what may be almost called a deus ex machina in the shape of one more personification—Impervall Majestie This abstraction, beyond doubt, very thinly veils the royal or 'imperial' (for he liked that style) figure of Henry VIII, with whose sentiments the oration in favour of the royal supremacy is in very complete accordance Sedition is called to account by Imperial Majesty, and, though promised pardon if he will make a full confession, is consigned to the hands of Civil Order for the Expiation of his sins

'Have hym fourth, Cyvyle Order, and hang hym tyll he be dead, And on London Brydge loke ye bestowe his head'

This worthy having been taken away, after begging that some one will tell the Pope, so that he may be put in the litany and prayed to 'with candles' like Thomas Becket, there remains nothing to be said beyond some final words of admonition against sedition and popery—The exhortation against anabaptism (a term of very elastic application in the Reformation age) and the tribute of praise to Queen Elizabeth, as to the sovereign who may be a light to all other princes, are, as has been seen, later additions—As a matter of course, this play is written in anything but a historical spirit, and it would be of little advantage to criticise it from a historical point of view—Indeed, expert controversialist as he was, the author falls back on abusing the plaintiff's speech cited above, and in the assertion of Nobility (which for the rest does not lack point), that

'You pristes are the cawse that chronycles doth defame So many prynces, and men of notable name, Fro yow take upon yow to wryght them evermore, And therefore Kyng Johan is lyke to rew it sore When ye wryte his time, for vexing of the Clargy.'

In other words, this earliest example of a species which was soon to develop into the Chronicle Histories, pretended to bid defiance to the Chronicles, because they were written by priests, nor was it until a new generation of historical writers arose who were in sympathy with the sentiments of a large body of the laity that a national historical drama could draw its materials from congenial sources It so happens that with the reign of King John began a new school of ecclesiastical chroniclers, associated with the monastery of St Albans, who reflected the change in the clergy of the age from political neutrality to active partisanship on behalf of the claims of the church Authorities of this description Bale was not very likely to follow, and, indeed, even in the later Chronicle History of The Troublesome Raigne of King John of England mediæval historical tradition was treated with scant courtesy. Yet for the main series of his facts Bale had, notwithstanding, to depend upon the narrative of the Chroniclers. This furnished the outline of the action of his play and suggested the dramatic idea that lay at the root of the two later dramatic treatments of the same subject—viz, the fatal influence of the Roman Church the king became in his eyes a national hero, although, as perhaps was natural in an admirer of so arbitrary a 'duke,' he overlooked what we should term the constitutional significance of John's reign, and utterly ignored Magna Charta I have treated this production at what may perhaps seem disproportionate length, because of the importance attaching to it in the history of our dramatic literature on account of its theme, which was at once (in a sense) religious and national, and which accordingly places the work midway between the early religious and active beginnings of our national historical drama Yet, as must have become sufficiently obvious, it has in form nothing of moment to distinguish it from the moralities, a very limited number of actors seems to have been contemplated for its performance. The exits and entrances of the principal characters (with the single exception of King Tohn himself) are so arranged as to admit of four, three, or two of them respectively being played by the same persons, and stage directions frequently occur, such as 'Go out Ynglond, and drese for Clargy' In a prolific controversialist such as Bishop Bale it would be odd to look for literary ment of the poetical kind As we shall see hereafter, the dramatist and the pamphleteer were in the annals of our literature more than once combined in the same individual—but such writers only very exceptionally attain to loftier flights There is, however, some dramatic force in the struggle of King John as his catastrophe draws near, and a touch of pathos may perhaps be found in the figure of the poor 'Commonalty'-which Lyndsay had made the central personage of his political morality, but which was to be often conspicuous by its absence from the actions of our English historical dramas The staple metre of Kynge Johan consists of rimed Alexandrines, very irregular as to the number of syllables, quatrains and triplets are frequently introduced, the stanza form of the Interpretour's speech is Chaucerian It should be perhaps pointed out that we possess no evidence as to Bale's Kynge Johan having actually served as a transition from the Moralities to the Chronicle Histories, and by means of these to the regular drama of the tragic or serious kind. Indeed, there is every indication to the contrary, for the earliest Chronicle History proper known to us belongs in date to the last decade but one of the sixteenth century, and to the author of the second in date (The Troublesome Raigne), which was printed in 1501, Bale's play was, as has been seen, unknown After its composition, succeeded perhaps by one or more performances of it under King Edward VI, Queen Mary's reign had intervened, during which there were the best of reasons for keeping the MS hidden away among the papers of the Corporation at Ipswich Thence it only emerged on a single occasion early in Queen Elizabeth's reign, when, if not actually performed, it was certainly revised for some such purpose The death of its author two years afterwards (1563) may help to account for its having, so far as we know, remained unprinted In the first decade of the reign of Elizabeth the beginnings of English tragedy were, with the utmost distinctness, to attach themselves to examples of a very different kind of dramatic writing Yet the fact of the composition and existence of Kynge Johan, whatever were the actual fortunes of the work, remains none the less of great significance. An age which could produce a play of this description could not fail before long to find writers who would abandon the worn ways of the moralities and their abstract characters, and appeal to a range of ideas and feelings no longer to be satisfied by the allegorical inculcation of ethical commonplaces, or by the repetition of familiar Bible stories and anecdotes of Saints

Ducis-Jean Sans-Terre

The Tragedy Jean Sans-Terre by Ducis was first acted in 1791, but was not published until 1830, with his other translations from Shakespeare It can hardly be called a translation of Shakespeare's King John; it is not even an adaptation.

But let Ducis speak for himself in extenuation of his violent changes of Shakespeare's play, he says (vol 11, p 199) It was to me apparent, at representations of this Tragedy, since it was in five acts, that the last two were of but slight interest, but it was the public, who are never beguiled by sentiment, that opened my eyes to this essential fault, into which I was perhaps led, without knowing it, by the fervent love I felt for my subject I ought to have seen that from the moment when Arthur, that lovely and unhappy child, was deprived of his sight, it was, in a certain way, to the public as though he were deprived of life. It seemed that the light of day, being extinguished for him, caused at the same time the interest for the audience in the piece to disappear. I therefore decided to condense the play into three acts, and hurry rapidly towards my denouement, in hastening the death of Arthur and his mother I have caused the prince to die by the hands of his uncle, because actually this barbarous and perfidious king himself stabbed Arthur, and it was not possible for me to falsify history in a fact so well known, but I thought it necessary to punish the king in making Hubert foretell his terrible and miserable death which he met by a cup of poisoned wine, and in this I have followed Shakespeare, who makes him die, in the sight of the audience, by a death of this sort, in cruellest torments The fact cannot be ignored that Shakespeare provided me with the scene wherein King John incites Hubert to burn out the eyes of Arthur with red-hot irons, and also that where Hubert seeks, but in vain, to evade that horrible command These two scenes are worthy of this great poet when at his best, it is the second only of these two, where Arthur speaks to Hubert with such eloquence and charm, which, as it were, urged me, through the lively emotion which it inspired, to place this incident upon our stage. But one desire alone remains to me, that is, that this incident actually suffice to sustain and make live the whole work, shown by the public I have been more than glad to correct a principal fault and hide, if possible, in part at least, the other faults which have escaped me [The remainder of Ducis' introduction is devoted to his expressions of gratitude to Mlle Simon, who acted Arthur, and to M Monvel, who played Hubert. when the piece was first represented The following synopsis will, I think, give the reader a fairly comprehensive idea of Ducis' method and achievement—Ep 1

JEAN SANS-TERRE

OR

THE DEATH OF ARTHUR

CHARACTERS

John, King of England, surnamed John Sans-Terre Constance, Duchess of Bretagne, widow of Geoffrey, brother of John, and mother of Arthur, under the name of Adele. Arthur, young prince, aged ten years, son of Geoffrey and Constance, nephew to the King. Hubert, commander in chief of the Tower of London. Newl, second in command in that Tower Kermadeuc, an aged Breton. An Officer. A Soldier.

Guards, Soldiers, People
The Scene England, in the Tower of London.

Act I. Scene. A large hall in the Tower of London, with the doors of several prison cells opening upon it. Hubert solloquises on the unhappy condition of the

King, hated by his subjects and in fear of losing the crown, and questions the reason of the king's demand for a conference with the head-keeper of the Tower and his assistant Nevil He concludes with the reflection that as his harsh duties as jailer compel him to hear the plaints of prisoners he may be able to save some unhappy victim Innocence oppressed by a cruel king, the enemy of his people, at all times is in need of a friend

Enter King John, Nevil, and Guards, these last are dismissed by the King, who then informs Hubert and Nevil that he has himself sought refuge in the Tower Among the prisoners there is one whom he especially fears—this is Arthur, the son of his brother Geoffrey, who believes that he is the rightful king. To this Nevil replies that the king's fears are groundless, since by Richard's will his nephew Arthur was set aside and the crown bequeathed to John, were this not so, of course. Arthur's right through his father Geoffrey would hold good, but John as he is now crowned and in possession of the throne has an unassailable right Hubert then argues that as Arthur's rights to the crown of England are annulled, he should be returned to Britanny, of which he is the reigning Duke, and to this Dukedom the King of England has no claim Nevil objects on the ground that there is safety only in holding Arthur as a hostage, since there have already been plots to rescue him, and his return to Britanny would be a signal for other uprisings Hubert renews his pleas for Arthur's liberty, adding that his return to his mother Constance will placate her, and cause her to cease her attempts against King John very reason,' replies King John, 'why I must mistrust and fear this child It is no vain rumor or mistake which I hear, that they have designs on my throne, that shortly an armed party is to rise in his defence' Nevil replies that the English will never place on the throne a child deprived of sight, for that, after all, is a rumor which the king has himself disseminated. It is repeated and believed everywhere, and this fortunate rumor, though quite untrue, shall quickly extinguish both the love and zeal of the English, therefore no matter what this party may be, as long as their plot is known, it is futile Hubert represents to the King that the people are questioning as to why. Arthur being still in prison, war should still be waged against him, rumor that he has been deprived of sight, false though it be, only serves to strengthen the feelings of the people in his behalf, particularly since deprived of the care of his mother, all mothers are interested in the fate of Arthur, Hubert therefore counsels the king to send Arthur back to Britanny. Justice demands this action, it should not be disregarded The King refuses, since, as he says, the time for this has not yet arrived, he is about to seize the conspirators in a plot, Arthur must remain in prison; and with Nevil he departs Hubert calls Arthur forth from his cell, and greets him with affection, which Arthur returns Hubert warns him that he must give up all hope of deliverance at present, as the King is determined on keeping him still a prisoner Arthur eagerly asks for tidings of his mother, but Hubert says that even her own people are ignorant of her fate Arthur then confides to Hubert that he has taken a cross of wood which he had with him, and writing upon it the words, 'O English people, help Arthur,' has thrown it from the window of his prison in hopes that it may fall into the hands of friends In this hope Hubert joins him, but warns him not to put too much trust in such an occurrence. Hearing the approach of the King, Hubert bids Arthur retire King John again expresses his fears of a rising in favor of Arthur, telling Hubert that among the prisoners is an unknown Bretonne woman simply called Adele who was taken among those in the late conspiracy to Arthur, and also a Breton peasant, Kermadeuc; the King orders Hubert to allow these two to meet each other as though alone, but that

Hubert remain concealed in order to discover if there be any further plots, in which case both are to be put to death With this the first act closes

The first half of the second act is given to the interview between Kermadeuc and Adele He tells her how he had often in Britanny seen his liege lord Arthur, and now pities the lot of his unfortunate mother, not knowing where she is or what has been He goes on to say that, anxious to find where Arthur is a prisoner, he one day while pacing the narrow courtyard noticed a small window of a dungeon heavily barred, and while looking towards it there was thrown out a wooden cross, which he picked up, and on examination found written upon it, 'O English people, help Arthur,' Adele, unable to restrain herself, reveals to Kermadeuc that she is Arthur's mother. the unfortunate Constance Hubert enters suddenly and informs them that their words have been overheard by him, but that as he has ever been the friend of the unfortunate he will not betray them Constance, thus encouraged, begs that she may but have a sight of her son, and to this Hubert finally consents, but only on the condition that she cover her face with her veil and refrain from revealing herself to Arthur The Prince is brought in, and, forgetting her promise, Constance clasps him in her arms, telling him she is his mother. The interview is rudely interrupted by the approach of the King, and Hubert hastily dismisses all three to their separate King John tells Hubert that he is still a prey to his fears, but that the main cause is his nephew Arthur, and here follows a weak imitation of Shakespeare's masterly treatment of this same situation The King concludes with expressions of his great love for Hubert, and tells him that the people are planning a rescue of Arthur. The false rumor of Arthur's blindness, and his consequent inability to reign, must be made a fact, with this hint as a command to Hubert he leaves him, overcome with horror at the deed which he must perform With his reflections on such barbarous cruelty the second act closes

Act III begins with a soliloquy by Hubert wherein he deplores the fact that he is unable to have access to the king, who remains in conference with Nevil Hubert fears that they are plotting the death of Arthur, and that the deed is to be accomplished by Nevil. Arthur comes to Hubert and then follows an attempt to reproduce the scene from Shakespeare's play, where Hubert informs Arthur of the order for his blinding Needless to say it is quite ineffective dramatically, owing to its position in the tragedy It comes too late in the action Hubert is not mollified by Arthur's tenderness, but summons the executioners and hands Arthur over to them without apparent compunction Arthur is taken out to suffer blinding by hot irons Nevil then tells Hubert that the king has revoked his order for the blinding of Arthur on his having heard that there is a report current in the city that Constance is alive and that Arthur's claim to the crown is legitimate. If such a report be true Arthur is to be put to death; if false, the first order of the King is to be carried out. An officer enters overcome with horror at what has just been accomplished and describes Arthur's condition now deprived of sight Constance approaches, asking Hubert for a sight of her son, but suspects, from Hubert's silence, that Arthur is dead, on his telling her what has actually happened she is overcome with grief and horror The King, not knowing who she is, sends word that, as Arthur is now helpless, Adele is to have charge of him, Arthur is brought in blind, but apparently suffering but little from his terrible experience Constance tells him that she is to be his protector and guide, and again unable to restrain herself reveals to him that she is his mother. The approach of the King is announced, and on his entrance Kermadeuc is brought in The King confronts him with the cross on which Arthur had written his appeal for help, threatening Kermadeuc with torture if he refuses to

VERSIONS OF LIFE OF KING JOHN-DELONEY 705

reveal the meaning of this and telling him his accomplice has betrayed him Kermadeuc remains firm in his refusal to reveal anything The King, enraged, summons the guard to take Kermadeuc to instant death. Arthur intercedes for him, and the King asks if he knows anything concerning this cross and its message Arthur acknowledges that he it was who had taken this means of appealing to the English people The King orders that Kermadeuc, Arthur, and Adele be transferred to Pomfret At that name Constance is unable to repress a groan of horror The King enquires of Hubert the meaning of this, and is told that the name of Pomfret is ominous as a prison. The King, pitying the aged woman's unhappy lot, tells her that she is free and bids her deliver over to him the boy Arthur, she refuses to leave her prison or give up the boy, the King is at once suspicious of a plot, and orders that all three, Kermadeuc, Arthur, and Adele, be taken away Hubert endeavors to take Arthur from his mother, and she inadvertently betrays the fact that she is Constance King John is astounded at this and she upbraids him for his inhumanity Word is brought that the whole city is in revolt against the King, and hastily giving orders in regard to the safe keeping of the prisoners the King prepares to repress this revolt Constance, Arthur, and Kermadeuc at once attempt, with the aid of Hubert, to make their escape, and depart hurriedly A soldier tells Hubert of the success of the people against the King, and in the midst of his recital King John enters, Hubert taunts him with failure of his plans, when the king draws a curtain and shows the bodies of Constance and Arthur whom he has slain with his own hands The people are seen endeavoring to enter, but Hubert restrains them from killing the King, and in a long harangue prophesies to King Tohn how miserable shall be his end, poisoned and dving in torments as just explation for his crimes On this scene 'the curtain descends simply because,' remarks Dr Doran (Nineteenth Century, Jan, 1878), 'Hubert is too much out of breath to say any more, and John is struck dumb by his impertinence.'

THOMAS DELONEY The Lamentable Death of King John, how he was Poysoned in the Abby of Swinstead by a Fryer

[From the reprint of Strange Histories, or Songes and Sonets, of Kings, Princes, Dukes, Lordes, Ladyes, Knights and Gentlemen London, 1607—ed by J. Payne Collier for the Percy Society, 1841 (Publications, vol in)]

A treacherous deede forwith I shall you tell, Which on King John upon a sodaine fell. To Lincoln-shire proceeding on his way At Swinstead Abbey one whole night he lay.

There did the king oppose his welcome good, But much decept lyes under abbots hood There did the king himselfe in safetie thinke; But there the king received his latest drink.

Great cheere they made unto his royal grace, While he remaynd a guest within that place; But while they smylde and laughed in his sight, They wrought great treason shadowed with delight. A flat faced monke comes with a glosing tale To give the king a cupp of spiced ale A deadlier draught was never offered man, Yet this false monke unto the king began

Which when the king (without mistrust) did see, He tooke the cup of him couragiously, But while he held the poysoned cup in hand Our noble king amazed much did stand

For casting down by chaunce his princely eye On precious jewels, which he had full nye, He saw the cullour of each precious stone Most strangely turne, and alter one by one

Their orient brightnesse to a pale dead hue Were changed quite—the cause no person knew, And such a sweat did overspread them all, As stood like deaw which on fair flowers fall.

And hereby was their precious natures tryde, For precious stones foule poyson cannot abide; But though our king beheld their cullour pale, Mistrusted not the poyson in the ale

For why, the monke the taste before him tooke, Not knew the king how ill he did it brooke, And therefore he a hearty draught did take, Which of his life a quicke dispatch did make.

Th' infectious drinke fumde up into his head, And through the veines into the heart it spread, Distempering the pure unspotted brame,* That doth in man his memorie maintaine.

Then felt the king an extreame griefe to grow Through all his entrels, being infected so. Whereby he knew, through anguish which he felt, The monks with him most treacherously had delt.

The grones he gave did make all men to wonder. He cast as if his heart would split in sunder; And still he cald, while he thereon did thinke, For that false monk which brought the deadly drinke.

And then his lords went searching round about In every place to find this traytor out: At length they found him dead as any stone, Within a corner lying all alone.

^{*} See King John, V, vii, 4, and note.—ED

For having tasted of that poysoned cup, Whereof our king the residue drunke up, The envious monke himselfe to death did bring, That he thereby might kill our royall king

But when the king with wonder heard them tell The monkes dead body did with poyson swell, Why then, my lords, full quickly now, quoth hee, A breathlesse king you shall among you see.

Behold, he sayd, my vaines in peeces cracke, A greevous torment feele I in my backe, And by this poyson deadly and accurst, I feele my hart-stringes ready for to burst.

With that his eyes did turne within his head, A pale dead cullour through his face did spread, And lying gasping with a cold faint breath, The royall king was overcome by death

His mournfull lordes, which stood about him then, With all their force and troopes of warlike men To Worcester the corpes they did convey, With drumme and trumpet marching al the way.

And in the fair Cathedral Church, I finde, They buried him according to their minde, Most pompiously, best fitting for a king, Who were applauded greatly for this thing

RICHARD NICHOLS The Unfortunate Life and Death of King John [contained in] A Winter Night's Vision being an Addition of such Princes especially Famous who were exempted in the former Historie, [The Mirrour for Magistrates], London, 1610

THE ARGUMENT

This Prince to future time, quoth Memorie, Remaines a Mirrour of true Charitie, Who at his death that traytour did forgue. Whose bloodie hand did him of life depriue: But Marchades for vengeance did survive. The traytor taken he did fley aliue. Now to the next, whom vp from graue we bring, Prince John the brother of the late dead King. He takes the crowne as due to him of gift, At whose good fortunes many hands do lift. Philip beyond the seas inuades his lands; Arthur in Aniou with his British bands. Pursues the aged mother of the King, Who to the rescue all his power doth bring, Takes Arthur captue, and for his disdaine Sends him to Rouen Castle, whence againe He nere returnes: wonders in heau'n are seene,

Treason amongest the Peeres, the wrathful spleene Twixt Romes proud Innocent and stout King John. The French afresh inuade, the King finds none To take his part the Irish do rebell, The Welch breake forth, both whom he doth compell To stoope their pride the curse of Innocent. Against whose pride the King stands stiffly bent. Philips huge Nauie doth on England frowne, The King vnto the Legate yeelds his crowne The Lords rebell, the King is left forlorne. Abus'd, reuil'd, and made his peoples scorne Seekes th' aid of strangers, and in his fierce ire. . Flies o'er the kingdome like a flaming fire The Barons flie from him, and seeke to bring The French Prince Lewis in, to make him King, He lands in Kent, London receives his traine, From th' haplesse King all fals away againe. The French mens pride the English sore opprest, King Iohns reuenge, poore Englands woes encreast In midst of hope t' expell his enemies, The wretched King at Swynsted poysoned dies. All which, since many writers in his daies, Of very malice writ in his dispraise, That we may heare, let Fame with Summons call His princely ghost, to tell his tragicke fall.

[The story in verse on the Life and Death of King John, which follows this argument, consists of ninety-nine stanzas of seven lines each; it is a laborious and meticulous paraphrase from Grafton's Chronicle, but since there is no evidence of the writer's having read or consulted either the older play or Shakespeare's King John its inclusion in the present volume is irrelevant. For further information on the subject see Miss R. Wallerstein's King John in Fact and Fiction, University of Pennsylvania, 1017—ED]

TIME ANALYSIS

P A. DANIEL (Sh Soc. Trans, 1877-79, p 263) Time of this play, seven days, with intervals, comprising in all not more than three or four months.

Day I. Act I, sc 1 Interval

- ' 2 Act II, sc 1., Act III, scenes i. to m Interval
- ' 3 Act III, sc iv

 Interval
- " 4 Act IV, scenes 1. to 111.

 Interval
- " 5 Act V, sc. i.

 Interval
- " 6 Act V, scenes ii to v
- ' 7 Act V, scenes vi and vii.

HISTORIC DATES

Death of Richard Cœur-de-lion, April, 1199 John crowned at Westminster, March 27, 1199 John makes truce with Philip, June, 1199. Betrothal of Louis and Blanche, May 23, 1200 John and Isabella crowned at Canterbury, Oct 8, 1200 Death of Constance, Aug 31, 1201 John's 3d coronation, March 25, 1201. John's 4th coronation, April 14, 1202 Arthur taken prisoner by John and imprisoned at Falaise, Aug, 1202 Probable murder of Arthur by John at Rouen, April 3, 1203 Philip demands deliverance of Arthur, March, 1204. Death of Elmor, April 1, 1204 John besieges and takes Angiers, Aug, 1206. Truce of two years concluded, Oct, 1206 Stephan Langton elected Archbishop, Dec, 1206 The Interdict, March, 1208 John excommunicated, Dec, 1209 John yields to the Pope, 1213 Magna Charta, June, 1215 Death of John, Oct 19, 1216.

THE END.

PLAN OF THE WORK, ETC

In this Edition the attempt is made to give, in the shape of Textual Notes, on the same page with the Text, all the Various Readings of King John, from the Second Folio down to the latest critical Edition of the play, then, as Commentary, follow the Notes which the Editor has thought worthy of insertion, not only for the purpose of elucidating the text, but at times as illustrations of the History of Shakespearian criticism. In the Appendix will be found criticisms and discussions which, on the score of length, could not be conveniently included in the Commentary

LIST OF EDITIONS COLLATED IN THE TEXTUAL NOTES

Did of Diffond Commiss in the Text	ONE INDIES		
The Second Folio	[F ₂]		1632
The Third Folio	[F ₃]		1664
The Fourth Folio	$[F_4]$		1685
N Rowe (First Edition)	[Rowe 1]		1709
N Rowe (Second Edition)	[Rowe 11]		1714
A Pope (First Edition)	[Pope 1]		1723
A. Pope (Second Edition)	[Pope 11]		1728
L THEOBALD (First Edition)	[Theob 1]		1733
L THEOBALD (Second Edition)	[Theob. n]		1740
SIR T. HANMER .	[Han]		1744
W. WARBURTON	[Warb]		1747
E CAPELL	[Cap]	(?)	1761
Dr Johnson	[Johns]		1765
Johnson and Steevens	[Var '73]		1773
Joenson and Steevens	[Var '78]		1778
Johnson and Steevens	[Var '85]		1785
J RANN	[Ran]		1787
E. MALONE	[Mal]		1790
GEO STEEVENS	[Steev]		1793
REED'S STEEVENS	[Var '03]		1803
REED'S STEEVENS	[Var '13]		1813
Boswell's Malone	[Var]		1821
S W SINGER (First Edition)	[Sing 1]		1826
C Knight (First Edition)	[Knt 1]	(5)	1841
J. P. COLLIER (First Edition)	[Coll 1]		1842
H. N. Hudson (First Edition)	[Huds. 1]		1856
S. W. SINGER (Second Edition)	[Sing ii]		1856
A Dyce (First Edition)	[Dyce 1]		1857
J P. COLLIER (Second Edition)	[Coll n]		1858
H. STAUNTON	[Sta]		1860
R G WHITE (First Edition)	[Wh. i]		1861
CAMBRIDGE (First Edition, W. G. CLARK and W A.			
Wright)	[Cam i.]		1865
J O HALLIWELL (Folio Edition)	[Hal.]		1865

PLAN OF THE WORK			711
T KEIGHTLEY	[Ktly]		1865
C Knight (Second Edition)	[Knt 11]		1865
A DYCE (Second Edition)	[Dyce 11]		1866
H N Hudson (Second Edition)	[Huds u]		1871
A. Dyce (Third Edition)	[Dyce III]		1875
J P COLLIER (Third Edition)	[Coll m]		1877
R G WHITE (Second Edition)	[Wh n]		1883
W A WRIGHT (The Clarendon Press Series)	[Cla]		1885
CAMBRIDGE (Second Edition, W A WRIGHT)	[Cam u]		1891
W A NEILSON	[Neils]		1906
W HARNESS			1830
GLOBE (CLARK and WRIGHT)		[Glo]	1864
N Delius		[Del]	1869
REV JOHN HUNTER (Longman's Series)			1871
F G FLEAY (Collins' Classics)			1878
C E MOBERLY (Rugby Shakes peare)			1883
B DAWSON (University Shakes peare)			1887
F A Marshall (Irving Shakespeare)			1888
T Page (Moffatt's Shakespeare)			1892
F P Barnard (Arnold's Shakespeare)			1897
G C Moore Smith (Heath's English Classics)			1900
T PARRY			1900
C H HERFORD (Eversley Edition)			1904
W J Rolfe (Revised Edition)			1905
IVOR B JOHN (Arden Shakes peare)			1907
MISS C PORTER (First Foho Edition)			1910
H M Belden (Tudor Shakespeare)			1912
K. Deighton .			1913

These last eighteen editions I have not collated beyond referring to them in disputed passages, and recording, here and there in the *Commentary*, the views of their editors.

Within the last thirty-five years—indeed, since the appearance, in 1864, of the Globe Edition—the text of Shakespeare is become so settled that to collate word for word the text of editions which have appeared within this term would be a fruitless task. When, however, within recent years an editor revises his text in a second or third edition, the case is different; it then becomes interesting to mark the effect of maturer judgment. The present Text is that of the First Folio of 1623.

In the TEXTUAL Nores the symbol Ff indicates the agreement of the Second, Thurd, and Fourth Folios.

I have not called attention to every little misprint in the Folio The Textual Notes will show, if need be, that they are misprints by the agreement of all the Editors in their corrections.

Nor is notice taken of the first editor who adopted the modern spelling, or who substituted commas for parentheses, or changed ? to !

The sign + indicates the agreement of Rowe, Pope, Theobald, Hanner, Warburton, Johnson, and the Variorum of 1773.

When in the Textual Notes Warburton precedes Hanner, it indicates that Hanner has followed a suggestion of Warburton

The words 'Mnemonic Pope, Warb, Han' in the *Textual Notes* signify that the passage indicated is marked by those editors as especially worthy of attention or memorising

The words et cet after any reading indicate that it is the reading of all other

The words et seg indicate the agreement of all subsequent editions

The abbreviation (subs) indicates that the reading is substantially given, and that immaterial variations in spelling, punctuation, or stage-directions are disregarded

When Varr precedes Steev or Mal, it includes the Variorums of 1773, 1778, and 1785, when it follows Steev or Mal, it includes the Variorums of 1803, 1813, and 1821

An emendation or correction given in the *Commentary* is not repeated in the *Textual Notes* unless it has been adopted by an editor in his text, nor is *conj* added in the *Textual Notes* to the name of the proposer of the conjecture unless the conjecture happens to be that of an editor, in which case its omission would lead to the inference that such was the reading of his text

COLL. MS refers to COLLIER's copy of the Second Folio, bearing in its margin manuscript annotations

In citing plays or quoting from them, the Acts, Scenes, and Lines of the Globe Edition are followed, unless otherwise noted Of course, all references to King John refer to the present text

LIST OF BOOKS

To economise space in the foregoing pages, as a general rule merely the name of an author has been given, followed, in parentheses, by the number of volume and page

In the following List, arranged alphabetically, enough of the full titles is set forth to serve the purposes of either identification or reference

Be it understood that this LIST does not include those books which have been consulted or used in verifying references, were these included, the list would be many times longer

Abbott, E. A. Shakespearian Grammar .	London, 1870
ANDERS, H R D Shakes peare's Books .	Berlin, 1904
ARROWSMITH, W R Editor of Notes & Queries and Singer	London, 1858
BAILEY, S The Received Text of Shakes peare.	" 1862
BAKER, G P Development of Shakespeare as a Dramatist	New York, 1907
BAKER, SIR R A Chronicle of the Kings of England	
(ed iii) .	London, 1660
BATHURST, C · Differences of Shakespeare's Versification	" 1857
BAYLEY, HAROLD. The Shakespeare Symphony .	" 1906
BIELSCHOWSKY, ALBERT Life of Goethe (translated by	
W A Cooper)	New York, 1908
BIRCH, W J Inquiry into the Religion and Philosophy of	
Shakes peare	London, 1848
Boaden, J. Life of J. P. Kemble	" 1825
" Memoirs of Mrs Siddons	" 1827

BOAS, F S Shakes peare and His Predecessors	New York, 1896
BOWDEN, H S Religion of Shakes peare	London, 1899
Bowle, John Miscellaneous Pieces of English Poesie	" 1764
Brandes, G William Shakespeare	New York, 1900
BRINK, B TEN Five Lectures on Shakes peare (translated	
by Julia Franklin)	" 1895
BROOKE, STOPFORD A Ten More Plays	" 1914
Browne, G H Notes on Shakspere's Versification	Boston, 1884
BUCKNILL, J C Mad Folk of Shakes peare	London, 1860
" Shakespeare's Medical Knowledge	" 1860
" Mad Folk of Shakespeare (ed 11)	" 1867
BULLOCH, J Studies on the Text of Shakespeare	" 1878
	ldenburg & Leipzig, 1903
CALVERT, G H Shakespeare A Biographic Esthetic	
Study	Boston, 1879
CAMDEN, W Remains Concerning Britain (Library of	,,,,
Old Authors)	London, 1870
CAMPBELL, JOHN LORD Shakespeare's Legal Acquirements	New York, 1859
CAMPBELL, L Tragic Drama in Æschylus, Sophocles,	14cw 101k, 1039
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tondon see.
and Shakespeare	London, 1904
CAMPBELL, T Life of Mrs Siddons	1034
" Life and Writings of Shakespeare	Philadelphia, 1846
CANNING, A S G Thoughts on Historic Plays	London, 1884
" Shakes peare Studied in Eight Plays	1903
CAPELL, E Notes, etc	1//9
CARTER, T Shakespeare, Puritan and Recusant	" 1897
" Shakespeare and Holy Scripture	New York, 1905
CARTWRIGHT, ROBERT Footsteps of Shakes peare	London, 1862
" New Readings in Shakespeare	" 1866
CHALMERS, G Supplemental Apology	" 1799
CHESNEY, J P Shakes peare as a Physician	Chicago, 1884
CIBBER, C Papal Tyranny in the Reign of King John	London, 1745
CLARKE, C C · Shakespeare Characters	" 1863
CLARKE, C and M COWDEN Shakes peare Key .	" 1879
Coleridge, H Essays and Marginalia .	" 1851
COLERIDGE, S T Notes and Lectures	" 1874
COLLIER, J. P Kynge Johan by John Bale	" 1838
" Notes & Emendations to the Text of Shake-	
speare's Plays	New York, 1853
" History of Dramatic Literature (ed 11).,	London, 1879
COLTON, G Q Shakespeare and the Bible	New York, 1888
CORSON, H Introduction to Study of Shakespeare	Boston, 1889
COURTENAY, T. P. Commentaries on the Historical Plays	,
of Shakespeare	London, 1840
CREIZENACH, W English Drama in Age of Shakespeare	200212011, 10-7-
[Geschichte des neuren Dramas] (translated by C.	
	Philadelphia, 1916
Hugon) Cunliffe, J W Influence of Seneca on Ehzabethan	r mucrothmus rare
	London, 1893
Tragedy .	
DANIEL, P A.: Shakespeare Notes	" 1870

Dayma Arrows To Day Commeles (translated by	
DANTE ALIGHIERI La Divina Commedia (translated by	Man 37 - 1 0
H F Cary)	New York, 1852
DAVIES, T Dramatic Miscellanies "Menuous of the Lefe of David Carrech	London, 1785
" Memoirs of the Life of David Garrick .	1000
DAVIS, C K Law in Shakespeare .	St Paul, 1884
Delius, N Shakspere Werke Dennis, J Letters, Familiar, Moral, and Critical	Elberfield, 1869
DEVECTION, W C In re Shakespeare's Legal Acquire-	London, 1721
ments	Nam Vorle -0
DOUCE, F. Illustrations of Shakespeare	New York, 1899
DOWDEN, EDWARD Shakespeare His Mind and Art	London, 1807
" Shakes peare Primer	10/3
Drake, N Shakespeare and His Times	New York, n d
" Memorials of Shakespeare	London, 1817 " 1828
Ducis, J F Euvres	_ 1020
DUPORT, PAUL Essais Littéraires sur Shakspeare	Paris, 1830 " 1828
DUSCHINSKY, W Shakes peare's che Emflusse auf Schiller's	1020
Tell	Winn roas
DYCE, A Remarks on Collier's and Knight's Editions	Wien, 1899 London, 1844
"Strictures on Collier's Edition	
"Few Notes, etc	1044
Dyer, T F T Folk-Lore of Shakespeare	" 1853 New York, 1884
EARLE, J Philology of the English Tongue	Oxford, 1871
EASTWOOD, J, and WRIGHT, W A Bible Word-Book	London, 1866
EATON, T R Shakespeare and the Bible	" n d.
Edwards, T Canons of Criticism (Seventh Edition)	London, 1765
ELZE, K Notes on Elizabethan Dramatists	Halle, 1886
FARMER, R Essay on the Learning of Shakespeare.	London, 1767
FEIS, JACOB Shakespeare and Montaigne	" 1884
FLEAY, F G Shakes peare Manual	" 1876
" Life and Work of Shakespeare	" 1886
"Chronicle History of the London Stage	1890
"Biographical Chronicle of English Drama	" 1891
FLETCHER, G Studies in Shakespeare.	" 1847
FONTANE, T Aus England Studien und Briefe uber	1047
Londoner Theater	Stuttgart, 1860
FORSYTH, E Some Notes on Shakespeare	Edinburgh, 1867
FRENCH, G R Shakes peare and Geneologica	London, 1869
von Friesen, H Shakespeare Studien	Wien, 1874
FROUDE, J A Reign of Elizabeth .	London, 1869
FULLOM, S W History of William Shakespeare	" 1864
FURNIVALL, F J Introduction to Leopold Shakspere .	" 1877
GAEHDE, CHRISTIAN. David Garrick als Shakespeare Dar-	10//
steller .	Dorlin roas
GARNETT, R, and Gosse, E Illustrated History of Eng-	Berlin, 1904
hsh Literature	London, 1903
GENÉE, R. Ueber Shakespeare's Dramen	Hildburghausen, 1871
GENEST, J The English Stage 1660-1832.	Bath, 1832
GENTLEMAN, F Dramatic Censor	London, 1770
GERVINUS, G. G Commentaries (translated by Bunett)	" 1863
CERTIFICE, G. C. COMMISSIONERS (CLASSICATED BY BUILDED)	1003

GILDEMEISTER, OTTO Shakspeare's Werke (ed Bodenstedt) GILDON, C Remarks on the Plays of Shakespeare (vol.	Leipzig, 1867
vii of Rowe's 2d ed)	London, 1710
GILES, HENRY Human Life in Shakespeare	Boston, 1868
GRAFTON, R Chronicle, or History of England (ed	2031011, 1000
Wright, 1809)	London, 1569
GREEN, H Shakespeare and the Emblem-writers	" 1870
GREG, W W List of English Plays written before 1643	" 1900
" List of Masques, Pageants, etc	" 1902
GREY, Z Critical, Historical, and Explanatory Notes on	1902
Shakes peare	" *774
GRIFFITH, MRS Morality of Shakespeare's Dramas	" 1754 " 1775
GUEST, E History of English Rhythms	" 1838
Guizot, M Historical and Critical Notices on the Principal	1030
Dramas of Shakespeare (translated)	New York, 1852
HAGENA Berichtigungen der Schlegelschen Sh Uebersetzung	
HALL, H T Shakespearean Fly-Leaves	Oldenburg, n d
HALLAM, H View of the State of Europe during Middle	Cambridge, 1869
	NT 37106 .
Ages	New York, 1864
HALLIWELL-PHILLIPS, J O Memoranda on Love's Lab,	
King John, Othello, and	T 1 0
Rom & Jul	London, 1879
Ountries of Life of Shake-	7 . 1. 00
speare	Brighton, 1882
HARDING, S and E Whole Historical Dramas of Shake-	
speare Illustrated .	London, 1811
HARTING, J E Ornihology of Shakespeare	" 1871
HAWKINS, F. W Life of Edmund Kean	" 1869
HAZLITT, W Characters of Shakespeare's Plays	" 1817 " 7006
"View of the London Stage (new ed)	" 1906
HAZLITT, W C Shakespeare. Himself and His Work	
(ed 11)	" 1903
Heard, F F Shakespeare as a Lawyer	Boston, 1883
HEATH, B Revisal of Shakespeare's Text .	London, 1765
HERAUD, J A Shakes peare's Inner Life	" 1865
HERR, J G Scattered Notes	Philadelphia, 1879
HILGERS, PROFESSOR DR Der Dramatische Vers Shake-	_
speare's .	Aachen, 1869
HUDSON, H N . Shakes peare His Life, Art, and Characters	Boston, 1872
Hugo, François Victor Œuvres Complètes de Sh	Pans, 1872
Hulsmann, E · Shakespeare sein Geist und seine Werke	Leipzig, 1856
HUME, D. History of England .	New York, 1879
HUNTER, JOSEPH · New Illustrations of Shakespeare .	London, 1853
HURDIS, J: Cursory Remarks	" 1792
INGLEBY, C M · The Still Lion	" 1874
IRELAND, JOSEPH N. Records of the New York Stage	New York, 1861
Jameson, Mrs Characteristics of Women	London, 1836
JEFFEREY, E. Shakespeare Illustrated	" 1813
JERVIS, S Emendations of the Text of Shakespeare	" 186o
JUSSERAND, J J Literary History of the English People	New York, 1909

APPENDIX

KEAN, C Selections from the Plays of Shakespeare	London, 1860
KEIGHTLEY, T Shakes peare Expositor	" 1867
KEMBLE, J P King John, as acted at Covent Garden Theatre	" 1804
KENRICK, W Review of Johnson's Shakes peare	" 1765
KILBOURNE, F W Alterations and Adaptations of Shake-	1/03
speare	Boston, 1906
KINNEAR, B G Cruces Shakes pearsanæ	London, 1883
KNIGHT, C Studies of Shakspere	" 1868
KREYSSIG, F Vorlesungen uber Shakespeare	Berlin, 1862
LAWRENCE, W J The Elizabethan Playhouse	Stratford-on-Avon, 1912
LEE, SIR SIDNEY Life of Shakes peare (new edition)	London, 1916
LETTSOM, W N New Readings in Shakespeare (Black-	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
wood's Maga)	Edinburgh, 1853
Lewes, L Shakespeare's Frauengestalten	Stuttgart, 1893
LINGARD, J History of England	Edinburgh, 1902
LLOYD, W W Essays on Shakes peare	London, 1858
Luce, M Handbook to the Works of Shakespeare	" 1907
MABIE, H W W Shakespeare, Poet, Dramatist, and Man	-9-1
(new edition)	New York, 1904
MACDONALD, G A Dish of Orts	London, 1895
MACREADY, WILLIAM C Reminiscences (ed F Pollock)	New York, 1875
" Diaries (ed W Toynbee)	London, 1912
MADDEN, D H Diary of Master William Silence	" 1897
MARTIN, P J Parallel of Shakespeare and Scott	" 1835
MASEFIELD, JOHN William Shakespeare	New York, 1911
MASON, J M Comments on the Var 1778	London, 1785
" Comments on Beaumont, Fletcher, and Ob-	, , •
servations on Shakespeare	" 1708
MASSEY, G Secret Drama of Shakespeare's Sonnets (ed 11)	" 1872
MATTHEWS, BRANDER Shakes peare as a Playwright	New York, 1913
MEREDITH, E A Note on Some Emendations in the	, , ,
Text of Shakespeare (transactions of Lit & Hist	
Soc)	Quebec, 1863
MEYER, ARNOLD O England and the Catholic Church un-	• • •
der Elizabeth (translated by J R McKee).	London, 1916
MINTO, W Characteristics of English Poets	Boston, 1901
Moulton, R G · Moral System of Shakespeare	New York, 1930
MUNRO, J., and FURNIVALL, F J Troublesome Raigne	
of John (Shakespeare Classics)	London, 1913
NICHOLS, J. Illustrations of the Literary History of the	
Eighteenth Century	" 1817
Norgate, Kate John Lackland .	" 1902
OECHELHAUSER, W. Shakespeare Dramatische Werke.	Weimar, 1877
"Einführungen zu Shakespere's	
Buhnen-Dramen	Minden, 1885
ORDISH, T F: Shakespeare's London	London, 1897
PARIS, MATTHEW. See Wendover, Roger of	" -880
PATER, W: Appreciations	1009
Perring, Sir P Hard Knots in Shakespeare (ed 11) .	" 1886

PHELPS, W M, FORBES-ROBERTSON J Life and Life-	
Work of Samuel Phelps	London, 1886
Pye, H J Comments on the Commentators	" 1807
RAICH, J M Shakes peare's Stellung zur Katholischen Re-	
ligion	Mainz, 1884
RALEIGH, W Shakes peare (English Men of Letters)	" 1909
'REED, HENRY English History and Tragic Poetry as Il-	
lustrated by Shakespeare	" 1859
RITSON, J Remarks Critical and Illustrative	" 1782
"Cursory Criticisms	" 1792
ROBERTSON, J M Montaigne and Shakespeare	" 1909
RODERICK, R Remarks on Shakespeare (in Edward's	
Canons, etc)	" 1675
ROHLEDER, J Internal Evidence for Determining the	
Chronology of Shakespeare's Plays	Stuttgart, 1889
ROLFE, W J Life of William Shakespeare	Boston, 1904
Rose, E Shakespeare as an Adapter (Macmillan's Maga,	
November)	London, 1878
Rumelin, G Shakspere-Studien	Stuttgart, 1866
RUSHTON, W L Shakespeare's Legal Maxims	London, 1859
" Shakespeare Illustrated by Old Authors	" 186 ₇
" Shakespeare Illustrated by Lex Scripta	" 1870
" Shakespeare and the 'Arte of English	
Poesse'	Liverpool, 1909
SAINTSBURY, G A History of English Prosody	Liverpool, 1908
SCHELLING, F E English Chronicle Play	New York, 1902
" Elizabethan Drama, 1558–1642	Boston, 1908
Schlegel, A W. Dramatic Literature, Lectures (trans-	
lated by J Black)	London, 1815
SCHWARTZKOPF, A Shakspere's Dramen auf Eurgen	
Grunde	Bremen, 1888
SECCOMBE and ALLEN Age of Shakespeare.	London, 1903
SIMPSON, PERCY Shakespearian Punctuation	Oxford, 1911
SIMPSON, RICHARD The School of Shakespeare	London, 1878
SINGER, S W Text of Shakes peare Vindicated	" 1853 " 1824
SKOTTOWE, A Life of Shakes peare	1024
SNIDER, D J System of Shakespeare's Dramas	St Louis, 1877
SPALDING, T. A Elizabethan Demonology	London, 1880
STOKES, H P · Chronological Order of Shakespeare's Plays	" 1865
STRUTT, J Sports and Pastimes of the People of England	44 TR4T
(ed Hone)	" 1841
STUBBS, WILLIAM Historical Collection of Walter of Cov-	" T\$72
entry	10/3
" Constitutional History of England	Oxford, 1880
STUMCKE, FERD. Studien zu Shakespeare's King John	Ottendorf, 1889
SWINBURNE, A. C. A Study of Shakes peare.	London, 1880
Symonds, J A Shakespeare's Predecessors in the English	££ _00.
Drama	" 1884
TETZLAFF, ARTHUR Die Kindergestalten bei den Englischen	TT-11 0
Dramatrker, &c	Halle, 1898

APPENDIX

THEOBALD, L. Shakespeare Restored	London, 1726
THUMMEL, JULIUS Vorträge uber Shakspere-Charaktere	Halle, 1881
" Shakspere-Charaktere	" 1887
TIECK, L Alt-Englisches Theater	Berha, 1811
TURNER, SHARON History of England during the Middle	•
Ages (ed m)	London, 1830
ULRICI, H Shakespeare's Dramatic Art (translated by	, •
A. J W Morrison)	" 1846
" Shakespeare's Dramatic Art (ed 111) (trans-	
lated by L D Schimtz)	" 1876
UPTON, J Critical Observations	" 1746
" Remarks on Three Plays of Ben Jonson	" 1749
VALPY, R King John An Historical Tragedy	Reading, 1800
VAUGHAN, H H New Readings in Shakespeare's Trag-	
edies (ed 11)	London, 1886
VEHSE, EDUARD Shakespeare als Protestant, Politiker	
Psycholog und Dichter	Hamburg, 1851
VERPLANCK, G C Shakespeare's Dramas	New York, 1847
VISCHER, F T Shakes peare-Vorträge	Stuttgart, 1901
WALKER, W S On Shakes peare's Versification	London, 1854
" Criticisms on Shakespeare	" 186o
WARD, A W English Dramatic Literature	" 1875
WARNER, E B English History in Shakespeare's Plays	New York, 1894
WARNER, RICHARD Letter to David Garrick	London, 1768
WATSON, SIR F B Religious Sentences from Shakespeare	" 1843
Weiss, J Wit, Humour, and Shakespeare	Boston, 1876
WENDELL, BARRETT William Shakespeare	New York, 1894
WENDOVER, ROGER OF Flowers of History (translated by	
J A Giles)	London, 1849
Wetz, W Shakespeare vom Standpunkte der Vergleichen-	
den Literaturgeschichte	Worms, 1890
WHALL, W. B Shakes peare's Sea Terms Explained	London, 1910
WHITE, R G Shakespeare's Scholar	New York, 1854
"Shakespeare Studies	Boston, 1886
WHITER, W Commentary on Shakespeare	London, 1794
WILKES, G Shakes peare from an American Point of View	New York, 1882
WINTER, WILLIAM. Shakespeare on the Stage (Third	
Series)	" 1916
WIVELL, ABRAHAM Shakespeare's Monument	London, 1827
WORDSWORTH, C · Shakespeare's Knowledge and Use of	
the Bible .	" 1864
" Shakes peare's Historical Plays	" 1893

A1 1.		T	_
Absey book	53	Basiliscus = Cor leonis	64
Actors' Interpretations	669		55
Adjective in ~ible with active mean-		Bastards are born lucky	48
ing	406	_	608
receiving weaker accent		Become=adorn	357
than noun qualified	98	Bedlam=hunatic	99
'Adjure,' use of, in early editions of		Beeching on Shakespeare and Roman	_
Bible	226	Catholicism	654
Advance=lift up	107	'Behaviour,' unusual meaning of	18
Affect=imitate	33	Bell, book, and candle	239
Alcides' shoes, or shows	90	Bend = $direct$ the eyes	310
'Aloft' used as preposition	317	Bent = $aimed$.	75
Anachronisms in Shakespeare	24	Bequeath= $dense$	424
Angel speaking, figurative use of	367	" =transfer	44
" value of	159	Bequest of Soul and Body	334
Angiers, description of	69	Bestow oneself = $behave$	213
" pronunciation of	72	Birthrights on their backs	80
Antistrophe, example of	162	Blindness as bar to crown	279
Arthur as central figure of political		'Bloody' used proleptically	325
interest an invention of		Blot = blemish	165
Shakespeare	304	Boas on character of Constance	584
" death of, and execution of		" on character of Faulconbridge	592
Mary, Queen of Scots	320	" on character of King John	560
" manner of death of, not cer-		" on John's surrender of crown	351
tain	333	" on lack of motive for murder	
Arthur's prison, locality of	279	of John .	414
Article, indefinite, displacement of	200	" on the Date	440
Ascension Day, date of, in time of		$Boast = extol\ oneself$	167
Tohn	354	Body, the grave or prison of soul	256
'Aspect,' accent of	II2	Book and eye of beauty associ-	
Association of ideas, example of	100	ated.	146
'Assur'd' used in double sense .	152	Bought and Sold, a proverbial ex-	
Até, Goddess of Revenge .	78	pression	385
Aula Regis in time of John	28	Bowden on Shakespeare's Roman	
Austria and Limoges, confusion of a		Catholicism 192,	658
popular tradition	185	Brandes on character of Faulcon-	Ť
popular mountain		bridge	593
Baker, G P, on the Play	634	" on death of Hamnet and	.,.
Baker, Sir R., on character of King		that of Arthur .	201
John	565	" on the Play	628
Bank'd .	371	-	358
	5/1 63	V-2	381
Basilisco, character in old play	43	delanin alaconin	30,

Brink, Ten, on the Date	440	Cibber, Mrs Theophilus, as Con-	
Broker = pander	157	stance, Davies on	185
Brooded watchful day	250	Cibber's acting of Pandulph	11
Brooke, S, on character of Constance	585	" Papal Tyranny, Davies on	538
" on character of King		" " Kılbourne on	540
John	563	Clarke, C, on Arthur's affection for	•
" on character of Pandulph	260	his mother	165
" on the Date	442	Clarke, C C, on character of Con-	•
" on Troublesome Raigne	469	stance	580
Bucknill on character of Constance	581	" on character of Faul-	J
" on Shakespeare's knowl-	•	conbridge	589
edge of symptoms of poi-		" on Macready's act-	U -,
soning	405	ing of King John	677
" on Shakespeare's portray-		Clarke, C and M, on the Play	610
als of madness	261	Clarke, F W, on the Date	443
Buss = coarse kissing		Climate=region of the sky	124
But=except		Clip=surround	363
'But once' = once for all	31	Cock as National Emblem of France	
Byrom, John, extemporare lines on	3-	Cockred	358
King and Pretender	113	Colbrand the giant	
ixing and i retender	113	Coldly = calmly	59
Calfe bred from his cow	38	Coleridge, H, on altercation of Con-	77
Calf-skin coat a mark of Fool's cos-	30	stance and Elinor	86
	-0-		
tume	187	" on the Play	606
Calvert on opening scene of Play	20	College, S. T., on the Date	432
Campbell, T, on the Play	605	Collier on Bale's Kynge Johan	694
Cannon in time of John an anach-		" on the Date	435
ronism	24	" on Troublesome Raigne	465
'Canonized,' accent of		Commodity = selfish interest	156
Capell on Troublesome Raigne	448	- /	136
Carriage=military equipment	422	Complexion of youth compared to	
Carter on Shakespeare's Roman		lilies and roses	166
Catholicism	193	Compliments, extravagant use of	55
Cartwright on the Date	435	Composition = agreement	155
Cased hon	216	" Date of	432
Casuistry	222	'Compound' used in legal sense	114
Catholicism, Roman, Shakespeare		Conscience, to make	328
and .	642	Constance, character of .	t67
Censure = estimate	121	" date of death of	315
$Center = girdle \qquad . \qquad .$	350	" eloquence of, Bucknill on	185
Chalmers on the Date .	433	" graef of, Rose on	258
Chattilion, pronunciation of	18	" love of, for Arthur al-	
Check possibly used as in game of		" loyed with pride	166
chess	87	" maternal love of, B	
Chesney on likelihood of death of		Matthews on	184
John from malarial fever	415		75
Christendom = Christianity	281	" Oechelhauser on por-	
Churchmen, Shakespeare's represen-		trayal of	270
tations of	196	" sickness of, an indication	
Cibber, Colley, Letter to	540	of insanity .	162

$Content = be \ calm$	165	Deloney's Strange Histories, refer-	
Contrary accent of adjective	321	ence to	406
Control = opposition	22	Denounce = proclaim	231
Conversion	50	Departed = parted	155
" = conversation	51	Devils, aerial	235
Convertite	354	" sublunary, offices of	235
Convicted = overpowered	255	Dialogue of Complement	55
Coronations of John, historic dates		Dieu et mon droit, origin of	117
of	296	Diffidence = distrust	31
Corson on character of Constance	87	Disallow=reject	22
" on the Play	625	Dishabited = dislodged	109
Costume	661	Dispiteous = pitiless	283
Counterturn, example of	162	'Display' used in technical sense	118
Courtenay on historical situation	20	Distemper'd=ill-humoured	336
" on the Play	бо2	Dogged=churlish	295
Coyle = disturbance	97	Doors left open at time of death	415
Cracker=braggart	93	Doubling of parts of Hubert and	
Crises, medical doctrine of	272	Citizen	120
Criticisms on the Play	596	Douce on Peier of Pomfret	317
Crown, Tenure of, Simpson on	393	Dowden on the Date	439
Cry aim = encourage	105	" on the Play	619
Crying of your nation's crow	376	Drake on the Date	433
	•	" on the Play	598
d and e final confounded in Folio		Dramatic and Poetical Versions of	••
text	395	Life of King John	695
Daniel, G, on the Play	600	Drowsy race of night	245
Dauphin, earliest assumption of		Ducis-Jean Sans-Terre	701
title	12	Duke of Milan of Massinger, scene	
Dauphin's right to English crown	371	ın, compared to III, ııı	240
Davies on Cibber's Papal Tyranny,	•	Dunghill villain .	344
241, 280,	538	Dunois, Duke of Orleans, case of,	
" on Garrick's delineation of		compared to Philip Faulcon-	
" death of John	414	bridge's	43
" on Mossop, Sheridan, and	•	Duport on scene between Hubert	
Garrick as King John	671	and Arthur .	281
" on Mrs Cibber's Constance	185	Dust=grain of dust	273
" on Quin, Garrick, and Mos-	•		
sop in III, iii	242	Effect=purpose	283
Davison and Queen Elizabeth com-	•	Elinor, date of death of	314
pared to John and Hubert .	323	Elizabeth and John, similarity in	
Dawson on the Date .	440	situation of, under excommuni-	
'Day' used as equivalent of battle	272	cation	200
Days, favourable or not, marked in		'Embattailed'	322
ancient calendars.	181	Emendations adopted in Cambridge	
Defy=reject	258	Edition	431
Deighton on character of King John			399
Delirium on cessation of pain	411	Essex as character in I, i, Fleay	
Delius on the Date	435	on.	28
Deloney, T, The Lamentable Death		" death of, possible reference	
of King John.	705	to, in IV, ii	324

Essex, expedition of, against Cadiz,			Furnivall on Troublesome Raigne 466		
compared to description in I, ii,			Future tense used for subjunctive		75
74-79		79			
Every Man in His Humour, scene in,			Garnett and Gosse on the Date		44I
compared to		24I	Garrick a	ıs Kıng John	67I
Excommunica	tion, Bull of, by Pius		Garrick's	delineation of death of	
V agaınst E	llızabeth	198	John		414
Exercise = mar	tral exercise	307	Gates compared to eyes		108
Expedient = ex	pedrtrous	78	Gauds=toys		243
'Expeditious'	or 'expeditions'	29	Gentleman, F, on early actors of		
Eye of reason		158	King John		669
" the outwa	ırd	158	Gervinus on character of Constant		580
			"	on character of Faulcon-	
Faithless = per	fidrous	IIO		bridge	589
Fast and loose		214	"	on character of King John	557
Faucit, Helen,	as Constance	679	"	on Shakespeare and Roman	
	and Hotspur com-			Catholicism	643
	pared	380	"	on the Play	609
66	change of character	•	"	on Troublesome Raigne	453
	of	340	Gildon of	a loss of part of Act II	174
46	character of	586		this hand	34I
44	identified with Fal-	•		and C E Neumann's act-	0
	casius de Breauté,		ing of		288
	and objections		Golden le		179
	thereto	6	Gollancz	on the Date	440
Fault=defect		300	Good der	1	49
Feis on the Pla	w	625	Gorion's, Joseph Ben, History of		.,
'Fetch about,'	•	299		Commonweale, a possible	
Fire driving or	-	222	source of incident in Act II, sc 1. I		130
Fleay on Act		177	Gregory XIII, answer of, in regard		
-	icter of Essex in I, 1	28			200
" on the L	•	438	Grief = gr		337
	blesome Raigne	457			168
	aracter of Constance	574	Groat, first comage of		34
	aracter of Elinor	86	•	frim with braid	298
	Helen Faucit's Con-	-		n the Play	606
	ince	679		James, character of	60
	for advance of enemy			J	
For because		159	Hair con	pared to golden wires	263
Forage = range	abroad	357		ner spelling of	375
Fore-wearied=		IIO	Halfe th		34
Forget = not to		50	Halfe-fac		34
. •	e of opening scene	17		on the Date	436
	on madness of Con-	•	44	on the Play .	600
, ,	stance	262	Hamnet.	death of, a possible source	,
66	on the Play .	615		kespeare's portrayal of grief	
	on Troublesome Raigne	-	of Con		267
	akespeare and Roman			ained with blood of deer a	
		б43	_	g custom	119
Furnivall on the Date		437			125

Hawkins on E Kean as King John	673	In at $window = born out of wedlock$	47
Hazlitt on character of Faulcon-		Indifferency = impartiality	157
bridge .	586	Indirect = unjust	
" on J P Kemble's acting of		Indirectly or indiscreetly	
King John	674	Infinitive, sign of, when omitted	39
" on the Play	597	Infortunate	98
'He' used as noun absolute	113	Ingram on the Date	436
Hearsay evidence and dying decla-		'Interest to,' a legal phrase	370
rations	390	Interrogatories, accent of	194
'Heat' for heated	285	" and the Canon Law	
Heeres a stay	138	'Intreaties' for entreats	
Heraud on the Play	610	'It' for its	
Hercules Furens of Seneca, passage			
ın, compared	274	Jameson, Mrs, on character of Con-	
Herford on the Date	441	stance	567
" on the Play	631	Jean Sans-Terre by Ducis, Analysis	
High tide=solemn season	180	of	702
'His' used substantively	41	Jeopardy, origin of word	233
Historic Dates	709	Jeronimo, date of composition	22
" time of Acts II and III	69	John and Elinor, moral tie between	315
Historical Register for 1736 by Field-		" and Falstaff, deaths of, com-	
ıng	538	pared	412
Historical situation in III, iv, War-		" and Henry V, characters of,	
ner on	274	contrasted	678
Hold in chase	59	" burnal place of	423
Holmshed's character of King John	564	" change in character of, through	
Homilys, Shakespeare's familiarity		sin	311
with	220	" character of	557
Horn blown by Post	58	" death of, from malarial fever,	
Horrors on Elizabethan stage	288	likelihood of	415
Hubert and Citizen of Angiers iden-		" " political effect of	414
tical as characters	120	" intellectual activity contrasted	
" as portrayed by Shakespeare	:	with that of Richard III	310
not historic	348	" not a usurper	21
Hudson on character of Constance .	583	" poisoned by Monk not prob-	
" on character of Faulcon-		able .	401
bridge .	590	" strength of, dependent on four	
" on the Play	610	personages	316
Hugo, F V, on allusions to contem-		John, Ivor, on the Date	44I
porary events in IV, ii	324	John's moral and physical break-	
Hume's character of King John .	565	down, when manifested	352
Humours, doctrine of	353	" tenure a naked possession	27
Hunt, Leigh, on F A Kemble's Con-		" yielding of crown, locality of	
stance	676	incident .	35I
Hunter, Joseph, on Shakespeare's		Jusserand on the Play	635
Roman Catholicism	199	-	
Hurdis on the Date	432	Kean, C, as King John	683
		" description of costumes	661
Image seen in eye of another	148	Kean, E, as King John	673
Importance=importunity	73	Kemble, F A, as Constance	675

Moyes on death scene of King John		Planché on Historic Costume	664
		Planché's description of costumes	
Munro on Shakespeare's change of		Plantagenet, origin of name	45
dramatic sequence in		Pluck on = incited	167
Troublesome Raigne	356	Plural and possessive case of nouns	
" on Troublesome Raigne	467	ending in s or se unchanged	116
Mutines = mutineers	130	Poison for age's tooth	56
		Poisons, ideas of effects of	403
Near=nearly related	134	'Policie, the,' unusual use	132
'Needle' a monosyllable	380	Pope on authorship of Troublesome	
Neilson, Miss J, as Constance	689	Raigne	15
Neumann, C E, as Arthur	288	Porter, Miss C, on character of King	
'Next,' peculiar use of	369	John	561
Nichols, R, Unfortunate Life and		" on scenic arrange-	
Death of King John	707	ment of II, 1	178
No had	322	'Possess' used with 'with'=in-	
'Nob,' a cant word for head	44	form	301
		'Potents' used as substantive	126
Occasion = course of events	315	President=rough draught	360
'On his death,' an asseveration	36	Private = private communication	335
'One' confused with on		Prodigious = portentous	165
		Propertied	370
Ordish on locality of opening scene		Prophesy = expounding.	320
Outface=browbeaten	82	Proud = overflowing .	164
C 1d, influence of, on Shakespeare	123	Proverbs, use of	47
'Owe' used in modern sense and also	Ť	Pulling on the Date	436
=own	III	•	
		'Quarrel' used in legal sense	422
Pandulph as represented in Trouble-		Quoted=noted	327
some Raigne and by			٠.
Shakespeare	261	Raleigh on Shakespeare's restraint	
" character of, Brooke on	260	as regards horrors	288
" Oechelhauser		" on Shakespeare's use of	
on .	190	metaphor	292
Passion=outburst of feeling		'Ramping' applied to lion.	186
Passionate=sad	152		393
Pathetic element in literature .	268	'Rather,' with infinitive	39
Pencil, a broad brush .	214	Reed, Henry, on character of Faul-	•
Performances, American, dates of	659	conbridge	588
" " W Wm-	- 52	Regret	214
ter on	660	Relative, sudden change of	150
" Chronological List of		" with singular verb and	
	220	plural antecedent .	109
Philip, name for sparrow		Repetition a characteristic of Con-	,
Phipson, Miss E, on connection be-		stance	181
tween natural phenomena and			355
mankind ,		Respective=heedful	50
Picked=exquisitely apparalled	52		231
Plagued = punished .	-	Richard Cœur-de-Lion, legend	~;;
Plan of the Work	-	of .	6:
	,	v	~ 2

	Siddons, Mrs, costume as Constance	
105	270,	66r
3 93	" on character of Con-	
215		570
636	" on her acting of Con-	
	stance	161
192	Sightless=unsightly	165
	Simpson, R, on Shakespeare's use	
200		біі
41	" on Tenure of Crown	393
41	Sin of times	339
	'Sır Robert hıs'	40
258	Skottowe on character of King John	557
•	" on Troublesome Raigne	450
72	Slander = accuse unjustly	32
•		-
450	attitude towards Roman Cathol-	
	ıcısm	192
		•
	_ '	463
-	3	57
•		89
J9-		•
	•	311
TTE	<u> </u>	-
-		84
	• •	
252	• •	212
JŦ	-	647
250		622
	_	186
	- ·	334
	• • •	256
	· -	406
		406
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	444
-		114
-		137
-		363
		655
-	-	116
		173
		138
-		444
-		
-		150
		436
	• •	420
		418
	41 41 258 72 459 155 112 30 614 39 ² 115 160 253 54 350 80 177 443 467 443 467 450 276 689 689 671 91 321 321 321 321 331 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340	105 "on character of Constance 192 Sightless=unsightly Simpson, R, on Shakespeare's use 200 of the Chronicles 193 Skottowe on character of King John 195 Sightless = unjustly 196 Skottowe on character of King John 197 On Troublesome Raigne 198 Skottowe on character of King John 198 On Troublesome Raigne 199 Sightless = unjustly 199 Smith, Goldwin, on Shakespeare's 199 Attitude towards Roman Catholicism 190 Smith, Moore, on Troublesome 190 Raigne 191 Smoke or smack 192 Smoke = to thrash 193 Smoke = to thrash 194 Sinder on change in King John 195 through sin 195 on character of Faulconbridge 196 on character of King Philip 196 on characters of King Philip 197 on characters of King Philip 198 and Lewis 199 and Lewis 199 on shakespeare and Roman 199 Catholicism 199 Catholicism 199 Catholicism 199 Sooth up = flatter 199 Sooth up = flatter 199 Souland body, bequest of 199 Souland body, bequest of 199 Souland body, bequest of 199 Souland body is parave 199 Source of Plot 199 Soverign de facto, law of 199 Soverign de facto, law of 199 Spleen = passionate desire 199 Spot = stain or disgrace 199 Stage History 199 Steevens on Source of Plot 190 State = chair of state 199 Steevens on Source of Plot 199 Stokes on the Date

Stranger March	362	Tree, H B, as King John at Crys-	
Stubbs on character of King John			684
" on dependence of John on	566	" as King John at Her	•
four persons	316	Majesty's Theatre,	
Suggestion = incitement	319	• •	688
"=temptation	228	Trick = peculiar feature	33
'Sullen' applied to sound of trumpet	25	Troth-plighting, ceremony of,	151
" confounded with sudden	25		471
Sun an eye	297	" (Part II)	510
'Sun-set,' accent of	184	Two Gentlemen, passage from, com-	
'Supply' used as noun of multitude	383	pared	123
Surly spirit melancholy	250	Tyrrell, Anthony, mission of	200
Suspire = $breathe$.	266		
Swan, dying song of .	412	Ulnci on character of King John	557
Swinburne on the Play	619	" on the Date	437
Swinstead Abbey, location of	383	" on the Play	603
Sympathy for King John, Knight on,		" on Troublesome Raigne	449
and its change	237	Unadvised = lacking consideration	104
Symploche or the poetical figure of		Underwrought=undermined	82
reply	135	Unheard = $unhair'd$	374
		Unstained or unstrained	74
t and c, confusion of	•	Unsur'd=made uncertain	144
t, verbs ending in, unaltered in past		Unthread the rude eye .	385
tenses	80	Untrimmed bride	203
Table = picture	148	'Up' used emphatically 347,	381
Take a truce	163		
" head=take life from	157	'V' omitted between vowels	233
Tarre on = incite	293	Verplanck on character of Faulcon-	
'Task' and 'tax' almost identical	195	bridge	586
Temporal power of Popes	198	" on the Date " on Troublesome Rasane	434
Temporize = compromise	374	OII 170000000000 Italigno	451
Tempt=call upon	405	Vischer on the Play	630
Territories, the, only example of	20	Visited=punish .	98
That art or thou art	65	Volquessen	151
	35	Vows, Shakespeare's theory of	221
'The' used to denote the well-known Theobald on Act divisions.	-	Wall-eyed .	
'This' misprinted for his	175	Waller, L, as Faulconbridge .	339
'Thou' and 'you,' use of	378	Ward on Bale's Kynge Johan	689 697
Thou wert better	345	" on the Date	
Three corners of the world	345	Warne=summon	437
Tieck on the Date	425 433	Warner, B E, on character of Faul-	100
" on Troublesome Raigne .	448 448	conbridge	592
Time Analysis	708	'Wars' and 'war' used interchange-	27*
'To' in composition with verbs	364	ably .	370
Tooth-pick a mark of a foreigner	51	Waters used for sea as body of water	
'Totter' a variant of tatter.	396		312
Tower=rise in flight	379	Wendell on the Play	625
Toys=idle fancies	62		-
Traveller as dinner entertainer	51		21

_	
728	INDEX

'What' used relatively 'Where' a contraction for whether 'Which' used adverbially " as an adjective White, R G, on Act divisions " on the Date Widdow Lady Wife for a Month, passage from, compared to King John V, 11,	32 113 37 176 435 153	Winter, W, on the Play, see M tell, R B, as King John With=by 'Withal,' how used Woman completed by marriage Work, make Winght on Source of Plot " on the Date	690 155 232 137 133 446 439
42-47 Wilkes on Shakespeare and Roman		'Ye,' use of	46, 371
winter, w, on American produc-		Zeal compared to metal in state fusion Zealous=religious piety	of 144 136